OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N00014-85-K-0631

R&T Code 4134003

Technical Report No. UWIS/DC/TR-89/5

Intercalation Reactions of the Neptunyl (VI) Dication with Hydrogen Uranyl Phosphate and Hydrogen Neptunyl Phosphate Host Lattices

by

Peter K. Dorhout,[a] Richard J. Kissane,[b] Kent D. Abney,[b] Larry R. Avens,[b] P. Gary Eller,[b] and Arthur B. Ellis[a*]

Prepared for Publication in

Inorganic Chemistry

[a]University of Wisconsin
Department of Chemistry
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

and

[b]Los Alamos National Laboratory,
INC-4, Los Alamos, NM 87545

May 17, 1989

*To whom all correspondence should be addressed

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION NA		1b RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS NA	
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY NA		3 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Distribution Unlimited; Approved for Public Release	
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE NA			
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) UWIS/DC/TR-89/5		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) NA	
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Chemistry Dept.,Univ. of Wisconsin-Madison and Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) NA	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION ONR	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 1101 University Ave., Madison, WI 53706; INC-4, Los Alamos, NM 87545		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217	
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) NA	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Contract N00014-85-K-0631	
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS	

	PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK R&T NO Code 4134003	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO

11 TITLE (Include Security Classification)

Intercalation Reactions of the Neptunyl(VI) Dication with Hydrogen Uranyl Phosphate and Hydrogen Neptunyl Phosphate Host Lattices

12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Peter K. Dorhout[a], Richard J. Kissane,[b] Kent D. Abney,[b] Larry R. Avens,[b] P. Gary Eller,[b] and Arthur B. Ellis[a] ([a]U. Wis.; [b]Los Alamos)

13a TYPE OF REPORT Technical	13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____	14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 5/17/89	15 PAGE COUNT 25

16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION

Prepared for publication in Inorganic Chemistry

17 COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	hydrogen uranyl phosphate; neptunyl uranyl phosphate; uranyl phosphate; neptunyl phosphate; Np(VI); Np(V)

19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)

The hydrated layered solids, hydrogen uranyl phosphate, HUO_2PO_4, HUP, and its isostructural neptunyl analog, $HNpO_2PO_4$, HNpP, can be intercalated with UO_2^{2+} and NpO_2^{2+} ions to yield a family of layered, hydrated solids that have been characterized by X-ray powder diffraction and by infrared, Raman, and electronic spectroscopy. Aqueous reactions of HUP with UO_2^{2+} and of HNpP with NpO_2^{2+} lead to hydrated layered solids, $(UO_2)_3(PO_4)_2$, UP, and $(NpO_2)_3(PO_4)_2$, NpP; preparation of UP from HUP and of NpP from HNpP can also be effected by thermal decomposition of the parent solids, thus affording a set of "self-intercalation" reactions that are reversible. "Cross-intercalation" reactions (UO_2^{2+} into HNpP; NpO_2^{2+} into HUP) also proceed under stoichiometric conditions. Conducting the "cross-intercalation" reactions with high concentrations of intercalating ion leads to substantial substitution of actinyl ions in the host lattice sheets. The intercalation reactions of HUP and HNpP are shown to be selective by the marked preference found for intercalating Np(VI) over Np(V), as evidenced by the lack of reactivity of NpO_2^+ toward either host. Characterization by X-ray powder diffraction revealed that all of the solids could be indexed on the basis of tetragonal unit cells; the $\underline{a}$ lattice constant is ~6.95 Å in all samples, but intercalation of actinyl ions increases the interlamellar spacing, $\underline{c}/2$, from ~8.7 Å in HUP and HNpP to ~11.2 Å.

(continued on reverse side)

20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☒ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT ☐ DTIC USERS	21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Distribution Unlimited	
22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Arthur B. Ellis	22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (608) 262-0421	22c OFFICE SYMBOL

19. Abstract - continued

Vibrational and optical properties of the intercalated solids are derived from transitions characteristic of the actinyl ions comprising the solids.

