

DTIC FILE COPY

①

AFWAL-TR-87-1185

AD-A198 801

PROCESSOR AND DATA BUS INTERFACE ANALYSES

Dynamics Research Corporation
2900 Presidential Drive
Fairborn, Ohio 45324



December 1987

Final Report for Period April 1985 - December 1986

Approved for Public Release; Distribution is Unlimited

DTIC
ELECTE
JUL 07 1988
S E D

AVIONICS LABORATORY
AIR FORCE WRIGHT AERONAUTICAL LABORATORIES
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO 45433-6543


88 7 06 014

NOTICE


When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely Government-related procurement, the United States Government incurs no responsibility or any obligation whatsoever. The fact that the Government may have formulated or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication, or otherwise in any manner construed, as licensing the holder, or any other person or corporation; or as conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This report has been reviewed by the Office of Public Affairs (ASD/PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nations.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

 9 DEC 87

ERNEST R. SCHELLING
Project Engineer
Technology Applications Group



LESTER MCFAWN, Chief
System Integration Branch
System Avionics Division

FOR THE COMMANDER



PATRICK L. SISSON, Lt Col, USAF
Deputy Chief
System Avionics Division
Avionics Laboratory

If your address has changed, if you wish to be removed from our mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization please notify AFWAL/AAAS-3, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433-6543 to help us maintain a current mailing list.

Copies of this report should not be returned unless return is required by security considerations, contractual obligations, or notice on a specific document.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS None		
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY Not Applicable			3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for Public Release; Distribution is Unlimited.		
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE Not Applicable					
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) R-486-U			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) AFWAL-TR-87-1185		
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Dynamics Research Corp. (DRC)		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) AFWAL/AAAS-3		7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Air Force Wright Aeronautical Laboratories, Avionics Laboratory	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 2900 Presidential Drive Fairborn, Ohio 45324			7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) AFWAL/AAAS-3 Wright-Patterson AFB Ohio 45433-6543		
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Same as 7a.		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) AFWAL/AAAS-3		9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER N00140-82-G-BZ99/Y306 and Mod No. Y30601	
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Same as 7b.			10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS		
			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 63253F	PROJECT NO. 2734	TASK NO. 02
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Processor and Data Bus Interface Analyses					
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)					
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Final		13b. TIME COVERED FROM 85/4/29 TO 86/12/31		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1987 December	
15. PAGE COUNT 15					
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Other DRC reports under this contract: E-12239-U, E-12423-U, E-12420-U, E-10743-U, E-10929-U, E-11599-U, E-11776-U, E-11779-U, E-11777-U, E-12381-U, E-12428-U					
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	VHSIC 1750A Computer Logistics Assessment Work Station (LAWS) Pave Pillar Avionics Architecture		
01	03				
09	02				
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) This final report summarizes the work accomplished under the Processor and Data Bus Interface Analyses Contract Statement of Work in support of the AFWAL Avionics Laboratory for the contract period 29 April 1985 to 31 December 1986.					
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☒ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT. ☐ DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Ernest R. Schelling			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 513-255-3765		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL AFWAL/AAAS-3

PREFACE

This final report provides a summary of work accomplished under the Processor and Data Bus Interface Analyses S.O.W. Task, as well as other subtasks in support of the AFWAL Avionics Laboratory for the contract period 29 April 1985 to 31 December 1986. The report, which is in five parts, outlines the objectives, achievements and conclusions of each of the following contract basic tasks and subtasks:

1. Processor and Data Bus Interface Analyses - General Support Task, 26 April 1985,
2. VHSIC 1750A Computer Fault Logging Requirements Analysis subtask, 24 Feb 1986.
3. Logistics Assessment Work Station (LAWS) Supportability Analysis of the VHSIC 1750A Computer subtask, 26 June 1986.
4. Operational Avionics Data for Pave Pillar Correlation subtask, 2 May 1986,
5. Change One to the Contract S.O.W., Task No. 4, 19 June 1986.

These tasks were performed for AFWAL/AAAS-3, AFWAL/CDLA and AFWAL/FIX under contract number N00140-82-G-BZ99/Y306 and contract modification number Y30601.

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	



Task Title: Processor and Data Bus Interface Analysis - General Support

Objective: The objectives of the basic statement of work (S.O.W.) tasks were as follows:

1. Collect and analyze VHSIC 1750A computer interface requirements data and technical design data of the two V1750A contractors.
2. Support the design review of each V1750A computer contractor.
3. Prepare a master schedule for the V1750A computer program to include hardware availability. Identify schedule incompatibilities and cost-effective schedule modifications.
4. Review and compare LCC approaches and logistics support concepts for compatibility with ATF objectives.

