

## **DISCLAIMER NOTICE**

**THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY  
PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED  
TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT  
NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT  
REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.**

*OR are  
Blank pgs.  
that have  
Been Removed*

**BEST  
AVAILABLE COPY**

AD-A204 246

1

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE  
SOLAR ENERGY RESEARCH PROGRAM  
1964  
PROGRAM MANAGER'S REPORT  
UNIVERSITY ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.

PROJECT MANAGER: J. L. DAVIS  
ROBERT E. GIBSON  
PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR: J. E. S.  
S. L. RICHESON

PROGRAM MANAGER: J. E. D. S. H.  
EDITH ELIZABETH CAVENDER

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release  
Distribution Unlimited

SUBMITTED TO

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH  
WALLING AIR FORCE BASE  
WASHINGTON, DC

DECEMBER 1969

DTIC  
ELECTE  
FEB 08 1989  
D 8

89 2 8 051

## REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

| 1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>UNCLASSIFIED                                                                                                                                                               |                        |                                             | 1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                     |                           |                                  |                        |                   |                  |  |  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |  |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY                                                                                                                                                                            |                        |                                             | 3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT<br>APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;<br>Distribution Unlimited                                                                                                    |                                                     |                           |                                  |                        |                   |                  |  |  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |  |
| 2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE                                                                                                                                                                        |                        |                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                     |                           |                                  |                        |                   |                  |  |  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |  |
| 4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)                                                                                                                                                                      |                        |                                             | 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)<br>AFOSR-TR-89-0035                                                                                                                                     |                                                     |                           |                                  |                        |                   |                  |  |  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |  |
| 6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION<br>Universal Energy Systems, Inc                                                                                                                                             |                        | 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL<br>(If applicable)        | 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION<br>AFOSR/XOT                                                                                                                                                    |                                                     |                           |                                  |                        |                   |                  |  |  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |  |
| 6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)<br>4401 Dayton-Xenia Road<br>Dayton, OH 45432                                                                                                                             |                        |                                             | 7b. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)<br>Building 410<br>Bolling AFB, DC 20332                                                                                                                     |                                                     |                           |                                  |                        |                   |                  |  |  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |  |
| 8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION<br>AFOSR                                                                                                                                                             |                        | 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL<br>(If applicable)<br>XOT | 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER<br>F49620-85-C-0013                                                                                                                                 |                                                     |                           |                                  |                        |                   |                  |  |  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |  |
| 8c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)<br>Building 410<br>Bolling AFB, DC 20332                                                                                                                                  |                        |                                             | 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS.<br><table border="1"><tr><td>PROGRAM<br/>ELEMENT NO.<br/>61102F</td><td>PROJECT<br/>NO.<br/>3396</td><td>TASK<br/>NO.<br/>D5</td><td>WORK UNIT<br/>NO.</td></tr></table> |                                                     |                           | PROGRAM<br>ELEMENT NO.<br>61102F | PROJECT<br>NO.<br>3396 | TASK<br>NO.<br>D5 | WORK UNIT<br>NO. |  |  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |  |
| PROGRAM<br>ELEMENT NO.<br>61102F                                                                                                                                                                                 | PROJECT<br>NO.<br>3396 | TASK<br>NO.<br>D5                           | WORK UNIT<br>NO.                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                     |                           |                                  |                        |                   |                  |  |  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |  |
| 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)<br>USAF Summer Faculty Research Program - Management Report - 1988                                                                                                   |                        |                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                     |                           |                                  |                        |                   |                  |  |  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |  |
| 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)<br>Rodney C. Darrah, Susan K. Espy                                                                                                                                                        |                        |                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                     |                           |                                  |                        |                   |                  |  |  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |  |
| 13a. TYPE OF REPORT<br>Annual                                                                                                                                                                                    |                        | 13b. TIME COVERED<br>FROM _____ TO _____    |                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr., Mo., Day)<br>December 1988 |                           |                                  |                        |                   |                  |  |  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |  |
| 15. PAGE COUNT                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                        |                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                     |                           |                                  |                        |                   |                  |  |  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |  |
| 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION                                                                                                                                                                                       |                        |                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                     |                           |                                  |                        |                   |                  |  |  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |  |
| 17. COSATI CODES<br><table border="1"><tr><th>FIELD</th><th>GROUP</th><th>SUB. GR.</th></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table> |                        |                                             | FIELD                                                                                                                                                                                               | GROUP                                               | SUB. GR.                  |                                  |                        |                   |                  |  |  |  |  |  | 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) |  |  |
| FIELD                                                                                                                                                                                                            | GROUP                  | SUB. GR.                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                     |                           |                                  |                        |                   |                  |  |  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |  |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                        |                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                     |                           |                                  |                        |                   |                  |  |  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |  |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                        |                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                     |                           |                                  |                        |                   |                  |  |  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |  |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                        |                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                     |                           |                                  |                        |                   |                  |  |  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |  |
| 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)<br><br>See Attached                                                                                                                 |                        |                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                     |                           |                                  |                        |                   |                  |  |  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |  |
| 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT<br>CLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS <input type="checkbox"/>                                  |                        |                                             | 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>UNCLASSIFIED                                                                                                                                                |                                                     |                           |                                  |                        |                   |                  |  |  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |  |
| 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL<br>Lt Col Chuck Cavender                                                                                                                                                     |                        |                                             | 22b. TELEPHONE NUMBER<br>(Include Area Code)<br>202-767-4970                                                                                                                                        |                                                     | 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL<br>XOT |                                  |                        |                   |                  |  |  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |  |

## PREFACE

The United States Air Force Summer Faculty Research Program (USAF-SFRP) is designed to introduce university, college, and technical institute faculty members to Air Force research. This is accomplished by the faculty members being selected on a nationally advertised competitive basis for a ten-week assignment during the summer intersession period to perform research at Air Force laboratories/centers. Each assignment is in a subject area and at an Air Force facility mutually agreed upon by the faculty members and the Air Force. In addition to compensation, travel and cost of living allowances are also paid. The USAF-SFRP is sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Air Force Systems Command, United States Air Force, and is conducted by Universal Energy Systems, Inc.

The specific objectives of the 1988 USAF-SFRP are:

- (1) To provide a productive means for U. S. Faculty Members to participate in research at Air Force Laboratories/Centers;
- (2) To stimulate continuing professional association among the Faculty and their professional peers in the Air Force;
- (3) To further the research objectives of the United States Air Force;
- (4) To enhance the research productivity and capabilities of the Faculty especially as these relate to Air Force technical interests.

During the summer of 1988, 153-faculty members participated. These researchers were assigned to 23 USAF laboratories/centers across the country. This four volume document is a compilation of the final reports written by the assigned faculty members about their summer research efforts.

AFOSK-TR. 89-0030

AFSC (AFSC)  
and is  
AR 190-12.

Construction Division

89 2 8 051

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE  
SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH PROGRAM  
1988  
PROGRAM MANAGEMENT REPORT  
UNIVERSAL ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.

Program Director, UES  
Rodney C. Darrah

Program Manager, AFOSR  
Lt. Col. Claude Cavender

Program Administrator, UES  
Susan K. Espy

Submitted to  
Air Force Office of Scientific Research  
Bolling Air Force Base  
Washington, DC  
December 1988



|            |         |   |
|------------|---------|---|
| SEARCHED   | INDEXED | J |
| SERIALIZED | FILED   |   |
| DEC 1988   |         |   |
| AFOSR      |         |   |
| A-1        |         |   |

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <u>Section</u>                                               | <u>Page</u> |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| I. Introduction . . . . .                                    | 1           |
| II. Recruiting and Selection . . . . .                       | 2           |
| III. Pre-summer Visit (Optional) . . . . .                   | 3           |
| IV. Site Visits . . . . .                                    | 3           |
| V. Historically Black College/University (HBCUs) Workshop .  | 5           |
| VI. College Science and Engineering Program (CSEP) . . . . . | 7           |
| VII. High School Apprenticeship Program (HSAP) . . . . .     | 8           |

### APPENDIX I

|                                                                             |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| A. Information Brochure for Summer Fellows . . . . .                        | 13 |
| B. Participant's Questionnaire and Reply Summary . . . . .                  | 26 |
| C. Laboratory Representative's Questionnaire and<br>Reply Summary . . . . . | 38 |
| D. Research Colleagues Questionnaire and Reply Summary . .                  | 48 |

### APPENDIX II

|                                                 |    |
|-------------------------------------------------|----|
| A. Program Statistics . . . . .                 | 62 |
| B. List of 1988 Participants . . . . .          | 68 |
| C. Participants Laboratory Assignment . . . . . | 95 |

### APPENDIX III

|                                                            |     |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| A. Listing of Research Reports . . . . .                   | 100 |
| B. Abstracts of Summer Fellow's Research Reports . . . . . | 112 |
| Armament Laboratory . . . . .                              | 113 |
| Arnold Engineering and Development Center . . . . .        | 122 |
| Astronautics Laboratory . . . . .                          | 128 |
| Eastern Space and Missile Center . . . . .                 | 137 |
| Electronic Systems Division . . . . .                      | 139 |
| Engineering and Services Center . . . . .                  | 142 |
| Frank J. Seiler Research Laboratory . . . . .              | 151 |

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

| <u>Section</u> | APPENDIX III<br>Abstracts (Continued)                     | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
|                | Geophysics Laboratory . . . . .                           | 159         |
|                | Rome Air Development Center . . . . .                     | 172         |
|                | Weapons Laboratory . . . . .                              | 185         |
|                | Aero Propulsion Laboratory . . . . .                      | 192         |
|                | Avionics Laboratory . . . . .                             | 201         |
|                | Flight Dynamics Laboratory . . . . .                      | 210         |
|                | Materials Laboratory . . . . .                            | 221         |
|                | Armstrong Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory . . . . . | 234         |
|                | Human Resources Laboratory . . . . .                      | 245         |
|                | Occupational and Environment Health Laboratory . . . . .  | 260         |
|                | School of Aerospace Medicine . . . . .                    | 266         |
|                | Wilford Hall Medical Center . . . . .                     | 284         |

### LIST OF TABLES

|   |                                        |   |
|---|----------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Growth of GSRP . . . . .               | 1 |
| 2 | Growth of the RIP . . . . .            | 2 |
| 3 | RIP Funding and Cost Sharing . . . . . | 2 |
| 4 | SFRP and GSRP Participation . . . . .  | 3 |
| 5 | HBCU Participation . . . . .           | 6 |

## I. INTRODUCTION

Universal Energy Systems, Inc. (UES) was awarded the United States Air Force Summer Faculty Research Program on August 15, 1984. The contract is funded under the Air Force Systems Command by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research.

The program has been in existence since 1978 and has been conducted by several different contractors. The success of the program is evident from its history of expansion since 1978.

The Summer Faculty Research Program (SFRP) provides opportunities for research in the physical sciences, engineering, and life sciences. The program has been effective in providing basic research opportunities to the faculty of universities, colleges, and technical institutions throughout the United States.

The program is available to faculty members in all academic grades: instructor, assistant professor, professor, department chairman, and research facility directors. It has proven especially beneficial to young faculty members who are starting their academic research programs and to senior faculty members who have spent time in university administration and are desirous of returning to scholarly research programs.

Beginning with the 1982 program, research opportunities were provided for graduate students. The 1982 pilot student program was highly successful and has expanded from its initial involvement with 17 graduate students to its current level of 107 graduate students in the 1988 program. Initially the graduate students were selected along with their professors to participate in the program. Starting with the 1985 program, the graduate students were selected on their own merits. The students were assigned to be supervised by either a professor on the SFRP or an engineer/scientist at the participating Air Force Laboratory. The following table shows the growth of this program.

| Year | Number of graduate students |
|------|-----------------------------|
| 1982 | 17                          |
| 1983 | 53                          |
| 1984 | 84                          |
| 1985 | 92                          |
| 1986 | 100                         |
| 1987 | 101                         |
| 1988 | 107                         |

Table 1 Growth of GSRP

The 1988 GSRP report is published as four separate documents under the 1988 Summer Faculty Research Program and are entitled, Graduate Student Summer Support Program Management Report and Technical Reports, Volume I, II and III, October 1988.

Follow-on research opportunities have been developed for a large percentage of the participants in the SFRP. In 1979-1983 period this was accomplished through an AFOSR Minigrant Program.

On 1 September 1983, AFOSR replaced the Minigrant Program with a new Research Initiation Program (RIP). The RIP provides follow-on research awards to home institutions of SFRP participants. Awards were made to approximately 50 researchers in 1983. The awards were for a maximum of \$12,000 and a duration of one year or less. Substantial cost sharing by the schools contributes significantly to the value of the RIP.

For the 1985 program, the amount of the RIP was increased to a maximum of \$20,000. The growth of the RIP is shown in Table 2.

| YEAR | Number of<br>SFRP Fellows | Number of<br>RIP Applicants | Number of<br>RIP Awards |
|------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1983 | 101                       | No Data                     | 50                      |
| 1984 | 152                       | No Data                     | 80                      |
| 1985 | 154                       | 120                         | 82                      |
| 1986 | 158                       | 141                         | 97                      |
| 1987 | 159                       | 124                         | 83                      |
| 1988 | 153                       | N/A                         | (Approx. 75)            |

Table 2 Growth of the RIP

Funding and cost sharing for the RIP is shown in Table 3.

| YEAR | Number of<br>RIP's | AFOSR<br>Funding | Cost<br>Sharing |
|------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1985 | 82                 | \$1,551,091.00   | \$782,812.00    |
| 1986 | 97                 | \$1,932,164.00   | \$754,857.00    |
| 1987 | 83                 | \$1,646,379.00   | \$721,398.00    |

Table 3 RIP Funding and Cost Sharing

Under the SFRP a College Science and Engineering Program and a High School Apprenticeship Program were conducted. These two programs are described in Sections VI and VII of this report.

## II. RECRUITING AND SELECTION

The program is conducted on a nationally advertised and competitive selection basis. Advertising for the 1988 program was conducted via direct mail to all accredited schools. The mailing was sent to the department chairman at the schools. The departments included biology, genetics, ecology, entomology, chemistry, computer science, graphics, mathematics, physics, aeronautical engineering, ceramic engineering, chemical engineering, materials science, mechanical engineering, electrical engineering, metallurgy, nuclear science, and psychology. The brochures were also mailed to all of the participants in the 1985 and 1986, and 1987 programs. Brochures were mailed to the Presidents of Historically Black Colleges. The brochures were sent to all participating USAF laboratories/centers; distribution was made through AFOTC units on university campuses; information was supplied to all who

made requests. Overall, more than 17,000 brochures were distributed throughout the country.

Application deadline was February 1, 1988. There were over four (4) applications received for each position available on the 1988 Summer Faculty Research Program. The selection panels met in February. The announcements of selections were mailed on March 1, 1988. In total 182 offers of position were made for the Summer Faculty Research Program, with 153 professors accepting appointments. Table 4 shows the growth in the number of faculty and graduate students participating in the program.

| YEAR | Number of SFRP<br>Participants | Number of GSRP<br>Participants |
|------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1979 | 70                             | 0                              |
| 1980 | 87                             | 0                              |
| 1981 | 87                             | 0                              |
| 1982 | 91                             | 17                             |
| 1983 | 101                            | 53                             |
| 1984 | 152                            | 84                             |
| 1985 | 154                            | 92                             |
| 1986 | 158                            | 100                            |
| 1987 | 159                            | 101                            |
| 1988 | 153                            | 107                            |

Table 4 SFRP and GSRP Participation

### III. PRE-SUMMER VISIT (Optional)

Each Summer Fellow was directed to contact the designated representative at the laboratory/center of assignment to discuss a pre-summer visit. The purpose of the pre-summer visit is basically threefold: 1) to meet with laboratory personnel, especially the Effort Focal Point with whom the Summer Fellow would be working most closely, and to become personally acquainted with the laboratory facilities; 2) to finalize and formalize objectives for the Summer Fellow's summer research period and report these to UES; 3) to make arrangements for lodging for the research period. The focus of this visit was on making sufficient preparations so that the ten week summer research effort would be effective.

### IV. SITE VISITS

Visits listed below include those by UES and AFOSR personnel. The faculty, USAF research colleagues, and student participants are generally satisfied with the program. Criticisms were: a) too much paper work to administer program, b) housing difficult to find, c) delays experienced in receiving payment d) 10 weeks too short for research period.

June 20, 1988      School of Aerospace Medicine  
                          HRL: Training Systems Division  
                          HRL: Manpower and Personnel Division  
                          Occupational and Environment Health Laboratory  
                          Brooks Air Force Base, Texas

|                  |                                                                                                |
|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| June 21, 1988    | Armament Laboratory<br>Eglin Air Force Base, Florida                                           |
| June 22, 1988    | Engineering and Services Center<br>Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida                             |
| June 23, 1988    | Eastern Space and Missile Center<br>Patrick Air Force Base, Florida                            |
| June 28, 1988    | Arnold Engineering Development Center<br>Arnold Air Force Base, Tennessee                      |
| June 29-30, 1988 | Wright-Patterson Air Force Base<br>Dayton, Ohio                                                |
| July 7, 1988     | Rome Air Development Center<br>Griffiss Air Force Base, New York                               |
| July 8, 1988     | Electronics Systems Division<br>Geophysics Laboratory<br>Hanscom Air Force Base, Massachusetts |
| July 19, 1988    | Astronautics Laboratory<br>Edwards Air Force Base, California                                  |
| July 20, 1988    | HRL: Operations Training Division<br>Williams Air Force Base, Arizona                          |
| July 21, 1988    | Weapons Laboratory<br>Kirtland Air Force Base, New Mexico                                      |
| July 22, 1988    | Frank J. Seiler Research Laboratory<br>United States Air Force Academy, Colorado               |

Because of the proximity of UES to Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, several site visits were made to the following laboratories:

Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory  
Aero Propulsion Laboratory  
Avionics Laboratory  
Flight Dynamics Laboratory  
Human Resources Laboratory  
Materials Laboratory  
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio

We find that the objectives of the SFRP are being well served. SFRP Research Fellows indicate that they are performing independent research, and are not being used as "summer help". There are some misconceptions by research colleagues and summer fellows concerning the purpose of the program; one misconception is that the program is suitable for repeated research efforts by an individual. However, in this program we have found no abuse of the non-personal services requirements. As expected, enthusiasm is high for the possibilities of follow-on funding by

AFOSR at the home university. Research fellows often conduct lectures and seminars at the Air Force locations.

As a record of the documentation supplied to the appointees, the UES Information and Appointment Packets are provided in Appendix I of this report.

V. HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES/UNIVERSITIES (HBCU's) WORKSHOP

In support of the Summer Faculty Research Program, and as part of the UES EEO/Affirmative Action Program, UES sponsored an information booth at the NAFEO (National Association for Equal Opportunity in Higher Education) Conference. The conference was held on March 23 through March 27, 1988. UES provided information on the UES-AFOSR summer programs at this conference.

UES visited various Historical Black Colleges and Universities throughout the country. During these visits faculty and administrators were briefed on the benefits and research opportunities of the SFRP. The targeted groups within the University community were faculty of the Engineering, Physics, Mathematics, Life Sciences, Physical Sciences, and Computer Sciences Departments.

The objectives of the visits are to encourage administration support and faculty participation. The program's reception at each institution was very good.

Because of the contract starting date and the Program Office desires in scheduling, the 1987-88 visitation schedule was decreased by 18% from 1986 schedule. Below is a summary of universities that were visited and the date:

NOVEMBER 1987

|                                           |             |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Wilberforce University, Wilberforce, OH   | November 16 |
| Central State University, Wilberforce, OH | November 17 |
| Philander Smith College, Little Rock, AR  | November 18 |
| Arkansas Baptist College, Little Rock, AR | November 18 |
| University of Arkansas, Pine Bluff, AR    | November 19 |
| Alabama A&M University, Huntsville, AL    | November 23 |
| Talladega College, Talladega, AL          | November 23 |
| Tuskegee University, Tuskegee, AL         | November 24 |
| Alabama State University, Montgomery, AL  | November 25 |

DECEMBER 1987

|                                               |                  |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Bowie State College, Bowie, MD                | December 1       |
| College of the Virgin Islands, VI             | December 3, 4, 5 |
| North Carolina Central, Durham, NC            | December 8       |
| Fayetteville State Univ., Fayetteville, NC    | December 9       |
| North Carolina A&T University, Greensboro, NC | December 10, 11  |

# JANUARY 1988

|                                           |            |
|-------------------------------------------|------------|
| LeMoyne Owen College, Memphis, TN         | January 12 |
| Jackson State University, Jackson, MS     | January 13 |
| Tougaloo College, Tougaloo, MS            | January 13 |
| Southern University, Baton Rouge, LA      | January 14 |
| Dillard University, New Orleans, LA       | January 15 |
| Xavier University, New Orleans, LA        | January 15 |
| Texas Southern University, Houston, TX    | January 19 |
| Prairie View University, Prairie View, TX | January 19 |
| University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, PR   | January 21 |
| University of Puerto Rico, San Juan, PR   | January 22 |

The success of the HBCU Workshops is demonstrated in the HBCU participation in the SFRP, GSRP, and RIP. Data prior to 1985 is not available for this report. Table 5 lists the participation of the HBCU's in these programs.

| YEAR | Number of<br>HBCU SFRP<br>Applicants | Number of<br>HBCU GSRP<br>Applicants | Number of<br>HBCU RIP<br>Applicants |
|------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1985 | 76                                   | 15                                   | 10                                  |
| 1986 | 70                                   | 20                                   | 16                                  |
| 1987 | 82                                   | 32                                   | 23                                  |
| 1988 | 53                                   | 23                                   | N/A                                 |

| YEAR | Number of<br>HBCU on SFRP | Number of<br>HBCU on GSRP | Number of<br>HBCU on RIP |
|------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1985 | 23                        | 11                        | 7                        |
| 1986 | 18                        | 10                        | 10                       |
| 1987 | 18                        | 10                        | 7                        |
| 1988 | 18                        | 14                        | N/A                      |

Table 5 HBCU Participation

## VI. COLLEGE SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING PROGRAM

As part of the Special Studies section of the Summer Faculty Research Program, UES initiated a College Science and Engineering Program for the Astronautics Laboratory in 1988.

The CSEP was sponsored by the Air Force Astronautics Laboratory through the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR) and conducted by Universal Energy Systems, Inc. (UES). It provides research opportunities for qualified college students from U.S. universities or technical institutions. These opportunities consist of an eleven week research appointment with the Astronautics Laboratory, located at Edwards Air Force Base, California.

The students were selected from such fields as Analytical Chemistry, Chemical Physics, Inorganic Chemistry, Organic Chemistry, Physical Chemistry, Aeronautical Engineering, Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering, Nuclear Engineering, Material Science and Physics.

The students in this program have the following specific obligations:

- 1) To participate in research under the direction of a laboratory scientist or engineer at the Astronautics Laboratory.
- 2) To prepare a report at the end of the summer period describing the summer research accomplishments.
- 3) To complete an evaluation questionnaire on the program.

The program objectives on the College Science and Engineering Program are as follows:

- 1) To stimulate among college students broader interest in careers in science and engineering specialties of interest to the Air Force.
- 2) To establish individual working relationships between students and active researchers.
- 3) To strengthen the nations efforts to recruit and sustain careers in science and engineering.

The research period of these appointments were for eleven continuous weeks, a maximum of 55 working days. The research was done at the Astronautics Laboratory between 1 June and 30 September.

The stipends for the student researchers in this program depended on the student's degree status:

|           |                   |
|-----------|-------------------|
| Freshman  | \$220.00 per week |
| Sophomore | \$232.00 per week |
| Junior    | \$260.00 per week |
| Senior    | \$290.00 per week |

Travel expenses were reimbursed to the student for one round trip between the student's permanent residence and the Astronautics Laboratory in accordance with the UES travel policy.

The Final Report on this effort was delivered to AFOSR. The CSEP Final Report presents the management information as well as the technical reports for the program.

#### VII. HIGH SCHOOL APPRENTICESHIP PROGRAM (HSAP)

As part of the Special Studies section of the Summer Faculty Research Program, UES initiated an Air Force High School Apprenticeship Program in 1986. The purpose of the program was to place highly qualified and highly motivated high school students in the Air Force Laboratories for orientation and training in science and engineering. UES provided the recruiting, selection, and management to start up the Air Force HSAP. Much of the program development was based on the successful Army High School Program and material prepared under the contract to the Department of the Army by the National Institute for Work and Learning. To accomplish this effort, UES followed the schedule presented in Table 1. There were 42 High School students participating in the 1986 program and 73 High School students participating in the 1987 program, and 101 students in the 1988 program.

TABLE 1  
AIR FORCE HIGH SCHOOL  
APPRENTICESHIP PROGRAM

Calendar of Activities

|              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| December     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Identify schools and laboratories for participation</li> <li>o Prepare informational material for schools and installations application forms for students and mentors, and covering letters.</li> <li>o Disseminate information</li> <li>o Recruit apprentices, mentors</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                          |
| January      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Send student applications to teachers</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| February     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Applications with teacher recommendations</li> <li>o Receive mentors' project descriptions and student requirements</li> <li>o Make preliminary selection of students for referral to mentor</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| March        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Make preliminary matching of students with mentors; send letters with several student applications to each mentor</li> <li>o Mentors interview students, inform UES of choice</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| April        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Send letters of placement to students, with acceptance forms to be signed by them and parents and returned to UES</li> <li>o Place 2nd year apprentices</li> <li>o Make final matches</li> <li>o See that security clearances are started, where applicable</li> <li>o (Mentors provide background reference material to chosen apprentices)</li> <li>o Encourage enrichment activities: arrange for films, speakers, tours, etc.</li> </ul> |
| May          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Send letters to students and mentors re-opening session</li> <li>o Send students Apprentice Handbook</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| June         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Arrange general orientation for students and mentors</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| July, August | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Administer and monitor apprenticeships</li> <li>o Check on enrichment activities</li> <li>o Distribute evaluation forms to students and mentors</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| September    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Analyze evaluations</li> <li>o Prepare final report to Air Force</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |

In the near future the United States may face shortages of scientists and engineers in such fields as physics, electronic engineering, computer science, and aeronautical engineering. High school students are currently not selecting to prepare for careers in these areas in numbers large enough to match the projected need in the United States.

The Air Force faces "a formidable challenge - the acquisition and retention of the technological competence needed to ensure a strong national security, both in-house and in the industrial and academic base which supports defense preparedness." The Director of the Office and Science of Technology Policy in the Executive Office of the President in 1979 responded to this need by requesting the federal agencies to incorporate in their contract research programs the mechanisms to stimulate career interests in science and technology in high school students showing promise in these areas. The Air Force High School Apprenticeship Program is an example of the response to this.

Under this program, UES placed the selected high school students in a wide variety of scientific and engineering fields at the participating Air Force Laboratories/centers. The students worked for an eight-week period during their summer vacations. UES provided all the support and administration to advertise the program, coordinate applications with the Air Force Laboratory mentors, made final selection of student-mentor matches for the summer, made payment to the students during their working period, and collected and coordinated the final reports from the students.

The Laboratories participating in the program, along with the number of high school students assigned to the laboratory is listed below.

| <u>Laboratory</u>                                       | <u>Students</u> |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Aero Propulsion Laboratory<br>Dayton, Ohio              | 4               |
| Armament Laboratory<br>Fort Walton Beach, Florida       | 16              |
| Astronautics Laboratory<br>Lancaster, California        | 14              |
| Avionics Laboratory<br>Dayton, Ohio                     | 9               |
| Engineering and Services Center<br>Panama City, Florida | 11              |
| Flight Dynamics Laboratory<br>Dayton, Ohio              | 12              |
| Geophysics Laboratory<br>Boston, Massachusetts          | 9               |

|                                                                             |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Harry G. Armstrong Aerospace Medical<br>Research Laboratory<br>Dayton, Ohio | 9  |
| Occupational and Environment<br>Health Laboratory<br>San Antonio, Texas     | 1  |
| Rome Air Development Center<br>Rome, New York                               | 11 |
| School of Aerospace Medicine<br>San Antonio, Texas                          | 5  |

There were a total of 101 participants in the program selected from 270 High School student applicants. The final report on the High School Apprenticeship Program is published under a separate report entitled United States Air Force High School Apprenticeship Program 1988 Program Management Report.

#### APPENDIX I

This appendix presents the following documents which were distributed to appointees and other program participants.

- A. Information Brochure for Summer Fellows.
- B. Questionnaire for participants and a summary of their replies.
- C. Questionnaire for Air Force laboratory representative and a summary of their responses.
- D. Questionnaire for participants research colleagues and a summary of their replies.

APPENDIX 1.A

INFORMATION BROCHURE

for

SUMMER FELLOWS

on the

1988 USAF-UES SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH PROGRAM

March 1988

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <u>SECTION</u> | <u>TITLE</u>                                                               | <u>PAGE</u> |
|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| I.             | SUMMER FELLOW OBLIGATIONS . . . . .                                        | 15          |
| 1.             | Pre-Summer Visit . . . . .                                                 | 15          |
| 2.             | Research Goals & Objectives . . . . .                                      | 15          |
| 3.             | Final Report . . . . .                                                     | 15          |
| 4.             | Program Evaluation Questionnaire . . . . .                                 | 16          |
| 5.             | US Air Force - Summer Fellow Relationship . . . . .                        | 16          |
| II.            | ALLOWABLE TRAVEL EXPENSES . . . . .                                        | 17          |
| III.           | INSTRUCTIONS FOR INVOICING FOR<br>COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT . . . . . | 19          |
| A.             | Preparation of Brief Report of Effort . . . . .                            | 19          |
| B.             | Preparation of Invoice Format . . . . .                                    | 19          |
|                | (1) Social Security/Mailing Addresses . . . . .                            | 19          |
|                | (2) Compensation . . . . .                                                 | 19          |
|                | (3) Travel . . . . .                                                       | 20          |
|                | (4) Expense Allowance . . . . .                                            | 20          |
|                | (5) Per Diem . . . . .                                                     | 21          |
|                | (6) Total . . . . .                                                        | 21          |
|                | (7) Instructions . . . . .                                                 | 21          |
| IV.            | INVOICE FORMAT . . . . .                                                   | 22          |
| V.             | FOLLOW-ON RESEARCH POSSIBILITIES . . . . .                                 | 24          |

## I. SUMMER FELLOW OBLIGATIONS

Universal Energy Systems, Inc. (UES) is required by contract to impose certain obligations on you in your status as a Summer Fellow. This section outlines those obligations, and you should read them thoroughly. You are required to sign and return the statement of understanding before the final processing of your appointment can be completed. The following is a list.

1. Pre-Summer Visit: A pre-summer visit to your research location is optional but has been of great value to previous participants in planning the summer research effort. Approval for such a trip may be granted upon written request to UES along with the concurrence of the Laboratory/Center representative. The purpose of this visit is to enable you to make your final plans for the summer research period if needed. Reimbursement is paid for allowable travel expenses incurred on a pre-summer trip as indicated in the Allowable Travel Expenses section (page 3) of this brochure. To be reimbursed, you must invoice for it as described in the Instructions for Invoicing for Compensation and Reimbursement section (page 5) of this brochure.
2. Research Goals and Objectives: A statement of research objectives must be provided to UES PRIOR TO the start of the summer research period. It should outline your goals and the approach you intend to follow in researching these goals. Neither travel expenses nor expense allowances will be reimbursed until after receipt of your statement of research objectives. The report should also clearly indicate the date of your first working day of the summer research period. In many cases, these will be finalized during the pre-summer visit.
3. Final Report: At the end of your summer research effort, you are required to submit to UES a completed, typewritten scientific report stating the objectives of the research effort, the approach taken, results, and recommendations. Information on the required report format will be sent to you with a "FINAL REPORT INFORMATION BULLETIN" and sample report illustrating a suggested format. The final report must first be approved by your Effort Focal Point and then transmitted so as to reach UES by Friday, September 30, 1988. Payment of "Compensation" for the final two weeks of your ten-week research period cannot be made until UES has received and approved this report in the required format.

4. Program Evaluation Questionnaire: This critique form should be completed and returned to UES, along with your final report, by Friday, September 30, 1988. The return of this form is a program requirement; it also must be received by UES before the final compensation payment can be made.
5. U.S. Air Force - Summer Fellow Relationship: The U.S. Air Force and UES understand and agree that the services to be delivered by Summer Fellows under this contract will be non-personal services and the parties recognize and agree that no employer-employee or master-servant relationships will exist between the U.S. Air Force and the Summer Fellows. Non-personal services are defined as work performed by an individual who is responsible for an end item, such as a report, free of supervision of the U.S. Air Force and free of an employer-employee relationship.

As a Summer Fellow, you will not:

- (a) Be placed in a position where you are appointed or employed by a Federal Officer or are under the supervision, direction, or evaluation of a Federal Officer, military or civilian.
- (b) Be placed in a staff or policy-making position.
- (c) Be placed in a position of command, supervision, administration, or control over Air Force military or civilian personnel or personnel of other contractors or become a part of the U.S. Air Force organization.

The services to be performed under the SFRP do not require UES or the Summer Fellow to exercise personal judgement and discretion on behalf of the U.S. Air Force; rather, the Summer Fellows will act and exercise personal judgement and discretion on their research programs on the SFRP conducted by UES.

The Air Force will have unrestricted use of and access to all data developed during the period of this appointment.

## II. ALLOWABLE TRAVEL EXPENSES

If you live outside of the area (50 miles) where you will be assigned for the summer program, the SFRP provides potential funding for two trips between your home and your assigned research location. As soon as you have signed and returned your appointment letter along with the budget sheet, you will be authorized to receive reimbursement for travel expenses as described below.

As outlined in the Summer Fellow Obligations section in this brochure, you may make a pre-summer visit in addition to the trip to and from your assigned research location for your summer effort. You are expected to make your own arrangements for these trips, and after the trips you may invoice UES for reimbursement of allowable expenses in the format described in the Instructions for Invoicing for Compensation and Reimbursement section of this brochure. Closely coordinate your travel plans with your FOCAL POINT.

All travel reimbursements under Summer Fellow appointments are made according to current UES policy, and deviations from the approved budget are not authorized and will not be reimbursed. In light of these restrictions, you may choose either to travel by common carrier at coach rates or less, by driving your private auto, or by a combination of both. (Please note that funding for rental cars requires ADVANCED WRITTEN approval by UES and UES will not reimburse this expense unless the prior written approval is obtained.) With any of these choices you may claim reimbursement up to the amount for the most direct routing, taking into the account the desirability of routing on interstate highways if you drive your private auto.

Reimbursement for direct route travel by common carrier will be paid on your submission of an invoice to UES following the invoicing instructions referenced above. In the view of the convenience of having a car at the research location, UES strongly recommends that a private auto be used for travel when practical. Reimbursement when you drive your private auto is at the rate of 20¢ per mile within the above routing restrictions and will be paid on submission of a suitably prepared invoice. These reimbursements cannot be extended to cover travel by your family if they accompany you on either of these authorized trips.

During the pre-summer visit, you will be authorized to claim a per diem reimbursement at the rate of \$50.00 per day for a maximum of three days spent at your assigned research location outside of your area of residence. Instructions for claiming this per diem are also described in the Instructions for Invoicing for Compensation and Reimbursement section of this brochure.

During the ten week summer research period, you will be authorized to receive an expense allowance in lieu of a per diem payment at a rate of \$42 per day for a maximum of 70 days. To receive this allowance, you must invoice for it and be living (50 miles) outside your area of residence.

These items above are the only reimbursable travel allowances authorized under the SFRP appointment. Any additional travel expenses incurred during the appointment period will be your personal responsibility.

UES has arranged with a travel office in Dayton, Ohio, to have the Air Fare costs of your travel on the SFRP charged directly to UES. For you to take advantage of this you must call this travel service. The number in Dayton, Ohio, is (513) 293-7444 or 1-800-628-6668. You must give the code SLI3 to have the tickets charged to UES. Please reference project 210 when ordering tickets.

### III. INSTRUCTIONS FOR INVOICING FOR COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT

Attached is a copy of the Invoice Format that you are required to use to obtain compensation or reimbursement from UES. Note that all disbursements by UES for compensation, travel, and/or other expenses are subject to audit approval, so you must submit receipts substantiating charges invoiced.

In addition, you must prepare, sign, date and attach to each completed invoice a Brief Report of Effort

#### A. PREPARATION OF BRIEF REPORT OF EFFORT

Whenever you submit an Invoice for reimbursement to UES you must also include a brief report describing your activities for the invoice period. To meet this obligation, you must prepare, date, sign, and attach to your completed invoice a Brief Report of Effort describing the research accomplished on the appointment and explain any travel during the invoice period.

This report should describe innovative techniques and designs or discoveries which may be disclosed as patents. Rights to any inventions or discoveries shall reside with UES unless determined otherwise by the contracting agency.

The Brief report should never exceed one typewritten page and most often should be considerably shorter than one page.

#### B. PREPARATION OF INVOICE FORMAT

The financial items required on the Invoice Format are for COMPENSATION, TRAVEL, EXPENSE ALLOWANCE, AND PER DIEM.

