

AD-A197 088

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

25 DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		15. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS	
4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) ONR TECHNICAL REPORT # 88-2		3 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.	
5a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	
5c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 660 S. Euclid, Box 8111, NEUROLOGY DEPT., ST. LOUIS, MO 63110	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH (CODE 1142PT)	7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) PERSONNEL & TRAINING RESEARCH PROGRAMS 800 NORTH QUINCY STREET ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5000	
8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER N00014-86-0289	
9c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS	
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO 61153N	TASK NO RR04206
		WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO RR04206-OA	NR442a554
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) Relating Sensitivity and Criterion Effects to the Internal Mechanisms of Visual Spatial Attention			
12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Gordon L. Shulman and Michael I. Posner			
13a. TYPE OF REPORT TECHNICAL	13b. TIME COVERED FROM 01MAY88 TO 01MAY89	14 DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) APRIL 30, 1988	15 PAGE COUNT 14
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION			
17 COSATI CODES		18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	
05	10		
19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)			
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☒ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☒ SAME AS RPT ☐ DTIC USERS		21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED	
22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL MICHAEL I. POSNER		22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (314) 362-3317	22c OFFICE SYMBOL ONR 1142PT

DTIC
ELECTE
JUL 22 1988
S
CH
D

RELATING SENSITIVITY AND CRITERION EFFECTS TO THE
INTERNAL MECHANISMS OF VISUAL SPATIAL ATTENTION

Gordon L. Shulman and Michael I. Posner

ONR Technical Report 88-2

Research sponsored by:

Personnel and Training Research Program
Psychological Science Division,
Office of Naval Research

Under Control Number:

N00014-86-K-0289

Contract Authority Number:

NR-442a554

Reproduction in whole or part is permitted for any
purpose of the United States Government

Relating Sensitivity and Criterion Effects to the Internal Mechanisms of Visual Spatial Attention¹

Gordon L. Shulman and Michael I. Posner

A recent paper by Muller and Findlay (1987) raises the important issue of how to relate the parameters d' and beta to the internal mechanisms that process visual stimuli. In this commentary we consider the widely held view that d' changes reflect a variety of mechanisms leading to perception, but that beta changes reflect a single high level decision mechanism that is postperceptual and under conscious control. We will argue that in a complex highly parallel, multi-level system, both sensitivity and criterion shifts may influence perception in lawful ways - neither being necessarily more basic and important. Later in the paper, we will also raise some methodological considerations that qualify Muller and Findlay's results.

We do not argue that Muller and Findlay's conclusion that probability manipulations produce beta shifts in detection tasks and d' shifts in identification tasks is necessarily wrong. We do question, however, the implication Muller and Findlay along with others often draw from this kind of result - that detection tasks involve 'radically' different selection mechanisms than identification tasks. In the following discussion, the terms d' and beta will refer to the quantities one computes from data collected in an experiment; the term criterion or signal-noise ratio will refer to the theoretical variables that may underly changes in those measured quantities.

The Standard Interpretation of Beta Shifts

What mechanisms produce a beta shift? The usual answer is that shifts in beta reflect the operation of a conscious high level decision mechanism under the observer's control. It is a mechanism that operates fairly late in processing after stimuli have been encoded. The same mechanism is assumed to operate in all detection tasks, whether one is detecting tones, points of light or tumors. The mechanism uses in a rough sense the rules of statistical decision theory to set criteria, which are therefore influenced by probability manipulations and changes in payoffs. Experiments have confirmed that changes in signal probability or payoffs produce changes in beta.

According to this view, beta shifts in location cueing experiments result from something like the following process. If subjects are cued that a stimulus at a particular location is unlikely, then a percept at that location is disregarded, consciously rejected. Although signal detection theory only speaks of signal and noise levels and criterion values (it is not necessarily intended as a process model) this interpretation of the mechanism producing beta shifts is widespread. It doubtless results from the fact that instructing subjects to change their willingness to report a signal produces measured shifts in beta. The assumption seems to be that since beta shifts in this case are caused by a

particular mechanism, any shift in beta must be caused by the same mechanism.

Empirical Problems for the Standard Interpretation of Beta Shifts

Psychologists often ascribe d' shifts produced by different variables to different mechanisms (Kahneman, 1973). For example, luminance masks interfere with target identification only when target and mask are presented to the same eye, while pattern masks are effective under dichoptic presentation (Turvey, 1973). These results have suggested a distinction between central and peripheral masking. Changes in stimulus duration or other temporal variables produce different effects on detection depending upon the spatial frequency of the target stimulus (Tolhurst, 1975a, 1975b; Legge, 1978). These latter effects are attributed to the different spatial and temporal properties of sustained and transient mechanisms.

The recognition that changes in d' may be caused by different mechanisms is fortunate. Given that there are two basic parameters in signal detection theory, assigning each parameter to a particular mechanism would produce limited two box theories of a system which is a much more complicated multi-level affair. However, our interpretations of beta are more restricted (but see Kahneman, 1973). This may partly result from parsimony. If only a single mechanism is required to account for beta changes, there is no reason to postulate multiple mechanisms. We will argue below that the literature on spatial attention cannot be explained in terms of the decision mechanism commonly assumed to underlie beta shifts; i.e. the mechanism that produces beta shifts when subjects are instructed to change their willingness to report a signal.

This literature generally uses simple reaction time rather than detection, but as Shaw (1984) and Duncan (1980) have noted, the same decision framework is applicable. When the decision criterion is lowered for a location, it takes less time for a stimulus to exceed that criterion, resulting in a faster response.

A number of observations from location cueing experiments indicate constraints on performance that are inconsistent with the operation of the standard decision mechanism.

1. The performance deficit for noncued locations is greater if those locations cross either the vertical or horizontal meridians relative to the cued location (Hughes & Zimba, 1987; Rizzolatti, Riggio, Descola & Umiltà, 1987). Further, deficits for uncued locations are a function of the distance/number of intervening positions of the uncued location from the cued location (Downing & Pinker, 1985; Shulman, Wilson & Sheehy, 1985; Shulman, Sheehy & Wilson, 1986; Rizzolatti, et. al., 1987; Hughes & Zimba, 1987, argue that this effect depends upon the use of an articulated visual field). Distance effects have also been reported for the effects of distractors in identification tasks



For

Classification, Distribution, and Control

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.

Dist. Special

A-1

(Eriksen & St. James, 1986) and for probe tasks in which the target occurs at different distances from a second stimulus that the subject is processing or has just processed (LaBerge, 1983; Sagi & Julesz, 1986)). Moreover, the slope of the distance function decreases with the eccentricity of the cue. This latter result has also been found using both probability manipulations (Downing & Pinker, 1985; Shulman, Wilson & Sheehy, 1985; Shulman, Sheehy & Wilson, 1986) and probe methods (Sagi & Julesz, 1986). Why should a decision maker raise criteria for noncued locations to a degree dependent on the distance from the cued location and why should these changes depend on eccentricity? Why should a decision maker care whether the uncued stimulus crosses the vertical or horizontal meridians?

2. In some situations, probability effects are weaker if the same location is made highly probable over a long block of trials, rather than being cued on each trial (Posner, et. al., 1980). Blocked conditions would seem ideal for the standard decision criterion mechanism.

