

NO-A190 324

CONTINUITY OF SYMMETRIC STABLE PROCESSES(U) NORTH
CAROLINA UNIV AT CHAPEL HILL CENTER FOR STOCHASTIC
PROCESSES J P NOLAN SEP 87 TR-200 AFOSR-TR-87-1995
F49620-85-C-0144

1/1

UNCLASSIFIED

F/O 12/3

NL






AD-A190 324

DTIC FILE COPY

CENTER FOR STOCHASTIC PROCESSES

Department of Statistics
University of North Carolina
Chapel Hill, North Carolina

AFOSR-TR- 87 - 1955



CONTINUITY OF SYMMETRIC STABLE PROCESSES

By

John P. Nolan

Technical Report #200

September 1987

DTIC
ELECTE
JAN 15 1988
S H

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release
Distribution unlimited

87 10 20

ADA190324

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) AFOSR-TR. 07-1955		
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) Technical Report No. 200			7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION AFOSR/NM		
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION University of North Carolina		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) NM		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) AFOSR/NM Bldg 410 Bolling AFB DC 20332-6448	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Center for Stochastic Processes 321-A Phillips Hall, CB #3260 Chapel Hill, NC 27514		8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION AFOSR		9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER F49620 85 C 0144.	
8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) NM		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS			
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) AFOSR/NM Bldg 410 Bolling AFB DC 20332-6448		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 61102F		PROJECT NO. 2304	
		TASK NO. A5		WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.	
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Continuity of symmetric stable processes					
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Nolan, J.P.					
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Preprint		13b. TIME COVERED FROM 10/1/86 TO 9/30/87		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) September 1987	
15. PAGE COUNT 14					
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION N/A					
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	Stable processes, continuous paths, stochastic integral representations, metric entropy.		
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)					
The path continuity of a symmetric p-stable process is examined in terms of any stochastic integral representation for the process. When $0 < p < 1$, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for path continuity in terms of any (every) representation. When $1 \leq p < 2$, we extend the known sufficiency condition in terms of metric entropy and offer a conjecture as to the complete solution. Finally, necessary and sufficient conditions for path continuity are given in terms of continuity at a point for $0 < p < 2$.					
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☐ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT ☐ DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Maj. Brian Woodruff			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (202) 767-5026		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL NM

87 13 23 215

CONTINUITY OF SYMMETRIC STABLE PROCESSES

by

John P. Nolan

University of North Florida

and

Center for Stochastic Processes

University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill

Abstract: The path continuity of a symmetric p -stable process is examined in terms of any stochastic integral representation for the process. When $0 < p < 1$, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for path continuity in terms of any (every) representation. When $1 \leq p < 2$, we extend the known sufficiency condition in terms of metric entropy and offer a conjecture as to the complete solution. Finally, necessary and sufficient conditions for path continuity are given in terms of continuity at a point for $0 < p < 2$.

AMS 1980 Subject Classification: Primary 60G17, Secondary 60H05.

Keywords and Phrases: Stable processes, continuous paths, stochastic integral representations, metric entropy.

Abbreviated Title: Continuity of stable processes

Current Mailing Address: Quantitative Medicine, Inc.
1835 Forest Drive, Suite H
Annapolis, MD 21401

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Avail and/or	
Dist	Special
A-1	



Research supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research
Grant No. F49620 85 C 0144.

1. Introduction

A real valued stochastic process $X = \{X(t), t \in T\}$ on an arbitrary index set T is called stable if every finite linear combination $\sum a_j X(t_j)$ has a stable distribution, e.g. Feller [1, VI.1]. During the past two decades there has been a considerable amount of interest in stable processes, in part because they are a natural generalization of Gaussian processes. Some of the stable results are identical to the corresponding Gaussian ones, some are quite different. In this paper we are concerned with the continuity problem for stable processes: when does X have a version with continuous paths.

