AD-A192 268 ORGANOPHOSPHAZENES22 COPOLYMERIZATION OF (ALPHA-METHYLETHENYLPHENYL) PENT.. (U) VERMONT UNIV BURLINGTON DEPT OF CHEMISTRY C W ALLEN ET AL. 1/1

UNCLASSIFIED 15 MAR 88 TR-9 N00014-85-K-0558 F/G 7/3 NL




1.0
2.8
2.5
3.2
2.2
3.6
4.0
2.0
1.1
1.8
1.25
1.4
1.6

(4)

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N00014-85-K-0058

R&T Code 413C012-01

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 9

Organophosphazenes.22 Copolymerization of (α-Methylethenylphenyl)-pentafluorocyclotriphosphazenes with Styrene and Methyl Methacrylate.

by

C.W. Allen, J.C. Shaw and D.E. Brown

Accepted for publication

in

Macromolecules

University of Vermont
Department of Chemistry
Burlington, VT 05405

March 15, 1988

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	1b RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY	3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Available for public release. Distribution unlimited.
2b DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) Technical Report No. 9	5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION University of Vermont 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Department of Chemistry Cook Physical Science Building Burlington, VT 05405	7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA 22217
8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION ONR 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Office of Naval Research 800 N. Quincy Arlington, VA 22217	10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.: N00014-85 PROJECT NO.: K-0558 TASK NO.: 413C012 WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO:

11 TITLE (Include Security Classification)
Organophosphazenes.22 Copolymerization of (α-Methylethenylphenyl)-pentafluorocyclotriphosphazenes with Styrene and Methyl Methacrylate

12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)
C.W. Allen, J.C. Shaw and D.E. Brown

13a TYPE OF REPORT	13b. TIME COVERED	14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)	15 PAGE COUNT
Preprint	FROM _____ TO _____	1988/3/15	21

16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION
Accepted for publication in Macromolecules

17 COSATI CODES		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP

18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)
cyclophosphazenes, reactivity ratios, phosphazenes, vinylcopolymers, pennultimate effects,

19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)
Both 3- and 4- (1-Methylethenylphenyl)pentafluorocyclotriphosphazene ($N_3P_3F_5C_6H_4C(CH_3)=CH_2$) undergo radical copolymerization with styrene and methyl methacrylate. Flame retardant copolymers with up to 66% and 44% phosphazene content were obtained for the styrene and methyl methacrylate systems respectively. Reactivity ratios and Alfrey-Prince parameters for the styrene system show that the major perturbation produced by the phosphazene is a polar (σ sigma) electron withdrawing effect. The methyl methacrylate system was found to exhibit significant penultimate effects in its reactivity behavior. The copolymers were characterized using elemental analysis, gel permeation chromatophrphy, membrane osmometry, TGA and DSC.

Keywords:

20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT	21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
☒ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT. ☐ DTIC USERS	unclassified
22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. Joanne Milliken	22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 202-696-4410 22c OFFICE SYMBOL NC

DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 APR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

Organophosphazenes. 22. Copolymerization of (α-Methylethenylphenyl)pentafluorocyclotriphosphazenes with Styrene and Methyl Methacrylate.[1]

Christopher W. Allen*, Jonathan C. Shaw and Douglas E. Brown

Department of Chemistry, University of Vermont, Burlington, Vermont 05405

Received

ABSTRACT: Both 3- and 4- (1-Methylethenylphenyl)pentafluorocyclotriphosphazene ($N_3P_3F_5C_6H_4C(CH_3)=CH_2$) undergo radical copolymerization with styrene and methyl methacrylate. Flame retardant copolymers with up to 66% and 44% phosphazene content were obtained for the styrene and methyl methacrylate systems respectively. Reactivity ratios and Alfrey-Price parameters for the styrene system show that the major perturbation produced by the phosphazene is a polar σ electron withdrawing effect. The methyl methacrylate system was found to exhibit significant penultimate effects in its reactivity behavior. The copolymers were characterized using elemental analysis, gel permeation chromatography, membrane osmometry, TGA and DSC.