3. Without any manipulation of probability similar changes in performance can be obtained in both detection and identification tasks by presenting a peripheral stimulus near the target (Jonides, 1976, 1980; Maylor, 1985), or by having a target occur near a task being performed by the subject (LaBerge, 1983; Hoffman, Nelson & Houck, 1983; Sagi and Julesz, 1986). If a peripheral stimulus is a target for an eye movement, facilitation is also found at the target location prior to the actual movement (Posner, 1980; Remington, 1980; Shepard, Findlay & Hockey, 1985).

It is not known if these non-probabilistic methods produce beta or d' shifts during detection tasks. But suppose they produce beta shifts. Why would the decision maker be forced to attend to the location of a projected eye movement even when a foveal target is given a higher probability? This kind of constraint is clearly outside of the characteristics usually given to an ideal observer. However, it is consistent with the operation of a spatial selection mechanism.

Nor does it seem reasonable to take the view that probability manipulations involve the standard decision process while peripheral cues and eye movements affect performance via some other mechanism. If a peripheral cue is presented or an eye movement is prepared to a location with a low target probability, that location will initially be facilitated in comparison with higher probability locations. Probabilistic and non-probabilistic manipulations direct the orientation of a single selection mechanism (Posner, 1980; Posner & Rafal, 1982; Shepard, Findlay & Hockey, 1985; For a possible dissociation of these two manipulations, see Briand & Klein, 1987).

4. Patients with lesions of the parietal lobe show characteristic performance shifts in reporting targets in the field contralesional to

the cued location (Baynes, Holtzman & Volpe, 1986; Ladavas, 1987; Morrow & Ratcliff, 1987; Posner, et. al., 1984). These impairments are not due to a failure to understand the task or to a problem in sensory input or motor output (Posner, et al, 1984). Subjects show they can respond to probability manipulations when targets are in the ipsilesional field. To the extent that these cueing deficits represent a malfunction of a decision mechanism, that mechanism must be field specific. Moreover, the effects of lesions can be specific to modalities. De Renzi, Gentilini and Pattacini (1984) have shown statistical independence between visual and auditory deficits (see also Sieroff & Michel, in press), indicating that the decision mechanism is modality specific.

These effects occur in luminance detection (Posner, et. al, 1984), visual search (Friedrich, Walker & Posner, 1980) and identification tasks (Riddoch & Humphreys, 1987). There is no evidence that patients show a basic difference between detection and identification.

In (1) and (2) criteria shifts resulting from cueing manipulations are based on rules that are not derived from the theory of the ideal detector. The non-probability manipulations of (3) also cannot be explained through standard decision rules, and as noted, they probably affect the same mechanism as probability cues. One could say that the mechanism of the standard theory incorporates rules, in addition to those originally envisioned, but we are then left without a theory explaining why the system follows these extra rules. Moreover, the field and modality specificity of the lesion results (4) suggest a much different mechanism than that thought to be responsible for beta shifts.

Alternative Mechanisms for Producing Beta Shifts

The constraints outlined above seem more consistent with a selective attention system than the standard decision mechanism. While we do not intend to develop a theory of selective attention, consider a simple selection mechanism that governs whether information is transmitted from one process to another; for example in detection tasks, from sensory pathways to those involved in making decisions and responses. Selection might be accomplished by only passing activity that exceeds a certain criterion value. When one cues a certain region that value is lowered, increasing the likelihood that noise generated activity and signal generated activity will be passed to other systems. If these later systems do not change signal/noise ratios, a beta shift will result. Alternately, a colleague has suggested that overall activity is boosted at cued locations. Since this increase will occur for both signal and noise, the effect is again equivalent to shifting a criterion.

Kahneman (1973) has noted that a criterion at one level of the system essentially controls what categorizations are made at that level and therefore, what information is passed to the next. In the standard signal detection model, the criterion controls the categories 'yes' and 'no' and

therefore the information sent to an overt response stage. In the present model, a criterion controls a selection process that determines whether information from different spatial regions is input to other processing stages. This criterion shift may have powerful perceptual consequences since a categorization at one stage can affect processing at a subsequent stage. For example, Kahneman notes that the rectangular perception of the Ames room results from a criterion bias since a trapezoidal interpretation is equally consistent with the sensory input. Yet the categorization or description of the Ames room as rectangular produces a very powerful effect on size perception. Effects of expectations or top-down processing on perception can be treated similarly (Broadbent, 1971). Since a criterion controls the flow of information from one process to another, the function or effect of the criterion shift will depend on the nature of these processes.

Spatial selection, for example, may serve a number of functions or produce a variety of effects depending on the task. Selection may determine which stimuli engage an eye movement control system. Several papers have shown that a preparatory shift of attention precedes an eye movement to a peripheral stimulus (Remington, 1980; Shepard, Findlay & Hockey, 1986). If the task involves identification or conjunctive search, spatial selection may enable analyzers limited in capacity to process the relevant stimulus without suffering interference. Other authors have proposed additional reasons for spatial selection (Ullman, 1984; Navon, 1985).

Reconsider Muller and Findlay's argument that a radically different mechanism operates in detection and identification tasks. The above discussion suggests the alternative possibility that the selection mechanism is the same in all of these paradigms. The task dependency would reflect how the stimuli are processed once they are selected. To the extent that selection controls access to mechanism that change signal/noise ratios, selection will produce d' changes. In the case of detection, signal/noise ratios are determined early in the system, prior to selection. Selection therefore produces a beta shift. In the case of identification, many processes will determine the final signal/noise ratio; some of the relevant processes may well occur subsequent to selection. Selection therefore produces a d' shift.

When subjects are cued that a stimulus will occur at a location, introspectively, one has the strong impression of attending to that location. This impression does not depend on what stimuli are subsequently presented, i.e. whether it is a single stimulus in an empty field (detection), or four stimuli (identification). Although completely different mechanisms may underlie the performance consequences of using the cue, it seems likely that the same mechanism is involved.

Methodological issues in Muller & Findlay's Experiment

Aside from our concern over the interpretation of Muller and Findlay's results, we believe there may be problems with their methodology. Muller and Findlay make two specific claims in their article.

1. Probability manipulations produce beta shifts in detection tasks and d' shifts in identification tasks. Muller and Findlay suggest that the selection process in detection tasks is radically different than in identification tasks. In identification tasks, the probability manipulation directs a selection process that determines which inputs are analyzed by limited capacity form analyzers. In detection tasks, the probability manipulation does not affect this selection mechanism, or if it does, the selection mechanism has no effect on performance (either d' or beta). Observers use the location probabilities to affect a quite different mechanism, a decision process that differentially weights evidence from different regions.

Muller and Findlay's conclusion concerning the effect of location probability manipulations on d' and beta during detection tasks rests on a particular procedure for assigning false alarms to the different validity conditions. When a subject makes a false alarm it is not possible to assign that observation to a particular location since no signal was in fact presented. Muller and Findlay adopt Bashinski and Bachrach's method of asking the subject to make a location response and using that response to assign false alarms to valid and invalid conditions. Subjects in Muller and Findlay's experiment make three responses on each trial. First, they give a yes-no response, then a confidence rating of the response, and finally, a location response. Muller and Findlay suggest that these responses are determined as follows. On any trial, strength values for the four possible locations are sampled. These samples are then weighted according to the apriori probabilities assigned to their location. If the sum of the weighted samples exceeds a criterion, a detection response is given. Different degrees of confidence essentially correspond to different degrees of (weighted) strength with respect to the yes-no criterion (equivalently, criterion are set up for each confidence level). The location response is determined by the location of the weighted sample giving the largest strength response.

