

AD-A203 438

DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS	
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.	
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) NAMRL RIB 88-2		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Naval Aerospace Medical Laboratory	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable) Code 03	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Naval Medical Research and Development Command	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Naval Air Station Pensacola, FL 32508-5700		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Naval Medical Command National Capital Region Bethesda, MD 20814-5044	
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS	
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 63706N	PROJECT NO. M00960
		TASK NO. 7007	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO. DN477559
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) An Improved Automated Selection System For Navy Pilots			
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Ray Griffin			
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim	13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____	14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1988 June 14	15. PAGE COUNT 2
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION			
17. COSATI CODES		18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	
		Pilot Selection, Performance Measurement, Automated Testing, personnel selection;	
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)			
<p>Since 1947, the Navy has relied on the Aviation Qualification Test (AQT) of general ability and the Flight Aptitude Rating (FAR) --a composite of mechanical comprehension, spatial aptitude, and biographical tests--to select naval aviators. While this selection system has served the Navy well, the failure rate of pilot selectees has remained consistently high (although certainly less than the attrition rate before using a selection test battery), averaging 20-25% over the last 20 years. The cost of these failures represent millions of Navy training dollars lost each year. As a result, researchers at the Naval Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory have attempted repeatedly to improve selection test batteries. While previous efforts have failed, new research results appear to have the potential to improve our selection of pilots and naval flight officers.</p>			
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS		21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL J. A. BRADY, CAPT, MSC, USN, COMMANDING OFFICER		22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (904) 452-3286	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL CODE 00

SELECTED
 19 JUN 1988

*

Two projects at the Laboratory that have demonstrated the potential for the improved prediction of both undergraduate and fleet flight performance are a naval aviator selection program and a fleet performance prediction program. Results from these two computerized projects are particularly exciting because for the first time in 40 years we have demonstrated that cognitive and one-dimensional tracking tasks account for increased variance in predicting "completion" of primary flight training. That is, the tests predict those individuals who will pass or fail flight training, even after their initial selection using the present selection battery, the AQT/FAR. Alternately, using multidimensional tracking and multitask tests, we have demonstrated the ability to predict an individual's flight grade and the number of flight hours required to complete primary training. Finally, certain of the multitask tests even predict the air combat maneuvering performance of fleet pilots as they perform on instrumented ranges: *Research, pilot selection, personnel selection, aptitude; (K-7)*

The importance of these results are manifold. Our research leads us to believe that in the very near future we can substantially increase the quality of our pilot and naval flight officer applicants by selecting individuals: (1) who are most likely to complete training, (2) who will perform flight tasks more proficiently, and (3) who require fewer flight hours to complete training. In addition, we will be able to recommend training pipelines and fleet operational communities that are more compatible with individual abilities. The application of these results should enhance aviator effectiveness and ultimately increase Navy operational readiness, while reducing attrition and accidents in the fleet.

In the near future, we foresee that automated testing stations will be in place for administering and scoring both the new performance tests and the present AQT/FAR selection battery. Using a centrally located automated information system linked to stations across the country, Navy managers would be able to select and assign the best available pilots and naval flight officer candidates to naval aviation fixed or rotary wing training pipelines from thousands of applicants.

DTIC
UNCLASSIFIED
INSPECTED
6

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

RESEARCH INFORMATION BULLETIN



*A series of reports by the
Naval Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory*

No. 88-2

Date 14 June 1988

AN IMPROVED AUTOMATED SELECTION SYSTEM FOR NAVY PILOTS

Since 1947, the Navy has relied on the Aviation Qualification Test (AQT) of general ability and the Flight Aptitude Rating (FAR) --a composite of mechanical comprehension, spatial aptitude, and biographical tests--to select naval aviators. While this selection system has served the Navy well, the failure rate of pilot selectees has remained consistently high (although certainly less than the attrition rate before using a selection test battery), averaging 20-25% over the last 20 years. The cost of these failures represent millions of Navy training dollars lost each year. As a result, researchers at the Naval Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory have attempted repeatedly to improve selection test batteries. While previous efforts have failed, new research results appear to have the potential to improve our selection of pilots and naval flight officers.

Two projects at the Laboratory that have demonstrated the potential for the improved prediction of both undergraduate and fleet flight performance are a naval aviator selection program and a fleet performance prediction program. Results from these two computerized projects are particularly exciting because for the first time in 40 years we have demonstrated that cognitive and one-dimensional tracking tasks account for increased variance in predicting "completion" of primary flight training. That is, the tests predict those individuals who will pass or fail flight training, even after their initial selection using the present selection battery, the AQT/FAR. Alternately, using multidimensional tracking and multitask tests, we have demonstrated the ability to predict an individual's flight grade and the number of flight hours required to complete primary training. Finally, certain of the multitask tests even predict the air combat maneuvering performance of fleet pilots as they perform on instrumented ranges!

The importance of these results are manifold. Our research leads us to believe that in the very near future we can substantially increase the quality of our pilot and naval flight officer applicants by selecting individuals: (1) who are most likely to complete training, (2) who will perform flight tasks more proficiently, and (3) who require fewer flight hours to complete training. In addition, we will be able to recommend training pipelines and fleet operational communities that are more compatible with individual abilities. The application of these results should enhance aviator effectiveness and ultimately increase Navy operational readiness, while reducing attrition and accidents in the fleet.

89 1 19 028

(Continued)



This bulletin is an interim report for information only. The data are considered provisional pending completion of the research and analysis and interpretation of final results. Use of trade names does not imply U.S. Government endorsement of commercial products.

In the near future, we foresee that automated testing stations will be in place for administering and scoring both the new performance tests and the present AQT/FAR selection battery. Using a centrally located automated information system linked to stations across the country, Navy managers would be able to select and assign the best available pilots and naval flight officer candidates to naval aviation fixed or rotary wing training pipelines from thousands of applicants.

For further information, contact: Mr. Ray Griffin, Naval Aerospace
Medical Research Laboratory, Naval Air Station, Pensacola, FL 32508-5700;
AUTOVON 922-2244 or commercial (904) 452-2244.
