

SEC

Entered

1. AD-A211 555		2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (include subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED	
Ada Compiler Validation Summary Report: Verdin Corporation, VADS VAX VMS -> Intel, 80386, Version 5.7, MicroVAX 3600 (Host) to iSBC 386/20P (Target), 890610W1.100		10 June 1989 to 10 June 1990	
7. AUTHOR		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)	
Wright-Patterson AFB Dayton, OH, USA			
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
Wright-Patterson AFB Dayton, OH, USA			
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE	
Ada Joint Program Office United States Department of Defense Washington, DC 20301-3081			
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		13. NUMBER OF PAGES	
Wright-Patterson AFB Dayton, OH, USA			
15. SECURITY CLASS (of this report)		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
UNCLASSIFIED		N/A	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)			
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from report)		DTIC	
UNCLASSIFIED			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
19. KEYWORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)			
Ada Programming language, Ada Compiler Validation Summary Report, Ada Compiler Validation Capability, ACVC, Validation Testing, Ada Validation Office, AVO, Ada Validation Facility, AVF, ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A, Ada Joint Program Office, AJPO			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)			
Verdin Corporation, VADS VAX VMS -> Intel 80386, Version 5.7, Wright-Patterson AFB, MicroVAX 3600 under VMS, 5.0 (Host) to iSBC 386/20P under Bare Board (Target), ACVC 1.10.			

89

8

8

AVF Control Number: AVF-VSR-277.0789
89-03-24-VRX

Ada COMPILER
VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT:
Certificate Number: 890610W1.10090
Verdix Corporation
VADS VAX VMS -> Intel 80386, Version 5.7
MicroVAX 3600 Host and iSBC 386/20P Target

Completion of On-Site Testing:
10 June 89

Prepared By:
Ada Validation Facility
ASD/SCEL
Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-6503

Prepared For:
Ada Joint Program Office
United States Department of Defense
Washington DC 20301-3081

Ada Compiler Validation Summary Report:

Compiler Name: VADS VAX VMS -> Intel 80386, Version 5.7

Certificate Number: 890610W1.10090

Host: MicroVAX 3600 under
VMS, 5.0

Target: iSBC 386/20P under
Bare Board

Testing Completed 10 June 89 Using ACVC 1.10

This report has been reviewed and is approved.

Steve P. Wilson

Ada Validation Facility
Steve P. Wilson
Technical Director
ASD/SCEL
Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-6503

J. F. Kramer

Ada Validation Organization
Dr. John F. Kramer
Institute for Defense Analyses
Alexandria VA 22311

for Edward M. Hillhardt
Deputy Director

Ada Joint Program Office
Dr. John Solomond
Director
Department of Defense
Washington DC 20301

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
Fy.	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Special
A-1	



TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	PURPOSE OF THIS VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT	1-2
1.2	USE OF THIS VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT	1-2
1.3	REFERENCES.	1-3
1.4	DEFINITION OF TERMS	1-3
1.5	ACVC TEST CLASSES	1-4
CHAPTER 2	CONFIGURATION INFORMATION	
2.1	CONFIGURATION TESTED.	2-1
2.2	IMPLEMENTATION CHARACTERISTICS.	2-2
CHAPTER 3	TEST INFORMATION	
3.1	TEST RESULTS.	3-1
3.2	SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS BY CLASS.	3-1
3.3	SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS BY CHAPTER.	3-2
3.4	WITHDRAWN TESTS	3-2
3.5	INAPPLICABLE TESTS.	3-2
3.6	TEST, PROCESSING, AND EVALUATION MODIFICATIONS.	3-5
3.7	ADDITIONAL TESTING INFORMATION.	3-6
3.7.1	Prevalidation	3-6
3.7.2	Test Method	3-6
3.7.3	Test Site	3-7
APPENDIX A	DECLARATION OF CONFORMANCE	
APPENDIX B	APPENDIX F OF THE Ada STANDARD	
APPENDIX C	TEST PARAMETERS	
APPENDIX D	WITHDRAWN TESTS	

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This Validation Summary Report (VSR) describes the extent to which a specific Ada compiler conforms to the Ada Standard, ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A. This report explains all technical terms used within it and thoroughly reports the results of testing this compiler using the Ada Compiler Validation Capability (ACVC). An Ada compiler must be implemented according to the Ada Standard, and any implementation-dependent features must conform to the requirements of the Ada Standard. The Ada Standard must be implemented in its entirety, and nothing can be implemented that is not in the Standard.

Even though all validated Ada compilers conform to the Ada Standard, it must be understood that some differences do exist between implementations. The Ada Standard permits some implementation dependencies--for example, the maximum length of identifiers or the maximum values of integer types. Other differences between compilers result from the characteristics of particular operating systems, hardware, or implementation strategies. All the dependencies observed during the process of testing this compiler are given in this report.

The information in this report is derived from the test results produced during validation testing. The validation process includes submitting a suite of standardized tests, the ACVC, as inputs to an Ada compiler and evaluating the results. The purpose of validating is to ensure conformity of the compiler to the Ada Standard by testing that the compiler properly implements legal language constructs and that it identifies and rejects illegal language constructs. The testing also identifies behavior that is implementation-dependent but is permitted by the Ada Standard. Six classes of tests are used. These tests are designed to perform checks at compile time, at link time, and during execution.

INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE OF THIS VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT

This VSR documents the results of the validation testing performed on an Ada compiler. Testing was carried out for the following purposes:

- . To attempt to identify any language constructs supported by the compiler that do not conform to the Ada Standard
- . To attempt to identify any language constructs not supported by the compiler but required by the Ada Standard
- . To determine that the implementation-dependent behavior is allowed by the Ada Standard

Testing of this compiler was conducted by SofTech, Inc. under the direction of the AVF according to procedures established by the Ada Joint Program Office and administered by the Ada Validation Organization (AVO). On-site testing was completed 10 June 89 at Aloha OR.

1.2 USE OF THIS VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT

Consistent with the national laws of the originating country, the AVO may make full and free public disclosure of this report. In the United States, this is provided in accordance with the "Freedom of Information Act" (5 U.S.C.#552). The results of this validation apply only to the computers, operating systems, and compiler versions identified in this report.

The organizations represented on the signature page of this report do not represent or warrant that all statements set forth in this report are accurate and complete, or that the subject compiler has no nonconformities to the Ada Standard other than those presented. Copies of this report are available to the public from:

Ada Information Clearinghouse
Ada Joint Program Office
OUSDRE
The Pentagon, Rm 3D-139 (Fern Street)
Washington DC 20301-3081

or from:

Ada Validation Facility
ASD/SCEL
Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-6503

Questions regarding this report or the validation test results should be directed to the AVF listed above or to:

Ada Validation Organization
Institute for Defense Analyses
1801 North Beauregard Street
Alexandria VA 22311

1.3 REFERENCES

1. Reference Manual for the Ada Programming Language, ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A, February 1983 and ISO 8652-1987.
2. Ada Compiler Validation Procedures and Guidelines, Ada Joint Program Office, 1 January 1987.
3. Ada Compiler Validation Capability Implementers' Guide, SofTech, Inc., December 1986.
4. Ada Compiler Validation Capability User's Guide, December 1986.