##### Item (1) SOCIAL SECURITY/MAILING ADDRESS

Fill in your name, social security number, and address to which you wish to have your check mailed.

##### Item (2) COMPENSATION

(a) Indicate the dates for which you are claiming compensation, and indicate the number of days you are claiming for compensation, this may include holidays, such as July 4.

(b) Multiply this number by \$124.00 and enter the total dollar amount in the blank total charges for service. The accumulated total number of days you claim on this appointment may not exceed the number authorized in your appointment letter.

Item (3)     TRAVEL

- (a) Under the heading Date indicate the date you departed on your trip and the date you arrived at your destination. If you are invoicing for a round trip, also list the date you departed on your trip and the date you arrived home.
- (b) Under the heading Dept/Arrival Time list the departure and arrival times for the corresponding days you listed under Date.
- (c) List your destination under the heading Destination.
- (d) Under the heading Mode, indicate your principal means of conveyance; i.e., commercial air, private auto, etc
- (e) Under the heading Amount, itemized these expenditures for travel reimbursement. Continue them on a separate sheet if necessary.
- (f) Total these travel items and enter the dollar amount for travel in this invoice on the line to the right of Total Travel Expense.

Item (4)     EXPENSE ALLOWANCE

This item on the invoice will be used to claim the \$42 per day for reimbursement of per diem.

- (a) In the first blank to the right of EXPENSE ALLOWANCE enter the number of days for which you are claiming the expense allowance at your assigned research location.
- (b) Multiply this number by the daily allowance rate of \$42.00 and enter this total dollar amount in the blank at the far right.
- (c) Itemize the days for which you are claiming the Expense allowance reimbursement. It can include weekend days and holidays as well as regular work days. It does not apply to the pre-summer visit.

Item (5)     PER DIEM

This item will be used to claim reimbursement only for Per diem charges on the optional pre-summer visit. This cannot exceed three days; only days spent at the actual research site are allowed.

(a) In the first blank to the right of PER DIEM enter the number of days reimbursement being requested. This entry must correlate with an accompanying lodging receipt.

(b) Multiply this number by the \$50.00 daily Per diem rate and enter the total dollar amount in the blank at the far right.

Item (6)     INSTRUCTIONS

You may combine reimbursement requests for compensation, travel, and Per diem or expense allowance in the same invoice. The total for all items invoiced should be indicated on the blank labeled "Total Amount of Bill" in the lower right hand side of line 6.

Item (7)     If you have arranged your travel through the UES travel office as described on page 4, please indicate the cost of the tickets on this line.

IMPORTANT: Indicate in the space provide on each invoice the address to which you want the check mailed.

You must sign and date your invoice in the space provided as "Summer Fellow" before it is submitted; you MUST also have your Focal Point countersign the invoice before it is mailed to UES. Your Focal Point is an Air Force individual at your research location who will be identified prior to your effort start date.

Invoices should be mailed to:

Universal Energy Systems, Inc.  
SFRP Office  
4401 Dayton-Xenia Road  
Dayton, Ohio 45432

IV  
BILL FOR SERVICE

1. \_\_\_\_\_  
Name (First, Initial, Last) Social Security # \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Address (Street, City, Zip)

SERVICE: SFRP Summer Fellow

SERVICE AUTHORIZED BY: Rodney C. Darrah RATE AUTHORIZED: \$124.00/day

This service is for:

Government Contract: Project # 210  
Government Contract No. F49620-87-R-0004

2. DATES OF SERVICE: \_\_\_\_\_ TOTAL DAYS OF SERVICE \_\_\_\_\_

TOTAL CHARGES FOR SERVICE: \_\_\_\_\_

ADDITIONAL ITEMIZED REIMBURSABLE EXPENSES:  
(receipts required for expenditures over \$25.00)

3. TRAVEL: DATE \_\_\_\_\_ DEPT/ARRIVAL TIME \_\_\_\_\_

DESTINATION MODE \_\_\_\_\_ AMOUNT \_\_\_\_\_

4. EXPENSE ALLOWANCE: ( \_\_\_\_\_ days at \$42.00/day) \$ \_\_\_\_\_

5. PER DIEM: ( \_\_\_\_\_ days at \$50.00/day) (Pre Summer Visit) \$ \_\_\_\_\_

6. TOTAL AMOUNT OF BILL: \_\_\_\_\_

7. AIR FARE TICKETS CHARGED DIRECTLY TO UES AMOUNT \$ \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Summer Fellow Signature - Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone

Invoice Approval: \_\_\_\_\_  
Effort Focal Point Signature

X \_\_\_\_\_ Brief Report of Effort  
Type or Print Name Attached \_\_\_\_\_

Location: \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Send bill to:  
UNIVERSAL ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.  
ATTN: SFRP Office  
4401 Dayton-Xenia Road  
Dayton, Ohio 45432

In order for UES to provide quick turn around of your bills for service, we request your assistance in complying with the following schedule. The dates indicated are the dates your bills MUST be at UES. Please allow adequate mailing time for UES to receive your bills by the dates indicated for 1988

DATES BILLS MUST BE AT UES

April 7, 21  
May 6, 23  
June 8, 23  
July 7, 21  
August 8, 23  
September 8, 22  
October 6, 21

DATES CHECKS WILL BE MAILED

April 15, May 2  
May 16, 31  
June 15, 30  
July 15, Aug. 1  
August 15, 30  
September 15, 30  
October 17, 31  
November 15, 30

For bills received on or before these dates, UES will be able to process checks to you in the mail by the 15th and 30th. For bills received after these dates, the checks may not be processed until the next pay period, causing a two week delay in your receiving your check.

Your bill may be for any period of time. It does not have to start on a Monday or end on a Friday. Your bill may be for any period convenient for you to meet our billing dates listed above. Please note these are the dates the bill must be at UES. For example, a bill received on or before April 7 will be mailed out to you on April 15. A bill received on April 8 will not be mailed until the April 25 bills are processed on May 2.

1673s

## 1988-89 RESEARCH INITIATION PROGRAM

As a participant in the 1988 Summer Faculty Research Program (SFRP) you are eligible to submit a proposal for the AFOSR RIP, as discussed in the 1988 SFRP Brochure.

To compete for a RIP award SFRP participants must submit a complete proposal and proposed budget either during or promptly after their SFRP appointment period. Each proposal will be evaluated for technical excellence, with a special emphasis on relevance to continuation of the SFRP effort, as determined by the Air Force Laboratory/Center. The most effective proposals are those closely coordinated with the SFRP Effort Focal Point and which follow the SFRP effort with proposed research having strong prospects for later sustained funding by the Air Force Laboratory/Center.

The maximum award under the RIP is \$20,000 plus cost-sharing by your University/College.

The total funds available from AFOSR will limit the number of awards to approximately 75, or one-half of the 1988 SFRP participants. The final decision on funding a proposal is the responsibility of AFOSR.

The mechanics of applying for a RIP award are as follows:

- (1) Program proposals for \$20,000 plus cost-sharing must be submitted no later than November 1, 1988. Budgets must include, where applicable, Principal Investigator time, graduate assistant and support effort, equipment and expendable supplies, travel and per diem costs, conference fees, indirect costs, and computer charges. No special format is required, however cost sharing must be indicated on the budget if applicable.
- (2) Proposals are evaluated and a final award decision is recommended by AFOSR after consultation with the Laboratory/Center.
- (3) Subcontract awards will be negotiated with the employing institution, designating the individual as Principal Investigator, with the award period having a start date no earlier than October 1, 1988 and a completion date no later than December 31, 1989. The performance period of the research may not exceed one year. Employing institutions are encouraged to cost-share since this program is designed specifically as a research initiation procedure.

1988-89 RESEARCH INITIATION PROGRAM  
Page 2

In summary, a RIP proposal must be:

Technically excellent;  
A continuation of SFRP work:  
Received no later than November 1, 1988  
Budgeted not to exceed \$20,000 plus cost-sharing  
Less than one year duration.

Proposals for the RIP should be transmitted to UES as soon as possible. Some awards may be made prior to the submission deadline. The first RIP awards are planned to be in effect during the month of December 1988. All awards are expected to be in effect shortly after the final submission deadline of November 1, 1988, with final negotiation with your University completed by January 1, 1989.

Send completed proposals to:

RESEARCH INITIATION PROGRAM  
Universal Energy Systems, Inc.  
4401 Dayton-Xenia Road  
Dayton, Ohio 45432

APPENDIX 1.B

PARTICIPANT'S QUESTIONNAIRE & REPLY SUMMARY

1988 USAF/UES SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH PROGRAM  
EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE

(TO BE COMPLETED BY PARTICIPANT)

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Title \_\_\_\_\_  
Dept. (at Home) \_\_\_\_\_ Home Institution \_\_\_\_\_  
Research Colleague \_\_\_\_\_  
Laboratory Address of Colleague \_\_\_\_\_  
Brief Title of Research Topic \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

A. TECHNICAL ASPECTS

1. Was the offer of research assignment within your field of competency and/or interest? YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

2. Did you have a reasonable choice of research assignment? YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_  
If no, why? \_\_\_\_\_

3. Was the work challenging? YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_. If no, what would have made it so? \_\_\_\_\_

4. Would you classify your summer effort as research? YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_  
Comment: \_\_\_\_\_

5. Were your relations with your research colleague satisfactory from a technical point of view? YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_ if no, why? \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

6. Suggestions for improvement of relationship. \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

PARTICIPANT QUESTIONNAIRE  
(Page 2 of 5)

7. Considering the circumstances of a summer program, were you afforded adequate facilities and support? YES\_\_\_\_NO\_\_\_\_ If no, what did you need and why was it not provided? \_\_\_\_\_

8. Considering the calendar "window" of ten weeks, limited by various college and university schedules, please comment on the program length. Did you accomplish: more than\_\_\_\_;  
less than\_\_\_\_;  
about what you expected\_\_\_\_\_?

9. Do you think that you will continue this or related research efforts upon returning to your home institution by applying for a Mini Grant or other funding? YES\_\_\_\_NO\_\_\_\_ Give a brief explanation of your plans. \_\_\_\_\_

10. Were you asked to present seminars on your basic expertise of work? YES\_\_\_\_NO\_\_\_\_ Please list number, dates, approximate attendance, length of seminars, title of presentations.

11. Were you asked to participate in regular meetings in your laboratory? YES\_\_\_\_NO\_\_\_\_ If yes, approximately how often? \_\_\_\_\_

12. Did you perform travel on behalf of the laboratory? YES\_\_\_\_NO\_\_\_\_  
Where to? \_\_\_\_\_  
Purpose? \_\_\_\_\_

13. List any "special" meetings you may have attended or participated in, such as conferences, visiting lectures, etc. \_\_\_\_\_

14. Other comments concerning any "extra" activities. \_\_\_\_\_

PARTICIPANT QUESTIONNAIRE  
(Page 3 of 5)

15. On a scale of A to D, how would you rate this program?

|                                           | A (High) . . . . D (Low) |   |   |   |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|---|
| Technically challenging                   | A                        | B | C | D |
| Future research opportunity               | A                        | B | C | D |
| Professional association                  | A                        | B | C | D |
| Enhancement of my academic qualifications | A                        | B | C | D |
| Enhancement of my research qualifications | A                        | B | C | D |
| Overall value                             | A                        | B | C | D |

B. ADMINISTRATIVE ASPECTS

1. How did you first hear of this program? \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

2. What aspect of the program was the most decisive in causing you to apply? \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

3. Considering the time of year that you were required to accept or reject the offer, did this timetable cause you any problems of commitment? YES\_\_\_\_NO\_\_\_\_

4. After your acceptance, was the information on housing, location, directions, etc. supplied to you prior to the summer period satisfactory? YES\_\_\_\_NO\_\_\_\_

5. Did you have any difficulty in any domestic aspects such as, locating suitable housing, acceptance in community, social life, any other "off-duty" aspects? YES\_\_\_\_NO\_\_\_\_ If yes, please explain.\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

6. How do you rate the stipend level? Meager\_\_\_\_Adequate\_\_\_\_  
Generous\_\_\_\_\_.

PARTICIPANT QUESTIONNAIRE  
(Page 4 of 5)

7. How important is the expense-paid pre-program visit to the work site? Not worth expense\_\_\_\_ Convenient\_\_\_\_ Essential\_\_\_\_. Please add any other comments you may have. \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

8. Please give information on housing: Did you reside in apartment\_\_\_\_, VOO\_\_\_\_, other (specify)\_\_\_\_? Name and address of apartment complex and manager's name. \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

9. Please suggest names and give sources, of organizations, mailing lists or other information you think would be helpful in advertising next year's program. \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

10. Do you believe the Graduate Student Program increased the effectiveness of this program? YES\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_.

11. Did a student work with you? YES\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_ If so, please comment on the Graduate Student Support influence on your summer research. \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

12. Considering the many-faceted aspects of administration of a program of this magnitude, how do you rate the overall conduct of this program? Poor\_\_\_\_ Fair\_\_\_\_ Good\_\_\_\_ Excellent\_\_\_\_. Please add any additional comments. \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

13. Please comment on what, in your opinion, are:

a. Strong points of the program: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

b. Weak points of the program: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

PARTICIPANT QUESTIONNAIRE  
(Page 5 of 5)

14. On balance, do you feel this has been a fruitful, worthwhile, constructive experience? YES\_\_\_\_\_NO\_\_\_\_\_

15. Other remarks: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

THANK YOU

1980s

UES 1988 EVALUATION RESPONSE  
QUESTIONNAIRE EVALUATION SUMMARY  
(Participant)

- If no, why?

6. Suggestions for improvement of relationships.

Scheduling regular seminars and holding more social gatherings and informal events were suggested as ways of improving relations. Other suggestions were more applicable to program administration and included the following: provide more information about the program, announce awards earlier, allow more time prior to the start of the actual work period for meeting with colleague to clarify project, require the colleague be in residence and/or more available for discussion and technical support. One comment asked that more attention be given to matching interests of research colleague with those of participant.

7. Were you afforded adequate facilities? Yes - 139  
No - 12  
If no, why?

8. Accomplishment in ten weeks? More than expected - 28  
Less than expected - 26  
About what expected - 98

9. Will you continue this or related research efforts? Yes - 139  
No - 13

10. Were you asked to present seminars? Yes - 78  
No - 74

11. Were you asked to participate in meetings? Yes - 84  
No - 68

12. Did you travel on behalf of the laboratory? Yes - 15  
No - 136

13. Did you participate in "special" meetings? Yes - 65  
No - 82

14. Please give other comments on extra activities.

|     |                                                   |          |       |       |         |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------|----------|-------|-------|---------|
| 15. | <u>Technically challenging?</u>                   | A (High) | B     | C     | D (Low) |
|     | <u>Future research opportunity?</u>               | A- 109   | B- 38 | C- 5  | D- 0    |
|     | <u>Professional association?</u>                  | A- 115   | B- 31 | C- 1  | D- 3    |
|     | <u>Enhancement of my academic qualifications?</u> | A- 105   | B- 36 | C- 10 | D- 0    |
|     | <u>Enhancement of my research qualifications?</u> | A- 69    | B- 63 | C- 15 | D- 5    |
|     | <u>Overall value?</u>                             | A- 98    | B- 41 | C- 12 | D- 1    |
|     |                                                   | A- 116   | B- 34 | C- 2  | D- 0    |

## B. ADMINISTRATIVE ASPECTS

1. How did you first hear about this program?

|               |   |    |
|---------------|---|----|
| Colleagues    | - | 48 |
| Advertisement | - | 26 |
| Air Force     | - | 16 |
| Direct Mail   | - | 61 |

2. Decisive aspect of application?

NOTE ON THIS QUESTION, APPLICANTS HAD MORE THAN ONE ANSWER

|                                          |   |     |
|------------------------------------------|---|-----|
| Area of possible future research funding | - | 33  |
| Good research opportunity                | - | 111 |
| Opportunity to work with USAF            | - | 37  |
| Location                                 | - | 11  |
| Financial support                        | - | 10  |
| Chance of publishable result             | - | 1   |
| Flexible research schedule               | - | 2   |

3. Did the program timetable cause you any problems? Yes - 25  
No - 125

4. Program information satisfactory? Yes - 133  
No - 12

5. Did you have problems in domestic aspects? Yes - 23  
No - 122

If yes, explain:

6. Stipend level? Generous - 7  
Adequate - 99  
Meager - 45

7. Travel reimbursement? Adequate - 116  
Inadequate - 20  
N/A - 1

NOTE, THAT NOT EVERYONE WENT ON A PRE-PROGRAM VISIT

8. Pre-program visit? Essential - 102  
Convenient - 35  
Not worth expense - 5  
N/A - 7

9. Housing information:
- |           |   |    |
|-----------|---|----|
| VOQ       | - | 12 |
| Apartment | - | 87 |
| Other     | - | 52 |

10. Mailing list suggestions?

11. Addition of Graduate Student Program increased effectiveness of program?

NOTE NOT EVERY FACULTY MEMBER HAD A GRADUATE STUDENT WORK WITH THEM, THEREFORE THEY DID NOT ANSWER THIS QUESTION.

Yes - 92

No - 13

12. Did a student work with you?
- |     |   |     |
|-----|---|-----|
| Yes | - | 47  |
| No  | - | 102 |

Comments:

13. Program administration overall rating?
- |           |   |    |
|-----------|---|----|
| Excellent | - | 93 |
| Good      | - | 50 |
| Fair      | - | 8  |
| Poor      | - | 0  |

- 14a. Comments on the strong points of the program:

These summarized categories reflect a subjective analysis of comments. Care was taken to be as accurate as possible in interpreting the comments and identifying the breakdown. Most comments included more than one area. There were 95 references to the research opportunity provided, some specifying the aspect of research in government areas and the chance for exchange between academia and the Air Force. A few also noted positive elements related to research outside of academic environments citing the chance to focus completely on the research problem with no distractions.

28 referred to the mini-grant option or possible future funding. 25 mentioned the contacts and the value of continuing work relationships or future work opportunities that result from this. While 28 cited the facilities and resources. 40 included the interaction with colleagues or professional association as important. Some specifically mentioned the hospitality, support, and positive work relationships.

20 complimented the program on the administration aspect, pointing out the lack of excessive paperwork and general ease of operative as strong points for them. Nine liked the independence and flexibility such as latitude in choosing research topics. Two appreciated the student-faculty relationship and the career opportunities for students while one included the stipend as a strong points of the program.

14b. Comments on the weak points of the program:

These summarized categories reflect a subjective analysis of comments. Care was taken to be as accurate as possible in interpreting the comments and identifying the breakdown. Most comments included more than one area. 22 gave funding as a concern, including inadequate coverage for travel and/or moving. (Some specified the stipend was too low for senior faculty).

Almost as often cited was the duration of the program. It was too short or in general restrictive. One suggested way to help this was to spend more time preparing prior to actual start-up when faculty arrive for the program. There were about 20 comments that fell into the area of restrictions and requirements such as daily attendance, the 8 to 5 schedule, reports, paperwork, security clearance, format for reports, etc. A few said that the required schedule didn't allow time to get home during the program.

Approximately 20 listed housing and/or the payroll system (e.g. cash out-of-town checks) as weak points. Included in this number were those who specified relocations as a problem. Nine mentioned the procurement system accounted for delays and made it hard to accomplish goals in the sort period allotted. Fewer than 10 included a need for greater clarification in various aspects of the program such as procedures with the lab, restrictions on choice of project topics, regulations for using the library, etc. Seven mentioned too little interaction with other participants in the program. About five noted limited facilities ore resources, including computer power, library, clerical support and a couple cited limited chances for reappointment or no provision for developing an on-going research relationship.

15. Has this been a fruitful, worthwhile, constructive experience?

Yes - 150  
No - 1

16. Other Remarks.

Most comments praised the program in general terms. Some singled out UES for the professional way the program was handled. A few other areas are noted below:

Positive work relationships; congenial staff to work with.  
One appreciated having graduate students.

Follow-on research is required. (Ten weeks is too short).  
Would appreciate getting RIP grant.

Request more information be provided on how to obtain funding for future work, housing, maps of the area, a list of other participants (for contact during the project). Also suggested graduate students have a pre-program visit.

Raise RIP ceiling to 35K.

Expand the program to industries.

Move up deadline to November and make decisions by January.

Provide some expense monies.

Issue temporary ID cards to make it possible to enjoy more employee benefits.

Set up a special order department and a supply budget to accommodate special purchases quickly. Procurement procedures caused project delays for some people.

A couple of problem areas cited were banking services (cashing out-of-town checks, etc.), housing arrangements, and lack of services such as access to computers, secretarial support, etc. No suggested solutions were offered.

1980s

APPENDIX 1.C

LABORATORY REPRESENTATIVE'S QUESTIONNAIRE & REPLY SUMMARY

1988 USAF/UES SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH PROGRAM  
EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE  
(TO BE COMPLETED BY LABORATORY REPRESENTATIVE)

Laboratory/Center \_\_\_\_\_

Name \_\_\_\_\_

1. How do you rate the correspondence, verbal and telephone communication, and other aspects concerning program administration?

Excellent\_\_\_\_ Good\_\_\_\_ Average\_\_\_\_ Poor\_\_\_\_ How could it be improved?

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

2. The participant selection process is two-fold: academic and technical. Did you have sufficient time to conduct an evaluation of applications?

YES\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

3. Was the number of faculty researchers assigned to your organization satisfactory?

YES\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_. If not, how many would be desired?\_\_\_\_\_ How do you determine this number?

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

LABORATORY REPRESENTATIVE QUESTIONNAIRE (Page 2 of 5)

4. Please rate the expense-paid pre-program visit:

Essential\_\_\_\_ Convenient\_\_\_\_ Not worth the expense\_\_\_\_

5. In your opinion is the ten-week time period an optimum length of time to develop a viable working relationship among the faculty researchers, students, laboratory/center personnel and programs? YES\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_. If no, what length would it be.

---

---

Other comments:

---

---

---

---

6. Did your laboratory/center establish a seminar program, or other means, to "tap" the faculty associate's academic knowledge other than his research assignment? YES\_\_\_\_NO\_\_\_\_.

If yes, give description and evaluation.

---

---

---

LABORATORY REPRESENTATIVE QUESTIONNAIRE (Page 3 of 5)

7. Did the laboratory/center conduct a general briefing, tour, and/or other formal means of welcome and introduction for the associate assigned to your organization?

YES\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_.

8. Did you have a formal exit exercise for each associate such as a final technical briefing presented to the organization management, a private interview, or other?

YES\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_.

9. In your opinion, what was the overall quality of this year's participants as measured by attitude, technical competence, work habits, production and meaningful research accomplishment?

(Note: These answers will be held confidential.)

| List Names | <u>Superior</u> | <u>Excellent</u> | <u>Average</u> | <u>Poor</u> |
|------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|-------------|
|------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|-------------|

10. Do you believe the Graduate Student Program enhances the Summer Research Program?

YES\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_

LABORATORY REPRESENTATIVE QUESTIONNAIRE (Page 4 of 5)

11. Was a student assigned under the Graduate Student Summer Support Program to your laboratory this summer? YES\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_. If so, was their participation productive? YES\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_.

12. Please furnish any recommendations you may have on improving the Graduate Student segment of the program.

---

---

---

---

---

13. Site visits were made by Program Director and/or Administrator and the AFOSR representative. Do you feel these visits are beneficial to the program participants and Laboratory in understanding the management of the program? YES\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_. Do you feel these visits should be done again next year. YES\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_.

14. UES has a coordinator assigned at your base to assist the Summer Faculty participants in the administration of the program. Did you find this beneficial to the program. YES\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_. Are there any problem areas coordinators should administrator in future years?

---

---

---

LABORATORY REPRESENTATIVE QUESTIONNAIRE (Page 5 of 5)

15. Please furnish any other comments or suggestion to improve the program in future years.

---

---

---

---

THANK YOU

1981s

1988 USAF/UES SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH PROGRAM  
EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE  
LABORATORY REPRESENTATIVE

1. How do you rate the correspondence, verbal and telephone communication, and other aspects concerning program administration?

Excellent - 10  
Good - 6  
Average - 2  
Poor -  
No Response -

How could it be improved?

Two comments were given: one requesting copies of the correspondence sent to SFRP participants; the other suggesting replacing a meeting with phone contact.

2. Did you have sufficient time to conduct an evaluation of applications?

Yes - 15  
No - 1

Comments:

The comments indicated there was time pressure, but recognized that the system precluded providing more time. One said administrative changes on the evaluator's part needed to be effected.

3. Was the number of faculty researchers assigned to your organization satisfactory?

Yes - 13  
No - 5

If no, how many would be desired?

The numbers ranged from 9 to 16 as indicated below, with comments included.

9. Consistent with the number requested by my researchers and the Math Lab I support.

15. Interest in this program in our technical divisions is quite high. A larger number of participants would allow us also to expand HBC participation in the SFRP.

14-16. Two professors per RADC directorate.

12. The growth of in-house research conducted within the Lab is such that an additional four faculty members could be well utilized.

10, by the number requested by research colleagues.

4. Please rate the expense-paid pre-program visit:

|                       |   |    |
|-----------------------|---|----|
| Essential             | - | 12 |
| Convenient            | - | 5  |
| Not worth the expense | - |    |

5. In your opinion is the ten week time period an optimum length of time to develop a viable working relationship among the faculty researchers, students, laboratory/center personnel and programs?

|     |   |    |
|-----|---|----|
| Yes | - | 12 |
| No  | - | 5  |
| N/A | - | 1  |

Other comments:

Five of the ten comments specified 12 weeks as desirable. Another suggested flexible periods of 10-13 weeks. Consistent with this were two comments saying it depended on whether previous work relationship had been established as to what the orientation or supervision would be a higher requirement. One recognized the constraints of the schedule as a reasonable trade-off to ensure more participants as a 12 week program would negatively affect potential number of participants because of university schedules.

6. Did your laboratory/center establish a seminar program, or other means, to "tap" the faculty associate's academic knowledge other than his research assignment?

|     |   |    |
|-----|---|----|
| Yes | - | 10 |
| No  | - | 8  |

If yes, give description and evaluation.

There seemed to be a variety of approaches to this, with only a few indicating regularly scheduled seminars, such as weekly meetings or seminars. Others had informal seminars and out-briefs. Some briefed in their area of expertise. One person said it was not as formal as he would have liked and was writing a plan to improve this (the report was to be sent to UES.)

7. Did the laboratory/center conduct a general briefing, tour, and/or other formal means of welcome and introduction for the associate assigned to your organization?

|     |   |    |
|-----|---|----|
| Yes | - | 13 |
| No  | - | 5  |

8. Did you have a formal exit exercise for each associate such as a final technical briefing presented to the organization management, a private interview, or other?

Yes - 12  
No - 6

9. In your opinion, what was the overall quality of this year's participants as measured by attitude, technical competence, work habits, production and meaningful research accomplishment?

| List Names | <u>Superior</u> | <u>Excellent</u> | <u>Average</u> | <u>Poor</u> |
|------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|-------------|
|            | 64              | 42               | 8              | 2           |

10. Do you believe the Graduate Student Program enhances the Summer Research Program?

Yes - 15  
No - 1  
N/A - 2

11. Was a student assigned under the Graduate Student Summer Support Program to your laboratory this summer?

Yes - 16  
No - 2  
N/A -

If so, was their participation productive?

Yes - 14  
No -

12. Please furnish any recommendations you may have on improving the Graduate Student segment of the program.

Three saw no problems and praised the program. A couple wanted to increase the number of positions. It was also suggested by two that students only be assigned with faculty members (and one specified that the professors bring their own graduate students and another said the student should have prior experience in working with a faculty summer participant.) A final comment was to have start dates for graduate students coincide with those of the professors with whom they will work.

13. Site visits were made by Program Director and/or Administrator and the AFOSR representative. Do you feel these visits are beneficial to the program participants and Laboratory in understanding the management of the program?

Yes - 15  
No - 2  
N/A - 1

Do you feel these visits should be done again next year?

Yes - 14  
No - 2

14. UES has a coordinator assigned at your base to assist the Summer Faculty participants in the administration of the program. Did you find this beneficial to the program?

Yes - 15  
No - 1  
N/A - 2

Are there any problem areas coordinators should administrator in future years?

Housing is a problem, as indicated by 4 of the 5 comments. Getting in touch early with both student and faculty could be a way of providing assistance in such areas. The other comment specifically praised the UES Coordinator for the outstanding job she has done.

15. Please furnish any other comments or suggestion to improve the program in future years.

Five expressed satisfaction with the program. One requested more mini-grants; another felt additional publicizing of the program would help. The combination of a summer faculty and graduate student was indicated by one to be more productive than either one working alone.

APPENDIX 1.D

PARTICIPANTS RESEARCH COLLEAGUES QUESTIONNAIRE & REPLY SUMMARY

1988 USAF/UES SUMMER FACULTY PROGRAM  
EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE  
(TO BE COMPLETED BY PARTICIPANT'S RESEARCH COLLEAGUE)

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Title \_\_\_\_\_

Division/Group \_\_\_\_\_ Laboratory \_\_\_\_\_

Name of  
Participant \_\_\_\_\_

A. TECHNICAL ASPECTS

1. Did you have personal knowledge of the Associate's capabilities prior to arrival at work site? YES\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_. If yes, where/how/what?  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

2. Was the Faculty Associate prepared for his project? YES\_\_\_ NO\_\_.

3. Please comment on his preparedness, competency, scope, depth of knowledge of subject area: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

4. Please comment on the Associate's cooperativeness, diligence, interest, etc. \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

5. In your opinion, has his participation in this summer program contributed to an increase in the Associate's potential to perform research? YES\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_. Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

COLLEAGUE QUESTIONNAIRE (Page 2 of 4)

6. Did work performed by the Associate contribute to the overall mission/program of your laboratory? YES\_\_\_NO\_\_\_.  
If yes, how?\_\_\_\_\_

7. Would you classify the summer effort under the SFRP as research?  
YES\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_.

Comment:\_\_\_\_\_

8. Was a Graduate Student assigned to your group this summer?  
YES\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_ . If so, did this enhance the research productivity?  
YES\_\_\_NO\_\_\_ . Was it an administrative burden? YES\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_.

9. Were your relations with the Associate satisfactory from a technical point of view? YES\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_ . Suggestions as to how they might be improved:\_\_\_\_\_

10. Do you think that by having a Faculty Associate assigned to your group, others in the group benefited and/or were stimulated by his presence? YES\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_ . Comments:\_\_\_\_\_

11. Do you feel that introduction to each other, together with the summer work experience and performance could form a sound basis for continuation of effort by Associate at his home institute?  
YES\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_ . If yes, how?\_\_\_\_\_

If no, why not?\_\_\_\_\_

COLLEAGUE QUESTIONNAIRE (Page 3 of 4)

12. One of the objectives of this program is to identify sources of basic research capability and availability to the USAF. On a scale of A to D, how effective do you think this program will be in that respect? (high) A B C D (low)

13. Also, please evaluate: A (high).....D (low)

|                                         |   |   |   |   |
|-----------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Opportunity to stimulate group activity | A | B | C | D |
| Professional association                | A | B | C | D |
| Program administration                  | A | B | C | D |

B. ADMINISTRATIVE ASPECTS

1. When did you first hear of this program?
2. Were you involved in the screening and prioritizing of the faculty applicants for your lab? YES\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_. If yes, do you have any suggestions for improvement of the procedures used?\_\_\_\_\_
3. How do you rate the importance of the expense-paid pre-program visit to the work site? Not worth expense\_\_\_ Convenient\_\_\_ Essential\_\_\_. Please add any comments:\_\_\_\_\_
4. Considering the calendar "window" of ten weeks (limited by varying college and university schedules), please comment on the program length. Were you as a team able to accomplish more than\_\_\_, less than\_\_\_, about what you expected\_\_\_ Comments:\_\_\_\_\_
5. Would you desire another Faculty Associate to be assigned to you and/or your group/division? YES\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_. If no, why not?\_\_\_\_\_
6. Would you desire additional Graduate Students in this program? YES\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_.

COLLEAGUE QUESTIONNAIRE (Page 4 of 4)

7. Should the Graduate Students only be assigned to research with the Summer Research Faculty Member? YES\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_.

8. Should Graduate Students continue to be assigned without Summer Research Faculty supervision? YES\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_.

9. Other remarks: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

1981s

1988 USAF/UES SUMMER FACULTY PROGRAM  
EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE  
(TO BE COMPLETED BY PARTICIPANT'S RESEARCH COLLEAGUE)

A. TECHNICAL ASPECTS

1. Did you have personal knowledge of the Associate's capabilities prior to arrival at work site?

70 YES

54 NO

If yes, where/how/what?

21 a. Previous SFRP or other relationship on related program.

18 b. Publications, symposia, workshops, lectures, professional meetings.

14 c. Application form, resume, pre-program visit, phone.

12 d. Colleague or instructor; recommended by colleague.

2. Was the Faculty Associate prepared for his project?

112 YES

12 NO

3. Please comment on his preparedness, competency, scope, depth of knowledge of subject area:

These categories reflect a subjective analysis of comments. Care was taken to be as accurate as possible in interpreting comments. Comments that included superlatives such as "extremely" or "very" competent, etc., were rated as high or excellent. Unqualified positive statements were in general rated "good."

82 a. Excellent or High

36 b. Good

6 c. Low

Two comments that explained the low ratings were that the Associate's field was not directly related, and that although the Associate's background was appropriate, experience was lacking.

4. Please comment on the Associate's cooperativeness, diligence, interest, etc.

These categories reflect a subjective analysis of comments. Care was taken to be as accurate as possible in interpreting comments. Comments that included superlatives such as "extremely" or "very" competent, etc., were rated as high or excellent. Unqualified positive statements were in general rated "good."

101 a. Excellent

18 b. Good

1 c. Low

5. In your opinion, has his participation in this summer program contributed to an increase in the Associate's potential to perform research?

114 YES

8 NO

Comments:

Responses were categorized for ease of summarization and ranged from:

- 29 a. Exposure to new areas or increased experience with somewhat unfamiliar areas.
- 24 b. Exposure to Air Force or government problems and research.
- 15 c. Demonstrated problem solving or advanced research that can be built on.
- 10 d. Access to better research facilities, more research scientists, professional opportunities that contributed to development of Associate's potential.
- 9 e. Practical application of knowledge.
- 8 f. Material for publication or specific plan for continued joint research.
- 7 g. Contributed to professional growth including such areas as team work and professional networking.

One response state that the Associate was already at his or her potential; another noted that the associate's background was not suited to the project.

6. Did work performed by the Associate contribute to the overall mission/program of your laboratory?

129 YES

4 NO

If yes, how?

Responses ranged from more general areas, such as saving time, to specific or more quantifiable contributions, such as discoveries. Some of these are grouped below. Again, categories were developed to aid in summarizing comments and are necessarily less complete than actual responses.

52 a. Contributed new methods, techniques, viewpoints, and skills; and enhanced technical understanding.

18 b. Contributed in such areas as increasing databases, requirement gathering, statistical support, data analysis, literature search, etc.

11 c. Identified or solved problems that will save time, improve accuracy, etc.

11 d. Made discoveries or developed technology to include: onion transporting membrane, lower acid-base buffer, NTO decomposition kinetics and activation energy, coupling concept, bone removal, electromagnetic topology theory, measurement improvement, testing.

5 e. Trained others.

7. Would you classify the summer effort under the SFRP as research?

116 YES

7 NO

Comment:

Comments indicated that the time period is too short.

8. Was a Graduate Student assigned to your group this summer?

59 YES

64 NO

If so, did this enhance the research productivity?

41 YES

9 NO

Was it an administrative burden?

0 YES

51 NO

9. Were your relations with the Associate satisfactory from a technical point of view?

121 YES

3 NO

Suggestions as to how they might be improved:

Suggestions for improvement centered on being allocated more time to spend with the Associate.

10. Do you think that by having a Faculty Associate assigned to your group, others in the group benefited and/or were stimulated by his presence?

108 YES

15 NO

1 N/A

Comments:

Comments that supported affirmative responses treated some aspects of cross fertilization of information and exchange of ideas.

Those comments that related to a negative response, of which there were few, indicated such things as:

- Little interfacing with others, or little opportunity for sharing. (To qualify their answers, some specifically indicated that the nature of the project did not require or allow group interaction or exchange of ideas.)
- The associate brought no RIP with him.
- Research topic is too specialized, or the problem is unique.

11. Do you feel that introduction to each other, together with the summer work experience and performance could form a sound basis for continuation of effort by Associate at his home institute?

110 YES

14 NO

If yes, how?

Responses fell into the following areas:

- 32 mentioned that a mini-grant or other proposal is projected or funding of some kind is required. Fifty specified technical or research areas and/or indicated plans to continue that line of research. Only three pointed out a need to either make occasional visits to WPAFB for use of facilities, or purchase equipment in order to continue their research at the home institute.

If no, why not?

There were very few comments, as noted here:

- Project is limited to this site; lack of costly instrumentation or equipment; and area of research is either not compatible with the Associate's primary focus, or not supported by the home institution. (In one case, the comment noted there was communication difficulty.)