Abstract

The hydrated layered solids, hydrogen uranyl phosphate, HUO_2PO_4, HUP, and its isostructural neptunyl analog, $HNpO_2PO_4$, HNpP, can be intercalated with UO_2^{2+} and NpO_2^{2+} ions to yield a family of layered, hydrated solids that have been characterized by X-ray powder diffraction and by infrared, Raman, and electronic spectroscopy. Aqueous reactions of HUP with UO_2^{2+} and of HNpP with NpO_2^{2+} lead to hydrated layered solids, $(UO_2)_3(PO_4)_2$, UP, and $(NpO_2)_3(PO_4)_2$, NpP; preparation of UP from HUP and of NpP from HNpP can also be effected by thermal decomposition of the parent solids, thus affording a set of "self-intercalation" reactions that are reversible. "Cross-intercalation" reactions (UO_2^{2+} into HNpP; NpO_2^{2+} into HUP) also proceed under stoichiometric conditions. Conducting the "cross-intercalation" reactions with high concentrations of intercalating ion leads to substantial substitution of actinyl ions in the host lattice sheets. The intercalation reactions of HUP and HNpP are shown to be selective by the marked preference found for intercalating Np(VI) over Np(V), as evidenced by the lack of reactivity of NpO_2^{+} toward either host. Characterization by X-ray powder diffraction revealed that all of the solids could be indexed on the basis of tetragonal unit cells; the a lattice constant is ~6.95 Å in all samples, but intercalation of actinyl ions increases the interlamellar spacing, $c/2$, from ~8.7 Å in HUP and HNpP to ~11.2 Å. Vibrational and optical properties of the intercalated solids are derived from transitions characteristic of the actinyl ions comprising the solids.

Introduction

We and others have recently demonstrated that the luminescent, layered hydrate, hydrogen uranyl phosphate, $HUO_2PO_4 \cdot 4H_2O$, HUP, is a versatile host lattice for intercalation chemistry.[1-3] Among the intercalating species we have employed is the uranyl ion itself.[4,5] In these earlier studies we showed that HUP can intercalate UO_2^{2+} ions from aqueous solution or undergo thermal decomposition in an aqueous slurry to yield a common product, a lamellar hydrate of uranyl phosphate, $(UO_2)_3(PO_4)_2 \cdot 8H_2O$, UP. The latter reaction can be described as a "self-intercalation" reaction, since the intercalating UO_2^{2+} ions were originally part of the host lattice.

The existence of the hydrated, isostructural neptunyl analog of HUP, $HNpO_2PO_4$, HNpP,[6] prompted us to investigate the intercalative ion-exchange chemistry of the neptunyl dication, NpO_2^{2+}. We report in this paper that strong parallels exist between neptunyl and uranyl intercalation chemistry. In particular, we demonstrate that HNpP can be converted to a lamellar hydrate of neptunyl phosphate, $(NpO_2)_3(PO_4)_2$, NpP (isostructural with UP), either by intercalation with NpO_2^{2+} from aqueous solution or via a "self-intercalation" thermal decomposition reaction. We show, too, that "cross-intercalation" reactions (UO_2^{2+} into HNpP; NpO_2^{2+} into HUP) proceed under stoichiometric conditions to yield lamellar solids. When these "cross-intercalation" reactions are conducted at high concentrations of intercalating ion, host ion lability is evident from exchange of actinyl ions from the lattice sheets. The intercalation reactions of HUP and HNpP are shown to be selective by the marked preference found for intercalating Np(VI) over Np(V), as evidenced by the lack of reactivity of NpO_2^+ toward either host.

Experimental Section

Materials and Analyses. Due to the high alpha activity of ^{237}Np, 1.6×10^3 dpm/μg, all manipulations with Np, with the exception of the weighings for elemental and TGA analyses (vide infra), were performed in an air atmosphere inside a glove box train designed for transuranics. Solutions containing the NpO_2^{2+} ion were prepared by dissolving NpO_2 in HNO_3/HF solution and treating the dark green solution with hot conc. $HClO_4$ (Mallinckrodt Chemicals); at this point, the dark burgundy solution was determined to have a ~3:2 NpO_2^{2+}: NpO_2^+ composition by its visible spectrum.[7] This solution was heated to fuming and the solvent evaporated to near dryness. The residue was then dissolved in enough water to yield a nearly pure 0.1 M NpO_2^{2+} solution. *Warning: Many perchlorate salts detonate when heated* . Solutions of NpO_2^+ (0.3 M) were prepared by electrolytic reduction of NpO_2^{2+} solutions.[8]

Phosphoric acid (85%) was obtained from Mallinckrodt Chemicals and $UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (99.3%) from Aldrich Chemicals. Samples of $HUO_2PO_4 \cdot 4H_2O$ (HUP) were prepared as previously described.[9]

Samples used for elemental and TGA analyses were weighed out in an inert atmosphere box (dry box) during which time they can lose H_2O; hydration values should thus be regarded as approximate. Analyses for Np were performed with a quantitative alpha counting system using a 2π ionization chamber. Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were collected on a Perkin Elmer TGA 7 Thermogravimetric Analyzer, using a ramp of 5 $^\circ$C/min from 50 to 550 $^\circ$C and an argon purge.

