

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

AD-A208 794

1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS

3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT

Approved for public release; Distribution unlimited.

4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)

GL-TR-89-0134

5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)

6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION

Geophysics Laboratory

6b. OFFICE SYMBOL
(If applicable)

PHP

7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION

6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)

Hanscom AFB
Massachusetts 01731-5000

7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)

8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING
ORGANIZATION8b. OFFICE SYMBOL
(If applicable)

9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)

10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS

PROGRAM
ELEMENT NO.

62101F

PROJECT
NO.

7601

TASK
NO.

22

WORK UNIT
ACCESSION NO.

01

11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)

Precipitating Polar Cap Particles During Northward IMF

12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)

H-C. Yeh,* N.C. Heinemann,* M.S. Gussenhoven, D.A. Hardy, R.H. Redus

13a. TYPE OF REPORT

Reprint

13b. TIME COVERED

FROM TO

14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)

1989 May 22

15. PAGE COUNT

10

16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION *Physics Department, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA 02167
Reprinted from Physics of Space Plasmas (1987) SPI Conference Proceedings and Reprint Series, Vol. 7

17. COSATI CODES

FIELD

GROUP

SUB-GROUP

18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)

Polar cap arcs, Boundary Plasma; Northward Bz; Electron
Precipitation, Ion precipitation; DMSP

19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)

DTIC
ELECTE
JUN 06 1989

We have studied the global features of high-latitude precipitating particles during a fifteen hour period of stably northward, toward sector interplanetary magnetic field (IMF). Thirty passes of DMSP precipitating electron and ion measurements enabled us to examine the average polar cap particle intensity, morphology and characteristic spectra. Large scale regions of ion enhancement, with energy spectra similar to those for boundary plasma sheet ions, are associated with polar cap arcs. The high latitude precipitation patterns for each hemisphere are nearly mirror images, thereby showing an IMF sector (or By) dependence.

20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT

☐ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☒ SAME AS RPT. ☐ DTIC USERS

21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

Unclassified

22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL

M.S. Gussenhoven

22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)

(617) 377-3212

22c. OFFICE SYMBOL

PHP

GL-TR-89-0134

SPI CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS AND REPRINT SERIES

Series Editor: T. S. Chang

Number 7

Physics of Space Plasmas (1987)


*Proceedings of the
1987 Cambridge Workshop in Geoplasma Physics
Ionosphere-Magnetosphere-Solar Wind Coupling Processes*

EDITORS

T. Chang, G. B. Crew and J. R. Jasperse



Scientific Publishers, Inc.



Accession For	
NTIS	CRA&I ☒
DTIC	TAB ☐
Unannounced ☐	
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A1	20

89 6 05 069

This volume of
Proceedings of the International
Physics which was held

During the past
understanding of the
terrestrial ionosphere and
accounts of the subject
it becomes extremely
of related fields to be
are being investigated
Cambridge Workshops
the workshops themselves
specialty lectures give
dealing with the part
Since the themes of the
in geoplasma physics,
both tutorial materials
of these proceedings
the research topic consi
tered at the frontier of

The theme of the
plasma processes that oc
cur in the ionosphere. Professor
on the macroscopic a
Lysak of the Universit
copic processes that a
processes, particularly
of lectures are repro
introduction not only
of geoplasma physics
ing tutorial articles, th
invited lectures on ma
R.L. Kaufmann, VIKI
netic reconnection by
wind theory by R.W.
pheric responses by E
D.J. Teareault, and gl
followed by the writer
ing current research ac

© Copyright 1988 by Scientific Publishers, Inc.
Box 6, MIT Branch Post Office
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

*All rights reserved. No portion of this book may
be reproduced in any form by any means without
permission in writing from the publishers.*

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 82-062441

Printed in the United States of America

PRECIPITATING POLAR CAP PARTICLES DURING NORTHWARD IMF

H.-C. Yeh, N.C. Heinemann
Physics Department, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA 02167
M.S. Gussenhoven, D.A. Hardy and R.R. Redus
Air Force Geophysics Laboratory, Hanscom AFB MA 01731