12. One of the objectives of this program is to identify sources of basic research capability and availability to the USAF. On a scale of A to D, how effective do you think this program will be in that respect? (high) A B C D (low)

The breakdown of the responses was:  
A-68, B-40, C-4, and D-nine.

13. Also, please evaluate:

Evaluation of the following three areas ranged from high (A) to low (D) with the following breakdown:

|                                         | A  | B  | C  | D |
|-----------------------------------------|----|----|----|---|
| Opportunity to stimulate group activity | 76 | 35 | 11 | 2 |
| Professional association                | 81 | 27 | 3  | 1 |
| Program administration                  | 63 | 46 | 11 | 4 |

#### B. ADMINISTRATIVE ASPECTS

1. When did you first hear of this program?

22 a. Recently, up to one year ago, or 1987.

36 b. Two to four years ago, or 1983, 1984, 1985, 1986.

38 c. Several (five or more) years ago, including statements such as "when the program began."

2. Were you involved in the screening and prioritizing of the faculty applicants for your lab?

79 YES

44 NO

If yes, do you have any suggestions for improvement of the procedures used?

There were very few comments, but the suggestions for improvement included:

- More material, such as research papers, to evaluate area of research compatibility.
- Government researchers should screen applicants.
- Eliminate meeting of UES and lab representatives.
- Assign only the number of associates requested.
- Standardize procedures, establish criteria.
- Send all applications to the lab at the same time.
- Increase the selection basis.
- Make final decisions earlier (move the deadline ahead one month).

3. How do you rate the importance of the expense-paid pre-program visit to the work site?

|           |                   |
|-----------|-------------------|
| <u>2</u>  | Not worth expense |
| <u>30</u> | Convenient        |
| <u>85</u> | Essential         |

Please add any comments:

Comments focusing on the value of the visits fell into two major areas with the first category often delineated into one of two subcategories.

16 1. Facilitating quicker start-up of the research effort.

In addition to the general comment, some elaborated on how this aided in start-up.

30 a. By clarifying goals and direction in advance, and getting necessary supplies or other resources in time.

9 b. By familiarizing Associate with research, personnel, and resources in advance.

7 2. Aiding the Associate in obtaining living accommodations, or in handling administrative activities.

Two responses which did not fall into these categories were:

- Associate lived in the area; depends on the candidate - valuable, but not essential.

4. Considering the calendar "window" of ten weeks (limited by varying college and university schedules), please comment on the program length. Were you as a team able to accomplish

26 more than  
20 less than  
77 about what you expected

Comments:

- 16 a. Ten weeks is too short to accomplish a great deal. Extend it to 12 weeks. A variation on this was offered by two other commentors who suggested to make it flexible - 8 to 12 weeks or even as long as 14 weeks. This would attract more applicants.
- 9 b. Prior planning and preparation are essential. Without the pre-program visit for planning, or voluntary overtime, the time would have been too short.
- 3 c. Technical or equipment problems hampered work.

5. Would you desire another Faculty Associate to be assigned to you and/or your group/division?

119 YES  
3 NO

If no, why not?

The three comments indicated the following requests for consideration: One wanted to keep the same person because of unique work experience and knowledge; another requested "better screening"; and the other asked that more support for the program be offered by the Branch and Division.

6. Would you desire additional Graduate Students in this program?

87 YES  
26 NO  
9 N/A

7. Should the Graduate Students only be assigned to research with the Summer Research Faculty Member?

55 YES  
54 NO  
16 N/A

8. Should Graduate Students continue to be assigned without Summer Research Faculty supervision?

65 YES

38 NO

21 N/A

9. Other remarks:

Most of the remarks were general statements reinforcing the value of the program to both researchers and host laboratories, and praising UES and the program.

Some additional information included the following:

- Greatest benefit is seen with follow-on research proposals and activities, since one summer is not long enough. Suggested two-year awards be considered.
- More students should be on site.
- UES should encourage the development of a good task plan, for summer faculty down to summer high school students, that would provide guidance to prospective mentors.
- If the graduate student did not come with an advisor, it might put too much demand on colleague's time to supervise.
- Problems in obtaining housing. Arrangements might be made by UES and some rental complexes to facilitate this process.
- Increase the mini-grant to \$35K.

1981s

## APPENDIX II

- A. Program Statistics
- B. List of 1988 Participants
- C. Participant Laboratory Assignments

APPENDIX II A

Summer Faculty Research Program

Sponsored by  
Air Force Office of Scientific Research

Conducted by  
Universal Energy Systems, Inc.

Program Statistics

## Program Statistics

### 1. Applications Received (by Laboratory)

| Organization                                      |            | Choice |     |     | Total |
|---------------------------------------------------|------------|--------|-----|-----|-------|
|                                                   |            | 1st    | 2nd | 3rd |       |
| Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory             | (WPAFB)    | 43     | 35  | 10  | 88    |
| Aero Propulsion Lab.                              | (WPAFB)    | 30     | 24  | 12  | 66    |
| Armament Laboratory                               | (Eglin)    | 21     | 27  | 12  | 60    |
| Arnold Engineering Development Center             | (Arnold)   | 6      | 10  | 7   | 23    |
| Astronautics Laboratory                           | (Edwards)  | 25     | 18  | 25  | 68    |
| Avionics Laboratory                               | (WPAFB)    | 30     | 15  | 11  | 56    |
| Engineering and Services Center                   | (Tyndall)  | 37     | 26  | 13  | 76    |
| Electronic Systems Div.                           | (Hanscom)  | 9      | 12  | 5   | 26    |
| Eastern Missile and Space Center                  | (Patrick)  | 8      | 3   | 3   | 14    |
| Flight Dynamics Lab.                              | (WPAFB)    | 40     | 27  | 7   | 74    |
| Frank J. Seiler Research Laboratory               | (USAFA)    | 14     | 15  | 9   | 38    |
| Geophysics Laboratory                             | (Hanscom)  | 36     | 6   | 7   | 49    |
| Human Resources Lab. (Williams, Brooks and WPAFB) |            | 54     | 26  | 26  | 106   |
| Materials Laboratory                              | (WPAFB)    | 46     | 25  | 15  | 86    |
| Occupational and Environment Health Lab.          | (Brooks)   | 19     | 22  | 3   | 44    |
| Rome Air Development Ctr.                         | (Griffiss) | 62     | 41  | 20  | 123   |
| School of Aerospace Med.                          | (Brooks)   | 44     | 22  | 13  | 79    |
| Wilford Hall Medical Ctr.                         | (Lackland) | 12     | 8   | 5   | 25    |
| Weapons Laboratory                                | (Kirtland) | 32     | 32  | 19  | 83    |
| Late Applications                                 |            | 42     |     |     |       |
| Totals                                            |            | 610    | 394 | 222 |       |

### 2. Number of Participants - 153

|                              |       |
|------------------------------|-------|
| Number with Bachelors Degree | - 2   |
| Number with Masters Degree   | - 10  |
| Number with Doctorate Degree | - 141 |

### 3. Academic Ranking

|                     |      |
|---------------------|------|
| Assistant Professor | - 64 |
| Associate Professor | - 39 |
| Department Chairman | - 4  |
| Instructor          | - 4  |
| Professor           | - 40 |
| Researcher          | - 2  |

Program Statistics  
Continued

4. Number of Participants at Each Laboratory

Organization

|                                        |            |     |
|----------------------------------------|------------|-----|
| Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory  | (WPAFB)    | 10  |
| Aero Propulsion Laboratory             | (WPAFB)    | 8   |
| Armament Laboratory                    | (Eglin)    | 8   |
| Arnold Engineering Development Ctr.    | (Arnold)   | 5   |
| Astronautics Laboratory                | (Edwards)  | 8   |
| Avionics Laboratory                    | (WPAFB)    | 8   |
| Eastern Space & Missile Center         | (Patrick)  | 1   |
| Electronic Systems Division            | (Hanscom)  | 2   |
| Engineering and Services Center        | (Tyndall)  | 8   |
| Flight Dynamics Laboratory             | (WPAFB)    | 10  |
| Frank J. Seiler Research Laboratory    | (USAF)     | 7   |
| Geophysics Laboratory                  | (Hanscom)  | 12  |
| Human Resources Laboratories           | (Brooks)   | 14  |
| Materials Laboratory                   | (WPAFB)    | 12  |
| Occupational & Environment Health Lab. | (Brooks)   | 4   |
| Rome Air Development Center            | (Griffiss) | 12  |
| School of Aerospace Medicine           | (Brooks)   | 16  |
| Weapons Laboratory                     | (Kirtland) | 6   |
| Wilford Hall Medical Center            | (Lackland) | 2   |
| Totals                                 |            | 153 |

5. Discipline Represented -

|                        |      |                        |      |
|------------------------|------|------------------------|------|
| Aerospace Engineering  | - 2  | Exercise Physiology    | - 2  |
| Analytical Chemistry   | - 1  | Genetics               | - 1  |
| Applied Mathematics    | - 4  | Industrial Engineering | - 2  |
| Atmospheric Science    | - 2  | Inorganic Chemistry    | - 3  |
| Biochemistry           | - 2  | Language               | - 1  |
| Biology                | - 4  | Material Science       | - 3  |
| Biomedical Engineering | - 1  | Mathematics            | - 8  |
| Chemical Engineering   | - 6  | Mechanical Engineering | - 20 |
| Chemistry              | - 13 | Molecular Biology      | - 2  |
| Civil Engineering      | - 3  | Organic Chemistry      | - 3  |
| Cognitive Psychology   | - 2  | Philosophy             | - 3  |
| Computer Science       | - 4  | Physical Chemistry     | - 5  |
| Dentistry              | - 1  | Physics                | - 17 |
| Electrical Engineering | - 12 | Physiology             | - 2  |
| Engineering            | - 12 | Psychology             | - 7  |
| Entomology             | - 1  | Statistics             | - 4  |

Program Statistics  
Continued

6. Colleges and Universities Represented - Total 118

|                              |     |                              |     |
|------------------------------|-----|------------------------------|-----|
| Akron, University of         | - 1 | Maine, University of         | - 1 |
| Alabama, University of       | - 1 | Meharry Medical College      | - 1 |
| Albany College               | - 1 | Miami University             | - 1 |
| Arizona State University     | - 1 | Miami, University of         | - 1 |
| Arizona, University of       | - 1 | Michigan State University    | - 1 |
| Arkansas State University    | - 1 | Michigan Tech. University    | - 1 |
| Arkansas, University of      | - 2 | Michigan, University of      | - 2 |
| Auburn University            | - 1 | Minnesota, University of     | - 1 |
| Austin Peay State University | - 1 | Missouri Western State Coll. | - 1 |
| Ball State University        | - 1 | Missouri, University of      | - 2 |
| Boston College               | - 1 | Montana, University of       | - 1 |
| California State University  | - 2 | Montclair State College      | - 1 |
| California, University of    | - 1 | Morehouse College            | - 1 |
| Calvin College               | - 1 | Muhlenberg College           | - 1 |
| Carnegie Mellon University   | - 1 | Murray State University      | - 1 |
| Central State University     | - 3 | Nebraska, University of      | - 1 |
| Central Wesleyan College     | - 1 | New Hampshire, Univ. of      | - 3 |
| Cincinnati, University of    | - 3 | New Mexico, University of    | - 1 |
| Clarkson University          | - 2 | New York State University    | - 2 |
| Clemson University           | - 1 | New York, City College of    | - 1 |
| Colorado State University    | - 2 | North Carolina State Univ.   | - 1 |
| Columbia Basin College       | - 1 | North Carolina, Univ. of     | - 2 |
| Dayton, University of        | - 5 | Northern Illinois Univ.      | - 1 |
| Delta State University       | - 1 | Ohio State University        | - 2 |
| East Texas State University  | - 1 | Oklahoma State University    | - 1 |
| Eastern New Mexico Univ.     | - 1 | Oral Roberts University      | - 1 |
| Fairleigh Dickinson Univ.    | - 1 | Oregon Inst. of Technology   | - 2 |
| Fayetteville State Univ.     | - 1 | Oregon State University      | - 1 |
| Florida Inst. of Technology  | - 1 | Pennsylvania State Univ.     | - 1 |
| Florida, University of       | - 1 | Polytechnic University       | - 1 |
| Francis Marion University    | - 1 | Prairie View A&M Univ.       | - 2 |
| George Mason University      | - 1 | Presbyterian College         | - 1 |
| Georgia Inst. of Technology  | - 2 | Purdue University            | - 1 |
| Georgia, University of       | - 1 | Redlands, University of      | - 1 |
| Gonzaga University           | - 1 | Rennsselaer Polytechnic Inst | - 1 |
| Hampton University           | - 1 | Rice University              | - 1 |
| Illinois Inst. of Technology | - 1 | Rochester Inst. of Tech.     | - 1 |
| Indiana University           | - 1 | Rose-Hulman Inst. of Tech.   | - 1 |
| Iowa State University        | - 1 | Saint Paul's College         | - 1 |
| Jackson State University     | - 3 | San Francisco State Univ.    | - 1 |
| Jacksonville State Univ.     | - 1 | Santa Clara University       | - 1 |
| Jarvis Christian College     | - 1 | Southeast Oklahoma State U.  | - 1 |
| Kentucky, University of      | - 1 | Southern Mississippi, Univ.  | - 1 |
| LaVerne, University of       | - 1 | Southern University          | - 2 |
| Louisiana State University   | - 2 | Southwest Missouri State U.  | - 1 |
| Louisiana Tech. University   | - 1 | St. Norbert College          | - 1 |
| Lowell, University of        | - 2 | Staten Island, College of    | - 1 |

Continued

Program Statistics  
Continued

6. Colleges and Universities Represented (Continued)

|                              |     |                             |     |
|------------------------------|-----|-----------------------------|-----|
| Syracuse University          | - 1 | Warren Wilson College       | - 1 |
| Taylor University            | - 1 | Wayne State University      | - 1 |
| Tennessee Space Inst., Univ. | - 1 | Wesleyan College            | - 1 |
| Tennessee Tech. University   | - 2 | West Florida, University of | - 1 |
| Tennessee, University of     | - 1 | West Texas State Univ.      | - 1 |
| Texas A&I University         | - 1 | West Virginia Tech.         | - 1 |
| Texas Lutheran College       | - 1 | Western Illinois University | - 1 |
| Texas, University of         | - 4 | Western Michigan University | - 1 |
| Towson State University      | - 1 | Widener University          | - 1 |
| Trinity University           | - 1 | Wilberforce University      | - 1 |
| Tuskegee University          | - 1 | Wisconsin-Madison, Univ. of | - 1 |
| Virginia Polytechnic Inst.   | - 1 | Wright State University     | - 5 |
|                              |     | Total                       | 153 |

Program Statistics  
Continued

7. States Represented - Total 38

|                |      |
|----------------|------|
| Alabama        | - 4  |
| Arizona        | - 2  |
| Arkansas       | - 3  |
| California     | - 7  |
| Colorado       | - 2  |
| Delaware       | - 1  |
| Florida        | - 3  |
| Georgia        | - 4  |
| Illinois       | - 4  |
| Indiana        | - 4  |
| Iowa           | - 1  |
| Kentucky       | - 2  |
| Louisiana      | - 5  |
| Maine          | - 1  |
| Maryland       | - 1  |
| Massachusetts  | - 2  |
| Michigan       | - 8  |
| Minnesota      | - 1  |
| Mississippi    | - 5  |
| Missouri       | - 4  |
| Montana        | - 1  |
| Nebraska       | - 1  |
| New Hampshire  | - 4  |
| New Jersey     | - 3  |
| New Mexico     | - 2  |
| New York       | - 10 |
| North Carolina | - 6  |
| Ohio           | - 21 |
| Oklahoma       | - 3  |
| Oregon         | - 3  |
| Pennsylvania   | - 4  |
| South Carolina | - 4  |
| Tennessee      | - 6  |
| Texas          | - 13 |
| Virginia       | - 3  |
| Washington     | - 2  |
| West Virginia  | - 1  |
| Wisconsin      | - 2  |

8. Age of Participants -

Average - 44

APPENDIX II B

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

# LIST OF 1988 PARTICIPANTS

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Dr. Ibrahim A. Ahmad  
Professor and Director  
Division of Statistics  
Dept. of Math Sciences  
Northern Illinois University  
DeKalb, IL 60115  
(815) 753-6739

Degree: Ph.D., Statistics, 1975  
Specialty: Statistics and Operations  
Research  
Assigned: Armament Laboratory

Dr. Robert J. Arenz  
Professor  
Dept. of Mechanical Engineering  
Gonzaga University  
Spokane, WA 99258  
(509) 328-4220

Degree: Ph.D., Aeronautical Eng., 1964  
Specialty: Solid Mech.  
Assigned: Materials Laboratory

Dr. Lucia M. Babcock  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
Louisiana State University  
Choppin Hall  
Baton Rouge, LA 70803  
(504) 388-3239

Degree: Ph.D., Chemistry, 1978  
Specialty: Gas Phase Ion-Molecule Chem.  
Assigned: Air Force Geophysics Lab.

Dr. Praphulla K. Bajpai  
Professor  
Dept. of Biology  
University of Dayton  
300 College Park  
Dayton, OH 45469  
(513) 229-3029

Degree: Ph.D., Animal Physiology, 1965  
Specialty: Physiology and Biomaterials  
Assigned: Harry G. Armstrong Aerospace  
Medical Research Laboratory

Dr. Stephen D. Baker  
Professor  
Dept. of Physics  
Rice University  
Houston, TX 77251-1892  
(713) 527-8101

Degree: Ph.D., Physics, 1963  
Specialty: Nuclear Physics  
Assigned: Air Force Geophysics Lab.

Dr. Pradip M. Bakshi  
Research Professor  
Dept. of Physics  
Boston College  
Chestnut Hill, MA 02167  
(617) 552-3585

Degree: Ph.D., Theoretical Physics,  
1962  
Specialty: Quantum Theory  
Assigned: Air Force Geophysics Lab.

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Dr. Shankar S. Bale  
Professor  
Dept. of Science and Math  
Saint Paul's University  
Lawrenceville, VA 23868  
(804) 848-3111

Degree: Ph.D., Genetics, 1971  
Specialty: Toxicology-Cytogenetics  
Assigned: Harry G. Armstrong Aerospace  
Medical Research Laboratory

Mr. Beryl L. Barber  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Electronics Eng.  
Oregon Institute of Technology  
3201 Campus Drive  
Klamath Falls, OR 97601-8801  
(503) 882-6890

Degree: MSEE, Electrical Eng., 1961  
Specialty: RF/Microwave Components  
Assigned: Rome Air Development Center

Dr. Bryan R. Becker  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Aerospace Engineering  
University of Missouri  
600 West Mechanic  
Independence, MO 64050-1799  
(816) 276-1279

Degree: Ph.D., Eng. Science, 1979  
Specialty: Computational Fluid Dynamics  
Assigned: Aero Propulsion Laboratory

Dr. Reuben Benumof  
Professor  
Dept. of Applied Sciences  
College of Staten Island  
130 Stuyvesant Pl.  
Staten Island, NY 10301  
(718) 390-7973

Degree: Ph.D., Physics, 1945  
Specialty: Semiconductor Physics  
Assigned: Air Force Geophysics Lab.

Mr. George N. Bratton  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Math and Comp. Science  
Austin State Peay State Univ.  
P O Box 8343  
Clarksville, TN 37044  
(615) 648-7834

Degree: Ed.D., Mathematics Ed., 1977  
Specialty: Statistics  
Assigned: Electronics Systems Division

Dr. Dan R. Bruss  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Physical Sciences  
Albany College of Pharmacy  
106 New Scotland Avenue  
Albany, NY 12208  
(518) 445-7225

Degree: Ph.D., Chemistry, 1985  
Specialty: Physical Organic Chemistry  
Assigned: Frank J. Seiler Research Lab.

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Dr. Ronald Bulbulian  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Health, Physical  
Education and Recreation  
University of Kentucky  
Seaton 100  
Lexington, KY 40506  
(606) 257-7904

Degree: Ph.D., Physiology, 1980  
Specialty: Exercise Physiology  
Assigned: School of Aerospace Medicine

Dr. Charles M. Bump  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
Hampton University  
P O Box 6483  
Hampton, VA 23668  
(804) 727-5330

Degree: Ph.D. Organic Chemistry, 1979  
Specialty: Organic Synthesis  
Assigned: Frank J. Seiler Research Lab.

Dr. John A. Burke, Jr.  
Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
Trinity University  
715 Stadium Drive  
San Antonio, TX 78284  
(512) 736-7316

Degree: Ph.D., Chemistry, 1963  
Specialty: Inorganic Compounds  
Assigned: School of Aerospace Medicine

Mr. Mike Burlakoff  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Computer Science  
Southwest Missouri State Univ.  
901 S. National  
Springfield, MO 65804  
(417) 836-5930

Degree: MS., Math, Computer Sci., 1965  
Specialty: Computer Science  
Assigned: Avionics Laboratory

Dr. Larry W. Byrd  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Mechanical Engineering  
Arkansas State University  
P O Box 1080  
State University, AR 72467-1080  
(501) 972-3421

Degree: Ph.D., Mechanical Eng., 1984  
Specialty: Mechanical Engineering  
Assigned: Flight Dynamics Laboratory

Dr. Clarence Calder  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Mechanical Engineering  
Oregon State University  
Corvallis, OR 97331  
(503) 754-2427

Degree: Ph.D., Mechanical Eng., 1969  
Specialty: Stress Wave Propagation  
Assigned: Astronautics Laboratory

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Dr. Richard T. Carlin  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
Polytechnic University  
333 Jay St.  
Brooklyn, NY 11201  
(718) 260-3339

Degree: Ph.D., Chemistry, 1983  
Specialty: Inorganic Chemistry  
Assigned: Frank J. Seiler Research Lab.

Dr. Gene O. Carlisle  
Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry and Physics  
West Texas State University  
Canyon, TX 79016  
(806) 656-2282

Degree: Ph.D., Inorganic Chem., 1969  
Specialty: Coordination Chemistry  
Assigned: Materials Laboratory

Dr. Patricia Carlson  
Professor  
Dept. of Humanities  
Rose-Hulman Institute of Tech.  
5500 Wabash  
Terre Haute, IN 47803  
(812) 877-1511

Degree: Ph.D., Language & Lit., 1973  
Specialty: Document Design  
Assigned: Human Resources Laboratory:  
Logistics & Human Factors Div.

Dr. David R. Cecil  
Professor  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Texas A&I University  
Campus Box 172  
Kingsville, TX 78363  
(512) 592-1839

Degree: Ph.D., Mathematics, 1962  
Specialty: Algebra (Finite Fields)  
Assigned: Wilford Hall Medical Center

Dr. Wayne A. Charlie  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Civil Engineering  
Colorado State University  
Fort Collins, CO 80523  
(303) 491-8584

Degree: Ph.D., Civil Engineering, 1975  
Specialty: Geotechnical Engineering  
Assigned: Engineering & Services Center

Dr. Steven C. Chiesa  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Civil Engineering  
Santa Clara University  
Santa Clara, CA 95053  
(408) 554-4697

Degree: Ph.D., Civil Eng., 1982  
Specialty: Biological Waste Treatment  
Assigned: Occupational and Environment  
Health Laboratory

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Dr. Karen C. Chou  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Civil Engineering  
Syracuse University  
Syracuse, NY 13244-1190  
(315) 423-3314

Degree: Ph.D., Structural Eng., 1983  
Specialty: Structural Engineering  
Assigned: Flight Dynamics Laboratory

Dr. Phillip A. Christiansen  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
Clarkson University  
Potsdam, NY 13676  
(315) 268-4099

Degree: Ph.D., Physical Chem., 1978  
Specialty: Physical Chemistry  
Assigned: Astronautics Laboratory

Dr. Keith A. Christianson  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Electrical Engineering  
University of Maine  
Orono, ME 04469  
(207) 581-2244

Degree: Ph.D., Materials Science and  
Engineering, 1985  
Specialty: Electronic Materials  
Assigned: Rome Air Development Center

Dr. Mingking K. Chyu  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Mechanical Eng.  
Carnegie Mellon University  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213  
(412) 268-3658

Degree: Ph.D., Heat Transfer, 1986  
Specialty: Heat Transfer  
Assigned: Aero Propulsion Laboratory

Dr. Jerry D. Clark  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Physics  
Wright State University  
248 Fawcett Hall  
Dayton, OH 45435  
(513) 426-3917

Degree: Ph.D., Physics, 1982  
Specialty: Atomic Physics  
Assigned: Aero Propulsion Laboratory

Dr. Lane Clark  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Mathematics  
University of New Mexico  
Albuquerque, NM 87106  
(505) 277-2104

Degree: Ph.D., Mathematics, 1980  
Specialty: Graph Theory  
Assigned: Weapons Laboratory

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Dr. Donald F. Collins  
Faculty in Physics  
Dept. of Physics  
Warren Wilson College  
Swannanoa, NC 28778  
(704) 298-3325

Degree: Ph.D., Physics, 1970  
Specialty: Optics, Image Processing  
Assigned: Air Force Geophysics Lab.

Dr. Susan T. Collins  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
California State University  
18111 Nordhoff Street  
Northridge, CA 91330  
(818) 885-3367

Degree: Ph.D., Physical Chem., 1981  
Specialty: Matrix Isolation Spectroscopy  
Assigned: Astronautics Laboratory

Dr. Charles D. Covington  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Electrical Engineering  
University of Arkansas  
Bell Engineering Center 3217  
Fayetteville, AR 72701  
(501) 575-6583

Degree: Ph.D., Electrical Eng., 1984  
Specialty: Digital Signal Processing  
Assigned: Harry G. Armstrong Aerospace  
Medical Research Laboratory

Dr. Parvis Dadras  
Professor  
Dept. of Mech. Systems Eng.  
Wright State University  
Dayton, OH 45435  
(513) 873-2944

Degree: Ph.D., Mechanical Eng., 1972  
Specialty: Mechanics of Materials  
Assigned: Materials Laboratory

Dr. John F. Dalphin  
Professor  
Dept. of Computer Science  
Towson State University  
Baltimore, MD 21204  
(301) 321-3701

Degree: Ph.D., Mathematics, 1973  
Specialty: Computer Science  
Assigned: Electronics Systems Division

Mr. Darin S. DeForest  
Research Associate  
Dept. of Computer Science  
Arizona State University  
Tempe, AZ 85287  
(602) 965-3664

Degree: B.Sc., Computer Science, 1984  
Specialty: Programming Language Design  
Assigned: Rome Air Development Center

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Dr. David H. DeHeer  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Biology  
Calvin College  
3201 Burton Street, S.E.  
Grand Rapids, MI 49506  
(616) 957-6083

Degree: Ph.D., Molecular Biology, 1972  
Specialty: Molecular Biology  
Assigned: Engineering & Services Center

Dr. Eustace L. Dereniak  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Optical Science  
University of Arizona  
528 N. Martin  
Tucson, AZ 85719  
(602) 621-1019

Degree: Ph.D., Optics, 1976  
Specialty: Infrared Physics  
Assigned: Arnold Engineering  
Development Center

Prof. Paul T. Dingman  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Electronics Eng. Tech.  
Oregon Institute of Technology  
3201 Campus Drive  
Klamath Falls, OR 97601-8801  
(503) 882-6890

Degree: MSEE., Electrical Eng., 1974  
Specialty: Digital, Microprocessors  
Assigned: Rome Air Development Center

Dr. David A. Dolson  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
Murray State University  
Murray, KY 42071  
(502) 762-4490

Degree: Ph.D., Physical Chem., 1981  
Specialty: Laser Spectroscopy  
Assigned: Weapons Laboratory

Dr. Hugh K. Donaghy  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Computer Science  
Rochester Inst. of Technology  
1 Lomb-Memorial Drive  
Rochester, NY 14623  
(716) 475-2994

Degree: Ph.D., Philosophy, 1972  
Specialty: Natural Language Processing  
Assigned: Rome Air Development Center

Dr. Stephen J. Dow  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Math and Statistics  
Univ. of Alabama in Huntsville  
Huntsville, AL 35899  
(205) 895-6252

Degree: Ph.D., Mathematics, 1982  
Specialty: Discrete Mathematics  
Assigned: Armament Laboratory

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Dr. Derek Dunn-Rankin  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Mechanical Engineering  
University of California  
616 Engineering  
Irvine, CA 92717  
(714) 854-0460

Degree: Ph.D., Mechanical Eng., 1985  
Specialty: Laser Diagnostics (combustion)  
Assigned: Aero Propulsion Laboratory

Dr. Deanna S. Durnford  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Agric. & Chem. Eng.  
Colorado State University  
Ft. Collins, CO 80523  
(303) 491-5252

Degree: Ph.D., Civil Eng., 1982  
Specialty: Groundwater  
Assigned: Engineering & Services Center

Dr. Suren N. Dwivedi  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Mechanical Eng.  
University of North Carolina  
Charlotte, NC 28223  
(704) 547-2303

Degree: Ph.D., Engineering, 1976  
Specialty: Material Processing  
Assigned: Materials Laboratory

Dr. Wayne A. Eckerle  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Mech. & Ind. Eng.  
Clarkson University  
Potsdam, NY 13676  
(315) 268-2203

Degree: Ph.D., Fluid Mech., 1985  
Specialty: Experimental Fluid Mechanics  
Assigned: Aero Propulsion Laboratory

Dr. J. Kevin Ford  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Psychology  
Michigan State University  
East Lansing, MI 48824  
(517) 353-5006

Degree: Ph.D., Philosophy, 1983  
Specialty: Industrial/Organ. Psychology  
Assigned: Human Resources Laboratory:  
Training Systems

Prof. Michael E. Frantz  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Math and Physics  
University of LaVerne  
1950 Third Street  
LaVerne, CA 91750  
(714) 593-3511

Degree: M.S., Mathematics, 1978  
Specialty: Partial Differential Equations  
Assigned: Air Force Geophysics Lab.

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Dr. Barry K. Fussell  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Mechanical Engineering  
University of New Hampshire  
Kingsbury Hall  
Durham, NH 03824  
(603) 862-1352

Degree: Ph.D., Mechanical Eng., 1987  
Specialty: Systems Modeling & Controls  
Assigned: Materials Laboratory

Dr. Hugh. P. Garraway, III  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Computer Science  
Univ. of Southern Mississippi  
Box 5106  
Hattiesburg, MS 39406  
(601) 266-4949

Degree: Ph.D., Instruc. Tech., 1980  
Specialty: Computer Based Learning  
Assigned: Human Resources Laboratory:  
Training Systems

Dr. Christopher P. Godfrey  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Computer Science  
Missouri Western State College  
4525 Downs Drive  
St. Joseph, MO 64507  
(816) 271-4372

Degree: Ph.D., Physics, 1982  
Specialty: High Energy Astrophysics  
Assigned: Air Force Geophysics Lab.

Dr. Barry P. Goettl  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Psychology  
Clemson University  
108 Brackett Hall  
Clemson, SC 29634-1511  
(803) 656-2831

Degree: Ph.D., Psychology, 1987  
Specialty: Engineering Psychology  
Assigned: Harry G. Armstrong Aerospace  
Medical Research Laboratory

Dr. Gerald W. Grams  
Professor  
School of Geophysical Sciences  
Georgia Tech.  
Atlanta, GA 30332  
(404) 894-3628

Degree: Ph.D., Meteorology, 1966  
Specialty: Atmospheric Physics  
Assigned: Avionics Laboratory

Dr. Edward K. Greenwald  
Assistant Professor  
Engineering Professional Dev.  
Univ. of Wisconsin-Madison  
432 N. Lake Street  
Madison, WI 53706  
(608) 262-0573

Degree: Ph.D., Physics, 1967  
Specialty: Electrical Engineering  
Assigned: Engineering & Services Center

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Prof. William M. Grissom  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Physics  
Morehouse College  
830 Westview Dr., S.W.  
Atlanta, GA 30314  
(404) 681-2800

Degree: M.S.E., Mechanical Eng., 1978  
Specialty: Combustion Diagnostics  
Assigned: Arnold Engineering  
Development Center

Dr. David A. Grossie  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
Wright State University  
Dayton, OH 45435  
(513) 873-2210

Degree: Ph.D., Chemistry, 1982  
Specialty: X-ray Crystallography  
Assigned: Materials Laboratory

Dr. Vijay K. Gupta  
Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
Central State University  
Wilberforce, OH 45384  
(513) 376-6423

Degree: Ph.D., Chemistry, 1969  
Specialty: Physical Chemistry  
Assigned: Materials Laboratory

Dr. Awatef Hamed  
Dept. of Aerospace Eng.  
University of Cincinnati  
Mail Location 70  
Cincinnati, OH 45221  
(513) 475-5630

Degree: Ph.D., Engineering, 1972  
Specialty: Engineering  
Assigned: Flight Dynamics Laboratory

Dr. Albert A. Heaney  
Professor  
Dept. of Electrical Eng.  
California State University  
Shaw & Cedar Avenues  
Fresno, CA 93740-0094  
(209) 294-4823

Degree: Ph.D., Electrical Eng., 1972  
Specialty: Computer Engineering  
Assigned: Eastern Space Missile Center

Dr. David Hemmendinger  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Compt. Sci. & Eng.  
Wright State University  
Research Bldg.  
317 Research Blvd.  
Kettering, OH 45420  
(513) 259-1345

Degree: Ph.D., Philosophy, 1973  
Specialty: Logic Programming  
Assigned: Avionics Laboratory

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Dr. Bennye S. Henderson  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Biology  
Jackson State University  
1325 Lynch Street  
Jackson, MS 39217  
(601) 968-2586

Degree: Ph.D., Physiology, 1979  
Specialty: Physiology  
Assigned: School of Aerospace Medicine

Dr. Darrell E.P. Hoy  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Mechanical Eng.  
Tennessee Technological Univ.  
Box 5014  
Cookeville, TN 38505  
(615) 372-3732

Degree: M.S.E., Mechanical Eng., 1985  
Specialty: Ballistic Impact Shocks  
Assigned: Arnold Engineering  
Development Center

Dr. Manuel A. Huerta  
Professor  
Dept. of Physics  
University of Miami  
P O Box 248046  
Coral Gables, FL 33124  
(305) 284-2323

Degree: Ph.D., Physics, 1970  
Specialty: Plasma Physics  
Assigned: Armament Laboratory

Dr. Randolph B. Huff  
Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
Presbyterian College  
Clinton, SC 29325  
(803) 833-2820

Degree: Ph.D., Inorganic Chem., 1969  
Specialty: Physical-Inorganic Chemistry  
Assigned: Occupational and Environment  
Health Laboratory

Dr. Neil J. Hutzler  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Civil Engineering  
Michigan Tech. University  
Houghton, MI 49931  
(906) 487-2194

Degree: Ph.D., Environmental Eng.,  
1978  
Specialty: Environmental Engineering  
Assigned: Engineering & Services Center

Dr. Douglas E. Jackson  
Professor  
Dept. of Math Sciences  
Eastern New Mexico University  
Portales, NM 88130  
(505) 562-2367

Degree: Ph.D., Mathematics, 1969  
Specialty: Math/Statistical Information  
Assigned: Human Resources Laboratory:  
Manpower & Personnel Division

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Dr. Oleg G. Jakubowicz  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Elect. & Compt. Eng.  
State University of New York  
238 Bell Hall  
Buffalo, NY 14260  
(716) 636-2406

Degree: Ph.D., Physics, 1984  
Specialty: Neural Nets  
Assigned: Rome Air Development Center

Dr. Manjit S. Jawa  
Professor  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Fayetteville State University  
Fayetteville, NC 28301  
(919) 486-1675

Degree: Ph.D., Applied Math., 1967  
Specialty: Applied Mathematics  
Assigned: Arnold Engineering  
Development Center

Dr. David W. Jensen  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Aerospace Eng.  
Pennsylvania State University  
233N Hammond Bldg.  
University Park, PA 16802  
(814) 863-1077

Degree: Ph.D., Structures Tech., 1986  
Specialty: Advanced Composite Materials  
Assigned: Astronautics Laboratory

Dr. Eric R. Johnson  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
Ball State University  
Muncie, IN 47306  
(317) 285-8078

Degree: Ph.D., Biochemistry, 1974  
Specialty: Protein Biochemistry  
Assigned: School of Aerospace Medicine

Dr. William M. Jordan  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Mech. & Indus. Eng.  
Louisiana Tech. University  
P O Box 10348  
Ruston, LA 71272  
(318) 257-4304

Degree: Ph.D., Intersdisciplinary  
Eng., 1985  
Specialty: Composite Materials  
Assigned: Weapons Laboratory

Dr. Mohammad A. Karim  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Electrical Eng.  
University of Dayton  
KL-2410  
Dayton, OH 45469  
(513) 229-3611

Degree: Ph.D., Electrical Eng., 1982  
Specialty: Electro-Optics  
Assigned: Avionics Laboratory

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Dr. Arkady Kheyfets  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Mathematics  
North Carolina State Univ.  
Box 8205  
Raleigh, NC 27695-8205  
(919) 737-3265

Degree: Ph.D., Physics, 1986  
Specialty: Mathematical Physics  
Assigned: Weapons Laboratory

Prof. Daisy W. Kimble  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
Southern University  
P O Box 11487  
Baton Rouge, LA 70813  
(504) 771-3734

Degree: M.S., Analytical Chem., 1986  
Specialty: Analytical Chemistry  
Assigned: School of Aerospace Medicine

Dr. Yulian B. Kin  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Engineering  
Purdue University Calumet  
Potter Building  
Hammond, IN 46323  
(219) 989-2684

Degree: Ph.D., Fatigue Stress Analysis  
1971  
Specialty: Stress Analysis  
Assigned: Flight Dynamics Laboratory

Dr. Samuel P. Kozaitis  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Electrical Eng.  
Florida Institute of Tech.  
Melbourne, FL 32901-6988  
(305) 768-8000

Degree: Ph.D., Electrical Eng, 1986  
Specialty: Optics, Computer Architecture  
Assigned: Rome Air Development Center

Dr. Janet U. Kozyra  
Assistant Research Scientist  
University of Michigan  
Space Physics Research Lab.  
2455 Hayward  
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2143  
(313) 747-3550

Degree: Ph.D., Atmospheric Sci., 1986  
Specialty: Space Physics  
Assigned: Air Force Geophysics Lab.

