

DMC FILE COPY

2

AVF Control Number: AVF-VSR-180.1088
88-03-25-RAT

AD-A204 134

Ada COMPILER
VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT:
Certificate Number: 880613W1.09086
Rational, Inc.
M1750A, Version 2.0.122
R1000 Series 200 and Mikros MKS1750/S0

Completion of On-Site Testing:
18 June 1988

Prepared By:
Ada Validation Facility
ASD/SCEL
Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-6503

Prepared For:
Ada Joint Program Office
United States Department of Defense
Washington DC 20301-3081

DTIC
SELECTED
FEB 13 1989
S H D

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited

89 2 13 101

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	12. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Ada Compiler Validation Summary Report; Rational, Inc., M1750A, Version 2.0.122, R1000 Series 200 (Host) to Mikros MKS1750/SO (Target). (880613 W1. 09086)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED 18 June 1988 to 18 June 1989
7. AUTHOR(s) Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio, U.S.A.		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION AND ADDRESS Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio, U.S.A.		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Ada Joint Program Office United States Department of Defense Washington, DC 20301-3081		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio, U.S.A.		12. REPORT DATE 18 June 1988
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 39 p.
		15. SECURITY CLASS (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE N/A
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20. If different from Report) UNCLASSIFIED		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEYWORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Ada Programming language, Ada Compiler Validation Summary Report, Ada Compiler Validation Capability, ACVC, Validation Testing, Ada Validation Office, AVO, Ada Validation Facility, AVF, ANSI/MIL-STD- 1815A, Ada Joint Program Office, AJPO		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) M1750A, Version 2.0.122, Rational, Inc., Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, R1000 Series 200 under Rational Environment Version D_10_9_1_1750a (Host) to Mikros MKS1750/SO (bare) (Target), ACVC 1.9.		

Ada Compiler Validation Summary Report:

Compiler Name: M1750A, Version 2.0.122

Certificate Number: 880613W1.09086

Host:


R1000 Series 200 under
Rational Environment Version D_10_9_1_1750a

Target:


Mikros MKS1750/SO
(Bare)

Testing Completed 18 June 1988 Using ACVC 1.9


This report has been reviewed and is approved.



Ada Validation Facility
Steven P. Wilson
Technical Director
ASD/SCEL
Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-6503



Ada Validation Organization
Dr. John F. Kramer
Institute for Defense Analyses
Alexandria VA 22311



Ada Joint Program Office
Virginia L. Castor
Director
Department of Defense
Washington DC 20301

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE OF THIS VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT 1-2

1.2 USE OF THIS VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT 1-2

1.3 REFERENCES 1-3

1.4 DEFINITION OF TERMS 1-3

1.5 ACVC TEST CLASSES 1-4

CHAPTER 2 CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

2.1 CONFIGURATION TESTED 2-1

2.2 IMPLEMENTATION CHARACTERISTICS 2-2

CHAPTER 3 TEST INFORMATION

3.1 TEST RESULTS 3-1

3.2 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS BY CLASS 3-1

3.3 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS BY CHAPTER 3-2

3.4 WITHDRAWN TESTS 3-2

3.5 INAPPLICABLE TESTS 3-2

3.6 TEST, PROCESSING, AND EVALUATION MODIFICATIONS . . 3-5

3.7 ADDITIONAL TESTING INFORMATION 3-5

3.7.1 Prevalidation 3-5

3.7.2 Test Method 3-6

3.7.3 Test Site 3-7

APPENDIX A DECLARATION OF CONFORMANCE

APPENDIX B APPENDIX F OF THE Ada STANDARD

APPENDIX C TEST PARAMETERS

APPENDIX D WITHDRAWN TESTS



Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Avail and/or	
Dist	Special
A-1	

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This Validation Summary Report (VSR) describes the extent to which a specific Ada compiler conforms to the Ada Standard, ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A. This report explains all technical terms used within it and thoroughly reports the results of testing this compiler using the Ada Compiler Validation Capability (ACVC). An Ada compiler must be implemented according to the Ada Standard, and any implementation-dependent features must conform to the requirements of the Ada Standard. The Ada Standard must be implemented in its entirety, and nothing can be implemented that is not in the Standard.

Even though all validated Ada compilers conform to the Ada Standard, it must be understood that some differences do exist between implementations. The Ada Standard permits some implementation dependencies--for example, the maximum length of identifiers or the maximum values of integer types. Other differences between compilers result from the characteristics of particular operating systems, hardware, or implementation strategies. All the dependencies observed during the process of testing this compiler are given in this report.

The information in this report is derived from the test results produced during validation testing. The validation process includes submitting a suite of standardized tests, the ACVC, as inputs to an Ada compiler and evaluating the results. The purpose of validating is to ensure conformity of the compiler to the Ada Standard by testing that the compiler properly implements legal language constructs and that it identifies and rejects illegal language constructs. The testing also identifies behavior that is implementation dependent but permitted by the Ada Standard. Six classes of tests are used. These tests are designed to perform checks at compile time, at link time, and during execution.

(CR) ←

INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE OF THIS VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT

This VSR documents the results of the validation testing performed on an Ada compiler. Testing was carried out for the following purposes:

- . To attempt to identify any language constructs supported by the compiler that do not conform to the Ada Standard
- . To attempt to identify any language constructs not supported by the compiler but required by the Ada Standard
- . To determine that the implementation-dependent behavior is allowed by the Ada Standard

Testing of this compiler was conducted by SofTech, Inc. under the direction of the AVF according to procedures established by the Ada Joint Program Office and administered by the Ada Validation Organization (AVO). On-site testing was completed 18 June 1988 at Santa Clara, CA.

1.2 USE OF THIS VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT

Consistent with the national laws of the originating country, the AVO may make full and free public disclosure of this report. In the United States, this is provided in accordance with the "Freedom of Information Act" (5 U.S.C. #552). The results of this validation apply only to the computers, operating systems, and compiler versions identified in this report.

The organizations represented on the signature page of this report do not represent or warrant that all statements set forth in this report are accurate and complete, or that the subject compiler has no nonconformities to the Ada Standard other than those presented. Copies of this report are available to the public from:

Ada Information Clearinghouse
Ada Joint Program Office
OUSDRE
The Pentagon, Rm 3D-139 (Fern Street)
Washington DC 20301-3081

or from:

Ada Validation Facility
ASD/SCEL
Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-6503

Questions regarding this report or the validation test results should be directed to the AVF listed above or to:

Ada Validation Organization
 Institute for Defense Analyses
 1801 North Beauregard Street
 Alexandria VA 22311

1.3 REFERENCES

1. Reference Manual for the Ada Programming Language, ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A, February 1983 and ISO 8652-1987.
2. Ada Compiler Validation Procedures and Guidelines, Ada Joint Program Office, 1 January 1987.
3. Ada Compiler Validation Capability Implementers' Guide, SofTech, Inc., December 1986.
4. Ada Compiler Validation Capability User's Guide, December 1986.

1.4 DEFINITION OF TERMS

ACVC The Ada Compiler Validation Capability. The set of Ada programs that tests the conformity of an Ada compiler to the Ada programming language.

