CORRELATIONS BETWEEN WETTABILITY AND STRUCTURE IN
MONOLAYERS OF ALKANETHIOLS ADSORBED ON GOLD(U) HARVARD
UNIV CAMBRIDGE MASS DEPT OF CHEMISTRY C D BAIN ET AL.

1/1

MAY 88 TR-7 N00014-85-K-0898   F/G 7/4   NL

AD-A194 348

CORRELATIONS BETWEEN WETTABILITY AND STRUCTURE
IN MONOLAYERS OF ALKANETHIOLS ADSORBED ON GOLD

Colin D. Bain and George M. Whitesides*
Department of Chemistry
Harvard University
Cambridge MA   02138

Technical Report No. 7 (May 1988)

Interim Technical Report

(Accepted for publication in J. Am. Chem. Soc.)

DTIC
ELECTE
MAY 2 5 1988
S   D
    H

The views and conclusions in this document are those of the authors and should
not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either
expressed or implied, of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency or the
U.S. Government.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	1b RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS
Unclassified	

2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY	3 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT
2b DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)
Technical Report #7	

6a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	6b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION
Harvard University		Office of Naval Research

6c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	7b ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)
Office of Sponsored Research Holyoke Center, Fourth Floor Cambridge MA 02138-4993	Code 11130P 800 North Quincy Street Arlington VA 22217-5000

8a NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER
ONR/DARPA		

8c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS			
	PROGRAM ELEMENT NO	PROJECT NO	TASK NO	WORK UNIT ACCESSION
800 North Quincy Street Arlington VA 22217-5000	85-K-0898	NR 356-856		

11 TITLE (Include Security Classification)
"Correlations between Wettability and Structure in Monolayers of Alkanethiols Adsorbed on Gold"

12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)
Colin D. Bain and George M. Whitesides

13a TYPE OF REPORT	13b TIME COVERED	14 DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)	15 PAGE COUNT
Interim	FROM ___ TO ___	May 1988	10

16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION

17	COSATI CODES		18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	monolayer wettability self-assembly XPS
			gold ellipsometry films

19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)

Long-chain aklanethiols adsorb onto gold from solution and form monolayers. Coadsorption of $HS(CH_2)_{11}OH$ and $HS(CH_2)_{21}CH_3$ from ethanolic solutions of varying composition generates monolayers, the wettability of which is correlated closely with the composition of the monolayer. Adsorption of the longer chain thiol is preferred over the short chain, and formation of monolayers comprising predominantly one component is favored over mixed monolayers containing both component thiols.

20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT	21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
☒ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT ☐ DTIC USERS	

22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL	22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)	22c OFFICE SYMBOL
Kenneth J. Wynne		

DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR   83 APR edition may be used until exhausted   SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE
All other editions are obsolete

Correlations between Wettability and Structure in Monolayers of

Alkanethiols Adsorbed on Gold[1]

Colin D. Bain[2] and George M. Whitesides*

Department of Chemistry,

Harvard University,

Cambridge, MA 02138

Abstract

Long-chain alkanethiols adsorb onto gold from solution and form monolayers. Coadsorption of $HS(CH_2)_{11}OH$ and $HS(CH_2)_{21}CH_3$ from ethanolic solutions of varying composition generates monolayers, the wettability of which is correlated closely with the composition of the monolayer. Adsorption of the longer-chain thiol is preferred over the short chain, and formation of monolayers comprising predominantly one component is favored over mixed monolayers containing both component thiols.

Long-chain thiols ($HS(CH_2)_nX$) adsorb from solution onto gold surfaces and form well-packed, ordered, oriented monolayers.[3,4] The sulfur coordinates strongly to the gold, the polymethylene chains are all-trans and tilted ~20–30° from the normal to the surface,[4,5] and the tail group, X, is the predominant group exposed at the monolayer/liquid or monolayer/air interface.[6] Coadsorption of two or more thiols differing in tail group or chain length provides a flexible system for varying the chemistry and structure of the surface in a controlled and pre-determined way.[7] In this paper we use monolayers comprising a mixture of $HS(CH_2)_{11}OH$ (represented as $HSC_{10}CH_2OH$ to emphasize the two important variables: chain length and tail group) and $HS(CH_2)_{21}CH_3$ ($HSC_{21}CH_3$) on gold to demonstrate a relationship between the microscopic structure of the surface and the wettability of the monolayer (Figure 1). This work is part of a program of physical-organic chemistry designed to relate atomic-level structure of a surface to macroscopic physical properties such as wetting and adhesion.[8]

