

DTIC FILE COPY

Naval Research Laboratory

Washington, DC 20375-5000



NRL Memorandum Report 6422

AD-A206 347


Operation of a Quasi-Optical Gyrotron with Variable Mirror Separation

A. W. FLIFLET, T. A. HARGREAVES,* W. M. MANHEIMER,
R. P. FISCHER, AND M. L. BARSANTI**

*High Power Electromagnetic Radiation Branch
Plasma Physics Division*

**Mission Research Corporation, Newington, VA 22122*

***JAYCOR, Inc., Vienna, VA 22180*



March 10, 1989

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No 0704-0188	
1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED			1b RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3 DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
2b DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE					
4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) NRL Memorandum Report 6422			5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		
6a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Naval Research Laboratory		6b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) Code 4740	7a NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION		
6c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Washington, DC 20375-5000			7b ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		
8a NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Department of Energy		8b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		
8c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Washington, DC 20545			10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS		
			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO	PROJECT NO	TASK NO
					WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) Operation of a Quasi-Optical Gyrotron with Variable Mirror Separation					
12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Fliflet, A.W., Hargreaves,* T.A., Manheimer, W.M., Fischer, R.P. and Barsanti,** M.L.					
13a TYPE OF REPORT		13b TIME COVERED FROM 6/87 TO 8/88		14 DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1989 March 10	
				15 PAGE COUNT 24	
16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION *Mission Research Corporation, Newington, VA 22122 **JAYCOR, Inc., Vienna, VA 22180					
17 COSATI CODES			18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP			
			Gyrotron, Quasi-optical and millimeterwave		
19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)					
Results from a quasi-optical gyrotron experiment with a 20-28 cm mirror separation are presented showing operation from 95-130 GHz at powers up to 148 kW and output efficiencies up to 11.5%. The output coupling could be varied from 0.4-3% by changing the mirror separation and operating frequency. Efficiency optimization by variation of output coupling and by tapering the magnetic field has been demonstrated and regions of single-mode operation at powers up to 125 kW have been characterized.					
20 DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☒ UNCLASSIFIED-UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT ☐ DTIC USERS			21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED		
22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL A.W. Fliflet			22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (202) 767-2469		22c OFFICE SYMBOL Code 4742

DD Form 1473, JUN 86

Previous editions are obsolete

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

S/N 0102-LF-014-6603

OPERATION OF A QUASI-OPTICAL GYROTRON WITH VARIABLE MIRROR SEPARATION

There is currently a need for megawatt average power sources of 100—300 GHz radiation for electron cyclotron heating of fusion plasmas. The leading candidate for such a source, the waveguide cavity gyrotron[1], has produced output powers of 765 kW and efficiencies of 30% at 148 GHz in a CW-relevant configuration[2]. However, this gyrotron configuration is limited at high frequencies by high ohmic heating and problems with transverse mode competition, due to the highly overmoded configuration, and with beam collection, since the beam must be collected along a section of the output waveguide. The quasi-optical gyrotron (QOG), first proposed in 1980 by Sprangle, Vomvoridis and Manheimer [3], features an open resonator formed by a pair of spherical mirrors instead of a waveguide cavity and has the potential for overcoming each of these limitations. The resonator mirrors can be well removed from the beam-wave interaction region, allowing a large volume for the interaction and low ohmic heating densities at the mirrors. The beam direction is transverse to the cavity so that beam collection is separate from the output waveguide. The QOG operates in the lowest-order transverse (TEM_{001}) gaussian mode of the resonator, higher-order transverse modes being effectively suppressed by higher diffraction losses. Output coupling is via diffraction around the mirrors and can be controlled independently of other interaction parameters. The axial mode separation is small compared to the interaction bandwidth in CW-relevant configurations so that multimode effects are important. The theory of multimode operation was developed by Bondeson, Manheimer and Ott[4]. The first QOG experiment was carried out in 1984 by Hargreaves et al [5] and used a resonator with a 4 cm mirror separation. Consistent with the relatively low axial mode density of this resonator, single mode operation was observed at powers up to 80 kW at a frequency of 110 GHz and an efficiency of 11%.

