

ADA195373

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Public Release; Unlimited Distribution.		
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE					
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Operations Research and Economic Analysis Office		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) DLA-LO	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Defense Logistics Agency (DLA-L)		
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6100			7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6100		
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) DLA-L	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6100			10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. PROJECT NO. TASK NO. WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.		
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) (U) DLA Industrial Preparedness Program (IPP) Item Selection Indicator					
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Kurt F. Schwarz					
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Final		13b. TIME COVERED FROM Sep 86 TO Dec 87	14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) December 1987		15. PAGE COUNT 60
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Industrial Preparedness, Mobilization		
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) The Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) Directorate of Contracting requested DLA's Operations Research and Economic Analysis Office (DLA-LO) to formulate a management indicator which can provide visibility of the ability of the production base to meet surge and mobilization production needs. To this end, DLA-LO has developed, with the support of DLA's production readiness experts, a prototype indicator which may be used to aid in the selection of items for planning as part of the Industrial Preparedness Program (IPP). This report documents this indicator development effort. (continued)					
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☒ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT. ☐ DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Col Eugene Round, USAF			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (202) 274-6715		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL DLA-LO

19. (con't)

- > A prototype planning indicator has been developed which is based on the criticality of an item to its application and the uncertainty of availability for an item. Results from a test using the prototype indicator to evaluate the Construction, Electronics, General and Industrial commodities are presented. The prototype indicator shows much promise for identifying items which should be planned to ensure their availability during mobilization.

It is recommended in this study that development of the planning indicator be continued, to provide DLA's Supply Centers with a better methodology for the selection of items for participation in the IPP planning process and provide visibility of the responsiveness of the industrial base to meet emergency demands.



Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

DLA INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS PROGRAM (IPP)

ITEM SELECTION INDICATOR

DECEMBER 1987

Kurt F. Schwarz
Operations Research and Economic Analysis Office
Headquarters, Defense Logistics Agency
Cameron Station, Alexandria, Virginia 22304-6100



DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
HEADQUARTERS
CAMERON STATION
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22304-6100


DLA-LO
4 April 1988

FOREWORD

The Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) Directorate of Contracting requested DLA's Operations Research and Economic Analysis Office (DLA-LO) to formulate a management indicator which can provide visibility of the ability of the production base to meet surge and mobilization production needs. To this end, DLA-LO has developed, with the support of DLA's production readiness experts, a prototype indicator which may be used to aid in the selection of items for planning as part of the Industrial Preparedness Program (IPP). This report documents this indicator development effort.

A prototype planning indicator has been developed which is based on the criticality of an item to its application and the uncertainty of availability for an item. Results from a test using the prototype indicator to evaluate the Construction, Electronics, General and Industrial commodities are presented. The prototype indicator shows much promise for identifying items which should be planned to ensure their availability during mobilization.

It is recommended in this study that development of the planning indicator be continued, to provide DLA's Supply Centers with a better methodology for the selection of items for participation in the IPP planning process and provide visibility of the responsiveness of the industrial base to meet emergency demands.


ROGER E. ROY
Assistant Director
Policy and Plans

CONTENTS

<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
Foreword	iii
Table of Contents.....	v
List of Tables.....	vii
Executive Summary.....	ix
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Methodology.....	1
III. Analysis.....	2
A. Selection of Ranking Criteria.....	2
B. Indicator Prototyping.....	2
C. Items Examined.....	3
D. Indicator Computation.....	4
E. Indicator Adjustments.....	6
IV. Findings.....	6
V. Conclusions.....	8
VI. Recommendations.....	9
Appendix A. Database Development	A-1
Appendix B. Indicator Computation Program.....	B-1
Appendix C. Example of Indicator Computation.....	C-1
Appendix D. Construction Commodity Indicator Results.....	D-1
Appendix E. Electronics Commodity Indicator Results.....	E-1
Appendix F. General Commodity Indicator Results.....	F-1
Appendix G. Industrial Commodity Indicator Results.....	G-1

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Number</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1	Indicator Database Breakdown.....	4
2	Sample Prototype Indicator Output Listing.....	7

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) performs industrial base mobilization planning as part of its Industrial Preparedness Program (IPP) to increase the likelihood that required materiel resources can be obtained from the industrial base during mobilization. DLA is currently limited to planning only a small percentage of the Services' War Reserve items because of planning resource limitations. Therefore, DLA must focus its planning efforts on those items which are critical to the mobilization effort and which planning can substantially reduce the uncertainty of their availability.

A multi-attribute indicator of the desirability of planning any item has been developed by the DLA Operations Research and Economic Analysis Office with the support of DLA's production readiness experts. The prototype indicator provides an overall relative "goodness" measure by considering six distinct item characteristics: application to the Commanders-in-Chief Critical Items List (CINC CIL) weapons systems, essentiality code, lead time, mobilization demand ratio, age of the item and mobilization demand value. These characteristics were selected because they represent the criticality of an item and its uncertainty of availability.

During the development of the indicator database, we found that there was minimal overlap between the War Reserve requirements submitted by the Services and the items which DLA manages which support the CINC CIL. There may be valid reasons for this disparity, but this issue should be investigated further to ensure that there is no major flaw in our planning process.

The planning indicator has been prototyped for the Construction, Electronics, General, and Industrial commodities to produce a rank-ordered list of candidate items for planning for each Center. Many of these candidate items would be excluded from planning consideration using current item selection criteria because the current approach does not consider that combinations of item characteristics may warrant planning. The prototype planning indicator appears to be a useful tool for aiding in the selection of items for industrial base planning.

We recommend the following:

- o The apparent disparity between CINC CIL supporting items and Service War Reserve Requirements be investigated and resolved.
- o The prototype indicator be modified to address the peculiarities of the Medical and Clothing & Textile commodities.
- o The planning indicator be implemented at DLA's Supply Centers to provide an improved methodology for the selection of items for IPP planning.

I. INTRODUCTION

A major mission of the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) is the management of several million consumable items which may be common to two or more of the Military Services. To ensure that items critical to national defense are available during periods of conflict, DLA uses its Industrial Preparedness Program (IPP) planning effort to develop mobilization plans with selected contractors for the production of critical materiel. Each year, the Services submit mobilization requirements for several hundred thousand items as part of their War Reserve program, however, based on limited resources only a small percentage is actually planned with industry. For this reason, it is critical that DLA focus its planning resources on those items with the greatest need.

IPP item planning consists of contractors developing time phased estimates of their mobilization production capabilities for selected items. IPP planning provides visibility of industry's expected mobilization production support and provides advance warning of possible mobilization requirements to selected contractors. This paper describes the process of developing an indicator for IPP item selection and the results from prototype testing.

II. METHODOLOGY

The DLA Operations Research and Economic Analysis Office has developed for DLA's Directorate of Contracting an indicator for identifying those items for which planning would be of greatest benefit. The indicator is based on a multi-attribute decision making technique known as TOPSIS (Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution), which was developed by Yoon and Hwang at Kansas State University in 1980. (A similar approach is being utilized by the Joint Chiefs of Staff to prioritize weapon systems.) In this application of the TOPSIS methodology, item characteristics (attributes) have been chosen which represent either the criticality or the availability of an item. Items which are highly critical or have considerable uncertainty about their availability are the most logical candidates for planning given limited planning resources. These items will be further from the ideal combination of item characteristics and will therefore have a lower measure of relative closeness to the ideal solution using TOPSIS.

TOPSIS is a fairly simple technique to evaluate alternatives under a number of distinct criteria (attributes). It is relatively easy to rank various alternatives when desirability is measured in terms of a single characteristic. In general, at one end of the attribute value range is the most desirable value (ideal solution), and at the other end of the value range is the least desirable value (negative-ideal solution). Within this attribute value range, alternatives having attribute values closer to the ideal solution are better, while alternatives having values closer to the negative-ideal solution are worse. When additional characteristics are introduced against which alternative "goodness" is measured the issue becomes more complex. Multi-attribute decision making techniques have been developed for the purpose of ranking alternatives given multiple measurement criteria. TOPSIS is one such multi-attribute technique which allows the evaluation of alternatives when there are multiple attributes to be considered by providing a measure of the relative closeness of an alternative to the most desirable combination of

attributes. The details of this particular application of the TOPSIS methodology are described in the following sections.

III. ANALYSIS

A. Selection of Ranking Criteria. The motivation for planning items is primarily due to two factors: (1) the importance of particular items, and (2) the uncertainty of availability of items. Therefore, attributes which describe these item characteristics were chosen for inclusion in the planning indicator. A group of production readiness experts from DLA's Supply Centers was organized to identify these attributes. This group identified twelve attributes as being indicative of item criticality and availability during mobilization. These attributes are:

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| o Critical Items List Application | o Age of Item |
| o Essentiality Code | o Demand to Production Lag |
| o Lead Time | o Problem Industry |
| o Mobilization Demand Ratio | o Number of Suppliers |
| o Size of Shortage | o Commercial Availability |
| o Get Well Date | o Item Application |

B. Indicator Prototyping. As a test of the indicator methodology, the model was to be prototyped using attributes which were readily available in automated databases. Since not all of the attributes chosen by the functional experts were available, only those available in an automated form were used. One additional attribute was introduced to bring the ranking criteria to six in number. The attributes (and their respective weights) selected for the prototyping of the indicator methodology are:

1. CRITICAL ITEMS LIST APPLICATION - (37.5). This was chosen as the most important attribute because of the emphasis on providing support to weapons on the Commanders-in-Chief Critical Items List (CINC CIL). The CINC CIL is a list of weapon systems which the various Commanders-in-Chief have designated as being critical to their war fighting capabilities. This attribute has "yes" and "no" as possible values. An item which has application to a CINC CIL weapon system is given the value "yes".

2. ESSENTIALITY CODE - (34.4)

The essentiality code represents the importance of an item to a weapon system. The valid essentiality codes and their definitions are:

- a. 1 - Failure to this part will render end item inoperable
- b. 3 - Failure to this part will not render end item inoperable

- c. 5- Item does not qualify for code 1 but is needed for personnel safety
- d. 6- Item does not qualify for code 1 but is needed for legal, climatic or other requirements peculiar to the planned operational environment of the end item
- e. 7- Item does not qualify for code 1 but is needed to prevent impairment of or temporary reduction of operational effectiveness of the end item
- f. Blank - Same as code 3 or appropriate service has not assigned an essentiality code

Since an item may have many different essentiality codes based upon particular applications, the highest essentiality code (representing greatest criticality of the item) was used. The ordering of the essentiality codes from highest to lowest criticality in this analysis was [1; 5 & 6 & 7; 3 & Blank]. A possible future enhancement to this methodology might be to compute a weighted essentiality code for each item based upon the magnitude of requirements for each application of the item and their corresponding essentiality codes.

3. LEAD TIME - (12.5). The lead time is the length of time required to produce an item (as represented by the production lead time). This attribute has been chosen because the greater the lead time, the slower the expected response to demands.