$HNpO_2PO_4 \cdot 4.5H_2O$, HNpP. A modification of the published procedure was employed.[6] Solid HNpP was prepared by addition of 20 mL

of 0.1 M H_3PO_4 solution to 20 mL of a 0.1 M NpO_2^{2+} solution whose pH had been adjusted to 1 with NaOH. After stirring the solution for 20 min at room temperature, a pale purple solid formed. The solid was isolated by centrifugation, washed several times with distilled water, and allowed to air dry in the glove box overnight. Anal. Calcd for $H_{10}O_{10.5}PNp$: Np, 53.1. Found: Np, 54.4. TGA indicates 4.5 ± 0.6 waters of hydration. Calcd for 4.5 H_2O: 18.2. Found: 18.1. Although the solid will be referred to as hydrated $HNpO_2PO_4$, a small quantity of Na^+ ion may have subsituted for H^+ in the solid.

$(NpO_2)_3(PO_4)_2 \cdot 5H_2O$, NpP. A room temperature preparation of this salt was accomplished by mixing 10 mL of 0.1 M NpO_2^{2+} solution (a ~20-fold excess) with 20 mg of HNpP and stirring the slurry for 48 h. The pale purple-green solid was isolated by centrifugation, washed with distilled water, and allowed to dry in the glovebox atmosphere overnight. Thermal decomposition of HNpP provided a second route to NpP: a slurry of HNpP in distilled water was heated at 70 °C for 24 h, then isolated from the warm solution as described above. This latter sample was analyzed. Anal. Calcd for $H_{10}O_{19}P_2Np_3$, NpP: Np, 65.4. Found: Np, 65.7. TGA indicates 5.0 ± 0.2 waters of hydration. Calcd for 5.0 H_2O: 8.3. Found: 8.2.

$(NpO_2)(UO_2PO_4)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$, NpUP. Intercalation of NpO_2^{2+} into HUP was accomplished by combining 20 mg of HUP with a solution of 250 µL of 0.1M NpO_2^{2+} in 10 mL of distilled water and stirring for 48 h. This led to the isolation (vide supra, NpP) of a yellow-green powder. Anal. Calcd for $H_8O_{18}P_2NpU_2$, NpUP: Np, 22.2. Found: Np, 23.3. TGA indicates 4.0 ± 0.2 waters of hydration. Calcd for 4.0 H_2O: 6.7. Found: 6.6.

$(UO_2)_{1.5}(NpO_2)_{1.5}(PO_4)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$, UNpP. Intercalation of UO_2^{2+} into HNpP was accomplished by combining 20 mg of HNpP with a solution

of 50 μL of 1.0 M UO_2^{2+} in 10 mL of distilled water and stirring for 48 h.
This led to the isolation (vide supra, NpP) of a yellow-green powder. Anal.
Calcd for $H_8O_{18}P_2Np_{1.5}U_{1.5}$, UNpP: Np, 33.2. Found: Np, 33.0. TGA
indicates 4.0 ± 0.2 waters of hydration. Calcd for 4.0 H_2O: 6.7. Found: 6.0.

Structural and Optical Measurements. Powder X-ray diffraction data
for the presumed fully-hydrated materials were collected by the
Debye-Scherrer technique on an Enraf Nonius 601 generator using Cu Kα
radiation and a 114.6-mm diameter camera. These samples were prepared
for data collection by introducing the powders into quartz capillaries
inside the air-filled glovebox. Diffraction patterns were indexed on the
basis of tetragonal unit cells for all the solids and the cell constants were
refined using least squares analysis. Values of $1/d^2$ and *hkl* assignments
are available as supplementary material.

Optical measurements were performed on samples that were
presumed to be fully hydrated. Samples containing Np were placed in a
sealed Plexi-glass© box fitted with quartz windows (for UV-vis spectra)
or AgCl windows (for IR spectra) for secondary containment. For
photoluminescence (PL) and Raman spectra, secondary containment was
achieved by placing the samples in a capillary tube surrounded by a quartz
tube.

Infrared spectra were recorded as Nujol mulls on NaCl plates using a
Bio-Rad Digilab FTS-40 FTIR spectrometer. Raman spectra were recorded
using a Nicolet 1180 E Raman data system, a Spex Industries Ramalog
0.85-m double monochromator with holographic gratings, and an RCA PMT
31034 A detection system. The 647.1-nm line of a Spectra-Physics 171
Kr^+ laser was used as the scattering source and multiscanning was

employed.

