

AD-A208 318

Effects of Frequency Spreads on Beam Breakup Instabilities in Linear Accelerators

D.G. COLOMBANT AND Y.Y. LAU

*Plasma Theory Branch
Plasma Physics Division*

May 11, 1989

DTIC

MAY 20 1989

cb

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No 0704-0188	
1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED			1b RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3 DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
2b DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE					
4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) NRL Memorandum Report 6464			5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		
6a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Naval Research Laboratory		6b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) Code 4790	7a NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION		
6c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Washington, DC 20375-5000			7b ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		
8a NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION DARPA & DOE		8b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		
8c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) DARPA - Arlington, VA 22209 DOE - Washington, DC 20545			10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS		
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO DARPA 62707E	PROJECT NO 4795-489 A103-88-ER	TASK NO	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO DN180-127
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) Effects of Frequency Spreads on Beam Breakup Instabilities in Linear Accelerators					
12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Colombant, D.G. and Lau, Y.Y.					
13a TYPE OF REPORT Interim		13b TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____		14 DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1989 May 11	
15 PAGE COUNT 20					
16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
17 COSATI CODES			18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP			
			Beam breakup instabilities		
			Beam-structure interaction.		
			Transverse modes suppression. (JD)		
19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) From part 1 Structural mode frequency spreads are shown to have a rather different influence on beam breakup growths than betatron frequency spreads. The present analytic and numerical studies show that a finite spread in the breakup mode frequency leads to an algebraic decay of the beam breakup instabilities even if the quality factor $Q \rightarrow \infty$. Effects of stagger tuning are examined.					
20 DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☒ UNCLASSIFIED UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT ☐ DTIC USERS			21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED		
22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Y.Y. Lau			22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (202) 767-2765		22c OFFICE SYMBOL Code 4790

DD Form 1473, JUN 86

Previous editions are obsolete

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

S/N 0102-LF-014-6603

EFFECTS OF FREQUENCY SPREADS ON BEAM BREAKUP INSTABILITIES IN LINEAR ACCELERATORS

Beam breakup (BBU) instabilities result from the coupling between the transverse motions of the electron beam and the deflecting modes of the accelerating structures.¹ Control of BBU growth relies mainly on the reduction of this coupling.² This can be achieved either by restricting the transverse motions of the beam through external focusing¹⁻⁸ (e.g., solenoidal,³ quadrupole or higher order focusing,^{2,7} ion channel,^{5,6} etc.) or by proper modification of the deflecting modes (e.g., stagger tuning,^{2,9} lowering of the quality factor Q of the deflecting modes,¹⁰ etc.). Both methods have been suggested early on and adopted.

Although the lowering of Q is of immense practical importance¹⁰ to control BBU, its effect on BBU growth is not a matter of curiosity, for it merely introduces the well-known damping factor $\exp(-\omega_0 t/2Q)$ to the amplitude of the disturbances. Here, ω_0 is the breakup mode frequency of the structure. Lesser known and of more theoretical interest are other stabilization mechanisms such as detuning and various methods of focusing. To treat these various mechanisms on equal footing, without the interference of damping due to finite Q , we may set $Q = \infty$ and then compare the resulting growths^{11,7} when the various effects are individually incorporated. In this paper, we shall use this strategy and show that a spread in the breakup mode frequencies would be far more effective to control BBU than a spread in the betatron frequencies.

The effects of frequency spreads are analyzed here in a somewhat different manner than was adopted in the literature.^{8,9,12,13} We begin with the BBU dispersion relationship^{6,11}

$$(\omega - kv)^2 - \omega_c^2 = \epsilon \omega_0^3 / (\omega - \omega_0). \quad (1)$$