ABSTRACT

We have studied the global features of high-latitude precipitating particles during a fifteen hour period of stably northward, toward sector interplanetary magnetic field (IMF). Thirty passes of DMSP precipitating electron and ion measurements enabled us to examine the average polar cap particle intensity, morphology and characteristic spectra. Large scale regions of ion enhancement, with energy spectra similar to those for boundary plasma sheet ions, are associated with polar cap arcs. The high latitude precipitation patterns for each hemisphere are nearly mirror images, thereby showing an IMF sector (or B_y) dependence.

I. INTRODUCTION

When the IMF has a strongly northward component ($+B_z$), enhanced particle precipitation into the polar cap occurs and characteristic precipitation patterns can be recognized. It is important to our understanding of polar cap dynamics to relate the source region and global features of the precipitating particles to aspects of the solar wind-magnetosphere-ionosphere coupling process. In this report we describe the DMSP observed particle characteristics during a period of strongly positive IMF B_z and negative IMF B_y . Asymmetrical features between the polar hemispheres that indicate an IMF effect are examined.

The DMSP F6 and F7 satellites measure both precipitating electrons and ions in the energy range 30 eV to 30 keV. Polar cap precipitating electrons in the energy range of 50 eV to 20 keV for similar IMF conditions have been discussed by Hardy *et al.* [1]. Here we focus on the precipitating ion structures and the characteristics of simultaneously measured electrons over the larger energy range.

We describe the environmental conditions under which observations were made in Section II. In section III we identify large-scale features from the integral number flux, integral energy flux and average spectral characteristics of the precipitating ions and electrons for two consecutive passes over the south pole and the north pole. In section IV we make northern-southern hemisphere comparisons of the large-scale features in the average precipitation patterns for various magnetic local time sectors.

II. GEOMAGNETIC, IMF, AND SOLAR WIND CONDITIONS

Prevailing conditions from 19 UT, 1 November to 10 UT, 2 November 1984 are as follows: For the 15 hours of interest (with a data gap at 03-05 UT) each IMF component had a fixed polarity (+Bz, -By, +Bx). The magnitude of Bz is persistently large (>10 nT). The ratio of Bz to By, except for the first hour, varies from 1.5 to 5. The solar wind density is <15 ions/cm³ and temperature is $>10^5$ °K. The solar wind speed ranges from 400 to 500 km/s [2]. The Kp index is stable at 2- to 3-. The Dst index shows that the period of interest occurs during the late recovery phase of a storm.

We assume that the similar IMF conditions which are seen before and after the data gap from 03 to 05 UT on 2 November, persist during the gap. This is reasonable since Kp is stable, Dst is stable and 3 consecutive F6 images (not shown) from before, during and after the gap are similar.

III. LARGE SCALE FEATURES OF PRECIPITATING PARTICLES

The observed particle precipitation is used to determine large scale features and patterns, particularly, 1) the equatorward boundaries of auroral electrons and ions; 2) the boundaries between diffuse and discrete auroras; and 3) the boundaries between discrete auroral electron precipitation and, low-intensity electron precipitation at the highest latitudes. The boundaries are determined from the profiles of the integral number flux (JTOT in electron/cm²-s-sr) and energy flux (JETOT in keV/cm²-s-sr) of precipitating electrons.

We illustrate the large-scale features found with the electron and ion data from two consecutive south and north polar passes of the F6 satellite on 2 November 1984 between 2:59 and 4:06 hours UT. Fig. 1 is a plot of electron (top) and ion (bottom) JTOT and JETOT profiles for these passes. From left to right the F6 satellite travelled over the south pole (SP) from dusk to dawn and continued over the north pole (NP) from dawn to dusk. Arrow bars shown between electron and ion panels in Fig. 1 indicate the approximate locations of various boundaries that separate the electron JTOT pattern into 5 regions: (A) the evening diffuse aurora characterized by a uniform flux level of $\sim 6 \times 10^7$ (electron/cm²-s-sr); (B) the evening discrete aurora with an average (though non-uniform) flux level of $\sim 10^8$; (C) the polar cap characterized by a low flux level of $< 10^7$; (D) the morning discrete aurora with an average flux level of $\sim 10^8$; and (E) the morning diffuse aurora with a constant flux level of $\sim 1.5 \times 10^8$. These boundaries also serve to characterize regions in the electron-JETOT and the ion-JTOT and -JETOT patterns.

