

DTIC FILE COPY

2

AD-A206 404

---

---

# SECONDARY POWER SYSTEMS

## PRODUCTION BASE ANALYSIS STUDY

DTIC  
ELECTE  
MAR 28 1989  
S D

GENERAL  
RESEARCH  CORPORATION

DAYTON TECHNICAL OFFICE

Wright Executive Center, Suite No. 390  
2940 Presidential Drive, Fairborn, OH 45324-6223

A SUBSIDIARY OF FLOW GENERAL INC.

**DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A**

Approved for public release  
Distribution Unlimited

**JULY 1988**

89 3 27 068

## REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |       |                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                   |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>Unclassified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |       |                                                      | 1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS<br>N/A                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                   |
| 2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY<br>N/A                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |       |                                                      | 3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT<br>Unlimited                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                   |
| 2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE<br>N/A                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |       |                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                   |
| 4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)<br>N/A                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |       |                                                      | 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)<br>N/A                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                   |
| 6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION<br>General Research Corp                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |       | 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL<br>(If applicable)<br>N/A          | 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION<br>HQ AFSC/PLMI                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                   |
| 6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)<br>2940 Presidential Drive<br>Wright Executive Center, Suite 390<br>Fairborn, OH 45324-6223                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |       |                                                      | 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)<br>Wright-Patterson AFB, OH<br>45433-6503                                                                                                                                                          |                                   |
| 8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION<br>Aerospace<br>Industrial Modernization Office                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |       | 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL<br>(If applicable)<br>HQ AFSC/PLMI | 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER<br>F33657-85-D-2191                                                                                                                                                                        |                                   |
| 8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)<br>Same as Block 7b.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |       |                                                      | 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                   |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |       |                                                      | PROGRAM<br>ELEMENT NO.<br>PE78011F                                                                                                                                                                                                         | TASK<br>NO.<br>N/A                |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |       |                                                      | TASK<br>NO.<br>013                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | WORK UNIT<br>ACCESSION NO.<br>N/A |
| 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)<br>Secondary Power Systems (SPS) Production Base Analysis (PBA) Study /Unclassified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |       |                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                   |
| 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)<br>Dickson, David S.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |       |                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                   |
| 13a. TYPE OF REPORT<br>Final                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |       | 13b. TIME COVERED<br>FROM Jan 87 TO Jul 88           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | 15. PAGE COUNT<br>60              |
| 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)<br>July 1988                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |       |                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                   |
| 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION<br>N/A                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |       |                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                   |
| 17. COSATI CODES                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |       |                                                      | 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)<br>Industrial Base Planning, Secondary Power Systems, Auxillary Power Systems, MANTECH, IMIP, Industrial Preparedness Measure (IPM), Turbine Technology. |                                   |
| FIELD                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | GROUP | SUB-GROUP                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                   |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |       |                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                   |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |       |                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                   |
| 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)<br>The study focused on the technical characteristics of propulsion Gas Turbine Engines (GTEs) and the power system GTEs and how these characteristics have influenced U.S. producers. Two general GTE industrial sectors were identified, large GTEs and small GTEs. Large GTEs are used for propulsion of military/civil aircraft and military seacraft; power generation for military seacraft; and, civil stationary power plants. Small GTEs are used for propulsion of military missiles and drones, military/civil helicopters and military/civil landcraft; power generation for military/civil aircraft/helicopters; and, mobile ground power units. Two aspects of the sector of power generation for military/civil aircraft/helicopters, referred to as secondary power systems (SPS), on which this study focused.<br>(1) The evolving common technology needs which would secure the U.S. world market dominance well into the 21st century.<br>(cont'd on reverse) |       |                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                   |
| 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |       |                                                      | 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>Unclassified                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                   |
| 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL<br>Robert L. Rice                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |       |                                                      | 22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)<br>(513) 255-3920                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                   |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |       |                                                      | 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL<br>HQ AFSC/PLMIP                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                   |

BLOCK 19 (Continued):

(2) The interrelated nature of the industrial base supporting prime SPS producers and other subsystems.

Operational requirements of modern day aircraft have expanded greatly from past aircraft needs. Future military SPS operational requirements dictate highly ambitious technology goals for the year 2000. The large GTE life cycle activities are undergirded by a continuum of technological activity that is both well funded and managed by the DOD. The Air Force technology goals established for SPS for the year 2000 are extremely ambitious in comparison to historical accomplishments.

Historically, SPS have received intermittent, selective government support and has made much less technological progress than the large GTE. In addition, market pressures dictate lower costs and higher reliability and maintainability. These factors combine to suggest the need for significant advances in materials and manufacturing processes to be used in sps; advances in such areas as materials and processes which have not been perfected for use in production.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

|       |                                           |    |
|-------|-------------------------------------------|----|
| 1.0   | INTRODUCTION.....                         | 1  |
| 1.1   | BACKGROUND                                |    |
| 1.2   | STUDY ORGANIZATION                        |    |
| 1.2.1 | OBJECTIVE                                 |    |
| 1.2.2 | METHODOLOGY                               |    |
| 2.0   | CHARACTERIZATION.....                     | 2  |
| 2.1   | GENERAL DESCRIPTION                       |    |
| 2.1.1 | PRODUCERS                                 |    |
| 2.2   | PRODUCTS AND APPLICATIONS                 |    |
| 2.2.1 | GENERAL APPLICATIONS                      |    |
| 2.2.2 | MILITARY APPLICATIONS                     |    |
| 2.3   | FINANCIAL                                 |    |
| 2.4   | MANUFACTURING CONCERNS                    |    |
| 3.0   | SECONDARY POWER SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY.....   | 13 |
| 3.1   | OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS                  |    |
| 3.2   | TECHNOLOGY REQUIREMENTS                   |    |
| 3.3   | TECHNOLOGY EFFORTS                        |    |
| 4.0   | STUDY FINDINGS.....                       | 23 |
| 4.1   | FINDINGS                                  |    |
| 4.1.1 | SPS PRODUCERS                             |    |
| 4.1.2 | SPS MANUFACTURING CONCERNS                |    |
| 4.1.3 | OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS                  |    |
| 4.1.4 | TECHNOLOGY PERSPECTIVE                    |    |
| 4.2   | GENERAL CONCLUSIONS                       |    |
| 4.3   | RECOMMENDATIONS                           |    |
| 4.4   | ACTION PLANS                              |    |
| 4.4.1 | SPS MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY REQUIREMENTS |    |
| 4.4.2 | SPS SUBCONTRACTOR STUDY                   |    |

APPENDIX A: SECONDARY POWER QUESTIONNAIRE

APPENDIX B: GTE PRODUCERS

|                    |                                            |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Accession For      |                                            |
| NTIS CRA&I         | <input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/> |
| DTIC TAB           | <input type="checkbox"/>                   |
| Unannounced        | <input type="checkbox"/>                   |
| Justification      |                                            |
| By                 |                                            |
| Distribution /     |                                            |
| Availability Codes |                                            |
| Dist               | Avail and/or Special                       |
| A-1                |                                            |

### **LIST OF TABLES**

|     |                                                 |    |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------|----|
| 2-1 | General SPS Application Requirements.....       | 4  |
| 2-2 | Power System GTE Classification.....            | 6  |
| 2-3 | SPS Equipment.....                              | 9  |
| 2-4 | Military SPS Design Criteria.....               | 10 |
| 2-5 | SPS Strategic and Critical Material Useage..... | 12 |
| 3-1 | SPS Operational Requirements.....               | 13 |
| 3-2 | SPS Technology Needs.....                       | 17 |
| 3-3 | Technology Funding Sources.....                 | 21 |

### **LIST OF FIGURES**

|     |                                      |    |
|-----|--------------------------------------|----|
| 2-1 | Evolution of SPS Industry.....       | 3  |
| 2-2 | Aircraft SPS Interfaces.....         | 5  |
| 2-3 | GTE Power Applications.....          | 7  |
| 3-1 | Technology Scaling Implications..... | 14 |
| 3-2 | SPS Power Density.....               | 18 |
| 3-3 | SPS Specific Power.....              | 19 |
| 3-4 | SPS Specific Fuel Consumption.....   | 20 |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

### E.1 BACKGROUND

► This study of the Secondary Power System (SPS) sector of the US Defense Industrial Base was performed in response to both Department of Defense (DOD) directive as well as the findings from previous Production Base Analysis (PBA) studies. DOD Instruction 4005.3, "Industrial Preparedness Program Planning" requires the services, in conjunction with private industry and other federal agencies, to conduct industrial preparedness planning of resources to ensure ready and controlled sources of technical competence to support the modernization, readiness and sustainability of combat forces.