This dispersion relationship describes the excitation of the beam modes

This dispersion relationship describes the excitation of the beam modes ($\omega - kv \approx \pm\omega_c$) by the breakup modes ($\omega \approx \omega_0$) on a continuous, coasting beam whose transverse displacement varies as $\exp(i\omega t - ikz)$. Here ω_c is the betatron frequency of the (linear) focusing field, v is the electron speed, and ϵ is the dimensionless coupling constant¹¹ which is proportional to the beam current and transverse shunt impedance. The evolution of BBU is described by the Green's function

$$G(z, t) \sim \int d\omega \exp[i\omega t - ik(\omega)z], \quad (2)$$

where

$$k(\omega) = \frac{\omega}{v} \pm \frac{1}{v} \left(\omega_c^2 + \frac{\epsilon \omega_0^3}{\omega - \omega_0} \right)^{1/2} \quad (3)$$

is obtained from the dispersion relation (1). A casual examination of Eq. (2) suggests that, at fixed z , the asymptotic behavior ($t \rightarrow \infty$) of $G(z, t)$ is dictated by the singularity $\omega \approx \omega_0$ in Eq. (3). In the immediate neighborhood of this singularity, the term ω_c^2 , which represents the focal strength, is unimportant. A finite spread in the betatron frequency does not change the singular behavior of $k(\omega)$ either, and therefore cannot influence the asymptotic BBU growth.^{11,7} In physical terms, the BBU is locked onto the breakup mode frequency ($\omega \approx \omega_0$) so that neither a linear focusing field nor phase mixing due to a spread in the betatron frequency can break this locking in a long pulse beam if $Q = \infty$.¹⁴

On the other hand, a spread in the breakup mode frequencies might be expected to modify the BBU growth since a modification in ω_0 alters the singular behavior of $k(\omega)$ in Eq. (3) altogether, and the asymptotic BBU growth would be modified accordingly. It was this observation which motivated the present study. Physically, a finite linewidth (due, for

instance, to mechanical tolerance in the cavities) would contribute to a spread in the breakup mode frequency⁹ and stagger tuning would introduce^{2,9} a z-dependence in ω_0 ; both might lead to a change in the structure of $k(\omega)$.

To examine these possibilities, we set $\omega_c = 0$ henceforth. The effects of a finite spread in the breakup mode frequency ω_0 may be studied by simply replacing the factor $\epsilon\omega_0^3/(\omega - \omega_0)$ in Eq. (1) by

$$\int d\omega_0 f(\omega_0) \epsilon\omega_0^3/(\omega - \omega_0),$$

where $f(\omega_0)$ is the spectral distribution function normalized so that

$$\int d\omega_0 f(\omega_0) = 1.$$

We next calculate $k(\omega)$, insert it into Eq. (2), and determine the asymptotic behavior of $G(z, t)$. For the simple distribution function

$$f(\omega_0) = \begin{cases} 1/\Delta & ; \quad |(\omega_0 - \bar{\omega}_0)/\bar{\omega}_0| < \Delta/2 \\ 0 & ; \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

a saddle point calculation yields, to two orders,

$$\left| G(z, t) \right| \approx \frac{C}{\sqrt{Z}} A(\tau_1) \exp \left\{ 1.64 W^{1/3} S(\tau_1) \right\}, \quad (5)$$

where $A(\tau_1)$ and $S(\tau_1)$ are shown in Fig. 1. In Eqs. (4) and (5), Δ represents the full width spread in the breakup mode frequency whose mean is $\bar{\omega}_0$, C is a normalization constant, $Z \equiv \bar{\omega}_0 z/v$, $T \equiv \bar{\omega}_0 t$, $W \equiv \epsilon(T - Z)Z^2$,



Accession For	
NBS GUMI	☒
DND TIB	☐
Unpublished	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	
A-1	

$$\tau_1 \equiv (T - Z)\Delta^{3/2}/2Z\epsilon^{1/2}, \quad (6)$$

$$S(\tau_1) = -\operatorname{Im}\left[0.485 \bar{\omega}\tau_1^{2/3} \left[1 + 1/\bar{\omega}(\bar{\omega}^2 - 1)\tau_1^2\right]\right], \quad (7)$$

$$A(\tau_1) = \left|(\bar{\omega}^2 - 1)/\tau_1 \left[2\bar{\omega} - \tau_1^2(\bar{\omega}^2 - 1)^2\right]\right|^{1/2}, \quad (8)$$

and $\bar{\omega} = \bar{\omega}(\tau_1)$ is the (meaningful) solution to the transcendental equation

$$\tau_1^2(\bar{\omega}^2 - 1)^2 \ln \left(\frac{\bar{\omega} - 1}{\bar{\omega} + 1}\right) - 1 = 0. \quad (9)$$

The following asymptotic dependences of A and S might be useful:

$S(\tau_1) \rightarrow 1$ for $\tau_1 \ll 1$ and $S(\tau_1) \rightarrow 0.57 (\ln \tau_1)^{1/2}/\tau_1^{1/3}$ for $\tau_1 \gg 1$. Over the range shown in Fig. 1, a reasonable extrapolation gives $A(\tau_1) \approx 0.00328/\tau_1^{0.828}$ for $\tau_1 \leq 0.4$ and $A(\tau_1) \approx 0.00265/\tau_1^{1.062}$ for $\tau_1 \geq 0.4$. As will be shown in Fig. 2, this analytic solution (5) is an excellent approximation to the numerically integrated solution [except at $T = Z$, where the asymptotic solution (5) diverges].

The solution (5) and Fig. 1 reveal the following. First, for a given spread Δ in the breakup mode frequency, this spread has little effect on the BBU evolution if the pulse length (T), machine length (Z), beam current and shunt impedance (ϵ) combine in such a way that $\tau_1 < 0(1)$, in which case the BBU evolves according to the classic solution of Panofsky and Bander.¹ However, if $\tau_1 \gg 0(1)$, Fig. 1 and Eq. (5) show that $|G(Z, T)|$ tends to zero like $1/T$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. This algebraic decay is a result of phase mixing due to the finite spread in the breakup mode frequency and it begins to appear for $\tau_1 \geq 0(1)$. This decay is weaker than the exponential decay

associated with a finite Q of the cavity, but is much stronger than that produced by a finite spread in the betatron frequency.

The effects of finite linewidth Δ may also be studied by a direct numerical integration of the governing equations. We pretend that the normalized transverse displacement $X(Z,T)$ of the beam is to be excited by N deflecting modes, the i -th mode has a frequency ω_{oi} , given by $\omega_{oi}/\bar{\omega}_0 \equiv \bar{\omega}_i = (1 - \Delta/2) + (i - 1)\Delta/(N - 1)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. In keeping with the above model [cf. Eq. (4)], we assume that there is an equal probability ($1/N$) for each of these deflecting modes to be excited. Thus, the evolution $X(Z,T)$ is governed by

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial T} + \frac{\partial}{\partial Z}\right)^2 X = \frac{1}{N} (A_1 + \dots + A_N), \quad (10)$$

where A_i , the normalized amplitude of the i -th mode, is excited by the transverse displacement $X(Z,T)$ according to

$$\frac{\partial^2 A_i}{\partial T^2} + \bar{\omega}_i^2 A_i = 2\epsilon X, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (11)$$

We assume initial rest condition and homogeneous boundary condition for these $N + 1$ equations (10), (11). Only one non-trivial boundary condition is imposed at $Z = 0$: $X(0,T) = 1$ for $0 < T < 0.2$ and is zero otherwise.

This form of the excitation mimics an impulse excitation and the numerical solution $X(Z,T)$ may then be compared with the Green's function Eq. (5).

[The latter solution corresponds to the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$.]

Shown in Fig. 2 is the numerically integrated solution $X(Z,T)$ according to (10), (11), with $N = 16$, for $\Delta = 0.02, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2$. We set $Z = 40$ and $\epsilon = 0.000413$ in this figure. The dotted curves in this figure represent the analytic solution (5), in which the constant C is determined

by a "one-point-fit" with the numerical solution. The high values of Δ used here were chosen to accentuate their effects for $T < 400$.

Figure 2 clearly shows the stabilizing effect due to a finite spread in the breakup mode frequency. When the spread (Δ) is small, as in the $\Delta = 0.02$ case, its effect on BBU growth is negligible for $T < 400$, and the Green's function is basically that of Panofsky and Bander.¹ As Δ is increased to 0.05, saturation of BBU growth begins to appear at $T \approx 150$, corresponding to $\tau_1 = 0(1)$. For still higher values of Δ , algebraic decay of the amplitude is observed. This result is somewhat different from Ref. 9. It is interesting to note that the analytic solution (5), which contains two approximations to (10) and (11) [cf., $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit, and then asymptotic analysis], could accurately model the numerical solutions to (10), (11) with $N = 16$. On the other hand, it is remarkable that the use of only sixteen modes in the numerical calculation could adequately model phase-mixing and accurately display the transition of the solutions when $\tau_1 \approx 0(1)$. [The mild increase in the numerical solution $X(Z, T)$ as $T \rightarrow 400$ in the $\Delta = 0.2$ case in Fig. 2 results from the constructive interference of the finite number of modes used in the numerical integration].