Dr Charles E. Lance  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Psychology  
University of Georgia  
Athens, GA 30602  
(404) 542-3053

Degree: Ph.D., Psychology, 1985  
Specialty: Industrial/Organizational Psy.  
Assigned: Human Resources Laboratory:  
Manpower & Personnel Division

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Dr. Thomas L. Landers  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Industrial Engineering  
University of Arkansas  
4176 Bell Engineering Ctr.  
Fayetteville, AR 72703  
(501) 575-6042

Degree: Ph.D., Industrial Eng., 1985  
Specialty: Reliability & Maintainability  
Assigned: Human Resources Laboratory:  
Logistics & Human Factors Div.

Prof. Anastas Lazaridis  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Mechanical Eng.  
Widener University  
Chester, PA 19013  
(215) 499-4487

Degree: Sc.D., Thermal Fluids, 1969  
Specialty: Ablation, Solar Energy  
Assigned: Armament Laboratory

Dr. L. James Lee  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Chemical Eng.  
The Ohio State University  
140 W. 19th Avenue  
Columbus, OH 43210  
(614) 292-2408

Degree: Ph.D., Chemical Eng., 1979  
Specialty: Polymer & Composite Processing  
Assigned: Materials Laboratory

Dr. Robert Y. Li  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Electrical Eng.  
University of Nebraska  
Lincoln, NE 68588  
(402) 472-5892

Degree: Ph.D., Electrical Eng., 1981  
Specialty: Image Processing  
Assigned: Avionics Laboratory

Dr. Irving Lipschitz  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
University of Lowell  
1 University Lane  
Lowell, MA 01854  
(617) 452-5000

Degree: Ph.D., Physical Chem., 1965  
Specialty: Vibrational Spectroscopy  
Assigned: Air Force Geophysics Lab.

Dr. Harold G. Longbotham  
Visiting Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Electrical Eng.  
Univ. of Texas - San Antonio  
San Antonio, TX 78285  
(512) 691-5518

Degree: Ph.D., Electrical Eng., 1985  
Specialty: Nonlinear Digital Filtering  
Assigned: School of Aerospace Medicine

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Dr. David A. Ludwig  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Univ. of North Carolina  
at Greensboro  
Greensboro, NC 27412  
(919) 334-5836

Degree: Ph.D., Biostatistics, 1982  
Specialty: Biostatistics, Exp. Design  
Assigned: School of Aerospace Medicine

Dr. Douglas A. Mandra  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Psychology  
Francis Marion College  
P O Box 7500  
Florence, SC 29501  
(803) 661-1378

Degree: Ph.D., Psychology, 1974  
Specialty: Experimental Psychology  
Assigned: Human Resources Laboratory:  
Operations Training Division

Dr. Robert E. Masingale, Sr.  
Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
Jarvis Christian College  
Hawkins, TX 75765  
(214) 769-2174

Degree: Ph.D., Organic Chemistry, 1968  
Specialty: Organic & Analytical Chemistry  
Assigned: Harry G. Armstrong Aerospace  
Medical Research Laboratory

Dr. John P. McHugh  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Mechanical Eng.  
University of New Hampshire  
133 Kingsbury  
Durham, NH 03824  
(603) 862-1899

Degree: Ph.D., Applied Mechanics, 1986  
Specialty: Fluid Mechanics  
Assigned: Air Force Geophysics Lab.

Dr. Michael L. McKee  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
Auburn University  
Auburn, AL 36849-5312  
(205) 826-4043

Degree: Ph.D., Chemical Physics, 1977  
Specialty: Molecular Orbital Theory  
Assigned: Frank J. Seiler Research Lab.

Dr. Thomas T. Meek  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Materials Sci. & Eng.  
University of Tennessee  
434 Dougherty Engineering Bldg.  
Knoxville, TN 37966-2200  
(615) 970-0940

Degree: Ph.D., Ceramic Eng., 1977  
Specialty: Ceramic Processing  
Assigned: Materials Laboratory

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Dr. Tammy J. Melton  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
St. Norbert College  
DePere, WI 54115  
(414) 337-3206

Degree: Ph.D., Inorganic Chem., 1986  
Specialty: Inorganic Synthesis  
Assigned: Frank J. Seiler Research Lab.

Dr. Carolyn W. Meyers  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Mechanical Eng.  
Georgia Inst. of Technology  
School of Mechanical Eng.  
Atlanta, GA 30332  
(404) 894-3264

Degree: Ph.D., Physical Metallurgy,  
1984  
Specialty: Microstructure  
Assigned: Engineering & Services Center

Dr. David W. Mikolaitis  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Engineering Sciences  
University of Florida  
231 Aero  
Gainesville, FL 32611  
(904) 392-0961

Degree: Ph.D., Theoretical & Applied  
Mechanics, 1981  
Specialty: Applied Math  
Assigned: Armament Laboratory

Dr. Kwang S. Min  
Professor  
Dept. of Physics  
East Texas State University  
Commerce, TX 75428  
(214) 885-5483

Degree: Ph.D., Physics, 1962  
Specialty: Signal Processing  
Assigned: Armament Laboratory

Dr. Joseph J. Molitoris  
Professor  
Dept. of Physics  
Muhlenberg College  
Allentown, PA 18104  
(215) 821-3413

Degree: Ph.D., Physics, 1985  
Specialty: Nuclear Physics  
Assigned: Armament Laboratory

Mr. Augustus Morris  
Instructor  
Dept. of Manufacturing Eng.  
Central State University  
Wilberforce, OH 45384  
(513) 376-6435

Degree: B.S., Biomedical Eng., 1981  
Specialty: Biomedical Engineering  
Assigned: Flight Dynamics Laboratory

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Dr. William P. Mounfield  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Mechanical Eng.  
Louisiana State University  
R2513-A CEBA Bldg.  
Baton Rouge, LA 70803-6413  
(504) 388-6488

Degree: Ph.D., Mechanical Eng., 1985  
Specialty: Automatic Controls  
Assigned: Engineering & Services Center

Dr. Nanda L. Mukherjee  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Chemical Eng.  
Tuskegee University  
Tuskegee, AL 36088  
(205) 727-8050

Degree: Ph.D., Chemical Eng., 1967  
Specialty: Kinetics  
Assigned: Flight Dynamics Laboratory

Dr. Richard S. Myers  
Professor  
Dept. of Physical Sciences  
Delta State University  
P O Box 3255  
Cleveland, OH 38733  
(601) 846-4482

Degree: Ph.D., Physical Chem., 1968  
Specialty: Experimental Physical Chem.  
Assigned: Engineering & Services Center

Dr. Himanshoo V. Navangul  
Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry and  
Physical Science  
North Carolina Wesleyan College  
Wesleyan Station  
Rocky Mount, NC 27804  
(919) 977-7171

Degree: Ph.D., Physical Chem., 1967  
Specialty: Molecular Spectroscopy  
Assigned: Air Force Geophysics Lab.

Dr. Mark A. Norris  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Mechanics  
Virginia Polytechnic Inst.  
and State University  
227 Norris Hall  
Blacksburg, VA 24061  
(703) 961-4576

Degree: Ph.D., Eng. Mechanics, 1986  
Specialty: Structural Dynamics & Controls  
Assigned: Astronautics Laboratory

Dr. Mufit H. Ozden  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Systems Analysis  
Miami University  
2303 Kreger Hall  
Oxford, OH 45056  
(513) 529-5937

Degree: Ph.D. Eng. Systems, 1975  
Specialty: Operations Research  
Assigned: Human Resources Laboratory:  
Logistics & Human Factors Div.

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Prof. Martin A. Patt  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Electrical Eng.  
University of Lowell  
1 University Ave.  
Lowell, MA 01854  
(617) 452-5000

Degree: M.S., Electrical Eng., 1964  
Specialty: Computer Applications  
Assigned: Air Force Geophysics Lab.

Dr. David G. Payne  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Psychology  
SUNY Binghamton  
Binghamton, NY 13901  
(607) 777-4610

Degree: Ph.D., Cognitive Psy., 1984  
Specialty: Human Memory  
Assigned: Harry G. Armstrong Aerospace  
Medical Research Laboratory

Dr. William Z. Plachy  
Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry & Biochem.  
San Francisco State University  
San Francisco, CA 94132  
(415) 338-1436

Degree: Ph.D., Physical Chem., 1967  
Specialty: Physical Chemistry  
Assigned: School of Aerospace Medicine

Dr. Patricia L. Plummer  
Professor  
Dept. of Physics & Chemistry  
Columbia Univ. of Missouri  
Columbia, NC 65211  
(314) 882-3053

Degree: Ph.D., Chemical Physics, 1964  
Specialty: Quantum Chemistry  
Assigned: Frank J. Seiler Research Lab.

Dr. Leonard E. Porter  
Professor  
Dept. of Physics & Astronomy  
University of Montana  
Missoula, MT 59812  
(406) 243-6223

Degree: Ph.D., Nuclear Physics, 1965  
Specialty: Nuclear Physics  
Assigned: Weapons Laboratory

Dr. Ramalingam Radhakrishnan  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Civil Engineering  
Prairie View A&M University  
Prairie View, TX 77084  
(409) 857-2418

Degree: Ph.D., Structure Eng., 1974  
Specialty: Structures  
Assigned: Engineering & Services Center

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Dr. Periasamy K. Rajan  
Professor  
Dept. of Electrical Eng.  
Tennessee Tech. University  
Box 5004  
Cookeville, TN 38505  
(615) 372-3308

Degree: Ph.D., Electrical Eng., 1975  
Specialty: Digital Signal Processing  
Assigned: Avionics Laboratory

Dr. Panapakkam A. Ramamoorthy  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Elect. & Computer Eng.  
University of Cincinnati  
M.L. #30  
Cincinnati, OH 45220  
(513) 475-4247

Degree: Ph.D., Digital Signal  
Processing, 1977  
Specialty: Optical Memory  
Assigned: Avionics Laboratory

Dr. Dharam S. Rana  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Management & Marketing  
Jackson State University  
1400 J.R. Lynch  
Jackson, MS 39217  
(601) 968-2534

Degree: Ph.D., Statistics, 1976  
Specialty: Quantitative Techniques  
Assigned: Human Resources Laboratory:  
Manpower & Personnel Division

Dr. Sunita S. Rana  
Instructor  
Dept. of Computer Science  
Jackson State University  
1400 Lynch Street  
Jackson, MS 39217  
(601) 968-2105

Degree: Ph.D., Biology, 1969  
Specialty: Computer Science  
Assigned: Human Resources Laboratory:  
Training Systems

Dr. Hal C. Reed  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Biology  
Oral Roberts University  
7777 S. Lewis  
Tulsa, OK 74171  
(918) 495-6945

Degree: Ph.D., Entomology, 1982  
Specialty: Insect Behavior  
Assigned: School of Aerospace Medicine

Dr. Michael D. Rice  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Computer Science  
George Mason University  
4400 University Dr.  
Fairfax, VA 22030  
(703) 323-3884

Degree: Ph.D., Mathematics, 1973  
Specialty: Computer Science/Math  
Assigned: Weapons Laboratory

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Dr. Mateen M. Rizki  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Computer Science  
Wright State University  
410 Fawcett Hall  
Dayton, OH 45435  
(513) 873-2394

Degree: Ph.D., Computer Science, 1985  
Specialty: Modeling and Simulation  
Assigned: Avionics Laboratory

Dr. Thomas R. Rogge  
Professor  
Dept. of Eng. Science & Math  
Iowa State University  
3015 Black Eng.  
Ames, IA 50010  
(515) 294-2956

Degree: Ph.D., Applied Math, 1964  
Specialty: Finite Element Analysis  
Assigned: School of Aerospace Medicine

Dr. Joe M. Ross  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
Central State University  
Wilberforce, OH 45384  
(513) 375-6214

Degree: Ph.D., Molecular Bio., 1977  
Specialty: Biochemistry of Macromolecules  
Assigned: School of Aerospace Medicine

Dr. Joseph E. Saliba  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Civil & Engr. Mechanics  
University of Dayton  
300 College Park  
Dayton, OH 45469  
(513) 229-3847

Degree: Ph.D., Solid Mechanics, 1983  
Specialty: Engineering Mechanics  
Assigned: Harry G. Armstrong Aerospace  
Medical Research Laboratory

Dr. Dhiraj K. Sardar  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Physics  
University of Texas  
Div. of Earth & Physical Sci.  
San Antonio, TX 78285-0663  
(512) 691-5462

Degree: Ph.D., Physics, 1980  
Specialty: Materials Science & Lasers  
Assigned: School of Aerospace Medicine

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Prof. Sonia H. Sawtelle  
Teaching Associate  
Dept. of Education  
Univ. of Texas - San Antonio  
San Antonio, TX 78285  
(512) 691-4412

Degree: MS., Exercise Physiology, 1975  
Specialty: Exercise Physiology  
Assigned: School of Aerospace Medicine

Dr. Paul O. Scheie  
Professor  
Dept. of Physics  
Texas Lutheran College  
1000 West Court  
Seguin, TX 78155  
(512) 379-4161

Degree: Ph.D., Biophysics, 1965  
Specialty: Electrophysiology  
Assigned: School of Aerospace Medicine

Dr. James L. Schmutz  
Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
Central Wesleyan College  
1 Wesleyan Drive  
Central, SC 29630  
(803) 639-2453

Degree: Ph.D., Chemistry, 1976  
Specialty: Inorganic Polymers  
Assigned: Frank J. Seiler Research Lab.

Dr. Jodye I. Selco  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
University of Redlands  
P O Box 3080  
Redlands, CA 92373-0999  
(714) 793-2121

Degree: Ph.D., Chemical Physics, 1983  
Specialty: Spectroscopy, Kinetics  
Assigned: Astronautics Laboratory

Dr. Shawky E. Shamma  
Professor  
Dept. of Math/Statistics  
University of West Florida  
Pensacola, FL 32514  
(904) 474-2281

Degree: Ph.D., Applied Math, 1969  
Specialty: Applied Mathematics  
Assigned: Armament Laboratory

Dr. Rameshwar P. Sharma  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Mechanical Engineering  
Western Michigan University  
2065 Kohrman Hall  
Kalamazoo, MI 49008  
(616) 383-1408

Degree: Ph.D., Mechanical Eng., 1978  
Specialty: Fluid Mechanics  
Assigned: Astronautics Laboratory

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Dr. Larry R. Sherman  
Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
University of Akron  
Akron, OH 44325-0001  
(216) 375-7333

Degree: Ph.D., Analytical Chem., 1969  
Specialty: Organotin Chemistry  
Assigned: Occupational and Environment  
Health Laboratory

Dr. James A. Sherwood  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Mechanical Eng.  
University of New Hampshire  
Kingsbury Hall  
Durham, NH 03824  
(603) 862-2624

Degree: Ph.D., Aerospace Eng., 1987  
Specialty: Solid Mechanics  
Assigned: Flight Dynamics Laboratory

Dr. Sanford S. Singer  
Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
University of Dayton  
300 College Park  
Dayton, OH 45469  
(513) 229-2833

Degree: Ph.D., Biological Chem., 1967  
Specialty: Enzymology  
Assigned: Harry G. Armstrong Aerospace  
Medical Research Laboratory

Dr. Trilochan Singh  
Professor  
Dept. of Mechanical Eng.  
Wayne State University  
Detroit, MI 48202  
(313) 577-3845

Degree: Ph.D., Mechanical Eng., 1970  
Specialty: Chemical Combustion  
Assigned: Astronautics Laboratory

Dr. Jorge L. Sintes  
Chairman  
Dept. of Preventive Dentistry  
and Community Health  
Meharry Medical College  
1005 D.B. Todd Blvd.  
Nashville, TN 37208  
(615) 327-6185

Degree: Ph.D., Nutrition, 1978  
Specialty: Dentistry  
Assigned: Wilford Hall Medical Center

Dr. Kenneth M. Sobel  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Electrical Engineering  
The City College of New York  
138th St. & Convent Ave.  
New York, NY 10031  
(212) 690-4241

Degree: Ph.D., Electrical Eng., 1980  
Specialty: Eigenstructure  
Assigned: Flight Dynamics Laboratory

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Dr. Jonathan M. Spector  
Assistant Professor  
CSIS  
Jacksonville State University  
Pelham Road  
Jacksonville, AL 36265  
(205) 231-5718

Degree: Ph.D., Philosophy, 1978  
Specialty: Logic  
Assigned: Human Resources Laboratory:  
Training Systems Division

Dr. Gary R. Stevens  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Statistics  
Oklahoma State University  
301 MS  
Stillwater, OK 74078  
(405) 624-5684

Degree: Ph.D., Statistics, 1986  
Specialty: Stochastic Processes  
Assigned: Occupational and Environment  
Health Laboratory

Dr. Patrick J. Sweeney  
Asst. Dean of Engineering  
University of Dayton  
300 College Park, KL201  
Dayton, OH 45469  
(513) 229-2736

Degree: Ph.D., Mechanical Eng., 1977  
Specialty: Computer Modeling  
Assigned: Flight Dynamics Laboratory

Dr. Michael Sydor  
Professor  
Dept. of Physics  
University of Minnesota  
Duluth, MN 55812  
(218) 726-7205

Degree: Ph.D., Physics, 1965  
Specialty: Optics, Material Science  
Assigned: Materials Laboratory

Dr. Douglas G. Talley  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Mechanical Eng.  
University of Michigan  
313 Automotive Lab  
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2121  
(313) 936-0429

Degree: Ph.D., Mechanical Eng., 1978  
Specialty: Combustion  
Assigned: Aero Propulsion Laboratory

Dr. David J. Townsend  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Psychology  
Montclair State College  
Upper Montclair, NJ 07042  
(201) 893-7222

Degree: Ph.D., Cognitive Psy., 1982  
Specialty: Cognitive Science  
Assigned: Rome Air Development Center

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Dr. Donald R. Ucci  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Elect. & Computer Eng.  
Illinois Inst. of Technology  
3300 S. Federal  
Chicago, IL 60616  
(312) 567-3405

Degree: Ph.D., Electrical Eng., 1986  
Specialty: Adaptive Arrays  
Assigned: Rome Air Development Center

Dr. Ahmad D. Vakili  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of AE/ME  
Univ. of Tennessee Space Inst.  
Tullahoma, TN 37388  
(615) 455-0631

Degree: Ph.D., Aerospace Eng., 1978  
Specialty: Unsteady Flows  
Assigned: Arnold Engineering  
Development Center

Dr. Richard S. Valpey  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
Wilberforce University  
Wilberforce, OH 45384  
(513) 376-2911

Degree: Ph.D., Organic Chemistry, 1983  
Specialty: Organic Synthesis  
Assigned: Materials Laboratory

Dr. Peter J. Walsh  
Professor  
Dept. of Physics  
Fairleigh Dickinson University  
Teaneck, NJ 07666  
(201) 692-2493

Degree: Ph.D., Physics, 1960  
Specialty: Superconductivity  
Assigned: Rome Air Development Center

Dr. Kenneth L. Walter  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Chemical Engineering  
Prairie View A&M University  
Prairie View, TX 77446  
(409) 857-2827

Degree: Ph.D., Chemical Eng., 1972  
Specialty: Chemical Engineering Process  
Assigned: Rome Air Development Center

Dr. Gwo-Ching Wang  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Physics  
Rensselaer Polytechnic Inst.  
Troy, NY 12180-3590  
(518) 276-8387

Degree: Ph.D., Materials Science, 1978  
Specialty: Surface Sciences  
Assigned: Rome Air Development Center

## NAME/ADDRESS

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Dr. Andrew P. Whipple  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Biology  
Taylor University  
Upland, IN 46989  
(317) 998-5333

Degree: Ph.D., Biology, 1979  
Specialty: Cell Biology  
Assigned: Harry G. Armstrong Aerospace  
Medical Research Laboratory

Prof. Sharon T. Williams  
Instructor  
Dept. of Chemistry  
Southern University  
Baton Rouge, LA 70813-2074  
(504) 771-3990

Degree: M.S., Biochemistry, 1981  
Specialty: General Chemistry  
Assigned: School of Aerospace Medicine

Dr. Lawrence A. Witt  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Psychology  
Western Illinois University  
Macomb, IL 61455  
(309) 298-1593

Degree: Ph.D., Psychology, 1985  
Specialty: Industrial/Organ. Psychology  
Assigned: Human Resources Laboratory:  
Operations Training Division

Dr. Frank A. Witzmann  
Assistant Professor  
Dept. of Biology  
IUPUI - Columbus  
4601 Central Avenue  
Columbus, IN 47203  
(812) 372-8266

Degree: Ph.D., Biology, 1981  
Specialty: Protein Analysis  
Assigned: Harry G. Armstrong Aerospace  
Medical Research Laboratory

Dr. William E. Wolfe  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of Civil Engineering  
Ohio State University  
2070 Neil Avenue  
Columbus, OH 43210  
(614) 292-0790

Degree: Ph.D., Engineering, 1979  
Specialty: Geotechnical Engineering  
Assigned: Flight Dynamics Laboratory

Dr. John R. Wright  
Professor  
Dept. of Chem., Physical Sci.  
Southeast Oklahoma State Univ.  
Box 4181, Station A, SEOSU  
Durant, OK 74701  
(405) 924-0121

Degree: Ph.D., Chemistry, 1971  
Specialty: Biochemistry  
Assigned: School of Aerospace Medicine

## NAME/ADDRESS

Prof. Wafa E. Yazigi  
Instructor  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Columbia Basin College  
2600 N. 20th  
Pasco, WA 99301  
(509) 547-0511

Dr. Lawrence F. Young  
Associate Professor  
Dept. of QA/IS, CBA  
University of Cincinnati  
ML 30  
Cincinnati, OH 45220  
(513) 475-7169

Dr. Robert K. Young  
Professor  
Dept. of Psychology  
University of Texas  
Mezes 330, Psychology Dept.  
Austin, TX 78713  
(512) 471-9228

Dr. Juin S. Yu  
Professor  
Dept. of Mechanical Eng.  
West Virginia Tech.  
Montgomery, WV 25136  
(304) 442-3248

## DEGREE, SPECIALTY, LABORATORY ASSIGNED

Degree: M.S., Aeronautical Eng., 1986  
Specialty: Solid Mechanics  
Assigned: Armament Laboratory

Degree: D.Sc., Industrial Eng., 1978  
Specialty: Industrial Engineering  
Assigned: Human Resources Laboratory:  
Logistics & Human Factors Div.

Degree: Ph.D., Exp. Psychology, 1954  
Specialty: Experimental Psychology  
Assigned: Human Resources Laboratory:  
Manpower & Personnel Division

Degree: Ph.D., Mechanical Eng., 1964  
Specialty: Thermofluid Transport  
Assigned: Aero Propulsion Laboratory

APPENDIX II C

PARTICIPANT LABORATORY ASSIGNMENT

C. PARTICIPANT LABORATORY ASSIGNMENT (Page 1)

1988 USAF/UES SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH PROGRAM

AERO PROPULSION LABORATORY (AFWAL/APL)  
(Wright-Patterson Air Force Base)

- |                      |                     |
|----------------------|---------------------|
| 1. Bryan Becker      | 5. Wayne Eckerle    |
| 2. Mingking Chyu     | 6. David Mikolaitis |
| 3. Jerry Clark       | 7. Douglas Talley   |
| 4. Derek Dunn-Rankin | 8. Juin Yu          |

ARMAMENT LABORATORY (AD)  
(Eglin Air Force Base)

- |                      |                     |
|----------------------|---------------------|
| 1. Ibrahim Ahmad     | 5. Kwang Min        |
| 2. Stephen Dow       | 6. Joseph Molitoris |
| 3. Manuel Huerta     | 7. Shawky Shamma    |
| 4. Anastas Lazaridis | 8. Wafa Yazigi      |

HARRY G. ARMSTRONG AEROSPACE MEDICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY (AAMRL)  
(Wright-Patterson AFB)

- |                      |                    |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| 1. Praphulla Bajpai  | 6. David Payne     |
| 2. Shankar Bale      | 7. Joseph Saliba   |
| 3. Charles Covington | 8. Sanford Singer  |
| 4. Barry Goettl      | 9. Andrew Whipple  |
| 5. Robert Masingale  | 10. Frank Witzmann |

ARNOLD ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT CENTER (AEDC)  
(Arnold Air Force Base)

- |                     |                 |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| 1. Eustace Dereniak | 4. Manjit Jawa  |
| 2. William Grissom  | 5. Ahmad Vakili |
| 3. Darrell Hoy      |                 |

ASTRONAUTICS LABORATORY (AL)  
(Edwards Air Force Base)

- |                         |                     |
|-------------------------|---------------------|
| 1. Clarence Calder      | 5. Mark Norris      |
| 2. Phillip Christiansen | 6. Jodye Selco      |
| 3. Susan Collins        | 7. Rameshwar Sharma |
| 4. David Jensen         | 8. Trilochan Singh  |

AVIONICS LABORATORY (AFWAL/AL)  
(Wright-Patterson Air Force Base)

- |                       |                           |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| 1. Mike Burlakoff     | 5. Robert Li              |
| 2. Gerald Grams       | 6. Periasamy Rajan        |
| 3. David Hemmendinger | 7. Panapakkam Ramamoorthy |
| 4. Mohammad Karim     | 8. Mateen Rizki           |

EASTERN SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER (ESMC)  
(Patrick Air Force Base)

- |                  |
|------------------|
| 1. Albert Heaney |
|------------------|

C. PARTICIPANT LABORATORY ASSIGNMENT (Page 2)

ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS DIVISION (ESD)

(Hanscom Air Force Base)

1. George Bratton
2. John Dalphin

ENGINEERING AND SERVICES CENTER (ESC)

(Tyndall Air Force Base)

- |                     |                             |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1. Wayne Charlie    | 5. Neil Hutzler             |
| 2. David DeHeer     | 6. William Mounfield        |
| 3. Deanna Durnford  | 7. Richard Myers            |
| 4. Edward Greenwald | 8. Ramalingam Radhakrishnan |

FLIGHT DYNAMICS LABORATORY (FDL)

(Wright-Patterson Air Force Base)

- |                    |                    |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| 1. Larry Byrd      | 6. Nanda Mukherjee |
| 2. Karen Chou      | 7. James Sherwood  |
| 3. Awatef Hamed    | 8. Kenneth Sobel   |
| 4. Yulian Kin      | 9. Patrick Sweeney |
| 5. Augustus Morris | 10. William Wolfe  |

FRANK J. SEILER RESEARCH LABORATORY (FJSRL)

(USAF Academy)

- |                   |                     |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| 1. Dan Bruss      | 5. Tammy Melton     |
| 2. Charles Bump   | 6. Patricia Plummer |
| 3. Richard Carlin | 7. James Schmutz    |
| 4. Michael McKee  |                     |

GEOPHYSICS LABORATORY (AFGL)

(Hanscom Air Force Base)

- |                   |                        |
|-------------------|------------------------|
| 1. Lucia Babcock  | 7. Christopher Godfrey |
| 2. Stephen Baker  | 8. Janet Kozyra        |
| 3. Pradip Bakshi  | 9. Irving Lipschitz    |
| 4. Reuben Benumof | 10. John McHugh        |
| 5. Donald Collins | 11. Himanshoo Navangul |
| 6. Michael Frantz | 12. Martin Patt        |

HUMAN RESOURCES LABORATORY (HRL)

(Brooks, Williams, and Wright-Patterson Air Force Bases)

- |                     |                      |
|---------------------|----------------------|
| 1. Patricia Carlson | 8. Mufit Ozden       |
| 2. Kevin Ford       | 9. Dharam Rana       |
| 3. Hugh Garraway    | 10. Sunita Rana      |
| 4. Douglas Jackson  | 11. Jonathan Spector |
| 5. Charles Lance    | 12. Lawrence Witt    |
| 6. Thomas Landers   | 13. Lawrence Young   |
| 7. Douglas Mandra   | 14. Robert Young     |

C. PARTICIPANT LABORATORY ASSIGNMENT (Page 3)

MATERIALS LABORATORY (ML)

(Wright-Patterson Air Force Base)

- |                  |                    |
|------------------|--------------------|
| 1. Robert Arenz  | 7. Vijay Gupta     |
| 2. Gene Carlisle | 8. L. James Lee    |
| 3. Parvis Dadras | 9. Thomas Meek     |
| 4. Suren Dwivedi | 10. Carolyn Meyers |
| 5. Barry Fussell | 11. Michael Sydor  |
| 6. David Grossie | 12. Richard Valpey |

OCCUPATIONAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH LABORATORY (OEHL)

(Brooks Air Force Base)

- |                  |                  |
|------------------|------------------|
| 1. Steven Chiesa | 3. Larry Sherman |
| 2. Randolph Huff | 4. Gary Stevens  |

ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER (RADC)

(Griffiss Air Force Base)

- |                       |                    |
|-----------------------|--------------------|
| 1. Beryl Barber       | 7. Samuel Kozaitis |
| 2. Keith Christianson | 8. David Townsend  |
| 3. Darin DeForest     | 9. Donald Ucci     |
| 4. Paul Dingman       | 10. Peter Walsh    |
| 5. Hugh Donaghy       | 11. Kenneth Walter |
| 6. Oleg Jakubowicz    | 12. Gwo-Ching Wang |

SCHOOL OF AEROSPACE MEDICINE (SAM)

(Brooks Air Force Base)

- |                      |                     |
|----------------------|---------------------|
| 1. Ronald Bulbulian  | 9. Hal Reed         |
| 2. John Burke        | 10. Thomas Rogge    |
| 3. Bennye Henderson  | 11. Joe Ross        |
| 4. Eric Johnson      | 12. Dhiraj Sardar   |
| 5. Daisy Kimble      | 13. Sonia Sawtelle  |
| 6. Harold Longbotham | 14. Paul Scheie     |
| 7. David Ludwig      | 15. Sharon Williams |
| 8. William Plachy    | 16. John Wright     |

WEAPONS LABORATORY (WL)

(Kirtland Air Force Base)

- |                   |                    |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| 1. Lane Clark     | 4. Arkady Kheyfets |
| 2. David Dolson   | 5. Leonard Porter  |
| 3. William Jordan | 6. Michael Rice    |

WILFORD HALL MEDICAL CENTER (WHMC)

(Lackland Air Force Base)

- |                 |
|-----------------|
| 1. David Cecil  |
| 2. Jorge Sintes |

### APPENDIX III

- A. Listing of Research Reports Submitted in the  
1988 Summer Faculty Research Program
- B. Abstracts of the 1988 Summer Fellow's  
Research Reports

RESEARCH REPORTS

# APPENDIX III A

## RESEARCH REPORTS

### 1988 SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH PROGRAM

| <u>Technical<br/>Report<br/>Number</u> | <u>Title</u>                                                                                                 | <u>Professor</u>      |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Volume I                               |                                                                                                              |                       |
| Armament Laboratory                    |                                                                                                              |                       |
| 1                                      | Measuring Systems Effectiveness and Systems Availability of Hardened Targets Subject to a Variety of Weapons | Dr. Ibrahim Ahmad     |
| 2                                      | Model Drawing Algorithms for a Matching Problem                                                              | Dr. Stephen Dow       |
| 3                                      | Two Dimensional Simulation of Railgun Plasma Armatures                                                       | Dr. Manuel Huerta     |
| 4                                      | Modeling Reactive Fragments                                                                                  | Dr. Anastas Lazaridis |
| 5                                      | Target-Aerosol Discrimination Techniques for Active Optical Proximity Sensors                                | Dr. Kwang Min         |
| 6                                      | The Dynamics of Projectile Impact                                                                            | Dr. Joseph Molitoris  |
| 7                                      | ARIMA Modeling of Residuals in AD/KR TDOP Models                                                             | Dr. Shawky Shamma     |
| 8                                      | Stress Analysis for a Fin Stabilized Projectile                                                              | Dr. Wafa Yazigi       |
| Arnold Engineering Development Center  |                                                                                                              |                       |
| 9                                      | Infrared Charge Transfer Device Characterization                                                             | Dr. Eustace Dereniak  |
| 10                                     | Liquid Film Cooling In Rocket Engines                                                                        | Dr. William Grissom   |
| 11                                     | Diffuser Failure Investigation/Non-Interference Stress Measurement System Algorithms Study                   | Dr. Darrell Hoy       |
| 12                                     | Solid Rocket Motor Plume Analysis Through Emission Computerized Tomography                                   | Dr. Manjit Jawa       |
| 13                                     | Skin Friction Measurement Using Surface Mounted Hot Films                                                    | Dr. Ahmad Vakili      |

Astronautics Laboratory

- |    |                                                                                                 |                          |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 14 | Study of Embedded Sensors in Graphite-Epoxy Composites                                          | Dr. Clarence Calder      |
| 15 | Core Polarization in Lithium and Aluminum                                                       | Dr. Phillip Christiansen |
| 16 | The Photochemistry of $\mu^3$ -( $\eta$ -Diethylacetylene)-Decacarbonyltriosmium in Solid Argon | Dr. Susan Collins        |
| 17 | Composite-Embedded Fiber-Optic Strain Sensors                                                   | Dr. David Jensen         |
| 18 | Observer Design for the AFAL Grid Structure Using Low-frequency Accelerometer Data              | Dr. Mark Norris          |
| 19 | Photochemistry of Azulene Solutions and a Novel Photochemical Nitration Process                 | Dr. Jodye Selco          |
| 20 | Injection System and Spray Characteristics                                                      | Dr. Rameshwar Sharma     |
| 21 | Chemical Kinetic Mechanisms for $\text{CH}_4/\text{NO}_2/\text{O}_2$ Flames                     | Dr. Trilochan Singh      |

Eastern Space and Missile Center

- |    |                                               |                   |
|----|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 22 | Generic Requirements for a CAE/CAD/CAM System | Dr. Albert Heaney |
|----|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|

Electronics Systems Division

- |    |                                                          |                    |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 23 | Alaskan HF Test Data Analysis                            | Dr. George Bratton |
| 24 | Stage 1 Analysis of Alaskan High Frequency Radio Network | Dr. John Dalphin   |