Introduction

Considerably recent interest has been shown in the synthesis of polymers derived from organophosphazenes.[2-6] While some groups have concentrated on the ring opening polymerization of cyclophosphazenes,[3] the reactions of poly(phosphazenes) with organometallic reagents[2] or formation of poly(organophosphazenes) from silylated phosphoranimines,[5] work in our laboratory has been directed towards the synthesis of polymers containing pendant

phosphazene residues. This method results in an organic polymer surrounded by a sheath of inorganic material, in contrast to most inorganic polymers, which have an inorganic backbone surrounded by organic material.[6] Our approach to the synthesis of these polymers has been by copolymerization of alkenylphosphazenes with organic olefins.[6-9] Certain complications in both monomer synthesis[10] and polymerization[7] have been ascribed to the strong electron withdrawing effect exhibited by the phosphazene unit.[11] We have previously shown that one method for mediation of the electron withdrawing effect of the phosphazene is by using olefins with strong electron donating substituents.[11,12] An alternative approach involves the separation of the olefin from the phosphazene by an insulating function. We have recently reported the synthesis of α-methylethenyl phosphazenes with a phenyl group between the phosphorus and olefinic centers, i.e. phosphazene derivatives of α-methylstyrene.[1,9] In this paper we report the addition copolymerization of these new organofunctional phosphazene monomers with styrene and methyl methacrylate.

Experimental Section

Materials. Hexachlorocyclotriphosphazene (Shin Nisso Kako) was converted to hexafluorocyclotriphosphazene, $N_3P_3F_6$[13], and then to either 3- or 4-(1-methylethenylphenyl)pentafluorocyclotriphosphazene[1] by previously published procedures. Styrene and methyl methacrylate were distilled from calcium hydride and stored in dark bottles under a blanket of nitrogen at 0°. Prior to use, a small quantity of the monomer was added to an excess of methanol. If no turbidity was observed, it was assumed that no polymer was present. Azobisisobutyrylnitrile (AIBN) was recrystallized from ethanol and stored at 0°.

Measurements. Infrared spectra were obtained as KBr pellets on a Nicolet 6000 Series spectrophotometer. Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) was per-

formed on a Waters A.V.C. 202 high pressure liquid chromatograph equipped with Waters 10^4Å and 10^5Å microstyragel columns. Membrane osmometry was accomplished via a Wescan Model 230 recording osmometer. Toluene solutions were employed for both gpc and osmometry measurements. Thermal analyses were carried out using a Perkin-Elmer TGS-2 Thermogravimetric System (TGA) or a DSC-4 Differential Scanning Calorimeter (DSC) interfaced with a PETOS Thermal Analysis Data Station. Elemental analysis was performed by Robertson Laboratory, Inc. Copolymer polymer composition was determined from weight percent nitrogen.[7] Reactivity ratios for systems following the terminal model were calculated using the Mortimer-Tidwell non-linear least square approach[14] and for systems following the penultimate model the methodology developed by Pittman[15] was employed.

Polymerization Reactions.

Copolymerization reactions were carried out by one of two methods.

Method A. Comonomers were placed in a thick walled tube, along with 2% AIBN (by wt.) and sealed with a rubber septum. The tube was then flushed with nitrogen. During this time, the tube was immersed in an ice bath to minimize the loss of either monomer. The tubes were then placed in an oil bath for a period of time and heated to 60° ± 2°C. In a typical experiment 0.7553 g (2.176 mmole) of 4-(1-methylethenylphenyl)pentafluorocyclotriphosphazene (Ia), 1.1236 g (10.788 mmole) of styrene and .0057 g AIBN were allowed to react for 36 hours. After purification, 0.7082 g (37.69% conversion) of a copolymer of the composition $(\text{styrene})_{3.12}(\text{Ia})_1$ (6.2% N) was obtained. Composition and conversion data for all copolymerizations may be found in Table I.

Method B. Comonomers were placed in a thick walled tube, along with 2% AIBN and subjected to several freeze-pump-thaw cycles. The tube was then evacuated,

sealed, and placed in an oil bath for a period of time at 60° ± 2°C. The results using method B were similar to those obtained using method A except that higher molecular weights were observed when method B was employed (Table II).

In both methods, the polymerizations were terminated and the polymers isolated by precipitating the reaction mixtures into methanol. The polymers were filtered, washed with methanol, and dried. If further purification was required, the polymers were dissolved in methylene chloride and reprecipitated.