Note that the transition time $\tau_1 = 0(1)$ is the time when the phase mixing factor $\Delta\omega_0 t$ is roughly balanced by the BBU exponentiation factor $1.64W^{1/3}$ [cf. Eq. (5)]. This result is perhaps not too unexpected from the structure of the dispersion relation (1). A full calculation, however, was required to identify the algebraic decay associated with a finite Δ .

The effect of stagger tuning may also be investigated by integrating Eqs. (10) and (11), where we now set $N = 1$ and assign a z -dependence to $\bar{\omega}_1 = \omega_0/\bar{\omega}_0$. Shown in Fig. 3 are the numerical solutions at $Z = 40$ for three cases, each of which contains a maximum variation of $\bar{\omega}_1$ of twenty

percent over $0 \leq Z \leq 40$: (a) $\bar{\omega}_1 = 0.9 + 0.2 (Z/40)$, (b) $\bar{\omega}_1 = 1.1 - 0.2 (Z/40)$ and (c) $\bar{\omega}_1(Z)$ is a random function bounded by twenty percent variation about unity over $0 \leq Z \leq 40$.

Comparing Fig. 3 with the $\Delta = 0.2$ case of Fig. 2, we see that a non-constant $\omega_0(z)$ has a similar stabilizing influence as an intrinsic spread in the breakup mode frequency for the parameters under consideration. At the moment, we have not obtained analytic formulas similar to Eq. (5) for general $\omega_0(z)$, and no readily usable scalings emerged from the large body of numerical results which we obtained for general $\omega_0(z)$.

In summary, finite spreads in the breakup mode frequencies produce a considerably different effect on BBU from finite spreads in the betatron frequencies. Such a difference was transparent in the present mode coupling analysis. A spread in the breakup mode frequencies leads to an algebraic decay of BBU even if $Q \rightarrow \infty$. Asymptotic formulas and time scale for this phase mixing to occur are presented. They are in excellent agreement with numerical integration. Effects of stagger tuning was also examined numerically.

One of us (Y. Y. Lau) would like to acknowledge stimulating discussions with G. Craig and V. K. Neil of Livermore, W. K. H. Panofsky, R. Ruth and K. Thompson of SLAC. He was supported by the Department of Energy, Contract No. DE-AI05-86-ER13585, and by the Office of Naval Research. D. G. Colombant was supported by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency monitored by the Naval Surface Warfare Center.

References

1. W. K. H. Panofsky and M. Bander, Rev. Sci. Inst. 39, 206 (1968).
2. R. Helm and G. Loew, Linear Accelerators, Eds. P. M. Lapostolle and A. L. Septier, (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1970), Ch. B.1.4., p. 173.
3. V. K. Neil, L. S. Hall and R. K. Cooper, Part. Accel. 9, 213 (1979).
4. P. B. Wilson, AIP Proc. No. 87, Sec. 6.1 (1982).
5. G. J. Caporaso, Proc. of the LINAC '86, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, 1986, p. 17.
6. R. J. Briggs, University of California Report No. UCID-18633 (1980), unpublished.
7. D. G. Colombant and Y. Y. Lau, Appl. Phys. Lett. 53, 2602 (1988).
8. R. L. Gluckstern, R. K. Cooper and P. J. Channell, Part. Accel. 16, 125 (1985).
9. R. L. Gluckstern, F. Neri and R. K. Cooper, Part. Accel. 23, pp. 37 and 53 (1988).
10. K. B. Palmer, SLAC Publication 4542 (1988).
11. Y. Y. Lau, Naval Research Laboratory Memo Report No. 6237 (1988); also in these proceedings
12. D. Chernin and A. Mondelli, Part. Accel. (to be published).
13. K. A. Thompson and R. D. Ruth, presented at Intl. Workshop on Linear Colliders, Capri, 1988.
14. If nonlinear effects are included, the locking phenomena mentioned here may be broken, as demonstrated in Ref. 7, where we showed that BBU can be stabilized by a sufficiently strong nonlinear focusing field even in the limit $Q \rightarrow \infty$.