Comparing the north pole electron JETOT pattern to the north pole DMSP image, intense polar cap arcs occur on the morning side (Region D), which coincide with the second

intensific
patterns a
associate
precipitat
cap arcs o
evening si
and ion fl
considerab
electrons)
enhancemen
hemisphere
Cussenhov
the strong
section of
of hemisph

Figur
and e
the
(cm²-
as fe
corre
and
altit
char

We a
latitude

intensification of JTOT in the electron and ion precipitation patterns at the high latitude portion of Region D. We also associate the second high latitude block of electron and ion precipitation in the south pole with polar cap arcs. The polar cap arcs of the south pole, however, are most intense on the evening side (Region B). The intensity level of both electron and ion fluxes in the polar cap (Region C) in the north pole is considerably lower (about an order of magnitude for the electrons) than it is in the south pole. The preferred enhancement in the south polar cap for -By is the same as the hemisphere-selective enhancement of the polar rain flux found by Gussenhoven *et al.* [3]. Additionally, we note in Fig. 1 that the strongest ion precipitation is located in the equatorward section of the morning discrete aurora (Region D), independent of hemisphere.




Figure 1. Examples of the integral number flux (JTOT) and energy flux (JETOT) of precipitating particles in the south pole (SP) and north pole (NP). JTOT, in $(\text{cm}^2\text{-s-sr})^{-1}$, and JETOT, in $(\text{keV}/\text{cm}^2\text{-s-sr})$ are plotted as functions of universal time (UT) in (hours:minutes), corrected geomagnetic latitude (MLAT), longitude (MLON) and magnetic local time (MLT) all projected to an altitude of 110 km. Arrows mark large-scale regions of characteristic precipitation.

We also examine the spectral characteristics in the high latitude precipitation regions which show north-south pole

asymmetry. Sample spectra from the north pole pass (right panel) in Fig. 1 are shown in Fig. 2 where we plot the electron (left) and ion (right) distribution function ($\text{cm}^{-6} \text{sec}^3$) as a function of energy (eV). The solid lines represent spectra from the poleward portion of the polar cap arc region (Region D). The dashed lines represent spectra from the central Region C. Clearly the spectra in the two regions differ.

The electron energy spectrum associated with polar cap arc can be described approximately as an accelerated-Maxwellian distribution with a parallel electrostatic potential drop ($\phi_{\parallel}$) of ~ 0.5 kV. The ion energy spectrum from the polar cap arc region can be described as a drifting-Maxwellian distribution with bulk energy (E_b) of ~ 2 keV.

The sample polar cap arc region spectra are typical of spectra measured throughout the poleward portion of Region D (dawn side) in the north pole, and the poleward portion of Region B (dusk side) in the south pole. The $\phi_{\parallel}$ of electron spectrum across the polar cap arc region varies from 0.3 to 1 kV, while the E_b of ion spectrum ranges from 0.4 to 2 keV. The ion spectra in the polar cap arc regions resemble the earthward streaming ion spectra observed in the distant plasma sheet boundary layer [4]. The small electron acceleration ($\phi_{\parallel}$) and the ion bulk motion (E_b) seen in the distribution functions would suggest plasma sheet boundary layer as the possible source region of the precipitating particles.




Figure 2. Sample energy spectra at the highest latitudes in the northern hemisphere during stable, northward IMF Bz.