Results and Discussion

The organofunctional phosphazene monomers under investigation in this study, 3- and 4-(1-methylethenylphenyl)pentafluorocyclotriphosphazene (Ia,b), can be considered as α-methylstyrene derivatives with a pentafluorocyclotriphosphazene moiety in the para (Ia) or meta (Ib) position. Since α-methylstyrene derivatives do not undergo significant radical addition homopolymerization,[16] we have concentrated on copolymerization reactions with styrene (STY) and methyl methacrylate (MMA) as the comonomers (Scheme I). The copolymers that were produced were all white powders, soluble in a variety of common organic solvents. They were flame retardant in simple flame tests.

Selected composition and molecular weight data for the new copolymers may be found in Table II. As was observed in the copolymerization of other alkenylphosphazenes,[7,8] the molecular weight of the copolymers decreases with increasing phosphazene content. In cases where absolute molecular weight determination (by membrane osmometry) were carried out, the copolymer molecular weight is consistently higher than the values obtained by gel permeation chromatography (GPC). Since high molecular weight species were employed for osmometric determination diffusion of low molecular weight fractions across the

Scheme I



	x	y
Ia	2.0	0.78
Ib	1.9	0.69

x,y = maximum phosphazene content in the copolymers

membrane is not a significant problem so it is reasonable to assume that polystyrene is not a good calibrant for these copolymers. We have previously proposed that an electrostatic interaction between the phosphazene and neighboring organic functions is responsible for the lower molecular weight values obtained from GPC measurements.[8] A significant increase in molecular weight was observed when oxygen was rigorously excluded from the system using freeze-thaw cycles thus indicating a particular sensitivity of the phosphazene based monomers to inhibition by oxygen.

The reactions of Ia or Ib with styrene lead to copolymers with a wide range of compositions (Table I.). The maximum incorporation of the phosphazene into the polymers was 64 and 67%, respectively. This represents the highest incorporation of a phosphazene containing monomer into a copolymer to date. In previous copolymerizations with other phosphazene containing monomers[7,8] the polarizing effect of the $N_3P_3F_5$ group limited the extent to which the phosphazene monomer entered into the reaction. In this case, the aromatic ring clearly moderates the polarizing effect of the phosphazene monomer. The amount of the phosphazene monomer incorporated into the copolymer is not affected by the isomer that is employed, i.e. similar feed ratios of Ia or Ib lead to polymers of similar composition regardless of which monomer was used. The reactivity ratios of Ia,b with styrene as the comonomer were calculated using the Mortimer-Tidwell non-linear least squares approach,[14] and may be found in Table III. An examination of the reactivity ratio data shows that both phosphazene monomers prefer to cross propagate. The steric crowding at I would tend to decrease the probability that another molecule of I would add to it. Electronically, one would expect the styryl radical to be more stable than I. If this is the case, then the addition of a styrene molecule to the growing

chain end would be favored over the addition of a phosphazene monomer. Therefore, one would expect that the reactivity ratio for styrene would be greater than one. However, the opposite is true. There may be some electrostatic attraction between the electron deficient aryl ring of I and styrene. This would lead to a tendency towards alternating copolymerization which is reflected in the low value for $r_1 x r_2$. The values of r_1 for Ia and Ib lie within the intersection of the 95% joint confidence limits for their respective calculations therefore, within the accuracy of this measurement, there is no difference between the reactivity of Ia and Ib in the copolymerization process. This observation is consistent with the spectroscopic studies of I which indicated minimal resonance interaction between the phosphazene and aryl rings.[1] Further insight into the electronic effect of the $N_3P_3F_5$ moiety on the α-methylstyrene unit can be obtained from the Alfrey-Price Q and e parameters[17] which were calculated from the reactivity ratios and are found in Table III. If one compares the Alfrey-Price parameters for para-nitrostyrene[18] (Q=1.63; e=0.39) with I, significant differences are noted. The nitro group represents a classic example of a conjugated electron withdrawing substituent and this is reflected in the large value of the Q (resonance) parameter compared to styrene (1.0). The fact that the Q values for I are less than that of styrene demonstrates the absence of significant mesomeric interaction between the phosphazene and aryl rings. The values for the e (polarity) parameter for I are even larger than that of nitrostyrene indicating the high degree of polarity induced in the olefin by the non-mesomeric electron withdrawing effect of the $N_3P_3F_5$ unit.

