

4

FILE CODE

AD-A206 940

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

GRANT N00014-89-J-1178

R&T Code 413q001-01

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 24

An In-Situ Ellipsometric Study  
of  
Aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  Treatment of Silicon

by

G. Gould and E.A. Irene

Prepared for Publication

in the

The Journal of Electrochemical Society

University of North Carolina  
Department of Chemistry  
Chapel Hill, NC

DTIC  
ELECTE  
MAR 30 1989  
S H D

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

89 3 30 000

AD A26 6 940

## REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                      |                        |                |             |                  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>Unclassified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                                | 1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS                                                                                                                                                                                              |                      |                        |                |             |                  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |
| 2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                | 3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT<br>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.                                                                                                                        |                      |                        |                |             |                  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |
| 2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                      |                        |                |             |                  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |
| 4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)<br>Technical Report #24                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                | 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)                                                                                                                                                                           |                      |                        |                |             |                  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |
| 6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION<br>UNC Chemistry Dept.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL<br>(If applicable)                           | 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION<br>Office of Naval Research (Code 413)                                                                                                                                            |                      |                        |                |             |                  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |
| 6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)<br>CB# 3290, Venable Hall<br>University of North Carolina<br>Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3290                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                                                | 7b. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)<br>Chemistry Program<br>800 N. Quincy Street<br>Arlington, Virginia 22217                                                                                                      |                      |                        |                |             |                  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |
| 8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION<br>Office of Naval Research                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL<br>(If applicable)                           | 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER<br>Grant #N00014-89-J-1178                                                                                                                                            |                      |                        |                |             |                  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |
| 8c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)<br>Chemistry Program<br>800 N. Quincy Street, Arlington, VA 22217                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                | 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS.<br><table border="1"><tr><td>PROGRAM<br/>ELEMENT NO.</td><td>PROJECT<br/>NO.</td><td>TASK<br/>NO.</td><td>WORK UNIT<br/>NO.</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table> |                      | PROGRAM<br>ELEMENT NO. | PROJECT<br>NO. | TASK<br>NO. | WORK UNIT<br>NO. |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |
| PROGRAM<br>ELEMENT NO.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | PROJECT<br>NO.                                                 | TASK<br>NO.                                                                                                                                                                                                           | WORK UNIT<br>NO.     |                        |                |             |                  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                      |                        |                |             |                  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |
| 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) AN IN-SITU ELLIPSO-METRIC STUDY OF AQUEOUS $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ TREATMENT OF SILICON                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                      |                        |                |             |                  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |
| 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)<br>E.A. Irene                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                      |                        |                |             |                  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |
| 13a. TYPE OF REPORT<br>Interim Technical                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | 13b. TIME COVERED<br>FROM _____ TO _____                       | 14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr., Mo., Day)<br>March 14, 1989                                                                                                                                                                  | 15. PAGE COUNT<br>27 |                        |                |             |                  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |
| 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION<br>AN IN-SITU ELLIPSO-METRIC STUDY OF AQUEOUS $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ TREATMENT OF SILICON                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                      |                        |                |             |                  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |
| 17. COSATI CODES<br><table border="1"><tr><td>FIELD</td><td>GROUP</td><td>SUB. GR.</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                | FIELD                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | GROUP                | SUB. GR.               |                |             |                  |  |  |  | 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) |  |
| FIELD                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | GROUP                                                          | SUB. GR.                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                      |                        |                |             |                  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                      |                        |                |             |                  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                      |                        |                |             |                  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |
| 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)<br><p>In-situ ellipsometry at a wavelength of 632.8nm is used to analyze silicon surfaces in an aqueous <math>\text{NH}_4\text{OH}</math> ambient. The ellipsometric data indicate that a surface which is optically quite similar to bare Si is obtained when the native <math>\text{SiO}_2</math> film is etched from a Si substrate by aqueous <math>\text{NH}_4\text{OH}</math>. In contrast, the surface obtained by etching the native oxide in aqueous buffered <math>\text{HF}</math> leads to formation of a residual film on the Si substrate. Roughening of sample surfaces treated with either aqueous <math>\text{NH}_4\text{OH}</math> or BHF is observed by microscopy. The high degree of roughness obtained following <math>\text{NH}_4\text{OH}</math> treatment appears to influence ellipsometric measurements on samples, but this influence is not observed following BHF exposure where less roughness is noted.</p> |                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                      |                        |                |             |                  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |
| 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT<br>UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS <input type="checkbox"/>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                | 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>Unclassified                                                                                                                                                                  |                      |                        |                |             |                  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |
| 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL<br>Dr. David L. Nelson                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 22b. TELEPHONE NUMBER<br>(Include Area Code)<br>(202) 696-4410 | 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                      |                        |                |             |                  |  |  |  |                                                                                   |  |