This account makes a number of assumptions. During the detection process, the observer must know the location of each sample so that its strength can be appropriately weighted. This knowledge must then be reflected in the location response so that the latter is an accurate measure of the use of location information and location probabilities in the detection process.

Suppose there was some uncertainty during the detection stage concerning the location of the sample being weighted. For weak signals, subjects may know that something was presented, but may not know where, a dissociation that could depend upon signal strength. Uncertainty

concerning sample location will decrease the effect of the probability manipulation on detection; valid samples will receive their stronger weighting on fewer trials. The same uncertainty, however, will increase the effect of the probability manipulation on the location response. With more uncertainty concerning the actual location of the strongest sample, subjects will rely more on apriori probabilities. Imperfect knowledge of location will therefore increase the estimated false alarm rate for the valid condition.

Although imperfect knowledge of location could arise during the initial perceptual stage, later processes might also contribute. Since the location response is the third response the subject makes, the subject may forget where the stimulus was presented, particularly when the initial signal is weak and ambiguous. Any loss of location information between the detection and location response will again increase the effect of the apriori location probabilities on the location response.

This analysis suggests that an estimate of the false alarm rate based on the location response will inflate the 'true' false alarm rate for valid trials. In the luminance detection condition of Experiment 2 of Muller and Findlay, the false alarm rate was 7.2% for valid trials, 4.8% for invalid. This small increase might well be accounted for by the factors suggested above.

Given the complexities and assumptions involved in the method of Muller and Findlay, the issue of d' shifts and spatial attention is perhaps best addressed using 'criterion free' methods such as two interval forced choice.

We therefore do not believe that Muller and Findlay have convincingly demonstrated that cueing produces d' shifts in identification tasks and beta shifts in detection tasks. However, even if this result were established, as noted above, we question their interpretation of the underlying mechanisms.

2. Spatial attention can be divided between different locations contrary to the conclusion of Posner, Snyder & Davidson (1980).

We do not see how Findlay and Muller's experiment contradicts the results of Posner et. al. The latter authors were concerned with whether attention could be split to disparate locations. They used a linear display of four locations, and showed that when a location was cued with high validity, a secondary cue with lower validity affected cue performance if it was directed to an adjacent location but not if it was directed to a location that was separated by an intervening location from the primary cue location.

The data of Posner, et. al. show that attention can be spread to multiple adjacent locations but not to locations separated by noncued positions. Kiefer and Siple (1987, in press) has recently replicated both

results using a trial by trial technique in which two equally probable locations were used. and other authors using different methods have confirmed that attention can be spread over regions of variable size (LaBerge, 1983; Eriksen & St. James, 1986).

Muller and Findlay used a display in which targets could appear at one of four points on an imaginary square. Subjects were never required to attend to regions separated by noncued regions. Trials in which two locations were cued can be grouped into three categories 1) both cued positions in the left and right field, 2) both in the upper or lower field, and 3) both along the diagonal of the square. In the first two cases, subjects could use the cue by spreading attention to adjacent regions. The third case is somewhat ambiguous but does not require the spatial distribution of attention that Posner et. al. studied. Muller and Findlay's experiment is therefore consistent with Posner et. al.'s claim that attention can be spread to adjacent regions; it does not pertain to the claim that it cannot be split (at least in the sense Posner et. al. studied).

Currently, the study of attention is entering an exciting phase in which the operations involved in internal mechanisms of attention are being related to neural systems (see Berlucchi & Rizzolatti, 1987; Posner & Marin, 1985 for reviews). These efforts require combining careful performance studies of the type done by Muller & Findlay with efforts to understand the neural systems involved. For these efforts to succeed, it is necessary to relate performance parameters (e.g. d' and β) to the many mechanisms that may influence them.

1 Writing of this critique was supported by a contract No. N00014-86-K-0289 from the Office of Naval Research. The authors appreciate an analysis by Dr. Harold Hawkins that aided the development of this paper.

References

- Baynes, K., Holtzman, J.D. & Volpe, B.T. (1986) Components of visual attention: alterations in response pattern to visual stimuli following parietal lobe infarction. Brain, 109, 99-114.
- Berlucchi, G. & Rizzolatti, G. (eds.) (1987) Selective visual attention. Neuropsychologia, 25, 1A.
- Briand, K. & Klein, R. (1987) Is Posner's "beam" the same as Treisman's "glue": On the relation between visual orienting and feature integration theory. JEP:HPP, 13, 228-241.
- Broadbent, D. (1971) Decision and Stress. London:Academic Press.
- De Renzi, E., Gentilini, M. & Pattacini, F. Auditory extinction following hemisphere damage. Neuropsychologia, 1984, 22, 733-744.
- Downing, C. and Pinker, S. (1985) The spatial structure of visual attention. In Mechanisms of Attention: Attention and Performance XI, M. I. Posner and O.S.M. Marin (eds.). Erlbaum and Associates, Hillsdale, New Jersey.
- Duncan, J. (1980) The demonstration of capacity limitation. Cognitive Psychology, 12, 75-96.
- Eriksen, C. and St. James, J. (1966) Visual attention with and around the field of focal attention: a zoom lens model. Perception & Psychophysics, 40, 225-240.
- Friedrich, F.J., Walker, J. & Posner, M.I. (1985) Effects of parietal lesions on visual matching: implications for reading errors. Cognitive Neuropsychology, 2, 253-264.
- Hoffman, J., Nelson, B. & Houck, M. (1983) The role of attentional resources in automatic detection. Cognitive Psychology, 15, 379-410.
- Hughes, H. & Zimba, L. (1987) Natural boundaries for the spatial spread of directed visual attention. Neuropsychologia, 25, 5-18.
- Jonides, J. (1976) Voluntary versus reflexive control of the mind's eye movement. Paper presented at the Psychonomics Society, St. Louis, MO.
- Kahneman, D. (1973) Attention and Effort. Englewood, NJ:Prentice-Hall.
- Kiefer, R.J. & Siple, P. (1987) Spatial constraints on the voluntary control of attention across visual space. Canadian Journal of Psychology, 41, 474-489.

- LaBerge, D. (1983) Spatial extent of attention to letters and words. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 9, 371-380.
- Ladavas, E. (1987) Is the hemispatial deficit produced by right parietal lesions associated with retinal or gravitational coordinates? Brain, 167-180.
- Legge, G. (1978) Sustained and transient mechanisms in human vision: temporal and spatial properties. Vision Research, 18, 69-81.
- Maylor, E. (1985) Facilitatory and inhibitory components of orienting in visual space. In M. Posner and O. Marin (eds.) Attention and Performance XI. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Morrow, L.A. & Ratcliff, G. (1987) Attentional mechanisms in visual neglect. J. of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology, 9, 1, 74-75.
- Muller, H. and Findlay, J. (1987) Sensitivity and criterion effects in the spatial cueing of visual attention. Perception & Psychophysics, 42, 383-399.
- Navon, D. (1985) Attention division or attention sharing? In M. Posner and O. Marin (eds.) Attention and Performance XI. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Nissen, M., Posner, M. & Snyder, C. (1978) Relationship between attention shifts and saccadic eye movements. Paper presented at the Psychonomics Society, November, 1978.
- Posner, M.I. (1980) Orienting of attention. Quarterly J. of Experimental Psychology, 32, 3-25.
- Posner, M.I. & Marin, O.S.M. (eds) (1985) Attention and Performance XI. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum.
- Posner, M.I., Snyder, C. & Davidson, B. (1980) Attention and the detection of signals. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 109, 160-174.
- Posner, M.I., Cohen, Y., and Rafal, R.D. Neural systems control of spatial orienting. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B 298, 1982, 187-198.
- Posner, M.I., Walker, J., Friedrich, F. & Rafal, R. (1984) Effects of parietal injury on covert orienting of attention. Journal of Neuroscience, 4, 1863-1874.
- Remington, R. (1980) Attention and saccadic eye movements. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 6, 726-744.