Ada
 Commentary An Ada Commentary contains all information relevant to the point addressed by a comment on the Ada Standard. These comments are given a unique identification number having the form AI-ddddd.

Ada Standard ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A, February 1983 and ISO 8652-1987.

Applicant The agency requesting validation.

AVF The Ada Validation Facility. The AVF is responsible for conducting compiler validations according to procedures contained in the Ada Compiler Validation Procedures and Guidelines.

AVO The Ada Validation Organization. The AVO has oversight authority over all AVF practices for the purpose of maintaining a uniform process for validation of Ada compilers. The AVO provides administrative and technical

INTRODUCTION

support for Ada validations to ensure consistent practices.

- Compiler** A processor for the Ada language. In the context of this report, a compiler is any language processor, including cross-compilers, translators, and interpreters.
- Failed test** An ACVC test for which the compiler generates a result that demonstrates nonconformity to the Ada Standard.
- Host** The computer on which the compiler resides.
- Inapplicable test** An ACVC test that uses features of the language that a compiler is not required to support or may legitimately support in a way other than the one expected by the test.
- Passed test** An ACVC test for which a compiler generates the expected result.
- Target** The computer for which a compiler generates code.
- Test** A program that checks a compiler's conformity regarding a particular feature or a combination of features to the Ada Standard. In the context of this report, the term is used to designate a single test, which may comprise one or more files.
- Withdrawn test** An ACVC test found to be incorrect and not used to check conformity to the Ada Standard. A test may be incorrect because it has an invalid test objective, fails to meet its test objective, or contains illegal or erroneous use of the language.

1.5 ACVC TEST CLASSES

Conformity to the Ada Standard is measured using the ACVC. The ACVC contains both legal and illegal Ada programs structured into six test classes: A, B, C, D, E, and L. The first letter of a test name identifies the class to which it belongs. Class A, C, D, and E tests are executable, and special program units are used to report their results during execution. Class B tests are expected to produce compilation errors. Class L tests are expected to produce compilation or link errors.

Class A tests check that legal Ada programs can be successfully compiled and executed. There are no explicit program components in a Class A test to check semantics. For example, a Class A test checks that reserved words of another language (other than those already reserved in the Ada language) are not treated as reserved words by an Ada compiler. A Class A test is passed if no errors are detected at compile time and the program executes to produce a PASSED message.

Class B tests check that a compiler detects illegal language usage. Class B tests are not executable. Each test in this class is compiled and the resulting compilation listing is examined to verify that every syntax or semantic error in the test is detected. A Class B test is passed if every illegal construct that it contains is detected by the compiler.

Class C tests check that legal Ada programs can be correctly compiled and executed. Each Class C test is self-checking and produces a PASSED, FAILED, or NOT APPLICABLE message indicating the result when it is executed.

Class D tests check the compilation and execution capacities of a compiler. Since there are no capacity requirements placed on a compiler by the Ada Standard for some parameters--for example, the number of identifiers permitted in a compilation or the number of units in a library--a compiler may refuse to compile a Class D test and still be a conforming compiler. Therefore, if a Class D test fails to compile because the capacity of the compiler is exceeded, the test is classified as inapplicable. If a Class D test compiles successfully, it is self-checking and produces a PASSED or FAILED message during execution.

Each Class E test is self-checking and produces a NOT APPLICABLE, PASSED, or FAILED message when it is compiled and executed. However, the Ada Standard permits an implementation to reject programs containing some features addressed by Class E tests during compilation. Therefore, a Class E test is passed by a compiler if it is compiled successfully and executes to produce a PASSED message, or if it is rejected by the compiler for an allowable reason.

Class L tests check that incomplete or illegal Ada programs involving multiple, separately compiled units are detected and not allowed to execute. Class L tests are compiled separately and execution is attempted. A Class L test passes if it is rejected at link time--that is, an attempt to execute the main program must generate an error message before any declarations in the main program or any units referenced by the main program are elaborated.

Two library units, the package REPORT and the procedure CHECK_FILE, support the self-checking features of the executable tests. The package REPORT provides the mechanism by which executable tests report PASSED, FAILED, or NOT APPLICABLE results. It also provides a set of identity functions used to defeat some compiler optimizations allowed by the Ada Standard that would circumvent a test objective. The procedure CHECK_FILE is used to check the contents of text files written by some of the Class C tests for chapter 14 of the Ada Standard. The operation of REPORT and CHECK_FILE is checked by a set of executable tests. These tests produce messages that are examined to verify that the units are operating correctly. If these units are not operating correctly, then the validation is not attempted.

The text of the tests in the ACVC follow conventions that are intended to ensure that the tests are reasonably portable without modification. For example, the tests make use of only the basic set of 55 characters, contain lines with a maximum length of 72 characters, use small numeric values, and

INTRODUCTION

place features that may not be supported by all implementations in separate tests. However, some tests contain values that require the test to be customized according to implementation-specific values--for example, an illegal file name. A list of the values used for this validation is provided in Appendix C.

A compiler must correctly process each of the tests in the suite and demonstrate conformity to the Ada Standard by either meeting the pass criteria given for the test or by showing that the test is inapplicable to the implementation. The applicability of a test to an implementation is considered each time the implementation is validated. A test that is inapplicable for one validation is not necessarily inapplicable for a subsequent validation. Any test that was determined to contain an illegal language construct or an erroneous language construct is withdrawn from the ACVC and, therefore, is not used in testing a compiler. The tests withdrawn at the time of this validation are given in Appendix D.

CHAPTER 2
CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

2.1 CONFIGURATION TESTED

The candidate compilation system for this validation was tested under the following configuration:

Compiler: M1750A, Version 2.0.122

ACVC Version: 1.9

Certificate Number: 880613W1.09086

Host Computer:

Machine:	R1000 Series 200
Operating System:	Rational Environment Version D_10_9_1_1750a

Memory Size: 32 Mb

Target Computer:

Machine:	Mikros MKS1750/S0
Operating System:	Bare

Memory Size: 128 Kwords

Communications Network: RS 232 Direct Connect

CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

2.2 IMPLEMENTATION CHARACTERISTICS

One of the purposes of validating compilers is to determine the behavior of a compiler in those areas of the Ada Standard that permit implementations to differ. Class D and E tests specifically check for such implementation differences. However, tests in other classes also characterize an implementation. The tests demonstrate the following characteristics:

- . Capacities.

The compiler correctly processes tests containing loop statements nested to 65 levels, block statements nested to 65 levels, and recursive procedures separately compiled as subunits nested to 10 levels. It correctly processes a compilation containing 723 variables in the same declarative part. (See tests D55A03A..H (8 tests), D56001B, D64005E..G (3 tests), and D29002K.)

- . Universal integer calculations.

An implementation is allowed to reject universal integer calculations having values that exceed `SYSTEM.MAX_INT`. This implementation processes 64 bit integer calculations, but if the result requires 64 bits of storage, the statement is rejected in compilation. (See tests D4A002A, D4A002B, D4A004A, and D4A004B.)