This Letter presents results from a thorough and extensive experimental study of the first QOG to operate at powers over 100 kW using a CW-relevant resonator. The ability to vary the separation of the resonator mirrors from 20 to 28 cm allowed the resonator output coupling to be optimized with respect to the electron beam power. The QOG was tunable from 95–130 GHz and operated at powers up to 148 kW and output efficiencies up to 11.5%. The peak electronic efficiency is estimated to be 18%. The main effect



responsible for the difference between the output and electronic efficiency is ohmic heating of the mirrors which can be a significant fraction of the total output at low output coupling. This effect becomes small at MW output power levels due to larger output coupling. Single mode operation was observed at powers up to 125 kW. Conditions for single-mode operation in the highly overmoded system have been characterized. Efficiency optimization by variation of output coupling and by tapering the magnetic field have been demonstrated. These results point the way to the realization of megawatt level devices with output efficiencies of $\sim 20\%$.

A schematic of the experiment is shown in Figure 1. A 13 μsec pulse length electron beam is generated by a Varian VUW-8010 temperature-limited MIG-type gun which was operated at voltages up to 78 kV and currents up to 25 A. Magnetic fields of up to 50 kG in the interaction region and ~ 3 kG at the gun are provided by a pair superconducting coils in a modified Helmholtz configuration, augmented by a pair of trim coils at the gun. The beam diameter in the resonator was 3.2 mm and the velocity pitch ratio $\alpha \equiv v_{\perp}/v_{\parallel}$ was ~ 1 . The magnet dewar incorporates a 6 inch axial bore for the electron beam and a 4 inch cross-bore which contains the resonator mirrors and output waveguides. The presence of the cross-bore results in magnetic field being 7% less than the axial maximum at the resonator. The gold-coated mirrors used in the experiment have a 38.7 cm radius of curvature and a diameter of 5 cm. The mirror separation is adjustable from 20-28 cm while under vacuum by means of six micrometers which also provide for mirror alignment and translation with respect to the electron beam. The radiation waist radius $\omega_0 \approx 4.7\lambda$, where λ is the wavelength of the radiation. The resonator output coupling is via diffraction around the mirror edges and could be varied in the range 0.4—3% depending on the operating frequency as well as the mirror separation. The diffraction losses increase with increased mirror separation. The microwave power is taken out equally around each mirror and is output through a pair of 0.013 cm thick mylar windows which are essentially transparent to all frequencies produced by the device. Frequency measurements were obtained using a heterodyne system in which the QOG output was beat against the signal from a 12-15 GHz tunable oscillator via a harmonic mixer. Power measurements were made with a laser calorimeter which is

estimated to be 94% absorptive at 120 GHz based on reflectivity measurements.

Large volume resonant cavities are inherently overmoded, and in this experiment the frequency separation between adjacent axial modes is $\sim 0.5\%$ which is much less than the interaction bandwidth ($\sim 5\%$). Thus multimode effects, which are an issue for CW devices could be investigated. On the other hand, the frequency separation between modes (~ 600 MHz) was easily resolvable by our frequency diagnostic system.

Extensive measurements, to be discussed in detail elsewhere, have been carried out for this configuration including threshold current studies, output power and efficiency measurements, oscillation frequency measurements, measurement of frequency tuning by varying the magnetic field and gun voltage, and studies of regions of single-mode operation. Threshold currents as low as a few tenths of an ampere were observed. At these currents the best fit between theory and experiment was obtained by assuming $\alpha = 1.5$. Based on previous experience with the electron gun at low currents, this is considered achievable. At higher currents it is estimated that the average α drops to ~ 1 with some additional drop-off expected for currents > 15 A. Figure 2 shows a comparison between measured and calculated threshold currents for a 25 cm mirror separation and a 60 kV gun voltage. The calculated resonator Q factor is 38,000 for 110 GHz radiation at this separation, including diffraction and ohmic loading effects, and the total diffractive output coupling is 2.8%. The mirror positions and alignment were optimized to minimize the threshold current of the 109.8 GHz mode. Because of the thin annular beam geometry, and placement of the beam axis on a mode field maximum, alternate longitudinal modes are excited near threshold.