1.4 DEFINITION OF TERMS

ACVC	The Ada Compiler Validation Capability. The set of Ada programs that tests the conformity of an Ada compiler to the Ada programming language.
Ada Commentary	An Ada Commentary contains all information relevant to the point addressed by a comment on the Ada Standard. These comments are given a unique identification number having the form AI-ddddd.
Ada Standard	ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A, February 1983 and ISO 8652-1987.
Applicant	The agency requesting validation.
AVF	The Ada Validation Facility. The AVF is responsible for conducting compiler validations according to procedures contained in the <u>Ada Compiler Validation Procedures and Guidelines</u> .
AVO	The Ada Validation Organization. The AVO has oversight authority over all AVF practices for the purpose of maintaining a uniform process for validation of Ada compilers. The AVO provides administrative and technical support for Ada validations to ensure consistent practices.
Compiler	A processor for the Ada language. In the context of this report, a compiler is any language processor, including

INTRODUCTION

cross-compilers, translators, and interpreters.

Failed test	An ACVC test for which the compiler generates a result that demonstrates nonconformity to the Ada Standard.
Host	The computer on which the compiler resides.
Inapplicable test	An ACVC test that uses features of the language that a compiler is not required to support or may legitimately support in a way other than the one expected by the test.
Passed test	An ACVC test for which a compiler generates the expected result.
Target	The computer for which a compiler generates code.
Test	A program that checks a compiler's conformity regarding a particular feature or a combination of features to the Ada Standard. In the context of this report, the term is used to designate a single test, which may comprise one or more files.
Withdrawn test	An ACVC test found to be incorrect and not used to check conformity to the Ada Standard. A test may be incorrect because it has an invalid test objective, fails to meet its test objective, or contains illegal or erroneous use of the language.

1.5 ACVC TEST CLASSES

Conformity to the Ada Standard is measured using the ACVC. The ACVC contains both legal and illegal Ada programs structured into six test classes: A, B, C, D, E, and L. The first letter of a test name identifies the class to which it belongs. Class A, C, D, and E tests are executable, and special program units are used to report their results during execution. Class B tests are expected to produce compilation errors. Class L tests are expected to produce compilation or link errors because of the way in which a program library is used at link time.

Class A tests ensure the successful compilation of legal Ada programs with certain language constructs which cannot be verified at compile time. There are no explicit program components in a Class A test to check semantics. For example, a Class A test checks that reserved words of another language (other than those already reserved in the Ada language) are not treated as reserved words by an Ada compiler. A Class A test is passed if no errors are detected at compile time and the program executes to produce a PASSED message.

Class B tests check that a compiler detects illegal language usage. Class B tests are not executable. Each test in this class is compiled and the resulting compilation listing is examined to verify that every syntax or semantic error in the test is detected. A Class B test is passed if every

illegal construct that it contains is detected by the compiler.

Class C tests check the run time system to ensure that legal Ada programs can be correctly compiled and executed. Each Class C test is self-checking and produces a PASSED, FAILED, or NOT APPLICABLE message indicating the result when it is executed.

Class D tests check the compilation and execution capacities of a compiler. Since there are no capacity requirements placed on a compiler by the Ada Standard for some parameters--for example, the number of identifiers permitted in a compilation or the number of units in a library--a compiler may refuse to compile a Class D test and still be a conforming compiler. Therefore, if a Class D test fails to compile because the capacity of the compiler is exceeded, the test is classified as inapplicable. If a Class D test compiles successfully, it is self-checking and produces a PASSED or FAILED message during execution.

Class E tests are expected to execute successfully and check implementation-dependent options and resolutions of ambiguities in the Ada Standard. Each Class E test is self-checking and produces a NOT APPLICABLE, PASSED, or FAILED message when it is compiled and executed. However, the Ada Standard permits an implementation to reject programs containing some features addressed by Class E tests during compilation. Therefore, a Class E test is passed by a compiler if it is compiled successfully and executes to produce a PASSED message, or if it is rejected by the compiler for an allowable reason.

Class L tests check that incomplete or illegal Ada programs involving multiple, separately compiled units are detected and not allowed to execute. Class L tests are compiled separately and execution is attempted. A Class L test passes if it is rejected at link time--that is, an attempt to execute the main program must generate an error message before any declarations in the main program or any units referenced by the main program are elaborated. In some cases, an implementation may legitimately detect errors during compilation of the test.

Two library units, the package REPORT and the procedure CHECK_FILE, support the self-checking features of the executable tests. The package REPORT provides the mechanism by which executable tests report PASSED, FAILED, or NOT APPLICABLE results. It also provides a set of identity functions used to defeat some compiler optimizations allowed by the Ada Standard that would circumvent a test objective. The procedure CHECK_FILE is used to check the contents of text files written by some of the Class C tests for chapter 14 of the Ada Standard. The operation of REPORT and CHECK_FILE is checked by a set of executable tests. These tests produce messages that are examined to verify that the units are operating correctly. If these units are not operating correctly, then the validation is not attempted.

The text of each test in the ACVC follows conventions that are intended to ensure that the tests are reasonably portable without modification. For example, the tests make use of only the basic set of 55 characters, contain lines with a maximum length of 72 characters, use small numeric values, and place features that may not be supported by all implementations in separate

INTRODUCTION

tests. However, some tests contain values that require the test to be customized according to implementation-specific values--for example, an illegal file name. A list of the values used for this validation is provided in Appendix C.

A compiler must correctly process each of the tests in the suite and demonstrate conformity to the Ada Standard by either meeting the pass criteria given for the test or by showing that the test is inapplicable to the implementation. The applicability of a test to an implementation is considered each time the implementation is validated. A test that is inapplicable for one validation is not necessarily inapplicable for a subsequent validation. Any test that was determined to contain an illegal language construct or an erroneous language construct is withdrawn from the ACVC and, therefore, is not used in testing a compiler. The tests withdrawn at the time of this validation are given in Appendix D.

CHAPTER 2
CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

2.1 CONFIGURATION TESTED

The candidate compilation system for this validation was tested under the following configuration:

Compiler: VADS VAX VMS -> Intel 80386, Version 5.7

ACVC Version: 1.10

Certificate Number: 890610W1.10090

Host Computer:

Machine: MicroVAX 3600

Operating System: VMS
5.0

Memory Size: 32 MB

Target Computer:

Machine: iSBC 386/20P

Operating System: Bare Board

Memory Size: 2 MB

CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

Communications Network: RS232 serial port

2.2 IMPLEMENTATION CHARACTERISTICS

One of the purposes of validating compilers is to determine the behavior of a compiler in those areas of the Ada Standard that permit implementations to differ. Class D and E tests specifically check for such implementation differences. However, tests in other classes also characterize an implementation. The tests demonstrate the following characteristics:

a. Capacities.

- (1) The compiler correctly processes a compilation containing 723 variables in the same declarative part. (See test D29002K.)
- (2) The compiler correctly processes tests containing loop statements nested to 65 levels. (See tests D55A03A..H (8 tests).)
- (3) The compiler correctly processes tests containing block statements nested to 65 levels. (See test D56001B.)
- (4) The compiler correctly processes tests containing recursive procedures separately compiled as subunits nested to 17 levels. (See tests D64005E..G (3 tests).)

b. Predefined types.