- . Predefined types.

This implementation supports the additional predefined type `LONG_FLOAT` in the package `STANDARD`. (See tests B86001C and B86001D.)

- . Based literals.

An implementation is allowed to reject a based literal with a value exceeding `SYSTEM.MAX_INT` during compilation, or it may raise `NUMERIC_ERROR` or `CONSTRAINT_ERROR` during execution. This implementation raises `NUMERIC_ERROR` during execution. (See test E24101A.)

- . Expression evaluation.

Apparently some default initialization expressions for record components are evaluated before any value is checked to belong to a component's subtype. (See test C32117A.)

Assignments for subtypes are performed with the same precision as the base type. (See test C35712B.)

CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

This implementation uses no extra bits for extra precision. This implementation uses all extra bits for extra range. (See test C35903A.)

No exception is raised when an integer literal operand in a comparison or membership test is outside the range of the base type. (See test C45232A.)

No exception is raised when a literal operand in a fixed-point comparison or membership test is outside the range of the base type. (See test C45252A.)

Apparently underflow is not gradual. (See tests C45524A..Z.)

. Rounding.

The method used for rounding to integer is apparently round away from zero. (See tests C46012A..Z.)

The method used for rounding to longest integer is apparently round away from zero. (See tests C46012A..Z.)

The method used for rounding to integer in static universal real expressions is apparently round away from zero. (See test C4A014A.)

. Array types.

An implementation is allowed to raise `NUMERIC_ERROR` or `CONSTRAINT_ERROR` for an array having a `'LENGTH` that exceeds `STANDARD.INTEGER'LAST` and/or `SYSTEM.MAX_INT`. For this implementation:

Declaration of an array type or subtype declaration with more than `SYSTEM.MAX_INT` components raises `NUMERIC_ERROR`. (See test C36003A.)

`NUMERIC_ERROR` is raised when an array type with `INTEGER'LAST + 2` components is declared. (See test C36202A.)

`NUMERIC_ERROR` is raised when an array type with `SYSTEM.MAX_INT + 2` components is declared. (See test C36202B.)

A packed `BOOLEAN` array having a `'LENGTH` exceeding `INTEGER'LAST` raises `NUMERIC_ERROR` when the array objects are declared. (See test C52103X.)

A packed two-dimensional `BOOLEAN` array with more than `INTEGER'LAST` components raises `NUMERIC_ERROR` when the array type is declared. (See test C52104Y.)

CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

A null array with one dimension of length greater than INTEGER'LAST may raise NUMERIC_ERROR or CONSTRAINT_ERROR either when declared or assigned. Alternatively, an implementation may accept the declaration. However, lengths must match in array slice assignments. This implementation raises NUMERIC_ERROR when the array type is declared. (See test E52103Y.)

In assigning one-dimensional array types, the expression appears to be evaluated in its entirety before CONSTRAINT_ERROR is raised when checking whether the expression's subtype is compatible with the target's subtype. In assigning two-dimensional array types, the expression does not appear to be evaluated in its entirety before CONSTRAINT_ERROR is raised when checking whether the expression's subtype is compatible with the target's subtype. (See test C52013A.)

- Discriminated types.

During compilation, an implementation is allowed to either accept or reject an incomplete type with discriminants that is used in an access type definition with a compatible discriminant constraint. This implementation accepts such subtype indications. (See test E38104A.)

In assigning record types with discriminants, the expression appears to be evaluated in its entirety before CONSTRAINT_ERROR is raised when checking whether the expression's subtype is compatible with the target's subtype. (See test C52013A.)

- Aggregates.

In the evaluation of a multi-dimensional aggregate, all choices appear to be evaluated before checking against the index type. (See tests C43207A and C43207B.)

In the evaluation of an aggregate containing subaggregates, not all choices are evaluated before being checked for identical bounds. (See test E43212B.)

Not all choices are evaluated before CONSTRAINT_ERROR is raised if a bound in a nonnull range of a nonnull aggregate does not belong to an index subtype. (See test E43211B.)

- Representation clauses.

An implementation might legitimately place restrictions on representation clauses used by some of the tests. If a representation clause is used by a test in a way that violates a restriction, then the implementation must reject it.

CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

Enumeration representation clauses containing noncontiguous values for enumeration types other than character and boolean types are supported. (See tests C35502I..J, C35502M..N, and A39005F.)

Enumeration representation clauses containing noncontiguous values for character types are supported. (See tests C35507I..J, C35507M..N, and C55B16A.)

Enumeration representation clauses for boolean types containing representational values other than (FALSE => 0, TRUE => 1) are not supported. (See tests C35508I..J and C35508M..N.)

Length clauses with SIZE specifications for enumeration types are supported. (See test A39005B.)

Length clauses with STORAGE_SIZE specifications for access types are supported. (See tests A39005C and C87B62B.)

Length clauses with STORAGE_SIZE specifications for task types are supported. (See tests A39005D and C87B62D.)

Length clauses with SMALL specifications are supported. (See tests A39005E and C87B62C.)

Record representation clauses are supported; however, a component clause for a component of a composite type may not implicitly cause a component array to be packed. (See test A39005G.)

Length clauses with SIZE specifications for derived integer types are not supported. (See test C87B62A.)

. Pragmas.

The pragma `INLINE` is not supported for procedures. The pragma `INLINE` is not supported for functions. (See tests LA3004A, LA3004B, EA3004C, EA3004D, CA3004E, and CA3004F.)

. Input/output.

The package `SEQUENTIAL_IO` cannot be instantiated with unconstrained array types and record types with discriminants without defaults. (See tests AE2101C, EE2201D, and EE2201E.)

The package `DIRECT_IO` cannot be instantiated with unconstrained array types and record types with discriminants without defaults. (See tests AE2101H, EE2401D, and EE2401G.)

The director, AJPO, has determined (AI-00332) that every call to `OPEN` and `CREATE` must raise `USE_ERROR` or `NAME_ERROR` if file input/output is not supported. This implementation exhibits this behavior for `SEQUENTIAL_IO`, `DIRECT_IO`, and `TEXT_IO`.

CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

. Generics

Generic subprogram declarations and bodies can be compiled in separate compilations. (See tests CA1012A and CA2009F.)

Generic package declarations and bodies can be compiled in separate compilations. (See tests CA2009C, BC3204C, and BC3205D.)

Generic unit bodies and their subunits can be compiled in separate compilations. (See test CA3011A.)

CHAPTER 3

TEST INFORMATION

3.1 TEST RESULTS

Version 1.9 of the ACVC comprises 3122 tests. When this compiler was tested, 27 tests had been withdrawn because of test errors. The AVF determined that 519 tests were inapplicable to this implementation. All inapplicable tests were processed during validation testing except for 285 executable tests that use floating-point precision exceeding that supported by the implementation and 174 executable tests that use file operations not supported by the implementation. Modifications to the code, processing, or grading for 79 tests were required to successfully demonstrate the test objective. (See section 3.6.)

The AVF concludes that the testing results demonstrate acceptable conformity to the Ada Standard.