Output power measurements were carried out as a function of beam current, magnetic field, and mirror separation. The highest efficiency measurements were obtained at the minimum mirror separation of 20 cm. This minimizes the output coupling and so leads to the optimum saturated efficiency at the lowest current where beam quality should be highest. Mirror alignment and translation were optimized by minimizing the threshold current for a magnetic field of 50 kG and a beam voltage of 70 kV. A minimum threshold current of 0.25 A at a frequency of 125.8 GHz was obtained.

The output power was obtained by multiplying the calorimeter power measurement

by two, dividing by the pulse repetition rate and the pulsewidth, and correcting for the absorption efficiency of the calorimeter. The radiation pulsewidth was found to be equal (to a good approximation) to the beam voltage flat top pulsewidth of 13 μsec under most conditions and this pulsewidth was used in the peak power calculation. The output power symmetry through the two windows was checked and found to be equal within measurement accuracy. The calorimeter absorptivity was measured to be 94% at 120 GHz and to decrease with decreasing frequency to $\sim 60\%$ at 90 GHz. A conservative value of 95% was used in calculating the power for frequencies above 120 GHz.

The output power and efficiency as a function of beam current for a magnetic field of 50 kG and gun voltage in the range 75–78 kV are shown in Figure 3. A peak efficiency of 11.5% was obtained at a current of 6 A. By calculating the power deposited on the mirrors, we estimate that this corresponds to an electronic efficiency of 18%. In obtaining this data no attempt was made to promote single-mode operation and, consequently, operation was generally multimoded with 4–6 modes being excited. The frequency of the strongest modes was ~ 125 GHz. The data indicated by the solid squares corresponds to the minimum mirror separation of 20 cm and a gun voltage of 75 kV. The calculated diffractive output coupling at this separation is 0.4% for 125 GHz radiation. An outstanding feature of the QOG is the ability to increase output coupling (by moving the mirrors) nearly independently of other parameters. This allows the cavity RF fields to be maintained at the value for optimum efficiency while increasing electron beam and output power proportionally. In a conventional cavity gyrotron, the inability to vary the output coupling leads to overdriving of the cavity and a rapid decrease in efficiency beyond a certain current. The data indicated by the solid triangles and solid dots correspond to a mirror separation of 23 cm and 0.8% diffraction output coupling. The highest measured power, shown by the solid dots, was 148 kW and was obtained at a beam voltage and current of 78 kV and 24 A and a negative taper in the magnetic field of 2% across the interaction region. This current is estimated to be near the space-charge limit for this voltage and $\alpha = 1$. No evidence of oscillation in higher order transverse modes was observed from the frequency measurements.

Although the fraction of the total power lost which is dissipated in ohmic heating is high in the present configuration, the ohmic heating density is relatively low. In the case of operation at 125 kW and a frequency of 120 GHz—demonstrated in this experiment with a 23 cm mirror separation and a 47 kG magnetic field—the average heating density (during the pulse) on the mirrors was 0.6 kW/cm^2 . This is well within the ohmic heating limit of a few kW/cm^2 for CW applications.

In the QOG the operating frequency is approximately Ω_c/γ , where Ω_c is the nonrelativistic cyclotron frequency and γ is the relativistic mass factor, so that the operating frequency can be tuned by varying the magnetic field or gun voltage. Figure 4 presents frequency and power measurements for magnetic fields from 38 to 50 kG with fixed gun voltage (70 kV) and current (12 A). The figure shows frequency variation from 95 to 130 GHz and $< 3 \text{ dB}$ power variation. The QOG could have operated at still lower frequencies (at lower magnetic fields), but such frequencies were below the cutoff frequency of the waveguide used in the heterodyne frequency diagnostic. Frequency variation with voltage was also investigated and a 4% frequency increase was measured as the voltage was decreased from 75 to 45 kV. However, power scales strongly with voltage and decreased from 70 to 25 kW.