Electronic absorption spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer 330 UV-vis-near IR spectrophotometer using silicone grease mulls, as previously described.[9] For PL spectra, a Spex Fluorolog spectrophotometer equipped with a Xe arc lamp was employed.

Results and Discussion

In sections below we discuss the intercalative reaction chemistry of NpO_2^{2+} and the composition of the resulting solids; structural properties of the materials based on X-ray diffraction, infrared and Raman spectroscopy; and their electronic absorption spectra.

Syntheses and Composition

All samples were weighed out in an inert atmosphere (dry) box prior to elemental and TGA analyses. Since this process may result in a loss of waters of hydration from the solids, the reported values should be considered as approximate.

$HNpO_2PO_4 \cdot 4.5H_2O$, HNpP. Powdered, hydrated samples of HNpP are prepared by a general aqueous precipitation route used for synthesizing hydrogen actinyl phosphates, eq. 1;[6] hydrate waters are omitted for

$$AnO_2^{2+} + H_3PO_4 \text{--------}> HAnO_2PO_4 + 2H^+ \tag{1}$$

An = U, Np, Pu, Am

simplicity. Samples of HNpP gave a satisfactory Np analysis. The TGA data shown in Fig. 1 indicate that about 3 of the 4.5 ± 0.6 waters of hydration are lost below 150 $^{\circ}$C with the remaining water lost between 150 and 500 $^{\circ}$C.

$(NpO_2)_3(PO_4)_2 \cdot 5H_2O$, NpP. Based on the conversion of HUP to UP,

the two independent routes given in eqs. 2 and 3 were used to convert HNpP to NpP. The intercalative ion-exchange reaction, eq. 2, proceeded readily

$$AnO_2^{2+} + 2HAnO_2PO_4 \; < \quad > \; (AnO_2)_3(PO_4)_2 + 2H^+ \qquad (2)$$

$$3HAnO_2PO_4 \; < \quad > \; H_3PO_4 + (AnO_2)_3(PO_4)_2 \qquad (3)$$

An = U, Np

with HNpP and NpO_2^{2+} to yield NpP. The TGA data of Fig. 1 show a loss of roughly five waters of hydration between 50 and 500 °C. Though isostructural with UP (vide infra), NpP has fewer waters of hydration based on TGA data, possibly due to the loss of water to the glovebox atmosphere during sample preparation. We again stress that the hydration value should be considered as approximate.

Heating an aqueous slurry of HNpP at 70 °C for 24 h converted the solid to NpP, eq. 3; this reaction can be regarded as the sum of eq. 2 and a reaction that solubilizes HNpP as HPO_4^{2-} and NpO_2^{2+}. Besides a satisfactory Np analysis, isolated samples had identical X-ray and spectral features (vibrational and electronic) to samples synthesized by eq. 2. As was found for UP, the self-intercalation reaction is reversible:[4] samples of NpP revert to HNpP over 48 h on standing in the mother liquor at room temperature. Based on this reversibility, we have written eqs. 2 and 3 as equilibria. With shorter reaction times or lower temperatures, reaction 3 is incomplete, as evidenced by the presence of both HNpP and NpP in the X-ray powder diffraction pattern. Similar observations were made for the uranyl analogs.[4,5]

$(NpO_2)(UO_2PO_4)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$, NpUP. The "cross-intercalation" reaction shown in eq. 4 proceeds essentially to completion when conducted with

$$NpO_2^{2+} + 2HUO_2PO_4 \quad > \quad (NpO_2)(UO_2PO_4)_2 + 2H^+ \qquad (4)$$

a stoichiometric amount of NpO_2^{2+} solution; a Np analysis is in accord with the indicated composition. Formulation of NpUP as a tetrahydrate is based on the TGA data of Fig. 1, which also shows that most of the water has been lost by ~200 °C. The NpUP appears to be isostructural with the UP octahydrate, but the smaller degree of hydration in NpUP, indicated by TGA, may again reflect loss of water to the inert glovebox atmosphere.

$(UO_2)_{1.5}(NpO_2)_{1.5}(PO_4)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$, UNpP. With equal moles of UO_2^{2+} ions and HNpP, the other "cross-intercalation" reaction, eq. 5, did not

$$UO_2^{2+} + 2HNpO_2PO_4 \text{-------} > (UO_2)(NpO_2PO_4)_2 + 2H^+ \qquad (5)$$

proceed as written: the reaction appeared to go beyond a simple cation exchange of the protons, based on Np analysis, leading presumably to partial substitution of UO_2^{2+} for NpO_2^{2+} in the host lattice. The solid that was isolated appeared to be a tetrahydrate from TGA data.