3.2 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS BY CLASS

RESULT	TEST CLASS						TOTAL
	A	B	C	D	E	L	
Passed	106	1046	1354	15	11	44	2576
Inapplicable	4	5	499	2	7	2	519
Withdrawn	3	2	21	0	1	0	27
TOTAL	113	1053	1874	17	19	46	3122

TEST INFORMATION

3.3 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS BY CHAPTER

RESULT	CHAPTER														TOTAL
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14		
Passed	184	465	478	242	165	98	141	326	131	36	234	3	73	2576	
Inapplicable	20	107	196	6	1	0	2	1	6	0	0	0	180	519	
Withdrawn	2	14	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	1	2	27	
TOTAL	206	586	677	248	166	99	145	327	137	36	236	4	255	3122	

3.4 WITHDRAWN TESTS

The following 27 tests were withdrawn from ACVC Version 1.9 at the time of this validation:

B28003A	E28005C	C34004A	A35902C	C35502P
C35904A	C35904B	C35A03E	C35A03R	C37213H
C37213J	C37215C	C37215E	C37215G	C37215H
C38102C	C41402A	C45332A	C45614C	A74106C
C85018B	C87B04B	CC1311B	BC3105A	AD1A01A
CE2401H	CE3208A			

See Appendix D for the reason that each of these tests was withdrawn.

3.5 INAPPLICABLE TESTS

Some tests do not apply to all compilers because they make use of features that a compiler is not required by the Ada Standard to support. Others may depend on the result of another test that is either inapplicable or withdrawn. The applicability of a test to an implementation is considered each time a validation is attempted. A test that is inapplicable for one validation attempt is not necessarily inapplicable for a subsequent attempt. For this validation attempt, 519 tests were inapplicable for the reasons indicated:

- . A39005G uses a record representation component clause for a component of a composite type that requires the component array type to be implicitly packed. This is not supported by this compiler.
- . C35508I..J (2 tests) and C35508M..N (2 tests) use enumeration representation clauses containing representational values other than (FALSE => 0, TRUE => 1). These clauses are not supported by this compiler.

TEST INFORMATION

- . C35702A uses SHORT_FLOAT which is not supported by this implementation.

- . The following tests use SHORT_INTEGER, which is not supported by this compiler:

C45231B	C45304B	C45502B	C45503B	C45504B
C45504E	C45611B	C45613B	C45614B	C45631B
C45632B	B52004E	C55B07B	B55B09D	

- . The following tests use LONG_INTEGER, which is not supported by this compiler:

C45231C	C45304C	C45502C	C45503C	C45504C
C45504F	C45611C	C45613C	C45631C	C45632C
B52004D	C55B07A	B55B09C		

- . C45231D requires a macro substitution for any predefined numeric types other than INTEGER, SHORT_INTEGER, LONG_INTEGER, FLOAT, SHORT_FLOAT, and LONG_FLOAT. This compiler does not support any such types.
- . C45531M, C45531N, C45532M, and C45532N use fine 48-bit fixed-point base types which are not supported by this compiler.
- . C45531O, C45531P, C45532O, and C45532P use coarse 48-bit fixed-point base types which are not supported by this compiler.
- . C4A013B uses a static value that is outside the range of the most accurate floating-point base type. The declaration was rejected at compile time.
- . D4A004B uses storage for a 64-bit integer result which is not supported by this compiler.
- . D64005G uses nested procedures as subunits to a level of 17, which exceeds the memory available to the program on the target.
- . B86001D requires a predefined numeric type other than those defined by the Ada language in package STANDARD. There is no such type for this implementation.
- . C87B62A uses length clauses with SIZE specifications for derived integer types which are not supported by this compiler.
- . C96005B requires the range of type DURATION to be different from those of its base type; in this implementation they are the same.
- . CA3004E, EA3004C, and LA3004A use the INLINE pragma for procedures, which is not supported by this compiler.

TEST INFORMATION

- CA3004F, EA3004D, and LA3004B use the `INLINE` pragma for functions, which is not supported by this compiler.
- AE2101C, EE2201D, and EE2201E use instantiations of package `SEQUENTIAL_IO` with unconstrained array types and record types having discriminants without defaults. These instantiations are rejected by this compiler.
- AE2101H, EE2401D, and EE2401G use instantiations of package `DIRECT_IO` with unconstrained array types and record types having discriminants without defaults. These instantiations are rejected by this compiler.
- The following 174 tests are inapplicable because sequential, text, and direct access files are not supported:

CE2102C	CE2102G..H(2)	CE2102K	CE2104A..D(4)
CE2105A..B(2)	CE2106A..B(2)	CE2107A..I(9)	CE2108A..D(4)
CE2109A..C(3)	CE2110A..C(3)	CE2111A..E(5)	CE2111G..H(2)
CE2115A..B(2)	CE2201A..C(3)	CE2201F..G(2)	CE2204A..B(2)
CE2208B	CE2210A	CE2401A..C(3)	CE2401E..F(2)
CE2404A	CE2405B	CE2406A	CE2407A
CE2408A	CE2409A	CE2410A	CE2411A
AE3101A	CE3102B	EE3102C	CE3103A
CE3104A	CE3107A	CE3108A..B(2)	CE3109A
CE3110A	CE3111A..E(5)	CE3112A..B(2)	CE3114A..B(2)
CE3115A	CE3203A	CE3301A..C(3)	CE3302A
CE3305A	CE3402A..D(4)	CE3403A..C(3)	CE3403E..F(2)
CE3404A..C(3)	CE3405A..D(4)	CE3406A..D(4)	CE3407A..C(3)
CE3408A..C(3)	CE3409A	CE3409C..F(4)	CE3410A
CE3410C..F(4)	CE3411A	CE3412A	CE3413A
CE3413C	CE3602A..D(4)	CE3603A	CE3604A
CE3605A..E(5)	CE3606A..B(2)	CE3704A..B(2)	CE3704D..F(3)
CE3704M..O(3)	CE3706D	CE3706F	CE3804A..E(5)
CE3804G	CE3804I	CE3804K	CE3804M
CE3805A..B(2)	CE3806A	CE3806D..E(2)	CE3905A..C(3)
CE3905L	CE3906A..C(3)	CE3906E..F(2)	

Results of running a subset of these tests showed that the proper exceptions are raised for unsupported file operations.

- The following 285 tests require a floating-point accuracy that exceeds the maximum of 9 digits supported by this implementation:

C24113F..Y (20 tests)	C35705F..Y (20 tests)
C35706F..Y (20 tests)	C35707F..Y (20 tests)
C35708F..Y (20 tests)	C35802F..Z (21 tests)
C45241F..Y (20 tests)	C45321F..Y (20 tests)
C45421F..Y (20 tests)	C45521F..Z (21 tests)
C45524F..Z (21 tests)	C45621F..Z (21 tests)
C45641F..Y (20 tests)	C46012F..Z (21 tests)

3.6 TEST, PROCESSING, AND EVALUATION MODIFICATIONS

It is expected that some tests will require modifications of code, processing, or evaluation in order to compensate for legitimate implementation behavior. Modifications are made by the AVF in cases where legitimate implementation behavior prevents the successful completion of an (otherwise) applicable test. Examples of such modifications include: adding a length clause to alter the default size of a collection; splitting a Class B test into subtests so that all errors are detected; and confirming that messages produced by an executable test demonstrate conforming behavior that wasn't anticipated by the test (such as raising one exception instead of another).