An In-Situ Ellipsometric Study  
of  
Aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  Treatment of Silicon

G. Gould and E.A. Irene  
Department of Chemistry CB 3290  
University of North Carolina  
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-3290

Key Words: insulating films, silicon dioxide films, chemical etching, silicon surfaces.

#### ABSTRACT

In-situ ellipsometry at a wavelength of 632.8nm is used to analyze silicon surfaces in an aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  ambient. The ellipsometric data indicate that a surface which is optically quite similar to bare Si is obtained when the native  $\text{SiO}_2$  film is etched from a Si substrate by aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ . In contrast, the surface obtained by etching the native oxide in aqueous buffered HF leads to formation of a residual film on the Si substrate. Roughening of sample surfaces treated with either aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  or BHF is observed by microscopy. The high degree of roughness obtained following  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  treatment appears to influence ellipsometric measurements on samples, but this influence is not observed following BHF exposure where less roughness is noted.

## INTRODUCTION

The successful production of microelectronic devices from semiconductor materials requires surface preparation. The two most important elements of this preparation are cleaning and passivation. For the case of silicon, thermal oxidation is uniquely effective at surface passivation, reducing the number of surface states to well below acceptable levels for device operation. While successful pre-oxidation cleaning procedures for silicon exist(1), quantification of these procedures has been rather scarce(2-5). In previous work(6) both in-situ ellipsometric and contact angle measurements were shown to be useful for quantification of cleaning by aqueous HF. These techniques enable measurements of a sample surface to be performed while the sample is immersed in a liquid phase ambient, the standard cleaning environment for Si used for microelectronics processing.

In the previous work(6), it was shown that the silicon surface was covered by a residual film following HF treatment. While HF is an aggressive etchant of  $\text{SiO}_2$ , it is an extremely mild etchant of Si(7). When Si is placed in aqueous HF, any  $\text{SiO}_2$  is rapidly etched away, but a denuded silicon surface is never exposed due to formation of a surface film rather than an etchable oxide film. Typical of most metals(8), the bare silicon surface has a high surface energy(9), thus this reactive surface will scavenge any species in order to lower its surface energy. Further indications of the effects of HF on Si surfaces are provided by Auger(4) and XPS(10) analyses of Si samples which indicate higher

|                    |         |
|--------------------|---------|
| Availability Codes |         |
| Avail and/or       |         |
| Dist               | Special |
| A-1                |         |

surface carbon content as a result of HF exposure. Evidence of the effects of HF on Si is also provided by reports of enhanced oxidation rates observed on HF treated Si(2-5).

In this paper, the in-situ ellipsometric technique is used to study a silicon surface in an aqueous ammonium hydroxide ambient. Ammonium hydroxide is chosen because it is known to etch silicon at a much faster rate than HF, which should enable continuous creation of a fresh silicon surface. Through ellipsometric measurement the creation and preservation of this denuded silicon surface in  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  can be monitored. Comparison of ellipsometric parameters obtained by in-situ measurement of Si surfaces in aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  to those obtained in buffered HF (BHF) gives further evidence of growth of a residual film on Si following HF exposure.

