

AD-A205 259

AVF Control Number: AVF-VSR-162.1088
88-02-17-MSS

Ada COMPILER
VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT:
Certificate Number: 880605W1.09078
Meridian Software Systems, Inc.
Meridian AdaVantage 2.2
Zenith Z-248

DTIC
SELECTED
MAR 08 1989
S D
CSD

Completion of On-Site Testing:
8 June 1988

Prepared By:
Ada Validation Facility
ASD/SCEL
Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-6503

Prepared For:
Ada Joint Program Office
United States Department of Defense
Washington DC 20301-3081

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT 1
Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited

UNCLASSIFIED

ADA205259

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	12. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Ada Compiler Validation Summary Report: Meridian Software Systems, Inc., Meridian AdaVantage 2.2, Zenith Z-248 (Host and Target), (880605W1.09078).		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED 8 June 1988 to 8 June 1989
7. AUTHOR(s) Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio, U.S.A.		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION AND ADDRESS Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio, U.S.A.		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Ada Joint Program Office United States Department of Defense Washington, DC 20301-3081		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (If different from Controlling Office) Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Dayton, Ohio, U.S.A.		12. REPORT DATE 8 June 1988
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 33 p.
		15. SECURITY CLASS (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE N/A
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20. If different from Report) UNCLASSIFIED		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEYWORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Ada Programming language, Ada Compiler Validation Summary Report, Ada Compiler Validation Capability, ACVC, Validation Testing, Ada Validation Office, AVO, Ada Validation Facility, AVF, ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A, Ada Joint Program Office, AJPO		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Meridian Software Systems, Inc., Meridian AdaVantage 2.2, Zenith Z-248 with Floating Point Co-Processor under MS/DOS 3.10 (Host and Target), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, ACVC 1.9.		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	PURPOSE OF THIS VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT	1-2
1.2	USE OF THIS VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT	1-2
1.3	REFERENCES	1-3
1.4	DEFINITION OF TERMS	1-3
1.5	ACVC TEST CLASSES	1-4
CHAPTER 2	CONFIGURATION INFORMATION	
2.1	CONFIGURATION TESTED	2-1
2.2	IMPLEMENTATION CHARACTERISTICS	2-2
CHAPTER 3	TEST INFORMATION	
3.1	TEST RESULTS	3-1
3.2	SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS BY CLASS	3-1
3.3	SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS BY CHAPTER	3-2
3.4	WITHDRAWN TESTS	3-2
3.5	INAPPLICABLE TESTS	3-2
3.6	TEST, PROCESSING, AND EVALUATION MODIFICATIONS	3-4
3.7	ADDITIONAL TESTING INFORMATION	3-5
3.7.1	Prevalidation	3-5
3.7.2	Test Method	3-5
3.7.3	Test Site	3-6
APPENDIX A	DECLARATION OF CONFORMANCE	
APPENDIX B	APPENDIX F OF THE Ada STANDARD	
APPENDIX C	TEST PARAMETERS	
APPENDIX D	WITHDRAWN TESTS	

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This Validation Summary Report (VSR) describes the extent to which a specific Ada compiler conforms to the Ada Standard, ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A. This report explains all technical terms used within it and thoroughly reports the results of testing this compiler using the Ada Compiler Validation Capability (ACVC). An Ada compiler must be implemented according to the Ada Standard, and any implementation-dependent features must conform to the requirements of the Ada Standard. The Ada Standard must be implemented in its entirety, and nothing can be implemented that is not in the Standard.

Even though all validated Ada compilers conform to the Ada Standard, it must be understood that some differences do exist between implementations. The Ada Standard permits some implementation dependencies--for example, the maximum length of identifiers or the maximum values of integer types. Other differences between compilers result from the characteristics of particular operating systems, hardware, or implementation strategies. All the dependencies observed during the process of testing this compiler are given in this report.

The information in this report is derived from the test results produced during validation testing. The validation process includes submitting a suite of standardized tests, the ACVC, as inputs to an Ada compiler and evaluating the results. The purpose of validating is to ensure conformity of the compiler to the Ada Standard by testing that the compiler properly implements legal language constructs and that it identifies and rejects illegal language constructs. The testing also identifies behavior that is implementation dependent but permitted by the Ada Standard. Six classes of tests are used. These tests are designed to perform checks at compile time, at link time, and during execution.

INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE OF THIS VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT

This VSR documents the results of the validation testing performed on an Ada compiler. Testing was carried out for the following purposes:

- . To attempt to identify any language constructs supported by the compiler that do not conform to the Ada Standard
- . To attempt to identify any language constructs not supported by the compiler but required by the Ada Standard
- . To determine that the implementation-dependent behavior is allowed by the Ada Standard

Testing of this compiler was conducted by SofTech, Inc. under the direction of the AVF according to procedures established by the Ada Joint Program Office and administered by the Ada Validation Organization (AVO). On-site testing was completed 8 June 1988 at Laguna Hills, CA.

1.2 USE OF THIS VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT

Consistent with the national laws of the originating country, the AVO may make full and free public disclosure of this report. In the United States, this is provided in accordance with the "Freedom of Information Act" (5 U.S.C. #552). The results of this validation apply only to the computers, operating systems, and compiler versions identified in this report.