- (1) This implementation supports the additional predefined types TINY INTEGER, SHORT INTEGER, and SHORT FLOAT in package STANDARD. (See tests B86001T..Z (7 tests).)

c. Expression evaluation.

The order in which expressions are evaluated and the time at which constraints are checked are not defined by the language. While the ACVC tests do not specifically attempt to determine the order of evaluation of expressions, test results indicate the following:

- (1) None of the default initialization expressions for record components are evaluated before any value is checked for membership in a component's subtype. (See test C32117A.)
- (2) Assignments for subtypes are performed with the same precision as the base type. (See test C35712B.)

CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

- (3) This implementation uses no extra bits for extra precision and uses all extra bits for extra range. (See test C35903A.)
- (4) Sometimes `CONSTRAINT_ERROR` is raised when an integer literal operand in a comparison or membership test is outside the range of the base type. (See test C45232A.)
- (5) Sometimes `NUMERIC_ERROR` is raised when a literal operand in a fixed-point comparison or membership test is outside the range of the base type. (See test C45252A.)
- (6) Underflow is gradual. (See tests C45524A..Z.)

d. Rounding.

The method by which values are rounded in type conversions is not defined by the language. While the ACVC tests do not specifically attempt to determine the method of rounding, the test results indicate the following:

- (1) The method used for rounding to integer is round to even. (See tests C46012A..Z.)
- (2) The method used for rounding to longest integer is round to even. (See tests C46012A..Z.)
- (3) The method used for rounding to integer in static universal real expressions is round to even. (See test C4A014A.)

e. Array types.

An implementation is allowed to raise `NUMERIC_ERROR` or `CONSTRAINT_ERROR` for an array having a `'LENGTH` that exceeds `STANDARD.INTEGER'LAST` and/or `SYSTEM.MAX_INT`.

For this implementation:

- (1) Declaration of an array type or subtype declaration with more than `SYSTEM.MAX_INT` components raises no exception. (See test C36005..)
- (2) `NUMERIC_ERROR` is raised when `'LENGTH` is applied to a null array type with `INTEGER'LAST + 2` components. (See test C36202A.)
- (3) `NUMERIC_ERROR` is raised when `'LENGTH` is applied to a null array type with `SYSTEM.MAX_INT + 2` components. (See test C36202B.)

CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

- (4) A packed BOOLEAN array having a 'LENGTH exceeding INTEGER'LAST raises NUMERIC_ERROR when the array type is declared. (See test C52103X.)
- (5) A packed two-dimensional BOOLEAN array with more than INTEGER'LAST components raises NUMERIC_ERROR when the array type is declared. (See test C52104Y.)
- (6) A null array with one dimension of length greater than INTEGER'LAST may raise NUMERIC_ERROR or CONSTRAINT_ERROR either when declared or assigned. Alternatively, an implementation may accept the declaration. However, lengths must match in array slice assignments. This implementation raises NUMERIC_ERROR when the array type is declared. (See test E52103Y.)
- (7) In assigning one-dimensional array types, the expression is evaluated in its entirety before CONSTRAINT_ERROR is raised when checking whether the expression's subtype is compatible with the target's subtype. (See test C52013A.)
- (8) In assigning two-dimensional array types, the expression is not evaluated in its entirety before CONSTRAINT_ERROR is raised when checking whether the expression's subtype is compatible with the target's subtype. (See test C52013A.)

f. Discriminated types.

- (1) In assigning record types with discriminants, the expression is evaluated in its entirety before CONSTRAINT_ERROR is raised when checking whether the expression's subtype is compatible with the target's subtype. (See test C52013A.)

g. Aggregates.

- (1) In the evaluation of a multi-dimensional aggregate, all choices are evaluated before checking against the index type. (See tests C43207A and C43207B.)
- (2) In the evaluation of an aggregate containing subaggregates, all choices are evaluated before being checked for identical bounds. (See test E43212B.)
- (3) CONSTRAINT_ERROR is raised after all choices are evaluated when a bound in a non-null range of a non-null aggregate does not belong to an index subtype. (See test E43211B.)

CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

h. Pragmas.

- (1) The pragma `INLINE` is supported for functions and procedures. (See tests LA3004A..B, EA3004C..D, and CA3004E..F.)

i. Generics

- (1) Generic specifications and bodies can be compiled in separate compilations. (See tests CA1012A, CA2009C, CA2009F, BC3204C, and BC3205D.)
- (2) Generic unit bodies and their subunits can be compiled in separate compilations. (See test CA3011A.)

j. Input and output

- (1) The package `SEQUENTIAL_IO` can be instantiated with unconstrained array types and record types with discriminants without defaults. (See tests AE2101C, EE2201D, and EE2201E.)
- (2) The package `DIRECT_IO` can be instantiated with unconstrained array types and record types with discriminants without defaults. (See tests AE2101H, EE2401D, and EE2401G.)
- (3) Modes `IN FILE` and `OUT FILE` are supported for `SEQUENTIAL_IO`. (See tests CE2102D..E, CE2102N, and CE2102P.)
- (4) Modes `IN FILE`, `OUT FILE`, and `INOUT FILE` are supported for `DIRECT_IO`. (See tests CE2102F, CE2102I..J, CE2102R, CE2102T, and CE2102V.)
- (5) Modes `IN FILE` and `OUT FILE` are supported for text files. (See tests CE3102E and CE3102I..K.)
- (6) `RESET` and `DELETE` operations are supported for `SEQUENTIAL_IO`. (See tests CE2102G and CE2102X.)
- (7) `RESET` and `DELETE` operations are supported for `DIRECT_IO`. (See tests CE2102K and CE2102Y.)
- (8) `RESET` and `DELETE` operations are supported for text files. (See tests CE3102F..G, CE3104C, CE3110A, and CE3114A.)
- (9) Overwriting to a sequential file truncates to the last element written. (See test CE2208B.)
- (10) Temporary sequential files are given names and deleted when closed. (See test CE2108A.)

CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

- (11) Temporary direct files are given names and deleted when closed. (See test CE2108C.)
- (12) Temporary text files are given names and deleted when closed. (See test CE3112A.)
- (13) More than one internal file can be associated with each external file for sequential files when reading only. (See tests CE2107A..E, CE2102L, CE2110B, and CE2111D.)
- (14) More than one internal file can be associated with each external file for direct files when reading only. (See tests CE2107F..H (3 tests), CE2110D, and CE2111H.)
- (15) More than one internal file can be associated with each external file for text files when reading only. (See tests CE3111A..E, CE3114B, and CE3115A.)

CHAPTER 3

TEST INFORMATION

3.1 TEST RESULTS

Version 1.10 of the ACVC comprises 3717 tests. When this compiler was tested, 44 tests had been withdrawn because of test errors. The AVF determined that 344 tests were inapplicable to this implementation. All inapplicable tests were processed during validation testing except for 201 executable tests that use floating-point precision exceeding that supported by the implementation. Modifications to the code, processing, or grading for 10 tests were required to successfully demonstrate the test objective. (See section 3.6.)