"Cross-Intercalation" Reactions at High Intercalant Concentration.

When concentrated solutions of the intercalant (0.5 - 1.0 M; a several hundred-fold excess) were used for the "cross-intercalation" reactions, X-ray diffraction patterns and visible absorption spectra of the solid products along with absorption spectra of the solutions indicated that the host actinyl cation was exchanging with the guest actinyl ion. Thus, when a slurry of HUP was prepared in conc. NpO_2^{2+} solution, we observed a substantial concentration of UO_2^{2+} in solution after 6 h of reaction at room temperature and a concurrent change in color of the solid from bright yellow to purple. We also observed several phases (the major products being isostructural with HUP and/or HNpP and with NpP and/or UP) in the X-ray pattern of the solid. Likewise, after preparation of a slurry of HNpP in conc. UO_2^{2+} solution, the recovered yellow solid was

largely the HUP and/or HNpP with NpP and/or UP phases from X-ray data, although no NpO_2^{2+} could be detected in the visible electronic spectrum of the solid; correspondingly, the solution contained a large NpO_2^{2+} concentration. Equation 6 summarizes these reactions, where $An'O_2^{2+}$ represents the exchanging ion.

$$H(AnO_2)PO_4 + An'O_2^{2+} \text{------>} H(An'O_2)PO_4 + AnO_2^{2+} \qquad (6)$$

These observations demonstrate that the HUP and HNpP host lattice AnO_2^{2+} ions are labile under certain experimental conditions. This lability would, of course, be masked in reactions of UO_2^{2+} with HUP and of NpO_2^{2+} with HNpP, but is clearly evident in the "cross-intercalation" reaction run at high concentration, wherein the intercalating actinyl ion serves as a label. Host lattice actinyl ion exchange could also have occurred in the preparation of NpUP and UNpP from dilute actinyl solutions, leading to a structural ambiguity: at this point we do not know how the intercalating actinyl ion is distributed among host and guest lattice sites. The origin of the observed lattice AnO_2^{2+} ion lability may simply be acidity, since the parent compounds HUP and HNpP are acid soluble, and solutions of UO_2^{2+} and NpO_2^{2+} hydrolyze extensively to yield strongly acidic solutions.[10]

Given the evidence for host lattice reactivity, our use of the term "intercalation" merits some discussion. Mechanistically, any of the reactions investigated may involve dissolution and reprecipitation of the host lattice, although we only have evidence for this under a limited set of experimental conditions. The term "intercalation" is thus loosely defined: the observed exchanges of guest ions may be accompanied by host lattice reconstruction. If they are, the product host lattice may or may not be chemically identical to the original host lattice.

Selectivity of Intercalation. Interestingly, attempts to intercalate
the NpO_2^+ ion into HUP and HNpP were unsuccessful, even in the presence
of 0.3 M NpO_2^+ solutions. Likewise, competition experiments with HUP or
HNpP, using an equimolar NpO_2^+/NpO_2^{2+} mixture, only yielded NpUP and
NpP, respectively, as gauged by electronic spectra. Thus, a band at 1200
nm characteristic of the NpO_2^{2+} species was present in the solids, while
the sharp, intense 960-nm band characteristic of NpO_2^+ was absent.[11a]
The marked preference of the HUP and HNpP hosts for NpO_2^{2+} over NpO_2^+
is striking. We have considered both redox and complexation properties of
the two neptunyl ions as sources of this selectivity. There is no evidence
from spectroscopic data that redox chemistry occurs: neptunyl and uranyl
ions appear to retain their oxidation state during the ion-exchange
reactions. On the other hand, complexation properties of the NpO_2^{2+} and
NpO_2^+ ions are quite different,[11b,12] and those of the dication more
closely resemble those of UO_2^{2+}, where data are available. For example,
NpO_2^{2+} and UO_2^{2+} have virtually identical formation constants for
complexation with chloride, but NpO_2^+ shows no evidence of complexation
with this anion.[13] The complexation properties presumably reflect
charge/size ratios of the ions (M-O bond length of 1.85 Å in NpO_2^+; 1.77 Å
in UO_2^{2+}; and 1.71 Å in NpO_2^{2+}).[14]

Structural Properties

X-ray Data. Characterization by X-ray powder diffraction reveals
that all of the compositionally characterized compounds appear to be
single phase. They are layered solids that can be indexed on the basis of

tetragonal unit cells. The powder X-ray diffraction patterns demonstrate that HNpP is isostructural with HUP[6] and that NpP, NpUP, and UNpP are isostructual with UP.[4,5] Whether these Np/U structural similarities correspond to identical hydration compositions cannot be determined accurately from our data.