The organizations represented on the signature page of this report do not represent or warrant that all statements set forth in this report are accurate and complete, or that the subject compiler has no nonconformities to the Ada Standard other than those presented. Copies of this report are available to the public from:

Ada Information Clearinghouse
Ada Joint Program Office
OUSDRE
The Pentagon, Rm 3D-139 (Fern Street)
Washington DC 20301-3081

or from:

Ada Validation Facility
ASD/SCEL
Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-6503

Questions regarding this report or the validation test results should be directed to the AVF listed above or to:

Ada Validation Organization
 Institute for Defense Analyses
 1801 North Beauregard Street
 Alexandria VA 22311

1.3 REFERENCES

1. Reference Manual for the Ada Programming Language, ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A, February 1983 and ISO 8652-1987.
2. Ada Compiler Validation Procedures and Guidelines, Ada Joint Program Office, 1 January 1987.
3. Ada Compiler Validation Capability Implementers' Guide, SofTech, Inc., December 1986.
4. Ada Compiler Validation Capability User's Guide, December 1986.

1.4 DEFINITION OF TERMS

ACVC	The Ada Compiler Validation Capability. The set of Ada programs that tests the conformity of an Ada compiler to the Ada programming language.
Ada Commentary	An Ada Commentary contains all information relevant to the point addressed by a comment on the Ada Standard. These comments are given a unique identification number having the form AI-ddddd.
Ada Standard	ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A, February 1983 and ISO 8652-1987.
Applicant	The agency requesting validation.
AVF	The Ada Validation Facility. The AVF is responsible for conducting compiler validations according to procedures contained in the <u>Ada Compiler Validation Procedures and Guidelines</u> .
AVO	The Ada Validation Organization. The AVO has oversight authority over all AVF practices for the purpose of maintaining a uniform process for validation of Ada compilers. The AVO provides administrative and technical

INTRODUCTION

support for Ada validations to ensure consistent practices.

Compiler	A processor for the Ada language. In the context of this report, a compiler is any language processor, including cross-compilers, translators, and interpreters.
Failed test	An ACVC test for which the compiler generates a result that demonstrates nonconformity to the Ada Standard.
Host	The computer on which the compiler resides.
Inapplicable test	An ACVC test that uses features of the language that a compiler is not required to support or may legitimately support in a way other than the one expected by the test.
Passed test	An ACVC test for which a compiler generates the expected result.
Target	The computer for which a compiler generates code.
Test	A program that checks a compiler's conformity regarding a particular feature or a combination of features to the Ada Standard. In the context of this report, the term is used to designate a single test, which may comprise one or more files.
Withdrawn test	An ACVC test found to be incorrect and not used to check conformity to the Ada Standard. A test may be incorrect because it has an invalid test objective, fails to meet its test objective, or contains illegal or erroneous use of the language.

1.5 ACVC TEST CLASSES

Conformity to the Ada Standard is measured using the ACVC. The ACVC contains both legal and illegal Ada programs structured into six test classes: A, B, C, D, E, and L. The first letter of a test name identifies the class to which it belongs. Class A, C, D, and E tests are executable, and special program units are used to report their results during execution. Class B tests are expected to produce compilation errors. Class L tests are expected to produce compilation or link errors.

Class A tests check that legal Ada programs can be successfully compiled and executed. There are no explicit program components in a Class A test to check semantics. For example, a Class A test checks that reserved words of another language (other than those already reserved in the Ada language) are not treated as reserved words by an Ada compiler. A Class A test is passed if no errors are detected at compile time and the program executes to produce a PASSED message.

Class B tests check that a compiler detects illegal language usage. Class B tests are not executable. Each test in this class is compiled and the resulting compilation listing is examined to verify that every syntax or semantic error in the test is detected. A Class B test is passed if every illegal construct that it contains is detected by the compiler.

Class C tests check that legal Ada programs can be correctly compiled and executed. Each Class C test is self-checking and produces a PASSED, FAILED, or NOT APPLICABLE message indicating the result when it is executed.

Class D tests check the compilation and execution capacities of a compiler. Since there are no capacity requirements placed on a compiler by the Ada Standard for some parameters--for example, the number of identifiers permitted in a compilation or the number of units in a library--a compiler may refuse to compile a Class D test and still be a conforming compiler. Therefore, if a Class D test fails to compile because the capacity of the compiler is exceeded, the test is classified as inapplicable. If a Class D test compiles successfully, it is self-checking and produces a PASSED or FAILED message during execution.

Each Class E test is self-checking and produces a NOT APPLICABLE, PASSED, or FAILED message when it is compiled and executed. However, the Ada Standard permits an implementation to reject programs containing some features addressed by Class E tests during compilation. Therefore, a Class E test is passed by a compiler if it is compiled successfully and executes to produce a PASSED message, or if it is rejected by the compiler for an allowable reason.

Class L tests check that incomplete or illegal Ada programs involving multiple, separately compiled units are detected and not allowed to execute. Class L tests are compiled separately and execution is attempted. A Class L test passes if it is rejected at link time--that is, an attempt to execute the main program must generate an error message before any declarations in the main program or any units referenced by the main program are elaborated.

Two library units, the package REPORT and the procedure CHECK_FILE, support the self-checking features of the executable tests. The package REPORT provides the mechanism by which executable tests report PASSED, FAILED, or NOT APPLICABLE results. It also provides a set of identity functions used to defeat some compiler optimizations allowed by the Ada Standard that would circumvent a test objective. The procedure CHECK_FILE is used to check the contents of text files written by some of the Class C tests for chapter 14 of the Ada Standard. The operation of REPORT and CHECK_FILE is checked by a set of executable tests. These tests produce messages that are examined to verify that the units are operating correctly. If these units are not operating correctly, then the validation is not attempted.

The text of the tests in the ACVC follow conventions that are intended to ensure that the tests are reasonably portable without modification. For example, the tests make use of only the basic set of 55 characters, contain lines with a maximum length of 72 characters, use small numeric values, and

INTRODUCTION

place features that may not be supported by all implementations in separate tests. However, some tests contain values that require the test to be customized according to implementation-specific values--for example, an illegal file name. A list of the values used for this validation is provided in Appendix C.