In this paper, only real, symmetric, separable p -stable processes, $0 < p \leq 2$, on a compact metric or pseudo-metric space (T, τ) are considered. Such processes always have a stochastic integral representation [2]:

$$(1.1) \quad X(t) = \int_U f(t, u) W_m(du),$$

where $(U, \mathcal{U}, m)$ is some sigma-finite measure space, $f: T \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function with the property that for each $t \in T$, $f(t, \cdot) \in L^p(U, \mathcal{U}, m)$, and W_m is the p -stable noise generated by m . Conversely, given any $(U, \mathcal{U}, m)$ and any kernel $f(t, u)$ with $\{f(t, \cdot), t \in T\} \subset L^p(U, \mathcal{U}, m)$, (1.1) defines a p -stable process X . It is a basic fact [2, p. 386] that the joint characteristic function of X is given by

$$(1.2) \quad E \exp(i \sum_{j=1}^n a_j X(t_j)) = \exp(-|| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j f(t_j, \cdot) ||_{L^p(U, \mathcal{U}, m)}^p).$$

Therefore if $g(t, u')$ is any other representation for X with $\{g(t, \cdot), t \in T\}$ a subset of some $L^p(U', u', m')$,

$$(1.3) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j f(t_j, \cdot) \right\|_{L^p(U, u, m)} = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j g(t_j, \cdot) \right\|_{L^p(U', u', m')}.$$

Since such norms (quasi-norms when $0 < p < 1$) are independent of the representation (1.1), we may use the expression

$\left\| \sum a_j X(t_j) \right\|_p$ for the terms in (1.3). Note that in the Gaussian case ($p=2$), $\left\| \sum a_j X(t_j) \right\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2} \text{Var}(\sum a_j X(t_j))$.

Let X and Y be p -stable processes, $0 < p \leq 2$, and suppose $\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j X(t_j) \right\|_p \approx_{c(n)} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j Y(t_j) \right\|_p$, i.e. the ratio of both sides is bounded above and below by a finite, positive number $c(n)$ that depends only on n . At least for a large class of processes, this last condition forces the paths of X and Y to have the same degree of irregularity, e.g. [7, Section 4] and [8, Corollary 3.4]. In the Gaussian case, it also forces X and Y to be mutually continuous or discontinuous, but when $p < 2$ this is not the case [7, (3.8)]. So the continuity problem is more subtle when $p < 2$ than in the Gaussian case.

Rosinski [9] has shown that the paths of X are related to the paths $f(\cdot, u)$, $u \in U$, of the kernel in (1.1). An apparent difficulty with this is the non-uniqueness of representations. Under the separability assumption, it is always possible to take the unit interval with Lebesgue measure as our base space, but we have no idea what the kernel function is or how it relates to other representations. Or, if we start with a particular kernel and define X through (1.1), what can other representations look

like? Theorem 5.1 of [9] shows that there is a lot of rigidity in the possible representations. We combine this result with earlier work of Marcus and Woyczynski [6] and Marcus and Pisier [4], [5].

In Section 2 the continuity problem is solved when $0 < p < 1$. Necessary and sufficient conditions are given for continuity in terms of any (every) representation (1.1) as part of a more general result showing there is a trichotomy on what kind of trajectories stable processes possess when $0 < p < 1$. Section 3 considers the cases when $1 \leq p < 2$. We extend the sufficiency results for continuity in terms of metric entropy and conditions on any representation. A conjecture is made for the complete solution, i.e. the correct stable analog of the Dudley-Fernique Theorem for Gaussian processes. We end with necessary and sufficient conditions for path continuity in terms of continuity at a point in Section 4.

2. Continuity and boundedness when $0 < p < 1$.

Let X be a p -stable process and consider some representation (1.1) of X with kernel $f(t, u)$. We'll say $f_0(t, u)$ is a *version* or *modification* of $f(t, u)$ if for all $t \in T$, $f_0(t, \cdot) = f(t, \cdot)$ m -a.e. on U . Then $X_0 = \{X_0(t) = \int_U f_0(t, u) W_m(du)\}$ is a version of X by (1.3). Define two conditions on the kernel $f(t, s)$:

(C1) f has a version f_0 such that for every $u \in U$, $f_0(\cdot, u)$ is in $C(T)$.

(C2) $f^*(u) = \sup_{t \in T} |f(t, u)|$ is in $L^p(U, U, m)$.

(By $\sup_{t \in T} |f(t, u)|$ we shall mean $\sup_{t \in T_0} |f(t, u)|$, where $T_0 \subset T$ is a

countable separant for X that is dense in (T, τ) .)

In [10], we showed that if (C1) holds, then Itô and Nisio's [3] results on oscillation functions generalize to p -stable processes. This gives detailed information about what kind of paths such processes can have, but it does not give conditions on when those paths are continuous or bounded, nor indicate what happens when (C1) does not hold.