As shown in Table I, the samples have an $\underline{a}$ lattice constant of ~6.95 Å. The interlamellar spacing, $\underline{c}/2$, is about 8.7 Å for the parent solids HUP and HNpP, but increases to ~11.2 Å upon intercalation of actinyl ions, suggesting that the ions have their principal rotation axes parallel to the actinyl phosphate sheets.[4,15] It is noteworthy that both UP and NpP, prepared by either intercalation or thermal decomposition from HUP and HNpP, respectively, exhibit X-ray diffraction patterns with fewer and more diffuse lines than their precursors, indicative of a lower degree of crystallinity. The same is true of the X-ray diffraction patterns for the products of the "cross-intercalation" reactions.

Infrared and Raman Spectra   Figure 2 presents the infrared spectrum of HNpP. The spectrum of HNpP is quite similar to that of HUP and leads to analogous assignments: the spectrum is dominated by a phosphate stretching band at ~1000 cm^{-1}, and by asymmetric and symmetric NpO_2^{2+} stretching modes that appear at 930 and 810 cm^{-1}, respectively; the assignments are based on those reported for UP.[16,17]

Figure 3 illustrates the variations observed in the infrared actinyl and phosphate stretching regions for UNpP and NpUP, for which two different actinyl ions are present, and for NpP, possessing inter- and intralamellar NpO_2^{2+} ions. Like HNpP, the three compounds of Fig. 3 all contain the NpO_2^{2+}/UO_2^{2+} bands at ~930 and 810 cm^{-1}, although the

neptunyl and uranyl stretches are not resolvable. In contrast to the actinyl region of the spectra, a dramatic change in the phosphate stretching region from ~1000 to 1200 cm^{-1} is seen in comparing HNpP, Fig. 2, with the intercalated derivatives, NpP, NpUP, and UNpP. These changes are reminiscent of those observed for HUP and UP tetrahydrate and have been attributed to the presence of an asymmetric arrangement of actinyl ions around the phosphates that distort the tetrahedral symmetry.[16,17] Such distortions, as well as differences in hydration and sample preparation, could also account for the individual differences in the phosphate regions of the spectra in Fig. 3.

Attempts to record Raman spectra for HNpP, NpP, and UNpP were unsuccessful due to their rapid photodegradation in the laser beam to green solids that presumably contain Np(IV) or Np(V);[11a] such a reduction could conceivably be coupled with the oxidation of lattice water to oxygen, based on ground-state aqueous, acid redox couples (NpO_2^{2+}/NpO_2^{+} is 1.24 V; NpO_2^{2+}/Np^{4+} is 0.94 V vs. NHE[11c]) and assuming an increase in these potentials of about 1 V, if the absorption band at ~1200 nm (vide infra) represents the redox-active excited state. Raman spectra of these materials were collected but did not yield any assignable peaks. Figure 4 presents Raman spectra for HUP and NpUP. For the latter compound, we assign the two bands at 827 and 803 cm^{-1} to the UO_2^{2+} and NpO_2^{2+} symmetric stretching modes, respectively.[18] There appears to be a ~15 cm^{-1} red shift in the uranyl ion frequency in NpUP relative to HUP where it occurs at 843 cm^{-1}. This is not surprising given the sensitivity of the uranyl stretching frequency to its coordination environment.[19,20] The ν_s phosphate stretching band for NpUP occurs at ~1000 cm^{-1} and is split, perhaps reflecting an asymmetric environment at the phosphate site.[17,18]

The bands at ~190 cm^{-1} represent the uranyl and, presumably, neptunyl symmetric bending modes.

Optical Properties. Absorption spectra of several of the solids are shown in Fig. 5. The pale purple solid, HNpP, displays the characteristic vibronic structure of the NpO_2^{2+} absorption near 500 and 600 nm reported for aqueous perchlorate solutions.[21] The spacings in the vibronic progression average ~704 cm^{-1}(715 cm^{-1} was observed in solution), corresponding to the excited-state symmetric stretching mode of NpO_2^{2+}. A similar assigment is made for UO_2^{2+} in HUP for which a separation of ~708 cm^{-1} is found (718 cm^{-1} reported for perchlorate solutions[22]). Bands at ~1200 and ~960 nm are also seen in this spectrum. Interestingly, the 960-nm band's intensity is much greater relative to the 1200-nm band's intensity than is observed in aqueous perchloric acid.[11a]