Since the longitudinal mode density of the QOG resonator is high, it might be thought that the device is inherently multimoded, but this is not the case. At a fixed magnetic field and current near threshold, a single mode is driven. As the current is increased, the voltage can be varied to maintain single-mode operation. Figure 5 shows a region of single-mode operation (area denoted approximately by the line thickness) in $V - I$ space. The maximum power of data in this figure is 55 kW. Other data was obtained showing single mode operation at powers as high as 125 kW. As the current increases, the resonance frequency mismatch $\omega - \Omega_c/\gamma$ changes due to the nonlinear, multimode interaction. The multimode theory predicts that the frequency mismatch for the dominant mode is a function of the current normalized to the threshold current[6]. Figure 6 shows frequency mismatch versus normalized current for several different mirror separations and verifies this scaling. The theoretical detuning obtained from the multimode simulation code[4] is also shown. In plotting the experimental frequency mismatch, an approximate theory[7] was used to

correct γ for space-charge depression of the beam in the open resonator.

The achievement of stable single-mode QOG operation relies on sideband suppression by the dominant mode, similarly to free electron laser oscillators. A theory for predicting conditions for single-mode operation of free electron lasers[8] has recently been extended to the QOG[6]. Consistent with our data, this theory indicates that sidebands can be suppressed for currents as high as 20 times the threshold current.

In summary, extensive results have been obtained for a CW-relevant QOG which demonstrate for the first time many of the advantages of this configuration at output powers up to 148 kW. A peak output efficiency of 11.5% was obtained which is estimated to correspond to an electronic efficiency of 18%. This difference is due mainly to ohmic heating losses which can dominate at low output coupling. Single-mode operation was observed at powers up to 125 kW and the frequency was tunable from 95 to 130 GHz by varying the magnetic field. Efficiency optimization by variation of the output coupling and by tapering the magnetic field has been demonstrated.

The authors thank Drs. B. Levush and T. Antonsen for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy and the Office of Naval Research.

References

- [1] K. Felch *et al.*, *Int. J. Elec.* **61**, 701 (1986).
- [2] K.E. Kreischer and R.J. Temkin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**, 547 (1987); K.E. Kreischer, *Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc.* **33**, 1913 (1988).
- [3] P. Sprangle, J. Vomvoridis and W.M. Manheimer, *Phys. Rev. A* **23**, 3127 (1981).
- [4] A. Bondeson, W.M. Manheimer and E. Ott, *Infrared and Millimeter Waves*, ed. by K.J. Button, Vol. 7, Chapter 7, Academic Press (1983).
- [5] T.A. Hargreaves *et al.*, *Int. J. Elec.* **57**, 977 (1984).
- [6] W.M. Manheimer, B. Levush and T. Antonsen, to be published.
- [7] A.W. Fliflet, *et al.*, to be published.
- [8] T. Antonsen and B. Levush, Univ. of Maryland Preprint 88-039 (1988); and to be published.




Figure 1. Schematic of quasi-optical gyrotron experiment.




Figure 2. Threshold currents for the axial modes of resonator with 25 cm mirror separation and a gun voltage of 60 kV. Data for the 109.8 ± 0.1 and 108.7 ± 0.1 GHz modes is shown by the $\bullet$'s and $\circ$'s with error bars, respectively. Theoretical results as using $\alpha = 1.5$ are indicated by the solid and dashed curves.




Figure 3. Output power and efficiency operation with a 50 kG resonator magnetic field and gun voltages of 75–78 kV. The mirror separation for the data shown by the solid and open $\square$'s is 20 cm, and is 23 cm for the data shown by the solid and open $\circ$'s and $\triangle$'s. The resonator magnetic field has a 2% negative taper for the data shown by the solid and open $\circ$'s.




Figure 4. Frequency tuning by magnetic field variation. The oscillation frequencies are shown by +’s and the output power is shown by the ●’s.




Figure 5. Gun voltage and output power as a function of beam current for single-mode operation at 119 GHz.