Engineering and Services Center

- |    |                                                                                           |                      |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 25 | High Intensity Stress Wave Propagation in Partially Saturated Sand                        | Dr. Wayne Charlie    |
| 26 | Individualization of Human Tissue by the Serologic Identification of Erythrocyte Antigens | Dr. David DeHeer     |
| 27 | Estimation of Jet Fuel Contamination in Soils                                             | Dr. Deanna Durnford  |
| 28 | Cogeneration Assessment on Military Bases                                                 | Dr. Edward Greenwald |

|                                     |                                                                                                  |                              |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 29                                  | Soil Vapor Extraction of Volatile Organic Chemicals                                              | Dr. Neil Hutzler             |
| 30                                  | A Preliminary Investigation of Neural Networks for the Air Force Engineering and Services Center | Dr. William Mounfield        |
| 31                                  | Rapid Measurements of Adsorption and Desorption of Volatile Organic Compounds                    | Dr. Richard Myers            |
| 32                                  | Prefabricated Hypar Structural System Cost Comparison with Box and Arch Structures               | Dr. Ramalingam Radhakrishnan |
| Volume II                           |                                                                                                  |                              |
| Frank J. Seiler Research Laboratory |                                                                                                  |                              |
| 33                                  | Thermal Decomposition Kinetic Studies of NTO by High Performance Liquid Chromatography           | Dr. Dan Bruss                |
| 34                                  | Preparation and Properties of Nitronium Tetrachloroaluminate                                     | Dr. Charles Bump             |
| 35                                  | Homogeneous Ziegler-Natta Catalysis in Lewis Acid Molten Salts                                   | Dr. Richard Carlin           |
| 36                                  | A MCSCF Study of the Rearrangement of Nitromethane to Methyl Nitrite                             | Dr. Michael McKee            |
| 37                                  | The Effects of Sodium Chloride on Room Temperature Molten Salts                                  | Dr. Tammy Melton             |
| 38                                  | AB Initio and Chemical Dynamics Study of Energetic Materials                                     | Dr. Patricia Plummer         |
| 39                                  | Separators for Molten Salt Batteries                                                             | Dr. James Schmutz            |
| Geophysics Laboratory               |                                                                                                  |                              |
| 40                                  | Radiative Association In Ion-Molecule Reactions: Reactions of Some Carbon Cations                | Dr. Lucia Babcock            |
| 41                                  | Upward Continuation of Gravity Data With Error Estimates                                         | Dr. Stephen Baker            |
| 42                                  | Impulse Approximation Formalism for Atom Molecule Collisions                                     | Dr. Pradip Bakshi            |
| 43                                  | Total Dose Effect on Soft Error Rate for Dynamic MOS Memory Cells                                | Dr. Reuben Benumof           |

|                             |                                                                                                                               |                         |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 44                          | Digital Photometric Calibration of<br>and Analysis with Video Imagers in<br>the Ultraviolet                                   | Dr. Donald Collins      |
| 45                          | A Model for Intensified Frontogenesis<br>Over a Modified Mountain Ridge                                                       | Dr. Michael Frantz      |
| 46                          | Gamma and X Radiation from Solar<br>Flares                                                                                    | Dr. Christopher Godfrey |
| 47                          | Theoretical and Observational Studies<br>of Geomagnetic Storm-Related Ion<br>and Electron Heating in the<br>Subauroral Region | Dr. Janet Kozyra        |
| 48                          | Update of the Hitran Database                                                                                                 | Dr. Irving Lipschitz    |
| 49                          | Spectral Domain Decomposition                                                                                                 | Dr. John McHugh         |
| 50                          | On the Possible Inclusion of "Heavy"<br>Molecules in the HITRAN Database                                                      | Dr. Himanshu Navangul   |
| 51                          | Software Tools for Processing<br>Large Lidar Data Streams                                                                     | Dr. Martin Patt         |
| Rome Air Development Center |                                                                                                                               |                         |
| 52                          | Noise Calculations in a RADAR<br>Receiver                                                                                     | Dr. Beryl Barber        |
| 53                          | Stability of Au/W/GaAs and<br>Au/Pt/Ti/GaAs Schottky Barrier<br>Height: A Preliminary Study                                   | Dr. Keith Christianson  |
| 54                          | Parallel Runtime System For Lucid                                                                                             | Dr. Darin DeForest      |
| 55                          | Pre-Sort Processor Phase Distortion<br>Evaluation                                                                             | Dr. Paul Dingman        |
| 56                          | A PROLOG Natural Language Front End<br>to an ERIC Object Oriented Database                                                    | Dr. Hugh Donaghy        |
| 57                          | No Report Submitted at this Time                                                                                              | Dr. Oleg Jakubowicz     |
| 58                          | Design of an Optical Correlator<br>Testbed and Optical Co-Processor                                                           | Dr. Samuel Kozaitis     |
| 59                          | Characteristics of Dialog in a Noisy<br>Channel for Performing a Time-<br>Oriented Task                                       | Dr. David Townsend      |
| 60                          | The Effects of Nonlinearities of High<br>Speed Analog-to-Digital Converters<br>on Digital Beamforming Arrays                  | Dr. Donald Ucci         |

|                                            |                                                                                                    |                       |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 61                                         | Studies in Microwave Superconductors                                                               | Dr. Peter Walsh       |
| 62                                         | Chemical Vapor Deposition of Titanium Compounds with an Atomic Layer Epitaxy System                | Dr. Kenneth Walter    |
| 63                                         | Surface Effects on the High Temperature Superconducting YBaCuO Thin Films grown by RF Sputtering   | Dr. Gwo-Ching Wang    |
| Weapons Laboratory                         |                                                                                                    |                       |
| 64                                         | Realization of Sublayer Relative Shielding Order in Electromagnetic Topology                       | Dr. Lane Clark        |
| 65                                         | Diode Laser Probe of Vibrational Energy Transfer Kinetics in Sulfur Monoxide                       | Dr. David Dolson      |
| 66                                         | Evaluating How Laser Irradiation Damages Loaded Composite Materials                                | Dr. William Jordan    |
| 67                                         | Relativistic Effects in GPS Time Transfer                                                          | Dr. Arkady Kheyfets   |
| 68                                         | Stopping Power and Penetration Physics                                                             | Dr. Leonard Porter    |
| 69                                         | Performance Models for Parallel Algorithms                                                         | Dr. Michael Rice      |
| Volume III                                 |                                                                                                    |                       |
| Air Force Wright Aeronautical Laboratories |                                                                                                    |                       |
| Aero Propulsion Laboratory                 |                                                                                                    |                       |
| 70                                         | Computation of the Flow Field and Heat Transfer in a Rectangular Passage with a Turbulator         | Dr. Bryan Becker      |
| 71                                         | Use of Laser Light Visualization Techniques on Studies of Film Cooling Flow And Flow Over Cavities | Dr. Mingking Chyu     |
| 72                                         | Experimental Study of Electronic Excitation of Xenon by Electron Impact                            | Dr. Jerry Clark       |
| 73                                         | Cars Thermometry in Droplet-Laden Flows                                                            | Dr. Derek Dunn-Rankin |

- |    |                                                                                                                  |                      |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 74 | Measurement of the Velocity Field<br>and Heat Transfer Coefficients<br>Associated with a Rectangular<br>Wall Jet | Dr. Wayne Eckerle    |
| 75 | Lifted Jet Diffusion Flames                                                                                      | Dr. David Mikolaitis |
| 76 | Interpretation of a Lifted Turbulent<br>Diffusion Flame as a Problem in<br>Stratified Combustion                 | Dr. Douglas Talley   |
| 77 | Diffusion and Convection in the<br>Condenser of a Gas-Loaded Heat<br>Pipe                                        | Dr. Juin Yu          |

#### Avionics Laboratory

- |    |                                                                                                                                                         |                            |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 78 | Ada Compiler Evaluation Capability                                                                                                                      | Dr. Mike Burlakoff         |
| 79 | A Study of Sky Backgrounds and Sub-<br>Visual Cirrus at the Megalidar Site<br>and a Proposed Turbulence Monitoring<br>Facility for Wright-Patterson AFB | Dr. Gerald Grams           |
| 80 | Formal Verification of VHDL<br>Specifications                                                                                                           | Dr. David Hemmendinger     |
| 81 | Low Voltage Broadband Beam Steering<br>Devices Using Liquid Crystals                                                                                    | Dr. Mohammad Karim         |
| 82 | Model-based Target Recognition<br>Using Laser Radar Imagery                                                                                             | Dr. Robert Li              |
| 83 | Signal Processing for ESM Receivers                                                                                                                     | Dr. Periasamy Rajan        |
| 84 | Neural Networks and their Applica-<br>tions in Digital Receiver Design                                                                                  | Dr. Panapakkam Ramamoorthy |
| 85 | Applications of Evolutionary<br>Learning Strategies to Pattern<br>Recognition Tasks                                                                     | Dr. Mateen Rizki           |

#### Flight Dynamics Laboratory

- |    |                                                                                                                      |                  |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 86 | Heat Flux Prediction for Nucleate<br>Boiling in Liquid Metal Heat Pipes                                              | Dr. Larry Byrd   |
| 87 | Reliability Study of Nonlinear<br>Structural Response under Reversible<br>Cyclic Loading Processes                   | Dr. Karen Chou   |
| 88 | Survey and Assessment of Validation<br>Data Base for Shockwave Boundary<br>Layer Interaction in Supersonic<br>Inlets | Dr. Awatef Hamed |

|                      |                                                                                                    |                     |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 89                   | Failures of F-16 Transparencies<br>Analysis and Failure Prevention<br>Recommendations              | Dr. Yulian Kin      |
| 90                   | Visual Capabilities on a Robot<br>Aided Aircraft Refueler Prototype                                | Mr. Augustus Morris |
| 91                   | Reaction Kinetic of Halon 1301<br>Suppression of Fire Explosion in<br>an Aircraft Fuel Tank        | Dr. Nanda Mukherjee |
| 92                   | Development of an Aircraft Tire-<br>Wheel Interface Model for<br>Flange/Beadseat Contact Loads     | Dr. James Sherwood  |
| 93                   | Robust Eigenstructure Assignment<br>for Flight Control Design                                      | Dr. Kenneth Sobel   |
| 94                   | A Computer Model for Air-to-Air<br>Combat (Force on Force) Assessment                              | Dr. Patrick Sweeney |
| 95                   | Damage in Graphite/Epoxy Plates<br>Subjected to Low Velocity Impact                                | Dr. William Wolfe   |
| Materials Laboratory |                                                                                                    |                     |
| 96                   | Analysis Methods for Nonlinear<br>Mechanical Behavior of Glassy<br>Polymers                        | Dr. Robert Arenz    |
| 97                   | Laser Hardened Materials Via<br>Magnetically Aligned Polypeptide-<br>Phthalocyanines               | Dr. Gene Carlisle   |
| 98                   | Joining of Carbon-Carbon Composite<br>Materials                                                    | Dr. Parviz Dadras   |
| 99                   | Rapid Simulation for Experimental<br>Validation of H Section Forging<br>Using Finisher Punch       | Dr. Suren Dwivedi   |
| 100                  | QPA Control of the End Milling<br>Process                                                          | Dr. Barry Fussell   |
| 101                  | Single-Crystal Diffraction Analysis<br>of Compounds with Potential<br>Nonlinear Optical Properties | Dr. David Grossie   |
| 102                  | Effect of Various Metals on the<br>Thermal Degradation of a<br>Chlorotrifluorethylene Based Fluid  | Dr. Vijay Gupta     |

- |     |                                                                                                                         |                    |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 103 | Characterization of Heat Transfer and Reaction in the Autoclave Curing of Graphite/Epoxy Composites by Scaling Analysis | Dr. L. James Lee   |
| 104 | A Study of the Melting of the Plagioclase Feldspars in a Microwave Field                                                | Dr. Thomas Meek    |
| 105 | Reaction Zone Characteristics of Titanium Aluminide Composites                                                          | Dr. Carolyn Meyers |
| 106 | Photoreflectance Measurements of Unintentional Impurities in Undoped Gallium Arsinide                                   | Dr. Michael Sydor  |
| 107 | The Synthesis of 2-Formyl Pyridoimidazoles                                                                              | Dr. Richard Valpey |

#### Volume IV

##### Human Systems Division Laboratories

##### Harry G. Armstrong Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory

- |     |                                                                                            |                       |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 108 | Ceramic Composites for Studying Bone Ingrowth and Remodeling                               | Dr. Praphulla Bajpai  |
| 109 | In Vitro Cytotoxic Effects of Perfluorodecanoic Acid on Human Peripheral Blood Lymphocytes | Dr. Shankar Bale      |
| 110 | Auditory Modeling                                                                          | Dr. Charles Covington |
| 111 | Cognitive Demands of Tracking Strategies as Assessed by the Optimum-Maximum Procedure      | Dr. Barry Goettl      |
| 112 | Evaluation of an Extraction Procedure for the Analysis of Serum Steroids                   | Dr. Robert Masingale  |
| 113 | Performance in a Visual Monitoring Task with Serial and Simultaneous Display Formats       | Dr. David Payne       |
| 114 | A Nonlinear Lumped Parameter Model for the Seated Humans                                   | Dr. Joseph Saliba     |
| 115 | In Vitro Modeling of Perfluoro-N-Decanoate Effects on Enzymes of Fatty Acid Metabolism     | Dr. Sanford Singer    |
| 116 | Perfluorodecanoic Acid Efflux from Cultured Primary Rat Hepatocytes                        | Dr. Andrew Whipple    |

|                            |                                                                                                                                 |                      |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 117                        | Determination of Perfluoro-N-Decanoic Acid Toxicity in Vitro and in Vivo Via Two-Dimensional Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis | Dr. Frank Witzmann   |
| Human Resources Laboratory |                                                                                                                                 |                      |
| 118                        | Hypertext and Intelligent Interfaces for Text Retrieval                                                                         | Dr. Patricia Carlson |
| 119                        | Linking Training Evaluation to Training Needs Assessment: Development of a Conceptual Model                                     | Dr. J. Kevin Ford    |
| 120                        | A Concept for an Intelligent Tool to Facilitate the Development of Qualitative Process Models in Novice Programmers             | Dr. Hugh Garraway    |
| 121                        | A Tool for Studying the Effect of Range Restriction on Correlation Coefficient Estimation                                       | Dr. Douglas Jackson  |
| 122                        | Evaluation of a Methodology for Estimating Cross-AFS Transferability of Skills                                                  | Dr. Charles Lance    |
| 123                        | An Expert System Approach for Reliability Data Analysis                                                                         | Dr. Thomas Landers   |
| 124                        | No Report Submitted at this Time                                                                                                | Dr. Douglas Mandra   |
| 125                        | Graphical Programming of Simulation Models in an Object-Oriented Environment                                                    | Dr. Mufit Ozden      |
| 126                        | A Study of Interaction Between Job Properties and Personal Characteristics in the New PACE System                               | Dr. Dharam Rana      |
| 127                        | An Intelligent Tutor for the IBM System/360 Assembly Language: BIGBLUE                                                          | Dr. Sunita Rana      |
| 128                        | Preliminary Design Considerations for an Advanced Instructional Design Advisor                                                  | Dr. Jonathan Spector |
| 129                        | Effectiveness of Contract Monitors In An Air Force Human Resources Laboratory: Prediction and Measurement                       | Dr. Lawrence Witt    |

|                                                  |                                                                                                                                                         |                       |
|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 130                                              | Computer Support of Creativity in Unified Life Cycle Engineering                                                                                        | Dr. Lawrence Young    |
| 131                                              | The Relationship Between Inspection Time and Intelligence                                                                                               | Dr. Robert Young      |
| Occupational and Environmental Health Laboratory |                                                                                                                                                         |                       |
| 132                                              | Solvent Extraction of Boron From Wastewater                                                                                                             | Dr. Steven Chiesa     |
| 133                                              | Extention of the Detection Limits of Arsenic and Selenium in Solid Samples by ICP/AES Utilizing Preconcentration Techniques                             | Dr. Randolph Huff     |
| 134                                              | Determination of Asbestos Fibers in Environmental Samples Using Scanning Electron Microscopy and Energy Dispersive X-ray Analyses (SEM-EDXA)            | Dr. Larry Sherman     |
| 135                                              | Analysis of Contaminated Ground Water Using Kriging Methods                                                                                             | Dr. Gary Stevens      |
| School of Aerospace Medicine                     |                                                                                                                                                         |                       |
| 136                                              | Blood Flow Distribution In The Non-Working Forearm During Exercise                                                                                      | Dr. Ronald Bulbulian  |
| 137                                              | Photophysics and Photochemistry of Transition Metal Complexes of 8-Quinolinamine Schiff Bases                                                           | Dr. John Burke        |
| 138                                              | Immunocytochemical Localization of Vasoactive Intestinal Peptide, Neuropeptide Y and Arginine Vasopressin within the Supra-chiasmatic Nuclei of the Rat | Dr. Bennye Henderson  |
| 139                                              | Development of Improved Assays for Cholesterol and Major Lipoprotein Fractions                                                                          | Dr. Eric Johnson      |
| 140                                              | Plasma Catecholamine Assays by High Performance Liquid Chromatography                                                                                   | Dr. Daisy Kimble      |
| 141                                              | Application of Nonlinear Filters to VEP Data                                                                                                            | Dr. Harold Longbotham |
| 142                                              | Extensions of Several Difference Score Approaches for the Analysis of Time Ordered Repeated Measures                                                    | Dr. David Ludwig      |

|                             |                                                                                                               |                     |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 143                         | Spin Label Studies of Oxygen in Biological Systems                                                            | Dr. William Plachy  |
| 144                         | The Stinging Wasps (Hymenopter: Vespidae) of South Texas                                                      | Dr. Hal Reed        |
| 145                         | Modeling of Blood Flow in the Systemic Human Arterial Tree                                                    | Dr. Thomas Rogge    |
| 146                         | The Separation of HDL2 and HDL3 Using the Technique of Ultra-centrifugation                                   | Dr. Joe Ross        |
| 147                         | An Experimental Design to Demonstrate the Dispersion Effects of Salt Water on OPTICAL PULSES                  | Dr. Dhiraj Sardar   |
| 148                         | Literature Search on Nutrition and the Relation to Tactical Air Command Pilots, G-Tolerance and Energy Output | Dr. Sonia Sawtelle  |
| 149                         | A Small Inert-Gas Generator                                                                                   | Dr. Paul Scheie     |
| 150                         | High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) Determination of High Energy Phosphate Pool                     | Dr. Sharon Williams |
| 151                         | Chemiluminescent Probes Based on Luminol and Luminol Derivatives                                              | Dr. John Wright     |
| Wilford Hall Medical Center |                                                                                                               |                     |
| 152                         | PC - Mainframe Interface for Data Entry                                                                       | Dr. David Cecil     |
| 153                         | Oral Health                                                                                                   | Dr. Jorge Sintes    |

1982s

APPENDIX III B

ABSTRACTS

ABSTRACTS  
ARMAMENT LABORATORY

Measuring Systems' Effectiveness and Systems' Availability  
of Hardened Targets Subject to a Variety of Weapons

By  
Ibrahim A. Ahmad

ABSTRACT

This report is a trial to conceptualize two aspects of systems effectiveness and systems availability when these systems are embodied in hardened targets that are subject to a variety of weapons. The emphasis is on statistical analysis of the developed concepts and particularly as on estimation of systems availability and systems effectiveness under a variety of different data schemes.

Several computer programs are currently in use that attempt to evaluate systems effectiveness but suffer from some limitations in the scope of systems that they apply to. Also, they are simple simulations and may require very complex procedures.

This report is in two parts dealing with the effectiveness and availability of systems respectively. The intention is to lay the foundation for a coherent theory of systems effectiveness and availability that is applicable to hardened target and to bring its statistical inference to reality.

# Model Drawing Algorithms for a Matching Problem

by

Dr. Stephen J. Dow

## ABSTRACT

A matching problem for obtaining motion parameters requires rapid generation of a 2D graphics image of a 3D model undergoing a 6 degree of freedom motion and perspective projection. The nontrivial part of the 3D model is a solid of revolution modeled as a series of truncated cones on a common axis, from which a silhouette must be extracted. Methods using a boundary tracking algorithm or morphological operators to extract the silhouette from a binary digital line drawn images are described. Then an algebraic approach is presented using homogeneous coordinates to reduce the motion and perspective projection to an invertible projective transformation followed by a simple orthographic projection. This made it possible to derive equations of the boundary curves forming the silhouette.

Two Dimensional Simulation of  
Railgun Plasma Armatures

by

Manuel A. Huerta

ABSTRACT

We report on our development of a two dimensional MHD code to simulate the internal dynamics of a railgun plasma armature. We use the equations of resistive MHD, with Ohmic heating, and radiation heat transport. We use an explicit Flux Corrected Transport code to advance all quantities in time. Preliminary runs show the growth and shedding of plasma structures in response to a small perturbation upon an initial equilibrium. We completed a run of an isothermal plasma armature that reached the end of a 1 m barrel. We have done many debugging runs of a full radiation heat transport model. At this point we are completing the revised code for this model. We expect to run it in a Cray-2 in the near future.

## MODELING REACTIVE FRAGMENT WARHEADS

by

Anastas Lazaridis

### ABSTRACT

The interaction of a reactive fragment with its target is a complicated process in which several physical phenomena occur simultaneously. In general, impact and penetration through the skin of the target are associated with shock waves that produce spall and vaporific effects. The latter result from chemical reactions and secondary combustion in and around an expanding turbulent jet. The effectiveness of the reactive fragment is commensurate with the target materials it encounters, the geometry after impact, particle size, velocity, and temperature of spall, environmental pressure and temperature, dispersion and mixing characteristics of the reactants, and reaction kinetics. For modeling purposes, all targets are grouped in two classes. The first consists of several layers of solid materials, such as plates of metals or organic composites, while the second is a fluid confined behind one or two layers of solid materials.

This work presents an evaluation of the literature obtained from a computer search and in-house sources, identifies gaps in the available information, defines areas of further research, and makes recommendations for future experimental and theoretical work.

Target-Aerosol Discrimination Techniques  
for Active Optical Proximity Sensors

by

Kwang S. Min

ABSTRACT

Development of all-weather Active Optical Proximity Sensors have been of vital interests to USAF for the applications in armament systems. False signals caused by cloud, fog, and snow interfere with proper response of the sensors, and efforts to discriminate the target signals from those of aerosol have been in progress for nearly two decades [1-18].

Upon examination of recent efforts by the Air Force [5-10] and by the Army [11-15], developments of three new methods for the aerosol discrimination were initiated. They utilize signal processing techniques to separate the Ladar returns. Their preliminary simulation results are encouraging. In the process, a new aerosol model has been introduced.

Some considerations for the realistic system implementation of these methods are given, and possible uses of parallel computing architecture, optical signal processing, and ASIC technology are discussed. Prospective utilizations of signal processing on artificial neural nets and Kalman or adaptive filtering are also included.

# The Dynamics of Projectile Impact

by

Joseph J. Molitoris

## ABSTRACT

The physics of impact and penetration is relevant to both the development of armor and armor penetrators. This dynamic process was studied through the use and development of one-dimensional physics models and a two-dimensional hydrodynamic code. The appropriateness of the physics assumptions in these models was verified by comparison with both final state and time resolved data.

# ARIMA MODELING OF RESIDUALS IN AD/KR TDOP MODELS

by

Shawky E. Shamma and Anne L. Siegman

## ABSTRACT

The measurement residuals generated by AD/KR Test Data Optimal Processor (TDOP) for extracting optimal vehicle trajectory depend on the error model used in the software for the measurement processing. These residuals are found often to be correlated, not white noise as assumed by TDOP. A set of software and algorithms for time series analysis which makes use of ARIMA (autoregressive integrated moving average) has been used to analyze some of the measurement residuals obtained from TDOP output and obtain the ARIMA model coefficients that fit the measurement residuals and that can be used in turn to correct the raw measurements for a rerun of TDOP software or in a "renovation" processing of TDOP.

The advantage of this approach is that one can achieve an improved accuracy in applying TDOP in tracking, weapon scoring, and system accuracy evaluations.

# STRESS ANALYSIS FOR A FIN STABILIZED PROJECTILE

Wafa Yazigi

## ABSTRACT

A stress analysis was done on a projectile where the stresses at the critical sections in the structure were evaluated and compared to the maximum allowable stresses that the structure can withhold before any deformation occurs. As a result structural failure criteria were established which in turn would be a basis for the design of the projectile. Similar analysis was also done for the sabot.

ABSTRACTS  
ARNOLD ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER

## ABSTRACT

### Infrared Charge Transfer Device Characterization

by

Eustace L. Dereniak

This report summarizes the test methodology necessary to characterize an advanced infrared focal plane array. These arrays were characterized in a low background flux in order to measure their read noise, noise equivalent input (NEI), responsivity, dynamic range, and spatial crosstalk. The focal planes had arsenic-doped silicon detectors in a hybrid-charge transfer readout and were cryogenically cooled by liquid helium.

## LIQUID FILM COOLING IN ROCKET ENGINES

by  
William M. Grissom

### ABSTRACT

A simple analysis based upon proven heat transfer correlations can be used to accurately calculate the liquid evaporation rate and vapor mixing in liquid film-cooled rocket engine combustion chambers. The vapor transpired from the liquid film greatly decreases the normal convective heat transfer rate so that radiation becomes significant. The radiative heat flux is absorbed at the walls and transmitted to the liquid film by boiling heat transfer. Under certain conditions the radiative heat flux can cause burnout of the liquid film. If too high of a coolant flow rate is used, large waves form on the liquid film and droplets are mechanically sheared off of the liquid film without evaporation, making ineffective use of the liquid for cooling. The gaseous mixing of the vapor downstream of the liquid film can be analyzed by using existing integral correlations in a differential form to account for free-stream acceleration in the throat. The analysis provides excellent correlation of the existing experimental data and fair comparison in an absolute sense.

DIFFUSER FAILURE INVESTIGATION/  
NONINTERFERENCE STRESS MEASUREMENT SYSTEM ALGORITHMS STUDY

by  
Darrell E.P. Hoy

ABSTRACT

Investigation of repeated cracking occurring in turbine engine diffusers was undertaken. Past histories of diffusers used in jet engine test cells at AEDC were examined and evaluated. The most likely critical load parameters in the cracking process were found to be: geometric stress concentration effects, residual stress effects, acoustic loads, and vibrational response. An investigative plan was formulated to quantify these parameters and to generate an improved diffuser model.

Investigation of the algorithms used in the Noninterference Stress Measurement System for determining turbine-blade vibratory deflections and stresses was also made. Examination of the techniques used for measurement of integral-order vibrations (4 sensor technique and SDOF technique) led to the proposal of a "combined" technique for measuring integral-order resonant vibrations. Advantages of the combined technique include multiple determination of resonant amplitudes and frequencies using only off-resonance measurements, elimination of the need to establish "DC trends" in the data. The deflection-to-stress conversion procedures were also evaluated and found to be appropriate. A summary of the requirements for interfacing with the finite element model was written.

SOLID ROCKET MOTOR PLUME ANALYSIS  
THROUGH EMISSION COMPUTERIZED TOMOGRAPHY

By

Manjit S. Jawa

ABSTRACT

ABEL Inversion Techniques are used to reconstruct the axial symmetric distribution of light emitting gases and particles in the plumes of solid rocket motors. The analysis of the plumes with asymmetrical distribution of the gases and particles is more complex. Emission computerized tomography will be further studied and researched to determine its effectiveness in reconstructing asymmetrical distributions.

SKIN FRICTION MEASUREMENT  
USING  
SURFACE MOUNTED HOT FILMS

by

A. D. Vakili

Abstract

Skin friction measurements using surface mounted hot film probes have been successfully made by many authors. In order to apply this measurement technique to high enthalpy high Mach number facilities, a probe capable of withstanding such test environments has been developed at AEDC by Mr. W. Strike and Mr. Joe Donaldson for prediction of skin friction forces on models in flow regimes noted. Measurement results, however, indicated significant levels of scatter among the data obtained as well as a lack of self-similar calibration behavior.

As a result of this summer's program, these discrepancies have been mainly explained and recommendations made to modify the probe and remedy the problem.

ABSTRACTS  
ASTRONAUTICS LABORATORY

## Study of Embedded Sensors in Graphite-Epoxy Composites

by

Clarence A. Calder

and

Gregory J. Price

### ABSTRACT

The winding or layup fabrication process for composites lends itself to the development of "smart" structures which could sense load, vibration, or material degradation conditions using embedded sensors. Such embedded sensors would provide continuous information on the static and dynamic strain or displacement state of the structure (health monitoring) while being protected from the environment and severe surface conditions through the embedment.

In this study various strain and stress sensors were embedded in graphite-epoxy composite specimens to evaluate their potential for application in real structures. Conventional strain gages, strain wire, piezoelectric crystals, and the graphite tow itself were considered. Other possibilities such as various optical and acoustic techniques were beyond the scope of the project. The sensors were embedded in twelve-laminate panels which were cut into specimens suitable for cantilever loading and vibration tests.

While some difficulties were encountered with the delicate leads shorting out or breaking during the fabrication process, the strain gage, strain wire, and piezoelectric crystal all showed promise for use in "smart" structure applications. The graphite tow application presented several difficulties and was judged to require longer term development.

# CORE POLARIZATION IN LITHIUM AND ALUMINUM

by

Phillip A. Christiansen

## ABSTRACT

Core-valence correlation potentials were computed for Li and Al using two and ten-electron core wavefunctions respectively. The potentials included dipole, quadrupole and higher order corrections within the constraints of the respective (s p d) and (s p d f) basis sets. With core polarization included, relativistic quantum Monte Carlo simulations were used to compute the first three Al ionization potentials which were found to be within 0.03 eV of experimental values. Modifications to include core polarization corrections in the MESA electronic structure codes used at AFAL were begun.

THE PHOTOCHEMISTRY OF  $\mu_3$ -( $\eta$ -DIETHYLACETYLENE)-DECACARBONYLTRIOSMIUM  
IN SOLID ARGON

by

Susan Collins and Will Moran

ABSTRACT

The photochemistry of  $\mu_3$ -( $\eta$ -diethylacetylene)-decacarbonyltriosmium (compound I) was studied by FTIR at 10K in argon matrices. Upon irradiation at 470 nm, compound I readily converted to a nonacarbonyl intermediate (compound II), accompanied by the elimination of carbon monoxide. The isomer of compound II, (compound III), is obtained at higher temperatures (>300 K) (1). This isomer is obtained when the methylene-hydrogen migrates along the molecule to form an Os-H-Os hydride bond. Compound III was not produced upon warming to 50 K. However, a 10 K matrix of this was prepared from a stable, room temperature sample. Compound III was found to form a new photoproduct (compound IV) at 10 K which has a spectrum similar to compound I. The photochromic properties and IR spectra of compounds I, II, and III are discussed. A structure for compound IV is postulated.

## Composite-Embedded Fiber-Optic Strain Sensors

by

David W. Jensen, Ph.D.

and

Michael J. Koharchik

### ABSTRACT

An experimental investigation was conducted on advanced composite structures with embedded fiber-optic strain sensors for smart structures applications. The fabrication procedure for embedding the sensors into advanced composite components was refined and the effects of the fiber optic inclusion on the tensile properties of composite laminates were examined. Difficulties encountered during fabrication involved maintaining the integrity and alignment of the optical fibers and protecting them during the filament winding and curing processes. Several 1.5 inch diameter composite tubes with embedded fiber-optic sensors were successfully fabricated. Preliminary results obtained from three independent optical systems, including an RF interferometer (NASA Langley Research Center), a Mach-Zehnder interferometer (Penn State University), and an optical time-domain reflectometer (G2 Corporation) indicate that further research is warranted, particularly in the area of interferometry. Additionally, two flat graphite/epoxy plates were filament wound and cut into one-inch wide specimens. Ten of these 22 specimens contained three embedded optical fibers each. Tensile tests conducted on these specimens indicated no discernible degradation in stiffness due to the embedded fiber-optic sensors.

Observer Design for the AFAL Grid Structure  
Using Low-frequency Accelerometer Data

by

Mark A. Norris

ABSTRACT

Analytical and experimental results demonstrate the low-frequency response of accelerometers in a 1-G environment. It is concluded that for low-frequency response measurements, the dynamic effect of gravity on the accelerometer response cannot be ignored. The effect is demonstrated experimentally for pendulum motion and elastic vibration of the AFAL two-dimensional Grid structure. The results of analysis and experiment show that accelerometer nodal locations exist and predominantly occur in the lower modes of vibration. Furthermore, an observer is designed to account for the accelerometer nodal locations and the dynamic effect of gravity on the accelerometer measurements.

PHOTOCHEMISTRY OF AZULENE SOLUTIONS AND  
A NOVEL PHOTOCHEMICAL NITRATION PROCESS

by

Jodye I. Selco

ABSTRACT

The solution phase photochemistry of azulene in various solvents was investigated using a variety of light sources. For the case of low power light sources, this process involves two photons of different colors and proceeds through one of the triplet states of azulene. It does not appear to be the triplet state itself that is involved in the photochemical process since they are not long lived enough to explain the secondary photon absorption. It is probably an optical isomer formed from the accessed triplet state that is involved in the chemical reaction following absorption of the secondary photon. The photochemical products formed include various products resulting from the reaction of azulene with a solvent molecule.

A novel photochemical nitration process has been investigated. This reaction involves the photodissociation of nitromethane and the subsequent attack on the solute molecule by the NO<sub>2</sub> radical formed during the photodissociation process. The solute used in this study was cyclohexane. Both nitrocyclohexane and dinitrocyclohexane were formed as a result of this novel photochemical process.

# INJECTION SYSTEM AND SPRAY CHARACTERISTICS

BY

RAMESHWAR P. SHARMA

## ABSTRACT

The atomization process in combustion applications has hundreds of publications and various theories related to liquid atomization as it applies to liquid rocket engines. A detailed literature search was conducted on atomization, spray characteristics, discharge coefficients, and various measurement techniques (for droplet velocity and size measurement). The published data are mostly empirical and only qualitatively understood with very little utility. The area of injector hydraulics is not very well understood. Very little or almost no data on atomization and mixing at high pressures are available with any reliability. This injector system and spray characteristics test project will help in establishing quantitative injector design criteria which will show the impact of injector design changes on  $C^*$  performance, delta pressures, and thrust chamber compatibility. This will also establish the cavitation limits and separation criteria. Most of the measurement techniques and test fixture design issues have been resolved. Many issues can only be resolved during the test setup calibration. Development of a mathematical model of this injector cold flow test setup is recommended from a future research and development point of view (for injector design, combustion, stability phenomenon and system performance) for rocket engines.

## Chemical Kinetic Mechanisms for CH<sub>4</sub>/NO<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> Flames

by

Trilochan Singh

### ABSTRACT

The composition (37 species) profiles for a one-dimensional pre-mixed flame having CH<sub>4</sub>/NO<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> ratio of .24/.56/.20 have been calculated. A chemical kinetic mechanism consisting of 160 reactions has been used for modelling the chemistry. The computed results and the experimental data of Branch et al. (4) show similar trends. The quantitative difference between the calculated composition profiles and the experimental composition profiles for CH<sub>4</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO, O<sub>2</sub>, CO and CO<sub>2</sub> vary by a factor of 1.2 to 1.8. The computer program works over a wide range of initial reactant composition. The reasons for the differences between the computed profiles and the experimental profiles are attributed to the uncertainties in the flow rate, chemical kinetic mechanism and the rate data for various chemical reactions.

ABSTRACTS  
EASTERN SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER

## Generic Requirements for a CAE/CAD/CAM System

Albert A. Heaney

### ABSTRACT

It is well recognized that the use of computer aided engineering, computer aided design/drafting, and computer aided manufacturing (CAE/CAD/CAM) equipment will have a significant impact on the design/manufacturing productivity of a facility particularly in the "high tech" area. For a facility that is convinced of this fact and prepared to make an investment into the purchase of such equipment, the questions that first arises is the quantity and kind of equipment to purchase. In particular, what hardware platforms, what software products, what support equipment, and what network configuration is needed? This study was aimed at developing a data base that would provide the basis for answering these questions relative to the specific CAE/CAD/CAM needs at ESMC. The study dealt with a methodology for identifying the needs of diverse groups that make up the engineering/drafting/analysis/maintenance operations at ESMC. The result of the study makes specific recommendations relative to each group that is to become part of the "network" even though some of the groups have some experience with CAD/CAM and others have no experience at all.

ABSTRACTS  
ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS DIVISION

## ALASKAN HF DATA ANALYSIS

by

George N. Bratton

### ABSTRACT

The performance of networked high frequency communication in a disturbed environment is being tested in an attempt to document the superiority of networking as opposed to point to point HF communication. Although implementation problems have dramatically delayed the collection and analysis of sufficient data on which to base valid inference, the types of data that will be available have been recognized. A software system for providing initial (Stage 1) analysis has been developed, tested, and documented for use by Air Force personnel. Review of pre-implementation simulations indicates there may be potential problems with the particular networking protocols and field comparisons of networking protocols has been recommended.

# STAGE 1 ANALYSIS OF ALASKAN HIGH FREQUENCY RADIO NETWORK

by

John F. Dalphin

## ABSTRACT

Voice and data communications in the military currently rely primarily on microwave, satellite relay and other high technology mechanisms. These media, however, are the very ones most sensitive to EMF and other enemy intervention.

In considering other alternatives for maintaining communications in a hostile (nuclear) environment, the Air Force is testing the use of a high frequency radio network. This testing is taking place in Alaska where the aurora borealis produces deionization effects similar to those anticipated in a nuclear environment.

In the experiment high frequency radios located at Air Force sites function in a store-and-forward network structure. Each radio is controlled by a Zenith 150 computer which queues messages, selects transmission targets based on message traffic and dynamic link quality analysis (frequency selection), and maintains a history of its operation. Fixed length digital messages are transmitted and relayed; routing information, delay times and character error counts are captured in the data.

Statistical analysis of reduced data is performed and a graphical representation of the results is produced for briefing purposes.

ABSTRACTS  
ENGINEERING AND SERVICES CENTER

High Intensity Stress Wave  
Propagation in Partially Saturated Sand

by

Wayne A. Charlie, Ph.D., P.E.  
and  
Steven J. Pierce

Abstract

We conducted high amplitude, Split Hopkinson Pressure Bar (SHPB) laboratory tests on specimens of 20-30 Ottawa and Eglin sands to evaluate the influence of saturation and capillary pressure on compressional wave velocity, stress transmission and damping. All specimens were compacted to a constant dry density and then subjected to a constant input stress. For specimens compacted at various water contents, both the wave speed and the transmitted stress ratio were found to increase as the saturation was increased from zero to approximately 30 percent and then decreased with increasing saturation. For specimens compacted dry, saturated and then desaturated utilizing the pressure-plate method, both the wave speed and the transmitted stress ratio were found to decrease with increasing saturation. Analysis of the experimental results indicates that these trends may be explained by the effect that capillary pressure has on the compaction effort required to compact the sands to a given dry density.