4. MOBILIZATION DEMAND RATIO - (9.4). This is the ratio of the mobilization demand requirements to normal peacetime demand. If this ratio is large, the potential for insufficient production base capabilities is greater.

5. AGE OF ITEM - (3.1). This attribute identifies whether the item is new or established. If the item is new to DLA (less than 2 years of management responsibility), there is less certainty of the availability of the item.

6. MOBILIZATION DEMAND VALUE - (3.1). The mobilization demand value is the dollar value of the projected mobilization demand (the Other War Reserve Materiel Requirements). Although this attribute was not among the original twelve selected by the production readiness experts, it was included because it was readily available and a large mobilization demand value could indicate insufficient industrial base capabilities.

C. Items Examined

The population of items to be evaluated was developed from two sources. Service War Reserve items, which have been traditionally considered for planning, were merged with items supporting the CINC CIL. Table 1 displays the breakout of items examined by commodity. The development of the indicator database is described in greater detail in Appendix A.

It is interesting to note the general lack of overlap (common items) between the items which DLA manages which support the CINC CIL and the items for which War Reserve requirements are submitted by the Services. Overall, there is less than a ten percent overlap of these two item populations. The discovery of this lack of correlation between the items which the CINC's identify as critical to their mission during mobilization, and the mobilization requirements submitted by the Services as part of the War Reserve program may warrant review of the Services War Reserve computations.

Table 1

INDICATOR DATABASE BREAKDOWN

<u>Commodity</u>	<u>CIL Items</u>	<u>WRMR Items</u>	<u>Unique Items</u>	<u>Common Items</u>
Construction	17,661	29,276	43,772	3,165
Electronic	59,812	43,414	92,794	10,432
General	13,337	17,171	27,348	2,660
Industrial	66,582	67,379	121,781	12,180
Medical	52	11,138	11,164	26
Textile	123	3,047	3,102	68
Total	<u>157,567</u>	<u>171,425</u>	<u>300,461</u>	<u>28,531</u>

D. Indicator Computation

Computation of the indicator value for each item is a relatively simple process. The following briefly describes the indicator computation process:

1. Definition of ideal and negative-ideal values - Rather than specifying a "high" or "low" value for these values as typical to a simple TOPSIS application, distinct values were identified for both the ideal and negative-ideal solutions. This was done to limit the influence of items with extreme attribute values. For the prototype indicator effort, the following values were assigned as the ideal and negative-ideal solutions:

	<u>IDEAL</u>	<u>NEG-IDEAL</u>
CIL Application	No	Yes
Essentiality Code	Blank	1
Lead Time	0 days	750 days
Mob Demand Ratio	0	500
Age of Item	Estab.	New
Mob Demand Value	\$ 0	\$ 100,000

2. Assignment of attribute values - Each item was assigned a numeric score for each attribute. Attributes with non-numeric or non-cardinal values were assigned cardinal values. Attribute values for the CIL application, essentiality code and age of item code were assigned as follows:

CIL Application -

No	--->	0.0
Yes	--->	1.0

Essentiality Code -

1	--->	0.0
3	--->	5.5
5	--->	3.5
6	--->	3.5
7	--->	3.5
Blank	--->	5.5

Age of Item Code -

E	--->	1.0
N	--->	2.0
Blank	--->	1.5

3. Clipping of extreme values - Items which had attribute values beyond the bounds of the ideal or negative-ideal values had their values clipped to within these limits.

4. Normalization of attributes - Each of the attributes was normalized to produce an attribute value range of 0 to 1.

5. Application of attribute weights - The normalized attribute vectors were multiplied by the appropriate weighting factors.

6. Computation of distance measures - The Euclidean distances for item state space coordinates to both the ideal and negative-ideal solutions were computed.

7. Computation of indicator value - The relative closeness of each item to the ideal solution was computed by dividing the distance to the negative-ideal by the sum of the distances to the ideal and negative-ideal solutions. This result was subtracted from one to produce an indicator where a higher value (closer to 1.00) represents a greater need for planning.

8. Rank ordering the items - The items were sorted by their indicator value in descending order. This produced a list of candidate items for planning where again, higher indicator scores represented a greater need for industrial base planning.

Appendix B describes the indicator computation process in greater detail and includes listings of the programs developed for indicator value computation. Appendix C provides a step by step example of the indicator computation process for a sample item.

E. Indicator Adjustments. The indicator computation can be fine tuned using various "knobs" inherent to the technique. The two most significant ways to adjust the indicator are (1) through the definition of the ideal and negative-ideal solutions, and (2) by the assignment of the relative attribute weights. During the prototype indicator review process, each of these "knobs" was adjusted to produce results that were in closer agreement with the opinion of DLA's production readiness experts.

IV. FINDINGS

It is useful to examine a sample of the prototype indicator's output. Table 2 displays a typical listing of indicator results. The first column labeled "NSN" is the National Stock Number for the item. The second column is labeled "ITEM NAME" and indicates the nomenclature for the item. The third column labeled "PLAN CODE" shows whether the item was planned during the last IPP cycle. A "P" in this column indicates that the item was planned. (Currently this field is only valid for the General commodity.) The fourth column is labeled "INDCTR VALUE" and represents the indicator value which was computed for the item from its attribute values. The list is ordered based on this column, with high values, which indicate a greater need for planning, appearing at the top of the list. The fifth column is labeled "CIL CODE" and has the code "C" if the item is used on a CIL weapon system, and blank otherwise. The sixth column, labeled "ESS CODE", represents the highest essentiality code for any application of that item to a weapon system. The seventh column, "LEAD TIME", is the production lead time for the item. The eighth column, "MOB DMD RATIO", is the mobilization demand ratio for the item. The ninth column, "MOB DEMAND VALUE", is the mobilization demand value for the item expressed in dollars. The tenth and final column is labeled "AGE OF ITEM" and represents the age of item code for the item, where "E" means "established" and "N" means "new". This output listing was designed to display much of the information used for the indicator computation as well as the planning indicator value itself so that the listing might be more useful as a planning tool to production readiness personnel. By displaying this additional information, more informed decisions can be made when selecting items for planning.

The prototype indicator appears to produce results which can be useful in the planning process for DLA's four hardware centers. Results for the top 100 items for each of the hardware centers are presented in Appendices D through G. It appears that the prototype indicator in its present configuration does not address the peculiarities of the items managed by Defense Personnel Support Center (the Medical and Clothing & Textile commodities). An indicator using attributes which are not as weapon system related as those for the hardware centers' indicator would be more appropriate for DPSC.

The approach of a multi-attribute indicator to determine which items should be considered for planning appears to have some advantages over the current method of using independent screening criteria to identify items for planning. The current screening criteria limits planning consideration to items meeting each of the following three criteria:

Table 2

SAMPLE PROTOTYPE INDICATOR OUTPUT LISTING

05 NOV
PAGEIPP PROTOTYPE INDICATOR RESULTS
*** DGSC ***

NSH	ITEM NAME	PLAN CODE	INDCTR VALUE	SRC CODE	SS CODE	LEAD TIME	MOB FND RATIO	MOB DEMAND VALUE	AGE OF ITEM
6680010826788	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8821	C	1	513	245.5	180830	E
1035011068601	FILTER ASSEMBLY		.8430	C	1	680	17.0	68255	E
6680005738137	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8451	C	1	818	4.1	82734	E
5975006026684	COUPLING, ELECTRICAL		.8396	C	1	683	25.6	4196	E
5940004454755	TERMINAL BOARD		.8388	C	1	208	611.0	6159	E
6680005738139	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8375	C	1	610	6.8	76218	E
5940001771975	TERMINAL, TAPER PIN.		.8368	C	1	171	3896.0	1052	N
6615011585747	LINK ASSEMBLY		.8359	C	1	573	11.8	68971	E
6150003284502	LEAD, ELECTRICAL		.8356	C	1	249	305.3	489	E
6680000866678	INDICATOR, LIQUID OX		.8350	C	1	595	1.6	65177	E
610501140144	MOTOR, DIRECT CURREN		.8343	C	1	389	84.0	271776	E
6620008303554	TRANSMITTER, PRESSUR		.8291	C	1	520	3.3	32806	E
6680011233237	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8292	C	1	511	1.3	133258	E
6350010500265	ANNUNCIATOR		.8289	C	1	583	6	3706	E
8330001139438	PLASTIC SHEET		.8273	C	1	480	1.8	113021	E
59400002571262	TERMINAL, LUG		.8259	C	1	195	251.9	118882	E
6680011872862	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8254	C	1	530	7	7203	E
6680011668880	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8237	C	1	518	3	1751	E
5995010955279	CABLE ASSEMBLY, SPEC		.8230	C	1	450	.2	105396	E
6685011272589	SENSOR, TEMPERATURE		.8225	C	1	500	1	5700	E
6675011014078	JUNCTION BOX, ASSEMB		.8221	C	1	480	8.0	2457	E
6680011382975	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8220	C	1	500	0.0	1114	E
6130010391875	POWER SUPPLY		.8214	C	1	473	8.5	8888	E
9320011578070	RUBBER SHEET, SOLID		.8207	C	1	278	142.0	6569	E
5940009836125	TERMINAL BOARD		.8187	C	1	450	6.0	23	E

1. Lead time greater than 60 days
2. Other War Reserve Materiel Requirement greater than \$10,000
3. Mobilization demand ratio greater than 3.0

Failure to satisfy one of the criteria removes an item from planning consideration regardless of how the item rates against the other criteria. The new indicator has the advantage that it considers the combination of attribute values to identify candidate items. Weakness in one attribute may be offset by strength in another attribute. Elimination of independent screening criteria will allow the combined effects of the various criteria to be addressed.

The multi-attribute approach also has the advantage of allowing varying degrees of emphasis to be placed on each of the attributes by means of the attribute weighting process. If much greater emphasis on a particular attribute is desired during a given planning cycle, a greater weight can be assigned to that attribute prior to the indicator computation process. The results of the new weight will be reflected in the indicator results.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The development of the planning indicator item database has revealed that very little overlap exists between the items for which the Services submit War Reserve requirements and the DLA managed items which support CINC CIL weapons systems. Although these two lists were developed by different groups and for different purposes -- the CINC CIL by the operations planners for ensuring war fighting capabilities, and the War Reserves by the logistics planners for ensuring logistics sustainability -- one would expect a greater overlap in these items than the ten percent that is observed. Possibly, the War Reserve requirements are computed solely on the basis of reliability failures, and do not include any combat damage requirements. Therefore, some disparity between the item populations may exist as some items will not need to be replaced except in the event of combat damage. Nonetheless, the lack of War Reserve requirements for many of the critical items which support the CINC CIL should be reconciled.