- Riddoch, J. & Humphreys, G.W. (1987) Perceptual and action systems in unilateral visual neglect. In M. Jeannerod (ed.) Neurophysiological and Neuropsychological Aspects of Spatial Neglect, Elsevier:Amsterdam, 151-181.
- Rizzolatti, G., Riggio, L., Dascola, I., & Umiltà, C. (1987) Reorienting attention across the horizontal and vertical meridians: evidence in favor of a premotor theory of attention. Neuropsychologia, 25, 31-40.
- Sagi, D. & Julesz, B. (1986) Enhanced detection in the aperture of focal attention during simple discrimination tasks. Nature, 321, 693-695.
- Shaw, M. (1984) Division of attention among spatial locations: a fundamental difference between detection of letters and detection of luminance increments. In H. Bouma and D. Bowhuis (eds.) Attention and Performance X, Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Shepard, M., Findlay, J. & Hockey, R. (1986) The relationship between eye movements and spatial attention. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 38a, 475-491.
- Shulman, G., Wilson, J. & Sheehy, J. (1985) Spatial determinants of the distribution of attention. Perception & Psychophysics, 37, 59-66.
- Shulman, G., Sheehy, J. & Wilson, J. (1986) Gradients of spatial attention. Acta Psychologica, 61, 167-181.
- Sieroff, E. & Michel, F. (1987) Verbal visual extinction in right/left hemisphere patients and the problems of lexical access. Neuropsychologia, in press.
- Tolhurst, D. (1975a) Reaction times in the detection of gratings by human observers: a probabilistic mechanism. Vision Research, 15, 1143-1150.
- Tolhurst, D. (1975b) Sustained and transient channels in human vision. Vision Research, 15, 1151-1155.
- Turvey, M. (1973) On peripheral and central processes in vision: inferences from an information-processing analysis of masking with patterned stimuli. Psychological Review, 80, 1-52.
- Ullman, S. (1984) Visual Routines. Cognition, 18, 97-159.

Distribution List

DR. PHILLIP L. ACKERMAN
UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455

DR. BETH ADELSON
DEPT. OF COMP. SCIENCE
TUFTS UNIVERSITY
MEDFORD, MA 02155

TECHNICAL DIRECTOR,
ARMY HUMAN ENG. LAB
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND
MD 21005

DR. ROBERT AHLERS
CODE N711
HUMAN FACTORS LABORATORY
NAVAL TRAINING SYSTEMS CTR.
ORLANDO, FL 32813

DR. JOHN ALLEN
DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGY
GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY
4000 UNIVERSITY DRIVE
FAIRFAX, VA 22030

DR. EARL A. ALLUISI
HQ AFHRL (AFSC)
BROOKS, AFB TX 78235

DR. JAMES ANDERSON
BROWN UNIVERSITY
CENTER FOR NEURAL SCI.
PROVIDENCE, RI 02912

DR. NANCY S. ANDERSON
DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND
COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742

DR. ED AIKEN
NAVY PERSONNEL R&D CENTER
SAN DIEGO, CA 92152-6800

TECHNICAL DIRECTOR, ARI
5001 EISENHOWER AVENUE
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333

DR. ALAN BAUDELEY - MRC
APPLIED PSYCHOLOGY UNIT
15 CHAUCER ROAD
CAMBRIDGE CB2 2EF
ENGLAND

DR. JAMES BALLAS
GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY
DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20057

DR. HAROLD BAMFORD
NAT. SCIENCE FDN.
1800 G STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20550

DR. ISAAC BEJAR
EDUCATIONAL TESTING
SERVICE
PRINCETON, NJ 08540

DR. ALVAH BITTNER
NAVAL BIODYNAMICS LAB.
NEW ORLEANS, LA 70189

DR. JOHN BLAIII
DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY
GEORGE MASON UNIV.
4000 UNIVERSITY DRIVE
FAIRFAX, VA 22030

SUE BOGNER, ARMY RES. INST.
ATTN: PERLSE
5001 EISENHOWER AV.
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333-5600

DR. GORDON H. BOWER
DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
STANFORD, CA 94306

MR. DONALD C. BURG
GENERAL PHYSICS CORP.
10650 HICKORY RIDGE RD.
COLUMBIA, MD 21044

DR. GAIL CARPENTER
NORTHEASTERN UNIV.
DEPT. OF MATH, 504LA
360 HUNTINGTON AVENUE
BOSTON, MA 02115

DR. TYRONE CASHMAN
AMER. SOC. OF CYBERNETICS
3428 FREMONT AVE. SOUTH
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55408

DR. ALPHONSE CHAPANIS
8415 BELLOVA LANE
SUITE 210-BUXTON TOWERS
BALTIMORE, MD 21204

DR. PAUL R. CHATELIER
OUSDRE
PENTAGON
WASH. D.C. 20350-2000

MR. RAYMOND E. CHRISTEL
AFHRL/MOE
BROOKS AFB, TX 78235

DR. DAVID E. CLEMENT
DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY
UNIV. OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA, SC 29208

DR. CHARLES CLIFTON
TOBIN HALL
DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY
UNIV. OF MASSACHUSETTS
AMHERST, MA 01003

ASST. CHIEF OF STAFF FOR
RES., DEV., TEST & EVAL.
NAVAL EDUC. & TR. COM. (N-5)
NAS PENSACOLA, FL 32508

DR. MICHAEL COLES
UNIV. OF ILLINOIS
DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY
CHAMPAIGN, IL 61820

DR. ALLAN M. COLLINS
BOLT BERANEK & NEWMAN, INC
50 MOULTON STREET
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138

DR. STANLEY COLLYER
OFFICE OF NAVAL TECH.
CODE 222
800 N. QUINCY ST.
ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5000

DR. GARY ASTON-JONES
DEPT. OF BIOLOGY, NYU
1009 MAIN BLDG.
WASHINGTON SQUARE
NEW YORK, NY 10003

DR. LYNN A. COOPER
LEARNING R&D CENTER
UNIV. OF PITTSBURGH
3939 O'HARA STREET
PITTSBURGH, PA 15213

PHIL CUNNIFF
COMMANDING OFFICER
CODE 7532, NAVAL UNDERSEA
WARFARE ENGINEERING
KEYPORT, WA 98345

BRIAN DALLMAN
3400 TTWITCXS
LOWRY AFB, CO 80330-5000

LT. JOHN DEATON
ONR CODE 125
800 N. QUINCY STREET
ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5000