Fig. 1 The analytic solutions $S(\tau_1)$ and $A(\tau_1)$.




Fig. 2 Numerical solutions at various breakup mode frequency spreads (Δ).
The dotted curves represent the analytic solution.




Fig. 3 Evolution of BBU when the breakup mode frequency ω_0 is a function of Z over $0 \leq Z \leq 40$: (a) ω_0 increases linearly by twenty percent. (b) ω_0 decreases linearly by twenty percent. (c) ω_0 is a random function of Z bounded between $0.9 \bar{\omega}_0$ and $1.1 \bar{\omega}_0$.

Distribution List*

Naval Research Laboratory
4555 Overlook Avenue, S.W.

Attn: CAPT W. G. Clautice - Code 1000
Dr. M. Lampe - Code 4792 (20 copies)
Dr. T. Coffey - Code 1001
Head, Office of Management & Admin - Code 1005
Deputy Head, Office of Management & Admin - Code 1005.1
Directives Staff, Office of Management & Admin - Code 1005.6
Director of Technical Services - Code 2000
ONR - Code 0124
NRL Historian - Code 2604
Dr. W. Ellis - Code 4000
Dr. J. Boris - Code 4040
Dr. M. Picone - Code 4040
Dr. S. Ossakow - Code 4700 (26 copies)
Dr. A. Ali - Code 4700.1
Dr. V. Serlin - Code 4700.1
Dr. M. Friedman - Code 4700.1
Dr. R. Taylor - BRA (4700.1)
Dr. B. Ripin - Code 4730
Dr. S. Gold - Code 4740
Dr. R. Meger - Code 4750
Dr. A. Robson - Code 4760
Dr. G. Cooperstein - Code 4770
Dr. D. Colombant - Code 4790 (25 copies)
Dr. R. Fernsler - Code 4790
Dr. I. Haber - Code 4790
Dr. R. F. Hubbard - Code 4790
Dr. G. Joyce - Code 4790
Dr. Y. Lau - Code 4790 (25 copies)
Dr. S. P. Slinker - Code 4790
Dr. P. Sprangle - Code 4790
Dr. C. M. Hui - Code 4790
Dr. A. C. Ting - Code 4790
Dr. E. Esarey - Code 4790
Dr. B. Hafizi - Code 4790
B. Pitcher - Code 4790A
Code 4790 (20 copies)
Dr. J. Krall - SAIC (Code 4790)
Dr. T. Godlove - Code 4790
Library - Code 2628 (20 copies)
D. Wilbanks - Code 2634
Code 1220

* Every name listed on distribution gets one copy except for those where extra copies are noted.

Air Force Office of Scientific Research
Physical and Geophysical Sciences
Bolling Air Force Base
Washington, DC 20332
Attn: Major Bruce Smith
Dr. Howard Schlossberg

Air Force Weapons Laboratory
Kirtland Air Force Base
Albuquerque, NM 87117
Attn: W. Baker (AFWL/NTYP)
D. Dietz (AFWL/NTYP)
R. W. Lemke

Department of Army
Harry Diamond Laboratory
2800 Powder Mill Road
Adelphi, MD 20783
Attn: Dr. H. Brandt

U. S. Army Ballistics Research Laboratory
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005
Attn: Dr. Donald Eccleshall (DRXBR-BM)
Dr. Anand Prakash
Dr. Clinton Hollandsworth

Avco Everett Research Laboratory
2385 Revere Beach Pkwy
Everett, Massachusetts 02149
Attn: Dr. R. Patrick
Dr. Dennis Reilly

Ballistic Missile Def. Ad. Tech. Ctr.
P.O. Box 1500
Huntsville, Alabama 35807
Attn: Dr. M. Hawie (BMDSATC-1)

Chief of Naval Material
Office of Naval Technology
MAT-0712, Room 503
800 North Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217
Attn: Dr. Eli Zimet

Commander
Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command
National Center 1, Room 8E08
Washington, DC 20363-5100
Attn: RADM Robert L. Topping

Cornell University
369 Upson Hall
Ithaca, NY 14853
Attn: Dr. David Hammer
Dr. H. Fleischmann
Dr. J. Nation
Dr. R. Sudan