This prototyping effort has demonstrated that it is feasible to construct an indicator which is useful in identifying candidate items for industrial base mobilization planning. Ranking items based on item characteristics which indicate item criticality and uncertainty of availability can be a useful method for selecting items for planning. Given the scarcity of production planning resources, planning efforts should focus on those items with the greatest payback from planning -- where the planning will insure the availability of items critical to mobilization efforts. The indicator can also be a useful tool for identifying areas of the industrial base which may warrant further examination. For instance, computing an indicator value across a supply class (averaging the item indicator values for items within a supply class) may reveal potential weaknesses in specific areas of the industrial base. These results might be used to direct studies of weak sectors within the industrial base.

VI. RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations are made:

- o Investigate the reasons for the disparity between the Services' War Reserve requirements and the CINC CIL supporting items. This can be an opportunity to ensure that the operations planners are communicating with the logistics planners.

- o Develop prototype indicators for the Medical and Clothing & Textile commodities considering the unique characteristics of each of these commodities.

- o Implement the multi-attribute planning indicator methodology as part of the IPP planning process. The methodology should be adapted for use at the Supply Center level. The Directorate of Contracting, DLA Headquarters, should maintain oversight of the application of indicator software at the DLA Supply Centers. (This implementation of the planning indicator may entail developing programs for use on Center mainframe computers (Standard Automated Materiel Management System - SAMMS), minicomputers (Distributed Minicomputer Processing System - DMINS), or microcomputers.)

APPENDIX A - DATABASE DEVELOPMENT

Development of the indicator databases for each of the different commodities examined was accomplished using the following procedure.

(1) Identification of the CINC CIL related items. The first step in identifying the DLA managed items which are related to the CINC CIL required relating Weapon System Designator Codes (WSDC's) to as many of the CINC CIL systems as possible. After the appropriate WSDC's were identified, a list of DLA managed items for all of the CINC CIL related WSDC's was obtained using information contained in the DLA Integrated Data Base (DIDB).

(2) CINC CIL item data expansion. Additional item information (such as lead time, mobilization demand, etc.) required to compute the indicator values was obtained from different files within the DIDB and added to the CINC CIL item database.

(3) Identification of War Reserve items. War Reserve items were identified as those items with an Other War Reserve Materiel Requirement (OWRMR) of greater than zero. In addition to the NSN, much of the data required for indicator computation was pulled at the same time because it was available in the same DIDB files.

(4) War Reserve item data expansion. The essentiality code as obtained from the weapon system file of the DIDB was added to the War Reserve item database.

(5) Merging of the item databases. The CINC CIL item database was merged with the War Reserve item database to produce one combined database. A field indicating the source of each item (CINC CIL, War Reserve, or both) was also added to the data.

(6) Adjustment of essentiality code field. The essentiality code representing the application of highest criticality was used as the essentiality code for each item.

(7) Conversion of data fields to numeric attribute data. Those fields which were either non-numeric or non-cardinal were converted to numeric values. Other indicator attributes which were a combination of item data fields (such as mobilization demand value which is the unit price times the mobilization demand quantity) were also computed.

(8) Addition of planning field. For the General commodity, information was obtained which indicated which items had been planned during the previous planning cycle. This information was appended to the indicator attribute database.

These steps comprise the indicator database development process. The resulting database was used for indicator value computation.

APPENDIX B - INDICATOR COMPUTATION PROGRAM

1. Indicator Computation

A fairly simple FORTRAN 77 program was used to compute the indicator values for each item. This program is composed of the following four modules:

MAIN - The main program calls the various subroutines.

RDSOLN - This routine reads the attribute names, weights, and the ideal and negative-ideal solutions.

PROCSS - This routine computes the normalization factors for each of the attributes.

COMPUT - This routine performs the actual computation of the relative closeness to the ideal solution.

2. Output Report Generation

The output listings were produced by using the report capabilities of the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). The remaining pages of this appendix represent a listing of the source code of the indicator computation program and the SPSS statements used to produce the output reports.

OPTIONS IN EFFECT: NOLIST NOMAP NOXREF NOGOSTMT NOCHECK SOURCE TERM OBJECT FIXED NOTEST NOTRMLG SRCFLG NOSYM
 OPT(0) LANGVL(77) NOFIPS FLAG(1) NAME(MAIN) LINECOUNT(50) CHARLEN(500) SOUNP

*1.....2.....3.....4.....5.....6.....7.....8

```

ISN 1 SUBROUTINE RDSOLN(IDFILE,IDEAL,NIDEAL,WGT,LABEL) 00220000
      C 00221000
      C ROUTINE TO READ THE INDICATOR DESIGN PARAMETERS 00222000
      C 00223000
      C 00230000
      C 00240000
      C 00250000
      C 00251000
      C 00260000
      C 00270000
      C 00280000
      C 00290000
      C 00300000
      C 00310000
      C 00320000
      C 00330000
      C 00340000

```

STATISTICS SOURCE STATEMENTS = 12, PROGRAM SIZE = 1096 BYTES, PROGRAM NAME = RDSOLN PAGE: 2.

STATISTICS NO DIAGNOSTICS GENERATED.

***** END OF COMPILATION 2 *****

OPTIONS IN EFFECT: NOLIST NOMAP NOXREF NOGOSTMT NODECK SOURCE TERM OBJECT FIXED NOTEST NOTRMFLG SRCFLG NOSYM
 OPT(0) LANGVL(77) NOFIPS FLAG(1) NAME(MAIN) LINECOUNT(60) CHARLEN(500) SDUMP

* 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

```

ISN 1      SUBROUTINE PROCCS(INFILE,IDEAL,NIDEAL,SUMSQ,LOW)      00350000
C          ROUTINE TO COMPUTE THE SUMS OF SQUARES REQUIRED FOR    00351000
C          DECISION MATRIX NORMALIZATION                        00352000
C          INTEGER INFILE,COUNTN                                00353000
ISN 2          REAL IDEAL(6),NIDEAL(6),SUMSQ(6),LOW(6)          00354000
ISN 3          SET NORMALIZATION FACTORS (SUMSQ)                00360000
C          DC 10 J=1,6                                          00370000
ISN 4          LOW(J)=MIN(IDEAL(J),NIDEAL(J))                    00380000
ISN 5          IF(LOW(J).NE.0) THEN                                00401000
ISN 6              IDEAL(J)=IDEAL(J)-LOW(J)                      00402000
ISN 7              NIDEAL(J)=NIDEAL(J)-LOW(J)                    00413000
ISN 8          ENBIF                                             00410000
ISN 9          SUMSQ(J)=ABS(IDEAL(J)-NIDEAL(J))                  00420000
ISN 10         10 CONTINUE                                       00430000
ISN 11         RETURN                                           00440000
ISN 12         END                                              00450000
ISN 13         END                                              00460000

```

STATISTICS SOURCE STATEMENTS = 13, PROGRAM SIZE = 1120 BYTES, PROGRAM NAME = PROCCS PAGE: 3.

STATISTICS NO DIAGNOSTICS GENERATED.

***** END OF COMPILATION 3 *****

SRCFLG NOSYM
SDUMP

NOTEST NOTRMELG
CHARLEN(BOO)

OBJECT FIXED
LINECOUNT(60)

SOURCE TERM
NAME(MAIN)

NOXREF NOGOSTMT NODECK
FLAG(1)

NOFILS
LANGVL(77)

OPTIONS IN EFFECT:
NOLIST NOMAP

NOXREF NOGOSTMT NODECK
FLAG(1)

```

*.....1.....2.....3.....4.....5.....6.....7.....8
1 SUBROUTINE COMPUT(INFILE,OTFILE,IDEAL,NIDEAL,SUMSQ,WGT,COUNT,
+ LABEL,LOW)
C
C ROUTINE TO COMPUTE RELATIVE CLOSENESS MEASURE (INDICATOR VALUE)
C
C CHARACTER LABEL(6)*20,NSN*13,ITNAME*19,CODE*1,AGE*1,PLAN*1
C CHARACTER CIL*1
C INTEGER INFILE,OTFILE,COUNT,ESSCD
C REAL IDEAL(6),NIDEAL(6),SUMSQ(6),WGT(6),SUMPOS,SUMNEG,DIST
C REAL VALUE(6),IDL(6),NIDL(6),HOLD(6),LOW(6)
C
C NORMALIZE IDEAL AND NEG-IDEAL SOLNS AND APPLY ATTR WEIGHTS
C
DO 15 J=1,6
  IF(SUMSQ(J).NE.0.0) THEN
    IDL(J)=WGT(J)*IDEAL(J)/SUMSQ(J)
    NIDL(J)=WGT(J)*NIDEAL(J)/SUMSQ(J)
  ELSE
    IDL(J)=WGT(J)*IDEAL(J)
    NIDL(J)=WGT(J)*NIDEAL(J)
  ENDIF
ENDIF
15 CONTINUE
C
C READ AN ITEM
C
1 READ(INFILE,100,END=95) NSH,CODE,(VALUE(I),I=1,6),ESSCD,ITNAME,
+AGE,PLAN,CIL
DO 3 I=1,6
  HOLD(I)=VALUE(I)
  IF(LOW(I).NE.0) THEN
    VALUE(I)=VALUE(I)-LOW(I)
  ENDIF
ENDIF
3 CONTINUE
C
C CLIP EXTREME VALUES
C
DO 5 J=1,6
  IF(IDEAL(J).GT.NIDEAL(J)) THEN
    IF(VALUE(J).GT.IDEAL(J)) VALUE(J)=IDEAL(J)
    IF(VALUE(J).LT.NIDEAL(J)) VALUE(J)=NIDEAL(J)
  ELSE IF(IDEAL(J).LT.NIDEAL(J)) THEN
    IF(VALUE(J).GT.NIDEAL(J)) VALUE(J)=NIDEAL(J)
    IF(VALUE(J).LT.IDEAL(J)) VALUE(J)=IDEAL(J)
  ELSE
    VALUE(J)=IDEAL(J)
  ENDIF
ENDIF
5 CONTINUE
SUMPOS=0.0
SUMNEG=0.0
DIST=0.0
C
C NORMALIZE AND APPLY WEIGHTS

```

*.....1.....2.....3.....4.....5.....6.....7.....8

```

C
42 DO 30 J=1,6
43 IF (SUMSQ(J).NE.O.O) THEN
44   VALUE(J)=VALUE(J)/SUMSQ(J)
45   ENDOF
46   VALUE(J)=VALUE(J)*WGT(J)
47 20 CONTINUE

C
C COMPUTE DISTANCES TO IDEAL AND NEG-IDEAL SOLNS FOR ITEM
C
48 DO 30 I=1,6
49   SUMPOS=SUMPOS+(VALUE(I)-IDL(I))**2
50   SUMNEG=SUMNEG+(VALUE(I)-NIDL(I))**2
51 30 CONTINUE
52   SUMPOS=SUMPOS*(O.5)
53   SUMNEG=SUMNEG*(O.5)

C
C COMPUTE RELATIVE CLOSENESS TO IDEAL (INDICATOR VALUE)
C
54 DIST=SUMNEG/(SUMPOS+SUMNEG)

C
C INVERT CLOSENESS MEASURE SO THAT HIGH VALUE IS BAD
C
55 DIST=1.0-DIST

C
C WRITE OUTPUT FILE
C
56 WRITE(OTFILE,200) NSN, CODE, DIST, (HOLD(J), J=1,6), ESSCD, ITNAME, AGE,
+PLAN, CIL
57 200 FORMAT(' ', A13, 2X, A1, 2X, F6.4, 6(2X, F12.1), I1, A19, A1, A1, A1)

C
C PROCESS NEXT ITEM
C
58 GOTO 1

C
C ALL ITEMS PROCESSED - RETURN TO MAIN PROGRAM
C
59 99 RETURN
60 END

```

STATISTICS SOURCE STATEMENTS = 56, PROGRAM SIZE = 4350 BYTES, PROGRAM NAME = COMPUT PAGE: 4

STATISTICS NO DIAGNOSTICS GENERATED.