## EXPERIMENTAL

The features of the in-situ ellipsometric experiment have been presented elsewhere(6), so many of the details of this technique will not be repeated here. In any ellipsometric measurement, the two parameters  $\Psi$  (amplitude ratio) and  $\Delta$  (phase difference) for the reflected light are always determined and can be used to calculate optical parameters of the sample. Assuming that all of the instrumental parameters, as well as the ambient refractive index are known, the thickness of a film on a substrate can be determined if the refractive indices of the substrate ( $n_s$ ) and film ( $n_f$ ) are known, or both the real ( $n$ ) and imaginary ( $k$ ) parts of the complex substrate refractive index ( $\tilde{n}_s$ ) with the form

n-ik) can be determined if the assumption is made that no film is present on the sample. An Abbe Refractometer with an accuracy of  $\pm 0.0001$  units has been used to determine refractive indices for all ambients used in this experiment, the angle with which the light impinges on the sample is determined with an accuracy of  $0.01^\circ$  from a calibrated scale on the ellipsometer base and the refractive index used for  $\text{SiO}_2$  is 1.465(11). An analysis of systematic error in these parameters was carried out previously(6) and it was shown that even gross errors led to variations of less than a few Angstroms in  $\text{SiO}_2$  thicknesses.

As mentioned earlier  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  is a far faster etchant of silicon than  $\text{HF}$ , but it etches  $\text{SiO}_2$  at a much slower rate than  $\text{HF}$  does. Kern(12) reports an etch rate of 0.28nm/min for  $\text{SiO}_2$  and an etch rate of over 25nm/min for both  $\langle 100 \rangle$  and  $\langle 111 \rangle$  orientations of single crystal silicon in 5:1  $\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  at  $85^\circ\text{C}$ . To verify this result and test the feasibility of carrying out an in-situ experiment using ambient aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ , the following trial was performed. Two Si substrates of  $\langle 111 \rangle$  orientation, one with a gross thermal  $\text{SiO}_2$  film of approximately 200nm, and one with a native oxide film of approximately 2nm were placed in a bath of 5:1  $\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  at  $85^\circ\text{C}$  for 20 minutes. For the sample with the gross oxide film, an  $\text{SiO}_2$  etch rate of 0.21nm/min was calculated in an ex-situ manner by taking ellipsometric measurements of thickness in an air ambient both before and after the 20 minute etch. It was evident from observation of vigorous bubbling on the sample with the native oxide film that there would be a problem

with gas evolution at the Si surface if a heated solution of  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  was used. There was no gas evolution observed on the sample with the gross oxide film, so the bubbling was presumably hydrogen gas evolved as a product of the etch of Si. Since ellipsometry, as it is used here, is a reflection technique, the evolution of gas bubbles at the sample surface would make an in-situ measurement quite difficult because the incident light would be obscured from the surface by the bubbles. To minimize the problem of gas bubble formation at the Si surface, a room temperature solution of aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  at varying concentrations is used because the reaction of the Si surface and  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  is slowed at this reduced temperature. Decrease of this reaction rate reduces the evolution of gas at the sample surface and enables an in-situ measurement. The reduction of the solution temperature causes a decrease in the  $\text{SiO}_2$  etch rate but the time needed to etch through the  $\text{SiO}_2$  can be minimized by starting with Si samples covered only by a native oxide. It is, however, imperative that the solution used for the experiment have a nonzero etch rate for  $\text{SiO}_2$  in order to expose the Si substrate.

The samples employed for the in-situ ellipsometric measurements are two inch diameter p-type  $\langle 100 \rangle$  oriented single crystal silicon wafers that are cleaved into quarters to fit in the in-situ cell. With the exception of this sectioning, the wafers are used as received from the vendor with an initial native oxide film of 1.9nm in thickness. The quartz cell is first filled with the etch solution and then the sample is immersed and fixed

in place. Null ellipsometric measurements are taken at periodic time intervals and after the ellipsometric measurables cease to change (that is, after the calculated film thickness ceases to vary significantly in successive measurements), the solution is displaced by flushing the cell with pure deionized water. Both the initial etch of  $\text{SiO}_2$  and the native  $\text{SiO}_2$  film formation after flushing the cell are monitored ellipsometrically by calculation of the  $\text{SiO}_2$  film thickness.