The AVF concludes that the testing results demonstrate acceptable conformity to the Ada Standard.

3.2 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS BY CLASS

RESULT	TEST CLASS						TOTAL
	A	B	C	D	E	L	
Passed	129	1132	1977	17	28	46	3329
Inapplicable	0	6	338	0	0	0	344
Withdrawn	1	2	35	0	6	0	44
TOTAL	130	1140	2350	17	34	46	3717

TEST INFORMATION

3.3 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS BY CHAPTER

RESULT	CHAPTER														TOTAL
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14		
Passed	198	577	545	245	172	99	161	331	137	36	252	292	284	3329	
Inappl	14	72	135	3	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	77	37	344	
Wdrn	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	35	4	44	
TOTAL	213	650	680	248	172	99	166	334	137	36	253	404	325	3717	

3.4 WITHDRAWN TESTS

The following 44 tests were withdrawn from ACVC Version 1.10 at the time of this validation:

E28005C	A39005G	B97102E	C97116A	BC3009B	CD2A62D
CD2A63A	CD2A63B	CD2A63C	CD2A63D	CD2A66A	CD2A66B
CD2A66C	CD2A66D	CD2A73A	CD2A73B	CD2A73C	CD2A73D
CD2A76A	CD2A76B	CD2A76C	CD2A76D	CD2A81G	CD2A83G
CD2A84M	CD2A84N	CD2B15C	CD2D11B	CD5007B	CD50110
ED7004B	ED7005C	ED7005D	ED7006C	ED7006D	CD7105A
CD7203B	CD7204B	CD7205C	CD7205D	CE2107I	CE3111C
CE3301A	CE3411B				

See Appendix D for the reason that each of these tests was withdrawn.

3.5 INAPPLICABLE TESTS

Some tests do not apply to all compilers because they make use of features that a compiler is not required by the Ada Standard to support. Others may depend on the result of another test that is either inapplicable or withdrawn. The applicability of a test to an implementation is considered each time a validation is attempted. A test that is inapplicable for one validation attempt is not necessarily inapplicable for a subsequent attempt. For this validation attempt, 344 tests were inapplicable for the reasons indicated:

- a. The following 201 tests are not applicable because they have floating-point type declarations requiring more digits than SYSTEM.MAX_DIGITS:

C24113L..Y	C35705L..Y	C35706L..Y	C35707L..Y
C35708L..Y	C35802L..Z	C45241L..Y	C45321L..Y
C45421L..Y	C45521L..Z	C45524L..Z	C45621L..Z

TEST INFORMATION

C45641L..Y C46012L..Z

- b. C35702B and B86001U are not applicable because this implementation supports no predefined type LONG_FLOAT.
- c. The following 16 tests are not applicable because this implementation does not support a predefined type LONG_INTEGER:

C45231C	C45304C	C45502C	C45503C	C45504C
C45504F	C45611C	C45613C	C45614C	C45631C
C45632C	B52004D	C55B07A	B55B09C	B86001W
CD7101F				
- d. C45531M..P (4 tests) and C45532M..P (4 tests) are not applicable because the value of SYSTEM.MAX_MANTISSA is less than 47.
- e. C86001F is not applicable because, for this implementation, the package TEXT_IO is dependent upon package SYSTEM. These tests recompile package SYSTEM, making package TEXT_IO, and hence package REPORT, obsolete.
- f. B86001Y is not applicable because this implementation supports no predefined fixed-point type other than DURATION.
- g. B86001Z is not applicable because this implementation supports no predefined floating-point type with a name other than FLOAT, LONG_FLOAT, or SHORT_FLOAT.
- h. C96005B is not applicable because there are no values of type DURATION'BASE that are outside the range of DURATION.
- i. CD1009C, CD2A41A..B (2 tests), CD2A41E, and CD2A42A..J (10 tests) are not applicable because this implementation does not support size clauses for floating point types. Hardware floating point instructions require IEEE 32/64 bit floating point representation.
- j. CD2A61I and CD2A61J are not applicable because this implementation does not support size clauses for array types, which imply compression, with component types of composite or floating point types. This implementation requires an explicit size clause on the component type.
- k. CD2A84B..I (8 tests) and CD2A84K..L (2 tests) are not applicable because this implementation does not support size clauses for access types. Access types are represented by machine addresses which are 32 bits on this machine.
- l. CD2A91A..E (5 tests), CD5012J, CD5013S, and CD5014S are not applicable because this implementation does not support size clauses for tasks or task types.
- m. The following 42 tests are not applicable because this implementation does not support an address clause when a dynamic

TEST INFORMATION

address is applied to a variable requiring initialization:

CD5003B..H	CD5011A..H	CD5011L..N	CD5011Q
CD5011R	CD5012A..I	CD5012L	CD5013B
CD5013D	CD5013F	CD5013H	CD5013L
CD5013N	CD5013R	CD5014T..X	

- n. CE2102D is inapplicable because this implementation supports CREATE with IN_FILE mode for SEQUENTIAL_IO.
- o. CE2102E is inapplicable because this implementation supports CREATE with OUT_FILE mode for SEQUENTIAL_IO.
- p. CE2102F is inapplicable because this implementation supports CREATE with INOUT_FILE mode for DIRECT_IO.
- q. CE2102I is inapplicable because this implementation supports CREATE with IN_FILE mode for DIRECT_IO.
- r. CE2102J is inapplicable because this implementation supports CREATE with OUT_FILE mode for DIRECT_IO.
- s. CE2102N is inapplicable because this implementation supports OPEN with IN_FILE mode for SEQUENTIAL_IO.
- t. CE2102O is inapplicable because this implementation supports RESET with IN_FILE mode for SEQUENTIAL_IO.
- u. CE2102P is inapplicable because this implementation supports OPEN with OUT_FILE mode for SEQUENTIAL_IO.
- v. CE2102Q is inapplicable because this implementation supports RESET with OUT_FILE mode for SEQUENTIAL_IO.
- w. CE2102R is inapplicable because this implementation supports OPEN with INOUT_FILE mode for DIRECT_IO.
- x. CE2102S is inapplicable because this implementation supports RESET with INOUT_FILE mode for DIRECT_IO.
- y. CE2102T is inapplicable because this implementation supports OPEN with IN_FILE mode for DIRECT_IO.
- z. CE2102U is inapplicable because this implementation supports RESET with IN_FILE mode for DIRECT_IO.
- aa. CE2102V is inapplicable because this implementation supports OPEN with OUT_FILE mode for DIRECT_IO.
- ab. CE2102W is inapplicable because this implementation supports RESET with OUT_FILE mode for DIRECT_IO.
- ac. CE3102E is inapplicable because this implementation supports

TEST INFORMATION

- CREATE with IN_FILE mode for text files.
- ad. CE3102F is inapplicable because this implementation supports RESET for text files.
 - ae. CE3102G is inapplicable because this implementation supports deletion of an external file for text files.
 - af. CE3102I is inapplicable because this implementation supports CREATE with OUT_FILE mode for text files.
 - ag. CE3102J is inapplicable because this implementation supports OPEN with IN_FILE mode for text files.
 - ah. CE3102K is inapplicable because this implementation supports OPEN with OUT_FILE mode for text files.
 - ai. CE2107B..E (4 tests), CE2107L, and CE2110B are not applicable because multiple internal files cannot be associated with the same external file when one or more files is writing for sequential files. The proper exception is raised when multiple access is attempted.
 - aj. CE2107G..H (2 tests), CE2110D, and CE2111H are not applicable because multiple internal files cannot be associated with the same external file when one or more files is writing for direct files. The proper exception is raised when multiple access is attempted.
 - ak. CE2111D is not applicable because multiple internal files cannot be associated with the same external file when one or more files is writing for sequential files. The proper exception is raised when multiple access is attempted.
 - al. CE3111B, CE3111D..E (2 tests), CE3114B, and CE3115A are not applicable because multiple internal files cannot be associated with the same external file when one or more files is writing for text files. The proper exception is raised when multiple access is attempted.