DR. STANLEY DEUTSCH
COMMITTEE ON HUMAN FACTORS
NAT'L ACAD. OF SCIENCE
2101 CONSTITUTION AVE.
WASHINGTON, DC 20418

DR. R. K. DISMUKES
ASSOC. DIR. FOR LIFE SCI.
AFOSR
BOLLING AFB
WASHINGTON, DC 20332

DR. DANIEL GOPHER
IND. ENG. & MANAGEMENT
TECHNION
HAIFA 32000
ISRAEL

DR. SHERRIE GOTT
AFHRL/MODJ
BROOKS AFB, TX 78235

JORDAN GRAFMAN, PH.D.
2021 LYTTONSVILLE ROAD
SILVER SPRING, MD 20910

DR. PAT CARPENTER
CARNEGIE-MELLON UNIV.
DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY
PITTSBURGH, PA 15213

DR. WAYNE GRAY
ARMY RESEARCH INSTITUTE
5001 EISENHOWER AVENUE
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333

DR. BERT GREEN
JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY
DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY
CHARLES & 34TH ST.
BALTIMORE, MD 21218

DR. JAMES G. GREENO
UNIVERSITY OF CALIF.
BERKELEY, CA 94720

DR. WILLIAM GREENOUGH
UNIV. OF ILLINOIS
DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY
CHAMPAIGN, IL 61820

DR. STEPHEN GROSSBERG
CTR. FOR ADAPT. SYSTEMS
ROOM 244, BOSTON UNIV.
111 CUMMINGTON STREET
BOSTON, MA 02215

DR. HENRY M. HALFF
HALFF RESOURCES, INC.
4918 33RD ROAD, NORTH
ARLINGTON, VA 22207

DR. NANCY F. HALFF
HALFF RESOURCES, INC.
4918 33RD ROAD, NORTH
ARLINGTON, VA 22207

DR. MUHAMMAD K. HABIB
UNIV. OF NORTH CAROLINA
DEPT. OF BIOSTATISTICS
CHAPEL HILL, NC 27514

PROF. EDWARD HAERTEL
SCHOOL OF EDUCATION
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
STANFORD, CA 94305

DR. LEON COOPER
BROWN UNIVERSITY
CENTER FOR NEURAL SCI.
PROVIDENCE, RI 02912

DR. HAROLD HAWKINS
ONR - CODE 1142PT
800 N. QUINCY ST.
ARLINGTON, VA
22217-5000

PROF. JOHN R. HAYES
CARNEGIE-MELLON UNIV.
DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY
SCHENLEY PARK
PITTSBURGH, PA 15213

DR. JOAN I. HELLER
505 HADDON ROAD
OAKLAND, CA 94606

DR. STEPHANIE DOAN
CODE 6021
NAVAL AIR DEV. CTR.
WARMINSTER, PA
18974-5000

DR. EMANUEL DONCHIN
UNIV. OF ILLINOIS
DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY
CHAMPAIGN, IL 61820

MR. RALPH DUSEK
ARD COPORATION
5457 TWINS KNOLLS RD.
SUITE 400
COLUMBIA, MD 21045

DR. FORD EBNER
BROWN UNIV. MED. SCHOOL
ANATOMY DEPT.
PROVIDENCE, RI 02912

DR. JEFFREY ELMAN
UCSD
DEPT. OF LING. C-008
LA JOLLA, CA 92093

DR. RICHARD H. GRANGER DEPT. OF COMP. SCIENCE UNIV. CALIF. AT IRVINE IRVINE, CA 92717	DR. RONALD HAMBLETON PROF. OF EDUC. & PSYCH. BROGDEN PSYCH. BLDG. 1202 W. JOHNSON ST. MADISON, WI 53706	DR. WILLIAM EPSTEIN UNIV. OF WISCONSIN BROGDEN PSYCH. BLDG. 1202 W. JOHNSON ST. MADISON, WI 53706	DR. LEE GILES AFOSR WASHINGTON, DC 20332	DR. EARL HUNT DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY UNIV. OF WASHINGTON SEATTLE, WA 98105	DR. RUTH KANFER UNIV. OF MINN. - ELLIOTT HALL DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY 75 E. RIVER ROAD MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455
DR. STEVEN GRANT DEPT. OF BIOLOGY, NYU 1009 MAIN BUILDING WASHINGTON SQUARE NEW YORK NY 10003	DR. CHERYL HAMEL NTSC ORLANDO, FL 32813	DR. K. ANDERS ERICSSON UNIV. OF COLORADO DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY BOULDER, CO 80309	DR. EUGENE E. GLOYE ONR DETACHMENT 1030 E. GREEN PASADENA, CA 91106-2485	DR. ED HUTCHINS, UCSD INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS GROUP INST. FOR COG. SCI (C015) LA JOLLA, CA 92093	DR. MILTON S. KATZ ARMY RES. INST. 5001 EISENHOWER AVE. ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333
DR. JEROME FELDMAN UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER COMP. SCIENCE DEPT. ROCHESTER, NY 14627	DR. P. HELMERSEN UNIVERSITY OF OSLO DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY BOX 1094 OSLO 3, NORWAY	COL DENNIS W. JARVI COMMANDER AFHRL BROOKS, AFB 78235-5601	DR. JOSEPH GOGUEN COMP. SCI. LABORATORY SRI INTERNATIONAL 333 RAVENSWOOD AVE. MENLO PARK, CA 94025	DR. ALICE ISEN DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY UNIV. OF MARYLAND CATONSVILLE, MD 21228	DR. DEMETRIOS KARIS GRUMMAN AEROSPACE CORP. MS C04-14 BETHPAGE, NY 11714
DR. PAUL FELTOVICH SIU SCH. OF MEDICINE MED. EDUC. DEPT. P.O. BOX 3936 SPRINGFIELD, IL 62708	DR. STEVEN HILLIARD DEPT. OF NEUROSCI. UCSD LA JOLLA, CA 92093	DR. JOSEPH E. JOHNSON ASST. DEAN-GRAD. STUDIES COLLEGE OF SCI. & MATH UNIV. OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA, SC 29208	DR. LLOYD HUMPHREYS UNIV. OF ILLINOIS DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY 603 E. DANIEL STREET CHAMPAIGN, IL 61820	DR. DAVID KRANTZ 3 WASHINGTON SQ. VILLAGE APT. #15J NEW YORK, NY 10012	DR. CLAYTON LEWIS UNIV. OF COLORADO DEPT. OF COMP. SCI. CAMPUS BOX 410 BOULDER, CO 80309
DR. CRAIG I. FIELDS ARPA 1400 WILSON BLVD. ARLINGTON, VA 22209	DR. GEOFFREY HINTON COMP. SCIENCE DEPT. UNIV. OF TORONTO 10 KINGS COLLEGE RD. TORONTO, CANADA M5S 1A4	CDR TOM JONES ONR CODE 125 800 N. QUINCY ST. ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5000	DR. WENDY KELLOGG IBH T.J. WATSON RES. CTR. P.O. BOX 218 YORKTOWN HTS., NY 10598	DR. DAVID R. LAMBERT NAVAL OCEAN SYS. CTR. CODE 441T 371 CATALINA BLVD. SAN DIEGO, CA 92152-4800	DR. BOB LLOYD DEPT. OF GEOGRAPHY UNIV. OF S. CAROLINA COLUMBIA, SC 29208
DR. GAIL FLEISCHAKER MARGULIS LAB BIOLOGICAL SCI. CTR. 2 CUMMINGTON STREET BOSTON, MA 02215	DR. JIM HOLLAN INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS GRP. INST. FOR COG. SCIENCE UCSD LA JOLLA, CA 92093	MR. DANIEL B. JONES US NUCLEAR REG. COMM. DIV. OF HUMAN FACTORS SAFETY WASHINGTON, DC 20555	DR. STEVEN W. KEELE DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON EUGENE, OR 97403	DR. PAT LANGLEY UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA DEPT. OF INFO. & COMP. SCI. IRVINE, CA 92717	DR. FREDERIC M. LORD EDUC. TESTING SERV. PRINCETON, NJ 08541
DR. JANE M. FLINN DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY GEORGE MASON UNIV. 4400 UNIVERSITY DRIVE FAIRFAX, VA 22030	DR. JOHN HOLLAND UNIV. OF MICHIGAN 2313 EAST ENGINEERING ANN ARBOR, MI 48109	DR. DOUGLAS H. JONES THATCHER JONES ASSOC. P.O. BOX 6640 10 TRAFALGAR COURT LAWRENCEVILLE, NY 08648	DR. DENNIS KIBLER UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA DEPT. OF INFO. & COMP. SCI. IRVINE, CA 92717	DR. MARCY LANSMAN UNIV. OF N. CAROLINA THE L.L. THURSTONE LAB. DAVIE HALL 013A CHAPEL HILL, NC 27514	DR. GARY LYNCH UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA CTR. FOR THE NEUROBIOLOGY OF LEARNING & MEMORY IRVINE, CA 92717
DR. MICHEL GALLAGHER UNIV. OF NORTH CAROLINA DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY CHAPEL HILL, NC 27514	DR. MELISSA HOLLAND ARMY RES. INST. FOR THE BEH. & SOCIAL SCIENCES 5001 EISENHOWER AVENUE ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333	DR. JANE JORGENSEN UNIVERSITY OF OSLO INST. OF PSYCHOLOGY BOX 1094, BLINDERN OSLO, NORWAY	DR. JILL LARKIN CARNEGIE-MELLON UNIV. DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGY PITTSBURGH, PA 15213	DR. DON LYON P.O. BOX 44 HIGLEY, AZ 85236	DR. WILLIAM L. HALOY CHIEF OF NAVAL ED. & TR. NAVAL AIR STATION PENSACOLA, FL 32508
DR. R. EDWARD GEISELMAN DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES, CA 90024	DR. JAMES HOWARD DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY HUMAN PERFORMANCE LAB. CATHOLIC UNIV. OF AMERICA WASHINGTON, DC 20064	DR. MARCEL JUST CARNEGIE-MELLON UNIV. DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY SCHENLEY PARK PITTSBURGH, PA 15213	DR. ROBERT LAWLER INFORMATION SCIENCES, FRL GTE LABORATORIES, INC. 40 SYLVAN ROAD WALTHAM, MA 02254		
DR. DON GENTNER CENTER FOR HUMAN INFORMATION PROCESSING UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LA JOLLA, CA 92093	DR. KEITH HOLYOAK UNIV. OF MICHIGAN HUMAN PERFORMANCE CTR. 310 PACKARD ROAD ANN ARBOR, MI 48109	DR. DANIEL KAHNEMAN UNIV. OF BR. COL.-PSYCH. #154-2053 MAIN MALL VANCOUVER, BR. COLUMBIA CANADA V6T 1X7			DR. EVANS MANDES DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY 4400 UNIVERSITY DR. FAIRFAX, VA 22030