## RESULTS

The oxide thickness vs. time data for ambient 5:1  $\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  is shown in Figure 1. As anticipated, the oxide thickness decreases slowly, at less than 0.02nm/min, as shown by the initial circles. A minimum thickness of 0.06nm is obtained 84 minutes into the experiment and the thickness apparently increases on subsequent measurements. Following exposure for just over three hours in 5:1  $\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ , no further change is observed in the thickness vs. time data between successive measurements. At this time the ambient etch solution is displaced by pure water without exposing the sample surface to air. This event is indicated in Figure 1 by the vertical line at 190 minutes and ellipsometric measurements after this show oxide regrowth in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .

Etch time vs. oxide thickness data was also collected for 2:1  $\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  and concentrated  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  etch solutions. The results are similar in that a minimum oxide thickness quite close to 0 is calculated, followed by an apparent increase in oxide thickness.

The only significant difference is that the concentrated  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  solution requires over three hours to etch the native  $\text{SiO}_2$  film as compared to just one hour for the 5:1 and 2:1  $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ . The concentrated  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  contains less water, so the slower etch rate for  $\text{SiO}_2$  in concentrated  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  must be attributable to the decrease in the concentration of water in the etch solution. This points to the importance of water as a solvent for etch products in the reaction of  $\text{SiO}_2$  and  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ . This result will not be discussed further and since the different concentrations of  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  behaved similarly with regard to all other experimental aspects, the forthcoming discussion will be limited to the 5:1  $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  experiment described first.

In order to better understand the data of Figure 1, it is helpful to analyze the raw ellipsometric data. Figure 2A is a graph of the ellipsometric parameters  $\Psi$  and  $\Delta$  plotted against one another. The symbols in the plot correspond to the identical  $\Psi$  and  $\Delta$  values used to calculate the thicknesses in Figure 1 and also shown are two theoretically calculated curves. The theoretical curve labelled "Ambient Aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ " is composed of  $\Psi$ ,  $\Delta$  values for increasing  $\text{SiO}_2$  film thickness on a Si substrate under the specific ambient refractive index, angle of incidence and wavelength of light conditions used for the measurement. The arrow pointing to the top of the "Ambient Aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ " curve indicates the theoretically calculated  $\Psi$ ,  $\Delta$  point corresponding to a bare Si substrate or zero oxide thickness on a Si substrate. As the  $\text{SiO}_2$  film thickness increases from zero,  $\Psi$  and  $\Delta$  progress in such a

manner to trace the solid line. In other words, as  $\Psi$  increases and  $\Delta$  decreases from  $1.1^\circ$  and  $171^\circ$  respectively it is indicative of increasing oxide thickness. Since ellipsometry is sensitive to the ambient refractive index and pure water has a different refractive index than 5:1  $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ , a second theoretical curve is derived to relate the raw data for ambient water. The curve labelled "Ambient Water" has zero oxide thickness values for  $\Psi$  and  $\Delta$  similar to the ambient ammonium hydroxide curve and increasing oxide thickness occurs with increasing  $\Psi$  and decreasing  $\Delta$ .

The circles in Figure 2A correspond to measurements taken while 5:1  $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  is present and the initial points progress from the center of the plot up toward the top (decreasing  $\Psi$  and increasing  $\Delta$ ). The progression in this direction corresponds to etching of the  $\text{SiO}_2$ . From Figure 1, the initial oxide thickness is about 1.9nm. The oxide thickness decreases to 0.06nm and then the apparent increase in oxide thickness is observed. The data that are obtained after the minimum thickness but before the etch solution is displaced (between 90 and 190 minutes in Figure 1) are shown as darkened circles in Figure 2A. When these  $\Psi$ ,  $\Delta$  values are used to calculate oxide thicknesses, it appears that an increase in  $\text{SiO}_2$  film thickness takes place. It is believed that these points are indicative of something other than  $\text{SiO}_2$  growth and further analysis of these particular points will be provided later in this paper. When the etch solution is displaced by water, the experimental points shift onto the "Ambient Water" curve as shown by the triangles. These experimental values progress down the

curve, toward increasing oxide thickness as the native  $\text{SiO}_2$  film reforms. The most important feature of Figure 2A is the proximity of the calculated zero oxide thickness value to the point corresponding to the experimental minimum oxide thickness. This proximity is evidence for the creation of a denuded Si surface in aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ .

A comparison of the raw  $\Psi$ ,  $\Delta$  data obtained in an aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  ambient to similar data (Figure 2B) obtained in an aqueous buffered HF ambient illustrates the fact that a bare Si surface is not produced in ambient HF. As in Figure 2A, this graph consists of experimental points and two theoretical curves. The theoretical curve labelled "Ambient BHF" is calculated for the buffered HF etch solution (1000:100:0.8  $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{NH}_4\text{F}/\text{HF}$ ) and the calculated  $\Psi$ ,  $\Delta$  point for a bare Si substrate for the specific conditions used for BHF etch is indicated by the arrow which points to the top of this curve. The theoretical curve labelled "Ambient Water" is calculated for pure water. Due to the cell alignment procedure described previously (6), the angle of incidence used in Figure 2B varies slightly from that used in Figure 2A and thus the "Ambient Water" curves in the two plots are not identical. The angle of incidence in Figure 2A is  $70.33^\circ$  and in Figure 2B this angle is  $70.45^\circ$ . The experimental points (circles) of Figure 2B begin at the bottom of the graph close to the BHF ambient line and progress with decreasing  $\Psi$  and increasing  $\Delta$ , indicative of etching of the  $\text{SiO}_2$  film. The conspicuous difference between Figures 2A and 2B is that the experimental points for the aqueous BHF ambient (Figure

2B) never closely approach the calculated zero oxide thickness point. For this experiment, no data points were ever obtained closer to the zero oxide thickness value than  $\Psi \sim 0.8^\circ$  and  $\Delta \sim 145^\circ$ , and the sample was left in the BHF solution for almost 4 hours, at which time successive measurements did not vary. As in Figure 2A, there was an apparent increase in oxide thickness following the minimum  $\text{SiO}_2$  thickness and the measurements that were taken after the minimum are indicated by the darkened circles in Figure 2B. Comparison of this apparent increase with the same behavior in aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  provides valuable information and this issue will be discussed later in the paper. After displacement of the etch solution by pure water, experimental points fall near the theoretical curve labelled "Ambient Water" and, over time, these points progress in the direction of increasing oxide thickness. Since the graphs in Figures 2A and 2B are plotted on identical  $\Psi$  and  $\Delta$  scales it is apparent that the ambient aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  yields a surface that is much closer to that of bare Si than the surface yielded by ambient BHF because Figure 2A shows a closer approach of experimental values to the expected bare Si values.

The  $\Psi$ - $\Delta$  plots of Figures 2A and 2B give a qualitative indication of the existence of a bare silicon surface in aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  ambient as compared to the surface in BHF ambient. A quantitative comparison of these surfaces is provided when the complex substrate refractive index is calculated from the ellipsometric parameters by assuming that no film is present on the sample surface. These calculated values can be compared to an

accepted value of  $3.865-0.018i$ ; which is derived from data in Reference 13 for the complex index of silicon. Complex substrate refractive indices are calculated for sample surfaces from the  $\Psi$  and  $\Delta$  values that gave the minimum  $\text{SiO}_2$  thickness because the best agreement with the accepted value is always obtained at minimum film thickness. For ambient aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  a value of  $3.868-0.019i$  is obtained and a value of  $3.862-0.031i$  results for ambient BHF. The agreement in the real part of the index is quite good in both cases, but the imaginary part of the index for the  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  etched sample is only 6% different from the imaginary part of the accepted value as compared to 72% different for a BHF etched sample. It should be recognized that the results of these calculations inherently agree with the qualitative results seen in Figures 2A and 2B because the same  $\Psi$  and  $\Delta$  data were used in both calculations, but the quantitative nature of the comparison adds more validity to the argument that bare silicon originates in  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ .

To this point, the data collected has only been analyzed with respect to how closely the surface produced by etching in these ambients resembles bare silicon. More information about the effect of these etching ambients can be obtained by a closer inspection of the ellipsometric data collected while the etch solution was still present but after the minimum thickness was obtained. The region in  $\Psi$ ,  $\Delta$  space in which the darkened circles of Figures 2A and 2B fall can be indicative of film growth on the Si substrate. Though film growth after attainment of minimum oxide thickness has

been observed for etch of  $\text{SiO}_2$  films in  $\text{HF}(6)$ , there are some important distinctions to be made between the use of  $\text{HF}$  containing ambients and  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  ambients. It seems unlikely that any films could grow on the  $\text{Si}$  surface in aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  because it is a rapid etchant of  $\text{Si}$ . The exposed  $\text{Si}$  surface is quite reactive, but silicon is constantly stripped from the surface in this basic medium, while in  $\text{HF}$  the  $\text{Si}$  is only mildly attacked, enabling formation of a residual film. Further evidence of the different behavior in  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  is given by the trajectory of the  $\Psi$ ,  $\Delta$  data. In the previous study, the film growth in ambient  $\text{HF}$  was evidenced by points that were found at smaller  $\Psi$  values than the  $\Psi$  value corresponding to the minimum thickness. In contrast, for ambient  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ , the  $\Psi$ ,  $\Delta$  points in question (darkened circles of Figure 2A) are at larger  $\Psi$  than the  $\Psi$  value corresponding to minimum thickness.

A better explanation for the apparent increase in oxide thickness of  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  etched samples is roughening of the  $\text{Si}$  surface. Upon removal of the samples etched in either aqueous  $\text{BHF}$  or aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  from the sample cell, roughening is apparent on the sample surfaces. Analyses of the surfaces by SEM and optical microscopy with Normarski DIC conclusively identify roughening. Figures 3A and 3B are the optical micrographs, taken at 200X, for sample surfaces after exposure to  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  and  $\text{BHF}$  respectively. The micrographs were obtained from the same samples from which ellipsometric data was collected and each sample was subjected to just under 4 hours in the etch solution followed by 3 hours in

pure water. There is a greater degree of roughening evident on the sample etched in aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ , than on the sample etched in buffered HF as expected due to the fast etch rate of Si in aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  relative to the Si etch rate in BHF.

Roughening has been shown to have an effect on ellipsometric measurements(14), so the data in Figure 2A and 2B must be scrutinized for these effects. An approximation of the influence of roughening of a sample surface on ellipsometric measurements can be made by assuming that the surface consists of two components with different refractive indices. One component is the actual substrate, in this case silicon with an index of  $3.865 - 0.018i$ , and the other component consists of voids which are assigned the same refractive index as the ambient aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  ( $1.3346 - 0.0i$  in this case). Using the Bruggeman effective medium approximation(15), the refractive index of a layer of a given void percentage can be obtained. This refractive index can then be used in a theoretical calculation of the resultant  $\Psi$ ,  $\Delta$  values corresponding to an increase in the thickness of this film assuming the film composition remains constant. Table 1 is a collection of such calculations performed for 5, 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50% voids at thicknesses of 0, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75 and 1.0nm for the exact conditions used in the experiment with ambient 5:1  $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ . The first column is the calculated film refractive index for a varying void percentage in a two component mixture. The remaining columns are the theoretical  $\Psi$  and  $\Delta$  values that would be obtained for various thicknesses of a layer of roughness

of the given refractive index. It should be noted that at 0 thickness the  $\Psi$  and  $\Delta$  values are the same regardless of the refractive index of the layer because no film is present and that as the thickness increases,  $\Psi$  always increases and  $\Delta$  always decreases.

The average values for  $\Psi$  and  $\Delta$  of the darkened circles in Figure 2A are  $1.067^\circ$  and  $168.188^\circ$  respectively. By comparison of these values with the  $\Psi$ ,  $\Delta$  values obtained in Table 1, it is apparent that roughness could indeed be responsible for the points illustrated by the darkened circles. From the table, it is also evident that a thin film of large void percentage and a thicker film of smaller void percentage influence  $\Psi$  and  $\Delta$  in essentially the same manner. The exact void percentage or thickness of the roughness layer would be difficult to determine for the specific sample and this has not been attempted. It is quite reasonable to assume that both the void percentage and the layer thickness would be constantly changing as the sample surface is etched by the  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  and this would account for the lack of closer agreement between the values in Table 1 and the experimental values. Coupling the microscopic evidence with ellipsometric evidence given by the Bruggeman analysis, it is believed that the apparent increase in thickness obtained between 90 and 190 minutes in Figure 1 is due entirely to roughening of the sample surface and not film growth.