Modifications were required for 78 Class B tests and 1 Class C test.

The following Class B tests were split because syntax errors at one point resulted in the compiler not detecting other errors in the test:

B22003A	B22004A	B22004B	B22004C	B23004A
B23004B	B24001A	B24001B	B24001C	B24005A
B24005B	B24007A	B24009A	B24204A	B24204B
B24204C	B25002B	B26001A	B26002A	B26005A
B28003C	B29001A	B2A003A	B2A003B	B2A003C
B2A007A	B32103A	B33201B	B33202B	B33203B
B36002A	B33301A	B35101A	B36201A	B37201A
B37205A	B37307B	B38003A	B38003B	B38009A
B38009B	B41201A	B41202A	B44001A	B44004B
B44004C	B45205A	B48002A	B48002D	B51001A
B51003A	B51003B	B53003A	B55A01A	B64001A
B64006A	B67001A	B67001B	B67001C	B67001D
B74003A	B91001H	B91003B	B95001A	B95003A
B95004A	B95007B	B95079A	B97101A	B97102A
BB3005A	BC1303F	BC2001D	BC2001E	BC3003A
BC3003B	BC3005B	BC3013A		

C45651A requires that the result of the expression in line 227 be in the range given in line 228; however, this range excludes some acceptable results. This implementation passes all other checks of this test, and the AVO ruled that the test is passed.

3.7 ADDITIONAL TESTING INFORMATION

3.7.1 Prevalidation

Prior to validation, a set of test results for ACVC Version 1.9 produced by the M1750A, Version 2.0.122 was submitted to the AVF by the applicant for review. Analysis of these results demonstrated that the compiler successfully passed all applicable tests, and the compiler exhibited the expected behavior on all inapplicable tests.

TEST INFORMATION

3.7.2 Test Method

Testing of the M1750A, Version 2.0.122 using ACVC Version 1.9 was conducted on-site by a validation team from the AVF. The configuration consisted of an R1000 Series 200 host operating under Rational Environment Version D_10_9_1_1750a, and a Mikros MKS1750/SO target operating as a bare machine. The host and target computers were linked via RS 232 Direct Connect.

A magnetic tape containing all tests except for withdrawn tests and tests requiring unsupported floating-point precisions was taken on-site by the validation team for processing. Tests that make use of implementation-specific values were customized before being written to the magnetic tape. Tests requiring modifications during the prevalidation testing were included in their modified form on the magnetic tape.

The contents of the magnetic tape were loaded directly onto the host computer. After the test files were loaded to disk, the full set of tests was compiled and linked on the R1000 Series 200, and all executable tests were run on the Mikros MKS1750/SO. Object files were linked on the host computer, and executable images were transferred to the target computer via RS 232 Direct Connect. Results were printed from the host computer, with results being transferred to the host computer via RS 232 Direct Connect.

The compiler was tested using command scripts provided by Rational, Inc., and reviewed by the validation team. The compiler was tested using all default switch settings except for the following:

<u>Switch</u>	<u>Effect</u>
Create_Subprogram_Specs = False	Separate subprogram specification is not generated automatically.
Debugging_Level = Full	Generates internal data structures for use by symbolic debugger.
Optimization_Level = 4	Sets optimization to an intermediate level.

Tests were compiled, linked, and executed (as appropriate) using a single host computer and a single target computer. Test output, compilation listings, and job logs were captured on magnetic tape and archived at the AVF. The listings examined on-site by the validation team were also archived.

3.7.3 Test Site

Testing was conducted at Santa Clara, CA and was completed on 18 June 1988.

APPENDIX A

DECLARATION OF CONFORMANCE

Rational, Inc. has submitted the following Declaration of Conformance concerning the M1750A, Version 2.0.122.

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX F OF THE Ada STANDARD

The only allowed implementation dependencies correspond to implementation-dependent pragmas, to certain machine-dependent conventions as mentioned in chapter 13 of the Ada Standard, and to certain allowed restrictions on representation clauses. The implementation-dependent characteristics of the M1750A, Version 2.0.122, are described in the following sections, which discuss topics in Appendix F of the Ada Standard. Implementation-specific portions of the package STANDARD are also included in this appendix.

package STANDARD is

...

type INTEGER is range -32768 .. 32767;

type FLOAT is digits 6 range -1.70141183460469E+38 ..
1.70141163178060E+38;

type LONG_FLOAT is digits 9 range -1.70141183460469E+38 ..
1.70141183460160E+38;

type DURATION is delta 6.10351562500000E-05 range
-1.70141183460460469E+38 .. 1.70141163178060E+38;

...

end STANDARD;

1. Implementation-Dependent Pragmas

The Mil_Std_1750a Cross-Compiler supports pragmas for application software development in addition to those listed in Appendix B of the *Reference Manual for the Ada Programming Language*. They are described below, along with additional clarifications and restrictions for pragmas defined in Appendix B of the *Reference Manual for the Ada Programming Language*.

1.1. Pragma Main

A parameterless library unit procedure can be designated as a main program by including a pragma `Main` at the end of the specification or body of the unit. This pragma causes the linker to run and create an executable program when the body of this subprogram is coded. Before a unit having a pragma `Main` can be coded, all units in the transitive with-closure of the unit must be coded.

The pragma `Main` has arguments that can control the way the linker builds the executable program.

- `Stack_Size`: This argument takes a static-integer-expression as parameter; it specifies the size, in bytes, of the main task stack. If not specified, the default value is 3K bytes. The value must lie in the range $256 \dots 2^{15-1}$.
- `Heap_Size`: This argument takes a static-integer-expression as parameter; it specifies the size, in bytes, of the heap. The value must lie in the range $0 \dots 2^{15-1}$. If not specified, the default value of the `Heap_Size` is 16K bytes.

The complete syntax for this pragma is:

```
pragma_main ::= PRAGMA MAIN
              [ ( main_option [ , main_option ] ) ] ;

main_option ::= STACK_SIZE => static_integer_expression |
              HEAP_SIZE => static_integer_expression
```

The pragma `Main` must appear immediately following the declaration or body of a parameterless library unit procedure.

1.2. Pragma Nickname

The pragma `Nickname` can be used to give a unique string name to a procedure or function besides its normal Ada name. It can be used to disambiguate overloaded procedures or functions in the importing and exporting pragmas defined in subsequent sections.

The pragma `Nickname` must appear immediately following the declaration for which it is to provide a nickname. It has a single argument, the nickname, which must be a string constant.