Monolayers were formed by immersing gold-mirror substrates (prepared by evaporation of gold onto chromium-primed, polished silicon wafers) in solutions of the thiols in degassed ethanol for 12 hours[9] at room temperature.[6] The composition of the monolayer was controlled by varying the ratio $R = [HSC_{10}CH_2OH]/[HSC_{21}CH_3]$ in *solution*, with the total concentration of thiols held constant at 1 mM. We used two independent techniques, optical ellipsometry and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), to measure the composition of the monolayer. Since the two thiols differ in chain length, the relative thickness obtained from ellipsometry and from the peak area of gold[10] in XPS reflects this composition. Similarly, the difference in tail groups allows us to calculate the surface concentration of $HSC_{10}CH_2OH$ from the peak area of oxygen. The advancing contact angles (θ_a) of water and hexadecane (HD) provide useful measures of wettability. Since the pure methyl-terminated monolayer is hydrophobic ($\theta_a(H_2O) = 114°$, $\theta_a(HD) = 48°$), and the alcohol-terminated monolayer is hydrophilic ($\theta_a(H_2O) = \theta_a(HD) \approx 0°$),

contact angles are very sensitive to the composition of the monolayer and the structure of the surface.

Figure 2 plots the ellipsometric thickness, contact angles of water and hexadecane, and XPS peak areas of gold and oxygen against R.[11] Here we note two salient features of these graphs: a detailed discussion will be deferred to a subsequent paper. First, ellipsometry and XPS peak areas indicate a dramatic change in the composition of the monolayer over a narrow range of solution composition, R = 7 - 20. This change in the composition of the monolayer is closely correlated with a sharp increase in the hydrophilicity and oleophilicity of the surface as measured by the advancing contact angles of water and hexadecane, respectively.[12] Thus the structure of the surface on a microscopic scale is clearly and directly linked to the wettability of the monolayer, an important macroscopic quantity. Second, the inflection in the curves occurs, not at R = 1, but at R $\approx$ 11.[13,14] This difference between solution and surface compositions is a general feature of competitive adsorption experiments.[15] In this experiment, Van der Waals forces between close-packed hydrocarbon chains favour adsorption of the longer-chain thiol.

We attempted to model the composition of the monolayer by a simple equilibrium expression (Eq. 1) between the solution and the surface (dotted curve in Figure 2, upper graph)

$$HSC_{10}CH_2OH_{surface} + HSC_{21}CH_{3solution} \rightleftharpoons HSC_{10}CH_2OH_{solution} + HSC_{21}CH_{3surface} \quad (1)$$

where the equilibrium constant K_{eq} = 11, *independent* of solution concentration. Clearly the observed data do not follow this simple expression: the two components of the monolayer do not act independently, and may act cooperatively to minimize the free energy. Monolayers composed predominantly of the long-chain methyl-terminated thiol $HSC_{21}CH_3$ (maximizing the chain-packing interactions) or the short-chain hydroxy-terminated thiol $HSC_{10}CH_2OH$ (maximizing H-bonding both with the solvent and within the monolayer)

are preferred over monolayers containing a mixture of the thiols.[16] The data do not, however, take the form of step functions in R, which would be expected thermodynamically if the formation of macroscopic islands were favored.[17] Over a narrow range of R it is possible to form intermediate monolayers containing both thiols; the exact structure of these monolayers is not clear, but the data are consistent with a model in which the two components segregate into small clusters on the surface. These monolayers provide a model system for studying the wettability of complex structures, and for examining how polar groups interact to minimize their energy in a non-polar environment.[18]

Mixed monolayers of thiols on gold allow us to engineer ordered, two-dimensional systems with Å--level control over thickness and structure, and with chemical control over wettability. Synthetic variation of the tail groups and chain lengths provides great flexibility in the design of the interfacial structures and gives these systems wide applicability in the physical, biological and medical sciences.

References and Notes

[1] Supported in part by the Office of Naval Research and the Defense Advanced Projects Research Agency. XPS spectra were obtained using facilities obtained through the DARPA/URI and maintained in the Harvard University Materials Research Laboratory.

[2] IBM Pre-Doctoral Fellow in Physical Chemistry 1985-86.

[3] Nuzzo, R. G.; Allara D. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 4481-4483; Strong, L.; Whitesides, G. M. *Langmuir* in press.

[4] Porter, M. D.; Bright, T. B.; Allara, D. L.; Chidsey, C. E. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 3559-3568.

[5] Nuzzo, R. G.; Dubois, L. H.; Allara, D. L. unpublished results.

[6] Bain, C. D.; Troughton, E. B.; Tao, Y.-T.; Evall, J.; Whitesides, G. M.; Nuzzo, R. G. manuscript in preparation.