INDIVIDUALIZATION OF HUMAN TISSUE  
BY THE SEROLOGIC IDENTIFICATION  
OF ERYTHROCYTE ANTIGENS

by

David H. DeHeer, Ph.D.

ABSTRACT

Air Force investigators frequently are required to identify and individualize multiple tissue fragments recovered after aircraft mishaps. Serologic techniques offer the greatest possibility for individualization because they detect genetically determined antigens present in tissue. To permit the analysis of tissue, extracts of human red blood cells and skeletal muscle were prepared in 5% ammonium hydroxide and clarified by centrifugation. The erythrocyte antigens in the extracts were identified in a sensitive hemagglutination-inhibition assay performed in 96-well microtiter plates. Both direct and indirect assays were developed using commercially available antisera. A detailed procedure manual was written and investigation specialists were trained in the application and use of the serologic techniques.

## ESTIMATION OF JET FUEL CONTAMINATION IN SOILS

by

Deanna S. Durnford

### ABSTRACT

A petroleum product used for jet fuel called JP4 has been found in well bores penetrating unconfined aquifers underlying several air force bases. Because of the widespread use of JP4 and its potential for contamination of the vadose zone, an accurate method for predicting the total quantity and distribution of this fuel in the subsurface is essential.

A methodology that can be used to estimate the quantity of JP4 in soil from well bore data was developed and illustrated during this project. The basic data required was determined and laboratory column studies were used to illustrate the procedure.

# COGENERATION ASSESSMENT ON MILITARY BASES

by

DR. E. H. GREENWALL, P.E.

## ABSTRACT

The primary research consisted of developing a methodology for determining the technical and economic feasibility of establishing a cogeneration plant on a military base. The methods of analysis developed are preliminary in nature, designed to screen among the several cogeneration technologies available and to develop parameters so that an appropriate and promising technology can be recognized and identified for additional engineering study. If the situation looks promising, a final engineering analysis and design should be conducted by consulting engineers experienced in cogeneration technology.

There is a trend in government towards the privatization of services where applicable. Therefore, the methodology was developed under the assumption that the cogeneration project would be owned and operated by a private third-party. The pros and cons of this issue were developed as an secondary assignment.

# SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION OF VOLATILE ORGANIC CHEMICALS

by

Dr. Neil J. Hutzler and  
David B. McKenzie

## ABSTRACT

Laboratory experiments were conducted to measure the rate of removal of volatile organic chemicals from unsaturated porous materials by vapor extraction. Columns were packed with uniform sand and a fired, porous clay and contaminated with water containing trichloroethylene, toluene, or 1,1,1-trichloroethane. Organic-free air was drawn through the column into a gas chromatograph, which was programmed for automatic sampling.

All volatile organic chemicals were effectively removed from the column by vapor extraction. TCE and toluene exhibited similar behavior because their volatility is approximately the same. 1,1,1-TCA, which has a higher air/water partitioning coefficient, was removed at a faster rate. Toluene and TCA were more slowly removed from the porous clay because of the time required for diffusion out of the particles.

The rates of vapor extraction as determined by experiments were compared to predictions made by a mathematical model of the soil column system. While the model could predict the early removal rates of the volatile compounds quite well, it did not simulate the tailing of the compounds seen in the experiments.

A PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION OF NEURAL NETWORKS  
FOR THE AIR FORCE ENGINEERING AND SERVICES CENTER

by

W. Pratt Mounfield, Jr. Ph.D.

ABSTRACT

Adaptive Neural Systems were searched in the recent literature for algorithms to solve optimization problems that may be encountered under the Rapid Runway Repair Directive. In particular, the solutions to the Traveling Salesman Problems were sought, because of the similarity to TSP and the Minimum Operating Strip Problem. The TSP problem was simulated under a continuous systems simulation program (ACSL) for ten cities using the Hopfield and Tank solution model. The TSP is an example to a N-P complete problem and a good local minimum was found based upon random initial conditions. Areas of further research were discussed, including the application of simulated annealing and Genetic Algorithms to the TSP problem.

Rapid Measurements of Adsorption and Desorption  
of Volatile Organic Compounds

by

Richard S. Myers

ABSTRACT

A technique for the measurement of concentration changes due to the rapid adsorption of volatile organic compounds was used to determine the speed with which these compounds are sorbed on soil and aquifer materials. The technique works well for adsorbing materials with a reasonably high organic carbon content. Results indicate a rapid initial adsorption followed by a slower approach to equilibrium. Most of the adsorption occurred within ten minutes. Desorption, however, proceeded at a much slower rate.

PREFABRICATED HYPAR STRUCTURAL SYSTEM  
COST COMPARISON WITH BOX AND ARCH STRUCTURES

by

Ramalingam Radhakrishnan

ABSTRACT

The USAF is interested in the evaluation and selection of an economical shelter system that can protect equipment and personnel from conventional weapons. Details of the three types of protective structures are given. The Hypar protective structure system uses prefabricated hyperbolic paraboloid shells which could be erected in a short notice at preferred locations on a foundation slab. An equivalent rectangular box and arch (barrel vault) are conventional type structures which require cast-in-place construction. Design of structural elements, structural details, construction time, unit price of materials and labor for the three structures are presented. Cost comparison of Hypar, Box, and Arch structures and recommendations for selection are provided.

ABSTRACTS  
FRANK J. SEILER RESEARCH LABORATORY

THERMAL DECOMPOSITION KINETIC STUDIES OF NTO  
BY HIGH PERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY

by

Dan R. Bruss

Abstract

Thermal decomposition of 3-nitro-1,2,4-triazol-5-one (NTO) was monitored over a temperature interval of 508-518 K. Rate constants were obtained for the temperatures investigated by measuring unreacted NTO as a function of time as determined by high performance liquid chromatography. The decomposition patterns are indicative of an autocatalytic mechanism. The temperature dependence of the rate constants found in the interval exhibited Arrhenius behavior, yielding an activation energy of 75.5 kCal  $\pm$  9.3 kCal. Mass spectral analysis of head space gas samples taken during decomposition demonstrate carbon dioxide as a decomposition product. Preliminary UV and IR analyses of NTO suggest a shift from the more stable keto form to the enol near a pH of 7.

# Preparation and Properties of Nitronium Tetrachloroaluminate

by

Charles M. Bump

## ABSTRACT

Liquid nitryl chloride ( $\text{NO}_2\text{Cl}$ ) at  $-80^\circ$  reacts with solid aluminum chloride to produce a yellow solid. The UV-visible spectrum of this adduct is identical to that of commercial nitronium tetrafluoroborate in dry acetonitrile. Aluminum-27 magnetic resonance spectroscopy showed the presence of tetrachloroaluminate ion. The compound is tentatively labeled nitronium tetrachloroaluminate, although there is an alternate structure possible for this adduct. The adduct does not nitrate benzene or toluene in good yield using the acidic or neutral chloroaluminate melts.

## Homogeneous Ziegler-Natta Catalysis in Lewis Acid Molten Salts

by

Richard T. Carlin

### Abstract

Dissolution of the  $\text{Cp}_2\text{TiCl}_2$  catalyst and its  $\text{AlCl}_3\text{-xR}_x$  cocatalyst in the ambient-temperature molten salt  $\text{AlCl}_3\text{:MEIC}$  (MEIC = 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride) produces an active homogeneous Ziegler-Natta catalyst system. The Ti catalyst is active in acidic melts,  $\text{AlCl}_3\text{:MEIC}$  molar ratios  $> 1$ , but not in basic melts,  $\text{AlCl}_3\text{:MEIC}$  molar ratios  $< 1$ .  $\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrCl}_2$  and  $\text{Cp}_2\text{HfCl}_2$  with  $\text{AlCl}_3\text{-xR}_x$  cocatalysts are not catalytically active in acidic melts. The lack of activity of Zr and Hf complexes is attributed to their inherently stronger M-ligand bonding which precludes ethylene coordination.

NMR studies of the  $\text{Cp}_2\text{TiCl}_2$  complex in acidic melts indicate formation of a strong 1:1 complex with  $\text{AlCl}_3$  while Zr and Hf form much weaker 1:1 complexes. The weaker  $\text{AlCl}_3$  complexation by Zr and Hf is indicative of stronger M-Cl bonding in Zr and Hf versus Ti.

The study clearly demonstrates the usefulness of ambient-temperature molten salts as solvents to generate catalytically active transition metal complexes.

A MCSCF STUDY OF THE REARRANGEMENT OF NITROMETHANE TO METHYL NITRITE

Michael L. McKee, Ph. D.

ABSTRACT

Ab initio calculations, which use the 6-31G\* basis set and a multiconfigurational (MC) wavefunction, have been carried out for the unimolecular rearrangement of nitromethane to methyl nitrite. Geometry optimization of nitromethane and methyl nitrite have been carried out with a two configuration wavefunction while the unimolecular transition state was refined with a 20-configuration wavefunction which was determined by using all configurations generated by excitation from the two highest occupied orbitals into the two lowest empty orbitals (4 electron in 4 orbitals). The transition state indicates a weak interaction between a methyl radical and nitro radical. In the transition state the breaking CN bond and the forming CO bond are 3.617 and 3.700Å, respectively and there is a significant difference predicted in the NO bond lengths in the transition state (1.371/1.155Å). At the highest level of calculation (Multireference CI) the unimolecular barrier is predicted to be 57.1 kcal/mol which is 7.7 kcal/mol above the sum of CH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> radical energies.

THE EFFECTS OF SODIUM CHLORIDE  
ON ROOM TEMPERATURE MOLTEN SALTS

by

Tammy J. Melton

ABSTRACT

The effects of adding sodium chloride to room temperature molten salts containing 1-methyl-3-ethylimidazolium chloride and aluminum chloride have been examined. Sodium chloride will dissolve in basic or neutral melts, but only in very small quantities. Sodium chloride will dissolve in larger quantities in acidic melts. The limit of the solubility has been determined to be that quantity which will result in a neutral melt, that is, where mole fraction aluminum chloride is reduced to 0.5. Cyclic voltammetry indicates a neutral melt by showing no aluminum reduction (indicative of an acidic melt) and no chloride oxidation (indicative of a basic melt) except at extremely low concentrations. A sodium electrode was constructed which exhibited a rest potential of -2.05 V and maximum current at -0.85 V.

## AB INITIO AND CHEMICAL DYNAMICS STUDY OF ENERGETIC MATERIALS

by

Patricia L. Moore Plummer

### ABSTRACT

Theoretical modeling of energetic materials was undertaken using the methods of ab initio quantum mechanics and molecular or chemical dynamics. This study continued the ab initio calculations of 1-nitro-propene reactions already underway at Seiler Laboratory. Both extended basis set calculations and post Hartree-Fock calculations were carried for  $\text{NO}_2$ ,  $\text{HONO}$ ,  $\text{OH}$ ,  $\text{C}_3\text{H}_5$ , 1-nitro-propene, 1-nitroso-propene, and related compounds. Of principal interest were reactions which involved the migration of a hydrogen from the  $\text{CH}_3$  group to the  $\text{NO}_2$  group. Reaction energies were determined for the various levels of theory, in part to determine what level of calculations are needed for predicting experimental results.

In addition to the ab initio calculations, chemical dynamics codes were installed. Calculations were carried out on ethylene, both to test the code and to gain information on the importance of the double bond on energy transfer processes within a molecule. Preliminary work on the development of a force field for nitro-ethylene and 1-nitro-propene was initiated.

## SEPARATORS FOR MOLTEN SALT BATTERIES

by

James L. Schmutz

### ABSTRACT

Various polymeric films were made, and along with some commercially supplied membranes, were tested as possible separators for batteries with the room temperature molten salt electrolyte system: 1-methyl-3-ethylimidazolium chloride/aluminum chloride (the melt). The polymers tested were poly(1,1-dimethyl-3,5-dimethylenepiperidinium chloride) (PDDPCl); undoped and  $\text{ZnCl}_2$  doped polyethylene oxide (PEO); polyphosphazenes with mixed fluorinated alkoxy side groups (FPN, supplied as Eypel-F gum by Ethyl Corp.) and polyphosphazenes with primarily trifluoroethoxy side groups (TFE). Chloride selective membranes supplied by Toyo Soda Co (Tosoh USA, Inc.) were also tested. Only the polyphosphazenes are suggested for further study.

ABSTRACTS  
GEOPHYSICS LABORATORY

RADIATIVE ASSOCIATION IN ION-MOLECULE REACTIONS:  
REACTIONS OF SOME CARBON CATIONS

by

Lucia M. Babcock

ABSTRACT

For some time now we have been interested in ion-molecule association reactions, particularly in the existence of a radiative stabilization channel. In previous studies, we identified the addition of halide ions to boron trihalides as a group of ion-molecule association reactions which undergo radiative as well as collisional stabilization. These systems are, to our knowledge, the first such studies reported. Association reactions of positive ions, especially those of carbon cations, are also of great interest. Since radiative stabilization has been observed in the boron halide/negative ion reactions, and since carbon cations are isoelectronic with neutral boron species, these are excellent candidates for radiative stabilization. In our work at AFGL this summer, we have looked at the reactions of  $\text{CH}_3^+$ ,  $\text{CD}_3^+$ ,  $\text{CF}_3^+$ , and  $\text{CCl}_3^+$  with both  $\text{SO}_2$  and  $\text{NO}$  as a function of temperature (over the range 210K to 470K) and pressure (over the range 0.3 to 1.0 Torr). While the  $\text{CCl}_3^+$  ion does not react at all with either neutral,  $\text{SO}_2$  adds to the other three carbon cations and radiative stabilization may be involved. Only charge transfer reactions are observed for  $\text{NO}$  with  $\text{CH}_3^+$ ,  $\text{CD}_3^+$ , and  $\text{CF}_3^+$ .

Finally, ion velocities were measured as a function of pressure, temperature, and helium flow. While there is a monotonic dependence upon helium flow, velocities appear to be independent of pressure and only slightly dependent upon temperature.

UPWARD CONTINUATION OF GRAVITY DATA WITH ERROR ESTIMATES

ABSTRACT

An experiment performed in the past year by AFGL personnel appears to show a deviation from the Newtonian inverse square law. In this experiment, gravity measurements on the earth's surface are continued upward and are compared with gravity measurements on a TV tower. The upward continuation is not a simple exercise and has been done in several different and independent ways. It is proposed here to undertake still another approach to the upward continuation to provide a check of the earlier continuation calculations, to provide a test for the presence of discrepant data, and to obtain independent error estimates in the predicted gravity on the tower.

Impulse Approximation Formalism  
for Atom Molecule Collisions

by

Pradip M. Bakshi

ABSTRACT

The Impulse Approximation Formalism has been developed beyond the Peaking Approximation to tackle the low projectile velocity, high internal molecular energy collisions. A new representation is given for the T-matrix for the hard core potential. This Momentum Transfer Representation converges rapidly and is found to be separable for the prior and the post forms. Explicit expressions are obtained for the differential and total cross sections in terms of vector coupling coefficients. Various theoretical extensions and experimental applications are discussed.

Total Dose Effect on Soft Error Rate for Dynamic MOS Memory Cells

by

Reuben Benumof

Abstract

A simple model for the soft error rate for dynamic MOS random access memories due to normal galactic radiation was devised and then used to calculate the rate of decrease of the SEU rate with total radiation dose. The computation shows that the decrease in the soft error rate is of the order of one per cent per day if the shielding is  $0.5 \text{ g/cm}^2$  and the spacecraft is in a geosynchronous orbit. The decrease is considerably less in a polar orbiting device.

DIGITAL PHOTOMETRIC CALIBRATION OF AND ANALYSIS WITH VIDEO  
IMAGERS IN THE ULTRAVIOLET

by

Donald F. Collins

ABSTRACT

Algorithms and computer programs were developed for the following tasks: a) analyze close-up images of plumes from rocket engine test firings to determine the plume axis and the plume profile near the edge of the nozzle; b) to calibrate imaging photometers with point-like images; c) to measure the total signal in faint star images with poor signal/noise. The focal length of a supplementary telescope lens was determined which would enhance the images of a large format telescope with respect to field of view and speed. Tutorial instruction was given to AFGL personnel on the use of commercial software packages (ASYST and MATLAB) which the author has used extensively in his analysis.

A MODEL FOR INTENSIFIED FRONTOGENESIS OVER  
A MODIFIED MOUNTAIN RIDGE

by

Michael E. Frantz

ABSTRACT

A thorough review of meteorology fundamentals was conducted, followed by an analysis of current models in use for representation of frontogenesis. The intensification of frontal strength due to a particular type of mountain/plateau combination profile such as found at the eastern edge of the Rockies is considered, via the development of a model using the geostrophic momentum equations. The atmosphere is assumed to be a stratified, adiabatic, rotating fluid with the Boussinesq and hydrostatic approximations made. Scaling arguments justify use of the geostrophic momentum approximation, and diagnostic and prognostic equations for both the geostrophic and ageostrophic velocity fields are derived. Boundary conditions are established for the numerical solution of these equations. Numerical simulations are proposed for the cases of front only, mountain only, and front and mountain combined.

# Gamma and X Radiation from Solar Flares

by

Christopher Godfrey

## ABSTRACT

Solar flares are known to produce a variety of types of electromagnetic and charged particle radiation including radio, microwave, visible, x-ray, and gamma ray radiation, and energetic protons. The sites in the solar atmosphere where the x-rays and gamma rays are produced varies in a number of solar flare models. In this study the onset time of the  $E > 300$  KeV x-ray emission was compared with the time of onset of 4-7 MeV gamma ray excess. The results indicate that the  $> 300$  KeV x-rays and 4-7 MeV gamma rays are produced simultaneously in some flares, but that the onsets are separated by as much as 30 seconds or more in others.

This implies that either the x-rays and gamma rays are not generally produced by the same energetic particles, or they are not produced at the same site, or both. In many gamma ray flares, the particles which generate the x and gamma rays cannot be accelerated by a single shock wave moving continuously upward through the solar atmosphere.

Theoretical and Observational Studies of Geomagnetic Storm-Related Ion and Electron  
Heating in the Subauroral Region

by

Janet U. Kozyra

ABSTRACT

Recent theoretical work indicates that energetic oxygen ions (1 - 200 keV), a component of the earth's ring current, and suprathermal oxygen ions (< 1 keV), that accumulate in the outer plasmasphere during geomagnetic activity, may be responsible for elevated electron and ion temperatures, respectively, associated with the subauroral region as a result of Coulomb interactions with the thermal plasma. Stable auroral red arcs are a visible consequence of elevated subauroral electron temperatures. SAR arc observations extend over several solar cycles and contain information on seasonal, solar cycle and magnetic activity variations in the subauroral electron temperature peak and its energy source. Such variations in SAR arc intensity and occurrence frequency may be due to differences in the high altitude heat source or to seasonal and solar cycle changes in the atmosphere and ionosphere. Past studies of SAR arcs have not addressed the question of their solar cycle or seasonal variability and detailed modelling has been done only for solar maximum observations. The ETS/GTMS campaign, which was carried out during the 19-24 September 1984 magnetic storm, however, has now provided a complete set of data detailing atmospheric and ionospheric conditions during the same time period that three solar minimum SAR arcs were observed by the MASP chain of photometers. A parametric study was carried out, using a truncated version of an ionosphere model described in Young et al (1980) and typical ionospheric and neutral atmospheric density profiles for each season and for various stages in the solar cycle given by the IRI and MSIS-86 models, respectively. Electron temperature profiles and resulting 6300 Å emission intensity were obtained for different seasonal and solar cycle conditions holding upper boundary heat flux constant. The solar minimum SAR arc observations during the 19-24 September 1984 storm will be interpreted in light of the results of the parametric study and compared to models and observations of solar maximum SAR arcs which have appeared in the literature as work on this topic progresses. This study will provide an insight into causes of solar cycle variations in the intensity of SAR arcs and associated elevated electron temperatures. In addition to this work, a statistical study of the relationship between suprathermal oxygen ions, measured by the DMSP satellite and elevated ion temperatures, observed by the RIMS instrument on DE-1 was initiated. A number of individual storms will be examined in detail using the combined DMSP and DE-1 data sets.

# UPDATE OF THE HITRAN DATABASE

by

Irving Lipschitz

## ABSTRACT

Six gases were evaluated for the HITRAN database. Two, hydrogen sulfide ( $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ ) and formic acid ( $\text{HCOOH}$ ), were not included in previous editions of the database. For  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ , the  $\nu_1$ ,  $\nu_2$ ,  $2\nu_2$  and  $\nu_3$  bands, detailed line position, transition intensities, lower state energies, pressure broadening, molecule and isotope indices and upper and lower state vibration and rotation quantum numbers were reported. For formic acid, only lower state energies and line measurements for the  $\nu_6$  band were cited. Four gases, methyl chloride, hydrogen peroxide, hydrogen cyanide and formaldehyde are already on the database. We wanted to replace the estimated values used for certain parameters with the latest experimental data. Except for hydrogen peroxide, however, there was precious little suitable information to add to or update the previous values of the database. For these four molecules, the database appears to be correct.

# Spectral Domain Decomposition

by

John P. McHugh

## ABSTRACT

Spectral Domain decomposition was investigated for application to partial differential. A method of elimination is proposed which allows decomposition to any number of sub-domains without any special requirements on the interfacial conditions. This method allows solution on a parallel processor, where each processor operates on one domain, separate from the others. The method is applied to the one-dimensional heat equation using the Chebychev collation discretization. Accuracy of the method is discussed briefly.

On The Possible Inclusion Of " Heavy " Molecules  
In The HITRAN Database

by

Himanshoo V. Navangul, PhD

ABSTRACT

Since the early 60's work has been done at the Hanscom AFB on the compilation of various spectroscopic parameters of molecules present in the Earth's atmosphere.

Earlier, the emphasis was placed on simple molecules with relatively high atmospheric concentration. These were generally of small size such as water, carbon dioxide, ozone, methane and so on, and were also highly infrared active. Now efforts are being made to add more data on some of these molecules as well as on others that are relatively large in size and have small atmospheric concentration. These include: Ethane, Ethene(Ethylene), Ethyne(Acetylene), Propane, and others.

A thorough literature search had to be carried out to locate information relevant to the spectroscopic parameters of these molecules and then a critical examination was conducted to see which of the molecular data could be included in the future versions of the HITRAN.

# Software Tools For Processing Large LIDAR Data Streams

by

Martin A. Patz

## ABSTRACT

A careful study was performed to ascertain what kind of software tools would aid in the development of new LIDAR data analysis programs. A "toolbox" of software utilities was developed and documented.

ABSTRACTS  
ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER

Noise Calculations in a RADAR Receiver

by

Beryl L. Barber

and

Daryl W. Sprehn

ABSTRACT

The availability of low noise receiver preamps has created the need for a new look at the effective noise of radar receivers. The high cost of low noise amplifiers may not be justified without first considering the effects of other system noise sources more carefully.

The theory and approach for looking at the transmitter and antenna effects on receiver noise are presented. The overall effects of temperature, loss, and VSWR are considered.

STABILITY OF Au/W/GaAs AND Au/Pt/Ti/GaAs  
SCHOTTKY BARRIER HEIGHT: A  
PRELIMINARY STUDY

by

Keith A. Christianson

ABSTRACT

The stability of the barrier height of Au/W/GaAs and Au/Pt/Ti/GaAs Schottky barriers under long term biasing conditions has been examined. Both types were found to exhibit decreases in barrier height under long term reverse bias conditions, with the changes seen for the Au/W/GaAs diodes ( $\approx 30\text{-}50$  meV) much greater than those for the Au/Pt/Ti/GaAs diodes ( $\approx 5$  meV). The changes in barrier height were seen to have a characteristic logarithmic dependence on time. Recovery of the barrier height was seen to occur over a period of days in a zero bias condition, or in an accelerated manner under forward biasing for both sets of samples. A preliminary Auger study has correlated the presence of oxide at the interface with the barrier height shift observed, and this oxide is presumably involved in the formation/destruction of deep traps/interface states which are responsible for the change in barrier height.

## Parallel Runtime System For Lucid

by

Darin DeForest

### ABSTRACT

As parallel computers are becoming more commercially available, programmers are being forced in reorganizing their programming skills as well as developing new strategies to utilize the parallel properties. Unfortunately different parallel computer systems are not identical thus each computer system requires the use of a slightly different strategy. These subtle differences preclude porting a program from one computer system to another, an unacceptable condition since the lifetime of computer systems is approximately 5-10 years while the lifetime of software is 15-20 years. In this paper we outline a portable, parallel runtime system for a high level programming language Lucid. A Lucid program is devoid of instructions specifying sequencing, concurrency, and communication. The runtime system is designed to be generic as possible through the use of abstraction. The instantiation of the runtime system to a specific parallel architecture is done only once when the runtime system is installed by the system administrator. The runtime system manipulates the execution of code blocks which resemble light-weight tasks, independent threads of control sharing a common memory space. The code blocks were generated before execution by a Lucid compiler which have of used specific architectural properties during the generation of code blocks. The exact execution of a code block depends not only on the dynamic execution of the Lucid program, but also uses the load information from each processor.

# Pre-sort Processor Phase Distortion Evaluation

by

Paul T. Dingman

## ABSTRACT

The Pre-Sort Processor demonstrates signal excision in the frequency plane by means of an optical technique. The process interfaces with analog systems using a Bragg Cell transmitter and a photo cell receiver. A spatially disbursed frequency band is broken into two hundred sub bands that are individually removable by bragg cell diffractors. The theory behind the Pre-Sort Processor did not indicate that phase shift would be frequency dependent or increase around the edges of an excised notch.

This researcher has observed that excision does not introduce either of these types of phase distortion. However, during the process of examining the phase shift introduced by optical excision, the researcher became convinced that acousto-optic devices may introduce phase perturbations that could destroy the information content needed for many applications.

A PROLOG Natural Language Front End to  
an ERIC Object Oriented Data Base

by

H. Kevin Donaghy

ABSTRACT

CHARISMA, a natural language front end to a PROLOG relational data base, was modified to serve as a front end to an ERIC object oriented database. CHARISMA was translated from Quintus to Symbolics PROLOG. CHARISMA was then altered so that its outputs were logical forms corresponding to natural language query types. Since Symbolics PROLOG supports calls to LISP, and since ERIC code can be embedded in LISP functions, it was then possible to extend CHARISMA so that it directly queried the ERIC data base.

No Abstract Submitted At This Time

Dr. Oleg Jakubowicz

# DESIGN OF AN OPTICAL CORRELATOR TESTBED AND OPTICAL CO-PROCESSOR

by

Samuel P. Kozaitis

## ABSTRACT

An analysis and evaluation of devices and techniques for analog optical pattern recognition is presented. A software package for producing a variety of filters, and a liquid crystal television operating as a spatial light modulator are analyzed. A highly flexible correlator testbed for the testing and evaluation of a variety of devices is given. The testbed consists of three parts. The first is an input system which is capable of enhancing an input image to the correlator. The next part is a computer-driven portion which is capable of producing a variety of filters. The third section is used for the evaluation of detectors.

A digital optical co-processor is designed based on an optical programmable logic array. the system performs edge detection on an image by storing reference patterns of an algorithm of interest.

CHARACTERISTICS OF DIALOG IN A NOISY CHANNEL  
FOR PERFORMING A TIME-ORIENTED TASK

by

David J. Townsend

ABSTRACT

This paper is a preliminary report of on-going research on the system that controls the presentation of primary and secondary information in human dialog. In this research we examine dialog between people who are using recording equipment for transcribing speech signals. Experienced transcribers spoke commands for operating the recording equipment, which a second subject heard and executed. By varying the level of noise in the channel of communication from the transcriber to the second subject, we observe how humans modify messages depending on how likely misperceptions are. By varying the time constraints for transcription, we observe the properties of speech when dialog participants are under pressure to communicate quickly. The results will be used to develop a system of speech synthesis that varies the timing and form of utterances depending on the costs, risks, and payoffs of communication.

Dr. Donald Ucci &  
Mr. Robert Petroit

# ABSTRACT

## The Effects of Nonlinearities of High Speed Analog-to-Digital Converters on Digital Beamforming Arrays

Investigation of degradations to digital beamforming arrays caused by nonlinear characteristics of Analog-to-Digital Converters (ADCs) was performed. The study revealed that degradation to the array beam pattern is minimal when only the desired signal is present. However, severe pattern distortion occurred when the signalling environment contained both desired signal and jammer.

These results strongly suggest further investigation into the degradations caused by several jammers and means to counter their effects. Several approaches are proposed to perform these tasks.

## STUDIES IN MICROWAVE SUPERCONDUCTORS

P. J. Walsh

### SUMMARY

This report covers work which was carried out at Hanscomb Air Force Base, EEAC/Antennas and Components Section during the summer of 1988 as a Air Force Summer Research Fellow. There are two self-contained sections. The first section reviews the theory and experimental studies of the microwave surface impedance of the new classes of high temperature superconductors while the second section<sup>†</sup> presents a theoretical review of microwave surface impedance applicable both to superconductors and metals. A paper has been prepared with Jonn Derov and other scientists at HAFB for submission to Applied Physics Letters.

<sup>†</sup> not included. Full version at HAFB

Chemical Vapor Deposition of Titanium Compounds  
with an Atomic Layer Epitaxy System

by

Kenneth L. Walter

**ABSTRACT**

Equipment was designed, constructed and partially assembled for the purpose of depositing thin films of compounds containing titanium (primarily titanium nitride and titanium boride) on various substrates.

One technique to be used is atomic layer epitaxy (ALE), wherein single monolayers of atoms are deposited one at a time by chemical vapor deposition. This technique has recently been reported in the literature as applied to gallium arsenide, but has not yet been reported for titanium compounds. If successful, this work could produce precisely controlled films with electronic, mechanical and corrosion applications. This work is currently being continued by researchers at Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts.

*Surface Effects on the High Temperature Superconducting  
YBaCuO Thin Films grown by RF Sputtering*

*by*

*Gwo-Ching Wang*

**ABSTRACT**

The surface morphology and near surface chemical composition of high temperature superconducting YBaCuO thin films grown on SrTiO<sub>3</sub>(001) substrates by RF sputtering technique are studied by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), Scanning Tunneling Microscopy (STM) and the depth profile of Auger Electron Spectroscopy (AES). A new surface effect on superconducting was found that is the chemical compositions at and near the surface of thin films are different from the bulk composition determined by Energy Dispersive X-Ray Analysis (EDX). At about 500Å deep into the film the Auger peak to peak heights of elements in YBaCuO gradually approach the peak to peak heights obtained from the elements at the surface of a fractured bulk material. This off-stoichiometry transition layer near the surface implies that there is a minimum thickness of thin film, i.e., about twice of 500Å, that will exhibit superconductivity. It also decreases the critical temperature and broadens the superconducting transition width of YBaCuO thin films and this effect is very drastic on films that have submicron size grains.

ABSTRACTS  
WEAPONS LABORATORY

# Realization of Sublayer Relative Shielding Order in Electromagnetic Topology

by

Lane H. Clark

## Abstract

A fundamental problem in qualitative electromagnetic topology is the construction of the interaction sequence diagram given a preassigned shielding between all pairs of primary sublayers. Idealizing this into one of relative shielding order makes this problem amenable to graph theoretic treatment. A constructive characterization of the relative shielding order matrix for primary sublayers of an electromagnetic topology defined to the level of layers and sublayers is given. In addition, all possible sublayer topologies with relative shielding order at most 5 are explicitly given.

Diode Laser Probe of Vibrational Energy Transfer

Kinetics in Sulfur Monoxide

by

David A. Dolson

ABSTRACT

A new experimental apparatus has been assembled for the purpose of measuring the rates of collisional energy loss from low vibrational levels of sulfur monoxide (SO). The design calls for excimer laser photolysis of sulfur dioxide at 193 nm to prepare the SO radicals. High resolution diode laser absorption spectrometry will be used to obtain time-dependent concentrations of SO in specific energy levels. Preliminary results have suggested directions for improving the signal levels and reducing electrical interference.

EVALUATING HOW LASER IRRADIATION  
DAMAGES LOADED COMPOSITE MATERIALS

by

William M. Jordan

ABSTRACT

This study was an analysis of how laser irradiation damages composite materials. Particular attention was placed on how lasers damage pressurized cylinders made from composite materials.

Three different models of laser damage of composite materials were examined. They were a viscoelastic model, a model developed by Southwest Research Institute, and Lockheed's "Hybrid Model". Each model's assumptions, advantages and limitations were analyzed. The viscoelastic model is not useful at the present time due to inadequate data and analysis. The Southwest Research Institute Model will predict when local failure occurs, while the "Hybrid Model" is more useful in predicting when global failure will occur.

These last two models are too empirical at the present time and additional analysis and testing is required to determine more precisely under which conditions they will or will not work. Recommendations were made concerning changes on the next set of pressurized cylinder tests so that more useful data for these models could be obtained. Recommendations were made concerning additional mechanical tests whose results could help to examine the predictive capability of the models.

# RELATIVISTIC EFFECTS IN GPS TIME TRANSFER

by

Arkady Kheyfets

## ABSTRACT

Precise global clock synchronization is an integral part of the Global Positioning System (GPS) operations. The GPS satellites clocks are moving with respect to the clocks of the surface stations observers at speeds sufficient to necessitate careful consideration of special relativistic effects on synchronization of the clocks. At the same time, the GPS satellites orbits radii are large enough to cause the difference between gravitational potentials at the satellites clocks and at the surface stations clocks sufficient to produce effect on the clocks synchronization of the same order of magnitude as the special relativistic effects. A consistent treatment of both effects can be done only in general relativity.

We have performed general relativistic analysis of the GPS time transfer effects using both traditional mathematical techniques and newly developed in general relativity technique of the null strut calculus. The obtained expressions for the effects, as we have shown, admit unambiguous physical interpretation of each term, which clarifies the physical origin of effects. The null-strut calculus technique illuminates the 4-geometry of the procedure. The null-strut calculus looks very promising as future common language in formulation of such kind of problems and developing a satellite-based Spacetime Common Grid (SCG).

## Stopping Power and Penetration Physics

by

Leonard E. Porter

### ABSTRACT

The stopping power of matter for charged subatomic projectiles, a complex topic even for the specialist, often appears ominously complicated and perplexing for the uninitiated. The subject is of crucial importance in both target discrimination and target destruction aspects of particle beam physics. In the spirit of clarification basic stopping power theory and measurement were reviewed for all classes of projectile traversing elemental targets over the entire accessible interval of projectile energies. Extension of theory for composite target application was discussed in some detail. The concept of range was introduced, and methods of calculation and measurement were explained. Finally, various extant tabulations of range and stopping power were described, compared, and appraised.

## Performance Models for Parallel Algorithms

by

Michael D. Rice

### ABSTRACT

New definitions of speedup and efficiency are used as the foundation for several models describing the performance of parallel algorithms. The new parameters introduced in these definitions provide improved interpretations of the "serial" and "parallel" fractions frequently used in the parallel computing literature. Moreover, they take into account the effects of problem size and number of processors and allow the formulation and proof of a number of basic laws in the models. These models provide the first sound basis for future theoretical and empirical studies of parallel algorithms. In particular, it is anticipated that the models will provide the foundation for understanding statistical aspects of parallel algorithms which will allow predictions of performance.

ABSTRACTS  
AERO PROPULSION LABORATORY

COMPUTATION OF THE FLOW FIELD AND HEAT  
TRANSFER IN A RECTANGULAR PASSAGE  
WITH A TURBULATOR

by

Bryan R. Becker, Ph.D., P.E.

ABSTRACT

A detailed numerical study of the aerothermal mechanics within a short straight section of a turbine blade internal cooling passage with a single turbulator is described. The two dimensional, transient, Reynolds averaged Navier Stokes, continuity and energy equations are iterated to a steady state solution using the MacCormack explicit predictor-corrector algorithm. Turbulence closure is achieved through the use of the Baldwin-Lomax algebraic two layer eddy viscosity model.

Axial distributions of local skin friction coefficient, local Stanton number and local Nusselt number are given. Profiles of velocity and temperature are presented as well as contours of streamfunction and temperature which display complex details of the flow structure. It was found that the widely used Reynolds Analogy greatly underpredicts the heat transfer rate as given by a direct calculation using Fourier's law. Finally, the numerical results are found to compare favorably to the experimental results published by Han et al (1986).