For example (pragma `Import_Function` is described fully in a subsequent section):

```
function Cat (L: Integer; R: String) return String;
pragma Nickname ("Int-Str-Cat");

function Cat (L: String; R: Integer) return String;
```

```

pragma Nickname ("Str-Int-Cat");

pragma Interface (Assembly, Cat);

pragma Import_Function (Cat, Nickname => "Int-Str-Cat",
                        External => "CATSINT_STR_CONCAT",
                        Mechanism => (Value, Reference));

pragma Import_Function (Cat, Nickname => "Str-Int-Cat",
                        External => "CATSSTR_INT_CONCAT",
                        Mechanism => (Reference, Value));

```

1.3. Importing and Exporting Subprograms

A subprogram written in another language (typically, assembly language) can be called from an Ada program if it is declared with a `pragma Interface`. The rules for placement of `pragma Interface` are given in section 13.9 of the *Reference Manual for the Ada Programming Language*. Every interfaced subprogram must have an `Mil_Std_1750a` cross-compiler defined importing pragma, either the `pragma Import_Procedure` or the `pragma Import_Function`. These pragmas are used to declare the external name of the subprogram and the parameter passing mechanism for the subprogram call.

A subprogram written in Ada can be made accessible to code written in another language by using an `Mil_Std_1750a` cross-compiler defined exporting pragma. The effect of such a pragma is to give the subprogram a defined symbolic name that the linker can use when resolving references between object modules.

The importing and exporting pragmas can only be applied to nongeneric procedures and functions.

1.3.1. Subprogram Importing Pragmas

The pragmas `Import_Procedure` and `Import_Function` are used for importing subprograms. A `pragma Interface` must precede one of these import pragmas, otherwise, the placement rules for these pragmas are identical to those of the `pragma Interface`.

The importing pragmas have the form:

```

importing_pragma ::= PRAGMA importing_type
                  ( [ INTERNAL => ] internal_name
                    [ , [ EXTERNAL => ] external_name ]
                    [ [ , [ PARAMETER_TYPES => ] parameter_types ]
                      [ , [ RESULT_TYPE => ] type_mark ] |
                      [ , NICKNAME => string_literal ] ]
                    [ , [ MECHANISM => ] mechanisms ] ) ;

importing_type   ::= IMPORT_PROCEDURE | IMPORT_FUNCTION

internal_name    ::= identifier |
                  string_literal -- An operator designator

external_name    ::= identifier | string_literal

parameter_types ::= ( NULL ) | ( type_mark ( , type_mark ) )

mechanisms       ::= mechanism_name |

```

(mechanism_name (, mechanism_name))

mechanism_name ::= VALUE | REFERENCE

The internal name is the Ada name of the subprogram being interfaced. If there is more than one subprogram in the declarative region, preceeding the importing pragma, then the correct subprogram must be identified using either the argument types (and result type, if a function) or specifying the nickname.

If used to disambiguate an overloaded internal name, the value of the `Parameter_Types` argument consists of a list of type or subtype names, not names of parameters. Each one corresponds, positionally, to a formal parameter in the subprogram's declaration. If the subprogram has no parameters then the list consists of the single word `null`. In the case of a function, the value of the `Result_Type` argument is the name of the type or subtype returned by the function.

The external designator, specified with the `External` parameter, is a character string which is an identifier suitable for the 1750a assembler. If the external designator is not specified, then the internal name is used.

The argument `Mechanism` is required if the subprogram has any parameters. It specifies in a parenthesized list the passing mechanism for each parameter to be passed. The passing mechanism may be either `Value` or `Reference`. For functions, it is not possible to specify the passing mechanism of the function result; the standard Ada mechanism for the given type of the function result must be used by the interfaced subprogram. If there is one or more parameters, and they all use the same passing mechanism, then an alternate form for the `Mechanism` parameter may be used: Instead of a parenthesized list with an element for each parameter, the single mechanism name (not parenthesized) may be used instead.

Examples:

```
procedure Locate (Source: in String;
                 Target: in String;
                 Index: out Natural);

pragma Interface (Assembler, Locate);
pragma Import_Procedure
  (Locate, "STRSLOCATE",
   Parameter_Types => (String, String, Natural),
   Mechanism => (Reference, Reference, Value));

function Pwr (I: Integer; N: Integer) return Float;
function Pwr (F: Float; N: Integer) return Float;

pragma Interface (Assembler, Pwr);
pragma Import_Function
  (Internal => Pwr,
   Parameter_Types => (Integer, Integer),
   Result_Type => Float,
   Mechanism => Value,
   External => "MATHSPWR_OF_INTEGER");
pragma Import_Function
  (Internal => Pwr
   Parameter_Types => (Float, Integer),
   Result_Type => Float,
   Mechanism => Value,
   External => "MATHSPWR_OF_FLOAT");
```

1.3.2. Subprogram Exporting Pragmas

The pragmas `Export_Procedure` and `Export_Function` are used to make an Ada subprogram available to external code in a different language by defining a global symbolic name that the linker can use.

An exporting pragma can be given only for subprograms which are library units, or are declared in the outermost declarative part of a library package. An exporting pragma can be placed after a subprogram body only if the subprogram either doesn't have a separate specification or the specification is in the same declarative part as the body. Thus, an exporting pragma can't be applied to the body of a library subprogram which has a separate specification. An exporting pragma cannot be given for a generic library subprogram, or for a subprogram declared in a generic library package.

These pragmas have similar arguments to the importing pragmas, except that it is not possible to specify the parameter passing mechanism. The standard Ada parameter passing mechanisms are chosen. For descriptions of the pragma's arguments, `Internal`, `External`, `Parameter_Types`, `Result_Type`, and `Nickname`, see the preceding section on the importing pragmas.

The full syntax of the pragmas for exporting subprograms is:

```
exporting_pragma ::= PRAGMA exporting_type
                  ( [ INTERNAL => ] internal_name
                    [ , [ EXTERNAL => ] external_name ]
                    [ [ , [ PARAMETER_TYPES => ] parameter_types ]
                    [ , [ RESULT_TYPE => ] type_mark ] |
                    [ , NICKNAME => string_literal. ] ] ) ;

exporting_type   ::= EXPORT_PROCEDURE | EXPORT_FUNCTION

internal_name    ::= identifier |
                  string_literal -- An operator designator

external_name    ::= identifier | string_literal

parameter_types ::= ( NULL ) | ( type_mark ( , type_mark ) )
```

Examples:

```
procedure Matrix_Multiply
    (A, B: in Matrix; C: out Matrix);

pragma Export_Procedure (Matrix_Multiply);
-- External name is the string "Matrix_Multiply"

function Sin (R: Radians) return Float;
pragma Export_Function
    (Internal => Sin,
     External => "SIN_RADIANS");
-- External name is the string "SIN_RADIANS"
```

1.4. Importing and Exporting Objects

Objects can be imported or exported from an Ada unit with the pragmas `Import_Object` and `Export_Object`. The pragma `Import_Object` makes an Ada name reference storage declared and allocated in some external (non-Ada) object module. The pragma `Export_Object` provides an object declared within an

Ada unit with an externally available symbolic name that the linker can use to put together a program with modules written in some other language. In any case, it is the responsibility of the programmer to insure that the internal structure of the object is such that the non-Ada code or data layout matches; such checks cannot be performed by the cross-compiler.