IV. NORTH

Asymmet electrons wh sector have sector depend (Fig. 3) the the northern We assume th reversal of this depende measurements southern hem




Figure 3. particle versus ML. 2 November separated is appare

IV. NORTHERN HEMISPHERE-SOUTHERN HEMISPHERE COMPARISONS

Asymmetrical features of the polar region precipitating electrons which strongly correlate with the polarity of the IMF sector have previously been observed [5, 6]. To examine the IMF sector dependence of the high latitude precipitation we compare (Fig. 3) the average pattern of particle energy flux (JETOT) over the northern hemisphere with that over the southern hemisphere. We assume that the hemisphere-dependence mainly results from the reversal of the IMF By control and that the seasonal effect on this dependence is insignificant. Combining the P6 and P7 measurements, there are 16 northern hemisphere passes and 14 southern hemisphere passes.




Figure 3. Global distributions of the precipitating particle energy flux (JETOT, in $\text{keV}/\text{cm}^2\text{-s-sr}$) in MLAT versus MLT coordinates from 19 UT 1 November to 09 UT 2 November 1984. The global patterns of JETOT are separated by pole. Hemisphere-dependent asymmetry is apparent in the JETOT patterns for $\text{MLAT} > 75^\circ$.

Fig. 3 is a gray-scale plot of the levels of JETOT in MLAT versus MLT coordinates for each pole (south at top; north at bottom) and for each particle species (ions on the left; electrons on the right). The 2.5° by 2.5° bin size accommodates the small data set and reduces fine scale effects possibly resulting from the slight variations of the IMF orientation, but unfortunately also reduces the resolution of discrete particle features and underestimates the magnitude of the peak flux levels.

The equatorward boundaries of the precipitating particles shown in Fig. 3 all fit fairly well a circle of 23° radius with the center offset 3° toward midnight. There is no large difference between the electron and ion equatorward boundaries. In the diffuse aurora, just poleward of the equatorward boundary, the northern hemisphere and southern hemisphere precipitation is very similar. However, the electron energy flux is about a factor of 20 higher than the ion energy flux.

Poleward of the diffuse aurora at $>70^\circ$ MLAT two hemisphere-selective features are clearly demonstrated in Fig. 3. The first is the morphology of the low intensity (polar cap) precipitation region best seen in the ion plots. A U-shaped region which has a larger latitudinal extent on the night side than on the dayside is seen in both hemispheres. In the north pole, the low-intensity ion precipitation region is approximately symmetrical with respect to the noon-midnight MLT meridian and occurs mostly on the night side. The central location of the southern low-intensity ion precipitation region, however, shifts about 5° off the noon-midnight MLT meridian toward dawn and extends further into the dayside.

The second hemisphere-dependent feature is most readily seen in the electron plots (right panels of Fig. 3). Intense electron energy flux ($>10^8$ keV/cm²-s-sr) extends to latitudes as high as $>85^\circ$ in both hemispheres and is indicative of persistent arc structures. The energy flux is generally stronger in the southern hemisphere than in the northern hemisphere.

In the northern hemisphere, the location of the intense electron energy flux is in the dawn to prenoon MLT sector. The strongest, high latitude energy flux is between 80° to 85° , and ~ 05 to 10 MLT. Though somewhat smeared by the large bin size, this structure is connected to the post-midnight aurora, because the 11 DMSP images for the same time interval show continuous arc structures emerging from the early MLT hours. Also the brightest arc structure is skewed toward the dawn hemisphere, and little arc structure is seen in the dusk hemisphere.

The intense energy flux pattern is very different in the southern hemisphere. The strongest high latitude electron energy flux is now in the dusk to midnight sector and essentially reaches the pole ($\sim 90^\circ$). A second intense energy flux population is located in the 10-14 MLT sector between 75° and

85° MLAT, a pronounced c flux. Unfor southern hem polar cap ar discrete aur Heelis ionospheric northern hem They found a displaced to IMF -By. The clockwise ci polar convec With the lat found for -B convection r suggests tha the same dep convection p

Kramina satellites d and a stably coherent pre range. Two found at hig known to hav follows: Fi shows the sa for -By. In symmetricall confined mos hemisphere, dayside exte in the south

Second, associated w dawn half of southern hem electrons an generally st northern hem of field-ali electrons and suggest the nightside pl

85° MLAT, a typical location of the dayside cusp. There is no pronounced connection between the two regions of intense energy flux. Unfortunately, we do not have DMSP images from the southern hemisphere (because it is sunlit) to see whether the polar cap arcs in the dusk sector connect to the premidnight discrete aurora.