A compiler must correctly process each of the tests in the suite and demonstrate conformity to the Ada Standard by either meeting the pass criteria given for the test or by showing that the test is inapplicable to the implementation. The applicability of a test to an implementation is considered each time the implementation is validated. A test that is inapplicable for one validation is not necessarily inapplicable for a subsequent validation. Any test that was determined to contain an illegal language construct or an erroneous language construct is withdrawn from the ACVC and, therefore, is not used in testing a compiler. The tests withdrawn at the time of this validation are given in Appendix D.

CHAPTER 2
CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

2.1 CONFIGURATION TESTED

The candidate compilation system for this validation was tested under the following configuration:

Compiler: Meridian AdaVantage 2.2

ACVC Version: 1.9

Certificate Number: 880605W1.09071

Host Computer:

Machine: Zilog System 8000

Operating System: Zeus 3.21

Memory Size: 2 Megabytes

Target Computer:

Machine: Zilog System 8000

Operating System: Zeus 3.21

Memory Size: 2 Megabytes

CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

2.2 IMPLEMENTATION CHARACTERISTICS

One of the purposes of validating compilers is to determine the behavior of a compiler in those areas of the Ada Standard that permit implementations to differ. Class D and E tests specifically check for such implementation differences. However, tests in other classes also characterize an implementation. The tests demonstrate the following characteristics:

- . Capacities.

The compiler correctly processes tests containing loop statements nested to 65 levels, block statements nested to 65 levels, and recursive procedures separately compiled as subunits nested to 10 levels. It correctly processes a compilation containing 723 variables in the same declarative part. (See tests D55A03A..H (8 tests), D56001B, D64005E..G (3 tests), and D29002K.)

- . Universal integer calculations.

An implementation is allowed to reject universal integer calculations having values that exceed `SYSTEM.MAX_INT`. This implementation processes 64 bit integer calculations. (See tests D4A002A, D4A002B, D4A004A, and D4A004B.)

- . Predefined types.

This implementation supports the additional predefined types `BYTE_INTEGER` and `LONG_INTEGER` in the package `STANDARD`. (See tests B86001C and B86001D.)

- . Based literals.

An implementation is allowed to reject a based literal with a value exceeding `SYSTEM.MAX_INT` during compilation, or it may raise `NUMERIC_ERROR` or `CONSTRAINT_ERROR` during execution. This implementation raises `NUMERIC_ERROR` during execution. (See test E24101A.)

- . Expression evaluation.

Apparently default initialization expressions for record components are not evaluated before any value is checked against a component's subtype constraints. (See test C32117A.)

Assignments for subtypes are performed with the same precision as the base type. (See test C35712B.)

CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

This implementation uses no extra bits for extra precision and all extra bits for extra range. (See test C35903A.)

Apparently `NUMERIC_ERROR` is raised when an integer literal operand in a comparison or membership test is outside the range of the base type. (See test C45232A.)

No exception is raised when a literal operand in a fixed-point comparison or membership test is outside the range of the base type. (See test C45252A.)

Apparently underflow is gradual. (See tests C45524A..Z.)

. Rounding.

Apparently, the method used for rounding to integer and longest integer is round to even. (See tests C46012A..Z.)

Apparently, the method used for rounding to integer in static universal real expressions is round away from zero. (See test C4A014A.)

. Array types.

An implementation is allowed to raise `NUMERIC_ERROR` or `CONSTRAINT_ERROR` for an array having a `'LENGTH` that exceeds `STANDARD.INTEGER'LAST` or `SYSTEM.MAX_INT`. For this implementation:

Declaration of an array type or subtype declaration with more than `SYSTEM.MAX_INT` components raises `CONSTRAINT_ERROR` for a two dimensional array when the second dimension length is greater than `MAX_INT`. Otherwise, no exception is raised. (See test C36003A.)

No exception is raised when `'LENGTH` is applied to an array type with `INTEGER'LAST + 2` components. (See test C36202A.)

No exception is raised when `'LENGTH` is applied to an array type with `SYSTEM.MAX_INT + 2` components. (See test C36202B.)

A packed `BOOLEAN` array having a `'LENGTH` exceeding `INTEGER'LAST` raises `NUMERIC_ERROR` when the array objects are declared. (See test C52103X.)

A packed two-dimensional `BOOLEAN` array with more than `INTEGER'LAST` components raises `NUMERIC_ERROR` when the array objects are declared. (See test C52104Y.)

A null array with one dimension of length greater than `INTEGER'LAST` may raise `NUMERIC_ERROR` or `CONSTRAINT_ERROR` either when declared or assigned. Alternatively, an implementation may accept the declaration. However, lengths must match in array

CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

slice assignments. This implementation raises `NUMERIC_ERROR` when the array type is declared. (See test E52103Y.)

In assigning one-dimensional array types, when checking whether the expression's subtype is compatible with the target's subtype, the expression appears to be evaluated in its entirety before `CONSTRAINT_ERROR` is raised. In assigning two-dimensional array types, when checking whether the expression's subtype is compatible with the target's subtype, the expression does not appear to be evaluated in its entirety before `CONSTRAINT_ERROR` is raised. (See test C52013A.)

- Discriminated types.

When an incomplete type with discriminants is used in an access type definition, and a compatible discriminant constraint is given, the declaration may be accepted or rejected during compilation. This implementation accepts such subtype indications. (See test E38104A.)

In assigning record types with discriminants, when checking whether the expression's subtype is compatible with the target's subtype, the expression appears to be evaluated in its entirety before `CONSTRAINT_ERROR` is raised. (See test C52013A.)