USE OF LASER SHEET LIGHT VISUALIZATION TECHNIQUE ON STUDIES OF  
FILM COOLING FLOW AND FLOW OVER CAVITIES

M. K. Chyu  
Dept. of Mechanical Engineering  
Carnegie Mellon University  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

R. B. Rivir  
AFWAL/POTC  
Wright Patterson Air Force Base  
Dayton, OH 45433

ABSTRACT

Flow visualization studies on characteristics of film cooling flow and cavity flow are performed using the technique of laser sheet light illumination with  $\text{TiCl}_4$  seedings. Primary efforts focus on the detailed structures of film cooling injection and cavity induced free shear layer. The high Reynolds number flow existing in the present film cooling system produces distinctly different coherent structures compared to the low Reynolds number cases reported earlier by Rivir et al. This is, in part, caused by the lift-off effects which induce the injection structures leaning more to those of a free shear layer than to a wall layer. For the cavity flow, development of the separated shear layer is largely determined by the value of the cavity aspect ratio,  $W/H$ . The shear layer reattaches on the cavity floor when  $W/H \geq 8$ . For cavities with  $W/H < 8$ , current observation clearly shows that part of the shear layer diverts back inside the cavity. Nevertheless, the proportion of this diversion diminishes as the value of  $W/H$  decreases. The present flow characteristics support the results of local mass transfer data obtained in a separate study.

Experimental Study of Electronic Excitation of Xenon by Electron Impact

by

Jerry Clark

ABSTRACT

Preliminary 5d optical excitation functions of atomic xenon have been measured from the onset to 100 eV. Particular interest has centered on the technique of fourier transform spectroscopy for the determination of these cross sections. Cross sections of the 5d[3/2] level for the lines at 2.026 microns and 1.73 microns were measured to be  $1.89 \cdot 10^{-17} \text{ cm}^2$  and  $7.17 \cdot 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$  respectively at 50 eV electron energy. Interesting structure has been observed in the excitation function the 2.026 micron line below 10 eV and between 10 and 50 eV. Acquisition of spectra for the determination of optical cross sections is discussed.

## CARS THERMOMETRY IN DROPLET-LADEN FLOWS

by

Derek Dunn-Rankin

### ABSTRACT

The ultimate objective of this research is to measure simultaneously, and non-intrusively, all of the parameters important in gas turbine combustion. These parameters include droplet size, droplet velocity, gas velocity, gas temperature, and major gas phase species concentration. In support of this ultimate objective, the present research examines the potential for coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering (CARS) to provide reliable temperature measurements in the presence of liquid droplets. The droplets cause a dielectric breakdown by focussing the CARS laser beams. This breakdown produces a plasma that can disrupt or obscure the CARS signal. Specifically, we examine the influence of laser induced breakdown on the CARS signal, and we determine the importance of droplet position relative to the sample volume, and the importance of droplet concentration, on the reliability of CARS temperature measurements in droplet-laden flows. In addition, we propose a reliable data reduction procedure to minimize the disruptive influence of laser induced breakdown on CARS temperatures.

Measurement of the Velocity Field and Heat Transfer Coefficients  
Associated with a Rectangular Wall Jet

by

Wayne A. Eckerle

ABSTRACT

The effect of high free-stream turbulence on heat transfer was experimentally investigated. High turbulence and large length scales simulating gas turbine engine conditions were produced with a wall jet. A 7cm x 48cm rectangular nozzle created the jet. The rectangular geometry reduced the edge effects observed in previous tests with a circular wall jet. All three components of mean and fluctuating velocities were acquired with a three-component Laser Doppler Velocimeter(LDV). Profiles were acquired at the jet centerline at eight streamwise locations. Supply conditions were controlled to provide a maximum velocity in the profiles of 37 m/s. Free-stream turbulence varied from 10% to 20% at these locations. Heat transfer coefficients on the flat wall were acquired at six locations for free-stream velocities ranging from 56 m/s to 10 m/s. Because of the extensive effort required to set up the LDV system and a malfunction of the laser during the program, reduction and correlation of these data is in progress. Some preliminary analysis is presented in this report. A complete summary of the results will be presented at the American Physical Society's Fluid Dynamics Meeting, November 20-22, 1988.

## Lifted Jet Diffusion Flames

by

David W. Mikolaitis

### ABSTRACT

The larger problem of flame extinction due to strong turbulence intensity has been investigated through the study of the lifted turbulent diffusion flame. Existing extinction criteria were evaluated and the need for a better extinction criterion was identified. A new extinction criterion based on laminar flame theory has been developed and implementation into a numerical fluid dynamics code (GENMIX) has been started. Specific problems in laminar flame theory have been identified whose solution will result in an extinction theory without adjustable constants. Further work is needed to validate the theory.

Interpretation of a Lifted Turbulent Diffusion Flame as  
a Problem in Stratified Combustion

by

Douglas G. Talley

ABSTRACT

The lifted turbulent diffusion flame has been examined theoretically. Interpretation as a stratified flame partially reconciles conflicting ideas about how the flame becomes stabilized in space by recognizing that the fundamental stability mechanism probably changes as the flame evolves from the just-lifted to the near-blowout condition. Although fundamental understanding of the stratified combustion processes is currently very limited, a model has been proposed for parabolic flows which incorporates the best existing knowledge about stratified combustion and about turbulent combustion. It allows simultaneous calculation of the lifted flame height and the hot and cold flows which should be valuable for engineering purposes.

DIFFUSION AND CONVECTION IN THE CONDENSER  
OF A GAS-LOADED HEAT PIPE

by  
Juin S. Yu

ABSTRACT

This work presents a systematic and self-consistent analysis on the basis of two-dimensional diffusive convection between the vapor and the noncondensable gas for the determination of the rate of heat transport in a gas-loaded heat pipe of circular cross-section. The analysis makes use of the physical conditions that the condenser end plate is insulated and that saturated equilibrium states of the heat transfer fluid exist at the liquid-vapor interface. As a simplification presently used to account for axial heat conduction, the pipe wall is assumed to exist at the local liquid-vapor interface temperature, and the wick structure, the liquid gap (if exists) and the pipe wall are lumped together as a homogeneous region having an effective thermal conductivity. The condenser section of the pipe is allowed to lose heat by either convection or radiation or both. It is shown that the one-dimensional analysis of Edwards and Marcus[1] and the two-dimensional equations of Peterson and Tien[2] represent the lowest levels of approximation of the present formulation.

ABSTRACTS  
AVIONICS LABORATORY

## Ada Compiler Evaluation Capabilities

5

Mike Burdakov

### ABSTRACT

The initial phase of the Ada Compiler Evaluation Capabilities (ACEC) test suite and support software is presently being delivered to the Air Force by the Boeing Company ACEC contractor. The system has undergone formal contractor testing with additional Air Force evaluation and testing. The Air Force determined that it would be desirable to provide additional Independent Validation and Verification (IV&V) of this initial delivery. The primary purpose is to verify test results and to determine whether any usability improvements in the products could be made.

Following are the major areas which were investigated: Execution of the test suite and analysis of the results, verification of procedures for use of the test suite, review of statistical support software and review of the major documentation for users of the system.

A Study of Sky Backgrounds and Sub-Visual Cirrus  
at the MEGALIDAR Site and a Proposed  
Turbulence Monitoring Facility for Wright-Patterson AFB

by

Gerald W. Grams

ABSTRACT

This project involved the supervision of two Ph. D. graduate students from the School of Geophysical Sciences at Georgia Tech. Both students carried out independent research efforts.

Eric Schmidt performed a series of experiments designed to characterize sky background conditions at the MEGALIDAR site at WPAFB. He also observed subvisual cirrus clouds and smoke layers from forest fires in Yellowstone Park using a portable LIDAR system, ground-based photometers and video imaging devices. These observations are supplemented with meteorological records and satellite images.

Randal Mandock completed a thorough study of the need for characterizing atmospheric turbulence as part of the test and evaluation procedures for new optical sensors. This study resulted in a detailed plan for characterizing atmospheric turbulence at the existing electro-optics sensor test facility operated by AFWAL/AARI.

## Formal Verification of VHDL Specifications

by

David Hemmendinger

### Abstract

The VHDL (hardware description) language is a powerful tool for the hierarchical specification of computer hardware. Techniques to verify formally that designs meet their specifications would simplify its use by reducing the role of exhaustive simulations. Temporal logic was the first formal verification technique studied in this project, but it subsequently focused on the use of the *assert* statement within VHDL, which permits the designer to state the specification a component is to meet. A major part of the project was devoted to Prolog programs to extract such assertions automatically from low-level VHDL architectures, using the signal attributes provided by VHDL, and to translate these into the form required by a theorem-proving program, LCF. The initial stages of the project indicate that such a theorem-prover has the capacity to verify that a low-level architecture meets a high-level specification stated in VHDL.

## LOW VOLTAGE BROADBAND BEAM STEERING DEVICES USING LIQUID CRYSTALS

---

by

Mohammad A. Karim

### ABSTRACT

---

The broadband characteristics of a number of beam steering systems were investigated. It is desirable for the beam steering device to have a large aperture and, at the same time, a fast time response ( $\sim 1$  ms) while being controlled with a reasonably small voltage source. The various schemes were examined and judged on the basis of the specifications desirable in the space environment. Two particular concepts were scrutinized to determine if they would be able to deliver a broadband beam steering system. A wollaston prism system in combination with ferro-electric liquid crystal (FLC) layers and an optical phased array system based on nematic liquid crystal (NLC) layer were analyzed. The FLC-based systems are found to be very fast ( $< 1$  ms) but comparatively bulky and sensitive to transmission losses while the NLC based systems are generally very slow (many milliseconds) but comparatively less sensitive to transmission losses. The current quantitative results along with those expected to be generated through a follow-up mini-grant study would be able to dictate the design characteristics of the most optimum broadband beam steering system.

Prof. Robert Li, and graduate student Yunong Li

Model-based Target Recognition Using Laser Radar Imagery

Abstract

Autonomous target recognition can be assisted by using CO<sub>2</sub> laser radar data which contains 3-D information of the scene viewed from the sensor. Using efficient image processing algorithms such as the Hough transform, the orientations and dimensions of the target can be calculated. This information then can be used by a model-based recognition system to identify the target. The identification is based on an inference procedure which tests hypotheses using the available evidence from the sensory data.

# SIGNAL PROCESSING FOR ESM RECEIVERS

by

Periasamy K. Rajan

## ABSTRACT

Receivers for electronic support measures(ESM) have some demanding signal processing requirements. A number of parameters of the received signal has to be determined in real time. In this research a study of the various receivers was carried out. The digital instantaneous frequency measurement (DIFM) receiver was analysed. The sensitivity functions of the calculated frequencies to the errors in the measurement of the correlation lags in DIFM receivers were derived for the two frequency case. Further, a new formulation of the equations that avoid the use of the correlation value at zero lag was developed. Finally, a setup for the acquisition of real data in digital form was tested.

# NEURAL NETWORKS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS IN DIGITAL RECEIVER DESIGN

P.A. Ramamoorthy  
University of Cincinnati,  
Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engg., M.S.#30  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45221  
(513) 475 4247

October 4, 1988

## Abstract

Recently there is tremendous interest in Artificial neural network models for applications such as pattern recognition and function minimization or optimization. These networks are modeled based on our present understanding of the biological nervous systems and seem to achieve good performance via dense interconnection of simple computation elements. In this report, we present a simple review of some neural network architectures, their potentials and problems. It has to emphasized here that in most of the articles that are available in the open literature, neural networks are touted as solution or "cure-all" for all problems, without consideration to the real gains as compared to existing conventional techniques. Therefore, in this report we take a critical and hopefully unbiased look at the neural networks and point out what the real innovations or potentials are and where they are simply used to map known algorithms/techniques into network form (old wine in the new bottle syndrome). More importantly we discuss how neural networks can be applied to digital receiver design and arrive at some innovative approaches to solving that problem.

APPLICATIONS OF EVOLUTIONARY LEARNING STRATEGIES

TO PATTERN RECOGNITION TASKS

by

Mateen M. Rizki  
Louis A. Tamburino  
William VanValkenburgh  
Michael Zmuda

ABSTRACT

A software environment was developed to study learning strategies applied to tasks in image processing. This environment facilitates the systematic exploration of evolutionary learning processes and embedded adaptive control mechanisms that modify both feature extraction and image classification tasks. The feature detectors were constructed as Hit or Miss templates based on principles of mathematical morphology. This representation provided a suitable substrate for the gradual changes introduced by evolutionary learning algorithms. The software package was used to conduct experiments on a two-class character recognition problem. These preliminary experiments illustrate the importance of incorporating adaptive learning mechanisms in image processing systems.

ABSTRACTS  
FLIGHT DYNAMICS LABORATORY

Heat Flux Prediction for Nucleate Boiling  
in Liquid Metal Heat Pipes

by

Larry W. Byrd

ABSTRACT

Suggested methods for calculating the boiling limit for heat pipes give heat fluxes lower than those found experimentally. A better understanding of this limit is needed. The vapor pressure curve for sodium was used to check a method presented by Chi [1] for temperature between 500-1300°C. This method used an approximation of the Clausius-Clapeyron equation to calculate the boiling limit. Chi's method gave much larger values for the heat flux for certain wick geometries and operating conditions. Preliminary work on a conceptual model incorporating partial dryout of the wick simultaneously with boiling was also initiated.

Reliability Study of Nonlinear Structural Response  
under Reversible Cyclic Loading Processes

by

Karen C. Chou

ABSTRACT

Reliability assessment of aircraft components is studied for non-linear structural response due to stochastic load processes such as thermal and maneuver loads. During the investigation, the material is assumed to have a bilinear load response behavior where the compressive properties are the same as tensile. The load processes may have any form of distributions for load arrivals and magnitudes. A discussion on the reliability analysis procedures are presented in this report.

SURVEY AND ASSESSMENT OF VALIDATION DATA BASE  
FOR SHOCKWAVE BOUNDARY LAYER INTERACTION IN SUPERSONIC INLETS

by

Awatef Hamed

ABSTRACT

The performance of supersonic inlets is strongly affected by the boundary layer development over its internal surfaces. Boundary layer bleed is used to suppress separation and to provide the desired inlet performance. The gain in pressure recovery and stability is accompanied however with a loss in mass flow and an increase in drag which must be minimized by optimizing the amount of bleed and bleed configuration.

The purpose of this work is to review and assess the data base for shock boundary layer interaction, which is pertinent to the flow prediction in supersonic inlets. The effect of bleed in the interaction zone is especially emphasized.

FAILURES OF F-16 TRANSPARENCIES  
ANALYSIS AND FAILURE PREVENTION RECOMMENDATIONS

by

Yulian B. Kin

ABSTRACT

Recently, some F-16 aircraft have experienced damage (cracks and crazes) at the bolt holes of the canopy transparencies. In some units the cracks propagated from the holes to the forward end of the transparency in flight, and this represents a serious problem for the F-16 fleet.

The nature and mechanics of the failures are not fully understood. Therefore, the investigation of the failure mechanics and the recommendations based on that analysis were core endeavors of this research.

Visual Capabilities on a Robot Aided Aircraft  
Refueler Prototype

by

Augustus Morris, Jr., Ph.D.

ABSTRACT

The Special Projects Group of the Flight Dynamics Laboratory at Wright Patterson Air Force Base is researching robotics as an in-house project. In particular, robotics is being looked at as a means of providing aircraft maintenance during times of chemical warfare. A scaled demonstration system was constructed to maintain a 1/8 scale F-16 aircraft. The present robotic system was capable of pick and place movements under the teach mode. The upgraded version integrates a vision system so that the robot can move to any coordinates within its workspace. The specific task tested was aircraft refueling. Traditional image processing techniques were tried but proved to be too slow for the computer presently used. An ad hoc approach was used to determine the coordinates and orientation of the fuel port so that the robot could successfully locate and insert the fuel nozzle in the refueling port.

## ABSTRACT

### Reaction Kinetic of Halon 1301 Suppression of Fire Explosion in an Aircraft Fuel Tank

By

Nanda L. Mukherjee

A mixture of fuel vapor and air containing more than 10 vol % oxygen in the ullage of a fuel tank will ignite at a temperature of 450-500°C due to ballistic impact and cause fire, flame and explosion. The addition of Halon 1301, monobromotrifluoromethane, compound enhances the ignition temperature as a result of chemical reaction of bromine radicals with active hydrogen radicals of the fuel, thus decreasing intensity of inflammability. The chain reactions mechanism which explains removal of active fuel hydrogen radicals by bromine radicals of Halon 1301 compound is discussed in the report. Reaction kinetic investigations include: (a) specific rate constant equation and calculation of rate constant and (b) active energy calculation. In addition, recommendations have been made for future test runs in order to obtain more useful data required to design an efficient aircraft fuel tank.

DEVELOPMENT OF AN AIRCRAFT TIRE-WHEEL INTERFACE MODEL  
FOR FLANGE/BEADSEAT CONTACT LOADS

by

James A. Sherwood

N. Christopher Holmes

ABSTRACT

A research program has been initiated to investigate the force distribution at the interface of an aircraft wheel and tire. An F-16 main wheel was instrumented with strain gages at ten critical structural points. Test data were recorded for bolt torque, inflation pressure, vertical static and dynamic load conditions using both radial and bias-ply tires. A detailed three-dimensional finite-element model of the wheel was generated for evaluation via the ADINA finite-element code using the ADINA-IN preprocessor. An analytical technique of using influence coefficients determined from the finite-element analysis was devised to backcalculate the tire-wheel interface load distribution from the experimental data.

# ROBUST EIGENSTRUCTURE ASSIGNMENT FOR FLIGHT CONTROL DESIGN

by

Kenneth M. Sobel

## ABSTRACT

A recent sufficient condition for the stability robustness of linear systems with time varying norm bounded state space uncertainty is extended to include the structure of the uncertainty. Our new result requires that the nominal eigenvalues lie to the left of a vertical line in the complex plane which is determined by a norm involving the structure of the uncertainty and the nominal closed loop eigenvector matrix. Therefore, this robustness result is especially well suited to the design of control systems using eigenstructure assignment. When the uncertainty is time invariant, our norm is also an upper bound on the incremental eigenvalue perturbations. We consider the use of Perron weightings to reduce conservatism and the extension of the results to discrete time systems. An aircraft flight control example is presented which indicates that the new stability condition is less conservative than previous results which do not utilize the structure of the uncertainty.

A Computer Model for Air-To-Air Combat

(Force on Force) Assessment

by

Patrick J. Sweeney, Ph.D.

Kathy Bennett, Grad Student

ABSTRACT

This IBM-PC compatible computer model can be used to assess the affects of changing weapons, aircraft performance, electronic sensors and countermeasures, situational awareness, tactics, observables, and combat support on the force on force air battle. Both enemy and friendly air forces can engage in both BVR and CIC missions. Sortie regeneration is limited by the air losses and by enemy action taken against fixed installations, which is included in the model. The model requires a hard disc for operation and uses menus to direct the user to battle graphics, forces structuring, calculations, and output to the screen and/or printer.

DAMAGE IN GRAPHITE/EPOXY PLATES SUBJECTED TO LOW VELOCITY IMPACT

by\_

William E. Wolfe

Gregory Schoeppner

ABSTRACT

A continuation of the experimental program begun during Summer 1987 was conducted. Instrumented impact tests on laminated graphite/epoxy panels. The velocity of the impactor and the load time history were recorded for each specimen tested. In several tests, strains were measured on the back face during the impact event. The depth of surface indentation and the areal extent of internal damage were measured and correlated with the impact energy. Predicted strains in the outermost ply were compared with experimentally obtained values measured both perpendicular to and in the direction of the outermost fiber. The amount of internal damage and the indentation were found to be dependent upon the energy at impact and to a somewhat lesser degree on the velocity of the impactor. The shape of the damaged area in the specimens tested, was largely dependent upon the thickness of the panel and the location of the supports.

ABSTRACTS  
MATERIALS LABORATORY

Analysis Methods for Nonlinear  
Mechanical Behavior of Glassy Polymers

by

R. J. Arenz

ABSTRACT

As thermoplastic polymers become candidates for use as the matrix material of advanced composite structures, one of the aspects needing study is their nonlinear viscoelastic behavior. Even small strains can produce nonlinear effects that are different from thermosetting plastics. This may affect the analysis of the interaction between the matrix and the reinforcing fibers as well as influence the processing conditions to be used during the manufacturing cycle for the composite material. In this investigation recent theories on the nonlinear mechanical response of glassy polymers are examined qualitatively. Several of the more promising formulations involving the free volume of polymer materials are quantitatively compared and related to experimental data by computer techniques to handle the numerical integration and iterative procedures required in solving the associated sets of coupled nonlinear differential equations. Engineering approaches to treating thermoplastic matrix mechanics are suggested. The analysis indicates that more complete viscoelastic characterization of bulk modulus is needed to facilitate the application of the free volume approach.

LASER HARDENED MATERIALS VIA MAGNETICALLY  
ALIGNED POLYPEPTIDE-PHTHALOCYANINES

by

Gene O. Carlisle

ABSTRACT

The problem of selecting a new nonlinear optical (NLO) material is reviewed, and the rationale for the selection given. The new material selected is a guest-host type of system in which the biomolecule, poly- $\gamma$ -benzyl-L-glutamate (PBLG) is the host for a guest phthalocyanine (Pc) molecule. Solubility and spectral measurements were taken on three copper phthalocyanine compounds in order to determine compatible solvent-PBLG-Pc systems. The tetrasodium salt of copper phthalocyanine-3,4',4'',4'''-tetrasulfonic acid was determined to be suitable for PBLG in the solvent DMF; however, the combination of PBLG-MgPc-CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> is recommended as the best system for magnetic alignment. Plans are outlined for the continuation of this research.

## Joining of Carbon-Carbon Composites

by

Parviz Dadras

### ABSTRACT

Joining of carbon-carbon composites by diffusion welding and diffusion brazing is proposed in this project. The objective is to produce joints that can retain sufficient strength at service temperatures up to 2000°C (3632°F). Joining of carbon-carbon composites to two refractory metals, tungsten, and tantalum, and to a structural alloy Ti-6Al-4V is also suggested for consideration.

Six different interlayers, a commercial brazing alloy Ti-15Cu-15Ni, an experimental alloy Ti-21V-25Cr, and two brazing compounds MoSi<sub>2</sub> and SiC have been proposed. These materials have appropriate wetting and flow characteristics for joining graphite. In each case, the process variables (time, temperature, and pressure) will be optimized so that the maximum strength and the highest service temperatures can be obtained.

In this project lap joints and squared-edge butt joints will be investigated. The strength of the joints will be evaluated under in-plane tensile and across-ply shear and tensile modes of loadings at the expected service temperatures. Also, the joints will be examined by light and scanning microscopy.

Rapid Simulation for Experimental Validation of H Section

Forging using Finisher Punch

by

Suren N. Dwivedi

ABSTRACT

Analytical modeling of deformation processes requires material data bases which can adequately describe the dynamic behavior of the material. Dynamic material modeling techniques were applied to determine the constitutive equations. A rigid viscoplastic finite element method based code called ALPID (Analysis of Large Plastic Incremental Deformation) was used to simulate the closed die, flashless, isothermal precision forging of H sections. The H section was simulated to study the metal flow characteristics and the distribution of effective strain, effective strain rate and effective stress were determined. The load requirements were predicted and the behavior of the process was completely analyzed. The H section analytical simulation was experimentally validated.

## QPA Control of the End Milling Process

by

Dr. B.K. Fussell

### Abstract

Qualitative Process Automation (QPA) control was applied to the end milling machining process to maximize feed rates while avoiding unwanted cutting events such as excessive tool deflection, tooth overload and cutter shank overload. QPA controlled the process actively by achieving desired events and preventing undesired events. QPA is a real-time controller with its control output based on process events and not on temporal relationships as are classical control systems. Various procedures for detecting machining events with sensor data were investigated and used with an existing QPA computer structure to develop a controller for the end milling process. The QPA controller used cutting force, spindle speed and feed rate data to predict and avoid excessive tool and tooth loads and to maintain part tolerance with the highest feed rate. Simulation cutting results, using an experimentally validated end milling model, showed the QPA system to be successful in controlling end milling cuts. Successful simulation runs were demonstrated for step changes in the radial and axial depths of cut on aluminum workpieces.

SINGLE-CRYSTAL DIFFRACTION ANALYSIS OF COMPOUNDS WITH  
POTENTIAL NONLINEAR OPTICAL PROPERTIES

by

David A. Grossie, Ph.D.

ABSTRACT

Single-crystal x-ray diffraction data was collected on two compounds having potential nonlinear optical (NLO) properties,  $C_{13}H_{15}N_2O_2Si$  and  $C_{22}H_{17}N_5O_5$ . The former compound is a derivative of 2-methyl-4-nitroaniline, a molecule with known NLO properties. It crystallizes in a monoclinic crystal lattice with cell constants of  $a=20.258(6)$ ,  $b=10.444(4)$ ,  $c=7.129(2)$  Å, and  $\beta=93.05^\circ$ . The observed space group is  $P2_1/c$ , a centric space group. The structure was solved and refined, yielding a R-factor of 0.085.  $C_{13}H_{15}N_2O_2Si$  is planar with little distortion in the internal bond distances and angles.

$C_{22}H_{17}N_5O_5$  crystallizes in a triclinic crystal lattice having cell constants of  $a=8.179(4)$ ,  $b=16.915(4)$ ,  $c=8.190(2)$  Å,  $\alpha=94.98(2)$ ,  $\beta=116.54(3)$ , and  $\gamma=83.99(3)^\circ$ . The space group was determined to be  $P\bar{1}$ . This compound has defied structure solution, in spite of application of the most recent and capable direct methods programs.

Effect of Various Metals on The Thermal Degradation of  
A Chlorotrifluoroethylene Based Fluid

by

Vijay K. Gupta and Oden L. Warren

ABSTRACT

Thermal stability characteristics of a chlorotrifluoroethylene (CTFE) basestock candidate nonflammable hydraulic fluid, MLC 86-7, have been investigated as a function of time and temperature via micro-thermal stability tests in the presence of various metal powders and alloys. It has been found that this fluid is a complex mixture of chlorofluorocarbon compounds. The fluid was found to be thermally stable when stressed for 22 hours at 232°C or below, but when stressed at temperatures above 232°C, degradation was observed, and the extent of degradation increased with increasing stress temperature. The fluid degraded severely when stressed at 302°C for 66 hours. When the fluid was also stressed at 177°C for time periods ranging up to 40 days in the presence of alloys or metal powders, some degradation of the fluid was observed. At 177°C, the presence of Cu in the fluid caused more degradation as compared to other metals and alloys, and the degradation was further accelerated by the presence of water. At 302°C, the elements Cu, Fe, Sn, and Ti seem to have accelerated the degradation of the CTFE fluid whereas elements like Al, Co, Cr, Mn, Ni, W, and Zn do not seem to have any significant impact on the degradation of the CTFE fluid. The increase in acidity of the fluid caused by thermal degradation processes at temperatures much higher than 175°C (anticipated maximum use temperature) in the presence of metals and moisture produces corrosive products.

Characterization of Heat Transfer and Reaction in the  
Autoclave Curing of Graphite/Epoxy Composites by Scaling Analysis

by

L. James Lee

ABSTRACT

The temperature distribution and the degree of cure of the resin in the autoclave curing of graphite/epoxy composites are governed by heat transfer through bagging materials and laminates, and the exothermic reaction of epoxy resins. Determination of the major heat transfer and reaction characteristics was carried out by a scaling analysis. Heat transfer can be described by a time constant for overall thermal response. Its value can be determined by on-line temperature measurements. Exothermic reaction is best described by a lumped parameter, Damkohler number ( $Da$ ), which is a function of reaction activation energy ( $E$ ) and heat of reaction ( $\Delta H$ ). The value and/or the change of  $Da$  can be used to establish rules for the control of autoclave heating/cooling in order to prevent any thermal run-away problem. The change of  $Da$  at any given spot in the laminate can be estimated by three temperature sensors or two heat flux sensors located near that spot.

## A Study of the Melting of the Plagioclase Feldspars in a Microwave Field

by

Thomas T. Meek

### ABSTRACT

Thermal processing of dielectric materials using microwave radiation has recently become of interest to the scientific community. Work in this area spans over four decades; however, only recently have results indicated potential economic and technical benefits from this thermal processing technique.

This work investigates melting phenomena which occur in the albite-anorthite system ( $\text{NaAlSi}_3\text{O}_8$  -  $\text{CaAl}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_8$ ) when heated using 2.45 GHz electromagnetic radiation. Compositions of 90, 81, and 72 weight percent albite were synthesized from alkoxides. Five or ten gram quantities were heated in alumina crucibles to 1200°C and held for 1, 2, and 4, hours before cooling to 800°C in a nine minute period. Heating was done in a conventional electric furnace and in a 2.45 GHz microwave furnace. Sample microstructure was then determined and compared to see if the 2.45 GHz electromagnetic radiation had any affect on equilibrium phase compositions.

# REACTION ZONE CHARACTERISTICS OF TITANIUM ALUMINIDE COMPOSITES

by

CAROLYN W. MEYERS

## ABSTRACT

To obtain optimum performance of metal matrix composites, the reinforcing agents are coated prior to consolidation. The purpose of this treatment is to reduce the chemical reactions between the fibers and the matrix. However, during the consolidation of the composite, the coating *breaks down* forming a reaction layer. The extent of this reaction layer as well as its characteristics significantly influence the mechanical behavior of the composite. In this research, the nature and properties of the reaction layer are studied for two titanium matrix alloys, each reinforced with two types of fibers.

PHOTOREFLECTANCE MEASUREMENTS OF UNINTENTIONAL IMPURITIES  
IN UNDOPED GALIUM ARSINIDE

Michael Sydor  
ABSTRACT

Photoreflectance (PR) is used to measure the unintentional impurity and defect concentrations in undoped epitaxial GaAs. The PR signature above the band gap spreads with defects and shows well defined Franz-Keldysh peaks whose separation provide a good measure of the unintentional impurity concentration as compared with Hall data. The PR signal at and below the band edge has a large excitonic component, and contains surface effects which preclude analysis by the usual three point functional fits for low electric fields.

Bulk semi-insulating materials generally provide a wide, single PR structure whose breadth depends on traps and bound excitons. Analysis of PR shows that a three point functional fit with excitonic function alone provides a reasonable fit to semi-insulating bulk data.

# THE SYNTHESIS OF 2-FORMYL PYRIDOIMIDAZOLES

by

Dr. Richard S. Valpey III

## ABSTRACT

The synthesis of a new class of monomers for use in developing heterocyclic rigid-rod polymers with nonlinear optical properties has been developed. A model compound, 2-formyl-1-phenyl pyridoimidazole was prepared in three steps starting with 2-chloro-3-nitropyridine. This new methodology was then applied to the synthesis of 2,6-diformyl-1,7-diphenyl pyridobisimidazole.

ABSTRACTS  
HARRY G. ARMSTRONG AEROSPACE MEDICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

## CERAMIC COMPOSITES FOR STUDYING BONE INGROWTH AND REMODELING

by

Praphulla K. Bajpai

### Abstract

Two different ceramics were used in developing ceramic and organic acid composites for repairing traumatized bone and (eventually) studying bone remodeling. Composites of tricalcium phosphate (TCP) and polyfunctional acids (keto-glutaric acid and malic acid) with and without calcium hydroxide were developed for conducting studies in rats. Among the tricalcium organic acid composites studied, TCP, malic acid, and calcium hydroxide composites had the best setting and hardness properties. These composites also maintained an alkaline pH (ideal for bone growth) in an aqueous environment. Plugs of previously set composites of aluminum calcium phosphorous oxide (ALCAP), malic acid, and calcium hydroxide, as well as powders of composites set immediately before implantation, were used for repairing traumatized left femurs and L-4 vertebrae in 12 rhesus monkeys. Radiographs and scanning electron micrographs of repaired sites showed that the composites implanted in the bone were compatible with the surrounding tissue. Blood chemistry data obtained at the time of physical examination and euthanasia of 4 monkeys implanted for 4 weeks with the composites indicated that the the ALCAP-organic acid composites did not cause any adverse effects in the implanted animals.

Invitro Cytotoxic Effects of Perfluorodecanoic Acid on Human  
Peripheral Blood Lymphocytes

By

Shankar S. Bale

ABSTRACT

Cytotoxic effects of PFDA on human peripheral blood lymphocytes were studied. Unstimulated cells were exposed to 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 16 ug/ml of PFDA for 24 hours and analysed for cytotoxicity. PFDA at 6 ug/ml and below did not cause any significant change in cells compared to controls. Cells stimulated with mitogen were exposed to various concentrations of PFDA. Cells grown in RPMI medium supplemented with fetal bovine serum did not show any toxicity at the levels of PFDA used. However, the cells grown in RPMI medium supplemented with Nutriodoma-HU showed toxicity at all levels of PFDA. Cells were cultured in various media to determine the cell proliferation. RPMI medium supplemented with fetal bovine serum and Hana medium showed higher degree of cell proliferation compared to the cells grown in other media.

## Auditory Modeling

by

C. David Covington

and

Michael K. Ellis

### ABSTRACT

Several promising auditory models exist as reported in the literature, but they execute in different systems. We have ported two of three chosen auditory models to the Symbolics lisp machine. This affords the advantage of direct comparison of output and permits a more modular approach to the auditory modeling problem. In addition with all models residing in the powerful rapid-prototyping lisp environment, the researcher can then conveniently apply model output to either conventional pattern recognition algorithms or to more recently introduced simulated neural network systems. This report also discusses our efforts to develop a programming environment suitable to implement the more promising neural network approaches as means of modeling postprocessing by the brain on auditory periphery output in the human.

Cognitive Demands of Tracking Strategies as  
Assessed by the Optimum-Maximum Procedure

by

Barry P. Goettl

ABSTRACT

Twenty subjects performed two compensatory first order tracking tasks concurrently while using either a double impulse strategy or a continuous strategy. The cognitive load of either task was increased by changing the control dynamics to second order. Attention allocation was manipulated using Navon's (1985) optimum-maximum procedure. Results indicated that subjects using the continuous strategy were more adversely effected by increased cognitive demands than subjects using the impulse strategy. This result suggests that the continuous strategy draws more heavily from central processing resources than does the impulse strategy. Also, subjects showed only limited trade-off between the two tasks. This finding has major implications for a multiple resources theory of attention. However, several problems with the optimum-maximum procedure were identified and discussed.

ABSTRACT

Evaluation of an Extraction Procedure  
for the Analysis of Serum Steroids

by

Dr. Robert E. Masingale

and

Deborah J. Mitchell

ABSTRACT

Two methods are described for the screening, extraction and confirmation of the free and conjugated steroids in rat serum. It was demonstrated that a liquid-solid extraction technique combined with a three-solvent extraction system allows for more expedient sample preparation of the steroids. These methods were evaluated by screening for the presence of the following from sera: (1) androstenedione, (2) corticosterone, (3) estradiol, and (4) progesterone. Gas chromatographic/mass spectrometric analyses of the derivatized products were performed to evaluate the two procedures.

Performance in a Visual Monitoring Task  
with Serial and Simultaneous Display Formats

by

David G. Payne

ABSTRACT

Thirteen adults monitored either four or eight sets of three-digit numbers that appeared on a computer monitor. These stimulus items were labelled with an uppercase letter A - H and each stimulus was paired with a unique response key. The stimulus values were periodically incremented or decremented and the subject's task was to respond whenever a value exceeded a prespecified limit. In the simultaneous condition, all four (or eight) number-letter combinations were presented concurrently in spatially distinct locations. In the serial condition, each item was presented individually in the same central location .8 seconds and was then replaced by the next item in sequence. Results showed that subjects responded more quickly in the serial condition than in the simultaneous condition. Although subjects made more errors in the serial condition, these error rates did not increase across trials, even though the reaction times improved. These results indicate that the rapid serial presentation format has the potential for yielding better performance in visual supervisory monitoring tasks than does the conventional (i.e., spatially distributed) display format.

# A NONLINEAR LUMPED PARAMETER MODEL

## FOR THE SEATED HUMANS

By

Joseph E. Saliba

### ABSTRACT

A brief review of both continuous and lumped-parameter models describing the structural response of the human body due to the acceleration environment associated with seat ejection is first presented. The need for a nonlinear lumped-parameter model to remedy the inadequacy of reproducing laboratory experiments was then examined. Four different tasks were then shown. The first task was to insure that the tests conducted in the laboratory were yielding meaningful output, otherwise the model developed would be meaningless. Then a nonlinear lumped-parameter model that best predicts the behavior of the human subject was developed. The values of the lumped-parameter models were then obtained by using a least-squares fitting technique. This process was performed and validated on one single laboratory test.

Finally, a more realistic and comprehensive validation plan to ensure the effectiveness of this approach in addition to a sensitivity study on the shape, duration and magnitude of the input acceleration was recommended.