DR. RONALD KNOLL BELL LABORATORIES MURRAY HILL, NJ 07974	DR. ALAN M. LESGOLD LEARNING R&D CENTER UNIV. OF PITTSBURGH PITTSBURGH, PA 15260	DR. SANDRA P. MARSHALL DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY SAN DIEGO STATE UNIV. SAN DIEGO, CA 92182	DR. TOM MORAN XEROX PARC 3333 COTYOTE HILL ROAD PALO ALTO, CA 94304	DAIRA PAULSON CODE SI-TRAINING SYSTEMS NAVY PERSONNEL R&D CTR. SAN DIEGO, CA 92152-6800
DR. SYLVAN KORNBLUM UNIV. OF MICHIGAN MENTAL HEALTH RES. INST. 205 WASHTEENAW PLACE ANN ARBOR, MI 48109	DR. JIM LEVIN DEPT. OF EDUC. PSYCH. 210 EDUCATION BUILDING 1310 SOUTH SIXTH STREET CHAMPAIGN, IL 61820-4990	DR. RICHARD E. MAYER DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106	MR. MELVIN D. MONTEMERLO NASA HEADQUARTERS RTE. 4 WASHINGTON, DC 20546	DR. HAROLD F. O'NEIL, JR. SCHOOL OF EDUC. WPH 801 DEPT. OF EDUC. PSYCH. & TECHNOLOGY, USC LOS ANGELES, CA 90089-0031
DR. STEPHEN KOSSLYN HARVARD UNIVERSITY 1216 WILLIAM JAMES HALL 31 KIRKLAND ST. CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138	DR. JOHN LEVINE LEARNING R&D CENTER UNIV. OF PITTSBURGH PITTSBURGH, PA 15260	JAMES MCBRIDE, PSYCH. CORP C/O HARCOURT, BRACE, JAVANOVICH, INC. 1250 WEST 6TH STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92101	DR. WILLIAM MONTAGUE NPRDC CODE 13 SAN DIEGO, CA 92152-6800	DR. MICHAEL OBERLIN NAVAL TRAINING SYS. CTR. CODE 711 ORLANDO, FL 32813-7100
DR. KENNETH KOTOVSKY DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY COMM. COLLEGE OF ALLEGHENY 800 ALLEGHENY AVENUE PITTSBURGH, PA 15233	DR. MICHAEL LEVINE EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY 210 EDUCATION BLDG. UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS CHAMPAIGN, IL 61801	COMMANDING OFFICER NAVAL RES. LAB. CODE 2627 WASHINGTON, DC 20390	ONR, CODE 1142PT 800 N. QUINCY STREET ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5000 (6 COPIES)	DR. STELLAN OHLSSON LEARNING R&D CTR. UNIV. OF PITTSBURGH 3939 O'HARA STREET PITTSBURGH, PA 15213
DR. RANDY MUMAW PROGRAM MANAGER TRAINING RES. DIVISION 1100 S. WASHINGTON ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314	DR. JAMES MCMICHAEL ASST. FOR MPT RES., DEV. AND STUDIES, OP 01B7 WASHINGTON, DC 20370	SPEC. ASST. FOR MARINE CORP MATTERS ONR CODE 00MC 800 N. QUINCY ST. ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5000	DR. JESSE ORLANSKY INST. FOR DEFENSE ANALYSIS 1801 N. BEAUREGARD ST. ALEXANDRIA, VA 22311	DIR., RES. PROG., ONR 800 NORTH QUINCY ST. ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5000
DR. ALLEN MUNRO BEH. TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES - USC 1845 S. ELENA AVE., 4TH FL. REDONDO BEACH, CA 90777	DR. GAIL MCKOON, CASI PSYCHOLOGY KRESGE #210 NORTHWESTERN UNIV. 1859 SHERIDAN ROAD EVANSTON, IL 60201	ONR, CODE 1133 800 N. QUINCY STREET ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5000	DR. MARTHA POLSON DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY CAMPUS BOX 346 UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO BOULDER, CO 80309	MR. RAYMOND C. SIDORSKY ARMY RESEARCH INST. 5001 EISENHOWER AVE. ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333
DIR., MANPOWER & PERS. LAB. NPRDC (CODE 06) SAN DIEGO, CA 92152-6800	DR. JOE MCCLACHLAN NAVY PERSONNEL R&D CTR. SAN DIEGO, CA 92152-6800	MATHEMATICS GROUP, ONR CODE 1111MA 800 NORTH QUINCY ST. ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5000	DR. STEVEN E. POLTROCK MCC 9430 RESEARCH BLVD. ECHOLON BLDG. #1 AUSTIN, TX 78759-4509	DR. HERBERT A. SIMON DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY CARNEGIE-MELLON UNIV. SCHENLEY PARK PITTSBURGH, PA 15213
DR. RICHARD NISBETT UNIV. OF MICHIGAN INST. FOR SOC. RES. ROOM 5361 ANN ARBOR, MI 48109	DR. GEORGE A. MILLER DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY GREEN HALL, PRINCETON PRINCETON, NJ 08540	ONR - CODE 1141NP 800 N. QUINCY STREET ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5000	DR. LAUREN RESNICK LEARNING R&D CENTER UNIV. OF PITTSBURGH 3939 O'HARA STREET PITTSBURGH, PA 15213	DR. ZITA M. SIMUTIS INSTRUCTIONAL TECH. SYSTEMS AREA, ARI 5001 EISENHOWER ST. ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333
DR. MARY JO NISSEN UNIV. OF MINNESOTA N218 ELLIOTT HALL MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455	DR. BARBARA MEANS HUMAN RES. RES. ORG. 1100 SOUTH WASHINGTON ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314	ONR, CODE 1142 800 N. QUINCY ST. ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5000	DR. FRED REIF PHYSICS DEPARTMENT UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, CA 94720	DR. H. WALLACE SINAIO MANPOWER RES. & ADV. SERV. SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTE 801 N. PITT STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314
DEPUTY TECHNICAL DIRECTOR NPRDC CODE 01A SAN DIEGO, CA 92152-6800	DR. ROBERT MISLEVY EDUCATION TESTING SERV. PRINCETON, NJ 08541	ONR, CODE 1142EP 800 N. QUINCY STREET ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5000	DR. JAMES A. REGGIA UNIV. OF MD SCH. OF MED. DEPARTMENT OF NEUROLOGY 22 S. GREENE STREET BALTIMORE, MD 21201	DR. DEREK SLEMAN STANFORD UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF EDUCATION STANFORD, CA 94305