In contrast, the MMA copolymers show a decreased tendency for incorporation of Ia or Ib (Table I.). The polymers with the highest phosphazene content contained only 44 and 42% phosphazene monomer, respectively. Attempts at

increasing the phosphazene content by increasing the amount of Ia or Ib in the feed resulted in little or no polymer formation. The reactivity ratios for I with MMA were calculated from the available composition/conversion data. However, an examination of the 95% joint confidence limit curves (Figure 1) shows that the uncertainty in the calculated values of r_1 (MMA) and especially r_2 (I) are so large as to render the numbers meaningless. The most reasonable interpretation of this result is that the terminal model is not adequate to describe the mechanism for the copolymerization of I with MMA. Penultimate behavior, i.e. the effect of the next to last monomer unit on reactivity, is most commonly observed when the monomer contains bulky or polar substituents.[19] Since the phosphazene monomers fit both of these criteria, the data were reanalyzed using a penultimate model[15] giving the results shown in Table IV. The reasonable (Ia/MMA) to excellent (Ib/MMA) multiple correlation coefficients indicate that the data are fit in these calculations. The significant parameter in each case is r_2 (k_{122}/k_{121}) which is large compared to r_2 (k_{222}/k_{221}) indicating that a penultimate effect is operative in this system. The replacement of I with MMA in the penultimate position significantly increase the rate of cross reaction between a growing chain terminated with the phosphazene and a phosphazene monomer. This indicates an interaction between the phosphazene and MMA units in the chain which may decrease the electron withdrawing ability of the phosphazene unit. The STY/I composition/conversion data were also analyzed using the penultimate model (Table IV). The calculations suggest a small penultimate contribution to the overall mechanism. In each case (MMA and STY), the penultimate effect is more significant for Ib which may indicate that the meta disposition of the phosphazene moiety forces it closer to the penultimate monomer unit in the chain.

The TGA data for thermal decomposition of the STY copolymers are found in Table V. As with other alkenylphosphazene copolymers,[7,8] in each case the decomposition is a two-step process with the onset at roughly 300° (Figure 2). While it is tempting to partition the two stages to the two different monomer units, the correlation between phosphazene content and weight loss in the first step is only qualitative. A feature of particular interest is how the difference in structure between the two phosphazene monomers effects the stability of the copolymers. As shown in Figure 2, polymers containing Ia are more stable than those containing Ib. This suggests that the polymer undergoes cleavage to relieve steric strain. The bulky $N_3P_3F_5$ residue will crowd its neighbors to a greater extent in the 3-position than in the 4- position, of the aryl unit thus making polymers produced from Ib more amenable to thermal depolymerization. The phosphazene ring-polymer chain interactions proposed here are consistent with the increased importance of the penultimate effect in copolymers of Ib (vide ante). The MMA-copolymers possess somewhat different thermal decomposition characteristics. The thermograms of these copolymers contain one weight loss step corresponding to the loss of about 80-90% of the material. The average onset temperature is 200°C, and there appears to be little or no difference in the thermal stability of the copolymers produced using Ia or Ib. Again, if the polymers fragment to relieve steric strain, this is not surprising. While the $N_3P_3F_5$ moiety will still cause greater crowding in the 3-position than in the 4-position, the flexibility of the carboxymethyl group of MMA should allow it to relieve the strain by pathways other than thermal scission.

Glass transition temperature (Tg) were determined by differential scanning calorimetry, and appear in Table V. For reference, Tg values for poly (STY) and poly (MMA) are 100° and 114° respectively. While there appears to be no corre-

lation between the percent phosphazene in the polymer and the Tg, factors such as significant molecular weight differences between copolymers and the possibility of regions of blocks of MMA or STY may be important in determining the relative Tg values in these series. There is a difference in Tg depending on whether Ia or Ib was involved in the synthesis of the copolymer.

Acknowledgement. This work was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research. We thank Dr. E. Wallace of the Polaroid corporation for providing a listing of the programs used in penultimate effect calculations and both Firestone Corp. and Shin Nisso Kako Co. for generous gifts of hexachlorocyclotriphosphazene.

References and Notes

(1) Part 21 Shaw, J.C.; Allen, C.W. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 4632.

(2) Allcock, H.R. Chem. Eng. News 1985, 63, 22.

(3) (a) Allcock, H.R.; Connolly, M.S. Macromolecules 1985, 18, 1330. (b) Allcock, H.R.; Lavin, K.D.; Riding, G. Macromolecules 1985, 18, 1340.

(4) (a) Allcock, H.R.; Chu, C.T.-W. Macromolecules 1979, 12, 551. (b) Allcock, H.R.; Evans, T.L.; Patterson, D.B. Macromolecules 1980, 13, 201.