### E.2 OBJECTIVE

Initially it seemed advisable to embark upon an in-depth review of the SPS sector as recommended by the Gas Turbine Engine (GTE) PBA Study Final Report. Study methodology of a parallel nature to that used for the GTE PBA Study would be applied to assess the SPS Primes and the critical subcontractors. A subsequent shift of emphasis resulted in a reorganization of the study task objectives as follows:

- (1) Study the aircraft secondary power system industrial base to obtain a better definition of: a) the industrial base to which it belongs; and b) the industrial bases to which it is closely related and/or dependent.
- (2) Assess the current technological strength of the aircraft SPS industrial base and identify future steps to maintain a healthy industrial base.

### E.3 STUDY APPROACH

A top down view of the world wide SPS industrial base was taken to identify the various SPS markets in which the U.S. producers are active and what portion of the world SPS market is currently held by these producers.

From this point on, the study focused on the related technical characteristics between propulsion GTEs and the power system GTEs and how these characteristics had influenced the U.S. GTE producers. From this effort it became apparent that two general

GTE industrial sectors could be identified each involving similar GTE propulsion and power applications. These applications were defined as large GTEs and small GTEs. Large GTEs are used for propulsion of military/civil aircraft and military seacraft; power generation for military seacraft; and, civil stationary power plants. Small GTEs are used for propulsion of military missiles and drones, military/civil helicopters and military/civil landcraft; power generation for military/civil aircraft/helicopters; and, mobile ground power units.

At this point, the study focused on two aspects of the small GTE industrial base:

- (1) The evolving common technology needs for propulsion and power GTEs which, if satisfied, could secure the U.S. world market dominance well into the 21st century.
- (2) The interrelated nature of the industrial base supporting the prime SPS producers to the other industrial bases supporting production of electrical/hydraulic subsystems, environmental control subsystems, propulsion start subsystems and a variety of mobile ground power supply carts/sets.

#### E.4 STUDY FINDINGS

- The majority of military SPS applications are produced by these same two Primes.
- Single source SPS suppliers are common to GTE critical suppliers.
- Critical SPS occupations are those requiring excessive training times.
- Foreign source dependencies exist for specialized manufacturing equipment.
- SPS gas generator production is dependent upon availability of several strategic and critical materials for high temperature alloys.
- Airborne SPS equipment, with the APU being the core of the entire system, is unique due to its operation, size and duty cycle.
- The duty cycles imposed on APUs are more severe than those imposed on the main engine consisting of severe

mechanical and thermal transients, efficient operation over a wide speed range, high mission operation mix, with high reliability and ease of maintenance.

- Modern day aircraft have operational requirements which have expanded greatly over past aircraft needs.
- Future military SPS operational requirements have led to highly ambitious technology goals for the year 2000.
- The large GTE life cycle activities are undergirded by a continuum of technological activity that is both well funded and managed by the DOD.
- The Air Force technology goals established for SPSs for the year 2000 are extremely ambitious in comparison to historical trends.
- The physical size of APU components required for modern-day and future tactical aircraft have imposed limits on technology transfer from large gas turbine engines. For instance, the cooling air passages in the turbine blades of a 30,000 pound thrust turbofan engine are significantly larger than the entire blade tip for a 500 horsepower APU.
- Even for the modern-day, first line aircraft, SPS requirements are typically not defined until late in the FSED phase of the acquisition cycle. This lack of consideration during the conceptual design usually results in the SPS being limited to state-of-the-art technology.

#### E.5 STUDY CONCLUSIONS

- Without major across-the-board technological breakthroughs future systems will continue to suffer SPS performance shortfalls.
- Future demands of the SPS require designs which are smaller, lighter and more powerful than today's units. In addition, market pressures dictate lower costs and higher reliability and maintainability. These factors combine to suggest the need for significant advances in materials and manufacturing processes to be used in SPSs; advances in such areas as materials and processes which have not been perfected for use in production.



- Increased demands can no longer be satisfied by increases in component efficiency and capability. Integrated designs must be developed, and demonstrated to achieve projected goals.
- Fragmented, multi-agency SPS development activities will not meet projected requirements. Only through a well-managed technology program will the projected military SPS weapon system operational requirements be met.

#### E.6 STUDY RECOMMENDATIONS

- Identify and document the specific SPS technologies (materials, components, gas generator, SPSs) that must be achieved to meet the projected weapon system operational requirements.
- Conduct a more detailed review of the SPS subcontractor base to identify critical material and component suppliers to the production of secondary power systems for future weapon system acquisitions.

## **SECONDARY POWER SYSTEMS**

### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 BACKGROUND**

This study of the Secondary Power System (SPS) sector of the US Defense Industrial Base was performed in response to findings from the Gas Turbine Engine (GTE) Production Base Analysis (PBA) Study. DOD Instruction 4005.3, "Industrial Preparedness Program Planning" requires the services, in conjunction with private industry and other federal agencies, to conduct industrial preparedness planning of resources to ensure ready and controlled sources of technical competence to support the modernization, readiness and sustainability of combat forces. The study concentrated on SPSs because they are a major long leadtime component of systems on the Air Force (AF) Critical Items List (CIL).

A top down view of the world wide SPS industrial base was taken to identify the various SPS markets in which the U.S. producers are active and what portion of the world SPS market is currently held by these producers. The study then focused on the related technical characteristics between propulsion GTEs and the power system GTEs and how these characteristics had influenced the U.S. GTE producers. From this effort it became apparent that two general GTE industrial sectors could be identified each involving similar GTE propulsion and power applications. These applications were defined as large GTEs and small GTEs. Large GTEs are used for propulsion of military/civil aircraft and military seacraft; power generation for military seacraft; and, civil stationary power plants. Small GTEs are used for propulsion of military missiles and drones, military/civil helicopters and military/civil landcraft; power generation for military/civil aircraft/helicopters; and, mobile ground power units. For convenience, the whole gamut of SPSs (auxiliary power units (APUs), jet fuel starters (JFSs), etc.,) was included in the general category of small GTEs.

In the early 1960s there were several sources for SPSs. These systems are more generally called turbomachinery or power system GTEs. These sources were Thompson Products, Boeing, Pratt & Whitney, Marquardt, Solar, Sundstrand, Hydroaire, Bendix, Garrett, Lycoming, Teledyne, General Electric, Williams, and Allison. They were aggressively pursuing development and production of power system GTEs. Today this industry segment has been markedly reduced. There are currently two (2) companies in the United States that support SPS development and production with one (1) additional source in Canada. The US firms are Garrett

and Sundstrand Turbomach. Solar and Bendix still retain a very small portion of this business base. Pratt & Whitney, Canada is the other resource outside the US, and is part of the North American Defense Industrial Base (NADIB).

## 1.2 STUDY ORGANIZATION

### 1.2.1 Objective

The basic study objective was to identify the companies which comprise this industry sector and characterize that business base, both commercial and military. Additionally, the study was to identify those SPSs which are supported, both in current inventories and those projected to be in the inventory in the next decade.

As the study evolved, the development and application of SPS technology surfaced as a major problem area. In view of the importance of this critical subsystem to future weapon systems operation, characterization of the SPS technology base became a study objective.