3.6 TEST, PROCESSING, AND EVALUATION MODIFICATIONS

It is expected that some tests will require modifications of code, processing, or evaluation in order to compensate for legitimate implementation behavior. Modifications are made by the AVF in cases where legitimate implementation behavior prevents the successful completion of an (otherwise) applicable test. Examples of such modifications include: adding a length clause to alter the default size of a collection; splitting a Class B test into subtests so that all errors are detected; and confirming that messages produced by an executable test demonstrate conforming behavior that wasn't anticipated by the test (such as raising one exception instead of another).

TEST INFORMATION

Modifications were required for 10 tests.

The following tests were split because syntax errors at one point resulted in the compiler not detecting other errors in the test:

B24009A	B33301B	B38003A	B38003B	B38009A	B38009B
B41202A	B91001H	BC1303F	BC3005B		

3.7 ADDITIONAL TESTING INFORMATION

3.7.1 Prevalidation

Prior to validation, a set of test results for ACVC Version 1.10 produced by the VADS VAX VMS -> Intel 80386 was submitted to the AVF by the applicant for review. Analysis of these results demonstrated that the compiler successfully passed all applicable tests, and the compiler exhibited the expected behavior on all inapplicable tests.

3.7.2 Test Method

Testing of the VADS VAX VMS -> Intel 80386 using ACVC Version 1.10 was conducted on-site by a validation team from the AVF. The configuration in which the testing was performed is described by the following designation

The host and target computers were linked via RS232 serial port.

A magnetic tape containing all tests except for withdrawn tests and tests requiring unsupported floating-point precisions was taken on-site by the validation team for processing. Tests that make use of implementation-specific values were customized before being written to the magnetic tape. Tests requiring modifications during the prevalidation testing were included in their modified form on the magnetic tape.

The contents of the magnetic tape were loaded directly onto the host computer.

After the test files were loaded to disk, the full set of tests was compiled and linked on the MicroVAX 3600, then all executable images were transferred to the iSBC 386/20P via RS232 serial port and run. Results were printed from the host computer.

TEST INFORMATION

The compiler was tested using command scripts provided by Verdix Corporation and reviewed by the validation team. The compiler was tested using all default option settings.

Tests were compiled, linked, and executed (as appropriate) using a single host and target computer. Test output, compilation listings, and job logs were captured on magnetic tape and archived at the AVF. The listings examined on-site by the validation team were also archived.

3.7.3 Test Site

Testing was conducted at Aloha OR and was completed on 10 June 89.

APPENDIX A

DECLARATION OF CONFORMANCE

Verdix Corporation has submitted the following
Declaration of Conformance concerning the VADS VAX VMS
-> Intel 80386.

DECLARATION OF CONFORMANCE


Compiler Implementor: VERDIX Corporation
Ada Validation Facility: ASD/SCEL, Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-6503
Ada Compiler Validation Capability (ACTC) Version: 1.10

Base Configuration

Base Compiler Name: VADS VAX VMS -> Intel 80386 Version: 5.7
Host Architecture ISi: microVAX 3600 OS/VER #: VMS 5.0
Target Architecture ISi: ISBC 386/20P OS/VER #: Bare board

Implementor's Declaration

I, the undersigned, representing VERDIX Corp., have implemented no deliberate extensions to the Ada Language Standard ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A in the compiler(s) listed in this declaration. I declare that VERDIX is the owner of record of the Ada language compiler(s) listed above and, as such, is responsible for maintaining said compiler(s) in conformance to ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A. All certificates and registrations for Ada language compiler(s) listed in this declaration shall be made only in the owner's corporate name.




Stephen P. Zeigler
Vice-President
Ada Products Division

Date: 5/23/89

Owner's Declaration

I, the undersigned, representing VERDIX take full responsibility for implementation and maintenance of the Ada compiler(s) listed above, and agree to the public disclosure of the final Validation Summary Report. I declare that all of the Ada language compilers listed, and their host/target performance are in compliance with the Ada Language Standard ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A.



Stephen P. Zeigler
Vice-President
Ada Products Division

Date: 5/23/89

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX F OF THE Ada STANDARD

The only allowed implementation dependencies correspond to implementation-dependent pragmas, to certain machine-dependent conventions as mentioned in chapter 13 of the Ada Standard, and to certain allowed restrictions on representation clauses. The implementation-dependent characteristics of the VADS VAX VMS -> Intel 80386, Version 5.7, as described in this Appendix, are provided by Verdix Corporation. Unless specifically noted otherwise, references in this Appendix are to compiler documentation and not to this report. Implementation-specific portions of the package STANDARD, which are not a part of Appendix F, are:

package STANDARD is

...

```
type INTEGER is range -2147483648 .. 2147483647;
type SHORT_INTEGER is range -32768 .. 32767;
type TINY_INTEGER is range -128 .. 127;

type FLOAT is digits 15 range
  -16#0.1FFFFFFFFFFFF#E1024 .. 16#0.1FFFFFFFFFFFF#E1024;
type SHORT_FLOAT is digits 6 range
  -16#0.FFFFFFFF#E128 .. 16#0.FFFFFFFF#E128;

type DURATION is delta 0.001 range -2147483.648 .. 2147483.647;
```

...

end STANDARD;

APPFNDIX F. Implementation-Dependent Characteristics - VAX/VMS->I386

1. Implementation-Dependent Pragmas

1.1. `INLINE_ONLY` Pragma

The `INLINE_ONLY` pragma, when used in the same way as pragma `INLINE`, indicates to the compiler that the subprogram must *always* be inlined. This pragma also suppresses the generation of a callable version of the routine which saves code space. If a user erroneously makes an `INLINE_ONLY` subprogram recursive a warning message will be emitted and an `PROGRAM_ERROR` will be raised at run time.

1.2. `BUILT_IN` Pragma

The `BUILT_IN` pragma is used in the implementation of some predefined Ada packages, but provides no user access. It is used only to implement code bodies for which no actual Ada body can be provided, for example the `MACHINE_CODE` package.

1.3. `SHARE_CODE` Pragma

The `SHARE_CODE` pragma takes the name of a generic instantiation or a generic unit as the first argument and one of the identifiers `TRUE` or `FALSE` as the second argument. This pragma is only allowed immediately at the place of a declarative item in a declarative part or package specification, or after a library unit in a compilation, but before any subsequent compilation unit.