The object to be imported or exported must be a variable declared at the outermost level of a library package specification or body.

The size of the object must be static, thus the type of the object must be one of:

- A scalar type (or subtype).
- An array subtype with static index constraints whose component size is static.
- A simple record type or subtype.

Objects of a private or limited private type can be imported or exported only into the package that declares the type.

Imported objects cannot have an initial value, and thus cannot be:

- constant.
- An access type.
- A task type.
- A record type with discriminants, or with components with default initial expressions, or with components which are access types or task types.

Finally, the object must not be in a generic unit.

The external name specified must be suitable for an identifier in the assembler.

The full syntax for the pragmas `Import_Object` and `Export_Object` is:

```
object_pragma ::= PRAGMA object_pragma_type
                ( [ INTERNAL => ] identifier
                  [ , [ EXTERNAL => ] string_literal ] ) ;

object_pragma_type ::= IMPORT_OBJECT | EXPORT_OBJECT
```

1.5. Pragma `Suppress_All`

This pragma is equivalent to the following sequence of pragmas:

```
pragma Suppress (Access_Check);
pragma Suppress (Discriminant_Check);
pragma Suppress (Division_Check);
pragma Suppress (Elaboration_Check);
pragma Suppress (Index_Check);
pragma Suppress (Length_Check);
pragma Suppress (Overflow_Check);
pragma Suppress (Range_Check);
pragma Suppress (Storage_Check);
```

Note that, just as pragma Suppress, the Suppress_All pragma cannot prevent the raising of certain exceptions. For example, numeric overflow or dividing by zero is detected by the hardware, which results in the predefined exception Numeric_Error being raised. Refer to Chapter 7, "Run-Time Organization", for more information.

The pragma Suppress_All must appear immediately within a declarative part.

2. Implementation-Dependent Attributes

There are no implementation-dependent attributes.

3. Package System

package System is

```
type Name is (Mil_Std_1750a);
System_Name : constant Name := Mil_Std_1750a;

Storage_Unit : constant := 16;
Memory_Size : constant := 2 ** 16;

Min_Int : constant := -(2 ** 15);
Max_Int : constant := +(2 ** 15) - 1;

Max_Digits : constant := 9;
Max_Mantissa : constant := 31;
Fine_Delta : constant := 2.0 ** (-31);

Tick : constant := 1.0E-04;

subtype Priority is Integer range 1 .. 254;

type Address is private;

Address_Zero : constant Address;

function "+" (Left : Address; Right : Integer) return Address;
function "+" (Left : Integer; Right : Address) return Address;
function "-" (Left : Address; Right : Address) return Integer;
function "-" (Left : Address; Right : Integer) return Address;

function "<" (Left, Right : Address) return Boolean;
function "<=" (Left, Right : Address) return Boolean;
function ">" (Left, Right : Address) return Boolean;
function ">=" (Left, Right : Address) return Boolean;

function To_Address (X : Integer) return Address;
function To_Integer (X : Address) return Integer;

private
...
end System;
```

4. Restrictions on Representation Clauses

4.1. Length clauses

Size specifications are allowed only on discrete types that are not derived types. There are no restrictions on specifications of collection size or task activation size. *Small* may be specified for a fixed point type so long as it is a power of two that does not exceed the given delta and still permits representation of all necessary values, and so long as the fixed point type is not a derived type.

4.2. Enumeration Representation Clauses

Enumeration representation clauses are not permitted on derived enumeration types.

4.3. Record Representation Clauses

A record field can consist of any number of bits between 1 and 16 inclusive; otherwise it must be an integral number of 16-bit words. There are no other restrictions on record field specifications. —

4.4. Address Clauses

Address clauses are not supported.

5. Names denoting implementation-dependent components

There are no implementation dependent components that can be named in representation clauses.

6. Interpretation of expressions that appear in address clauses

Address clauses are not supported.

7. Unchecked conversion

The target type of an unchecked conversion cannot be an unconstrained array type or an unconstrained discriminated type.

8. Implementation dependent characteristics of the input output packages

Text files other than standard input and standard output are not supported. *Sequential_Io* and *Direct_Io* files are not supported. Any attempt to create such a file will cause the exception *Use_Error* to be raised. *Sequential_Io* and *Direct_Io* cannot be instantiated with unconstrained record or array types.

APPENDIX C
TEST PARAMETERS

Certain tests in the ACVC make use of implementation-dependent values, such as the maximum length of an input line and invalid file names. A test that makes use of such values is identified by the extension .TST in its file name. Actual values to be substituted are represented by names that begin with a dollar sign. A value must be substituted for each of these names before the test is run. The values used for this validation are given below.

<u>Name and Meaning</u>	<u>Value</u>
<u>\$BIG_ID1</u> Identifier the size of the maximum input line length with varying last character.	(1..253 =>'A', 254 =>'1')
<u>\$BIG_ID2</u> Identifier the size of the maximum input line length with varying last character.	(1..253 =>'A', 254 =>'2')
<u>\$BIG_ID3</u> Identifier the size of the maximum input line length with varying middle character.	(1..126 128..254 =>'A', 127 =>'3')
<u>\$BIG_ID4</u> Identifier the size of the maximum input line length with varying middle character.	(1..126 128..254 =>'A', 127 =>'4')
<u>\$BIG_INT_LIT</u> An integer literal of value 298 with enough leading zeroes so that it is the size of the maximum line length.	(1..251 =>'0', 252..254 =>"298")

TEST PARAMETERS

<u>Name and Meaning</u>	<u>Value</u>
<p>\$BIG_REAL_LIT A universal real literal of value 690.0 with enough leading zeroes to be the size of the maximum line length.</p>	(1..248 =>'0', 249..254 =>"69.0E1")
<p>\$BIG_STRING1 A string literal which when catenated with BIG_STRING2 yields the image of BIG_ID1.</p>	(1..127 =>'A')
<p>\$BIG_STRING2 A string literal which when catenated to the end of BIG_STRING1 yields the image of BIG_ID1.</p>	(1..126 =>'A', 127 =>'1')
<p>\$BLANKS A sequence of blanks twenty characters less than the size of the maximum line length.</p>	(1..234 =>' ')
<p>\$COUNT_LAST A universal integer literal whose value is TEXT_IO.COUNT'LAST.</p>	32760
<p>\$FIELD_LAST A universal integer literal whose value is TEXT_IO.FIELD'LAST.</p>	32767
<p>\$FILE_NAME_WITH_BAD_CHARS An external file name that either contains invalid characters or is too long.</p>	"BAD_CHARACTERS&<>="
<p>\$FILE_NAME_WITH_WILD_CARD_CHAR An external file name that either contains a wild card character or is too long.</p>	"WILDCARDSe"
<p>\$GREATER_THAN_DURATION A universal real literal that lies between DURATION'BASE'LAST and DURATION'LAST or any value in the range of DURATION.</p>	0.0