***** END OF COMPILATION 4 *****

06 NOV 87 SPSS-X RELEASE 2.1 FOR IBM OS & MVS
13:32:33 DEFENSE GENERAL SUPPLY CENTER IPL 4446

OS/VS2 MVS

```

SSSSSSS PPPPPPP SSSSSS SSSSSS XX XX 222222 1
SSSSSSS PPPPPPP SSSSSS SSSSSS XX XX 22222222 11
SS SS PP SS SS SSSSSS XX XX 22 111
SS SS PP SS SSSSSS XX XX 22 11
SSSSSSS PPPPPPP SSSSSS SSSSSS == XX 22 11
SSSSSSS PPPPPPP SSSSSS SSSSSS == XX 22 11
SS SS PP SS SSSSSS SSSSSS XX XX 22 11
SSSSSSS PP SSSSSS SSSSSS SSSSSS XX XX 22222222 111
SSSSSSS PP SSSSSS SSSSSS SSSSSS XX XX 22222222 111

```

FOR OS/VS2 MVS DEFENSE GENERAL SUPPLY CENTER LICENSE NUMBER 1330

USE THE COMMAND: INFO OVERVIEW FACILITIES FOR MORE INFORMATION ON:

- * READING SAS AND OSIRIS DATASETS
- * ALSCAL: MULTIDIMENSIONAL SCALING
- * USERGET: USERPROC-LIKE INTERFACE
- * TO CREATE ACTIVE FILES
- * RECOMPILING OLD USERPROC ROUTINES
- * TIME AND DATE FORMATS AND FUNCTIONS
- * UPDATE TRANSACTIONS TO SYSTEM FILES
- * EXPORT FOR DATA COMMUNICATIONS
- * MULTIPUNCHED DATA AND BIT FIELDS
- * SIMPLIFIED REGRESSION COMMAND

```

1 0 01420007 TITLE I-P INDICATOR RESULTS
2 0 00010807 DATA LIST FILE=INDATA FIXED RECORDS=1/
3 0 00010707 NSN 2-14 (A) CODE 17 (A) IND 20-25 SRCX 28-39 ESCDX 42-53 LDTM 56-67
4 0 00010807 MDR 70-81 DMDVAL 84-95 AGECD 88-109 ESSCD 110 NAME 111-129 (A)
5 0 00010807 AGE 130 (A) PLAN 131 (A) SRC 132 (A)

```

THE ABOVE DATA LIST STATEMENT WILL READ 1 RECORDS FROM FILE INDATA

VARIABLE	REC	START	END	FORMAT	WIDTH	DEC
NSN	1	2	14	A	13	
CODE	1	17	17	A	1	
IND	1	20	25	F	6	0
SRCX	1	28	39	F	12	0
ESCDX	1	42	53	F	12	0
LDTM	1	56	67	F	12	0
MDR	1	70	81	F	12	0
DMDVAL	1	84	95	F	12	0
AGECD	1	98	109	F	12	0
ESSCD	1	110	110	F	1	0
NAME	1	111	129	A	19	
AGE	1	130	130	A	1	
PLAN	1	131	131	A	1	
SRC	1	132	132	A	1	

END OF DATALIST TABLE.

```

6 0 00011007 STRING FSC (A4)
7 0 00011207 COMPUTE FSC = SUBSTR(NSN,1,4)
8 0 01470007 PRINT FORMATS IND (FG.4) MDR (FS.1)
9 0 01480007 SORT CASES BY IND (D)

```

SIZE OF FILE TO BE SORTED: 200 CASES OF 144 BYTES EACH.

06 NOV 87 IPP INDICATOR RESULTS OS/VS2 MVS
13:32:37 DEFENSE GENERAL SUPPLY CENTER IPL 4416
SORT COMPLETED SUCCESSFULLY. FILE SIZE: 23198 BYTES.
MEMORY AVAILABLE: 518826 BYTES.

06 NOV 87 IPP INDICATOR RESULTS OS/VS2 MVS
13:32:40 DEFENSE GENERAL SUPPLY CENTER IPL 4446

PRECEDING TASK REQUIRED 0.30 SECONDS CPU TIME; 4.03 SECONDS ELAPSED.

```

10 0 01490007 REPORT FORMAT=LIST(1) MARGINS(1,132) LENGTH(1,57) BRKSPACE(-1)/
11 0 01500007 VARIABLES=NSN(13) ' ' 'NSN'
12 0 01510007 NAME(21) ' ' 'ITEM NAME'
13 0 01530007 PLAN(4) 'PLAN' 'CODE'
14 0 01540007 IND(6) 'INDCTR' 'VALUE'
15 0 01550007 SRC(4) 'SRC' 'CODE'
16 0 01560007 ESSCD(4) 'ESS' 'CODE'
17 0 01570007 LOTM(6) 'LEAD' 'TIME'
18 0 01580007 MDR(8) 'MOB DMD' 'RATIO'
19 0 01590007 DMDVAL(10) 'MOB DEMAND' 'VALUE'
20 0 01600007 AGE(6) 'AGE OF' 'ITEM'
21 0 01610013 CTITLE=' '
22 0 01620007 'IPP PROTOTYPE INDICATOR RESULTS'
23 0 01630007 '*** DGSC ***'
24 0 01640007 RTITLE=' ' DATE ' 'PAGE'
25 0 01650007 BREAK=(NOBREAK) (SKIP(0))

```

REPORT REQUIRES 1780 BYTES FOR THIS TASK

APPENDIX C - EXAMPLE OF INDICATOR COMPUTATION

This appendix follows the computation of the planning indicator value for one sample item. The item chosen for this example is managed by the Defense Industrial Supply Center (DISC), specifically NSN 5340001930783 which has the nomenclature "Clamp, Loop". Using our indicator database we determine that this item has the following attribute values:

CIL Application - Yes
Essentiality Code - 1
Lead Time - 271 days
Mob Demand Ratio - 160.0
Mob Demand Value - \$146,740
Age of Item - Established

1. Assignment of Numeric Attribute Values

The first step in the computation of an indicator value for this item is the assignment of numeric values for each attribute. We use the following attribute value mapping algorithms:

CIL Application -
 No ---> 0.0
 Yes ---> 1.0

Essentiality Code -
 1 ---> 0.0
 3 ---> 5.5
 5 ---> 3.5
 6 ---> 3.5
 7 ---> 3.5
 Blank ---> 5.5

Age of Item Code -
 E ---> 1.0
 N ---> 2.0
 Blank ---> 1.5

Using these mapping algorithms, we translate the CIL application, essentiality code, and age of item attributes to the following numeric values:

CIL Application - 1.0
Essentiality Code - 0.0
Age of Item - 1.0

2. Clip Extreme Attribute Values

The next step is to clip any attribute values which lie outside of the ideal and negative-ideal solutions. This places the following constraints on the attribute values:

0.0 $\leftarrow$ CIL Application $\leftarrow$ 1.0
0.0 $\leftarrow$ Essentiality Code $\leftarrow$ 5.5
0 $\leftarrow$ Lead Time $\leftarrow$ 750
0.0 $\leftarrow$ Mob Demand Ratio $\leftarrow$ 500.0
0 $\leftarrow$ Mob Demand Value $\leftarrow$ 100,000
1.0 $\leftarrow$ Age of Item $\leftarrow$ 2.0

The only attribute which must be clipped in this example is the mobilization demand value attribute since \$146,740 is greater than the \$100,000 maximum allowed value. This produces the attribute value set of:

CIL Application - 1.0
Essentiality Code - 0.0
Lead Time - 271
Mob Demand Ratio - 166.0
Mob Demand Value - 100,000
Age of Item - 1.0

3. Normalization

The next step requires normalizing each attribute. Normalization makes the highest value of one attribute equivalent (numerically) to the highest value of another attribute. For our normalization, we are mapping the values for each attribute into the range from zero to one. Each attribute is normalized by subtracting any displacement of the minimum value from zero from the attribute value and dividing the value by the range of values (maximum minus minimum). This normalization can be expressed as

$$\text{NORMALIZED VALUE} = (\text{OLD VALUE} - \text{MINIMUM}) / (\text{MAXIMUM} - \text{MINIMUM})$$

The following computations are performed to normalize our sample item

$$\begin{aligned}\text{CIL Application} &= (1.0 - 0.0) / (1.0 - 0.0) = 1.0 \\ \text{Essentiality Code} &= (0.0 - 0.0) / (5.5 - 0.0) = 0.0\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Lead Time} = (271 - 0) / (750 - 0) = 0.361$$

$$\text{Mob Demand Ratio} = (166.0 - 0.0) / (500.0 - 0.0) = 0.322$$

$$\text{Mob Demand Value} = (100000 - 0) / (100000 - 0) = 1.0$$

$$\text{Age of Item} = (1.0 - 1.0) / (2.0 - 1.0) = 0.0$$

4. Application of Attribute Weights

Next, we multiply the normalized attribute values by their respective attribute weights. The weighting process can be expressed by

$$\text{WEIGHTED VALUE} = \text{NORMALIZED VALUE} * \text{WEIGHT}$$

Applying the appropriate attribute weights yields

$$\text{CIL Application} = 1.0 * 37.5 = 37.5$$

$$\text{Essentiality Code} = 0.0 * 34.4 = 0.0$$

$$\text{Lead Time} = 0.361 * 12.5 = 4.51$$

$$\text{Mob Demand Ratio} = 0.332 * 9.4 = 3.12$$

$$\text{Mob Demand Value} = 1.0 * 3.1 = 3.1$$

$$\text{Age of Item} = 0.0 * 3.1 = 0.0$$

5. Compute Distances to Ideal and Negative-Ideal Solutions

After the weighted normalized attribute values have been computed, the Euclidean distances to the ideal and negative-ideal solutions must be computed. The general algorithm to compute the Euclidean distance between two points is

$$\text{DISTANCE} = ((X1 - X2)^2 + (Y1 - Y2)^2 + \dots)^{0.5}$$

where X and Y represent two of the dimensions (attributes) which define the coordinate system. First, we apply this algorithm to compute the distance to the ideal solution.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dist(ideal)} = & ((0.0 - 37.5)^2 + \\ & (34.4 - 0.0)^2 + \\ & (0.0 - 4.51)^2 + \\ & (0.0 - 3.12)^2 + \\ & (0.0 - 3.10)^2 + \\ & (0.0 - 0.0)^2)^{0.5} = 51.3 \end{aligned}$$