DASIAC - DETIR
Kaman Tempo
25600 Huntington Avenue, Suite 500
Alexandria, VA 22303
Attn: Mr. F. Wimenitz

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agen
1400 Wilson Blvd.
Arlington, VA 22209
Attn: Dr. Shen Shey
Dr. H. L. Buchanan

Defense Nuclear Agency
Washington, DC 20305
Attn: Dr. I. Koch
Dr. Muhammad Owais (RAAE)

Department of Energy
Washington, DC 20545
Attn: Dr. R. Gajewski (5 copies)
Dr. Wilmot Hess (ER20:GTN)
High Energy and Nuclear Physics
Mr. Gerald J. Peters (G-256)
Dr. David F. Sutter (ER224/GTN)

Directed Technologies, Inc.
1500 Wilson Blvd. Suite 515
Arlington, VA 22209
Attn: Mr. Ira F. Kuhn
Dr. Nancy Chesser

Fermi Natl. Accelerator Center
Batavia, IL 60510
Attn: Dr. F. T. Cole
Dr. F. E. Mills
Dr. L. C. Teng

HQ Foreign Technology Division
Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
Attn: TUTD/Dr. C. Joseph Butler

Institute for Defense Analyses
1801 N. Beauregard Street
Alexandria, VA 22311
Attn: Dr. Deborah Levin
Ms. M. Smith

Kaman Sciences
1500 Garden of the Gods Road
Colorado Springs, CO 80933
Attn: Dr. John P. Jackson

Kaman Sciences
P. O. Drawer QQ
Santa Barbara, CA 93102
Attn: Dr. W. Hobbs

La Jolla Institute
P. O. Box 1434
La Jolla, CA 92038
Attn: Dr. K. Brueckner

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
Attn: Dr. Edward P. Lee
Dr. Thomas Fessenden
Dr. K. J. Kim
Dr. A. M. Sessler
Dr. D. Keefe
Dr. Alex Chao
Dr. Lloyd Smith

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
University of California
Livermore, California 94550
Attn: Dr. Richard J. Briggs
Dr. Simon S. Yu
Dr. Frank Chambers
Dr. James W.-K. Mark, L-477
Dr. William Fawley
Dr. William Barletta
Dr. William Sharp
Dr. Daniel S. Prono
Dr. John K. Boyd
Dr. John Clark
Dr. George J. Caporaso
Dr. Donald Prosnitz
Dr. A. C. Smith
Dr. John Stewart
Dr. Y. P. Chong
Major Kenneth Dreyer
Dr. Hans Kruger
Dr. Thaddeus J. Orzechowski
Dr. Michael R. Teague
Mr. John T. Weir
Dr. Kelvin Neil
Dr. G. Craig
Dr. E. T. Scharlesmann
Dr. K. Thomassen
Ms. B. Wilcox

Dr. James E. Leiss
13013 Chestnut Oak Drive
Gaithersburg, MD 20878

Lockheed Missiles and Space Co.
3251 Hanover St.
Bldg. 205, Dept 92-20
Palo Alto, CA 94304
Attn: Dr. John Siambis

Los Alamos National Laboratory
P.O. Box 1663
Los Alamos, NM 87545
Attn: Dr. L. Thode
Dr. H. Dogliani, MS-5000
Mr. R. Carlson, MS-P940
Dr. Carl Ekdahl, MS-D410
Dr. Joseph Mack
Dr. Melvin I. Buchwald
Dr. David C. Moir
Dr. Richard Cooper
Dr. Thomas Kwan
Dr. Rulon K. Linford

Maxwell Laboratories Inc.
8888 Balboa Avenue
San Diego, CA 92123
Attn: Dr. Ken Whitham

McDonnell Douglas Research Laboratories
Dept. 223, Bldg. 33, Level 45
Box 516
St. Louis, MO 63166
Attn: Dr. Evan Rose
Dr. Carl Leader
Dr. Frank Bieniosek
Dr. John Honig

Mission Research Corporation
1720 Randolph Road, S.E.
Albuquerque, NM 87106
Attn: Dr. Brendan Godfrey
Dr. Thomas Hughes
Dr. Lawrence Wright
Dr. Kenneth Struve
Dr. Michael Mostrom
Dr. Dale Welch

Mission Research Corporation
P. O. Drawer 719
Santa Barbara, California 93102
Attn: Dr. C. Longmire
Dr. N. Carron