Figure 6. Resonance frequency detuning of dominant mode plotted as a function of current normalized to the threshold current for a 47 kG magnetic field. The mirror separation is 20.5 cm for the ○'s, 23 cm for the □'s, and 25.5 cm for the △'s. Dashed line: multimode simulation based on Ref.[4]

4740 DISTRIBUTION LIST

Air Force Avionics Laboratory AFWAL/AADM-1 Wright/Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433 Attn: Walter Friez	1 copy
Air Force Office of Scientific Research Bolling AFB Washington, D.C. 20332 Attn: H. Schlossberg	1 copy
Air Force Weapons Lab Kirkland AFB Albuquerque, New Mexico 87117 Attn: Dr. William Baker Dr. A.H. Guenter	2 copies 1 copy
Columbia University 520 West 120th Street Department of Electrical Engineering New York, N.Y. 10027 Attn: Dr. S.P. Schlesinger A. Sen	1 copy 1 copy
Columbia University 520 West 120th Street Department of Applied Physics and Nuclear Engineering New York, New York 10027 Attn: T.C. Marshall R. Gross	1 copy 1 copy
Cornell University School of Applied and Engineering Physics Ithica, New York 14853 Attn: Prof. Hans H. Fleischmann John Nation R. N. Sudan	1 copy 1 copy 1 copy
Creol-FEL Research Pavillion 12424 Research Parkway, Suite 400 Orlando, FL 32826 Attn: Dr. Luis R. Elias Dr. I. Kimel	1 copy 1 copy
Dartmouth College 18 Wilder, Box 6127 Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 Attn: Dr. John E. Walsh	1 copy

Department of Energy
Div. of Advanced Energy Projects
Washington, DC 20545
Attn: Dr. R. Gajewski

1 copy

Department of Energy
The Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20545
Attn: C. Finfgeld/ER-542, GTN
T.V. George/ER-531, GTN
D. Crandall/ER-55, GTN
Dr. David F. Sutter/ER-224, GTN

1 copy

1 copy

1 copy

1 copy

Defense Advanced Research Project Agency/DEO
1400 Wilson Blvd.
Arlington, Virginia 22209
Attn: Dr. S. Shey
Dr. L. Buchanan

1 copy

1 copy

Defense Communications Agency
Washington, D.C. 20305
Attn: Dr. Pravin C. Jain
Assistant for Communications
Technology

1 copy

Defense Nuclear Agency
Washington, D.C. 20305
Attn: Mr. J. Farber
Dr. Leon Wittwer (RAAE)

1 copy

5 copies

Defense Technical Information Center
Cameron Station
5010 Duke Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

2 copies

General Atomics
13-260
Box 85608
San Diego, CA 92138
ATTN: Dr. J. Doane
Dr. C. Moeller

1 copy

1 copy

Georgia Tech. EES-EOD
Baker Building
Atlanta, Georgia 30332
Attn: Dr. James J. Gallagher

1 copy

Hanscomb Air Force Base
Stop 21, Massachusetts 01731
Attn: Lt. Rich Nielson/ESD/INK

1 copy

Hughes Aircraft Co.
Electron Dynamics Division
3100 West Lomita Boulevard
Torrance, California 90509
Attn: J. Christiansen
J.J. Tancredi

1 copy
1 copy

Hughes Research Laboratory
3011 Malibu Canyon Road
Malibu, CA 90265
Attn: Dr. R. Harvey
Dr. R.W. Schumacher

1 copy
1 copy

KMS Fusion, Inc.
3941 Research Park Dr.
P.O. Box 1567
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106
Attn: S.B. Segall

1 copy

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
1 Cyclotron road
Berkeley, CA 94720
Attn: Dr. A.M. Sessler

1 copy

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
P.O. Box 808
Livermore, California 94550
Attn: Dr. D. Prosnitz
Dr. T.J. Orzechowski
Dr. J. Chase
Dr. W.A. Barletta
Dr. D.L. Birx
Dr. R. Briggs
Dr. E.T. Scharlemann

1 copy
1 copy
1 copy
1 copy
1 copy
1 copy
1 copy

Los Alamos National Scientific Laboratory
P.O. Box 1663, MSJ 564
Los Alamos, NM 87545
Attn: Dr. Brian Newnam