- Aggregates.

In the evaluation of a multi-dimensional aggregate, all choices appear to be evaluated before checking against the index type. (See tests C43207A and C43207B.)

In the evaluation of an aggregate containing subaggregates, not all choices are evaluated before being checked for identical bounds. (See test E43212B.)

`CONSTRAINT_ERROR` is raised before all choices are evaluated when a bound in a nonnull range of a nonnull aggregate does not belong to an index subtype. (See test E43211B.)

- Representation clauses.

An implementation might legitimately place restrictions on representation clauses used by some of the tests. If a representation clause is used by a test in a way that violates a restriction, then the implementation must reject it.

CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

Enumeration representation clauses containing noncontiguous values for enumeration types other than character and Boolean types are not supported. (See tests C35502I..J, C35502M..N, and A39005F.)

Enumeration representation clauses containing noncontiguous values for character types are not supported. (See tests C35507I..J, C35507M..N, and C55B16A.)

Enumeration representation clauses for boolean types containing representational values other than (FALSE => 0, TRUE => 1) are not supported. (See tests C35508I..J and C35508M..N.)

Length clauses with SIZE specifications for enumeration types are not supported. (See test A39005B.)

Length clauses with STORAGE_SIZE specifications for access types are not supported. (See tests A39005C and C87B62B.)

Length clauses with STORAGE_SIZE specifications for task types are supported. (See tests A39005D and C87B62D.)

Length clauses with SMALL specifications are supported. (See tests A39005E and C87B62C.)

Record representation clauses are supported. (See test A39005G.)

Length clauses with SIZE specifications for derived integer types are not supported. (See test C87B62A.)

. Pragma.

The pragma `INLINE` is not supported for procedures or functions. (See tests LA3004A, LA3004B, EA3004C, EA3004D, CA3004E, and CA3004F.)

. Input/output.

The package `SEQUENTIAL_IO` cannot be instantiated with unconstrained array types and record types with discriminants without defaults. (See tests AE2101C, EE2201D, and EE2201E.)

The package `DIRECT_IO` cannot be instantiated with unconstrained array types and record types with discriminants without defaults. (See tests AE2101H, EE2401D, and EE2401G.)

There are no strings which are illegal external file names for `SEQUENTIAL_IO` and `DIRECT_IO`. (See tests CE2102C and CE2102H.)

Modes `IN_FILE` and `OUT_FILE` are supported for `SEQUENTIAL_IO`. (See tests CE2102D and CE2102E.)

CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

Modes `IN_FILE`, `OUT_FILE`, and `INOUT_FILE` are supported for `DIRECT_IO`. (See tests CE2102F, CE2102I, and CE2102J.)

`RESET` and `DELETE` are supported for `SEQUENTIAL_IO` and `DIRECT_IO`. (See tests CE2102G and CE2102K.)

Dynamic creation and deletion of files are supported for `SEQUENTIAL_IO` and `DIRECT_IO`. (See tests CE2106A and CE2106B.)

Overwriting to a sequential file does not truncate the file. (See test CE2208B.)

An existing text file cannot be opened in `OUT_FILE` mode, but can be created in `OUT_FILE` and `IN_FILE` modes. (See test EE3102C.)

More than one internal file can be associated with each external file for `TEXT_IO` for reading only. (See tests CE3111A..E (5 tests), CE3114B, and CE3115A.)

More than one internal file can be associated with each external file for `SEQUENTIAL_IO` for reading only. (See tests CE2107A..D (4 tests), CE2110B, and CE2111D.)

More than one internal file can be associated with each external file for `DIRECT_IO` for reading only. (See tests CE2107F..I (4 tests), CE2110B, and CE2111H.)

An internal sequential access file and an internal direct access file cannot be associated with a single external file for writing. (See test CE2107E.)

Temporary sequential and direct files are given names and are deleted when they are closed. (See tests CE2108A and CE2108C.)

. Generics.

A generic subprogram body cannot be compiled as a subunit in a separate compilation from its declaration. (See tests CA1012A and CA2009F.)

A generic package body cannot be compiled as a subunit in a separate compilation from its specification. (See tests CA2009C, BC3204C, and BC3205D.)

A generic unit body cannot be compiled in a separate compilation from its subunits. (See test CA3011A.)

CHAPTER 3

TEST INFORMATION

3.1 TEST RESULTS

Version 1.9 of the ACVC comprises 3122 tests. When this compiler was tested, 27 tests had been withdrawn because of test errors. The AVF determined that 289 tests were inapplicable to this implementation. All inapplicable tests were processed during validation testing except for 201 executable tests that use floating-point precision exceeding that supported by the implementation. Modifications to the code, processing, or grading for 10 tests were required to successfully demonstrate the test objective. (See section 3.6.)

The AVF concludes that the testing results demonstrate acceptable conformity to the Ada Standard.

3.2 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS BY CLASS

RESULT	TEST CLASS						TOTAL
	A	B	C	D	E	L	
Passed	105	1046	1591	16	12	36	2806
Inapplicable	5	5	262	1	6	10	289
Withdrawn	3	2	21	0	1	0	27
TOTAL	113	1053	1874	17	19	46	3122

TEST INFORMATION

3.3 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS BY CHAPTER

RESULT	CHAPTER														TOTAL
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14		
Passed	190	484	539	244	165	98	140	326	118	36	232	3	231	2806	
Inapplicable	14	88	135	4	1	0	3	1	19	0	2	0	22	289	
Withdrawn	2	14	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	1	2	27	
TOTAL	206	586	677	248	166	99	145	327	137	36	236	4	255	3122	

3.4 WITHDRAWN TESTS

The following 27 tests were withdrawn from ACVC Version 1.9 at the time of this validation:

A35902C	A74106C	AD1A01A	B28003A	BC3105A
C34004A	C35502P	C35904A	C35904B	C35A03E
C35A03R	C37213H	C37213J	C37215C	C37215E
C37215G	C37215H	C38102C	C41402A	C45332A
C45614C	C85018B	C87B04B	CC1311B	CE2401H
CE3208A	E28005C			

See Appendix D for the reason that each of these tests was withdrawn.