### 1.2.2 Methodology

The general approach to accomplishing the SPS PBA Study was patterned on the GTE PBA Study. Data were gathered via a questionnaire (Appendix A) and interviews with government and industry personnel. These data were supplemented by information found in company documents and the Aeronautical Systems Division (ASD) Technical Library.

The Aerospace Industrial Modernization (AIM) Office, HQ AFSC/PLMI, has overall management responsibility for this study and coordinated the review process for the final report. Comments solicited from participating contractor organizations, AFWAL laboratory personnel and members of the ASD technical staff were incorporated in this report.

## 2.0 CHARACTERIZATION

### 2.1 GENERAL DESCRIPTION

#### 2.1.1 Producers

Figure 2-1 shows how the US SPS industry has evolved over the last 35 years. During this time, the industry has dramatically changed in make-up, evolving from 14 narrow-based, product-line producers to the two current broadly based, SPS producers.

**NARROW-BASED, PRODUCT-  
LINE PRODUCERS:**

- ALLISON
- BENDIX
- BOEING
- GARRETT
- GENERAL ELECTRIC
- HYDRO AIRE
- LYCOMING
- MARQUART
- PRATT AND WHITNEY
- SOLAR
- SUNDSTRAND
- TELEDYNE
- THOMPSON PRODUCTS
- WILLIAMS RESEARCH

**BROADLY-BASED  
SPS PRODUCERS:**

- GARRETT
- SUNDSTRAND

**35 YEARS OF RIGOROUS  
EVOLUTION OF MILITARY/CIVIL  
TECHNICAL AND BUSINESS  
REQUIREMENTS**

Figure 2-1 THE EVOLUTION OF THE SECONDARY POWER SYSTEM (SPS) INDUSTRY

Garrett Airesearch pioneered the development of the gas turbine auxillary power unit (APU) in the 1940s and early 1950s for use in military aircraft. In the late 1950s and early 1960s, Garrett introduced the gas turbine in commercial aircraft, and to date the company has produced in excess of 50,000 units, which represents nine variants of their basic power system. The only other company to significantly penetrate the SPS market has been Sundstrand Turbomach with essentially one basic power unit, the Titan T-62, which has been built in quantities approaching 12,000, mainly for US military helicopters, fighters and corporate jets.

## 2.2 PRODUCTS AND APPLICATIONS

### 2.2.1 General Applications

The APU and Jet Fuel Starter (JFS), which are each small gas turbine engines, are only a portion of the aircraft SPS equipment. In recent years, as SPS requirements have grown larger in numbers and more demanding in terms of power levels and types, the associated equipments have gotten more and more diversified. Figure 2-2 shows some of the aircraft subsystems with which SPS units interface. Each of these subsystems has its own set of requirements which collectively form the general set of SPS application requirements outlined below in Table 2-1.

TABLE 2-1

#### GENERAL SPS APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS

- Self-sufficient, automatic operation, self diagnostic
- Operation over wide temperature/pressure envelope
- Small volume, light weight
- Fuel efficient over wide load spectrum
- Low life cycle cost

The auxiliary component configuration make-up of the SPS is very sensitive to the aircraft configuration, so numerous packaging arrangements have evolved and are continuing to evolve. Thus, the exact composition of the SPS components varies with the aircraft type. The resulting SPS has a very technically sophisticated set of electrical, hydraulic, pneumatic and hydromechanical interfaces with the aircraft flight and ground support equipment.




Figure 2-2 AIRCRAFT SECONDARY POWER SYSTEM (SPS) INTERFACES

Each SPS has a core gas generator comprised of a compressor, a combustor and a turbine. Other turbomachinery, gear boxes, controls, heat exchangers, inlets and nozzles are added to satisfy the peculiar requirements of the propulsion or power system application.

Propulsion GTEs are classified into one of four categories: turboprop, turboshaft, turbojet and turbofan. Although all four categories were initially developed by the DOD for airborne military systems, propulsion derivatives of all four categories have found their way into military seacraft and civil marine craft. Propulsion derivatives of the turboshaft engine have found limited applications for military land vehicles and civil railcraft. In addition, the larger propulsion GTEs have found a broad market for power generation derivatives for civil stationary power generation units.

Secondary power systems are not as easily classified, as no simple, universal identification system has been adopted. Table 2-2 identifies three broad classifications that are used according to the power system requirements for the SPS.

**TABLE 2-2**

**POWER SYSTEM SPS CLASSIFICATION**

- Pneumatic power provided by an integral bleed APU or direct driven air compressor.
- All shaft power secondary power units (SPU) driving into a light weight gearbox which drives hydraulic pumps, electrical generators, system controls and accessories, and a gearbox driven load compressor for environmental control system (ECS) air supply and main engine starting. For aircraft mounted systems a mechanical link can be provided for self sufficient main engine starting and limited motoring of the main engine and its accessories.
- A combination of pneumatic and shaft power.

Although some of the secondary power systems were initially developed for military fixed wing aircraft and helicopter applications, derivative designs quickly found broad application in military/civil mobile power carts and military mobile power generation sets. To date, limited power generation applications have occurred for a variety of military land vehicles. Figure 2-3 depicts the data on world-wide applications of power systems. It can readily be seen that US producers dominate this market.




Figure 2-3 GTE POWER APPLICATIONS



### 2.2.2 Military Applications

SPS application to military weapon systems involves ground and in-flight power to: start main engines; drive electrical generators and hydraulic pumps; and, provide pneumatic power for aircraft heating and cooling systems. Weapon system SPS applications identified during the course of this study include the following categories:

1. Auxillary Power Unit (APU) - A small air breathing gas turbine engine which provides shaft horsepower to generate electrical and hydraulic power as well as pressurized air for ground cooling and engine starting.
2. Jet Fuel Starter (JFS) - A small gas turbine engine which is mechanically connected to the propulsion engine to provide power for starting.
3. Air Turbine Starter (ATS) - An air turbine which is mechanically connected to the main propulsion system for starting. A source of pressurized air must be provided to power the turbine.
4. RAM Air Turbine (RAT) - An emergency device consisting of an impeller which can be moved out into the airstream to provide emergency power.
5. Emergency Power Unit (EPU) - A non air breathing turbine power unit capable of very quick starts at any altitude or airspeed to provide relatively small amounts of shaft power to provide emergency electrical and hydraulic power. The F-16 is the only production aircraft that has an EPU installed.

A tabulation of the SPS units currently installed on existing DOD weapon systems is shown in Table 2-3.

TABLE 2-3

## SECONDARY POWER SYSTEM EQUIPMENT

| <u>SYSTEM</u> | <u>EQUIPMENT</u> | <u>ENGINE T/M/S</u> | <u>MANUFACTURER</u>  |
|---------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| A-4           | JFS              | JFS-100-34          | Garrett              |
| A-6           | APU, ATS         | GTCP 36-201         | Garrett              |
| A-7           | JFS              | JFS-100-13A         | Garrett              |
| A-10          | APU              | GTCP 36-50          | Garrett              |
| AH-64         | APU              | GTCP 36-55          | Garrett              |
| B-1B          | APU, ATS         | GTCP 165-7A/9A      | Garrett              |
| C-5           | APU, ATS, RAT    | GTCP 165-1          | Garrett              |
| C-9           | APU              | GTCP 85-98D         | Garrett              |
| C-17          | APU              | GTCP 331-200        | Garrett              |
| C-130         | APU, ATS         | GTC/GTCP85          | Garrett              |
| CH-47         | APU              | T62-T2              | Sundstrand Turbomach |
| CH-53         | APU              | T62-T12/27          | Sundstrand Turbomach |
| E-3           | APU              | GTCP 165-1          | Garrett              |
| E-4           | APU              | GTCP 660-4          | Garrett              |
| E-6           | APU              | GTCP 165-1          | Garrett              |
| F-15          | JFS              | JFS-190-1           | Garrett              |
| F-16          | JFS              | T62-T40-8           | Sundstrand Turbomach |
| KC-10         | APU, ATS         | TSCP 700-4/4B       | Garrett              |
| KC-135R       | APU              | T62-T40LC-2         | Sundstrand Turbomach |
| T-43          | APU              | GTCP 85-129         | Garrett              |
| UH-60         | APU              | T62-T40-1           | Sundstrand Turbomach |
| V-22          | APU              | T62-T46             | Sundstrand Turbomach |

Compared to civil applications, military SPSs place more stringent demands on the application of state-of-the-art technology. Historically, weight and volume "bogeys" are allocated for SPS subsystems as part of the design process. As the system approaches full scale engineering development and the true requirements become better defined, the combination of subsystem weight and cost "growth" invariably surfaces. These historical system development realities, combined with operational Air Force pressures to achieve better combat readiness and capability figures, drive SPS technology application to the limit. Table 2-4 identifies the major criteria facing the designer of military application SPS units.

