

## DRY DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a. <b>AD-A198 594</b>		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS	
2a. 1		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited	
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 59		7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research	
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION University of Arizona	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable)	7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Arlington, Virginia 2224	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Department of Chemistry Tucson, Arizona 85721		9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER N00014-86-K-0316	
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable)	10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS	
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO	PROJECT NO
		TASK NO	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) "Comparison of Charge Transfer Device and Photomultiplier Tube Performance for Extremely Low Photon Fluxes"			
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Jonathan V. Sweedler and M. Bonner Denton			
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical	13b. TIME COVERED FROM 5/15/86 TO 4/30/89	14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) February 4, 1988	15. PAGE COUNT
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Prepared for presentation at the FACSS 14th Annual Meeting, Detroit, Michigan, October 4-9, 1987			
17. COSATI CODES		18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
FIELD	GROUP	Charge transfer devices, charge injection devices, charge coupled devices, photon counting photomultiplier tubes, detection comparison	
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) New solid state silicon arrays which outperform photon counting PMTs under extreme low light fluxes are described. The results of the evaluation of the spectroscopically pertinent characteristics of several charge transfer device (CTD) detectors are presented. The characteristics of these multichannel detectors include peak quantum efficiencies exceeding 80%, read noises of approximately ten charge carriers and virtually no dark current. The potential for these non-intensified silicon devices to outperform PMTs in many low light level situations is demonstrated. The signal-to-noise ratios for several CTD detectors are contrasted to the signal-to-noise ratio performance of the best photon counting PMTs for a variety of low flux situations. Two approaches to creating a single element detector with the same optical format and larger dynamic range than common photon counting PMTs are described. The results of the evaluation of a prototype large single element charge injection device are presented. Combining a $10^6$ simple dynamic range with the ability to vary integration times over (over)			
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS		21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL M. Bonner Denton		22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (602) 621-6352	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL

19. Abstract (continued)

four orders of magnitude allows this detector to quantify photon fluxes ranging over ten orders of magnitude. The other approach to using CTDs as a direct replacement for PMTs involves a process called binning employed with charge-coupled devices. This technique combines photogenerated charge from several detector elements forming an effectively larger detector which can be read in a single low noise read. The superior performance of several charge-coupled device detectors operated in a highly binned mode as compared to other low light detectors is demonstrated.

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH  
Contract N00014-86-K-0316  
R&T Code 4131012---03  
Technical Report No. 59

Comparison of Charge Transfer Device and  
Photomultiplier Tube Performance for  
Extremely Low Photon Fluxes

by

J.V. Sweedler and M.B. Denton

Prepared for Presentation at the  
FACSS 14th Annual Meeting  
Detroit, Michigan  
October 4-9, 1987

Department of Chemistry  
University of Arizona  
Tucson, Arizona 85721

February 4, 1988

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for  
any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release  
and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

88 4 25 069

COMPARISON OF CHARGE TRANSFER DEVICE AND  
PHOTOMULTIPLIER TUBE PERFORMANCE FOR EXTREMELY LOW PHOTON FLUXES

Jonathan V. Sweedler and M. Bonner Denton

Department of Chemistry  
University of Arizona  
Tucson, Arizona 85721

Index Headings: Charge transfer devices, charge injection devices, charge coupled devices, photon counting photomultiplier tubes, detection comparison

ABSTRACT

New solid state silicon arrays which outperform photon counting PMTs under extreme low light fluxes are described. The results of the evaluation of the spectroscopically pertinent characteristics of several charge transfer device (CTD) detectors are presented. The characteristics of these multichannel detectors include peak quantum efficiencies exceeding 80%, read noises of approximately ten charge carriers and virtually no dark current. The potential for these non-intensified silicon devices to outperform PMTs in many low light level situations is demonstrated. The signal-to-noise ratios for several CTD detectors are contrasted to the signal-to-noise ratio performance of the best photon counting PMTs for a variety of low flux situations.

Two approaches to creating a single element detector with the same optical format and larger dynamic range than common photon counting PMTs are described. The results of the evaluation of a prototype large single element charge injection device are presented. Combining a  $10^6$  simple dynamic range with the ability to vary integration times over four orders of magnitude allows this detector to quantify photon fluxes ranging over ten orders of magnitude. The other approach to using CTDs as a direct replacement for PMTs involves a process called binning employed with charge-coupled devices. This technique combines photogenerated charge from several detector elements forming an effectively larger detector which can be read in a single low noise read. The superior performance of several charge-coupled device detectors operated in a highly binned mode as compared to other low light detectors is demonstrated.

DL/1113/87/2

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
Office of Naval Research Attn: Code 1113 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217-5000	2	Dr. David Young Code 334 NORDA NSTL, Mississippi 39529	1
Dr. Bernard Douda Naval Weapons Support Center Code 50C Crane, Indiana 47522-5050	1	Naval Weapons Center Attn: Dr. Ron Atkins Chemistry Division China Lake, California 93555	1
Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko, Code L52 Port Hueneme, California 93401	1	Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps Code RD-1 Washington, D.C. 20380	1
Defense Technical Information Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12 high quality	U.S. Army Research Office Attn: CRD-AA-IP P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709	1
DTNSRDC Attn: Dr. H. Singerman Applied Chemistry Division Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1	Mr. John Boyle Materials Branch Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112	1
Dr. William Tolles Superintendent Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375-5000	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto Marine Sciences Division San Diego, California 92132	1

DATE  
FILMED  
— 88