3.5 INAPPLICABLE TESTS

Some tests do not apply to all compilers because they make use of features that a compiler is not required by the Ada Standard to support. Others may depend on the result of another test that is either inapplicable or withdrawn. The applicability of a test to an implementation is considered each time a validation is attempted. A test that is inapplicable for one validation attempt is not necessarily inapplicable for a subsequent attempt. For this validation attempt, 289 tests were inapplicable for the reasons indicated:

- C35502I..J (2 tests), C35502M..N (2 tests), C35507I..J (2 tests), C35507M..N (2 tests), C35508I..J (2 tests), C35508M..N (2 tests), A39005F, and C55B16A use enumeration representation clauses which are not supported by this compiler.

TEST INFORMATION

- . C35702A uses SHORT_FLOAT which is not supported by this implementation.
- . C35702B uses LONG_FLOAT which is not supported by this implementation.
- . A39005B and C87B62A use length clauses with SIZE specifications for derived integer types or for enumeration types which are not supported by this compiler.
- . A39005C and C87B62B use length clauses with STORAGE_SIZE specifications for access types which are not supported by this implementation.
- . The following tests use SHORT_INTEGER, which is not supported by this compiler:

C45231B	C45304B	C45502B	C45503B	C45504B
C45504E	C45611B	C45613B	C45614B	C45631B
C45632B	B52004E	C55B07B	B55B09D	
- . C45531M..P (4 tests) and C45532M..P (4 tests) use 48-bit fixed-point base types which are not supported by this compiler.
- . D64005G uses nested procedures as subunits to a level of 17, which exceeds the capacity of the compiler.
- . C86001F redefines package SYSTEM, but TEXT_IO is made obsolete by this new definition in this implementation and the test cannot be executed since the package REPORT is dependent on the package TEXT_IO.
- . C96005B requires the range of type DURATION to be different from those of its base type; in this implementation they are the same.
- . BA1011C, CA2009F, CA1012A, LA5008A, and LA5008B compile generic subprogram declarations and bodies in separate compilations. This compiler requires that generic subprogram declarations and bodies be in a single compilation.
- . CA2009C, LA5008C, BC3204C, and BC3205D compile generic package specifications and bodies in separate compilations. This compiler requires that generic package specifications and bodies be in a single compilation.
- . CA3011A, LA5008D..F (3 tests), and LA5008M..N (2 tests) compile generic unit bodies and subunits in separate compilations. This compiler requires that generic unit bodies and their subunits be in a single compilation.

TEST INFORMATION

- . CA3004E, EA3004C, and LA3004A use the `INLINE` pragma for procedures, which is not supported by this compiler.
- . CA3004F, EA3004D, and LA3004B use the `INLINE` pragma for functions, which is not supported by this compiler.
- . AE2101C, EE2201D, and EE2201E use instantiations of package `SEQUENTIAL_IO` with unconstrained array types and record types having discriminants without defaults. These instantiations are rejected by this compiler.
- . AE2101H, EE2401D, and EE2401G use instantiations of package `DIRECT_IO` with unconstrained array types and record types having discriminants without defaults. These instantiations are rejected by this compiler.
- . CE2107B..E,G..I (7 tests), CE2110B, CE2111D, CE2111H, CE3111B..E (4 tests), and CE3114B, are inapplicable because multiple internal files cannot be associated with the same external file, except for reading. The proper exception is raised when multiple access is attempted.
- . CE2201G attempts to create a sequential file of unconstrained records. This implementation raises `USE_ERROR` when the creation is attempted.
- . The following 201 tests require a floating-point accuracy that exceeds the maximum of 15 digits supported by this implementation:

C24113L..Y (14 tests)	C35705L..Y (14 tests)
C35706L..Y (14 tests)	C35707L..Y (14 tests)
C35708L..Y (14 tests)	C35802L..Z (15 tests)
C45241L..Y (14 tests)	C45321L..Y (14 tests)
C45421L..Y (14 tests)	C45521L..Z (15 tests)
C45524L..Z (15 tests)	C45621L..Z (15 tests)
C45641L..Y (14 tests)	C46012L..Z (15 tests)

3.6 TEST, PROCESSING, AND EVALUATION MODIFICATIONS

It is expected that some tests will require modifications of code, processing, or evaluation in order to compensate for legitimate implementation behavior. Modifications are made by the AVF in cases where legitimate implementation behavior prevents the successful completion of an (otherwise) applicable test. Examples of such modifications include: adding a length clause to alter the default size of a collection; splitting a Class B test into subtests so that all errors are detected; and confirming that messages produced by an executable test demonstrate conforming behavior that wasn't anticipated by the test (such as raising one exception instead of another).

TEST INFORMATION

Modifications were required for 2 Class A tests, 6 Class B tests, and 2 Class C tests.

The following Class B tests were split because syntax errors at one point resulted in the compiler not detecting other errors in the test:

B22003A B49003A B49005A B59001A B85013C
B97101E

The following executable tests were split because the resulting programs were too large to be executed:

AE2101A AE2101F C52102B C52102C

Test EA1003B was processed with option I in effect to enable library installation of a legal compilation unit in the same file as an illegal unit. With the default option in effect, the legal unit is not installed in the library.