1 copy

Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory
P.O. Box 1663, AT5-827
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545
Attn: Dr. T.J.T. Kwan
Dr. L. Thode
Dr. C. Brau
Dr. R. R. Bartsch

1 copy
1 copy
1 copy
1 copy

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Department of Physics
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Attn: Dr. G. Bekefi/36-213
Dr. M. Porkolab/NW 36-213
Dr. R. Davidson/NW 16-206
Dr. A. Bers/NW 38-260
Dr. K. Kreischer
Dr. B. Danby
Dr. G.L. Johnston

1 copy
1 copy
1 copy
1 copy
1 copy
1 copy
1 copy

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
167 Albany St., N.W. 16-200
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139
Attn: Dr. R. Temkin/NW 14-4107

1 copy

Spectra Technologies
2755 Northup Way
Bellevue, Washington 98004
Attn: Dr. J.M. Slater

1 copy

Mission Research Corporation
Suite 201
5503 Cherokee Avenue
Alexandria, Virginia 22312
Attn: Dr. M. Bollen
Dr. Tom Hargreaves
Dr. J. Pasour

1 copy
1 copy
1 copy

Mission Research Corporation
1720 Randolph Road, S.E.
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87106
Attn: Mr. Brendan B. Godfrey

1 copy

SPAWAR
Washington, D.C. 20363
Attn: E. Warden
Code PDE 106-3113
Capt. Fontana
PMW 145

1 copy
1 copy

Naval Research Laboratory
Addressee: Attn: Name/Code
Code 1000 - Commanding Officer
Code 1001 - T. Coffey
Code 1200 - Capt M.A. Howard
Code 1220 - Security
Code 2628 - TID Distribution
Code 4000 - W. Ellis
Code 4000 - D. Nagel
Code 4700 - S. Ossakow

1 copy
1 copy
1 copy
1 copy
22 copies
1 copy
1 copy
26 copies

Code 4700.1 - A.W. Ali	1 copy
Code 4710 - C. Kapetanakos	1 copy
Code 4740 - Branch Office	25 copies
Code 4740 - W. Black	1 copy
Code 4740 - A. Fliflet	1 copy
Code 4740 - S. Gold	1 copy
Code 4740 - A. Kinhead	1 copy
Code 4740 - W.M. Manheimer	1 copy
Code 4740 - M. Rhinewine	1 copy
Code 4770 - G. Cooperstein	1 copy
Code 4790 - B. Hui	1 copy
Code 4790 - C.M. Hui	1 copy
Code 4790 - Y.Y. Lau	1 copy
Code 4790 - P. Sprangle	1 copy
Code 5700 - L.A. Cosby	1 copy
Code 6840 - S.Y. Ahn	1 copy
Code 6840 - A. Ganguly	1 copy
Code 6840 - R.K. Parker	1 copy
Code 6840 - N.R. Vanderplaats	1 copy
Code 6850 - L.R. Whicker	1 copy
Code 6875 - R. Wagner	1 copy

Naval Sea Systems Command
 Department of the Navy
 Washington, D.C. 20362
 Attn: Commander
 PMS 405-300

1 copy

Northrop Corporation
 Defense Systems Division
 600 Hicks Rd.
 Rolling Meadows, Illinois 60008
 Attn: Dr. Gunter Dohler

1 copy

Oak Ridge National Laboratory
 P.O. Box Y
 Mail Stop 3
 Building 9201-2
 Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830
 Attn: Dr. A. England

1 copy

Office of Naval Research
 800 N. Quincy Street
 Arlington, Va. 22217
 Attn: Dr. C. Roberson
 Dr. W. Condell
 Dr. T. Berlincourt

1 copy
 1 copy
 1 copy

Optical Sciences Center
University of Arizona
Tucson, Arizona 85721
Attn: Dr. Willis E. Lamb, Jr.