IN-VITRO MODELING OF PERFLUORO-N-DECANOATE EFFECTS ON ENZYMES OF  
FATTY ACID METABOLISM

by

Sanford S. Singer

**ABSTRACT**

Acyl-SCoA synthetase, acyl-SCoA oxidase & carnitine acetyltransferase were studied in our efforts to model, in vitro, the basis for effects of perfluoro-n-decanoate(PFDA) on fatty acid metabolism. We found that: (1) Acyl-SCoA synthetase used palmitate, oleate, laurate & decanoate as substrates to similar extents. However, it converted PFDA to PFDA-SCoA very slowly. PFDA inhibited acyl-SCoA formation from the fatty acids. The inhibitions appeared to be competitive. Palmitoyl-SCoA formation was inhibited most & decanoyl-SCoA formation was inhibited least. Palmitoyl-SCoA formation was inhibited up to 30% when the [PFDA]/[palmitate] was 4. (2) Acyl-SCoA oxidase, used palmitoyl-SCoA, lauroyl-SCoA & decanoyl-SCoA as substrates. It preferred the smaller acyl-SCoAs. Inhibition of oxidation of the C-10 and C-12 acyl-SCoAs by PFDA was more extensive than that of palmitoyl-SCoA. PFDA inhibition of decanoyl-SCoA & palmitoyl-SCoA oxidation was examined in depth & found to be competitive, with  $K_I$ s of  $595^{+150} \mu\text{M}$  &  $76^{+6.0} \mu\text{M}$ . (3) Carnitine acetyltransferase used acetyl-SCoA as its best substrate. Butyryl-SCoA, hexanoyl-SCoA, and octanoyl-SCoA were less effective sybstrates than acetyl-SCoA. Transfer of all acyl groups to carnitine was inhibited to a similar extent by PFDA. The  $K_I$ s were  $111^{+15} \mu\text{M}$  &  $76.0^{+28} \mu\text{M}$ .with the C-2 and C-8 acyl-SCoAs. Inhibition was competitive with acetyl-SCoA & noncompetitive with octanoyl-SCoA. Our examination of the PFDA effects on the enzymes gave useful information that may provide connections between isofunctional enzymes of rat liver and in-vivo effects of PFDA on lipid metabolism in the rat. Study of inhibition by PFDA-SCoA was tabled , as it was unavailable during the SFRP.

Perfluorodecanoic Acid Efflux from  
Cultured Primary Rat Hepatocytes

by  
Andrew P. Whipple

ABSTRACT

The interaction of perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA) with cultured rat hepatocytes is very dynamic, with both uptake and efflux of PFDA occurring very rapidly. Just as earlier studies demonstrated nearly maximal uptake levels within one hour, and no significant increase in cell-associated PFDA over 24 hours, so is the rate of efflux of PFDA from rat hepatocytes cultured on collagen-coated dishes rapid. Regardless of whether the cells were loaded with  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labeled PFDA for 4 hours or 24 hours, when the cultures were switched to media without labeled PFDA a dramatic drop in cell-associated  $^{14}\text{C}$  occurred. The loss of this PFDA from the cells was the same regardless of whether or not unlabeled PFDA was present in the medium. About half was lost in the first five minutes and by 40 minutes less than 5% of the  $^{14}\text{C}$ -PFDA was still cell-associated. By 4 hours less than 2% remained and by 24 hours only about 1%, indistinguishable from background levels.

DETERMINATION OF PERFLUORO-N-DECANOIC ACID TOXICITY IN VITRO  
AND IN VIVO VIA TWO-DIMENSIONAL POLYACRYLAMIDE GEL ELECTROPHORESIS

by

Frank A. Witzmann, Ph.D.

ABSTRACT

Preliminary studies were conducted to assess the effect of perfluoro-n-decanoic acid (PFDA) on the two-dimensional protein pattern of cultured human peripheral lymphocytes and their spent media as well as rat liver cell fractions (cytosol, microsomes, and mitochondria) exposed to PFDA in vivo. Previous investigations of PFDA toxicity, which closely resembles that of dioxin (2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin), have been directed primarily at the liver though numerous other organs are PFDA targets. Among these is the thymus where PFDA-induced atrophy suggests immunodepressant activity. Despite such studies, specific PFDA effects on lymphocytes have not been well characterized. In the present studies, sample proteins from in vitro and in vivo experiments were separated by 2D-PAGE and visualized by polychromatic silver and Coomassie blue staining procedures. In the in vitro studies, various cellular proteins were altered as a result of PFDA exposure such that expression of specific proteins was either enhanced, diminished, or abolished entirely. Proteins of presumable cellular origin appeared in the spent culture medium as a result of PFDA exposure despite little or no in vitro cell lysis. Similarly, proteins from liver cells exposed to PFDA in vivo demonstrated several significant alterations. Foremost among the three fractions studied were protein additions and deletions in the microsomal fraction. While identification of the effected proteins awaits further study, these results lend support to the notion that in vitro toxicity testing methods may serve as viable adjuncts to traditional systems with the inclusion of two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.

ABSTRACTS  
HUMAN RESOURCES LABORATORY

Hypertext and Intelligent Interfaces  
for Text Retrieval

by  
Patricia Ann Carlson

ABSTRACT

The Integrated Maintenance Information System (IMIS) concept is to provide the technician with all logistical, operational, technical, training, and diagnostic information for aircraft repair. Because of the sheer amount of information being integrated, user overload is a significant concern. The traditional solution to this problem of presenting complex information in a timely fashion is to design a consistent display format and to employ standard commands. At a deeper level, however, questions of information integration become issues of information engineering and the nature of knowledge structures. At this level, the definition of user interface takes on a more sophisticated meaning.

The hypertext concept considers a body of knowledge as a database -- potentially a highly organized, compressed structure of richly interconnected "chunks" -- and allows for flexible indexing and retrieval by implementing a "smart" interface (a programmable "idea processing" mechanism). Hypertext, as the backbone for development philosophy, permits advanced design features -- such as enhanced functionality, customized views, and improved knowledge synthesis and representation -- which, in turn, increase the user's ability to interact productively with information.

Any successful electronic publishing system must provide access to text and graphics in a timely and meaningful fashion. This study identifies three categories of retrieval facilities and considers their efficacy when combined with hypertext. Because of its structure and connectivity, a hypergraph (hypertext web) incorporates intelligence, perhaps more than is possible with most current, static database storage representations. The purpose of this study is to suggest retrieval facilities that will squeeze as much functionality out of the hypergraph as possible. The end results of the investigation also include four "toy-world" interfaces built to demonstrate the characteristics of information retrieval using a hypertext database.

# Linking Training Evaluation to Training Needs Assessment

## Development of a Conceptual Model

by

J. Kevin Ford, PhD.

### ABSTRACT

A critical linkage in training systems is the translation of training evaluation information for reassessing training needs and for making training program changes. This report presents the development of a conceptual framework for examining the job relevancy and efficiency of training and the linkage of this evaluative information to training needs reassessment. How to integrate job performance information into the existing training evaluation system for identifying over- and under-trained tasks is also described. Recommendations for future research to identify the content domain of an Airman Basic in Residence (ABR) Training program and to integrate performance information into the evaluation system is presented.

A CONCEPT FOR AN INTELLIGENT TOOL TO FACILITATE  
THE DEVELOPMENT OF QUALITATIVE PROCESS MODELS  
IN NOVICE PROGRAMMERS

by

Hugh P. Garraway

ABSTRACT

This document relates the learning environment for computer programming and the development of students' mental models for programming. Problems with this environment are discussed through a review of previous research and a design is proposed for a software tool, an intelligent debugging assistant incorporating artificial intelligence techniques, to advise and direct novice programmers through strategic debugging paths. This tool will allow a student to confer with an "expert" during the debugging of programs under development. This tool should help students to more quickly develop an accurate qualitative process model for programming and thus resolve a problem area associated with learning to program in the Air Force and academia. The resulting tool could become a component of an Intelligent Tutoring System.

A Tool for Studying the Effect of  
Range Restriction on Correlation  
Coefficient Estimation

by

Douglas E. Jackson

ABSTRACT

It frequently happens that one must try to estimate the correlation coefficient between two random variables,  $X$  and  $Y$ , in some population  $P$  using data taken from a population  $Q$ , where  $Q$  is a proper subset of  $P$ . For example  $X$  and  $Y$  might be performance scores,  $P$  the set of individuals trying to gain acceptance into the armed services, and  $Q$  the subset of  $P$  consisting of those accepted. If  $X$  or  $Y$  or both are not part of the screening tests used as the basis for selection, then for at least one of these scores we have no data outside  $Q$ . We can administer tests to the members of  $Q$  and hence obtain data which may be used to estimate  $\rho_{X^*, Y^*}$  [ $X^*$  and  $Y^*$  are  $X$  and  $Y$  restricted to  $Q$ ]. Now suppose that  $X$  is a criterion variable and we wish to measure the value of  $Y$  as a means of selecting individuals who will have high  $X$  scores. Obviously we want to know  $\rho_{X, Y}$  and not  $\rho_{X^*, Y^*}$ . This paper has two purposes. The first is to present the equations involved in such a way that the problem becomes more intuitively understandable. The second is to describe a monte-carlo program written to simulate repeated sampling from  $Q$ . This program displays the sampling distribution of the traditional estimator for  $\rho_{X^*, Y^*}$  and of a proposed statistic for estimating  $\rho_{X, Y}$ . This proposed statistic is sometimes called the Pearson correction formula for range restriction. Presently the program assumes that the joint distribution of all variables is multinormal.

Evaluation of a Methodology for Estimating Cross-AFS  
Transferability of Skills

by

Charles E. Lance

ABSTRACT

A Skills and Knowledge Questionnaire (SKQ) was designed for the collection of experienced airmen's ratings of the job content of 47 selected Air Force Specialties (AFSs) for the purposes of: (a) evaluating the usefulness of an Occupational Measurement Center (OMC) skill/task taxonomy for assessing cross-AFS job content similarity, (b) examining the feasibility of measuring skill requirements using Subject Matter Expert (SME) judgments, and (c) identifying procedures for calculating cross-AFS relative ease-of-movement predictions. "Part-of-Job," "Relative Time Spent," and "Months to Proficiency" ratings on 26 task categories were completed by 675 7-skill level respondents in 47 AFSs. Results indicated that (a) experienced airmen made reliable judgments about the task content of AFSs, (b) SKQ ratings effectively distinguished among AFSs on the basis of task content, and (c) one method for estimating cross-AFS relative ease-of-movement produced predictions which were consistent with AFS differences in Occupational Learning Difficulty and ASVAB aptitude area qualifying scores. Ease-of-movement predictions need to be validated against the actual ease with which airmen are able to attain proficiency in a new AFS.

An Expert System Approach  
for Reliability Data Analysis

by

Thomas L. Landers, Ph.D., P.E.

ABSTRACT

An expert system approach was investigated for statistical analysis of failure data in a RAMCAD environment. The research emphasized definitions of the concept, functional requirements and knowledge base. The NASA CLIPS expert system shell was selected for prototyping. The project used actual field data, from the F-16 central air data computer and radar power supply, for purposes of testing and demonstration. The expert system approach proved to be feasible for aiding engineers in failure data analysis. A rule-based expert system shell was suitable for prototyping but additional research is needed to determine resource requirements for a full-scale application.

No Abstract Submitted At This Time

Dr. Douglas Mandra

GRAPHICAL PROGRAMMING OF SIMULATION MODELS  
IN OBJECT-ORIENTED ENVIRONMENT

by

Mufit H. Ozden

ABSTRACT

Graphical programming has been used in conjunction with conventional simulation languages via block diagrams or activity networks. Its beneficial effects on programming and modeling in simulation have been accepted by everyone involved in these languages. However, none of these conventional techniques is truly interactive. Given the level of the current hardware and software technology, it is possible to design a very good graphical programming system which supports an interactive incremental programming style in specifications of simulation models. The benefit of such a visual system would go beyond the modeling phase of a simulation study and it might as well be realized in understanding the behavior of complex problems, in being a communication and training medium for the user and developers, and finally in presenting the simulation results.

In this study, the graphical programming methodology has been investigated from the perspective of object-oriented simulation. The truly interactive and graphical orientation of some of the object-oriented languages (e.g., Smalltalk-80) has opened up new avenues of research in this very important topic. Today, the nature of this type of research will be not whether it can be done but how the known techniques should be combined to yield the highest benefit.

A Study of Interaction Between Job Properties and  
Personal Characteristics in the New PACE System

by

Dharam S. Rana

ABSTRACT

This study examined the feasibility of studying interaction between job properties and personal characteristics in the new processing and classification of nonprior-service enlistees (PACE) system. A general linear model was developed and successfully applied to assess interaction in a test example. The model was also applied to analyze interaction in the Administrative aptitude area for a particular weekly batch of the Air Force trainees. The results indicated that nearly 33 percent of the variance of the "final payoff" variable was accounted for by the interaction (residual). To investigate underlying distributions of the PACE variables, goodness-of-fit tests were performed. The findings indicated that the PACE variables with the exception of "objective interest" do not follow a normal distribution. Correlations among payoffs of different Air Force Specialties in the Administrative area were also computed for a week group of recruits.

# An Intelligent Tutor for the IBM System/360 Assembly Language: BIGBLUE

by

Sunita S. Rana &  
Charles Drake

## ABSTRACT

The Air Force Human Resources Laboratory (AFHRL) at Brooks Air Force Base in San Antonio, Texas is a major research center for developing Artificial Intelligence (AI) and ways in which to utilize this intelligence for the military. There is a need to supplement or replace a shrinking pool of human Air Force instructors.

Assembly language is taught at the Air Force Technical School and also as a part of the CDC 49152 for Information Systems Programming Specialist in the Air Force. The IBM system 360/370 mainframe is the hardware most commonly found at Air Force installations. During my summer research here, an attempt was made to develop an Intelligent Tutoring System (ITS) for the IBM 360/370 Assembly Language. Thus far, all ITSs in the computer programming area have been attempted for high-level languages (frequently Pascal). It was a challenge to develop one for a low-level language. Low-level languages are machine dependent and more difficult to code, as each instruction directly manipulates hardware of the machine. Assembly language is more a tool to teach computer architecture than programming style. Therefore, an ITS on assembly language requires an explanation in tandem with each instruction of the hardware basis for doing so. This is also a required language for graduate and undergraduate students at Jackson State University. The tutor was named BIGBLUE for IBM's System/360.

PRELIMINARY DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS FOR AN  
ADVANCED INSTRUCTIONAL DESIGN ADVISOR

by

Jonathan Michael Spector

ABSTRACT

This is a general description of an automated and intelligent tool to assist course authors in instructional design. The problem addressed by this research is the difficulty and expense of designing effective instructional materials given the complexities of advanced hardware and software technologies and the variety of instructional settings. Many automated tools to support the instructional process are being developed. However, no existing systems address the general issue of effective instructional design at the course level. An Advanced Instructional Design Advisor (AIDA) can and should be built to aid the process of course design and development. This tool should be designed within the context of a standard design philosophy for all tools developed to support the instructional process. The tool should incorporate many instructional models and prescriptions for their use at the course or course module level.

EFFECTIVENESS OF CONTRACT MONITORS IN AN AIR FORCE  
HUMAN RESOURCES LABORATORY: PREDICTION AND MEASUREMENT

by

L. Alan Witt, Ph.D

and

Mark N. Beorkrem

ABSTRACT

Facing decreasing operating budgets, Air Force R&D laboratories must increase the productivity of their human resources in order to maintain expected levels of performance. As a step toward that end, the multivariate study partially described in this report was designed to identify individual and situation-level factors that are related to the effectiveness of contract monitor/researchers at the Operations Training Division of the Human Resources Laboratory at Williams AFB, Arizona. Effectiveness criterion data were collected from managerial ratings, organization archives, and questionnaires administered to the contract monitors/researchers. The cognitive and affective reactions of personnel to their work environment were assessed by interviews and the above-mentioned questionnaire. Results indicated several perceptual/affective and behavioral predictors of effectiveness.

**COMPUTER SUPPORT of CREATIVITY**  
**in UNIFIED LIFE CYCLE ENGINEERING**

by

Lawrence F. Young, D. Sc.

**ABSTRACT**

The Air Force program called Unified Life Cycle Engineering (ULCE) is concerned with more effectively designing producibility and supportability, as well as performance, cost, and scheduling, into new weapon systems. A main thrust of this program is to identify functions for a Decision Support System (DSS) for ULCE. As one aspect of DSS for ULCE, this project makes an assessment of the opportunity for computer support of creativity in the systems development process. It first examines the systems engineering and design process generically, then the specific nature of the Air Force weapons acquisition process, and thirdly, creativity support functions that might impact the problem requirements definition and systems design phases. Recommendations are made to develop computer support for requirements specification and systems design.

# The Relationship between Inspection Time and Intelligence

by

Robert K. Young

## ABSTRACT

The relationship between inspection time and intelligence was investigated using a relatively homogeneous population: Air Force recruits. Inspection time is defined as the minimum time necessary to see a difference between two or more items. In the usual task, two lines are presented for an extremely short duration and the task of the subject is to indicate which of the two lines is shorter. Previous research was replicated using a relatively large sample size ( $N = 113$ ).

Consistent with previously reported studies, a correlation between inspection time and the Cattell Culture Fair Test, the measure of intelligence employed, was found for the entire sample ( $r = 0.34$ ). Additional analyses indicated that some subjects used an apparent movement strategy. For those subjects no relationship was found between measure of intelligence and inspection time ( $r = 0.21$ ). But for those subjects who did not use a strategy, a relatively high correlation was found ( $r = 0.56$ ) between inspection-time performance and our measure of intelligence. However, no mean difference in inspection time was found between the strategy and non-strategy groups. Nor was there any mean difference found between the strategy and non-strategy groups in the measure of intelligence employed.

ABSTRACTS  
OCCUPATIONALAL AND ENVIRONMENT HEALTH LABORATORY

## Solvent Extraction of Boron from Wastewater

by

Steven C. Chiesa

### ABSTRACT

As the demand for irrigation water throughout the western portion of the United States grows, the use of reclaimed wastewater for agricultural use is being contemplated with increasingly greater frequency. The suitability of reclaimed wastewater for irrigation is strongly dependent on the post-treatment concentration of certain effluent constituents. Boron is one of these critical effluent constituents. When present in sufficiently high concentrations, boron is toxic to many forms of plant life, including many agriculturally valuable crops. Boron behaves as a conservative pollutant in conventional secondary wastewater treatment systems with little net removal normally expected or observed. Pretreatment of industrial wastewaters to remove boron may, accordingly, be necessary where locally high effluent boron concentrations limit the beneficial uses of reclaimed wastewaters and/or surface water receiving conventionally treated effluents.

This research project evaluated liquid/liquid extraction as a means of removing boron from industrial wastewaters. An Air Force photography lab wastewater was used as a test case. An aliphatic alcohol, 2-ethylhexanol, was employed in a series of batch experiments as an extractant. A mass distribution coefficient of approximately 0.3 was determined for the wastewater/organic solvent system. The distribution coefficient was independent of pH in the range of 3.4 to 7.4 and was also unaffected by the presence of compounds identified in the literature as "salting out" agents.

Extention of the Detection Limits of Arsenic and Selenium  
in Solid Samples by ICP/AES Utilizing Preconcentration  
Techniques

by

Randolph B. Huff

ABSTRACT

The extention of the detection limit for arsenic by ICP/AES analysis was extended from 0.5 ppm to 0.1 ppm by selecting a different emission line for analysis other than the one normally used for arsenic determination by ICP/AES. The preconcentration of selenium by extraction as the diethyldithiocarbamate, prior to ICP/AES analysis, was proven to be a feasible route for extending the detection limit of selenium by ICP/AES.

Determination of Asbestos Fibers in Environmental  
Samples Using Scanning Electron Microscopy and  
Energy Dispersive X-ray Analyses (SEM-EDXA)

by

Larry R. Sherman

ABSTRACT

Large numbers of environmental samples are submitted to the OEHL/SA laboratory for fiber counting. The fibers often need to be identified by a rapid inexpensive method to supply information to the people who make health hazard decisions. SEM-EDXA was selected to provide this information using IUCC, NIOSH and NBS reference materials.

Field samples were mounted on SEM studs, coated with a Au/Pd coating, scanned at 600x and 2000x with an Amray 1820 SEM to determine if the morphology of the fibers warranted analyses. EDXA analysis was performed with a Tracor Northern Series II X-ray analyzer (TN-II). An SQ software package (© Tracor Northern) was used to acquire data and quantitate the X-ray data for Si, Mg, Fe, Ca, Mn and Na using atomic number (Z), absorption (A) and fluorescent (F) corrections. The element mass ratios (Mg/Si, Fe/Si, Ca/Si, Mn/Si and Na/Si) were calculated along with the cation/anion ratios for the fibers. The stoichiometric Si content was normalized to 8 and used as the anion factor. The stoichiometric sum of the other five metals normalization was used as the cation ratio. Element mass ratios and cation/anion ratios along with morphology were

compared with empirical data derived from reference standards to make judgments as to the asbestos type.

In blind tests, the correct assignments were made for more than 95% of the fibers. Computer programs have been prepared for a semi-routine analyses of fibers. A single fiber analysis requires approximately 10 minutes, after mounting the sample in the SEM chamber.

Analysis of Contaminated Ground Water  
Using Kriging Methods

by

Gary R. Stevens

ABSTRACT

Two plumes of contaminated ground water at Wurtsmith Air Force Base in Michigan are analyzed using Kriging, a stochastic method for interpolation of spatially correlated data. The methodology is extended to create zones of influence for the monitoring wells in the contamination plumes. These zones are then used to help determine a sampling plan for future monitoring of the ground water in these plumes. This new methodology is then verified by the use of cross validation and prediction intervals for five data sets from two plumes at the Air Force Base.

A more standard statistical analysis involving tests of hypotheses is used to establish the frequency of sampling for the wells used in future monitoring of the plumes.

ABSTRACTS  
SCHOOL OF AEROSPACE MEDICINE

Blood Flow Distribution In The Non-Working  
Forearm During Exercise

by

Ronald Bulbulian

ABSTRACT

A non-invasive method for determining forearm blood flow was investigated by combining Laser doppler velocimetry and strain gauge plethysmography. Procedures were developed for deriving absolute muscle blood flow in non-working musculature during leg exercise on a cycle ergometer. Experimental artifact associated with heart rate, exercise (movement) dehydration, and instrumentation calibration were thoroughly investigated and identified. Equipment was designed and manufactured to control motion artifact and non-experimental (error) signals associated with skin (Lazer) and forearm (plethysmography) blood flow. It is determined from the pilot data completed that the procedures and equipment developed is appropriate for quantitative fractionation of forearm blood flow into skin and muscle blood flow in non-working muscle when an accurate assumption or measurement of resting skin blood flow is available.

PHOTOPHYSICS AND PHOTOCHEMISTRY OF TRANSITION

METAL COMPLEXES

OF 8-QUINOLINAMINE SCHIFF BASES

by

John A. Burke, Jr.

ABSTRACT

Interaction between 633 and 532 nm laser beams has been observed when these beams intersect orthogonally in a solution of a cobalt(II) Schiff base complex derived from 8-quinolinamine and pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde. The effect observed is dependent on the power density of the 532 irradiation and the alignment of the two intersecting beams. The power density dependence follows that observed for standard saturation phenomena and has been fitted to the expression

$$f(P) = \frac{96.6P^2}{100P^2 + 0.097} \quad (1)$$

where P is the relative power of the 532 laser beam and the function, f(P), is the change in optical density observed when the sample is irradiated. Other complexes have been prepared and investigated for beam interaction effects but none have been observed.

Immunocytochemical Localization of Vasoactive Intestinal  
Peptide, Neuropeptide Y and Arginine Vasopressin  
within the Suprachiasmatic Nuclei of the Rat

by

Bennye S. Henderson

ABSTRACT

Neurons that secrete vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP), neuropeptide Y (NPY) and arginine vasopressin (AVP) were localized within the rat suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN) using an indirect immunofluorescence technique. VIP-immunoreactive cell bodies were observed only along the ventrolateral border of the nucleus in close association with the optic chiasm. VIP-containing fibers were distributed throughout the nucleus and extended into the surrounding hypothalamus. In contrast, AVP-containing cell bodies were concentrated in the dorsal half of the nucleus. AVP-immunoreactive fibers innervated the dorsal aspect of the SCN and many projections were observed ascending in the direction of the paraventricular nucleus. NPY-positive fibers were concentrated in the ventral half of the SCN. NPY-containing cell bodies were not observed.

## ABSTRACT

### Development of Improved Assays for Cholesterol and Major Lipoprotein Fractions

by

Eric R. Johnson, Ph.D., and Thomas E. Lane

A sensitive method suitable for the analysis of subnanogram amounts of cholesterol by electron capture gas chromatography has been developed. The method involves extraction of cholesterol and cholesterol esters from saliva or urine followed by hydrolysis and derivatization with 2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorobenzoyl (PFB-) chloride. The yield of the PFB-esters of cholesterol and the internal standard epicoprostanol was in excess of 99%. The method has a lower limit of sensitivity for cholesterol of approximately 500 pg injected, which corresponds to 250 ng per mL of saliva or urine. The coefficient of variation for the extraction and analysis of cholesterol from urine samples was found to be 4.2% (cholesterol concentration 570 ng/mL). This method, which is approximately 1000 times more sensitive than gas chromatographic methods utilizing flame ionization detection, is applicable to the analysis of non-esterified cholesterol and total cholesterol (cholesterol plus cholesterol esters) in saliva and urine.

Development of an improved high performance liquid chromatographic assay for the major lipoprotein fractions in serum was also initiated. A system comprised of three size exclusion columns linked in series was found to give good resolution between low density lipoprotein, high density lipoprotein, and albumin.

PLASMA CATECHOLAMINE ASSAYS BY  
HIGH PERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY

by

Daisy White Kimble

ABSTRACT

In a study of G-Loss of Consciousness (G-LOC) in pilots, there is a loss of blood flow to the brain. Catecholamines are central nervous system neurotransmitters which may be important in the maintenance of consciousness and in other neurophysiological functions such as heart rate control, etc. Additionally, these amines are released systematically and have direct influence on hemodynamics, heart function and thus G-Tolerance. In order to determine the concentration and physiological effects of catecholamines in blood plasma, an analytical assay was developed for norepinephrine (NE), epinephrine (E) and dopamine (DA). This assay consists of five steps: (1) separation of plasma from whole blood samples, (2) adsorption of the catecholamines from plasma onto alumina, (3) washing the alumina, (4) desorption of catecholamines from the alumina with acid and (5) quantitation by high performance liquid chromatography. The internal standard used was dihydroxybenzylamine (DHBA).

Application of Nonlinear Filters  
to VEP Data

by

Harold Longbotham, Ph.D.  
Jim Roberts, Graduate Student

Abstract

To date, data reduction of steady state VEP data has relied on properties of linear filters. While linear filters are useful in the frequency domain, the technique of noise reduction in analysis of VEP data relies on estimating an unknown constant signal imbedded in an unknown noise. The averager is used currently to estimate this constant signal.

It has been shown that if one assumes the noise is zero mean, white, and considers the nonlinear class of OS (order statistic) filters, the averager is not the optimal filter unless the noise is normally distributed. In this work we demonstrate that for the VEP data considered and the six OS filters used, there is one OS filter that is consistently better than the averager, one that is equivalent, and the averager is better than the other four. This indicates not only that new filtering techniques should be used, but also gives an indication as to the noise distribution.

Extensions of Several Difference Score Approaches for the  
Analysis of Time Ordered Repeated Measures

by

David A. Ludwig

ABSTRACT

Extensions of several difference score approaches for the analysis of repeated measures experiments involving more than two time periods are presented. They are easily understood and interpreted by research workers while being mathematically equivalent, and in some cases, an improvement over more complex forms of the split-plot analysis of variance and covariance.

## Spin Label Studies of Oxygen in Biological Systems

by

William Z. Plachy, Ph.D.

### ABSTRACT

Experimental studies were initiated to examine the effect of high oxygen tensions on the membrane of the red blood cell, RBC. Electron Spin Resonance, ESR, spectroscopy was employed using custom designed spin probes. The product of the oxygen concentration in the membrane times the oxygen diffusion rate in the membrane was shown to be significantly less than that same product in a simple phospholipid bilayer model membrane. The experimental evidence suggests that high oxygen tensions employed (2.6 atm) do not cause a significant change in the membrane viscosity after 16 hour exposure times. However, evidence was also obtained that suggests that the spin probes themselves may protect the RBC membrane from oxy free radical damage by acting as radical scavengers.

Experiments were designed to test the idea that significant quantities of hyperbaric oxygen could be delivered to the body via a transcutaneous pathway. This pathway would likely require that the natural barrier to transcutaneous oxygen absorption be disrupted temporarily by the use of a permeation enhancer. It is suggested that perfluorinated chemicals may serve as good permeation enhancers for oxygen. The proposed experiments use spin labels in excised porcine skin samples. It is suggested that this transcutaneous mode may prove to be a viable alternative to the conventional mode of delivery of hyperbaric oxygen to some patients.

The stinging wasps (Hymenoptera: Vespidae) of south Texas

by

Hal C. Reed

ABSTRACT

A survey of stinging wasps and their importance to the U. S. Air Force was conducted at Brooks AFB, TX. Collections of individuals and colonies and examinations of regional insect museums revealed the presence of 19 species in south Texas, but only 12 of these occur in the San Antonio area. The most abundant paper wasp in this area is Folistes exilans as 75% of all nests collected or encountered belonged to this species. Three yellowjackets occur in this area and Vespula squamosa is the most common of the three species. A revised fact sheet on wasps, a preliminary field key to vespid wasps, and a reference collection was provided the Medical Entomology Section. Examining the pest control records of three local USAF bases reveal that 4% of all animal-related control jobs involved destruction of stinging wasp colonies. Wasp sting allergy among patients at Wilford Hall (Lackland AFB) was the second most important arthropod-induced allergy next to fire ant allergy cases. A preliminary experiment on alarming chemicals in paper wasps demonstrated that methylene chloride extracts of the venom sac elicited defensive behavior.

# MODELING OF BLOOD FLOW IN THE SYSTEMIC HUMAN ARTERIAL TREE

by

Thomas R. Rogge

## ABSTRACT

The development of a mathematical/computer model of blood flow in the systemic human arterial tree was the project undertaken for the summer. An existing program, based on the finite element method for certain arterial segments, was used as a starting point. The model uses the one-dimensional field equations to simulate pressure and flow waveforms in the arterial segments. The model takes into account taper, nonlinear material behavior, constriction of the arteries (stenosis), and allows a set of different boundary conditions at the proximal and distal ends of the tree. A set of parameters, such as arterial segment geometry and compliances, was compiled and estimates of the resistances and compliance in the distal Windkessel model were obtained. The effect of G-forces was included in the model and simulations comparing a zero G-force to a 1G-force in the arm and leg arteries were made.

THE SEPARATION OF HDL2 AND HDL3  
USING THE TECHNIQUE OF ULTRACENTRIFUGATION

by

Joe M. Ross

ABSTRACT

A Beckman TL100 ultracentrifuge fitted with a TL55 swinging bucket rotor was used to:

- (1) separate whole serum into two fractions - one containing both LDL and HDL and one containing the remaining serum proteins;
- (2) separate whole serum into four fractions - one containing only LDL, one containing only HDL2, one containing only HDL3, and one containing the remaining serum proteins.

After centrifugation, fractions were collected using a Beckman fraction recovery system. The purity of each fraction is checked using a Hewlett Packard HP1090 liquid chromatograph.

An Experimental Design To Demonstrate The Dispersion  
Effects Of Salt Water On Optical Pulses

By

Dhiraj K. Sardar

ABSTRACT

First, the dispersion properties of electromagnetic pulses are elucidated in this report, especially, the spreading of electromagnetic pulses traveling through a dispersive medium is discussed in detail. Second, the qualitative differences between the classic and the modern descriptions of the so-called precursors are illustrated. Finally, described is a detailed experimental design which can be employed to observe the spreading of optical pulses propagating through salt water and thus demonstrate their dispersion effects.

LITERATURE SEARCH ON NUTRITION AND THE RELATION  
TO TACTICAL AIR COMMAND PILOTS, G-TOLERANCE AND ENERGY OUTPUT

By

Sonia Hart Sawtelle

Studies have shown that irregular and insufficient caloric intake may influence the nutritional state of pilots causing hypoglycemia, reducing G-tolerance and even provoking premature G-induced loss of consciousness. The literature in acceleration physiology has noted that nutrition does play a role in G-tolerance and G-stress.

Extensive library research was done to provide the groundwork for nutrition and the Tactical Air Command pilot; nutrition and G-tolerance; energy output of fighter pilots; special diets for fighter pilots; current dietary recommendations for the Tactical Air command pilot; hypoglycemia and it's relation to G-tolerance; the relation between Tactical Air Command pilots and specific athletic groups of similar energy outputs; and the possibilities of diminishing the fatigue factor of pilots through improved nutrition.

The significance of the literature is discussed and recommendations are suggested for further study.

## A Small Inert-gas Generator

by

Paul O. Scheie

### ABSTRACT

A small, inert-gas generator (SIGG) was assembled using type 4A molecular sieve subjected to pressure swing adsorption. Properties of cylindrical beds in tubes 1" x 16", 24", and 36" were studied at 20, 30, and 40 psig, with flow rates of 150, 300, 500, and 1000 cc/min, and cycle times of 4, 8, 12, and 16 sec. A variety of purge flows was used. Gas which was up to 99.9% inert was generated, and a mathematical model was formulated to describe the results under conditions of no purge flow. For a given bed size, the constraints for low oxygen content in the product gas included a cycle time of at least 8 sec, a delivered volume less than the void volume of a bed, and a ratio of product flow to inlet pressure of less than 20 cc/min-psig.

HIGH PERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY (HPLC)

DETERMINATION OF HIGH ENERGY PHOSPHATE POOL

BY

Sharon T. Williams

ABSTRACT

A High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) procedure was performed for the analysis of the high energy phosphate nucleotides in platelet rich plasma (PRP) fractions of various subjects. The analytical procedure involved the extraction of platelets from whole blood, centrifugation, aspiration of the supernatant, and a freeze-thaw cycle. Finally, the samples were analyzed for the nucleotides using the HPLC. At present, only one compound was evaluated for use as an internal standard. This compound was 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl) propionic acid. We found that the retention time of this internal standard was too close to one of the nucleotides being analyzed.

The nucleotide composition was determined in the PRP of various subjects. Concentration levels of high energy phosphates in small animals was not determined as originally planned due to lack of equipment (under repair). Therefore plasma was used as a substitute for the biological unknown. We used the Rao et al. [9] HPLC procedure for analyzing the concentration of the adenine nucleotide phosphates from human blood. In the future we hope this HPLC procedure will

provide the specificity and sensitivity needed for the quantitation of these nucleotides and thus brain energy depletion in animal models before and after G induced Loss of Consciousness (G-LOC).

Chemiluminescent Probes Based on Luminol  
and Luminol Derivatives

by

John R. Wright

ABSTRACT

Luminol reacts with 3-aminotyrosine (3AT) in the presence of nitrite ion to form brown, melanin-like products which have potential value as biological probe substances. The reaction yield is optimal when the 3AT/luminol molar ratio is about 1.6, and zone electrophoresis at pH 6 reveals two products which are unique to this reaction mixture. One product is neutral/insoluble and the other is an anion. Both are chemiluminescent. In related work it was found that colloidal copper(II) hydroxide effects a temperature-sensitive catalysis of the luminol/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> chemiluminescent reaction suitable for thermal dosimetry (*i.e.*, for measuring the spatial distribution of RF energy deposition). The empirical equation for luminosity as a function of temperature (<sup>o</sup>K) is  $I/I_0 = e(-19,400/RT)$ , where  $R = 1.987$  cal/<sup>o</sup>K-mol. An analogous dosimeter based on cobalt(II) produces a bright, transient chemiluminescent reaction when CO<sub>2</sub> is introduced. Also, diazotizing reactions with luminol alone produce a product which chemiluminesces brightly with alkaline peroxide and no activating metal ions.

ABSTRACTS  
WILFORD HALL MEDICAL CENTER

## PC - MAINFRAME INTERFACE FOR DATA ENTRY

by

David R. Cecil

### ABSTRACT

A microcomputer based data entry system was developed to enable researchers to enter their data sets directly to floppy diskettes. Two versions were created, one for hard disk drive PCs and the other for floppy drive only micros. Menus, on-line help, and error recovery were built in as was hard-copy documentation since prior computer knowledge on the part of the end-user researcher was not assumed.

In addition a conversion/interface computer program was designed and implemented for Statistical Consulting to convert the floppy diskette data sets into forms both readable by the statistical package SPSS-X and transmission acceptable to the mainframe VAX computers at WHMC.

## ORAL HEALTH

by

Jorge L. Sintes, D.M.D., Ph.D.

### ABSTRACT

This project describes the research activities performed by the author during his Summer Faculty Research appointment at Wilford Hall Medical Center, Benjamin Dunn Dental Clinic. Participation in various on-going research projects was part of the experience. These research activities included: a) a review of the literature related to 'Effects of smokeless tobacco and food intake on the oral mucosa of Air Force basic trainees', b) participation in 'Resistance form created by 11 pin types in complex amalgam restorations' and c) participation in 'Finishing techniques for composite resin'. In addition, the author submitted a protocol entitled 'Effects of biological stressors on salivary cortisol levels and subsequent bioavailability of salivary proteins and calcium' to the WHMC Institutional Review Committee for approval. Collection of salivary samples from various patients with Graves' disease has been accomplished.