**TABLE 2-4**

**MILITARY SPS DESIGN CRITERIA**

- Higher power density
- Improved reliability and maintainability (R&M) goals
- Improved specific fuel consumption
- Lower life cycle cost objectives
- Reduced acquisition cost through improved manufacturing and producibility.

**2.3 FINANCIAL**

Over 90 percent of the SPS sales (shipments) in the US are provided by the two producers, Garrett and Sundstrand Turbomach. For 1986, total shipments (defense and non-defense) were in excess of \$1 billion. Of this total dollar value, approximately 44 percent of the shipments were defense related.

In this same year, these two producers invested in excess of \$30 million in facilities, machinery and equipment associated with SPS production. Investments in R&D for 1986 were somewhat smaller (less than \$10 million) and were predominantly company sponsored (Independent Research and Development (IR&D)). This equates to less than one percent of sales, whereas the aerospace industry on the average spends about 15 percent of gross sales for R&D. The Government sponsored portion of the R&D expenditures was identified at approximately two percent.

**2.4 MANUFACTURING CONCERNS**

One of the main objectives in Industrial Base Planning is understanding the constraints to peacetime production. The need for AFSC to provide a quality product to the user is paramount. The development and acquisition community must continually strive to increase quality and reliability and make the product easier to maintain. Some of the major industrial base planning concerns identified in the manufacture of SPS are detailed below.

Sole/Single Source: Numerous SPS components are produced by single source suppliers. In many instances, these suppliers were the same suppliers who were providing critical components or materials for the production of propulsion GTEs as identified by the GTE PBA Study. This situation was particularly true for castings suppliers. Alternate sources are pursued where it is cost effective and feasible.

Foreign Sources: No critical SPS components were identified as being procured from foreign sources. However, several pieces of manufacturing equipment were foreign sourced, but only one (5 axis mill) was documented as being available only from that foreign source. (Note: 5-axis machines can be obtained from domestic sources) No specific contingency plans exist to qualify domestic sources for the foreign sourced manufacturing equipment.

Critical Occupations: These occupations are defined as those for which labor shortages would be anticipated during a surge or mobilization, or where normal training times were greater than 12 months. Experienced engineers, including design, manufacturing, instrumentation and logistics support disciplines were listed. In addition, skilled machinists and technicians for specialized equipment operation and maintenance were identified. In every case, the principal requirement was the extensive training required to achieve minimum skill levels. Training periods ranged from high school plus three to seven years, to college plus three to seven years experience.

Strategic and Critical Materials: The gas generator core portion of the SPS utilizes many strategic and critical materials. Table 2-5 lists the materials that were identified by both Primes as essential for SPS production. These materials are required in the production of high temperature alloys and are available only from foreign sources. The US is greater than 78 percent reliant on foreign sources for these materials. During times of increased tensions or hostilities these sources would be suspect due to socio-political considerations. A final observation on these critical materials concerns the Defense stockpile for these materials. Nickel currently has 20 percent of the stockpile goal on hand; however, none of it is in a useable form for propulsion or power systems GTE applications. Similar trends are shown for chromium, cobalt, manganese and tantalum.



TABLE 2-5

# SPS STRATEGIC/CRITICAL MATERIALS USAGE

CHROMIUM  
COBALT

TANTALUM

NICKEL  
MANGANESE



### 3.0 SECONDARY POWER SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY

#### 3.1 OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS

One of the first APUs built for military applications consisted of a small gas turbine engine coupled to an electric generator on board a Lockheed C-130 transport aircraft. SPSS have increased in size, power and numbers of applications. Advanced tactical aircraft have operational requirements, as reflected in Table 3-1, which have expanded greatly beyond past needs.

**TABLE 3-1**

#### **SPS OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS**

- Independence from ground support equipment
- Minimum operation on propulsion GTEs
- Reduced overall power system size and weight
- Propulsion GTE accessory system operational capability









Today, SPS requirements typically do not get defined until late in the full scale engineering development (FSED) phase of the weapon system. This lack of consideration during the early design stages results in the SPS being limited to what is currently available within the size and power requirements needed by the weapon system Prime. Airborne SPS equipment, with the APU being the core of the entire system, is unique due to its operation, size and duty cycle. The duty cycles imposed on APUs are quite severe since the APU cold soaks at altitude to a low temperature from which it must often start and achieve full power shortly after returning to the ground. By contrast, the main engine starts at ground ambient and remains thermally stable (relatively) during the flight.

The physical size of APU components required for modern-day and future tactical aircraft has imposed limits on technology transfer from large propulsion GTEs. For instance, the cooling air passages in the turbine blades of a 30,000 pound thrust turbofan engine are considerably larger than the tip of the turbine blade from a 400 horsepower APU. Figure 3-1 shows the cross-section of a 400 horsepower APU turbine blade in the lower left-hand corner. In the upper right-hand corner of the figure is a cross-section of the turbine blade for the 30,000 pound thrust turbofan engine. The cooling passages which are drilled into this blade would consume the entire blade from the 400 horsepower APU. Cooling schemes are not directly transferable from the propulsion GTEs to the small SPSS; therefore, technology

NOTE • BLADE RADIAL LOCATION, HEIGHT, LENGTH  
AND THICKNESSES ARE CORRECT TO SCALE SHOWN

- SAND CONTAMINANT PARTICLE SIZES:  
C-SPEC (MIL SPEC) — 0 TO 1000  $\mu$  (0 TO 0.039 INCH)  
AC-COARSE — 0 TO 200  $\mu$  (0 TO 0.008 INCH)  
AC-FINE — 0 TO 80  $\mu$  (0 TO 0.003 INCH)

1.0 INCH  
SCALE



CENTERLINE  
FOR ALL  
ENGINES

Figure 3-1 TECHNOLOGY SCALING APPLICATIONS

must be developed to allow the same cooling effects to be applied to the SPSSs. Even if cooling passages could be fabricated they would be susceptible to becoming clogged by foreign objects.

Most components of the APU are similarly affected by size considerations. In addition, the physical size of the APU, up to 500 horsepower, limits the hot section turbine components to an uncooled configuration. When this uncooled APU is compared to a larger, cooled main aircraft engine, the time weighted percent of rated power that an APU must provide is much higher. In addition, the uncooled APU hot section parts operate at maximum power where the propulsion GTE operates over a wide range of power settings. The uncooled APU is then operating only slightly lower in TIT than the cooled propulsion GTE hot section parts on a time-weighted percent of rated power. The power distribution of the propulsion GTEs then allows for lower stress rupture time for an equivalent service life. These examples are used to clarify the point that APUs are unique small propulsion GTEs and cannot be classified with either turboshaft or turbofan engines, or cruise missile engines which have limited life requirements. It must be pointed out that the above operational requirements for APUs are true for virtually every SPS.