1 copy

Physics International
2700 Merced Street
San Leandro, California 94577
Attn: Dr. J. Benford

1 copy

Physical Science Inc.
603 King Street
Alexandria, VA 22314
ATTN: M. Read

1 copy

Princeton Plasma
Plasma Physics Laboratory
James Forrestal Campus
P.O. Box 451
Princeton, New Jersey 08544
Attn: Dr. H. Hsuan
Dr. D. Ignat
Dr. H. Furth
Dr. P. Efthimion
Dr. F. Perkins

2 copies
1 copy
1 copy
1 copy
1 copy

Raytheon Company
Microwave Power Tube Division
Foundry Avenue
Waltham, Massachusetts 02154
Attn: N. Dionne

1 copy

Sandia National Laboratories
ORG. 1231, P.O. Box 5800
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87185
Attn: Dr. Thomas P. Wright
Mr. J.E. Powell
Dr. J. Hoffman
Dr. W.P. Ballard
Dr. C. Clark

1 copy
1 copy
1 copy
1 copy
1 copy

Science Applications, Inc.
1710 Goodridge Dr.
McLean, Virginia 22102
Attn: Adam Drobot
P. Vitello
D. Bacon
C. Menyuk

1 copy
1 copy
1 copy
1 copy

Science Research Laboratory
15 Ward Street
Somerville, MA 02143
Attn: Dr. R. Shefer

1 copy

Stanford University Dept. of Electrical Engineering Stanford, CA 94305 Attn: Dr. J. Feinstein	1 copy
Stanford University High Energy Physics Laboratory Stanford, California 94305 Attn: Dr. T.I. Smith	1 copy
Stanford University SLAC Stanford, CA 94305 Attn: Dr. Jean Labacqz	1 copy
TRW, Inc. One Space Park Redondo Beach, California 90278 Attn: Dr. H. Boehmer Dr. T. Romisser Dr. Z. Guiragossian	1 copy 1 copy 1 copy
University of California Physics Department Irvine, California 92717 Attn: Dr. G. Benford Dr. N. Rostoker	1 copy 1 copy
University of California Department of Physics Los Angeles, CA 90024 Attn: Dr. A.T. Lin Dr. N. Luhmann Dr. D. McDermott	1 copy 1 copy 1 copy
University of Maryland Department of Electrical Engineering College Park, Maryland 20742 Attn: Dr. V. L. Granatstein Dr. W. W. Destler	1 copy 1 copy
University of Maryland Laboratory for Plasma and Fusion Energy Studies College Park, Maryland 20742 Attn: Dr. Tom Antonsen Dr. John Finn Dr. Jhan Varyan Hellman Dr. W. Lawson Dr. Baruch Levush Dr. Edward Ott Dr. M. Reiser	1 copy 1 copy 1 copy 1 copy 1 copy 1 copy 1 copy

University of Tennessee Dept. of Electrical Engr. Knoxville, Tennessee 37916 Attn: Dr. I. Alexeff	1 copy
University of New Mexico Department of Physics and Astronomy 800 Yale Blvd, N.E. Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131 Attn: Dr. Gerald T. Moore	1 copy
University of Utah Department of Electrical Engineering 3053 Merrill Engineering Bldg. Salt Lake City, Utah 84112 Attn: Dr. Larry Barnett Dr. J. Mark Baird	1 copy 1 copy
Director of Research U. S. Naval Academy Annapolis, Maryland 21402-5021	1 copy
U. S. Army Harry Diamond Labs 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, Maryland 20783-1145 Attn: Dr. Howard Brandt Dr. Edward Brown Dr. Stuart Graybill Dr. A. Kehs Dr. J. Silverstein	1 copy 1 copy 1 copy 1 copy 1 copy
Varian Associates 611 Hansen Way Palo Alto, California 94303 Attn: Dr. H. Huey Dr. H. Jory Dr. Kevin Felch Dr. R. Pendleton Dr. A. Salop	1 copy 1 copy 1 copy 1 copy 1 copy
Varian Eimac San Carlos Division 301 Industrial Way San Carlos, California 94070 Attn: C. Marshall Loring	1 copy
Yale University Applied Physics Madison Lab P.O. Box 2159 Yale Station New Haven, Connecticut 06520 Attn: Dr. I. Bernstein	1 copy