National Bureau of Standards
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20760
Attn: Dr. Mark Wilson
Dr. Sam Penner

Naval Postgraduate School
Physics Department (Code 61)
Monterey, CA 93940
Attn: Prof. John R. Neighbours
Prof. Fred Buskirk
Prof. Kai Woehler
Prof. Xavier Maruyama

Naval Surface Warfare Center
White Oak Laboratory
Code R-41
Silver Spring, Maryland 20903-5000
Attn: Dr. B. Hui (5 copies)
Mr. W. M. Hinckley
Dr. M. H. Cha
Dr. H. S. Uhm
Dr. R. Fiorito
Dr. R. Stark
Dr. H. C. Chen
Dr. D. Rule
Dr. Matt Brown
Mrs. Carolyn Fisher (G42)
Dr. Eugene E. Nolting (H23)

Office of Naval Research
800 North Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217
Attn: Dr. C. W. Roberson (5 copies)
Dr. H. Pilloff
Dr. M. Schlesinger
Dr. B. Robinson

Office of Naval Research (2 copies)
Department of the Navy
Code 01231C
Arlington, VA 22217

Office of Under Secretary of Defense
Research and Engineering
Room 3E1034
The Pentagon
Washington, DC 20301
Attn: Dr. John MacCallum

Physics International, Inc.
2700 Merced Street
San Leandro, CA. 94577
Attn: Dr. E. Goldman
Dr. James Benford
Dr. George B. Frazier
Mr. Ralph Genuario

Princeton University
Plasma Physics Laboratory
Princeton, NJ 08540
Attn: Dr. Francis Perkins, Jr.

Pulse Sciences, Inc.
600 McCormack Street
San Leandro, CA 94577
Attn: Dr. Sidney Putnam

Pulse Sciences, Inc.
2001 Wilshire Boulevard
Suite 600
Santa Monica, CA 90403
Attn: Dr. John R. Bayless
Dr. R. Adler

The Rand Corporation
2100 M Street, NW
Washington, DC 20037
Attn: Dr. Nikita Wells
Mr. Simon Kassel

Sandia National Laboratory
Albuquerque, NM 87115
Attn: Dr. David Hasti/1272
Dr. Collins Clark
Dr. John Freeman/1241
Dr. Charles Frost
Dr. George Kamin/1274
Dr. Gordon T. Leifeste
Dr. Gerald N. Hays
Dr. James Chang
Dr. Michael G. Mazarakis/1272
Dr. John Wagner/1241
Dr. Ron Lipinski/1274
Dr. James Poukey
Dr. Milton J. Clauser/1261
Dr. Kenneth R. Prestwich/1240
Dr. Kevin O'Brien
Dr. Isaac R. Shokair
Dr. J. Pace VanDevender/1200

Science Applications Intl. Corp.
5150 El Camino Road
Los Altos, CA 94022
Attn: Dr. R. R. Johnston
Dr. Leon Feinstein
Dr. Douglas Keeley
Dr. E. Roland Parkinson
Dr. Charles Yee

Science Applications Intl. Corp.
1710 Goodridge Drive
McLean, VA 22102

Attn: Mr. W. Chadsey
Dr. A Drobot
Dr. K. Papadopoulos
Dr. William W. Rienstra
Dr. Alan J. Toepfer
Dr. Alfred Mondelli
Dr. D. Chernin
Dr. K. Tsang

Science Research Laboratory, Inc.
1600 Wilson Boulevard
Suite 1200

Arlington, VA 22209
Attn: Dr. Joseph Mangano
Dr. Daniel Birx
Dr. R. Shefer
Dr. R. Klinkowstein

Commander
Space & Naval Warfare Systems Command
PMW-145

Washington, DC 20363-5100
Attn: CAPT J. D. Fontana
LT Fritchie

SRI International
PSO-15
Molecular Physics Laboratory
333 Ravenswood Avenue
Menlo Park, CA 94025
Attn: Dr. Donald Eckstrom
Dr. Kenneth R. Stalder

Strategic Defense Initiative Org.
1717 H Street, N. W.
Washington, DC 20009
Attn: Lt Col R. L. Gullickson
Dr. D. Duston