### 3.2 TECHNOLOGY REQUIREMENTS

#### 3.2.1 SPS Technology Development

It appears that a very low priority for development efforts has been given to SPSSs compared to the primary propulsion systems (propulsion GTEs) for the weapon systems. This is partially attributed to the fact that there is no centralized System Program Office (SPO) within the Air Force that concerns itself with this equipment. Without a government focal point to formally specify, monitor and manage the system SPS requirements, the prime weapon system contractor assumes the responsibility by default. SPS technology development has also suffered because of this situation. Without system acquisition agency sponsorship and support, the R&D community has been unable to justify the need for technology development funding. Several efforts are presently underway or planned to help upgrade the level of technology application in SPSSs, but evidence of government agency coordination is lacking and the degree of need (i.e., weapon system requirements) is not thoroughly understood or documented.

Much of the turbomachinery technology used in the design of current power units is thus representative of the 1960's. There is a perceived technology application gap between small propulsion GTEs and SPSSs. The trends in specific fuel consumption (SFC), turbine inlet temperature (TIT), and the available horsepower per



pound of airflow per second lag the trends for small propulsion GTEs. Some of this technology application gap may be attributable to the proprietary nature of the technology, but unique SPS requirements probably account for a larger percentage of the disparity.

### 3.2.2 SPS Technology Parameters

When discussing the technology requirements that are evolving for SPSs, it is important to use parameters that are not affected by the multitude of possible applications and component configurations. Several parameters have been developed which permit us to examine technology trends and to portray future technical goals in relation to historical trends. Parameters selected for this study include power density, specific power and specific fuel consumption. These three parameters are indicators of improved efficiencies and increases in power output based on relative size of the power system GTE.

As previously mentioned, secondary power systems were developed in the late 1950s. Initial development of the T-62 Titan was undertaken by Turbomach in 1957. This engine was initially developed as the primary propulsion unit for one-man helicopters for the US Navy. Although the requirements for this aircraft did not materialize, T-62 engine development continued aimed at airborne APU applications.

Some general SPS objectives for these technical parameters have been established by the Air Force. In the following paragraphs, these goals are presented in relation to the technical progress that has been achieved, or is projected to be achieved, from 1950 to 1990. The graphs are presented to depict trends rather than identify precise values.

Power Density: This parameter is defined as the horsepower generated per cubic foot of volume. In Figure 3-2, power density is plotted against core gas generator airflow in pounds of air per second. The power density numbers for typical SPS equipments range from 100 for 1950 vintage products to a projection of 250 for 1990. Current power system GTE applications have average power density values of about 200. The Air Force goal of 400, nearly double the 1990 projection, for the year 2000 seems very ambitious without some type of technological "break through."

Specific Power: Specific power is defined as the horsepower produced divided by the inlet air flow in pounds of air per second. The specific power ratings for typical SPS applications exhibited the trends depicted in Figure 3-3 for the 1950 to 1990 time period. Current values for specific power average about

100. The Air Force goal appears to be in excess of a 30 percent improvement for this parameter.

**Specific Fuel Consumption:** For power applications, this parameter is defined as the fuel flow in pounds per hour divided by the horsepower being produced. In other words, this is the amount of fuel required to produce one horsepower. Figure 3-4 depicts the general range for this parameter for the 1950 to 1990 time frame. Current values for specific fuel consumption average 0.8. Here again the Air Force goal of 0.5 represents an approximate 20 percent improvement over the figures projected for 1990.

The technological ramifications of these goals impact every SPS component. Dramatic advances in turbomachinery, thermodynamics, aerodynamics, power conversion and system integration must be made to achieve these AF goals. Table 3-2 identifies the SPS technology needs which will have to be considered on future SPS development efforts.

**TABLE 3-2**

**SPS TECHNOLOGY NEEDS**

- Advanced cycles (regenerative, higher temperatures and pressure ratios)
- Advanced components (seals, bearings, combustors, compressors, turbines and gears)
- Advanced materials (ceramics, carbon-carbon and powder metals)
- System design (integrated functions, structure and packaging)




Figure 3-2 SPS POWER DENSITY




Figure 3-3 SPS SPECIFIC POWER




Figure 3-4 SPS SPECIFIC FUEL CONSUMPTION

### 3.3 TECHNOLOGY EFFORTS

On the surface, there appears to be a great deal of DOD sponsored R&D effort applicable to SPSs. As previously discussed, however, very little sponsored R&D has been accomplished which is uniquely directed at SPS improvement. The applicability of GTE R&D is also limited because of size effects, proprietary data problems and other issues. In short, the work that has been accomplished is product improvement as opposed to applied research.

Based upon the limited nature of this study, Table 3-3 was developed to show the dramatic disparity which exists between

TABLE 3-3

| TECHNOLOGY FUNDING SOURCES                                             |                   |     |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----|
| TECHNOLOGY ACTIVITY/<br>FUNDING SOURCE                                 | PROPULSION<br>GTE | SPS |
| <u>R&amp;D FUNDING</u>                                                 |                   |     |
| Analysis/Design Methods                                                | C                 | OO  |
| Materials/Processes                                                    | C                 | OO  |
| Component Technology                                                   | C                 | OO  |
| Core Gas Generator                                                     | C                 | OO  |
| Configuration Technology                                               | C                 | N   |
| Technology Demonstration                                               | AR                | OO  |
| <u>SYSTEM FUNDING</u>                                                  |                   |     |
| Full Scale Development                                                 | AR                | AR  |
| Component Improvement                                                  | C                 | LF  |
| <u>MANUFACTURING FUNDING</u>                                           |                   |     |
| Repair Technology                                                      | AR                | N   |
| Manufacturing Technology                                               | C                 | OO  |
| KEY                                                                    |                   |     |
| C - <u>Continuing</u> Activity/Funding                                 |                   |     |
| AR - Performed for a specific system<br>application <u>as required</u> |                   |     |
| OO - Specific activity funded <u>on occasion</u>                       |                   |     |
| N - <u>Non-Funded</u>                                                  |                   |     |
| LF - <u>Limited Funding</u>                                            |                   |     |

funding sources for propulsion GTEs and SPSs. Exceptions to the presented scenarios could probably be cited for each funding category, but the previously presented financial data reflects the same conclusion.

Isolated examples of non-DOD funded SPS technology development programs were identified during the course of this study. Synopses of these efforts are provided below.

- The Department of Energy (DOE) initiated a program in October 1979 to improve the performance of small gas turbine engines for use in passenger cars. The two contractor teams selected to accomplish this task were 1) Garrett Turbine Engine Company/Ford Motor Company, and 2) Allison/Pontiac Division of General Motors. The primary objective of these programs was to utilize ceramics in small gas turbines to improve the performance by increasing turbine inlet temperatures. The ceramic turbine elements do not require cooling thereby eliminating the penalty associated with cooling. The goal of these programs was to have each team conduct an endurance test on an engine for 100 hours at a turbine inlet temperature of 2350-2500 degrees F. The gas turbines are in the 100 horsepower class, use a regenerative cycle, have a mass flow of .80 pounds/second and pressure ratios of 4 1/2 to 5 to 1.
- Army activities include an ongoing program to develop a small gas turbine in the 50-100 horsepower class. The engine is to be designed for multiple applications and is to have a maximum specific fuel consumption of 0.75 or better at 50 horsepower.
- NASA planned to spend several million dollars per year on the Advanced Small Engine Technology (ASET) starting in FY87.
- The Air Force Aero Propulsion Laboratory is seeking support and funding for a program called "Technology for Gearless Aircraft Power Systems" or T-GAPS. Fundamentally, this initiative would eliminate gearboxes and the hydraulic distribution system by substituting integral generators in the propulsion GTE and SPS units and would utilize electro-hydrostatic or electromechanical actuators in lieu of conventional hydraulic distribution systems.

#### **4.0 STUDY FINDINGS**

#### **4.1 FINDINGS**

##### **4.1.1 SPS Producers**

- US SPS production is currently accomplished by two Primes.
- Ninety percent of military SPS shipments (\$s) are produced by these two Primes.
- US SPS producers satisfy the majority of the world market for airborne and stationary applications.