The distance to the negative-ideal solution is computed

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dist}(\text{neg-ideal}) = & ((37.5 - 37.5) ^ 2 + \\ & (0.0 - 0.0) ^ 2 + \\ & (12.5 - 4.51) ^ 2 + \\ & (9.4 - 3.12) ^ 2 + \\ & (3.1 - 3.10) ^ 2 + \\ & (3.1 - 0.0) ^ 2) ^ {0.5} = 10.6 \end{aligned}$$

6. Indicator Computation

Finally, the indicator which expresses the relative closeness of the item to the ideal solution is computed by the formula

$$\text{INDICATOR} = 1.0 - (\text{Dist}(\text{neg-ideal}) / (\text{Dist}(\text{neg-ideal}) + \text{Dist}(\text{ideal})))$$

This makes our final computation

$$\text{INDICATOR} = 1.0 - (10.6 / (10.6 + 51.3)) = 0.828$$

Appendix D

Construction Commodity Indicator Results

IPP PROTOTYPE INDICATOR RESULTS
*** DCSC ***

NSN	ITEM NAME	PLAN CODE	INDCTR VALUE	SRC CODE	ESS CODE	LEAD TIME	MOB DMD RATIO	MOB DEMAND VALUE	AGE OF ITEM
4820010828343	VALVE,CHECK		.8735	C	1	570	172.0	298977	E
4730011001694	ADAPTER, SLEEVE		.8734	C	1	354	401.7	149432	E
4730010957032	COUPLING ASSEMBLY,S		.8700	C	1	353	365.0	275398	E
4820010845493	VALVE,CHECK		.8520	C	1	284	329.5	527384	E
4820011008420	VALVE,RELIEF,PRESSU		.8471	C	1	450	146.0	11452	E
2520009298913	PROPELLER SHAFT		.8464	C	1	216	493.3	1558131	E
4730011284558	PLUG,PIPE		.8445	C	1	240	1287.5	798	E
4730003173008	ELBOW,PIPE		.8432	C	1	208	922.8	51677	E
1650010401371	LINE REPAIR ASSEMBL		.8431	C	1	678	14.2	25304	E
1615010957114	CONE,HUB ASSEMBLY		.8413	C	1	580	34.3	62716	E
4820011003404	VALVE,CHECK		.8412	C	1	352	151.0	206690	E
4720032874830	HOSE,AIR DUCT		.8407	C	1	600	50.1	2399	E
3040011019831	CONNECTING LINK,RIG		.8404	C	1	570	45.3	27800	E
2520000402255	SUPPORT,TRANSFER TR		.8402	C	1	462	75.7	108941	E
4720010899049	HOSE,PREFORMED		.8396	C	1	529	43.2	75343	E
3040000030459	LEVER,REMOTE CONTR		.8394	C	1	671	8.1	47724	E
2930001338173	HOUSING,WATER PUMP		.8388	C	1	310	189.4	589314	E
4710010842284	TUBE ASSEMBLY,METAL		.8373	C	1	690	15.0	3103	E
4710010842263	TUBE ASSEMBLY,METAL		.8370	C	1	810	9.0	1756	E
3040010913846	CONNECTING LINK,RIG		.8365	C	1	720	9.0	1171	E
3020009539909	GEAR,HELICAL		.8355	C	1	630	1.6	41560	E
4710011610686	TUBE ASSEMBLY,METAL		.8350	C	1	833	1.0	0	E
4310004011453	WIRING HARNESS,BRAN		.8350	C	1	810	1.0	0	E
4710010956962	TUBE ASSEMBLY,METAL		.8341	C	1	600	21.0	593	E
4710008831329	TUBE ASSEMBLY,METAL		.8336	C	1	600	19.0	525	E

IPP PROTOTYPE INDICATOR RESULTS
+ + + DSCC + + +

NSN	ITEM NAME	PLAN CODE	INDCTR VALUE	SRC CODE	ESS CODE	LEAD TIME	MOB DMD RATIO	MOB DEMAND VALUE	AGE OF ITEM
4730010955972	ELBOW, FUEL LINE		.8331	C	1	600	12.0	9324	E
473002751431	CLAMP, HOSE		.8326	C	1	664	0.0	0	E
4710012208407	TUBE ASSEMBLY, METAL		.8325	C	1	551	1.0	0	N
2910009070673	TAPPET, FUEL INJECT		.8324	C	1	660	0.0	0	E
2590011254000	GEAR, DRIVE, GOVERNOR		.8320	C	1	301	169.0	46167	E
2910008715432	CAKSHAFT, FUEL INJEC		.8313	C	1	635	0.0	0	E
4710011428815	TUBE ASSEMBLY, METAL		.8311	C	1	630	0.0	0	E
2530004095718	SHAFT AND BEARING A		.8310	C	1	204	313.1	33567	E
3040000042940	CONNECTING LINK, RIG		.8306	C	1	620	.2	228	E
4710011153985	TURE ASSEMBLY, METAL		.8298	C	1	600	1.7	540	E
1615010957076	SUPPORT ASSEMBLY, TA		.8296	C	1	600	1.0	0	E
3040010624054	CONNECTING LINK, RIG		.8296	C	1	600	1.0	0	E
1615010919847	HOUSING, BEARING SUP		.8294	C	1	600	0.0	0	E
3995010921804	GUIDE, CABLE, DRIVER		.8293	C	1	595	1.0	0	E
1730003117555	DRIVING ROD ASSY, AC		.8293	C	1	510	31.0	1254	E
1730003315660	HOUSING ASSEMBLY, LO		.8293	C	1	598	0.0	0	E
1035004102182	FLIPPER, CANISTER		.8292	C	1	284	186.5	1343	E
1650009740004	RETAINER, BEARING		.8290	C	1	510	1.0	0	N
4710010452191	TUBE ASSEMBLY, METAL		.8289	C	1	393	0.0	0	E
4710011289386	TUBE ASSEMBLY, METAL		.8288	C	1	567	1.0	0	E
3010011284676	DRIVE UNIT, ANGLE		.8282	C	1	581	0.0	0	E
3040011187545	CONNECTING LINK, RIG		.8278	C	1	575	0.0	0	E
4710011400310	TUBE ASSEMBLY, METAL		.8276	C	1	573	1.0	0	E
4710011281521	TUBE ASSEMBLY, METAL		.8271	C	1	571	1.0	0	E
4320004509657	FILTER, HYDRAULIC		.8276	C	1	570	1.0	0	E

IPP PROTOTYPE INDICATOR RESULTS
*** DCSC ***

NSN	ITEM NAME	PLAN CODE	INDCTR VALUE	SRC CODE	ESS CODE	LEAD TIME	MOB DMD RATIO	MOB DEMAND VALUE	AGE OF ITEM
4710011146842	TUBE ASSEMBLY, METAL		.8271	C	1	540	9.0	202	E
4710011284738	TUBE ASSEMBLY, METAL		.8271	C	1	562	1.0	0	E
4440011454285	DEHYDRATOR UNIT, NON		.8270	C	1	564	0.0	0	E
1650005737034	LATCH, AKRESTING HOO		.8268	C	1	503	20.0	4868	E
4710011295673	TUBE ASSEMBLY, METAL		.8266	C	1	555	1.0	0	E
2920009232700	VALVE, FUEL		.8262	C	1	480	1.0	0	N
4820010069636	STOP, VALVE		.8261	C	1	222	263.0	326	E
4710010314029	TUBE ASSEMBLY, METAL		.8261	C	1	552	0.0	0	E
3040009712852	CONNECTING LINK, RIG		.8260	C	1	550	0.0	0	E
1730011051463	PORT ASSEMBLY, CR		.8258	C	1	510	9.0	10695	E
4710011284338	TUBE ASSEMBLY, METAL		.8258	C	1	545	1.0	0	E
4710011291982	TUBE ASSEMBLY, METAL		.8256	C	1	542	1.0	0	E
2510007409337	SHACKLE, LEAF SPRING		.8255	C	1	456	14.0	61536	E
4310004011448	SHAFT AND ELEMENT A		.8254	C	1	540	1.0	0	E
1620011076555	ADAPTER, MOUNT, POWER		.8252	C	1	540	0.0	0	E
1620011146135	ADAPTER, TAIL LANDIN		.8251	C	1	480	22.3	4252	E
3040011303763	CONNECTING LINK, RIG		.8247	C	1	430	36.0	26415	E
4730000234888	REDUCER, TUBE		.8243	C	1	528	.2	22	E
4820011546133	VALVE, SHUTOFF FUEL		.8241	C	1	495	.8	25515	E
3040003253251	CONNECTING LINK, RIG		.8240	C	1	525	0.0	0	E
1650011026044	FITTING, HYDRAULIC		.8240	C	1	390	71.0	274	E
4710011026079	TUBE ASSEMBLY, METAL		.8239	C	1	450	34.0	538	E
165002552923	COUPLING, HANGER, TAI		.8237	C	1	453	4.5	76216	E
4710011284337	TUBE ASSEMBLY, METAL		.8237	C	1	519	1.0	0	E
3040011289334	CONNECTING LINK, RIG		.8236	C	1	518	1.0	0	E