The next theorem resolves these questions when $0 < p < 1$. One surprising aspect of this is that there is no difference between the stationary and nonstationary case, unlike the Gaussian situation. When $1 \leq p < 2$, the situation is more complex and like the Gaussian case, as we'll see in Section 3.

Theorem 1. Let X be a real, symmetric, separable p -stable process, $0 < p < 1$, on a compact metric or pseudo-metric space (T, τ) .

(i) X has a version with a.s. continuous sample paths if and

only if (C1) and (C2) hold for some (every) representation (1.1).

(ii) X has a version with a.s. unbounded sample paths if and only if (C2) fails to hold for some (every) representation (1.1).

(iii) X has a version with a.s. discontinuous, bounded sample paths if and only if (C1) fails to hold and (C2) does hold for some (every) representation (1.1).

Proof: (i) Suppose (C1) and (C2) hold for some representation (1.1). Let f_0 be a version of f guaranteed by (C1) and set

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \{u \in U: f(t_j, j) \neq f_0(t_j, u) \text{ for some } t_j \in T_0\} \\ &= \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \{u \in U: f(t_j, j) \neq f_0(t_j, u)\}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a m -null set since f_0 is a version of f . Thus for $u \notin N$,

$$f_0^*(u) = \sup_j |f_0(t_j, u)| = \sup_j |f(t_j, u)| = f^*(u).$$

Hence (C2) implies $f_0^* \in L^P(U, \mathcal{U}, m)$ also. Since $f_0(\cdot, u)$ is in $C(T)$, this says

$$\|f_0(\cdot, u)\|_{C(T)} = f_0^*(u) \in L^P(U, \mathcal{U}, m).$$

Now by Marcus and Woyczynski [6], $X_0 = \{\int_U f_0(t, u) W(du)\}$ has a.s. continuous paths.

Conversely, Theorem 5.1 of Rosinski [9] shows that X having a continuous version implies (C1) holds for every representation. Furthermore, a corollary to Rosinski's theorem shows that a version f_0 of f satisfies (C2), i.e. $f_0^* \in L^P(U, \mathcal{U}, m)$. The above argument shows $f^* = f_0^*$ m -a.e., so (C2) holds for f also.

(ii) By Theorem 6.2 of Samorodnitsky [11], (C2) is equivalent to X having a version with bounded paths when $0 < p < 1$. Again this result does not depend on the representation chosen.

(iii) Follows from (i) and (ii). $\square$

The method of proving Theorem 1 (i) applies to other Banach spaces besides $C(T)$. For example, let d be any pseudo-metric on T that is continuous with respect to τ , and define the possibly infinite function on $C(T)$:

$$\|f\|_{\text{Lip}(d)} = \sup_{s, t \in T} \frac{|f(s) - f(t)|}{d(t, s)}.$$

Pick any $t_0 \in T$ and let $\text{Lip}(d) = \{f \in C(T) : \|f\|_{\text{Lip}(d)} < \infty\}$. This is a Banach space with norm

$$\|f\| = |f(t_0)| + \|f\|_{\text{Lip}(d)}.$$

Rephrasing (C1) and (C2) in terms of $\text{Lip}(\tau)$ instead of $C(T)$ gives necessary and sufficient conditions for X to satisfy a Lipschitz condition.

Corollary 2. Let X be as in Theorem 1. X has a version with paths in $\text{Lip}(\tau)$ a.s. if and only if for some (every) representation (1.1)

(Lip(τ) - 1) $f(t, u)$ has a version $f_0(t, u)$ with $f_0(\cdot, u) \in \text{Lip}(\tau)$ for every u ,

and

(Lid(τ) - 2) $\|f_0(\cdot, u)\|_{\text{Lip}(\tau)} \in L^p(U, \mu, m).$

3. Continuity when $1 \leq p < 2$.

We now consider the cases when $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Let d be a metric or pseudo-metric on T and let q be the dual index of p , i.e. $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$. The d -metric entropy is defined in the standard way: for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$H_q(d; \varepsilon) = \begin{cases} (\log N(d; \varepsilon))^{1/q} & 2 \leq q < \infty \\ \log^+ \log N(d; \varepsilon) & q = \infty \end{cases}$$

where $N(d; \varepsilon) = N(T, d; \varepsilon)$ = minimum number of d -balls of radius ε with centers in T that cover T .