##### **4.1.2 SPS Manufacturing Concerns**

- Single source SPS suppliers are common to propulsion GTE suppliers of critical parts.
- Critical SPS occupations require training times in excess of 12 months.
- Foreign source dependencies exist for specialized manufacturing equipment.
- SPS production is dependent upon availability of several strategic and critical materials for high temperature alloys for hot section components.

##### **4.1.3 Operational Requirements**

- Airborne SPS equipment, with the APU being the core of the entire system, is unique due to its operation, size and duty cycle.
- The duty cycles imposed on APUs are more severe than those imposed on the main engine consisting of severe mechanical and thermal transients, efficient operation over a wide speed range, high mission operation mix, with high reliability and ease of maintenance.
- Modern day aircraft have operational requirements which have increased greatly over past aircraft needs.

##### **4.1.4 Technology Perspective**

- The propulsion GTE life cycle activities are undergirded by a continuum of technological activity that is both well funded and managed by the DOD.



- The Air Force technology goals established for SPSs for the year 2000 are extremely ambitious in comparison to historic trends.
- The physical size of APU components required for modern-day and future tactical aircraft have imposed limits on technology transfer from large propulsion GTEs.
- SPS requirements are typically not defined until late in the FSD phase of the acquisition cycle. This late consideration usually results in the SPS being limited to state-of-the-art technology.
- Historically, secondary power systems and components have received intermittent, selective government support and have made much less technological progress than propulsion GTEs. The progress that has been made falls into the category of required system engineering development rather than pre-planned, incremental, technical development.

#### 4.2 GENERAL CONCLUSIONS

- Without major technological breakthroughs future SPSs will continue to suffer performance shortfalls.
- Future demands of the SPS require designs which are smaller, lighter and more powerful than today's units. In addition, market pressures dictate lower costs and higher reliability and maintainability. These factors combine to suggest the need for significant advances in materials and manufacturing processes to be used in SPSs; advances in such areas as materials and processes which have not been perfected for use in production.
- Increased demands can no longer be satisfied by increases in component efficiency and capability. Integrated designs must be developed, and demonstrated to achieve projected goals.
- Fragmented, multi-agency SPS development activities will not meet projected requirements. Through well-conceived, broadly based, well-funded and inter-agency-managed technology program will the projected military SPS weapon system requirements be met.

#### 4.3 RECOMMENDATIONS

- Identify and document the specific SPS manufacturing technologies which must be available to meet the projected weapon system operational requirements.
- Conduct a detailed review of the SPS supplier base to identify specific technology, capacity and capability constraints to future weapon system acquisitions.

#### 4.4 ACTION PLANS

##### 4.4.1 SPS Manufacturing Technology Requirements

Priority: 1

**Finding(s):** With currently identified Air Force operational requirement goals there is a need to assess the capability of the manufacturing base to both produce and repair these power system GTEs. There are some major "breakthroughs" needed to achieve these operational goals, the manufacturing capability must be available in the same timeframe to support these needs.

**Recommended Action:** Based on the operational goals established for power system GTEs, an effort must be undertaken to determine the ability of industry to meet these needs. A study should be made of those technologies which are required to meet these goals and determine whether capability is present or will be available when needed to both produce and repair these SPSs.

Basis:

- Analysis Process:** By comparing the Air Force goals for secondary power systems with current day performance parameters it was determined that significant progress would not be possible, based on historical trends, without some major technological "breakthroughs."
- End Items(s) Affected:** The report indicates that secondary power systems are increasing in applications and all weapon systems require some type of auxillary power source. Additionally, ground power systems will require this same technology as increased reliability and maintainability parameters are defined for these systems.

OPR: AFWAL/MLT

**Cost Estimate or Manpower Requirements:**

- a. Types of Funds: \$2.5M, PE78011F
- b. Source of Funds (Primary): MANTECH, PDP J212
- c. When are Funds Needed? FY89 - \$1.5M, FY90 - \$1.0M
- d. Type of Manpower (Government or Contractor): Contractor
- e. Basis for Cost Comparison: The cost estimate is a ROM based on a comparison of this effort with existing MANTECH projects of similar scope.

**Impact Statement**

- a. Window of Opportunity: FY89.
- b. Expected Benefits: The Air Force will realize increased operational performance from secondary power systems if adequate manufacturing technology is available to produce those systems needed for future weapon systems in the 1990-2000 timeframe.
- c. How and when to measure benefits: Benefits will be measured in the operational inventory when secondary power systems achieve those operational goals established for the weapon system.

**4.4.2 SPS Subcontractor Study**

**Priority: 2**

**Finding(s):** There are currently two major producers of secondary power systems who invariably purchase materials and components from the same suppliers and vendors. Additionally, since power system GTEs utilize similar technology to propulsion GTEs, it is assumed that the same vendors and suppliers identified in the Gas Turbine Engine (GTE) Production Base Analysis (PBA) Study will be providing components and materials to the secondary power system Primes.

**Recommended Action:** Identify suppliers and vendors who are providing critical components and materials for the secondary power system Primes. As a minimum, these critical components will be defined as foreign sourced, single sourced, sole sourced,

technology critical, proprietary, leadtime in excess of 15 months, and unit costs greater than \$1,000. Document these suppliers and vendors and compare with the suppliers and vendors identified for the GTE PBA Study.

**Basis:**

- a. Analysis Process: The contractors were asked to identify critical suppliers, regardless of above mentioned criteria, and those names that were mentioned were the same ones identified in the GTE PBA Study as critical subcontractors.
- b. End Items(s) Affected: All weapon systems utilizing power system SPSs.

**OPR: AFSC/ASD**

**Cost Estimate or Manpower Requirements:**

- a. Types of Funds: PE78011F, \$100,000.
- b. Source of Funds (Primary): Planning, PDP J628
- c. When are Funds Needed? FY89
- d. Type of Manpower (Government or Contractor): Government.
- e. Basis for Cost Estimate: The above is an estimate based on past experience and the actual costs of previous studies of this nature.

**Impact Statement:**

- a. Window of Opportunity: FY89 and continuing.
- b. Expected Benefits: This will provide data to be input into the Roadmap Prototype Data Base. Analysis can be performed to determine the critical subcontractors to the GTE industrial sector.
- c. How and when to measure benefits: Upon completion of the study.

## **APPENDIX A**

### Secondary Power Questionnaire

1. Name and address of your firm or corporate division.

---

---

---

2. If your firm is wholly or partly owned by another firm, indicate the name and address of the parent firm and extent of ownership.

---

---

3. Identify the location of your secondary power unit (SPU) parts manufacturing establishment(s) in the United States and enter the value of total (i.e., establishment shipments) defense and non-defense shipments in 1986. (See definition of SPU parts and shipments).

|     | <u>Locality</u> | <u>State</u> | <u>Zip Code</u> | <u>Value of 1986 shipments</u> |                    |
|-----|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|
|     |                 |              |                 | <u>Defense</u>                 | <u>Non-Defense</u> |
| (a) | <hr/>           | <hr/>        | <hr/>           | <hr/>                          | <hr/>              |
| (b) | <hr/>           | <hr/>        | <hr/>           | <hr/>                          | <hr/>              |
| (c) | <hr/>           | <hr/>        | <hr/>           | <hr/>                          | <hr/>              |

6. GOVERNMENT SPONSORED MODERNIZATION PROGRAMS: (i.e. IMIP, TECH MOD, MANTECH, REPTECH - see definitions)

A. Are you currently involved in a Government sponsored modernization program with respect to your SPU parts manufacturing operations? yes \_\_\_\_, no \_\_\_\_

If yes, please identify: \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

---

B. How beneficial do you feel Government sponsored modernization programs are? \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

C. Which programs could help your firm? \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

Will they result in reduced lead times? \_\_\_\_\_

Will they lower production costs? \_\_\_\_\_

Will they lower SPU part prices to DOD? \_\_\_\_\_

Will they help you compete on the world market? \_\_\_\_\_

D. What problems still exist that these programs do not address?

---

---

---

7. SUPPLIERS:

Do you have any SOLE source or SINGLE source suppliers for manufacturing equipment, parts/components, processes or materials (see definitions of sole and single source)? Yes\_\_\_\_, No\_\_\_\_

If yes, please identify source and item.