IPP PROTOTYPE INDICATOR RESULTS
*** DCSC ***

NSN	ITEM NAME	PLAN CODE	INDCTR VALUE	SPC CODE	ESS CODE	LEAD TIME	MOB DMD RATIO	MOB DEMAND VALUE	AGE OF ITEM
4730008320789	ELBOW, FLANGE TO HOS		.8235	C	1	519	0.0	0	E
1650009712776	PARTS KIT, LINEAR AC		.8234	C	1	502	6.3	403	E
4710011479365	TUBE ASSEMBLY, METAL		.8233	C	1	514	1.0	0	E
1730003374656	LEVER ASSEMBLY, LOCK		.8233	C	1	516	.1	506	E
3040012289265	GEARSHAFT, SPUR		.8231	C	1	450	1.0	0	N
4720010407217	HOSE ASSEMBLY, NONME		.8231	C	1	450	1.0	0	N
3040012387080	CONNECTING LINK, RIG		.8231	C	1	450	1.0	0	N
4730012025795	COUPLING ASSEMBLY, T		.8231	C	1	450	1.0	0	N
3040002950673	CONNECTING LINK, RIG		.8231	C	1	514	0.0	0	E
3020012317123	GEAR, SPUR		.8231	C	1	450	1.0	0	N
4730012429975	CAP, QUICK DISCONNECT		.8231	C	1	450	1.0	0	N
4720010171264	HOSE ASSEMBLY, NONME		.8229	C	1	510	1.0	0	E
4820004040768	VALVE, RELIEF		.8229	C	1	510	1.0	0	E
4720010171266	HOSE ASSEMBLY, NONME		.8229	C	1	510	1.0	0	E
4310004011450	PUMP ASSY, OIL		.8229	C	1	510	1.0	0	E
4710011497988	TUBE ASSEMBLY, METAL		.8229	C	1	512	0.0	0	E
3895002525896	REELING MACHINE, CAB		.8228	C	1	511	0.0	0	E
2815001779239	HOUSING ASSEMBLY		.8227	C	1	510	0.0	0	E
2930006086308	REGULATOR ASSEMBLY,		.8226	C	1	489	.6	15148	E
3040002959268	CONNECTING LINK, RIG		.8224	C	1	506	0.0	0	E
3040011538052	CONNECTING LINK, RIG		.8223	C	1	455	21.0	4981	E
3020009729400	GEAR, SPUR		.8220	C	1	335	99.0	4881	E
3040010851224	CONNECTING LINK, RIG		.8220	C	1	394	40.0	35625	E
4710011051502	TUBE ASSEMBLY, METAL		.8219	C	1	480	5.0	184	E
4710011056616	TUBE, METALLIC		.8219	C	1	480	9.0	276	E

D-5

Appendix E

Electronics Commodity Indicator Results

IPP PROTOTYPE INDICATOR RESULTS
*** DESC ***

NSN	ITEM NAME	PLAN CODE	INDCTR VALUE	SRC CODE	ESS CODE	LEAD TIME	MOS DMD RATIO	MOS DEMAND VALUE	AGE OF ITEM
5961007585816	TRANSISTOR		.8921	C	1	576	252.5	220654	E
1650010957306	SHAFT ASSEMBLY, DUCT		.8532	C	1	630	79.0	37816	E
5961005569314	SEMICONDUCTOR DEVIC		.8519	C	1	275	557.0	1928	E
5929011935737	CONTACT, ELECTRICAL		.8519	C	1	233	472.0	15548	N
596100688243	SEMICONDUCTOR DEVIC		.8438	C	1	778	35.5	5978	E
5985011263124	SELECTOR, ANTENNA		.8432	C	1	850	7.8	923202	E
5821010661613	ELECTRONIC COMPONENT		.8419	C	1	863	2.4	104347	E
5855010392955	LENS, OBJECTIVE, HIGH		.8404	C	1	630	25.9	32752	E
1440006292471	LUG ASSEMBLY, SUSPEN		.8403	C	1	690	1.0	0	N
1430008097603	CABLE ASSEMBLY, POWE		.8392	C	1	650	1.0	0	N
5990009135159	SYNCHRO, RECEIVER		.8377	C	1	643	.7	70226	E
1430010073815	SHAFT, RESOLVER		.8360	C	1	600	1.0	0	N
1430010084037	NUT, BEARING		.8360	C	1	600	1.0	0	N
1430010085217	OSCILLATOR-BORESIGH		.8360	C	1	600	1.0	0	N
5999011341357	CONNECTOR-SWITCH		.8356	C	1	897	.1	15842	E
5999011122943	CONTACT		.8356	C	1	500	27.5	1001	E
5930001477632	SWITCH, ROTARY		.8355	C	1	555	40.8	3324	E
5962011130831	MICROCIRCUIT, LINEAR		.8355	C	1	818	1.0	4459	E
1440004418750	HANDLE ASSEMBLY, RIG		.8350	C	1	810	1.0	0	E
5961003160246	TRANSISTOR		.8350	C	1	810	1.0	0	E
5910001172630	CAPACITOR, FIXED, MET		.8350	C	1	910	1.0	0	E
5962010716438	MICROCIRCUIT, LINEAR		.8350	C	1	750	1.0	0	E
5910001258778	CAPACITOR, FIXED, ELE		.8350	C	1	810	1.0	0	E
5962010716443	MICROCIRCUIT, LINEAR		.8348	C	1	840	0.0	0	E
5895003606529	DIPLEXER		.8348	C	1	749	0.0	0	E

IPP PROTOTYPE INDICATOR RESULTS
*** DESC ***

NSN	ITEM NAME	PLAN CODE	INDCTR VALUE	SRC CODE	ESS CODE	LEAD TIME	MOB DMD RATIO	MOB DEMAND VALUE	AGE OF ITEM
5962011192964	MICROCIRCUIT, LINEAR		.8348	C	1	755	0.0	0	E
5910011374211	CAPACITOR, FIXED, ELE		.8348	C	1	779	.3	16	E
5935011815681	ADAPTER, CONNECTOR		.8345	C	1	578	1.0	0	N
5945007733392	RELAY, ELECTROMAGNET		.8344	C	1	600	1.1	51421	E
5930011401618	SWITCH, PROXIMITY		.8343	C	1	720	0.0	0	E
5962003242195	MICROCIRCUIT, DIGITA		.8341	C	1	710	0.0	0	E
1430011049695	CABLE		.8340	C	1	570	1.0	0	N
1430010644935	CABLE ASSEMBLY		.8340	C	1	570	1.0	0	N
1430008027542	CAVITY, TUNED		.8340	C	1	570	1.0	0	N
5935010320156	CONNECTOR, ELECTRICA		.8338	C	1	698	.3	206	E
5930006831526	SWITCH, PRESSURE		.8337	C	1	697	0.0	0	E
5915009290846	NETWORK, PHASE CHANG		.8333	C	1	682	0.0	0	E
5935003483774	COVER, ELECTRICAL CO		.8332	C	1	630	8.9	986	E
1440004134364	CIRCUIT CARD ASSEMB		.8332	C	1	625	1.0	20055	E
5962003655728	MICROCIRCUIT, DIGITA		.8332	C	1	678	0.0	887	E
5985002742265	ANTENNA		.8331	C	1	560	.5	262820	E
5930010611661	ADAPTER, SWITCH ACTU		.8331	C	1	480	64.0	183	E
5930011335707	SWITCH, PUSH		.8329	C	1	666	1.0	0	E
5855010631612	CIRCUIT CARD ASSEMB		.8327	C	1	660	1.0	0	E
4935008335004	CABLE ASSEMBLY, SPEC		.8327	C	1	660	1.0	0	E
5960002620210	ELECTRON TUBE		.8326	C	1	636	.1	10523	E
5920000224498	FUSE, CARTRIDGE		.8325	C	1	662	0.0	0	E
5935003658429	CONNECTOR, PLUG, ELEC		.8325	C	1	657	1.0	0	E
5962011425116	MICROCIRCUIT, DIGITA		.8323	C	1	657	0.0	0	E
5965010178741	ADAPTER, HEADSET-MIC		.8322	C	1	600	.6	24857	E

2
1
4

IPP PROTOTYPE INDICATOR RESULTS
*** DESC ***

NSN	ITEM NAME	PLAN CODE	INDCTR VALUE	SRC CODE	ESS CODE	LEAD TIME	MOB DMD RATIO	MOB DEMAND VALUE	AGE OF ITEM
5962011307587	MICROCIRCUIT, LINEAR		.8322	C	1	655	0.0	0	E
5905007878665	RESISTOR, VARIABLE, N		.8320	C	1	650	0.0	0	E
5961008920918	SEMICONDUCTOR DEVIC		.8319	C	1	639	1.8	128	E
5930011627316	SWITCH, PUSH		.8317	C	1	577	.6	36722	E
1430002750732	ATTENUATOR, VARIABLE		.8314	C	1	540	0.0	0	N
5955010716309	OSCILLATOR, NONCRYST		.8313	C	1	630	1.0	0	E
5985010561088	DUMMY LOAD, ELECTRIC		.8313	C	1	630	1.0	0	E
5895010558985	ELECTRONIC COMPONENT		.8313	C	1	630	1.0	0	E
5962011475793	MICROCIRCUIT, DIGITA		.8313	C	1	634	0.0	0	E
5962011790537	MICROCIRCUIT, DIGITA		.8312	C	1	629	1.0	0	E
5562011803923	MICROCIRCUIT, DIGITA		.8312	C	1	535	1.0	0	N
5950010703921	TRANSFORMER, PULSE		.8311	C	1	630	0.0	0	E
1440004622501	CABLE ASSEMBLY, SPEC		.8311	C	1	630	0.0	0	E
5895010729399	CABLE ASSEMBLY, BELT		.8311	C	1	630	0.0	0	E
1420001272917	SYNCHRONOUS FILTER		.8311	C	1	630	0.0	0	E
5950007709109	COIL, ELECTRICAL		.8310	C	1	628	0.0	0	E
5962005393581	HYBRID ASSEMBLY		.8310	C	1	624	1.0	0	E
5935011283712	CONNECTOR, RECEPTAC		.8309	C	1	627	0.0	0	E
5945009989113	RELAY, ELECTROMAGNET		.8309	C	1	600	.6	12090	E
5945010990978	RELAY, ELECTROMAGNET		.8307	C	1	350	103.7	96879	E
5961009136407	CONTACT, ELECTRICAL		.8306	C	1	621	0.0	0	E
5999000313729	CONTACT, ELECTRICAL		.8305	C	1	600	4.8	669	E
5962011927520	MICROCIRCUIT, DIGITA		.8304	C	1	528	0.0	0	N
1440008769323	CIRCUIT CARD ASSEMB		.8303	C	1	579	1.1	18260	E
5962011784364	MICROCIRCUIT, LINEAR		.8302	C	1	610	1.0	0	E