(5) (a) Wisian-Neilson, P.; Neilson, R.H. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102 2848; Neilson, R.H.; Wisian-Neilson, P. J. Macromol. Sci. -Chem. 1981, A16, 425.

(6) (a) Allen, C.W. Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev. 1981, 20, 77. (b) Allen, C.W. J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Symp. 1983, No. 70, 79. (c) Allen, C.W. ACS Symp. Ser., 1988; No. 360, 290.

(7) Dupont, J.G.; Allen, C.W. Macromolecules 1979, 12, 169.

(8) Allen, C.W.; Bright, R.P. Macromolecules 1986, 19, 571.

(9) Allen, C.W.; Shaw, J.D. Phosphorus Sulfur 1987, 30, 97.

(10) Allen, C.W.; Bright, R.P.; Ramachandran, K. ACS Symp. Ser. 1981, No. 171, 321.

(11) (a) Allen, C.W. J. Organometal. Chem. 1977, 125, 215. (b) Allen, C.W.; Green, J.C. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 3093.

(12) Allen, C.W.; Bright, R.P. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 1291.

(13) Moeller, T.; John, K.; Tsang, F. Chem. Ind. (London) 1961, 347.

(14) (a) Tidwell, P.W.; Mortimer, G.A. J. Polym. Sci., Part A 1965, 3, 369. (b) Tidwell, P.W.; Mortimer, G.A. J. Macromol. Sci., Macromol. Chem. Rev., 1970, 4, 281.

(15) Pittman, C.U., Jr.; Rounsefell, T.D. J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed. 1973, 11, 621. Pittman, C.U., Jr.; Rounsefell, T.D. Comput. Chem. Instrum. 1977, 6, 145.

(16) The homopolymerization Ia was attempted with anionic (n-butyl litnium), cationic (titanium tetrachloride) and radical (AIBN; benzoyl peroxide; benzoin methyl ether, hv) initiators. In each case, no polymer was obtained and the monomer was recovered.

(17) Alfrey, T., Jr.; Young L.J. "Copolymerization", 2nd ed; Ham, G.E.; Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1971.

(18) Young, L.J. "Polymer Handbook", 2nd ed; Brandrup, J., Immergut, E.H., Eds.; Wiley: New York, 1975; Chapter II.

(19) Odian, G. "Principles of Polymerization", 2nd ed; Wiley: New York, 1981; p. 478.

Table I.

Composition and Conversion Data for (α-Methylethenylphenyl)pentafluoro-cyclotriphosphazene Copolymers Used for Reactivity Ratio Calculations.

Comonomers		Mole % M_1	Mole %M_1	% Conversion
M_1	M_2	feed	polymer	
Ia	STY	16.78	24.27	37.69
		15.61	23.84	47.08
		74.07	61.63	7.86
		71.86	58.90	8.55
		20.84	29.29	.07
		78.08	64.19	1.39
		76.63	61.63	2.77
		73.02	57.65	6.74
		27.06	31.91	9.61
		22.90	32.82	12.23
		23.37	32.36	13.82
		23.83	31.53	12.54
		34.43	43.39	23.94
		12.42	21.02	30.61
		12.12	15.03	32.08
Ib	STY	9.3	11.2	
		19.68	21.41	80.89
		29.09	30.21	68.02
		38.97	39.01	52.78
		48.41	45.13	49.70

Table I. cont.

		58.87	53.98	18.60
		68.33	58.21	12.68
		26.97	32.67	20.73
		23.03	2[illegible].65	19.10
		82.66	67.21	2.97
		81.96	66.72	3.03
Ia	MMA	14.61	24.94	5.49
		19.09	31.96	4.09
		20.1	36.6	
		24.64	43.03	3.76
		29.47	44.26	2.97
		10.22	17.99	11.42
		14.99	25.69	8.97
		20.41	32.50	7.77
		24.21	39.81	6.34
Ib	MMA	14.41	22.14	22.78
		18.42	27.32	11.70
		25.19	35.73	16.94
		30.61	41.83	12.55
		10.32	14.23	30.29
		14.76	21.24	20.17
		19.80	28.75	14.75
		25.62	36.75	13.89

Table II

Selected Composition and Molecular Weight Data for

(α-Methylethenylphenyl)pentafluorocyclotriphosphazene Copolymers.[a,b,c]