3.7 ADDITIONAL TESTING INFORMATION

3.7.1 Prevalidation

Prior to validation, a set of test results for ACVC Version 1.9 produced by the Meridian AdaVantage was submitted to the AVF by the applicant for review. Analysis of these results demonstrated that the compiler successfully passed all applicable tests, and the compiler exhibited the expected behavior on all inapplicable tests.

3.7.2 Test Method

Testing of the Meridian AdaVantage using ACVC Version 1.9 was conducted on-site by a validation team from the AVF. The configuration consisted of a Zenith Z-248 under MS/DOS 3.10.

A set of magnetic diskettes containing all tests was taken on-site by the validation team for processing. Tests that make use of implementation-specific values were customized before being written to the diskettes. Tests requiring modifications during the prevalidation testing were included in their modified form on the diskettes.

The contents of the diskettes were loaded directly onto an ITT XTRA/286 and were transferred via LapLink to the host computer. After the test files were loaded to disk, the full set of tests was compiled and linked on the Z-248 and all executable tests were run. Results for non-executable tests were transferred to an ALR 386/2 via LapLink and were compared to the corresponding results produced by the ALR 386/2 (which had been examined by

TEST INFORMATION

the validation team). The comparison was done using an Ada program that was supplied by Meridian Software Systems and was examined by and modified under the supervision of the validation team. Executable test results and all non-executable results differing from the ALR results in respects other than machine-specific header information were transferred via LapLink to a Zilog System 8000, printed from that computer, and examined by the validation team.

The compiler was tested using command scripts provided by Meridian Software Systems, Inc. and reviewed by the validation team. The compiler was tested using all default option settings except for the following:

<u>Option Switch</u>	<u>Effect</u>
Q	Suppress informational messages.
w	Suppress warnings.
V	Enable integer overflow checking.
S	Permits use of 80286 instruction whenever possible.
I	Permit installation of valid units and invalid units in a file. (For EA1003B only.)
E	To produce a listing file.

Tests were compiled, linked, and executed (as appropriate) using a single computer. Test output, compilation listings, and job logs were captured on magnetic tape and archived at the AVF. The listings examined on-site by the validation team were also archived.

3.7.3 Test Site

Testing was conducted at Laguna Hills, CA and was completed on 8 June 1988.

APPENDIX A

DECLARATION OF CONFORMANCE

Meridian Software Systems, Inc. has submitted the following Declaration of Conformance concerning the Meridian AdaVantage.

DECLARATION OF CONFORMANCE


Compiler Implementor: Meridian Software Systems, Inc.
Ada Validation Facility: ASD/SCEL, Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-6503
Ada Compiler Validation Capability (ACVC) Version: 1.9

Base Configuration

Base Compiler Name: Meridian AdaVantage Version 2.2
Host Architecture ISA: Zenith Z-248 with Floating Point Co-Processor
OS&VER #: MS/DOS, 3.10
Target Architecture ISA: Zenith Z-248 with Floating Point Co-Processor
OS&VER #: MS/DOS, 3.10

Implementor's Declaration

I, the undersigned, representing Meridian Software Systems, Inc., have implemented no deliberate extensions to the Ada Language Standard ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A in the compiler(s) listed in this declaration. I declare that Meridian Software Systems, Inc. is the owner of record of the Ada language compiler(s) listed above and, as such, is responsible for maintaining said compiler(s) in conformance to ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A. All certificates and registrations for Ada language compiler(s) listed in this declaration shall be made only in the owner's corporate name.




Stephen B. Whitehill
Vice President
Compiler Systems Development
Meridian Software Systems, Inc.

Date: 6-8-88

Owner's Declaration

I, the undersigned, representing Meridian Software Systems, Inc., take full responsibility for implementation and maintenance of the Ada compiler(s) listed above, and agree to the public disclosure of the final Validation Summary Report. I further agree to continue to comply with the Ada trademark policy, as defined by the Ada Joint Program Office. I declare that all of the Ada language compilers listed, and their host/target performance, are in compliance with the Ada Language Standard ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A.



Stephen B. Whitehill
Vice President
Compiler Systems Development
Meridian Software Systems, Inc.

Date: 6-8-88

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX F OF THE Ada STANDARD

The only allowed implementation dependencies correspond to implementation-dependent pragmas, to certain machine-dependent conventions as mentioned in chapter 13 of the Ada Standard, and to certain allowed restrictions on representation clauses. The implementation-dependent characteristics of the Meridian AdaVantage 2.2, are described in the following sections, which discuss topics in Appendix F of the Ada Standard. Implementation-specific portions of the package STANDARD are also included in this appendix.

package STANDARD is

...

type INTEGER is range -32768 .. 32767;
type BYTE_INTEGER is range -128 .. 127;
type LONG_INTEGER is range -2147483648 .. 2147483647;

type FLOAT is digits 15 range -1.79769313486231E+308 ..
1.79769313486231E+308;

type DURATION is delta 0.0001 range -86400.0000.. 86400.0000;

...

end STANDARD;

APPENDIX F OF THE Ada STANDARD

1. Implementation-Dependent Pragmas

The following predefined pragmas are implemented:

elaborate	interface	list	pack
page	priority	shared	suppress

The following predefined pragmas are accepted but not implemented:

controlled	memory_size	storage_unit
inline	optimize	system_name

2. Implementation-Dependent Attributes

There are presently no implementation-dependent attributes in Meridian AdaVantage.