When the first argument is a generic unit the pragma applies to all instantiations of that generic unit. When the first argument is the name of a generic instantiation the pragma applies only to the specific instantiation, or overloaded instantiations.

If the second argument is `TRUE` the compiler will try to share code generated for a generic instantiation with code generated for other instantiations of the same generic. When the second argument is `FALSE` each instantiation will get a unique copy of the generated code. The extent to which code is shared between instantiations depends on this pragma and the kind of generic formal parameters declared for the generic unit.

The name pragma `SHARE_BODY` is also recognized by the implementation and has the same effect as `SHARE_CODE`. It is included for compatibility with earlier versions of VADS.

1.4. `NO_IMAGE` Pragma

The pragma suppresses the generation of the image array used for the `IMAGE` attribute of enumeration types. This eliminates the overhead required to store the array in the executable image. An attempt to use the `IMAGE` attribute on a type whose image array has been suppressed will result in a compilation warning and `PROGRAM_ERROR` raised at run time.

1.5. `EXTERNAL_NAME` Pragma

The `EXTERNAL_NAME` pragma takes the name of a subprogram or variable defined in Ada and allows the user to specify a different external name that may be used to reference the entity from other languages. The pragma is allowed at the place of a declarative item in a package specification and must apply to an object declared earlier in the same package specification.

1.6. INTERFACE_NAME Pragma

The `INTERFACE_NAME` pragma takes the name of a variable or subprogram defined in another language and allows it to be referenced directly in Ada. The pragma will replace all occurrences of the variable or subprogram name with an external reference to the second, `link_argument`. The pragma is allowed at the place of a declarative item in a package specification and must apply to an object or subprogram declared earlier in the same package specification. The object must be declared as a scalar or an access type. The object *cannot* be any of the following:

- a loop variable,
- a constant,
- an initialized variable,
- an array, or
- a record.

1.7. IMPLICIT_CODE Pragma

Takes one of the identifiers `ON` or `OFF` as the single argument. This pragma is only allowed within a machine code procedure. It specifies that implicit code generated by the compiler be allowed or disallowed. A warning is issued if `OFF` is used and any implicit code needs to be generated. The default is `ON`.

1.8. OPTIMIZE_CODE Pragma

Takes one of the identifiers `ON` or `OFF` as the single argument. This pragma is only allowed within a machine code procedure. It specifies whether the code should be optimized by the compiler. The default is `ON`. When `OFF` is specified, the compiler will generate the code as specified.

2. Implementation of Predefined Pragmas

2.1. CONTROLLED

This pragma is recognized by the implementation but has no effect.

2.2. ELABORATE

This pragma is implemented as described in Appendix B of the Ada RM.

2.3. INLINE

This pragma is implemented as described in Appendix B of the Ada RM.

2.4. INTERFACE

This pragma supports calls to 'C' and FORTRAN functions. The Ada subprograms can be either functions or procedures. The types of parameters and the result type for functions must be scalar, access or the predefined type `ADDRESS` in `SYSTEM`. All parameters must have mode `IN`. Record and array objects can be passed by reference using the `ADDRESS` attribute.

2.5. LIST

This pragma is implemented as described in Appendix B of the Ada RM.

2.6. MEMORY_SIZE

This pragma is recognized by the implementation. The implementation does not allow `SYSTEM` to be modified by means of pragmas, the `SYSTEM` package must be recompiled.

2.7. NON_REENTRANT

This pragma takes one argument which can be the name of either a library subprogram or a subprogram declared immediately within a library package spec or body. It indicates to the compiler that the subprogram will not be called recursively allowing the compiler to perform specific optimizations. The pragma can be applied to a subprogram or a set of overloaded subprogram within a package spec or

package body.

2.8. NOT_ELABORATED

This pragma can only appear in a library package specification. It indicates that the package will not be elaborated because it is either part of the RTS, a configuration package or an Ada package that is referenced from a language other than Ada. The presence of this pragma suppresses the generation of elaboration code and issues warnings if elaboration code is required.

2.9. OPTIMIZE

This pragma is recognized by the implementation but has no effect.

2.10. PACK

This pragma will cause the compiler to choose a non-aligned representation for composite types. It will not cause objects to be packed at the bit level.

2.11. PAGE

This pragma is implemented as described in Appendix B of the Ada RM.

2.12. PASSIVE

The pragma has three forms :

```
PRAGMA PASSIVE;  
PRAGMA PASSIVE(SEMAPHORE);  
PRAGMA PASSIVE(INTERRUPT, <number>);
```

This pragma Pragma passive can be applied to a task or task type declared immediately within a library package spec or body. The pragma directs the compiler to optimize certain tasking operations. It is possible that the statements in a task body will prevent the intended optimization, in these cases a warning will be generated at compile time and will raise `TASKING_ERROR` at runtime.

2.13. PRIORITY

This pragma is implemented as described in Appendix B of the Ada RM.

2.14. SHARED

This pragma is recognized by the implementation but has no effect.

2.15. STORAGE_UNIT

This pragma is recognized by the implementation. The implementation does not allow `SYSTEM` to be modified by means of pragmas, the `SYSTEM` package must be recompiled.

2.16. SUPPRESS

This pragma is implemented as described, except that `RANGE_CHECK` and `DIVISION_CHECK` cannot be suppressed.

2.17. SYSTEM_NAME

This pragma is recognized by the implementation. The implementation does not allow `SYSTEM` to be modified by means of pragmas, the `SYSTEM` package must be recompiled.

3. Implementation-Dependent Attributes

3.1. P'REF

For a prefix that denotes an object, a program unit, a label, or an entry:

This attribute denotes the effective address of the first of the storage units allocated to P. For a subprogram, package, task unit, or label, it refers to the address of the machine code associated with the corresponding body or statement. For an entry for which an address clause has been given, it refers to the corresponding hardware interrupt. The attribute is of the type OPERAND defined in the package MACHINE_CODE. The attribute is only allowed within a machine code procedure.

See section F.4.8 for more information on the use of this attribute.

(For a package, task unit, or entry, the 'REF attribute is not supported.)

4. Specification Of Package SYSTEM

with UNSIGNED_TYPES:

package SYSTEM is

```

pragm suppress(ALL_CHECKS);
pragm suppress(EXCEPTION_TABLES);
pragm not_elaborated;

type NAME is ( i386 );

SYSTEM_NAME          : constant NAME := i386;

STORAGE_UNIT        : constant := 8;
MEMORY_SIZE         : constant := 16_777_216;

-- System-Dependent Named Numbers

MIN_INT              : constant := -2_147_483_648;
MAX_INT              : constant := 2_147_483_647;
MAX_DIGITS           : constant := 15;
MAX_MANTISSA         : constant := 31;
FINE_DELTA           : constant := 2.0**(-31);
TICK                 : constant := 0.01;

-- Other System-dependent Declarations

subtype PRIORITY is INTEGER range 0 .. 99;

MAX_REC_SIZE : integer := 1024;

type ADDRESS is private;

function ">" (A: ADDRESS; B: ADDRESS) return BOOLEAN;
function "<" (A: ADDRESS; B: ADDRESS) return BOOLEAN;
function ">=" (A: ADDRESS; B: ADDRESS) return BOOLEAN;
function "<=" (A: ADDRESS; B: ADDRESS) return BOOLEAN;
function "-" (A: ADDRESS; B: ADDRESS) return INTEGER;
function "+" (A: ADDRESS; I: INTEGER) return ADDRESS;
function "-" (A: ADDRESS; I: INTEGER) return ADDRESS;

function "+" (I: UNSIGNED_TYPES.UNSIGNED_INTEGER) return ADDRESS;
function MEMORY_ADDRESS
  (I: UNSIGNED_TYPES.UNSIGNED_INTEGER) return ADDRESS renames "+";

NO_ADDR : constant ADDRESS;

private

type ADDRESS is new UNSIGNED_TYPES.UNSIGNED_INTEGER;

NO_ADDR : constant ADDRESS := 0;

pragm BUILT_IN(">");
pragm BUILT_IN("<");
pragm BUILT_IN(">=");
pragm BUILT_IN("<=");
pragm BUILT_IN("-");
pragm BUILT_IN("+");
pragm BUILT_IN("-");