DR. JAMES PAULSON DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY PORTLAND STATE UNIVERSITY P.O. BOX 751 PORTLAND, OR 97207	DR. LYNN REIDER DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY CARNEGIE-MELLON UNIV. SCHENLEY PARK PITTSBURGH, PA 15213	DR. EDWARD E. SMITH BOLT BERANEK & NEWMAN, INC. 50 MOUNTAIN STREET CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138	DR. KATHRYN SPOHR BROWN UNIVERSITY DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY PROVIDENCE, RI 02912	DR. K. TATSUOKA CERL 251 ENGINEERING RES. LAB. URBANA, IL 61801	DR. HOWARD WAINER DIV. OF PSYCH. & EDUC. TESTING SERV. PRINCETON, NJ 08541
DR. PETER POLSON UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY BOULDER, CO 80309	DR. MARK D. RECKASE ACT P.O. BOX 168 IOWA CITY, IA 52243	DR. ALFRED F. SMODE SENIOR SCIENTIST CODE 07A NAVAL TRAINING SYS. CTR. ORLANDO, FL 32813	DR. ROBERT STERNBERG DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY YALE UNIVERSITY BOX 11A, YALE STATION NEW HAVEN, CT 06520	DR. MARTIN A. TOLCOTT 3001 YEATZ TERR., NW APT. 1617 WASHINGTON, DC 20008	DR. GIL RICARD MAIL STOP C04-14 GRUMMAN AEROSP. CORP. BETHPAGE, NY 11714
DR. JAMES W. PELLEGRINO UC - SANTA BARBARA DEPT. OF PSYCH. SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106	DR. MARY C. POTTER DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY MIT (6-10-033) CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139	DR. ROBERT F. SMITH DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY GEORGE MASON UNIV. 4400 UNIVERSITY DR. FAIRFAX, VA 22030	JAMES J. STASZEWSKI CARNEGIE-MELLON UNIVERSITY DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY SCHENLEY PARK PITTSBURGH, PA 15213	DR. ROBERT TSUTAKAWA UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI DEPT. OF STATISTICS 222 MATH SCIENCES BLDG. COLUMBIA, MO 65211	DR. DAVID RUMELHART CENTER FOR HUMAN INFORMATION PROC. UNIV. OF CALIF. LA JOLLA, CA 92093
DR. NANCY PENNINGTON UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO GRAD. SCH. OF MED. 1101 E. 58TH ST. CHICAGO, IL 60637	DR. JOSEPH PSOTKA ATTN: PERI-IC ARMY RESEARCH INST. 5001 EISENHOWER AVE. ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333	DR. LINDA B. SMITH DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY INDIANA UNIVERSITY BLOOMINGTON, IN 47405	DR. DOUGLAS TOWNE BEHAVIORAL TECH. LABS 1845 S. ELENA AVENUE REDONDO BEACH, CA 90277	DR. ZITA E. TIER DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY 4400 UNIVERSITY DRIVE FAIRFAX, VA 22030	
DR. RAY PEREZ ARI (PERI-II) 5001 EISENHOWER AVENUE ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333	DR. KARL PRIEBRAM STANFORD UNIVERSITY DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY BLDG. 4101 - JORDAN HALL STANFORD, CA 94305	DR. RICHARD E. SNOW DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY STANFORD UNIVERSITY STANFORD, CA 94306	DR. MAURICE TATSUOKA 220 EDUCATION BLDG. 1310 S. SIXTH ST. CHAMPAIGN, IL 61820	HQ - US MARINES CODE MP1-30 WASHINGTON, DC 20380	DR. ANDREW M. ROSE AM. INST. FOR RES. 1055 THOMAS JEFFERSON ST., NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007
DR. STEVEN PINKER DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY E10-018 MIT CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139	DR. DANIEL REISBERG DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY NEW SCHOOL FOR SOC. RES. 65 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10003	DR. TED STEINKE DEPT. OF GEOGRAPHY UNIV. OF S. CAROLINA COLUMBIA, SC 29208	DR. RICHARD F. THOMPSON STANFORD UNIVERSITY DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY BLDG. 401 - JORDAN HALL STANFORD, CA 94305	DR. AMOS TVERSKY STANFORD UNIVERSITY DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY STANFORD, CA 94305	DR. WM. B. ROUSE SEARCH TECH., INC. 25-B TECHNOLOGY PKATL. MORCROSS, VA 30092
DR. SAUL STERNBERG UNIV. OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY 3815 WALNUT STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104	DR. STEVE SUOMI NIH BLDG. 31 ROOM B28-15 BETHESDA, MD 20205	DR. MICHAEL I. TURVEY HASKINS LABORATORY 270 CROWN STREET NEW HAVEN, CT 06510	MR. BRAD SYMPSON NAVY PERSONNEL R&D CTR. SAN DIEGO, CA 92152-4800	DR. JAMES TWEEDDALE TECHNICAL DIRECTOR NAVY PERSONNEL R&D CTR. SAN DIEGO, CA 92152-4800	DR. E. L. SALZMAN HASKINS LABORATORIES 270 CROWN STREET NEW HAVEN, CT 06510
DR. ELLIOT SOLOWAY YALE UNIVERSITY COMPUTER SCI. DEPT. P.O. BOX 2158 NEW HAVEN, CT 06520	DR. H. SWAMINATHAN LAB. OF PSYCHOMETRIC & EVALUATION RESEARCH UNIV. OF MASSACHUSETTS AMHERST, MA 01003	DR. DAVID VALE ASSESSMENT SYSTEMS CORP. 2233 UNIVERSITY AVE. SUITE 310 ST. PAUL, MN 55114	DR. FUMIKO SAMEJIMA DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY UNIV. OF TENNESSEE KNOXVILLE, TN 37916	DR. T. B. SHERIDAN DEPT. OF MECH. ENG. MIT CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139	DR. DAVID J. WEISS N660 ELLIOTT HALL UNIV. OF MINNESOTA 75 E. RIVER ROAD MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455
DR. ALBERT STEVENS BOLT BERANEK & NEWMAN, INC. 10 MOUNTAIN ST. CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138	DR. JOHN TANGNEY AFOSR/NL BOLLING AFB, DC 20332	DR. KURT VAN LEHN CARNEGIE-MELLON UNIV. DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY SCHENLEY PARK PITTSBURGH, PA 15213	DR. DONALD RUBIN STAT. DEPT., HARVARD SCIENCE CTR., RM. 608 1 OXFORD STREET CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138	DR. HEATHER WILD NAVAL AIR DEV. CENTER CODE 6031 WARMINGSTER, PA 18974-5000	DR. JOE YASATUNE AFHRL/RT LOWRY AFB, CO 80230
DR. PAUL J. STICHA TRAINING RES. DIV. HUMPRO 1100 S. WASHINGTON ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		DR. ERNST Z. ROTHKOPF AT&T BELL LABORATORIES ROOM 2D-456 600 MOUNTAIN AVENUE MURRAY HILL, NJ 07974			DR. SHIH RUNG WEN JACKSON STATE UNIV. 1325 J. R. LYNCH ST. JACKSON, MS 39217