3. Package System

```
PACKAGE SYSTEM IS
TYPE ADDRESS IS NEW LONG_INTEGER;

TYPE NAME IS (i8086);

SYSTEM_NAME      : CONSTANT := i8086;

STORAGE_UNIT    : CONSTANT := 8;
MEMORY_SIZE     : CONSTANT := 8388608;

-- SYSTEM_DEPENDENT NAMED NUMBERS

MIN_INT         : CONSTANT := -2147483648;
MAX_INT         : CONSTANT := 2147483647;
MAX_DIGITS      : CONSTANT := 15;
MAX_MANTISSA    : CONSTANT := 31;
FINE_DELTA      : CONSTANT := 2.0 ** (-31);
TICK            : CONSTANT := 1.0;

-- OTHER SYSTEM-DEPENDENT DECLARATIONS

SUBTYPE PRIORITY IS INTEGER RANGE 1 .. 20;
END SYSTEM;
```

4. Implementation-Dependent Features

Length clauses for specifying task stack sizes are supported.

These implementation-dependent features are supported:

- pragma pack
- record representation clauses
- address clauses
- length clauses for fixed-point 'SMALL specifications
- length clauses for task stack size specifications
- pragma INTERFACE to C and assembly
- machine code insertions
- representation attributes for all types
- unchecked type conversions
- unchecked storage attributes

The remaining implementation-dependent features are not supported in

this release.

5. Unchecked Conversions

The only restriction on the use of unchecked conversions is that it may not be used to convert between a scalar object and a composite object. Note that conversions between objects whose sizes do not conform may result in storage areas with undefined values.

6. I/O Packages

Implementation-dependent I/O characteristics are:

- An existing text file cannot be opened in `OUT_FILE` mode, but can be created in `OUT_FILE` mode or `IN_FILE` mode.
- In calls to `OPEN` and `CREATE`, the form parameter must be the empty string (the default value).
- `RESET` may not be used to change the mode of a file of mode `IN_FILE`.
- More than one internal file can be associated with each external file for sequential or direct I/O for reading only.
- Temporary sequential and direct files are given names. Temporary files are deleted when they are closed.
- File I/O is buffered; files associated with terminal devices are line-buffered.
- The packages `SEQUENTIAL_I/O` and `DIRECT_I/O` cannot be instantiated with unconstrained composite types.

APPENDIX C

TEST PARAMETERS

Certain tests in the ACVC make use of implementation-dependent values, such as the maximum length of an input line and invalid file names. A test that makes use of such values is identified by the extension .TST in its file name. Actual values to be substituted are represented by names that begin with a dollar sign. A value must be substituted for each of these names before the test is run. The values used for this validation are given below.

<u>Name and Meaning</u>	<u>Value</u>
\$BIG_ID1 Identifier the size of the maximum input line length with varying last character.	(1 .. 199 => 'A', 200 => '1')
\$BIG_ID2 Identifier the size of the maximum input line length with varying last character.	(1 .. 199 => 'A', 200 => '2')
\$BIG_ID3 Identifier the size of the maximum input line length with varying middle character.	(1 .. 99 => 'A', 100 => '3', 101 .. 200 => 'A')
\$BIG_ID4 Identifier the size of the maximum input line length with varying middle character.	(1 .. 99 => 'A', 100 => '4', 101 .. 200 => 'A')
\$BIG_INT_LIT An integer literal of value 298 with enough leading zeroes so that it is the size of the maximum line length.	(1 .. 197 => '0', 198 .. 200 => "298")

TEST PARAMETERS

<u>Name and Meaning</u>	<u>Value</u>
<p>\$BIG_REAL_LIT A universal real literal of zeroes to be the size of the maximum line length.</p>	(1 .. 194 => '0', 195 .. 200 => "69.0E1")
<p>\$BIG_STRING1 A string literal which when catenated with BIG_STRING2 yields the image of BIG_ID1.</p>	(1 => '"', 2 .. 101 => 'A', 102 => '"')
<p>\$BIG_STRING2 A string literal which when catenated to the end of BIG_STRING1 yields the image of BIG_ID1.</p>	(1 => '"', 2 .. 100 => 'A', 101 => '1', 102 => '"')
<p>\$BLANKS A sequence of blanks twenty characters less than the size of the maximum line length.</p>	(1 .. 180 => ' ')
<p>\$COUNT_LAST A universal integer literal whose value is TEXT_IO.COUNT'LAST.</p>	32766
<p>\$FIELD_LAST A universal integer literal whose value is TEXT_IO.FIELD'LAST.</p>	32767
<p>\$FILE_NAME_WITH_BAD_CHARS An external file name that either contains invalid characters or is too long.</p>	/a/b/c/d/e/f/g/h1
<p>\$FILE_NAME_WITH_WILD_CARD_CHAR An external file name that either contains a wild card character or is too long.</p>	/a/b/c/d/e/f/g/h2
<p>\$GREATER_THAN_DURATION A universal real literal that lies between DURATION'BASE'LAST and DURATION'LAST or any value in the range of DURATION.</p>	86_400.0