---

---

---

8. MATERIALS USAGE:

Indicate which of the strategic/critical materials listed below is used in the production of defense SPU parts you supplied in 1986.

|                |                |                    |                |
|----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|
| ____ Aluminum  | ____ Antimony  | ____ Asbestos      | ____ Beryllium |
| ____ Chromium  | ____ Cobalt    | ____ Columbium     | ____ Fluorspar |
| ____ Gallium   | ____ Manganese | ____ Platinum Grp. | ____ Tantalum  |
| ____ Tin       | ____ Titanium  | ____ Tungsten      | ____ Vanadium  |
| ____ Zirconium | ____ Silicon   | ____ Nickel        | ____ Rhenium   |

(high purity)

\_\_\_\_ Pan Based Fibers    \_\_\_\_ Pitch Based Fibers    \_\_\_\_ Other (specify)

9. CRITICAL OCCUPATIONS:

List below. (See definition of Critical Occupations)

| <u>Job Title</u> | <u>Number<br/>Employed</u> | <u>Training Period<br/>(in months)</u> |
|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| _____            | _____                      | _____                                  |
| _____            | _____                      | _____                                  |
| _____            | _____                      | _____                                  |
| _____            | _____                      | _____                                  |



10. EMPLOYMENT:

Enter the number of employees from 1984 through 1986 as requested below. (See definitions of Scientists and Engineers, and Production Workers).

|                          | <u>1984</u> | <u>1985</u> | <u>1986</u> |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Scientists and Engineers | _____       | _____       | _____       |
| Production Workers       | _____       | _____       | _____       |
| Administration           | _____       | _____       | _____       |
| Total                    | _____       | _____       | _____       |

11a. TECHNOLOGY:

- |                              |                        |                      |
|------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| a. CAD                       | h. Integrated CAD/CAM  | m. Work Center       |
| b. CAM                       | i. Automated Materials | Technology           |
| c. Robotics                  | Handling               | n. Vision-Oriented   |
| d. CNC Machinery             | j. Flexible Manufac-   | Systems              |
| e. Bar Coding                | turing                 | o. Composites        |
| f. Hard Automation           | k. Computer-Based Test | p. Powder Metallurgy |
| g. Laser Cutting/<br>Welding | Controls               | q. Other (specify)   |
|                              | l. Quality Assurance   |                      |
|                              | Mgmt Info System       |                      |

From the letter coded list above, identify technologies you currently utilize. \_\_\_\_\_

11b. PRODUCTIVITY:

On the table below, rank the top three activity areas from one (the largest productivity increase) to three (the third largest productivity increase) where the application of new technologies from those listed above could most effectively increase productivity. In the right column, enter the letter codes of the technologies listed above.

| ACTIVITY    |             | NEW TECHNOLOGIES                |
|-------------|-------------|---------------------------------|
| <u>AREA</u> | <u>RANK</u> | <u>TO INCREASE PRODUCTIVITY</u> |
| Fabrication | _____       | _____                           |
| Assembly    | _____       | _____                           |
| Inspection  | _____       | _____                           |
| Testing     | _____       | _____                           |
| Auxiliary   | _____       | _____                           |
| Inventory   | _____       | _____                           |
| Materials   | _____       | _____                           |

12. FOREIGN SOURCES:

Are any critical parts or materials you use to make SPS parts shipped from overseas? Yes\_\_\_\_, No\_\_\_\_

If yes, please identify how shipped. (from foreign source to your plant)

---

---

13. FOREIGN ESTABLISHMENTS:

Enter the location and primary activity of any establishment outside the United States that your firm wholly or partly owns or controls or is affiliated with or has license agreements with, tha manufactures SPU parts.

| <u>NAME</u> | <u>COUNTRY</u> | <u>PRIMARY ACTIVITY</u> |
|-------------|----------------|-------------------------|
|             |                |                         |
|             |                |                         |
|             |                |                         |
|             |                |                         |

14. FOREIGN INTEGRATION:

If any of the foreign establishments you listed above are integrated with your U.S. operations on a normal basis, please specify the nature of that integration in the space provided below.

|  |
|--|
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

15. ESTABLISH NEW SOURCE:

If the foreign establishments that you interact with suddenly ceased operations for an indefinite period, what adjustments would you need to make in your U.S. operations to counteract this interruption, how long would it take to establish a

new source, and how would the interruption effect your surge and mobilization capabilities?

---

---

---

---

---

16. OFFSET AGREEMENTS:

In recent years, have offset agreements affected your firm?  
(See definition of offset agreement) Yes\_\_\_\_, No\_\_\_\_

If yes, how (cite examples)?\_\_\_\_\_

---

---

---

---

---

17. REASONS - FOREIGN SOURCES:

Complete the following table addressing which foreign made critical manufacturing equipment, parts, components, or materials you use in your manufacturing operations. Use the following coded reasons why a foreign source is used in completing the table:

- a. No known domestic source
- b. Domestic source not available or inadequate
- c. Offset agreement
- d. Lower cost
- e. Quicker delivery

- f. Better quality
- g. other (specify)

| <u>Item</u> | <u>Country<br/>of Origin</u> | For equipment                                                                  | Reason                          |
|-------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|             |                              | Are spare parts/maintenance<br>available only from a<br><u>foreign source?</u> | why<br>foreign<br><u>source</u> |
| _____       | _____                        | _____                                                                          | _____                           |
| _____       | _____                        | _____                                                                          | _____                           |
| _____       | _____                        | _____                                                                          | _____                           |
| _____       | _____                        | _____                                                                          | _____                           |

#### 18. CONTINGENCY PLAN:

If the foreign sourced items identified in question 5 are lost, what is your contingency plan (i.e. qualified domestic source, alternate material) and does this impact your ability to surge or mobilize?

---



---



---



---

#### 19. SPU TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS

Rank SPU technology development initiatives, by SPU component or function combination (i.e., combining start and APU capabilities in one unit), for the elements listed below. Please rank 1 thru 5, with 1 being the highest rank.

|                        | <u>Fighter</u> | <u>Cargo</u> |
|------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| (a) Compressor         | _____          | _____        |
| (b) Combustor          | _____          | _____        |
| (c) Turbine            | _____          | _____        |
| (d) Power Transfer     | _____          | _____        |
| (e) System Combination | _____          | _____        |

20. OTHER COMMENTS ON AF PROCUREMENT POLICY

(a) Dual Sourcing: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

(b) Acquisition Policy: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

(c) Specifications and Standards: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

(d) Testing Requirements: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

(e) Research & Development: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

(f) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

---

## **APPENDIX B**



## LARGE INDUSTRIAL ENGINES - XIII

AEG-Kanis Turbinenfabrik GmbH  
Gas Turbine Div.  
Altendorfer Strasse 39-85  
D-4300 Essen  
West Germany

Engine: Frame 5

Alsthom-Atlantique  
Gas Turbine Div.  
3, ave. des Trois Chenes  
Belfort  
90001 France

Engine: Frame 5

ASEA Stal AB  
S-612 20 Finspong  
Sweden

Engine: GT120, GT200

BBC Brown Boveri, Inc.  
Power Generation Div.  
1460 Livingston Ave.  
North Brunswick, NJ 08902

Engine: Type 8/9/11/13/13E

Fiat Aviazione SpA  
Via Nizza 312  
Torino  
10127 Italy

Engine: LM2500

Fiat TTG SpA  
Via Cueno 20  
Torino  
10152 Italy

Engines: TG16, TG20

General Electric Co.  
Marine & Industrial Engines & Service Div.  
1 Neumann Way  
Cincinnati, OH 45215-6301