Heelis et al. [7] have examined the high-latitude ionospheric convection patterns (mostly on the dayside) in the northern hemisphere during periods of prolonged northward IMF. They found a two-cell convection pattern with the dominant cell displaced toward dusk and with an anticlockwise circulation for IMF -By. The dominant cell is displaced towards dawn and has clockwise circulation for +By; we assume that this would be the polar convection pattern in the southern hemisphere for -By. With the latter assumption, the intense polar cap precipitation found for -By essentially occurs at the highest latitude sunward convection regions in both hemispheres. This association suggests that the location of the polar cap arcs would exhibit the same dependence on IMF By as found by Heelis et al. of the convection pattern.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Examination of particle measurements from two DMSP satellites during a prolonged period of strongly northward (+Bz) and a stably toward sector (+Bx, -By) of the IMF has shown that coherent precipitation patterns exist over wide MLAT-MLT spatial range. Two hemisphere-dependent, large-scale structures are found at high latitudes, which are consistent with the features known to have an IMF By dependence. These structures are as follows: First, the polar cap low-energy population (Region C) shows the same hemispheric asymmetry as found for the polar rain for -By. In the northern hemisphere, Region C is distributed symmetrically with respect to the noon midnight meridian and confined mostly to the nightside. Region C in the southern hemisphere, however, is displaced toward dawn and has a larger dayside extension. The intensity level of energy flux is higher in the south pole.

Second, the strongest high latitude particle precipitation associated with polar cap arcs is seen preferentially in the dawn half of the northern hemisphere and in the dusk half of the southern hemisphere. Again, the average energy fluxes of electrons and ions associated with the polar cap arcs are generally stronger in the southern hemisphere than in the northern hemisphere. Furthermore, the consistent features found of field-aligned acceleration associated with precipitating electrons and bulk motion associated with precipitating ions suggest the connection of the polar cap arc region with the nightside plasma sheet boundary layer.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to R.L. Carovillano for providing valuable comments on this manuscript. We thank D. Madden for programming assistance, particularly the development of software for graphical displays, and Mira Wieraszko for her assistance in preparing the manuscript. The work of H.-C. Yeh and N.C. Heinemann was supported by the Air Force Geophysics Laboratory under contract AF19628-86-C-0029.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hardy, D.A., W.J. Burke, and M.S. Gussenhoven, *J. Geophys. Res.* 87, 2413 (1982).
- [2] Couzens, D.A. and J.H. King, "Interplanetary medium data book-Supplement 3A, 1977-1985," National Space Science Data Center/World Data Center A for Rockets and Satellites Report, NSSDC/WDC-A-R&S 86-04a, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD (1986).
- [3] Gussenhoven, M.S., D.A. Hardy, N. Heinemann, and R.K. Burkehardt, *J. Geophys. Res.* 89, 9785 (1984).
- [4] Eastman, T.E., L.A. Frank, W.K. Peterson and W. Lennartsson, *J. Geophys. Res.* 89, 1553 (1984).
- [5] Yeager, D.M. and L.A. Frank, *J. Geophys. Res.* 81, 3966 (1976).
- [6] Foster, J.C., J.M. Holt, R.G. Musgrove and D.S. Evans, in *Solar Wind-Magnetosphere Coupling*, Y. Kamide and J.A. Slavin, eds. (Terra Scientific Publishing Company, Tokyo, 1986), p.477.
- [7] Heelis, R.A., P.H. Reiff, J.D. Winningham and W.B. Hanson, *J. Geophys. Res.* 91, 5817 (1986).