Samples of HNpP did not exhibit photoluminescence at room temperature between 400 and 900 nm. As noted above, we did observe what appears to be photoreduction of HNpP to a green solid upon excitation with 418- and 647-nm Kr$^+$ laser lines. Introduction of NpO_2^{2+} into the HUP host lattice effectively quenches the characteristic uranyl emission of HUP, analogous to the quenching found by intercalating UO_2^{2+} into HUP.[4]

Samples of NpUP and UNpP have absorption spectra that are a composite of NpO_2^{2+} (450-700 nm and near IR) and UO_2^{2+} (400-500 nm) electronic transitions. The electronic spectrum of NpP exhibits two broad, weak absorptions between 400 and 700 nm as well as the characteristic near-infrared Np(VI) absorption. The variations in peak shape in the 900 - 1200-nm region are noteworthy but have not been further investigated. For none of these species were we able to detect emission from 500 to

900 nm.

Conclusion

Both the HUP and HNpP host lattices intercalate NpO_2^{2+} and UO_2^{2+} ions to yield a family of hydrated, lamellar host lattices. "Cross-intercalation" reactions of UO_2^{2+} into HNpP and of NpO_2^{2+} into HUP demonstrate that host lattice substitution can occur. Both host lattices show a remarkable selectivity for intercalating NpO_2^{2+} over NpO_2^{+} under ambient conditions. Vibrational and optical properties of the intercalated solids are derived from the actinyl transitions.

Supplementary Material Available. Tables of $1/d^2$ and *hkl* values from powder X-ray diffraction are available. Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

REFERENCES

1 Rosenthal, G. L. and Ellis, A. B. J. Less-Comm. Met. 1988, 139, 299.

2 Olken, M. M.; Verschoor, C. M.; and Ellis, A. B. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 80.

3 Pozas-Tormo, R; Moreno-Real, L.; Martínez-Lara, M.; and Bruque-Gamez, S. Inorg. Chem. 1987, 26, 1442.

4 Rosenthal, G. L. and Ellis, A. B. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3157.

5 Dorhout, P. K.,; Rosenthal, G. L.; and Ellis, A. B. Solid State Ionics in press.

6 Weigel, F. and Hoffman, G. J. Less-Comm. Met. 1976, 44, 125.

7 Hagen, P. G. and Cleveland, J. M. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1966, 28, 2905.

8 Newton, T. W.; Hobart, D. E.; and Palmer, P. D. NNWSI Report, LA-UR-86-967.

9 Olken, M. M.; Biagioni, R. N.; and Ellis, A. B. Inorg.Chem. 1983, 22, 4128.

10 Allard, B; Kipatsi, H.; and Liljenzen, J. O. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1980, 42, 1015.

11 a) Katz, J. J.; Seaborg, G. T.; and Morss, L. R. "The Chemistry of the Actinide Elements," 2nd Ed.; Vol. 1; Chapman and Hall, NY, 1986, p. 466. b) Ibid. p. 483. c) Ibid. p. 469.

12 Maya, L. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 3926.

13 a) Day, R. A.; and Powers, R. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1954**, 76, 3895. b) Danesi, P. R.; Chiarizia, R. Scibona, G.; D'Alessandro, G. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **1974**, 36, 2396.

14 Burns, J. H. and Musikas, C. Inorg. Chem. **1977**, 16, 1619.

15 Pavkovic', N. and Markovic', M. Radiochim. Acta. **1983**, 34, 127.

16 Pekárek, V. and Vesely', V. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **1965**, 27, 1151.

17 Pham-Thi, M. and Colomban, Ph. J. Less-Comm. Met. **1985**, 108, 189.

18 Madic, C.; Begun, G. M.; Hobart, D. E.; and Hahn, R. L. Inorg. Chem. **1984**, 23, 1914.

19 Nakamoto, K. "Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds," 3rd ed.; Wiley, New York, 1978; p. 115.

20 Ohwada, K. Spectrochim. Acta. Part A **1968**, 24A, 595.

21 Sjoblom, R. and Hindeman, J. C. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. **1951**, 73, 1744.

22 Bell, J. T. and Biggers, R. E. J. Mol. Spec. **1968**, 25, 312.

23 Morosin, B. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B: Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem. **1978**, B34, 3732.