DR. MICHAEL J. SAMET
PERCEPTONICS, INC.
4371 VARIEL AVENUE
WOODLAND HILLS, CA 91364

DR. ARTHUR SAMUEL
YALE UNIVERSITY
DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY
BOX 11A, YALE STATION
NEW HAVEN, CT 06520

DR. ROGER SCHANK
YALE UNIVERSITY
COMP. SCI. DEPARTMENT
NEW HAVEN, CT 06520

DR. WALTER SCHNEIDER
LEARNING RAD. CTR.
UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH
3939 O'HARA STREET
PITTSBURGH, PA 15260

DR. MICHAEL G. SHAFTO
ONR CODE 1142PT
800 N. QUINCY STREET
ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5000

DR. JANET SCHOFIELD
LEARNING RAD. CENTER
UNIV. OF PITTSBURGH
PITTSBURGH, PA 15260

DR. ROBERT J. SEIDEL
US ARMY RES. INST.
5001 EISENHOWER AVE.
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333

CHIEF OF NAVAL ED. & TR.
LIAISON OFFICE
AIR FORCE HUMAN RES. LAB.
OPERATIONS TRAINING DIV.
WILLIAMS AFB, AZ 85224

DR. DONALD A. NORMAN
INST. FOR COG. SCI.
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA
LA JOLLA, CA 92093

DR. MICHAEL J. ZYDA
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
CODE 51CK
MONTEREY, CA 93943-5100

DR. ROBERT A. WISHER
U.S. ARMY INST. FOR THE
BEH. & SOC. SCIENCES
5001 EISENHOWER AVENUE
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333

DR. MARTIN F. WISKOFF
NAVY PERSONNEL R&D CTR.
SAN DIEGO, CA 92152-4800

MR. JOHN H. WOLFE
NAVY PERSONNEL R&D CTR.
SAN DIEGO, CA 92152-4800

GEORGE WONG, BIOSTATISTICS
MEMORIAL SLOAN-KETTERING
CANCER CENTER
1275 YORK AVENUE
NEW YORK, NY 10021

DR. DONALD WOODWARD
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
CODE 1141NP
800 NORTH QUINCY STREET
ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5000

DR. WALLACE WULFECK, III
NAVY PERS. R&D CENTER
SAN DIEGO, CA 92152-4800

MR. CARL YORK
SYSTEM DEV. FDN.
181 LYTTON AVENUE
SUITE 210
PALO ALTO, CA 94301

DR. DAVID NAVON
INST. FOR COGNITIVE SCI.
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA
LA JOLLA, CA 92093

DR. ROBERT SASMOR
ARMY RES. INSTITUTE
5001 EISENHOWER AVE.
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333

DEFENSE TECH. INFO. CTR.
CAMERON STATION, BLDG. 5
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314
ATTN: TC

DR. KEITH WESCOURT
FMC CORPORAION
CENTRAL ENG. LABS.
1185 COLEMAN AVE., BOX 580
SANTA CLARA, CA 95032

DR. NORMAN M. WEINBERGER
UNIV. OF CALIF.
CTR. FOR THE NEUROBIOL.
OF LEARNING & MEMORY
IRVINE, CA 92717

DR. DOUGLAS WETZEL
CODE 12
NAVY PERS. R&D CTR.
SAN DIEGO, CA 92152-4800

DR. BARBARA WHITE
BOLT BERANEK & NEWMAN, INC.
10 MOULTON ST.
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138

DR. BARRY WHITSEL
UNIV. OF NC
DEPT. OF PHYSIOLOGY
MEDICAL SCHOOL
CHAPEL HILL, NC 27514

DR. CHRISTOPHER WICKENS
DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY
UNIV. OF ILLINOIS
CHAMPAIGN, IL 61820

DR. STEVEN ZORNETZER
ONR, CODE 1140
800 N. QUINCY ST.
ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5000

DR. MICHAEL I. POSNER
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY
EUGENE, OR 97403

CAPT. P. MICHAEL CURRAN
ONR, CODE 135
800 N. QUINCY ST.
ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5000

DR. MARSHALL J. FARR
2520 NORTH VERNON ST.
ARLINGTON, VA 22207

DR. JOEL DAVIS
ONR, CODE 1141NP
800 NORTH QUINCY ST.
ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5000

DR. HANS-WILLI SCHROIFF
INST. FUER PSYCHOLOGIE
DER RWTH AACHEN
JAEGENSTRASS ZWISCHEN 17 U. 19
5100 AACHEN, WEST GERMANY

DR. JAIME CARBONELL
CARNEGIE-MELLON UNIV.
DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY
PITTSBURGH, PA 15213

J. D. FLETCHER
9911 CORSICA STREET
VIENNA, VA 22180

JOHN R. FREDERIKSEN
BOLT BERANEK & NEWMAN
50 MOULTON STREET
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138

ERIC FACILITY ACQUISITIONS
4833 RUGBY AVENUE
RETHESDA, MD 20814

DR. BETH WARREN
BOLT BERANEK & NEWMAN, INC.
50 MOULTON STREET
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138

END

DATE

9-88

DTIC