Comonomers		Mole % M_1	Mole % M_1[d]		
M_1	M_2	feed	polymer	$\bar{M}_n \times 10^{-3}$	$\bar{M}_w \times 10^{-3}$
Ia	STY	34.4	43.4	19.0	32.3
		16.8	24.3	39.6	69.2
		16.8	24.3	113.0[e]	
		12.4	21.0	13.9	22.1
Ib	STY	58.9	54.0	6.5	7.9
		39.0	39.0	11.7	16.4
		29.1	30.2	13.1	22.6
		9.3[f]	11.2	75.3	254.0
		9.3[f]	11.2	100.0[e]	
Ia	MMA	24.6	43.0	8.3	10.8
		20.1	36.6	12.9	36.2
		14.6	24.9	14.5	57.4
Ib	MMA	25.2	35.7	14.8	20.7
		14.8	21.2	22.7	35.0
		10.3	14.2	24.5	51.0

[a]Molecular weights determined by GPC except where indicated. [b]Polymerization by Method A except where noted. [c]Composition conversion data for all copolymerization reactions may be found in Table S.I. [d]As determined by weight percent nitrogen. [e]Membrane Osmometry. [f]Polymerization by Method B.

Table III

Reactivity Data for Styrene - (α-Methylethenylphenyl)-pentafluorocyclotriphosphazene Copolymers

	r_1[a]	r_2[b]	$Q(M_2)$	$e(M_2)$
Ia	0.41	0.28	0.72	0.72
Ib	0.58	0.28	0.63	0.58

[a] M_1 = styrene [b] M_2 = $N_3P_3F_5C_6H_4C(CH_3)=CH_2$

Table IV

Penultimate Reactivity Parameters for

(α-Methylethenylphenyl)pentafluorocyclotriphosphazene Copolymers.[a]

Comonomers	r_1	r_1'	r_2	r_2'	multiple correlation coefficient
MMA-Ia	0.70	0.23	0.38	2.90	0.976
MMA-Ib	1.23	0.32	0.0[b]	39.50	0.994
STY-Ia	0.63	0.18	0.63	0.095	0.992
STY-Ib	0.58	0.87	0.15	0.88	0.997

[a] M_1 = MMA or STY; M_2 = Ia or Ib

[b] Assumed to be zero from calculated value of -0.037

Table V

Thermal Analysis Data for (α-Methylethenylphenyl)-pentafluorocyclotriphosphazene Copolymers.

Comonomers	Mole % M_1[a] In Polymer	T_{onset}	% Wt. Loss (1st Step)	$T_{50\%}$	T_g
Ia-STY	43.39	286	36	392	112
	31.91	295	33	410	93
	24.27	310	22	421	93
	15.03	305	40	408	100
Ib-STY	58.21	315	27	339	79
	45.13	316	46	382	90
	30.21	297	37	395	88
	21.41	295	24	401	86
Ia-MMA	39.81	203	89	347	151
	32.50	199	85	349	146
	25.65	187	89	357	133
	17.99	198	88	356	131
Ib-MMA	41.83	192	83	357	97
	36.75	198	80	300	114
	28.75	194	86	359	109
	14.23	211	87	360	115

[a] M_1 = Ia or Ib

Figure 1. Calculated 95% Joint Confidence Limit Curves for Methylmethacrylate-(α-Methylethenylphenyl)-pentafluorocyclotriphsophazene Reactivity Ratios. AMS=Ia or Ib; (Δ) Ia-MMA; (+) Ib-MMA.

Figure 2. Typical TGA scans for styrene - (α-Methylethenylphenyl)penta-fluorocyclotriphosphazene Copolymers. (- - -) Ia-STY; (———) Ib-STY.


0.8
0.7
0.6
0.5
0.4
0.3
r1-MMA
1
1.5
2
2.5
3
3.5
4
4.5
5
r2-AMS
+
Δ


100.00
50.00
0.00
% WEIGHT
60.00
130.00
200.00
270.00
340.00
410.00
480.00
550.00
620.00
690.00
TEMPERATURE (C)