Titan/Spectron, Inc.
P. O. Box 4399
Albuquerque, NM 87196
Attn: Dr. R. Bruce Miller
Dr. John Smith

Titan Systems, Inc.
2685 Marine Way
Suite 1408
Mountain View, CA 94043
Attn: Dr. Kenneth W. Billman

Titan Systems, Inc.
9191 Towne Centre Dr.-Suite 500
San Diego, CA 92122
Attn: Dr. R. M. Dowe

University of California
Physics Department
Irvine, CA 92664
Attn: Dr. Gregory Benford
Dr. Norman Rostoker

University of California
San Diego, CA 92110
Attn: Dr. Marshall N. Rosenbluth
Dr. N. M. Kroll

University of Maryland
College Park, MD 20742
Attn: Dr. Y. C. Lee
Dr. W. Destler
Dr. C. Striffler
Dr. R. L. Gluckstern
Dr. V. L. Granatstein
Prof. E. Ott
Dr. Dennis Papadopoulos
Dr. M. Reiser

University of Michigan
Dept. of Nuclear Engineering
Ann Arbor, MI 48109
Attn: Prof. Terry Kammash
Prof. R. Gilgenbach
Prof. Ward Getty

Director of Research
U.S. Naval Academy
Annapolis, MD 21402 (2 copies)

Mass. Institute of Technology
Cambridge, MA 02139
Attn: Prof. B. Coppi
Dr. Bruce Danly
Dr. R. Davidson
Dr. G. L. Johnston
Dr. R. Temkin
Dr. J. Wurtele
Dr. R. R. Parker

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
P. O. Box 4349
Stanford, CA 94305
Attn: Dr. William Herrmannsfeldt
Dr. Philip Morton
Dr. W. K. H. Panofsky
Dr. Richard H. Pantell
Dr. H. Schwettmann
Dr. Perry Wilson
Dr. M. V. Chodorow
Dr. Ron Ruth
Dr. K. Thompson
Dr. Roger Miller
Dr. Terry Lee
Dr. R. Helm
Dr. G. Loew
Dr. M. Allen

Dr. V. S. Chan
GA Technologies
P. O. Box 85608
San Diego, CA 92138

Dr. William Colson
Berkeley Research Asso.
P. O. Box 241
Berkeley, CA 94701

Dr. John Dawson
Physics Dept.
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Dr. David A. G. Deacon
Deacon Research
Suite 203
900 Welch Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304

Deputy Under Secretary of
Defense for R&AT
Room 3E114, The Pentagon
Washington, DC 20301

Dr. Gunter Dohler
Northrop Corporation
Defense Systems Division
600 Hicks Road
Rolling Meadows, IL 60008

Dr. Luis R. Elias
Quantum Institute
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Dr. Jack Slater
Spectra Technology
2755 Northup Way
Bellevue, WA 98004

Dr. T. Tajima
IFS
Univ. of Texas
Austin, TX 78712

Dr. R. Harvey
Hughes Research Laboratory
3011 Malibu Canyon Road
Malibu, CA 90265

Dr. Stanley Humphries, Jr.
Dept. Chemical & Nuclear Engr.
University of New Mexico
Albuquerque, NM 87131

Dr. Howard Jory
Varian Associates, Bldg. 1
611 Hansen Way
Palo Alto, CA 94303

Prof. Donald Kerst
3291 Chamberlin Hall
University of Wisconsin
Madison, WI 53706

Dr. Willis Lamb
Optical Sciences Center
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

Prof. J. M. J. Madey
117 Physics Bldg.
Duke University
Durham, NC 27706

Prof. George Morales
Dept. of Physics
U.C.L.A.
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Dr. Robert B. Palmer
Brookhaven National Labs
Associated Universities, Inc.
Upton, L.I., NY 11973

Dr. Claudio Pellegrini
Brookhaven National Laboratory
Associated Universities, Inc.
Upton, L.I., NY 11973

Prof. S. P. Schlesinger
Dept. of Elec. Engineering
Columbia University
New York, NY 10027

Dr. Marlan O. Scully
Dept. of Physics & Astronomy
Univ. of New Mexico
800 Yale Blvd. NE
Albuquerque, NM 87131

Under Secretary of Defense (R&E)
Office of the Secretary of Defense
Rm. 3E1006, The Pentagon
Washington, DC 20301