A particular pseudo-metric that is naturally associated with a stable process X is

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(t, s) &= (-\log[E \exp(i(X(t) - X(s)))])^{1/p} \\ &= \|f(t, \cdot) - f(s, \cdot)\|_{L^p(U, \mathcal{U}, m)}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality comes from (1.2) and shows that d_X and $H_q(d_X; \varepsilon)$ are independent of which representation (1.1) we are considering.

Theorem 3. Let $X = \{X(t), t \in T\}$ be a real, symmetric, separable p -stable process, $1 \leq p < 2$ on a compact metric or pseudo-metric space (T, τ) .

(i) If X has a version with a.s. continuous paths, then (C1) and (C2) hold for every representation (1.1). Furthermore, when $p > 1$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon H_q(d_X; \varepsilon) = 0$$

(ii) Assume (C1) and (C2) hold for some representation (1.1) of X and that $\int_0^\infty H_q(d_X; \varepsilon) d\varepsilon < \infty$. If f_0 is a version of f satisfying (C1) and

$$(3.1) \quad \int ||f_0(\cdot, u)||_{Lip(d_X)}^p m(du) < \infty,$$

then X has a version with continuous sample paths.

Before proving Theorem 2, we would like to state the following conjectures.

Conjecture 1. Condition (3.1) can be dropped in Theorem 3 (ii), i.e. (C1), (C2) and $\int_0^\infty H_q(d_X; \varepsilon) d\varepsilon < \infty$ imply X has continuous paths.

Conjecture 2. Assume T is a locally compact abelian group and X is stationary. X has a.s. continuous paths if and only if (C1), (C2) and $\int_0^\infty H_q(d_X; \varepsilon) d\varepsilon < \infty$ for some (every) representation.

Both conjectures are true for harmonizable processes (random Fourier transforms) by [4], where (C1) and (C2) are automatic. Counterexamples showing $\int_0^\infty H_q(d_X; \varepsilon) d\varepsilon < \infty$ is not sufficient for continuity, e.g. Remark 1.7 [4], do not take (C1) and (C2) into account. If $X(t)$ is stationary sub-Gaussian, i.e. $X(t) = Z^{1/2}Y(t)$ where Z is a $(p/2)$ -stable positive r.v. and $Y(t)$ is stationary Gaussian, then X is continuous when and only when Y is continuous, which occurs when and only when $\int_0^\infty H_2(d_X; \varepsilon) d\varepsilon < \infty$, not $\int_0^\infty H_q(d_X; \varepsilon) d\varepsilon < \infty$. Initially, this seems to doom the above conjectures. However, Hardin [2] shows that one representation for sub-Gaussian processes is to use the paths of $Y(t)$ as the kernel in (1.1), i.e.

$$X(t) = \int_{\Omega} Y(t, \omega) W_p(d\omega).$$

For this representation, (C1) requires that Y is a.s. continuous, which is equivalent to the correct $\int_0^\infty H_2(d_X; \varepsilon) d\varepsilon < \infty$. So the conjectures are plausible.

Proof of Theorem 3: (i) As in Theorem 1 (i), (C1) and (C2)

hold for every representation. Theorem 2.6 of [4] shows

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} H_q(d_X; \varepsilon) = 0 \text{ when } p > 1.$$

(ii) Let f_0 be the version of the kernel f that satisfies (C1) and (3.1). We will show that $X_0(t) = \int_U f_0(t, u) W_m(du)$ has a continuous version. First we note that (C1) and (C2) imply $d_X(t, s) \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau(t, s) \rightarrow 0$. This is so because (C1) implies $f_0(t, u) \rightarrow f_0(s, u)$ as $\tau(t, s) \rightarrow 0$ for each u , and $|f_0(t, u) - f_0(s, u)| \leq 2f_0^*(u)$, so (C2) and a dominated convergence argument show $d_X(t, s) = (\int |f(t, u) - f(s, u)|^p P_m(du))^{1/p} \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau(t, s) \rightarrow 0$. Thus it suffices to show X is a.s. continuous with respect to d_X . The remainder of the proof follows from Proposition 4, which also gives a modulus of continuity. $\square$

The next result is basically Theorem 3 (ii) with d_X replaced by an arbitrary d . We are indebted to Professor Gennady Samorodnitsky for pointing out that this generalization was implicit in the original proof of Theorem 3.