Specifics: A total of 30 V1750A contractor documents were reviewed and comments provided to the Program Office in support of both the SRR and PDR. A listing of documents reviewed follows:

TRW Documents Reviewed

1. Logistics Support Analysis (LSA) Plan
2. Maintainability Program Plan
3. Reliability Program Plan

4. Reliability Mathematical Model & Report
5. Use Study Report
6. Comparative Analysis Report
7. Technological Opportunities Report
8. Parts Selection, Control & Standardization Program Plan
9. Failure Mode, Effects & Critical Analysis (FMECA)
Report
10. Maintainability Prediction Report
11. LSA Reports
12. Configuration Management Plan
13. Quality Assurance Environmental Test Report

Westinghouse Documents Reviewed

1. LSA Plan
2. Maintainability Program Plan
3. Reliability Program Plan
4. Use Study Report
5. FMECA Report
6. Maintainability Prediction Report
7. Supportability Related Design Factors
8. Comparative Analysis Study
9. Standardization Study
10. Technological Opportunities Study
11. Design to Cost/Life Cycle Cost Document
12. Functional Analysis
13. Supportability Final Analysis
14. Quality Assurance Environmental Test Plan

15. Reliability Math Models
16. Configuration Management Plan
17. Users Manual for the V1750A Computer DTC/LCC Model

Documents Delivered: The following documents were delivered for these tasks under CDRL Sequence Numbers A003 and A007:

- a. Draft Program Schedule, Report No. E-10089U, 14 June 1985
- b. Program Schedule, Report No. E-10370U, 30 August 1985
- c. Review of V1750A SRR Documentation supplied by TRW, Report No. E-10304U, 19 August 1985
- d. Review of V1750A SRR Documentation supplied by Westinghouse, Report No. E-10362U, 28 August 1985
- e. VHSIC 1750A PDR-TRW Documentation Review, Report No. E-10690U, 22 November 1985
- f. Review of Westinghouse User's Manual for the VHSIC 1750A Computer Design to Life Cycle Cost Model, Report No. E-19404U, 9 September 1985
- g. VHSIC 1750A PDR Westinghouse Documentation Review, Report No. E-10748U, 9 December 1985

Subtask Title: VHSIC 1750A Computer Fault Logging Requirements Analysis.

Objective: The objective of this task was to investigate and identify fault logging requirements for the VHSIC 1750A computer modules as part of the logistics supportability assessment during the development program.

Specifics: The following actions were taken to provide the baseline data from which a methodized approach was developed for utilization of the VHSIC 1750A computer in a generic environment:

- a. Interface with AFLC managers who maintain current avionics computer systems;
- b. Interface with the development contractors for the VHSIC 1750A computer;
- c. Research of technical reports developed in support of the VHSIC technology;
- d. Development of an application scenario, operational concept and utilization concept for the VHSIC computer.

Conclusions/Recommendations: Based upon the results of the requirements analysis of the VHSIC 1750A computer, the following issues were summarized in the report:

- a. Current maintenance data codes used for avionics systems appear to be adequate, however, a standard VHSIC 1750A computer Work Unit Code should be developed for

identification of the computer across various weapon systems' avionics systems;

- b. Development of a Testability Figure of Merit Model for use in concept evaluation for systems that will utilize the VHSIC 1750A computer to assist in formulating standardized maintenance concepts for life cycle cost estimating;
- c. Test capability for the VHSIC 1750A computer maintenance at depot facilities should include both a static and dynamic test potential for full environmental testing of all end item usage;
- d. Standard VHSIC 1750A computer technical orders should be developed for all systems that utilize this computer.

Document Delivered: The following document was delivered for this subtask under contract CDRL Sequence Numbers A003/A004/A005:

Technical Report No. E-12239-U, VHSIC 1750A Computer Fault Logging Requirements Analysis, 30 October 1986.

Subtask Title: Logistics Assessment Work Station (LAWS)
Supportability Analysis of the VHSIC 1750A Computer.

Objective: The primary objective of this task was to evaluate the supportability of the VHSIC 1750A computer in light of various design alternatives and to identify those areas in the designs that could significantly affect supportability of the computer in terms of R&M 2000 goals. LAWS was used to perform the quantitative analysis and to display the results. A secondary objective was to access the availability of contractor design data to perform the LAWS analysis and to make recommendations for a data collection package for future LAWS applications.

Specifics: The methodology utilized for this supportability analysis involved the following:

- a. investigation of the technology;
- b. identification of operational goals;
- c. identification of supportability characteristics in terms of the ten Integrated Logistics Support (ILS) elements;
- d. assessment of combat supportability in terms of the ILS impact on each of the R&M 2000 goals;
- e. effect of enhanced VHSIC 1750A computer module reliability on all measures of the R&M 2000 goals;
- f. impact of VHSIC 1750A computer system complexity on maintenance and support requirements;

- g. evaluation of any life cycle cost high drivers;
- h. impact of two level maintenance support;
- i. sensitivity analyses involving what-if conditions for the alternate design.