[7] Bain, C. D.; Whitesides, G. M. *Science in press.*

[8] Troughton, E. B.; Bain, C. D.; Whitesides, G. M.; Nuzzo, R. G.; Allara, D. L.; Porter, M. D. *Langmuir* **1988**, *4*, 000-000; Holmes-Farley, S. R.; Reamey, R. H.; McCarthy, T. J.; Deutch, J.; Whitesides, G. M. *Langmuir* **1985**, *1*, 725-40; Holmes-Farley, S. R.; Whitesides, G. M. *Langmuir* **1986**, *2*, 266-281; Holmes-Farley, S. R.; Whitesides, G. M. *Langmuir* **1987**, *3*, 62-75; Holmes-Farley, S. R.; Reamey, R. H.; Nuzzo, R. G.; McCarthy, T. J.; Whitesides, G. M. *Langmuir* **1987**, *3*, 799-815; Holmes-Farley, S. R.; Bain, C. D.; Whitesides, G. M. *Langmuir* in press.

[9] For pure thiols, initial monolayer formation is very rapid (~few seconds) with limiting properties reached after a few hours.

[10] The intensity of the photoelectron peak decreases exponentially with the thickness of the monolayer due to inelastic scattering of the photoelectrons (Briggs, D.; Seah, M. P *Practical Surface Analysis*; Wiley: Chichester, 1983).

[11] We prefer logR to the mole fraction, χ, as the abscissa because kTlogR is related to the Gibbs free energy and hence this choice of axis highlights thermodynamic contributions to the adsorption process.

[12] Hexadecane and water interact predominantly by dispersion and polar forces, respectively. The detailed inferences from the contact angle data and comparisons with other monolayer systems will be discussed in a subsequent paper.

[13] The ellipsometric thickness yields a value of $R(\chi_{1/2}) = 11$ where $\chi_{1/2}$ = 1:1 ratio of the two thiols in the monolayer. XPS peak areas yield $R(\chi_{1/2})$ = 10–12 depending on the model used to analyze the data.

[14] The monolayer system had not quite reached equilibrium when these measurements were made. Over a period of two weeks $R(\chi_{1/2})$ slowly increased to R ≈ 14.

[15] Bain, C. D.; Whitesides, G. M. unpublished results.

[16] Despite being enthalpically disfavored, some $HSC_{21}CH_3$ is incorporated into the $HSC_{10}CH_2OH$ monolayer even at large R (and vice versa) due to the favorable entropy of mixing.

[17] We believe that adsorption of thiols onto gold is controlled to a large extent by thermodynamics, although kinetics also play a role, as evinced by the slow change in the monolayer composition with time after the initial, rapid adsorption.

[18] Israelachvili, J. N. *Intermolecular and Surface Forces*; Academic: New York, 1985.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Schematic illustrations of monolayer structures: pure $HS(CH_2)_{11}OH$ (A); pure $HS(CH_2)_{21}CH_3$ (B); monolayer containing a mixture of the two thiols (C).

Figure 2. Monolayers formed by the adsorption of mixtures of $HS(CH_2)_{11}OH$ and $HS(CH_2)_{21}CH_3$ onto gold from solution. The abscissa represents the ratio, $R = [HS(CH_2)_{11}OH]/[HS(CH_2)_{21}CH_3]$ in *solution*. Squares and circles represent data from two separate experiments. Upper Figure: ellipsometric thickness. The solid curve is a fit to the data. The dotted curve represents the theoretical thicknesses for $K_{eq} = 11$ (see text for definition). Middle Figure: advancing contact angles of water (open symbols) and hexadecane (HD) (solid symbols) obtained by the sessile drop technique. Lower Figure: areas of the Au $4f^7/_2$ (open symbols) and O 1s peaks (solid symbols) obtained by XPS. The vertical scale is arbitrary. Data were collected on a SSX-100 X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (Surface Science Instruments) with a monochromatized Al $K\alpha$ source, 100 eV pass energy, and 1–mm X-ray spot. The peaks were fitted using a symmetrical 90% Gaussian/ 10% Lorentzian profile.

Key

CH_3—$(CH_2)_{21}$—SH

OH—$(CH_2)_{11}$—SH

$R = [HSC_{10}CH_2OH] / [HSC_{21}CH_3]$

CONTRACT DATA REQUIREMENTS LIST
INSTRUCTIONS FOR DISTRIBUTION
ARPA/CNR

MINIMUM DISTRIBUTION OF TECHNICAL REPORTS

ADDRESSEE	DODAAD CODE	NUMBER OF COPIES UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED	UNCLASSIFIED/LIMITED AND CLASSIFIED
Director, Advanced Research Projects Agency 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22209 ATTN: Program Management	HX1241	2	2
Scientific Officer	N00014	3	3
Administrative Contracting Officer	N66016	1	1
Director, Naval Research Laboratory, ATTN: Code 2627 Washington, D. C. 20375	N00173	6	1
Defense Technical Information Center Bldg. 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	S47031	12	2