```

end SYSTEM;

5. Restrictions On Representation Clauses

5.1. Pragma PACK

In the absence of pragma PACK record components are padded so as to provide for efficient access by the target hardware, pragma PACK applied to a record eliminate the padding where possible. Pragma PACK has no other effect on the storage allocated for record components a record representation is required.

5.2. Size Clauses

For scalar types a representation clause will pack to the number of bits required to represent the range of the subtype. A size clause applied to a record type will not cause packing of components; an explicit record representation clause must be given to specify the packing of the components. A size clause applied to a record type will cause packing of components only when the component type is a discrete type. An error will be issued if there is insufficient space allocated. The SIZE attribute is not supported for task, access, or floating point types.

5.3. Address Clauses

Address clauses are only supported for variables. Since default initialization of a variable requires evaluation of the variable address elaboration ordering requirements prohibit initialization of a variables which have address clauses. The specified address indicates the physical address associated with the variable.

5.4. Interrupts

Interrupt entries are not supported.

5.5. Representation Attributes

The ADDRESS attribute is not supported for the following entities:

- Packages
- Tasks
- Labels
- Entries

5.6. Machine Code Insertions

Machine code insertions are supported.

The general definition of the package MACHINE_CODE provides an assembly language interface for the target machine. It provides the necessary record type(s) needed in the code statement, an enumeration type of all the opcode mnemonics, a set of register definitions, and a set of addressing mode functions.

The general syntax of a machine code statement is as follows:

```
CODE_n'( opcode, operand [, operand] );
```

where *n* indicates the number of operands in the aggregate.

A special case arises for a variable number of operands. The operands are listed within a subaggregate. The format is as follows:

```
CODE_N'( opcode, (operand [, operand]) );
```

For those opcodes that require no operands, named notation must be used (cf. RM 4.3(4)).

```
CODE_0'( op => opcode );
```

The *opcode* must be an enumeration literal (i.e. it cannot be an object, attribute, or a rename).

An *operand* can only be an entity defined in MACHINE_CODE or the 'REF attribute.

The arguments to any of the functions defined in MACHINE_CODE must be static expressions, string literals, or the functions defined in MACHINE_CODE. The 'REF attribute may not be used as an argument in any of these functions.

Inline expansion of machine code procedures is supported.

6. Conventions for Implementation-generated Names

There are no implementation-generated names.

7. Interpretation of Expressions in Address Clauses

Address expressions in an address clause are interpreted as physical addresses.

8. Restrictions on Unchecked Conversions

None.

9. Restrictions on Unchecked Deallocations

None.

10. Implementation Characteristics of I/O Packages

Instantiations of DIRECT_IO use the value MAX_REC_SIZE as the record size (expressed in STORAGE_UNITS) when the size of ELEMENT_TYPE exceeds that value. For example for unconstrained arrays such as string where ELEMENT_TYPE'SIZE is very large, MAX_REC_SIZE is used instead. MAX_RECORD_SIZE is defined in SYSTEM and can be changed by a program before instantiating DIRECT_IO to provide an upper limit on the record size. In any case the maximum size supported is 1024 x 1024 x STORAGE_UNIT bits. DIRECT_IO will raise USE_ERROR if MAX_REC_SIZE exceeds this absolute limit.

Instantiations of SEQUENTIAL_IO use the value MAX_REC_SIZE as the record size (expressed in STORAGE_UNITS) when the size of ELEMENT_TYPE exceeds that value. For example for unconstrained arrays such as string where ELEMENT_TYPE'SIZE is very large, MAX_REC_SIZE is used instead. MAX_RECORD_SIZE is defined in SYSTEM and can be changed by a program before instantiating INTEGER_IO to provide an upper limit on the record size. SEQUENTIAL_IO imposes no limit on MAX_REC_SIZE.

11. Implementation Limits

The following limits are actually enforced by the implementation. It is not intended to imply that resources up to or even near these limits are available to every program.

11.1. Line Length

The implementation supports a maximum line length of 500 characters including the end of line character.

11.2. Record and Array Sizes

The maximum size of a statically sized array type is 4,000,000 x STORAGE_UNITS. The maximum size of a statically sized record type is 4,000,000 x STORAGE_UNITS. A record type or array type declaration that exceeds these limits will generate a warning message.

11.3. Default Stack Size for Tasks

In the absence of an explicit STORAGE_SIZE length specification every task except the main program is allocated a fixed size stack of 10,240 STORAGE_UNITS. This is the value returned by T*STORAGE_SIZE for a task type T.

11.4. Default Collection Size

In the absence of an explicit STORAGE_SIZE length attribute the default collection size for an access type is 100 times the size of the designated type. This is the value returned by T*STORAGE_SIZE for an access type T.

11.5. Limit on Declared Objects

There is an absolute limit of 6,000,000 x STORAGE_UNITS for objects declared statically within a compilation unit. If this value is exceeded the compiler will terminate the compilation of the unit with a FATAL error message.

APPENDIX C
TEST PARAMETERS

Certain tests in the ACVC make use of implementation-dependent values, such as the maximum length of an input line and invalid file names. A test that makes use of such values is identified by the extension .TST in its file name. Actual values to be substituted are represented by names that begin with a dollar sign. A value must be substituted for each of these names before the test is run. The values used for this validation are given below.