Findings: The findings of the quantitative analysis utilizing LAWS methodology indicated the following:

- a. predicted reliability levels of the computer modules is well within the limits necessary for a two level maintenance support concept;
- b. many issues identified as potential R&M 2000 drivers were found to be insensitive to the measures of performance and supportability once these issues were quantified. Again, the high predicted reliability of the VHSIC 1750A computer was the main reason.

Conclusions/Recommendations: Based on the results of the qualitative and quantitative supportability analysis of the VHSIC 1750A computer, the following issues were summarized in the report:

- a. Reliability of the proposed computer design is high enough to require minimal levels of supportability during peacetime and over a 30-day war. Significant degradation of this design reliability would have to occur to adversely impact combat capability.
- b. Life cycle cost of the VHSIC 1750A computer will be considerably lower than comparison systems. This can be attributed to the high reliability along with a two level maintenance concept.

- c. On-equipment maintenance cost for the computer modules was identified as being sensitive to degraded reliability.

Based upon lessons learned during the data acquisition process, a Data Collection Guide was developed for future applications of LAWS supportability analyses within the AFWAL Avionics Laboratory.

Documents Delivered: The following documents were delivered for this subtask under contract CDRL sequence numbers A003 and A010:

- a. LAWS VHSIC 1750A Supportability Analysis, Technical Report No. E-12423-U, dated 9 Jan 1987;
- b. LAWS Data Collection Guide, Report No. E-12420-U, dated 31 December 1986.

Subtask Title: Operational Avionics Data for Pave Pillar Correlation

Objective: The primary objective of this task was to develop a data base containing F-16 avionics systems reliability data that could be used to correlate to the new Pave Pillar Avionics Architecture under development by the AFWAL Avionics Laboratory. A secondary objective was to investigate the reliability relationships of components within a Pave Pillar type integrated avionics system architecture in order to define an approach for modeling a fault tolerant system with "graceful degradation" failure characteristics.

Specifics: The Logistics Support Analysis (LSA) methodology requires creation of a yardstick to measure the supportability of a proposed design. This yardstick is defined as the baseline comparison system (BCS) and is used throughout the development process to measure supportability of a new design. This task utilized the F-16 avionics system as the BCS for data base definition. The approach taken to produce this supportability analysis tool involved the following steps:

- a. data identification
- b. analysis specification
- c. data collection and data base creation
- d. analysis tool development

The data required for the statistical comparison was acquired from the F-16 Centralized Data System (CDS) on two levels: (1) the weapon system, and (2) the avionics subsystems and components. Using the acquired CDS data, an operational MTBF data base was created for the statistical comparison tool--a micro-computer program.

Conclusions/Recommendations: The technical approach outlined in the report is consistent with the methodology of LSA. The analysis tool will allow users to exercise multiple options for supportability assessment.

The reliability modeling investigation identified the necessary inputs to a LAWS-type supportability model to allow the concept of system fault tolerant redundancy to be incorporated.

Documents Delivered: The following documents were delivered for this subtask under contract CDRL sequence numbers A010 and A003/A004/A005:

- a. Operational Avionics Data for Pave Pillar Correlation, Technical Report No. E-12428-U, dated 31 December 1986.
- b. Reliability Modeling Investigation, Technical Report No. E-12381-U, dated 31 December 1986.

Final Report - Part Five

Subtask Title: Change One to the Contract S.O.W., Task No. 4,
Modification Number Y30601 (see note below).

Objective: The objective of this subtask was twofold: (1) develop a Logistics Assessment Methodology Prototype (LAMP) that incorporates the goals of the R&M 2000 Action Plan and Integrated Logistics Support into a supportability assessment framework which effectively implements LSA within the AFWAL environment, and (2) develop a Logistics Assessment Work Station (LAWS), a decision support tool which quantitatively implements the LAMP methodology.

Achievements/Conclusions: A discussion of the LAMP/LAWS subtask is not included within this report since the project results were documented in the DRC reports listed here as references.

<u>Report Title</u>	<u>Report Number</u>	<u>Date</u>
Quick Look Logistics Analysis - Technical Report	E-10743-U	31 Jan 1986
LAWS Functional Description	E-10929-U	4 Apr 1986
LAWS Test Plan	E-11599-U	23 May 1986
LAWS Users Manual	E-11776-U	18 July 1986
LAWS Test Analysis Report	E-11779-U	15 Sep 1986
LAWS Final Report	E-11777-U	6 Oct 1986

Note: All LAMP/LAWS work accomplished under contract modification No. Y30601 was sponsored and funded by AFWAL/FIX.

END

DATE

FILMED

11-88

DTIC