TEST PARAMETERS

<u>Name and Meaning</u>	<u>Value</u>
\$GREATER_THAN_DURATION_BASE_LAST A universal real literal that is greater than DURATION'BASE'LAST.	10_000_000.0
\$ILLEGAL_EXTERNAL_FILE_NAME1 An external file name which contains invalid characters.	/a/b/c/d/e/f/g/h3
\$ILLEGAL_EXTERNAL_FILE_NAME2 An external file name which is too long.	/a/b/c/d/e/f/g/h4
\$INTEGER_FIRST A universal integer literal whose value is INTEGER'FIRST.	-32768
\$INTEGER_LAST A universal integer literal whose value is INTEGER'LAST.	32767
\$INTEGER_LAST_PLUS_1 A universal integer literal whose value is INTEGER'LAST + 1.	32768
\$LESS_THAN_DURATION A universal real literal that lies between DURATION'BASE'FIRST and DURATION'FIRST or any value in the range of DURATION.	-86_400.0
\$LESS_THAN_DURATION_BASE_FIRST A universal real literal that is less than DURATION'BASE'FIRST.	-10_000_000.0
\$MAX_DIGITS Maximum digits supported for floating-point types.	15
\$MAX_IN_LEN Maximum input line length permitted by the implementation.	200
\$MAX_INT A universal integer literal whose value is SYSTEM.MAX_INT.	2147483647
\$MAX_INT_PLUS_1 A universal integer literal whose value is SYSTEM.MAX_INT+1.	2147483648

TEST PARAMETERS

<u>Name and Meaning</u>	<u>Value</u>
<p>\$MAX_LEN_INT_BASED_LITERAL A universal integer based literal whose value is 2#11# with enough leading zeroes in the mantissa to be MAX_IN_LEN long.</p>	<p>(1 .. 2 => "2:", 3 .. 197 => '0', 198 .. 200 => "11:")</p>
<p>\$MAX_LEN_REAL_BASED_LITERAL A universal real based literal whose value is 16:F.E: with enough leading zeroes in the mantissa to be MAX_IN_LEN long.</p>	<p>(1 .. 3 => "16:", 4 .. 196 => '0', 197 => 200 "F.E:")</p>
<p>\$MAX_STRING_LITERAL A string literal of size MAX_IN_LEN, including the quote characters.</p>	<p>(1 => '"', 2 .. 199 => 'A', 200 => '"')</p>
<p>\$MIN_INT A universal integer literal whose value is SYSTEM.MIN_INT.</p>	<p>-2147483648</p>
<p>\$NAME A name of a predefined numeric type other than FLOAT, INTEGER, SHORT_FLOAT, SHORT_INTEGER, LONG_FLOAT, or LONG_INTEGER.</p>	<p>BYTE_INTEGER</p>
<p>\$NEG_BASED_INT A based integer literal whose highest order nonzero bit falls in the sign bit position of the representation for SYSTEM.MAX_INT.</p>	<p>16#FFFFFFFFE#</p>

APPENDIX D

WITHDRAWN TESTS

Some tests are withdrawn from the ACVC because they do not conform to the Ada Standard. The following 27 tests had been withdrawn at the time of validation testing for the reasons indicated. A reference of the form "AI-ddddd" is to an Ada Commentary.

- . B28003A: A basic declaration (line 36) incorrectly follows a later declaration.
- . E28005C: This test requires that "PRAGMA LIST (ON);" not appear in a listing that has been suspended by a previous "PRAGMA LIST (OFF);"; the Ada Standard is not clear on this point, and the matter will be reviewed by the AJPO.
- . C34004A: The expression in line 168 yields a value outside the range of the target type T, but there is no handler for CONSTRAINT_ERROR.
- . C35502P: The equality operators in lines 62 and 69 should be inequality operators.
- . A35902C: The assignment in line 17 of the nominal upper bound of a fixed-point type to an object raises CONSTRAINT_ERROR, for that value lies outside of the actual range of the type.
- . C35904A: The elaboration of the fixed-point subtype on line 28 wrongly raises CONSTRAINT_ERROR, because its upper bound exceeds that of the type.
- . C35904B: The subtype declaration that is expected to raise CONSTRAINT_ERROR when its compatibility is checked against that of various types passed as actual generic parameters, may, in fact, raise NUMERIC_ERROR or CONSTRAINT_ERROR for reasons not anticipated by the test.

WITHDRAWN TESTS

- . C35A03E and C35A03R: These tests assume that attribute 'MANTISSA returns 0 when applied to a fixed-point type with a null range, but the Ada Standard does not support this assumption.
- . C37213H: The subtype declaration of SCONS in line 100 is incorrectly expected to raise an exception when elaborated.
- . C37213J: The aggregate in line 451 incorrectly raises CONSTRAINT_ERROR.
- . C37215C, C37215E, C37215G, and C37215H: Various discriminant constraints are incorrectly expected to be incompatible with type CONS.
- . C38102C: The fixed-point conversion on line 23 wrongly raises CONSTRAINT_ERROR.
- . C41402A: The attribute 'STORAGE_SIZE is incorrectly applied to an object of an access type.
- . C45332A: The test expects that either an expression in line 52 will raise an exception or else MACHINE_OVERFLOW is FALSE. However, an implementation may evaluate the expression correctly using a type with a wider range than the base type of the operands, and MACHINE_OVERFLOW may still be TRUE.
- . C45614C: The function call of IDENT_INT in line 15 uses an argument of the wrong type.
- . A74106C, C85018B, C87B04B, and CC1311B: A bound specified in a fixed-point subtype declaration lies outside of that calculated for the base type, raising CONSTRAINT_ERROR. Errors of this sort occur at lines 37 & 59, 142 & 143, 16 & 48, and 252 & 253 of the four tests, respectively.
- . BC3105A: Lines 159 through 168 expect error messages, but these lines are correct Ada.
- . AD1A01A: The declaration of subtype SINT3 raises CONSTRAINT_ERROR for implementations which select INT'SIZE to be 16 or greater.
- . CE2401H: The record aggregates in lines 105 and 117 contain the wrong values.
- . CE3208A: This test expects that an attempt to open the default output file (after it was closed) with mode IN_FILE raises NAME_ERROR or USE_ERROR; by Commentary AI-00048, MODE_ERROR should be raised.