We define a few more terms. For $2 \leq q \leq \infty$, $\delta > 0$ and a pseudo-metric d on T , the metric entropy integral on $(0, \delta)$ is

$$J_q(d; \delta) = \int_0^\delta H_q(d; \varepsilon) d\varepsilon.$$

The d -diameter of T is $\hat{d} = \sup_{s,t \in T} d(s,t)$. Define for $v > 0$,

$$\phi_q(v) = \begin{cases} v(\log^+ \log(1/v))^{1/q} & 2 \leq q < \infty \\ v(\log^+ \log^+ \log(1/v)) & q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

For real random variables Y in the weak $L_{p,\infty}$ spaces, we will use the function $\Lambda_p(Y) = \sup_{\lambda > 0} (\lambda^p P(|Y| > \lambda))^{1/p}$.

For the rest of this section, (T,d) will be the pseudo-metric space of concern, not the original (T,τ) we've dealt with so far. In particular, $C(T)$ stands for functions that are continuous with respect to d ; hence, (C1) should be interpreted in this sense.

Proposition 4. Let $X = \{X(t), t \in T\}$ be a real, symmetric, separable p -stable process, $1 \leq p < 2$, on a compact metric or pseudo-metric space (T,d) . Assume (C1) and (C2) hold for some representation (1.1), that $J_q(d;\delta) < \infty$ for some $\delta > 0$ ($p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$) and that for a version f_0 of the kernel guaranteed by (C1),

$$K(p,d) = \left(\int_U \|f_0(\cdot, u)\|_{Lip(d)}^p m(du) \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Then X has a version Y with a.s. continuous (with respect to d) sample paths satisfying

$$\Lambda_p \left(\sup_{\substack{d(s,t) \leq \delta \\ s,t \in T}} |Y(s) - Y(t)| \right) \leq c(p) K(p,d) [J_q(d;\delta) + \hat{d} \phi_q(\delta/4\hat{d})]$$

for some constant $c(p)$ depending only on p .

Proof: Let f_0 be a version of the kernel f that satisfies our hypothesis. We will define a normalized representation in terms

of f_0 . Pick any $h_1 \in C(T)$ with $\|h_1\|_{C(T)} = 1$ and define a new kernel

$$h(\cdot, u) = \begin{cases} h_1(\cdot) & \|f_0(\cdot, u)\| = 0 \\ \frac{f_0(\cdot, u)}{\|f_0(\cdot, u)\|_{C(T)}} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and a new measure

$$\mu(du) = \|f_0(\cdot, u)\|_{C(T)}^p m(du).$$

Then $Y(t) = \int_U h(t, u) W_u(du)$ is a version of X because of (1.3).

The representation in terms of h is normalized in that it has the following properties:

$$(3.2) \quad h(\cdot, u) \text{ is continuous for every } u.$$

$$(3.3) \quad \mu(U) = \int_U \|f_0(\cdot, u)\|_{C(T)}^p m(du) < \infty \text{ by (C2).}$$

$$(3.4) \quad h^*(u) = \|h(\cdot, u)\|_{C(T)} = 1, \text{ hence } h^* \in L^p(U, \mu) \text{ by (3.3).}$$

$$(3.5) \quad J_q(d; \delta) < \infty.$$

$$(3.6) \quad \int_U \|h(\cdot, u)\|_{Lip(d)}^p \mu(du) < \infty$$

since for each u ,

$$\|h(\cdot, u)\|_{Lip(d)} = \|f_0(\cdot, u)\|_{Lip(d)} / \|f_0(\cdot, u)\|_{C(T)}$$

and $\mu(du) = \|f_0(\cdot, u)\|_{C(T)}^p m(du)$. In fact, the integral (3.6) is exactly $K(p, d)$. Taking (3.2)-(3.5) together we can induce a finite measure ν on the boundary of the unit ball of $C(T)$. A technical point is to verify that ν is indeed a measure on the

correct sigma-field, i.e. the Borel sets on $C(T)$. Since the Borel sets on $C(T)$ coincide with the cylindrical sigma-field on $C(T)$ (see the discussion at the beginning of Section 5 of [9]), it suffices to show the measure makes sense on cylinders of the form $C = \{g \in C(T) : (g(t_1), \dots, g(t_n)) \in B_n\}$, where $B_n \in \text{Borel}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For such sets, $\{u \in U : h(\cdot, u) \in C\} = \{u \in U : (h(t_1, u), \dots, h(t_n, u)) \in B_n\}$ is a U -measurable set since each $h(t_j, u)$ is measurable in u .