Engine: LM2500, LM5000

General Electric Co.  
Gas Turbine Div.  
1 River Road  
Schenectady, NY 12345

Engine: Frame 5

Kawasaki Heavy Industries Ltd.  
Jet Engine Div.  
1-1 Kawasaki-cho  
Akashi  
Hyogo Pref., 673'  
Japan

Engine: Olympus

Kvaerner Brug A/S  
Steam and Gas Turbine Div.  
Kvaernerveien 10  
Oslo 1  
Norway

Engine: Frame 5

Mitsubishi Heavy Industries  
Power Systems Headquarters  
2-5-1 Marunouchi  
Chiyoda-ku  
Tokyo 100  
Japan

Engine: MW-252

Nuovo Pignone  
Via Felice Matteucci 2  
50127 Firenze  
Italy

Engines: Frame 5, Frame 6, Frame 9

Rolls-Royce Ltd.  
Industrial & Marine Div.  
P.O. Box 72  
Ansty  
Coventry  
United Kingdom

Engines: RB211, Olympus

Sulzer-Escher Wyss Ltd.  
Thermal Turbomachinery  
P.O. Box 8023  
Zurich  
Switzerland

Engine: Type 10

Westinghouse Canada, Inc.  
Turbine & Cenerator Div.  
P.O. Box 510  
286 Sanford Avd., North  
Hamilton, Ontario Canada L8N 3K2

Engines: CW251, CW382

**MEDIUM INDUSTRIAL ENGINES - XIV**

**AEG-Kanis Turbinenfabrik GmbH**  
**Gas Turbine Div.**  
**Altendorfer Strasse 39-85**  
**D-4300 Essen 1**  
**West Germany**

**Engine: Frame 3**

**ASEA Stal AB**  
**S-612 20 Finspong**  
**Sweden**

**Engine: GT35 Solar Mars, under agreement**

**Dresser Industries, Inc.**  
**Dresser Clark Div.**  
**P.O. Box 560**  
**Olean, NY 14760**

**Engine: DC-990**

**Fiat Aviazione SpA**  
**Marine & Industrial Products Div.**  
**via Nizza 312**  
**Turin**  
**Italy I-00187**

**Engine: LM500**

**General Electric Co.**  
**Marine & Industrial Engines & Service Div.**  
**1 Neumann Way**  
**Cincinnati, OH 45215-6301**

**Engines: LM500, Frame 3**

**General Motors**  
**Allison Gas Turbine Division**  
**P.O. Box 420**  
**Indianapolis, IN 46206**

**Engines: 501-KC, 501-KB, 501-KB5, 570-KC, 570-KA, 571-KC, 571-KB**

Hispano Suiza  
Gas Turbine Div.  
Rue du Capitaine Guynemer  
92270 Bois-Colombes  
France

Engines: THM 1304, THM 1304R

Kawasaki Heavy Industries Ltd.  
Industrial Gas Turbine Div.  
1-1 Kawasaki-cho  
Akashi  
Hyogo Pref.  
673  
Japan

Engine: Spey

A/S Kongsberg Vapenfabrikk  
Gas Turbines and Power Systems Div.  
N-3601 Kongsberg  
Norway

Engines: KG3, KG5

Kvaerner Brug A/S  
Steam and Gas Turbine Div.  
Kvaernerveien 10  
Oslo  
Norway

Engine: Frame 3

Mitsubishi Heavy Industries  
Power Systems Headquarters  
2-5-1 Chiyoda-ku  
Tokyo 105  
Japan

Engine: MF-111

Mitsui Engineering & Shipbuilding Co., Ltd.  
Energy Engineering Div.  
5 Chome 6-4 Tsukji  
Chou-ku  
Tokyo 104  
Japan

Engines: SB30, SB60, SB90

North American Turbine Corp.  
Industrial Products Div.  
P.O. Box 40510  
Houston, TX 77240

Nuovo Pignone  
Via Felice Matteucci 2  
50127 Firenze  
Italy  
Engines: Frame 1, Frame 3

Rolls-Royce Ltd.  
Industrial & Marine Div.  
P.O. Box 72  
Ansty, Coventry CV7 9JR  
United Kingdom

Engines: Avon, Spey

Ruston Gas Turbines Ltd.  
P.O. Box 1  
Lincoln LN2 5DJ  
England

Engines: TB5000, Tornado

Solar Turbines, Inc.  
P.O. Box 85376  
San Diego, CA 92138-5376

Engines: Mars (GSC-12,000, GSE-12,000, MD-12,000)

Sulzer-Escher Wyss Ltd.  
Thermal Machinery  
P.O. Box 8023  
Zurich  
Switzerland

Engines: Type 3, Type R3, Type 7, Type R7

Westinghouse Canada Inc.  
Turbine & Generator Div.  
P.O. Box 510  
Hamilton  
Ontario, Canada L8N 3K2

Engines: CW182

**SMALL INDUSTRIAL ENGINES - XV**

Avco Corp.  
Avco Lycoming Stratford Div.  
550 S. Main St.  
Stratford, CT 06497

Engines: TF25, TC35, TF35, TF40

Bet Shemesh Engines Ltd.  
Mobile Post Haela 99000  
Bet Shemesh  
Israel

Engines: M2T1, M5T1

Allied-Signal Aerospace Company  
Garrett Auxiliary Power Division  
2739 E. Washington Street  
P.O. Box 5227  
Phoenix, AZ 85010

Engine: 1M831

Kawasaki Heavy Ind. Ltd.  
Jet Engine Div.  
1-1 Kawasaki-cho  
Akashi  
Hyogo Pref.  
673 japan

Engines: M1A, M1T, S2A

A/S Kongsberg Vapenfabrikk  
]Gas Turbines and Power Systems Div.  
P.O. Box 25  
N-3601 Kongsberg  
Norway

Engines: KG2, KG3

Ruston Gas Turbines Ltd.  
P.O. Box 1  
Lincoln England

Engine: TA1750, TA2500

Solar Turbines, Inc.  
P.O. Box 85376  
San Diego, CA 92138-5376

Engines: Centaur, Saturn

Turbomeca SA  
Bordes  
Bizanos  
France F-64320

Engines: Bastan, Bi-Bastan, Turmo



**AERO AUXILIARY POWER UNITS - XVI**

Fiat Aviazione SpA  
Marine & Industrial Products Div.  
Via Nizza 312  
Turin  
Italy I-00187

Engine: FA 150 Argo

Allied-Signal Aerospace Company  
Garrett Auxiliary Power Division  
2739 E. Washington Street  
P.O. Box 5227  
Phoenix, AZ 85010

Engines: Model 30, 36, 85, 95, 105, 165, 331, 660, 700

KHD Luftfahrttechnik GmbH  
Hohemarkstrasse 60-70  
6370 Oberursel  
West Germany D-6370

Engine: T312

Lucas Aerospace  
Engine Systems Div.  
Shaftmoor Lane  
Birmingham, West Midlands  
United Kingdom B28 8SW

Engines: MK 2, MK 4

Microturbo SA  
BP 2089  
Chemin du Pont de Rupe  
Toulouse  
France F-31019

Engines: Gevaudan, Dragan, Noelle, Saphir

United Technologies  
Pratt & Whitney Aircraft Canada  
Box 10  
10000 Marie-Victorin Blvd. East  
Longueuil PQ J4K 4X9  
Canada

Engines: ST6

Turbomach  
220 Pacific Highway  
P.O. Box 85376  
San Diego, CA 92138-5376

Engines: Gemini, Titan

Turbomeca SA  
Industrial Gas Turbines Dept.  
Bordes 64320  
Bizanos Bordes  
France F-64320

Engines: AST600, AST950

Williams International  
Box 200  
2280 W. Maple Rd.  
Walled Lake, MI 48088

Engines: WR9, WR27