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	No. Copies
Office of Naval Research Attn: Code 1113 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217-5000	2
Dr. Bernard Douda Naval Weapons Support Center Code 50C Crane, Indiana 47522-5050	1
Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko, Code L52 Port Hueneme, California 93401	1
Defense Technical Information Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12 high quality
DTNSRDC Attn: Dr. H. Singerman Applied Chemistry Division Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1
Dr. William Tolles Superintendent Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375-5000	1
Dr. David Young Code 334 NORDA NSTL, Mississippi 39529	1
Naval Weapons Center Attn: Dr. Ron Atkins Chemistry Division China Lake, California 93555	1
Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps Code RD-1 Washington, D.C. 20380	1
U.S. Army Research Office Attn: CRD-AA-IP P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709	1
Mr. John Boyle Materials Branch Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112	1
Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto Marine Sciences Division San Diego, California 91232	1

ABSTRACTS DISTRIBUTION LIST, 356B

Professor A. G. MacDiarmid
Department of Chemistry
University of Pennsylvania
Philadelphia, Pensylvania 19174

Dr. E. Fischer, Code 2853
Naval Ship Research and
Development Center
Annapolis, Maryland 21402

Professor H. Allcock
Department of Chemistry
Pennsylvania State University
University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

Professor R. Lenz
Department of Chemistry
University of Massachusetts
Amherst, Massachusetts 01002

Professor A. Epstein
Department of Physics
Ohio State University
Columbus, Ohio 43212

Dr. J. Griffith
Naval Research Laboratory
Chemistry Section, Code 6120
Washington, D.C. 20375-5000

Professor G. Wnek
Department of Materials Science
and Engineering
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Dr. Samson Jenekhe
Honeywell Corporate Technology Center
10701 Lyndale Avenue South
Bloomington, Minnesota 55420

Professor William R. Krigbaum
Department of Chemistry
Duke University
Durham, North Carolina 27706

Ivan Caplan
UTNSRDC
Code 0125
Annapolis, MD 21401

Dr. Richard M. Laine
SRI International
333 Ravenswood Avenue
Menlo Park, California 94025

Dr. L. Buckley
Naval Air Development Center
Code 6063
Warminster, Pennsylvania 18974

Dr. James McGrath
Department of Chemistry
Virginia Polytechnic Institute
Blacksburg, Virginia 24061

Dr. Geoffrey Lindsay
Chemistry Division
Naval Weapons Center
China Lake, California 93555

Professor C. Allen
Department of Chemistry
University of Vermont
Burlington, Vermont 05401

Dr. William Tolles
Code 6100
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D.C. 20375-5000

Professor T. Katz
Department of Chemistry
Columbia University
New York, New York 10027

Professor J. Salamone
Department of Chemistry
University of Lowell
Lowell, Massachusetts 01854

Dr. B. Gordon III
Department of Materials Science
Pennsylvania State University
University Park, PA 16802

Dr. R. Miller
Almaden Research Center
650 Harry Road
San Jose, CA 95120-6099

ABSTRACTS DISTRIBUTION LIST, 356B

Professor T. Marks
Department of Chemistry
Northwestern University
Evanston, Illinois 60201

Dr. Kurt Baum
Fluorochem, Inc.
680 S. Ayon Avenue
Azuza, California 91702

Professor Stephen Wellinghoff
Division of Chemistry and
Chemical Engineering
Southwest Research Institute
6220 Culebra Road
Postal Drawer 283510
San Antonio, Texas 78284

Dr. William Bailey
Department of Chemistry
University of Maryland
College Park, Maryland 20742

Dr. J.C.H. Chien
Department of Polymer Science and
Engineering
University of Massachusetts
Amherst, MA 01003

Professor G. Whitesides
Department of Chemistry
Harvard University
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

Dr. K. Paciorek
Ultrasystems, Inc.
P.O. Box 19605
Irvine, California 92715

Dr. Ronald Archer
Department of Chemistry
University of Massachusetts
Amherst, Massachusetts 01002

Professor D. Seyferth
Department of Chemistry
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Professor J. Moore
Department of Chemistry
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Troy, New York 12181

Dr. V. Percec
Department of Macromolecular
Science
Case Western Reserve University
Cleveland, Ohio 44106

Dr. Gregory Girolami
Department of Chemistry
University of Illinois
Urbana-Champagne, IL 61801

Dr. Ted Walton
Chemistry Division
Code 6120
Naval Research Lab
Washinston D.C. 20375-5000

Dr. Thomas J. McCarthy
Polymer Science and
Engineering Department
University of Massachusetts
Amherst, MA 01003

Professor A. Heeger
Department of Physics
University of California
Santa Barbara, California 93106

Dr. Fred Wudl
Department of Chemistry
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

END

DATE

FILMED

6-1988

DTIC