Next we claim that we can assume ν is symmetric. If it isn't then look at its symmetrization $\nu^* = \nu * \nu$. This is equivalent to looking at the measure induced on $C(T)$ by $\{h(\cdot, u_1) - h(\cdot, u_2) \in U \times U\}$ with product measure $\mu \times \mu$. This corresponds to a representation for $\nu^* = Y - Y^1$, where Y^1 is an independent copy of Y . Since Y was symmetric to start with, $\nu^* \stackrel{D}{=} \nu$ and we may as well take ν to be symmetric.

We now have a finite, symmetric measure ν on the boundary of the unit ball of $C(T)$. Let M_ν be the p -stable noise generated by ν on $C(T)$ and define

$$Z(t) = \int_{C(T)} x(t) M_\nu(dx)$$

as in the discussion preceeding Theorem 1.6 of [5]. This is a version of X also. Condition (3.5) is unchanged and condition (3.6) can be rephrased as

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{C(T)} \|x\|_{\text{Lip}(d)}^p \nu(dx) < \infty.$$

Now apply Theorem 1.6 of [5] to conclude that Z , and hence X , has a version with continuous paths. (Note that [5] left out the condition (3.7) in the statement of their theorem.) $\square$

4. Path continuity and continuity at a point

A Gaussian process with continuous covariance is path continuous if and only if it is continuous at each point. The stable analog follows.

Theorem 5. Let $X = \{X(t), t \in T\}$, be a p -stable metric or pseudo-metric space (T, τ) , $0 < p < 2$. Then X is path continuous if and only if (C1) holds for some (every) representation and X is continuous at each point.

Proof: Necessity is straightforward using Theorems 1 and 3. Sufficiency follows by assuming (C1) for some representation. Then the oscillation function [10] of X is nonrandom. It is zero at a point t if and only if X is continuous at t . If X is continuous at each t , then the nonrandom oscillation function is identically zero and the process is path continuous. $\square$

In this result and in the oscillation function results of [10], (C1) plays the role that the continuous covariance condition plays in the Gaussian case. Perhaps (C1) is the correct generalization of continuous covariance, not simply that d_X is continuous. Recall from the proof of Theorem 3.2, (C1) and (C2) implies d_X is continuous with respect to τ .

REFERENCES

- [1] Feller, W. (1971) An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications, Vol. II, Second Edition, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- [2] Hardin, C.D. (1982) "On the spectral representation of symmetric stable processes." J. Multivariate Anal. 12, 385-401.
- [3] Itô, K. and Nisio, M. (1968) "On the oscillation functions of Gaussian processes." Math. Scand. 22, 209-223.
- [4] Marcus, M.B. and Pisier, G. (1984) "Characterizations of almost surely continuous p -stable random Fourier series and strongly stationary processes, Acta Math. 152, 245-301.
- [5] Marcus, M.B. and Pisier, G. (1984a) "Some results on the continuity of stable processes and the domain of attraction of continuous stable processes." Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. 20, 177-199.
- [6] Marcus, M.B. and Woyczynski, W.A. (1979) "Stable measures and central limit theorems in spaces of stable type." Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 251, 71-102.
- [7] Nolan, J.P. (1987) "Path properties of index- β stable fields." To appear in Ann. Probab.
- [8] Nolan, J.P. (1986) "Local nondeterminism and local times, II: stable fields." Submitted.
- [9] Rosinski, J. (1986) "On stochastic integral representation of stable processes with sample paths in Banach spaces." J. Multivariate Anal. 20, 277-302.
- [10] Rosinski, J., Nolan, J.P. and Cambanis, S. (1987) "Oscillation functions of stable processes." To appear.
- [11] Samorodnitsky, G. (1987) "Maxima of stable processes." UNC Center for Stochastic Processes Technical Report No. 181.

END
DATE
FILMED

4- 88

DTIC