Since roughness was also observed on the BHF etched sample, the data illustrated by the darkened circles of Figure 2B should

be analyzed to determine if it is the result of roughness. From Table I it is evident that roughness can not give rise to  $\Psi$  values that are less than the  $\Psi$  value which corresponds to a bare Si substrate. The darkened circles of Figure 2B (BHF ambient) fall to the right of the theoretical curve which is at smaller  $\Psi$  than the  $\Psi$  for a bare substrate, so it is believed that these points are not the result of surface roughening. The analysis by Fenstermaker and McCrackin(14) revealed that small amounts of roughening had almost no effect on ellipsometric calculations, so the conclusion is drawn that the roughening observed in BHF ambients does not effect the ellipsometric measurements and furthermore that the trajectory of the  $\Psi$ ,  $\Delta$  points obtained after the minimum thickness is indicative of film growth on the Si surface as determined in earlier work(6).

## CONCLUSIONS

In-situ ellipsometry has been used to monitor the etch and regrowth of native  $\text{SiO}_2$  from Si substrates in aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  and compared with similar data obtained in ambient aqueous buffered HF solutions. It has been demonstrated that the use of ambient aqueous ammonium hydroxide for removal of  $\text{SiO}_2$  films from silicon substrates allows creation of a denuded silicon surface. Comparison of raw  $\Psi$ ,  $\Delta$  data for ambient  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  with raw data for ambient BHF, illustrates that the  $\Psi$ ,  $\Delta$  data collected using ambient  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  approaches the expected  $\Psi$ ,  $\Delta$  values for bare Si significantly more closely than the raw data collected for ambient

BHF. When the same  $\Psi$ ,  $\Delta$  data is used to calculate both components of the complex refractive index assuming that the sample is a bare substrate, the agreement with the accepted values for silicon is best for ambient aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ .

In both BHF and  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  ambients the raw ellipsometric data diverge from their closest approach to the expected  $\Psi$ ,  $\Delta$  values for a bare Si substrate before the etch ambient is displaced. The trajectories of these divergences differ in the two ambients used. It was determined that surface roughening was responsible for this outcome in ambient  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  and optical microscopy verifies the presence of severe surface roughness. Less roughness on the BHF etched sample was detected microscopically and the  $\Psi$ ,  $\Delta$  trajectory in this data could not be explained by roughness. It was concluded that the roughening was not enough to influence the ellipsometric data and that the diverging  $\Psi$ ,  $\Delta$  values were indicative of film growth on the Si surface. It is important to note that it is not the intention of this study to purport that aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  constitutes a better cleaning treatment than BHF, since the roughening induced on the Si surface by the  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  could render samples treated in this manner useless for device processing.

## REFERENCES

1. W. Kern and D.A. Puotinen, RCA Review, 31, 187, (1970).
2. F.J. Grunthaner and J. Maserjian, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., NS-24, 2108, (1977).
3. F.N. Schwetmann, K.L. Chiang and W.A. Brown, Abstract 276, p. 688, The Electrochemical Society Extended Abstracts, Vol. 78-1, Seattle, WA, May 21-26, 1978.
4. J.M. DeLarios, C.R. Helms, D.B. Kao and B.E. Deal, App. Surf. Sci., 30, 17, (1987).
5. G. Gould and E.A. Irene, J. Electrochem. Soc, 134, 1031, (1987).
6. G. Gould and E.A. Irene, J. Electrochem. Soc, 135, 1535, (1988).
7. S.M. Hu and D.R. Kerr, J. Electrochem. Soc, 114, 414, (1967).
8. W.A. Zisman, "Relation of Equilibrium Contact Angle to Liquid and Solid Constitution", in Contact Angle: Wettability and Adhesion, Chapter 1, F.M. Fowkes (Ed.), Advances in Chemistry Series, Vol. 43, American Chemical Society, Washington D.C., (1964).
9. R.J. Jaccodine, J. Electrochem. Soc, 110, 524, (1963).
10. S.I. Raider, R. Flitsch and M.J. Palmer, J. Electrochem. Soc, 122, 413, (1975).
11. A. Taft, J. Electrochem. Soc, 125, 968, (1978).
12. W. Kern, Semiconductor International, 94, April, (1984).