IPP PROTOTYPE INDICATOR RESULTS
*** DESC ***

NSN	ITEM NAME	PLAN CODE	INDCTR VALUE	SRC CODE	ESS CODE	LEAD TIME	MOB DMD RATIG	MOB DEMAND VALUE	AGE OF ITEM
5985004040409	PROBE, WAVEGUIDE		.8301	C	1	600	.1	5983	E
5961006155550	SEMICONDUCTOR DEVIC		.8300	C	1	610	0.0	0	E
5985004183813	ATTENUATOR, VARIABLE		.8300	C	1	610	0.0	0	E
5961008551551	TRANSISTOR		.8300	C	1	611	0.0	0	E
5945007525599	FLASHER, THERMAL		.8298	C	1	600	0.0	3108	E
5962011284659	MICROCIRCUIT, DIGITA		.8297	C	1	606	0.0	0	E
5915000000148	FILTER, BAND PASS		.8296	C	1	600	1.0	0	E
5910001754709	CAPACITOR, FIXED, PLA		.8296	C	1	600	1.0	0	E
5930011683310	SWITCH, SENSITIVE		.8295	C	1	513	6.6	52475	E
5915010285857	FILTER, BAND PASS		.8295	C	1	599	1.0	0	E
5945002255622	ARMATURE, ELECTROMAG		.8295	C	1	600	0.0	1154	E
5962010266035	MICROCIRCUIT, LINEAR		.8294	C	1	600	0.0	0	E
5855001316338	RING, RETAINING, OPTI		.8294	C	1	600	0.0	0	E
5905006782344	RESISTOR, VARIABLE, W		.8294	C	1	600	0.0	0	E
5962011121357	MICROCIRCUIT, DIGITA		.8294	C	1	600	0.0	0	E
5945011289460	RELAY, HYBRID		.8294	C	1	600	0.0	0	E
5961008475714	SEMICONDUCTOR DEVIC		.8294	C	1	601	0.0	0	E
5950000988925	TRANSFORMER, POWER		.8294	C	1	600	0.0	0	E
5985004445289	WAVEGUIDE ASSEMBLY		.8293	C	1	598	0.0	0	E
5962011793616	MICROCIRCUIT, DIGITA		.8292	C	1	593	1.0	0	E
5950011752875	TRANSFORMER, RADIO F		.8290	C	1	510	1.0	0	N
1440006292512	TUBE, EXTENSION		.8288	C	1	510	0.0	0	N
5950000133007	TRANSFORMER, POWER		.8288	C	1	590	0.0	0	E
5962005394049	HYBRID ASSEMBLY		.8288	C	1	588	1.0	0	E
5930010137255	SWITCH, PRESSURE		.8287	C	1	588	0.0	873	E

5

Appendix F

General Commodity Indicator Results

IPP PROTOTYPE INDICATOR RESULTS
*** DGSC ***

NSN	ITEM NAME	PLAN CODE	INDCTR VALUE	SRC CODE	ESS CODE	LEAD TIME	MOB DMD RATIO	MOB DEMAND VALUE	AGE OF ITEM
6680010826788	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8821	C	1	513	245.5	180830	E
1055011068601	FILTER ASSEMBLY		.8430	C	1	680	17.0	68255	E
6680005738137	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8421	C	1	818	4.1	82734	E
5975006026684	COUPLING, ELECTRICAL		.8396	C	1	683	25.6	4196	E
59400C4454755	TERMINAL BOARD		.8388	C	1	209	611.0	6153	E
6680005738139	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8375	C	1	610	6.9	76218	E
5940001771975	TERMINAL, TAPER PIN.		.8368	C	1	171	3896.0	1052	N
6615011585747	LINK ASSEMBLY		.8359	C	1	573	11.9	68971	E
6150003294502	LEAD, ELECTRICAL		.8356	C	1	249	305.5	489	E
5240011387519	LAMP, FLASHTUBE		.8350	C	1	900	1.0	0	E
5995010716346	CABLE ASSEMBLY, RADI		.8350	C	1	960	1.0	0	E
6680000886678	INDICATOR, LIQUID QU		.8350	C	1	595	1.8	65177	E
5995010716348	CABLE ASSEMBLY, RADI		.8350	C	1	960	1.0	0	E
5995010716350	CABLE ASSEMBLY, RADI		.8350	C	1	870	1.0	0	E
5995010720525	CABLE ASSEMBLY, RADI		.8350	C	1	900	1.0	0	E
5995010716339	CABLE ASSEMBLY, RADI		.8350	C	1	530	1.0	0	E
5995010716341	CABLE ASSEMBLY, RADI		.8350	C	1	960	1.0	0	E
5995010716342	CABLE ASSEMBLY, RADI		.8350	C	1	960	1.0	0	E
6110004209026	AMPLIFIER, ELECTRONI		.8347	C	1	745	0.0	0	E
6105007882875	MOTOR, DIRECT CURREN		.8345	C	1	730	0.0	0	E
5105011140144	MOTOR, DIRECT CURREN		.8343	C	1	383	93.0	271778	E
4130010523739	FILTER ELEMENT, AIR		.8313	C	1	630	1.0	0	E
6105008666094	STATOR, MOTOR		.8313	C	1	634	0.0	0	E
6620003030354	TRANSMITTER, PRESSUR		.8295	C	1	520	.3	82806	E
6680011233237	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8282	C	1	511	1.3	133258	E

IPP PROTOTYPE INDICATOR RESULTS
*** DGSC ***

NSN	ITEM NAME	PLAN CODE	INDCTR VALUE	SRC CODE	ESS CODE	LEAD TIME	MOIS DMD RATIO	MOIS DEMAND VALUE	AGE OF ITEM
6115007651525	SHAFT ASSEMBLY, DRIV		.8291	C	1	595	0.0	0	E
6350010500565	ANNUNCIATOR		.8289	C	1	583	.6	3706	E
4140006847213	FAN, CENTRIFUGAL		.8288	C	1	590	0.0	0	E
6115009411655	COVER, GENERATOR SET		.8280	C	1	579	0.0	0	E
4111011400197	AIR SWITCH ASSEMBLY		.8274	C	1	569	0.0	0	E
9330001139438	PLASTIC SHEET		.8273	C	1	490	1.5	113021	E
4140007138790	FAN, VANEAXIAL		.8264	C	1	556	0.0	0	E
1055011256086	SHAFT, OUTPUT		.8262	C	1	480	1.0	0	N
6105006473646	MOTDR, ALTERNATING C		.8261	C	1	552	0.0	0	E
5940002571263	TERMINAL, LUG		.8259	C	1	195	251.9	119882	E
6680011382976	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8254	C	1	543	0.0	0	E
6680011672962	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8254	C	1	530	.7	7203	E
5970011216591	INSULATOR, PLATE		.8251	C	1	536	1.0	0	E
5995010522477	CABLE ASSEMBLY, SPEC		.8246	C	1	530	1.0	0	E
5995011555953	CABLE ASSEMBLY, RADI		.8245	C	1	529	1.0	0	E
9320012393772	RUBBER SHEET, CELLUL		.8243	C	1	463	0.0	0	N
6645012282011	METER, TIME TOTALIZI		.8241	C	1	459	1.0	0	N
5995011555954	CABLE ASSEMBLY, RADI		.8239	C	1	521	1.0	0	E
668001113880	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8237	C	1	518	.3	1751	E
6130011546958	POWER SUPPLY		.8236	C	1	520	0.0	0	E
6680011235116	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8235	C	1	519	0.0	0	E
6150010424318	LEAD, ELECTRICAL		.8234	C	1	515	1.0	0	E
6680011608582	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8233	C	1	517	0.0	0	E
6680011698978	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8232	C	1	517	0.0	0	E
6680011772768	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8232	C	1	516	0.0	0	E

IPP PROTOTYPE INDICATOR RESULTS
*** DGSC ***

NSN	ITEM NAME	PLAN CODE	INDCTR VALUE	SRC CODE	ESS CODE	LEAD TIME	MOB DMD RATIO	MOB DEMAND VALUE	AGE OF ITEM
1055011191371	DISK, CLUTCH		.8231	C	1	450	1.0	0	N
5940012460297	TERMINAL JUNCTION B		.8231	C	1	450	1.0	0	N
5975012222087	CONDUIT ASSEMBLY, NO		.8231	C	1	450	1.0	0	N
6635011789154	VECTORMETER		.8231	C	1	450	1.0	0	N
6105011923049	MOTOR, ALTERNATING C		.8231	C	1	450	1.0	0	N
5940012462194	TERMINAL JUNCTION B		.8231	C	1	450	1.0	0	N
5970011950065	INSULATOR, BUSHING		.8231	C	1	450	1.0	0	N
5970011951552	INSULATOR, PLATE		.8231	C	1	450	1.0	0	N
5995010955279	CABLE ASSEMBLY, SPEC		.8230	C	1	450	.2	105996	E
6610006030264	PLATE, PIVOT ARM		.8229	C	1	510	1.0	0	E
5970012504737	INSULATOR, BUSHING		.8229	C	1	450	0.0	0	N
6220003477570	LIGHT, WARNING		.8229	C	1	512	0.0	0	E
5970012504736	INSULATOR, BUSHING		.8229	C	1	450	0.0	0	N
5995011555952	CABLE ASSEMBLY, RADI		.8227	C	1	507	1.0	0	E
5995011558393	CABLE ASSEMBLY, RADI		.8226	C	1	506	1.0	0	E
6685011272589	SENSOR, TEMPERATURE		.8225	C	1	500	.1	5700	E
6680011382974	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8224	C	1	506	0.0	0	E
5975011014075	JUNCTION BOX, ASSEMB		.8221	C	1	480	9.0	2457	E
6685011179517	SENSOR, TEMPERATURE		.8220	C	1	502	0.0	0	E
6680011382975	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8220	C	1	500	0.0	1214	E
6140004062634	BATTERY, STORAGE	P	.8219	C	1	501	0.0	0	E
5970011247275	INSULATOR, STANDOFF		.8218	C	1	438	1.0	0	N
6680011668879	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8217	C	1	498	0.0	0	E
6680011235117	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8214	C	1	495	0.0	0	E
5995010682525	CABLE ASSEMBLY, RADI		.8212	C	1	490	1.0	0	E

IPP PROTOTYPE INDICATOR RESULTS
*** DGSC ***

NSN	ITEM NAME	PLAN CODE	INDCTR VALUE	SRC CODE	ESS CODE	LEAD TIME	MOB DEMD RATIO	MOB DEMAND VALUE	AGE OF ITEM
6130010391975	POWER SUPPLY	P	.8211	C	1	473	5.5	5998	E
599501155951	CABLE ASSEMBLY, RADI		.8211	C	1	489	1.0	0	E
9320011579070	RUBBER SHEET, SOLID		.8207	C	1	279	142.0	6589	E
6685010598880	SENSOR, TEMPERATURE		.8207	C	1	485	1.0	0	E
6675010663253	ALIDADE, SURVEYING		.8205	C	1	485	0.0	0	E
5975012015309	PANEL, BLANK		.8204	C	1	425	1.0	0	N
5970004494900	INSULATOR, WASHER		.8203	C	1	483	0.0	0	E
5995010882922	CABLE ASSEMBLY, RADI		.8200	C	1	481	1.0	0	E
6610006030032	CAGING LEVER ASSEMB		.8202	C	1	480	1.0	0	E
4140004721739	FAN, TUBEAXIAL		.8201	C	1	481	0.0	0	E
5355010382208	KNOB		.8200	C	1	480	0.0	0	E
5970011937625	INSULATOR, BUSHING		.8198	C	1	420	1.0	0	N
6920000906982	CABLE ASSEMBLY, SPEC		.8196	C	1	420	0.0	0	N
6150012486300	LEAD ASSEMBLY, ELECT		.8196	C	1	420	0.0	0	N
5940012502498	TERMINAL, STUD		.8196	C	1	420	0.0	0	N
6150012487190	LEAD ASSEMBLY, ELECT		.8196	C	1	420	0.0	0	N
5977008764054	BRUSH, ELECTRICAL CO		.8190	C	1	469	0.0	0	E
5940009836125	TERMINAL BOARD		.8187	C	1	450	8.0	23	E
5977008764052	BRUSH, ELECTRICAL CO		.8187	C	1	466	0.0	0	E
5970010683455	INSULATOR, WASHER		.8184	C	1	463	0.0	0	E
5970011143800	INSULATOR, PLATE		.8184	C	1	420	23.0	120	E
6680007042232	POTOR ASSY		.8183	C	1	366	52.5	7113	E
5940010897650	TERMINAL BOARD		.8183	C	1	450	1.0	0	E
6680011275217	TRANSMITTER, LIQUID		.8182	C	1	462	0.0	0	E
6685003003653	GAGE ASSEMBLY, AIR P		.8182	C	1	461	0.0	494	E