One (1) copy of each technical report resulting from work performed in the area
of tactical technology shall be sent to:

	DODAAD CODE
TACTEC Battelle Memorial Institute 505 King Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43201	79966

MINIMUM DISTRIBUTION OF REPORTS WHICH ARE NOT TECHNICAL REPORTS

ADDRESSEE	DODAAD CODE	NUMBER OF COPIES UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED	UNCLASSIFIED/LIMITED AND CLASSIFIED
Director, Advanced Research Projects Agency 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22209 ATTN: Program Management	HX1241	2	2
Scientific Officer	N00014	3	3
Administrative Contracting Officer	N66016	1	1

If the Scientific Officer directs, the Contractor shall make additional distribution
of technical reports and such other reports as may be specified by the Scientific
Officer in accordance with a supplemental distribution list provided by the Scientific
Officer.

ABSTRACTS DISTRIBUTION LIST, 356B

Professor T. Marks
Department of Chemistry
Northwestern University
Evanston, Illinois 60201

Dr. Kurt Baum
Fluorochem, Inc.
680 S. Ayon Avenue
Azuza, California 91702

Dr. Ulrich W. Suter
Department of Chemical and Engineering
Massachusetts Institute of Technologies
Room E19-628
Cambridge, MA 02139-4309

Dr. William Bailey
Department of Chemistry
University of Maryland
College Park, Maryland 20742

Dr. J.C.H. Chien
Department of Polymer Science and
    Engineering
University of Massachusetts
Amherst, MA 01003

Professor G. Whitesides
Department of Chemistry
Harvard University
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

Dr. K. Paciorek
Ultrasystems, Inc.
P.O. Box 19605
Irvine, California 92715

Dr. Ronald Archer
Department of Chemistry
University of Massachusetts
Amherst, Massachusetts 01002

Professor D. Seyferth
Department of Chemistry
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Professor J. Moore
Department of Chemistry
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Troy, New York 12181

Dr. V. Percec
Department of Macromolecular
Science
Case Western Reserve University
Cleveland, Ohio 44106

Dr. Gregory Girolami
Department of Chemistry
University of Illinois
Urbana-Champagne, IL 61801

Dr. Ted Walton
Chemistry Division
Code 6120
Naval Research Lab
Washington D.C. 20375-5000

Professor Warren T. Ford
Department of Chemistry
Oklahoma State University
Stillwater, OK 74078

Professor H. K. Hall, Jr.
Department of Chemistry
The University Arizona
Tucson, Arizona 85721

Dr. Fred Wudl
Department of Chemistry
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Professor Kris Matjaszewski
Department of Chemistry
Carnegie-Mellon University
4400 Fifth Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Professor Richard Schrock
Department of Chemistry
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, MA 02139

ABSTRACTS DISTRIBUTION LIST, 356B

Professor A. G. MacDiarmid
Department of Chemistry
University of Pennsylvania
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19174

Dr. Richard M. Laine
SRI International
333 Ravenswood Avenue
Menlo Park, California 94025

Dr. E. Fischer, Code 2853
Naval Ship Research and
  Development Center
Annapolis, Maryland 21402

Dr. L. Buckley
Naval Air Development Center
Code 6063
Warminster, Pennsylvania 18974

Professor H. Allcock
Department of Chemistry
Pennsylvania State University
University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

Dr. James McGrath
Department of Chemistry
Virginia Polytechnic Institute
Blacksburg, Virginia 24061

Professor R. Lenz
Department of Chemistry
University of Massachusetts
Amherst, Massachusetts 01002

Dr. Geoffrey Lindsay
Chemistry Division
Naval Weapons Center
China Lake, California 93555

Professor G. Wnek
Department of Chemistry
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Troy, NY 12181

Professor J. Salamone
Department of Chemistry
University of Lowell
Lowell, Massachusetts 01854

Professor C. Allen
Department of Chemistry
University of Vermont
Burlington, Vermont 05401

Dr. J. Griffith
Naval Research Laboratory
Chemistry Section, Code 6120
Washington, D. C. 20375-5000

Dr. Ivan Caplan
DTNSRDC
Code 0125
Annapolis, MD 21401

Professor T. Katz
Department of Chemistry
Columbia University
New York, New York 10027

Dr. R. Miller
Almaden Research Center
650 Harry Road K91B801
San Jose, CA 95120

Dr. Christopher K. Ober
Department of Materials Science
  and Engineering
Cornell University
Ithaca, New York 14853-1501

Dr. William B. Moniz
Chemistry Division
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D.C. 20375-5000

END

DATED

FILM

8—88

DTIC