TEST PARAMETERS

<u>Name and Meaning</u>	<u>Value</u>
\$GREATER_THAN_DURATION_BASE_LAST A universal real literal that is greater than DURATION'BASE'LAST.	200_000.0
\$ILLEGAL_EXTERNAL_FILE_NAME1 An external file name which contains invalid characters.	"BAD_CHARACTERS&<>="
\$ILLEGAL_EXTERNAL_FILE_NAME2 An external file name which is too long.	STRING'(1..100 =>'A')&STRING'(1..100 =>'A') &STRING'(1..100 =>'A')
\$INTEGER_FIRST A universal integer literal whose value is INTEGER'FIRST.	-32768
\$INTEGER_LAST A universal integer literal whose value is INTEGER'LAST.	32767
\$INTEGER_LAST_PLUS_1 A universal integer literal whose value is INTEGER'LAST + 1.	32767 + 1
\$LESS_THAN_DURATION A universal real literal that lies between DURATION'BASE'FIRST and DURATION'FIRST or any value in the range of DURATION.	0.0
\$LESS_THAN_DURATION_BASE_FIRST A universal real literal that is less than DURATION'BASE'FIRST.	-200_000.0
\$MAX_DIGITS Maximum digits supported for floating-point types.	9
\$MAX_IN_LEN Maximum input line length permitted by the implementation.	254
\$MAX_INT A universal integer literal whose value is SYSTEM.MAX_INT.	32767
\$MAX_INT_PLUS_1 A universal integer literal whose value is SYSTEM.MAX_INT+1.	32767 + 1

TEST PARAMETERS

<u>Name and Meaning</u>	<u>Value</u>
<p>\$MAX_LEN_INT_BASED_LITERAL</p> <p>A universal integer based literal whose value is 2#11# with enough leading zeroes in the mantissa to be MAX_IN_LEN long.</p>	<p>(1..2 =>"2:", 3..251 =>'0', 252..254 =>"11:")</p>
<p>\$MAX_LEN_REAL_BASED_LITERAL</p> <p>A universal real based literal whose value is 16:F.E: with enough leading zeroes in the mantissa to be MAX_IN_LEN long.</p>	<p>(1..3 =>"16:", 4..250 =>'0', 251..254 =>"F.E:")</p>
<p>\$MAX_STRING_LITERAL</p> <p>A string literal of size MAX_IN_LEN, including the quote characters.</p>	<p>(1..2 =>"A", 3..252 =>'A', 253..254 =>"A")</p>
<p>\$MIN_INT</p> <p>A universal integer literal whose value is SYSTEM.MIN_INT.</p>	<p>-32768</p>
<p>\$NAME</p> <p>A name of a predefined numeric type other than FLOAT, INTEGER, SHORT_FLOAT, SHORT_INTEGER, LONG_FLOAT, or LONG_INTEGER.</p>	<p>NO_SUCH_TYPE</p>
<p>\$NEG_BASED_INT</p> <p>A based integer literal whose highest order nonzero bit falls in the sign bit position of the representation for SYSTEM.MAX_INT.</p>	<p>16#FFFE#</p>

APPENDIX D

WITHDRAWN TESTS

Some tests are withdrawn from the ACVC because they do not conform to the Ada Standard. The following 27 tests had been withdrawn at the time of validation testing for the reasons indicated. A reference of the form "AI-ddddd" is to an Ada Commentary.

- . E28003A: A basic declaration (line 36) incorrectly follows a later declaration.
- . E28005C: This test requires that "PRAGMA LIST (ON);" not appear in a listing that has been suspended by a previous "PRAGMA LIST (OFF);"; the Ada Standard is not clear on this point, and the matter will be reviewed by the AJPO.
- . C34004A: The expression in line 168 yields a value outside the range of the target type T, but there is no handler for CONSTRAINT_ERROR.
- . C35502P: The equality operators in lines 62 and 69 should be inequality operators.
- . A35902C: The assignment in line 17 of the nominal upper bound of a fixed-point type to an object raises CONSTRAINT_ERROR, for that value lies outside of the actual range of the type.
- . C35904A: The elaboration of the fixed-point subtype on line 28 wrongly raises CONSTRAINT_ERROR, because its upper bound exceeds that of the type.
- . C35904B: The subtype declaration that is expected to raise CONSTRAINT_ERROR when its compatibility is checked against that of various types passed as actual generic parameters, may, in fact, raise NUMERIC_ERROR or CONSTRAINT_ERROR for reasons not anticipated by the test.

WITHDRAWN TESTS

- . C35A03E and C35A03R: These tests assume that attribute 'MANTISSA returns 0 when applied to a fixed-point type with a null range, but the Ada Standard does not support this assumption.
- . C37213H: The subtype declaration of SCONS in line 100 is incorrectly expected to raise an exception when elaborated.
- . C37213J: The aggregate in line 451 incorrectly raises CONSTRAINT_ERROR.
- . C37215C, C37215E, C37215G, and C37215H: Various discriminant constraints are incorrectly expected to be incompatible with type CONS.
- . C38102C: The fixed-point conversion on line 23 wrongly raises CONSTRAINT_ERROR.
- . C41402A: The attribute 'STORAGE_SIZE is incorrectly applied to an object of an access type.
- . C45332A: The test expects that either an expression in line 52 will raise an exception or else MACHINE_OVERFLOW is FALSE. However, an implementation may evaluate the expression correctly using a type with a wider range than the base type of the operands, and MACHINE_OVERFLOW may still be TRUE.
- . C45614C: The function call of IDENT_INT in line 15 uses an argument of the wrong type.
- . A74106C, C85018B, C87B04B, and CC1311B: A bound specified in a fixed-point subtype declaration lies outside of that calculated for the base type, raising CONSTRAINT_ERROR. Errors of this sort occur at lines 37 & 59, 142 & 143, 16 & 48, and 252 & 253 of the four tests, respectively.
- . BC3105A: Lines 159 through 168 expect error messages, but these lines are correct Ada.
- . AD1A01A: The declaration of subtype SINT3 raises CONSTRAINT_ERROR for implementations which select INT'SIZE to be 16 or greater.
- . CE2401H: The record aggregates in lines 105 and 117 contain the wrong values.
- . CE3208A: This test expects that an attempt to open the default output file (after it was closed) with mode IN_FILE raises NAME_ERROR or USE_ERROR; by Commentary AI-00048, MODE_ERROR should be raised.

END

DATE

FILMED

3-84

DTIC

SUPPLEMENTARY

INFORMATION

Ada Compiler Validation Summary Report:


Compiler Name: M1750A, Version 2.0.122


Certificate Number: 880613W1.09086


Host:	Target:
R1000 Series 200 under Rational Environment Version D_10_9_1_1750a	Mikros MKS1750/SO (Bare)

Testing Completed 18 June 1988 Using ACVC 1.9

This report has been reviewed and is approved.


Ada Validation Facility
Steven P. Wilson
Technical Director
ASD/SCEL
Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-6503


Ada Validation Organization
Dr. John F. Kramer
Institute for Defense Analyses
Alexandria VA 22311


Ada Joint Program Office
Virginia L. Castor
Director
Department of Defense
Washington DC 20301