514

Appendix G

Industrial Commodity Indicator Results

IPP PROTOTYPE INDICATOR RESULTS
*** DISC ***

NSN	PLAN CODE	INDCTR VALUE	SPC CODE	ESS CODE	LEAD TIME	MOB DMD RATIO	MOB DEMAND VALUE	AGE OF ITEM
5306011161199		.8999	C	1	578	335.0	19477	E
1680010927979		.8924	C	1	445	394.0	717632	E
3110010064014		.8787	C	1	360	889.4	176544	E
3110010102481		.8757	C	1	550	232.0	7730	E
3110011356999		.8704	C	1	360	458.0	12705	E
5310005268932		.8693	C	1	339	391.7	170794	E
3120012123307		.8676	C	1	390	283.0	611	N
3110011531392		.8662	C	1	340	572.2	7027	E
5320007618454		.8644	C	1	300	500.9	71520	E
5340011594776		.8618	C	1	420	255.0	12712	E
5340004904134		.8593	C	1	299	666.3	18553	E
5310011246463		.8508	C	1	270	707.7	1529	E
5330012211034		.8480	C	1	224	478.0	641	N
5310009792654		.8471	C	1	332	216.2	215595	E
5305008540538		.8458	C	1	293	323.5	595	E
5330007639322		.8452	C	1	210	516.2	290701	E
1560010826493		.8447	C	1	660	24.0	101009	E
5305008911789		.8436	C	1	284	256.4	655324	E
6145010112264		.8434	C	1	235	2390.0	143	E
1680011594616		.8431	C	1	390	161.0	25940	E
5306011014163		.8426	C	1	590	63.0	439	E
1560011580873		.8426	C	1	660	15.0	72	N
1560011580865		.8426	C	1	660	15.0	72	N
5305003086431		.8424	C	1	360	194.1	12420	E
1560011101442		.8408	C	1	510	54.5	117500	E

IPP PROTOTYPE INDICATOR RESULTS
*** DISC ***

MSN	ITEM NAME	PLAN CODE	INDCTR VALUE	SRC CODE	ESS CODE	LEAD TIME	MOB DMO RATIO	MOB DEMAND VALUE	AGE OF ITEM
1560010894425	FITTING ASSEMBLY, CO		.8403	C	1	660	33.0	3672	E
5305009789372	SCREW, CAP, SOCKET HE		.8396	C	1	300	214.3	60856	E
1560004222431	FITTING, UPPER		.8386	C	1	870	16.0	1908	E
1680007947702	VALVE, RELIEF		.8381	C	1	564	23.5	74554	E
5310009460871	NUT, PLAIN, HEXAGON		.8380	C	1	203	614.1	9826	E
1560011003571	CAP AND ADAPTER ASS		.8380	C	1	720	15.0	2724	E
3110010070187	BEARING, ROLLER, CYLI		.8379	C	1	630	1.6	97170	E
1560010957150	RING, SEGMENT, AIR IN		.8378	C	1	870	9.0	8364	E
5305009789359	SCREW, CAP, SOCKET HE		.8372	C	1	213	327.8	250414	E
1560000758253	FAIRING ASSEMBLY, H1		.8372	C	1	651	8.3	30421	E
1560011149246	HOUSING ASSEMBLY		.8370	C	1	900	8.5	2649	E
5320003572493	RIVET, BLIND		.8369	C	1	171	2457.0	1450	N
5340011854560	BRACKET, ANGLE		.8369	C	1	202	542.0	2894	E
1560011569230	LUG, SUSPENSION		.8363	C	1	658	1.9	33925	E
1560010945526	HANDLE ASSEMBLY, CRE		.8360	C	1	720	6.8	1689	E
1560010820680	FITTING ASSEMBLY, CR		.8360	C	1	900	5.0	570	E
5340008288802	STRAP, RETAINING		.8353	C	1	488	40.6	80444	E
3120011328652	BEARING, PLAIN, ROD E		.8352	C	1	627	.3	43247	E
5365010449047	BUSHING, MACHINE THR		.8350	C	1	855	1.0	0	E
5305010718374	SCREW, MACHINE		.8350	C	1	810	1.0	0	E
5360004418765	SPRING, HELICAL, COMP		.8350	C	1	810	1.0	0	E
3130010714437	CAP, PILLOW BLOCK		.8350	C	1	870	1.0	0	E
3120010696920	BEARING, SLEEVE		.8350	C	1	870	1.0	0	E
3120010745762	BEARING, WASHER, THRU		.8350	C	1	870	1.0	0	E
5330010717932	GASKET		.8348	C	1	900	0.0	0	E

IPR PROTOTYPE INDICATOR RESULTS
*** DISC ***

NSN	ITEM NAME	PLAN CODE	INDCTR VALUE	SRC CODE	ESS CODE	LEAD TIME	MOB DMG RATIO	MOB DEMAND VALUE	AGE OF ITEM
5306009483240	BOLT, EXTERNALLY REL		.8348	C	1	839	0.0	0	E
2895010075415	COVER, BEARING		.8348	C	1	785	0.0	0	E
3120010716446	BUSHING HALF-SLEEVE		.8348	C	1	900	0.0	0	E
1560011506666	BOLT ASSEMBLY, SPECI		.8348	C	1	780	0.0	0	E
5306003859192	BOLT, INTERNALLY REL		.8348	C	1	855	0.0	0	E
1560010957148	MOUNTING, BRACKET		.8348	C	1	840	0.0	0	E
3120010746219	BEARING, SPLIT RACE		.8348	C	1	870	0.0	0	E
1560004540338	BEAM ASSY, WALKING		.8348	C	1	870	0.0	0	E
4010011328718	WIRE ROPE ASSEMBLY,		.8348	C	1	774	0.0	0	E
3110010945134	BEARING, ROLLER, AIRF		.8342	C	1	331	140.2	454167	E
1560010965379	LENS, PANEL ASSEMBLY		.8342	C	1	630	14.0	292	E
5305011325278	SETSCREW		.8340	C	1	697	1.0	0	E
5330011263051	SEAL, ASSEMBLY		.8340	C	1	706	0.0	0	E
1560011061849	FITTING ASSEMBLY, PY		.8340	C	1	690	2.3	150	E
5305008114067	SCREW, CLOSE TOLERAN		.8339	C	1	188	351.2	488905	E
1560000772204	COVER ASSY		.8337	C	1	696	0.0	0	E
5306006378722	BOLT, MACHINE		.8334	C	1	583	.4	331	E
5315011216920	PIN, STRAIGHT, HEADED		.8334	C	1	679	1.0	0	E
1560010957164	FAIRING ASSEMBLY		.8332	C	1	630	9.0	1290	E
5315011128113	PIN, SHOULDER, HEADLE		.8331	C	1	670	1.0	0	E
1680003230687	HOUSING AND PLUNGER		.8331	C	1	609	8.3	12040	E
5340011090698	BUMPER, PLASTIC		.8327	C	1	667	0.0	0	E
1680010631274	BELL CRANK		.8327	C	1	660	1.0	0	E
1680001466982	HOUSING SUBASSY		.8327	C	1	592	9.5	15116	E
1560011142131	RING, DRAG STRUT		.8324	C	1	600	13.5	818	E

IPP PROTOTYPE INDICATOR RESULTS
*** DISC ***

NSN	ITEM NAME	PLAN CODE	INDCTR VALUE	SRC CODE	ESS CODE	LEAD TIME	MOB DMD RATIO	MOB DEMAND VALUE	AGE OF ITEM
5360011290926	SPRING, HELICAL, EXTE		.8323	C	1	651	1.0	0	E
1680001034434	HOUSING ASSEMBLY, ST		.8323	C	1	580	6.7	25942	E
5340011208344	CLEVIS, ROD END		.8322	C	1	655	0.0	0	E
5305005291728	SETSCREW		.8322	C	1	180	776.0	978	E
1560011025998	DETENT ASSEMBLY		.8322	C	1	570	21.0	1653	E
1560010871676	HANDLE ASSY, LH		.8321	C	1	630	4.5	556	E
3120010974978	BUSHING, SLEEVE		.8320	C	1	630	4.0	269	E
5310010600102	NUT, SELF-LOCKING, RO		.8320	C	1	650	0.0	0	E
1560011554600	BRACKET, RIGGING PIN		.8320	C	1	248	267.0	5596	E
5315011600407	PIN, SHOULDER, HEADLE		.8319	C	1	648	0.0	0	E
1560000772182	DUCT ASSEMBLY		.8319	C	1	648	0.0	0	E
3120011211793	BUSHING, SLEEVE		.8317	C	1	638	1.0	0	E
3120004124644	BEARING, PLAIN, SELF-		.8315	C	1	538	1.0	0	N
5315011082655	PIN, SHOULDER, HEADED		.8315	C	1	635	1.0	0	E
1560011055777	PLATE ASSEMBLY		.8314	C	1	600	8.0	279	E
1560011014067	COLLAR ASSEMBLY, TIE		.8314	C	1	420	59.0	85503	E
5315011308564	PIN, STRAIGHT, HEADLE		.8313	C	1	631	1.0	0	E
3110002750110	BEARING, ROLLER, AIRF		.8311	C	1	595	5.5	7029	E
1560002387016	WINDSHIELD PANEL, AI		.8310	C	1	539	2.2	66381	E
5340011161316	CLAMP, BLOCK		.8309	C	1	627	0.0	0	E
5306003274845	BOLT, ALIGHTING GEAR		.8306	C	1	375	84.0	133398	E
5306009372295	BOLT, EXTERNALLY REL		.8304	C	1	617	0.0	0	E
330008593639	PACKING, PREFORMED		.8301	C	1	607	1.2	41	E
5306008540583	BOLT, MACHINE		.8301	C	1	612	.1	68	E
5305008247362	SCREW, MACHINE		.8301	C	1	175	336.0	366870	E