13. D.E. Aspnes and J. Theeten, J. Electrochem. Soc, 127, 1359, (1980).
14. C.A. Fenstermaker and F.L. McCrackin, Surf. Sci., 16, 85, (1969).
15. D.A.G. Bruggeman, Ann. Phys., 24, 636, (1935).

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Calculated  $\text{SiO}_2$  thickness vs. time in 5:1  $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ . Minimum thickness of 0.06nm was obtained at 84 minutes and etch solution was displaced by pure deionized water at 190 minutes as shown by the vertical line.

Figure 2A: Raw ellipsometric data for aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  etch experiment. Circles represent measurements performed while etch solution is present and triangles represent measurements performed while pure water is present. The filled circles are data collected after the minimum thickness value was obtained but before the etch solution was displaced. Note that in ambient  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  these values are all at larger  $\Psi$  than the  $\Psi$  value corresponding to a zero oxide thickness.

Figure 2B: Raw ellipsometric data for aqueous BHF etch experiment. Circles represent measurements performed while etch solution is present and triangles represent measurements performed while pure water is present. The darkened circles represent data collected prior to etch solution displacement but after minimum oxide thickness has been obtained. It should be noted that in ambient BHF these values all lie at smaller  $\Psi$  than the value for  $\Psi$  that corresponds to a zero oxide thickness.

LIST OF FIGURES (cont)

Figure 3A: Optical micrograph of surface roughness observed on a sample etched for 236 minutes in 5:1  $H_2O/NH_4OH$ . Magnification is 200X and Normarski DIC is used.

Figure 3B: Optical micrograph of surface roughness observed on a sample etched for 220 minutes in 1000:100:0.8  $H_2O/NH_4F/HF$ . Magnification is 200X and Normarski DIC is used.




Fig 1 88-03-28 Gould, Irene




Fig 2 A 88-03-28 Gould, Irene




Fig 2B 88-03-28 Gould, Irene



A



B

Figure 3 A & B 88-03-28 Gould, Irene

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Effect of Surface Roughness on  $\Psi$  and  $\Delta$

TABLE 1

| % VOID | $n_{eff}$   | L = 0.0nm |          | L = .25nm |          | L = .50nm |          | L = .75nm |          | L = 1.0nm |          |
|--------|-------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|
|        |             | $\Psi$    | $\Delta$ | $\Psi$    | $\Delta$ | $\Psi$    | $\Delta$ | $\Psi$    | $\Delta$ | $\Psi$    | $\Delta$ |
| 5      | 3.743-.0171 | 0.982     | 171.267  | 0.985     | 170.236  | 0.988     | 169.208  | 0.990     | 168.183  | 0.993     | 167.160  |
| 10     | 3.618-.0161 | 0.982     | 171.267  | 0.988     | 169.241  | 0.944     | 167.232  | 1.001     | 165.244  | 1.008     | 163.277  |
| 20     | 3.359-.0151 | 0.982     | 171.267  | 0.994     | 167.385  | 1.009     | 163.595  | 1.028     | 159.918  | 1.050     | 156.366  |
| 30     | 3.086-.0131 | 0.982     | 171.267  | 1.001     | 165.747  | 1.027     | 160.449  | 1.060     | 155.428  | 1.099     | 150.718  |
| 40     | 2.802-.0111 | 0.982     | 171.267  | 1.006     | 164.431  | 1.043     | 157.976  | 1.091     | 151.998  | 1.148     | 146.540  |
| 50     | 2.509-.0081 | 0.982     | 171.267  | 1.011     | 163.562  | 1.055     | 156.374  | 1.114     | 149.831  | 1.184     | 143.976  |