24 Dorhout, P. K.; Rosenthal. G. L.; and Ellis, A. B. Inorg. Chem. **1988**, 27, 1159.

Table I.   Lattice Constants [a]

Sample [b]	a (Å)	$\frac{1}{2}c$ (Å)[c]
HUP [d]	6.973(3)	8.707(5)
HNpP [e]	6.92(4)	8.75(5)
UP [f]	6.93(5)	11.1(4)
NpP	6.99(4)	11.1(6)
UNpP	6.99(3)	11.2(6)
NpUP	6.97(4)	11.1(7)

a) All samples were single phase that could be indexed to tetragonal unit cells.   Errors in table entries are estimated standard deviations based on least squares refinement.   b) Sample abbreviations are given in the text and Experimental Section.   c) The $\frac{1}{2}c$ lattice parameter represents the interlamellar spacing, viz., the interplanar spacing between adjacent actinyl phosphate layers, ref. 23.   d) Ref. 24.   e) Original synthesis reported a=6.96(2)Å; c=8.76(6)Å, where c is equivalent to the interlamellar spacing, ref. 6.   f) Ref. 4.

Figure 1. Thermogravimetric curves for HNpP, NpUP, and NpP. Horizontal lines indicate the calculated number of waters lost. The curve for UNpP is similar in character to that for NpUP.

Figure 2. Infrared absorption spectrum of HNpP in Nujol oil. The labelled assignments are discussed in the text. The peak at ~720 cm^{-1} is from Nujol.

Figure 3. Infrared absorption spectra of NpP, UNpP, and NpUP in Nujol oil.

Figure 4. Raman spectra of HUP and NpUP solids at 298 K. The labelled assignments are discussed in the text.

Figure 5. Visible-near-IR absorption spectra of HNpP, NpP, UNpP, and NpUP solids in silicone grease at 298 K. The discontinuities in the baselines are due to a detector change near 800 nm.

NpP

UNpP

NpUP

Wavenumbers, cm^{-1}

1200   1000   800

HUP

$\nu_s\ UO_2^{2+}$

$\nu_s\ PO_4^{3-}$

NpUP

$\nu_s\ NpO_2^{2+}$

$\nu_s\ UO_2^{2+}$

$\nu_s\ PO_4^{3-}$

Raman Shift, cm^{-1}

Relative Optical Density (y-axis)

Wavelength, nm (x-axis)

HNpP

NpP

×2

UNpP

NpUP

400   500   600   900   1000   1100   1200

$HNpO_2PO_4 \cdot 4.5H_2O$

Rel. Intensity	$1/d2$ Obs.	$1/d2$ Calcd.	hkl
100	0.0133	0.0131	002
90	0.0341	0.0339	102
70	0.0417	0.0417	110
50	0.0550	0.0548	112
80	0.0738	0.0732	105
80	0.0838	0.0835	200
70	0.0971	0.0965	202
40	0.1183	0.1177	006
20	0.1407	0.1386	106
20	0.1591	0.1593	116
20	0.1682	0.1670	220
20	0.1802	0.1800	222
20	0.2023	0.2011	206
60	0.2091	0.2091	008
40	0.2229	0.2220	216
20	0.2402	0.2400	304
20	0.2598	0.2609	314
20	0.2855	0.2845	226
20	0.3122	0.3134	218
40	0.3270	0.3268	0010

$(NpO_2)_3(PO_4)_2 \cdot 5H_2O$

Rel. Intensity	$1/d2$ Obs.	$1/d2$ Calcd.	hkl
50	0.0207	0.0205	100
100	0.0317	0.0323	004
50	0.0494	0.0492	111
40	0.0598	0.0593	113
50	0.0709	0.0723	006

100	0.0929	0.0932	106
100	0.1148	0.1145	204
40	0.2115	0.2114	208
40	0.2350	0.2355	218
30	0.2939	0.2936	228

$(NpO_2)(UO_2PO_4)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$

Rel. Intensity	$1/d2$ Obs.	$1/d2$ Calcd.	hkl
50	0.0203	0.0206	100
100	0.0320	0.0321	004
50	0.0475	0.0487	112
40	0.0564	0.0589	113
50	0.0719	0.0723	006
100	0.0924	0.0927	106
100	0.1148	0.1139	204
40	0.2123	0.2122	312
30	0.2313	0.2307	218

$(UO_2)_{1.5}(NpO_2)_{1.5}(PO_4)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$

Rel. Intensity	$1/d2$ Obs.	$1/d2$ Calcd.	hkl
50	0.0205	0.0204	100
100	0.0316	0.0319	004
50	0.0467	0.0488	112
40	0.0590	0.0592	113
50	0.0709	0.0719	006
100	0.0919	0.0923	106
100	0.1150	0.1144	204
40	0.2126	0.2126	208
40	0.2336	0.2330	218
30	0.2980	0.2980	324