Name and Meaning	Value
\$ACC_SIZE An integer literal whose value is the number of bits sufficient to hold any value of an access type.	32
\$BIG_ID1 An identifier the size of the maximum input line length which is identical to \$BIG_ID2 except for the last character.	(1..498=>'A',499=>'1')
\$BIG_ID2 An identifier the size of the maximum input line length which is identical to \$BIG_ID1 except for the last character.	(1..498=>'A',499=>'2')
\$BIG_ID3 An identifier the size of the maximum input line length which is identical to \$BIG_ID4 except for a character near the middle.	(1..249=>'A',250=>'3', 251..499=>'A')

TEST PARAMETERS

Name and Meaning	Value
<p>SBIG_ID4 An identifier the size of the maximum input line length which is identical to SBIG_ID3 except for a character near the middle.</p>	(1..249=>'A',250=>'4', 251..499=>'A')
<p>SBIG_INT_LIT An integer literal of value 296 with enough leading zeroes so that it is the size of the maximum line length.</p>	(1..496=>'0',497..499=>"298")
<p>SBIG_REAL_LIT A universal real literal of value 690.0 with enough leading zeroes to be the size of the maximum line length.</p>	(1..493=>'0',494..499=>"69.0E1")
<p>SBIG_STRING1 A string literal which when catenated with BIG_STRING2 yields the image of BIG_ID1.</p>	(1=>'"',2..200=>'A',201=>'")
<p>SBIG_STRING2 A string literal which when catenated to the end of BIG_STRING1 yields the image of BIG_ID1.</p>	(1=>'"',2..300=>'A',301=>'1', 302=>'")
<p>SBLANKS A sequence of blanks twenty characters less than the size of the maximum line length.</p>	(1..479=>' ')
<p>SCOUNT_LAST A universal integer literal whose value is TEXT_IO.COUNT'LAST.</p>	2_147_483_647
<p>SDEFAULT_MEM_SIZE An integer literal whose value is SYSTEM.MEMORY_SIZE.</p>	16_777_216
<p>SDEFAULT_STOR_UNIT An integer literal whose value is SYSTEM.STORAGE_UNIT.</p>	8

TEST PARAMETERS

Name and Meaning	Value
\$DEFAULT_SYS NAME The value of the constant SYSTEM.SYSTEM_NAME.	I386
\$DELTA DOC A real literal whose value is SYSTEM.FINE_DELTA.	0.0000000004656612873077392578125
\$FIELD_LAST A universal integer literal whose value is TEXT_IO.FIELD'LAST.	2_147_483_647
\$FIXED_NAME The name of a predefined fixed-point type other than DURATION.	NO_SUCH_TYPE
\$FLOAT_NAME The name of a predefined floating-point type other than FLOAT, SHORT_FLOAT, or LONG_FLOAT.	NO_SUCH_TYPE
\$GREATER THAN DURATION A universal real literal that lies between DURATION'BASE'LAST and DURATION'LAST or any value in the range of DURATION.	100_000.0
\$GREATER THAN DURATION BASE LAST A universal real literal that is greater than DURATION'BASE'LAST.	10_000_000
\$HIGH PRIORITY An integer literal whose value is the upper bound of the range for the subtype SYSTEM.PRIORITY.	99
\$ILLEGAL_EXTERNAL_FILE_NAME1 An external file name which contains invalid characters.	"/illegal/file_name/2}]\$X2102C.DAT"
\$ILLEGAL_EXTERNAL_FILE_NAME2 An external file name which is too long.	"/illegal/file_name/CE2102C*.DAT"
\$INTEGER FIRST A universal integer literal whose value is INTEGER'FIRST.	-2_147_483_648

TEST PARAMETERS

Name and Meaning	Value
<p>SINTEGER_LAST A universal integer literal whose value is INTEGER'LAST.</p>	2_147_483_647
<p>SINTEGER_LAST_PLUS_1 A universal integer literal whose value is INTEGER'LAST + 1.</p>	2_147_483_648
<p>\$LESS_THAN_DURATION A universal real literal that lies between DURATION'BASE'FIRST and DURATION'FIRST or any value in the range of DURATION.</p>	-100_000.0
<p>\$LESS_THAN_DURATION_BASE_FIRST A universal real literal that is less than DURATION'BASE'FIRST.</p>	-10_000_000.0
<p>\$LOW_PRIORITY An integer literal whose value is the lower bound of the range for the subtype SYSTEM.PRIORITY.</p>	0
<p>\$MANTISSA_DOC An integer literal whose value is SYSTEM.MAX_MANTISSA.</p>	31
<p>\$MAX_DIGITS Maximum digits supported for floating-point types.</p>	15
<p>\$MAX_IN_LEN Maximum input line length permitted by the implementation.</p>	499
<p>\$MAX_INT A universal integer literal whose value is SYSTEM.MAX_INT.</p>	2147483647
<p>\$MAX_INT_PLUS_1 A universal integer literal whose value is SYSTEM.MAX_INT+1.</p>	2_147_483_648
<p>\$MAX_LEN_INT_BASED_LITERAL A universal integer based literal whose value is 2#11# with enough leading zeroes in the mantissa to be MAX_IN_LEN long.</p>	(1..2=>"2:",3..496=>'0', 497..499=>"11:")

TEST PARAMETERS

Name and Meaning	Value
<p>\$MAX_LEN_REAL_BASED_LITERAL</p> <p>A universal real based literal whose value is 16:F.E: with enough leading zeroes in the mantissa to be MAX_IN_LEN long.</p>	(1..3=>"16:",4..495=>'0', 496..499=>"F.E:")
<p>\$MAX_STRING_LITERAL</p> <p>A string literal of size MAX_IN_LEN, including the quote characters.</p>	(1=>'"',2..498=>'A',499=>'")
<p>\$MIN_INT</p> <p>A universal integer literal whose value is SYSTEM.MIN_INT.</p>	-2147483648
<p>\$MIN_TASK_SIZE</p> <p>An integer literal whose value is the number of bits required to hold a task object which has no entries, no declarations, and "NULL;" as the only statement in its body.</p>	32
<p>\$NAME</p> <p>A name of a predefined numeric type other than FLOAT, INTEGER, SHORT_FLOAT, SHORT_INTEGER, LONG_FLOAT, or LONG_INTEGER.</p>	TINY_INTEGER
<p>\$NAME_LIST</p> <p>A list of enumeration literals in the type SYSTEM.NAME, separated by commas.</p>	I386
<p>\$NEG_BASED_INT</p> <p>A based integer literal whose highest order nonzero bit falls in the sign bit position of the representation for SYSTEM.MAX_INT.</p>	16#FFFFFFFD#
<p>\$NEW_MEM_SIZE</p> <p>An integer literal whose value is a permitted argument for pragma MEMORY_SIZE, other than \$DEFAULT_MEM_SIZE. If there is no other value, then use \$DEFAULT_MEM_SIZE.</p>	16_777_216

TEST PARAMETERS

<u>Name and Meaning</u>	<u>Value</u>
SNEW STOR UNIT An integer literal whose value is a permitted argument for pragma STORAGE UNIT, other than SDEFAULT STOR UNIT. If there is no other permitted value, then use value of SYSTEM.STORAGE_UNIT.	8
SNEW SYS NAME A value of the type SYSTEM.NAME, other than SDEFAULT_SYS_NAME. If there is only one value of that type, then use that value.	I386
STASK SIZE An integer literal whose value is the number of bits required to hold a task object which has a single entry with one 'IN OUT' parameter.	32
STICK A real literal whose value is SYSTEM.TICK.	0.01

APPENDIX D

WITHDRAWN TESTS

Some tests are withdrawn from the ACVC because they do not conform to the Ada Standard. The following 44 tests had been withdrawn at the time of validation testing for the reasons indicated. A reference of the form AI-ddddd is to an Ada Commentary.

- a. E28005C: This test expects that the string "-- TOP OF PAGE. --63" of line 204 will appear at the top of the listing page due to a pragma PAGE in line 203; but line 203 contains text that follows the pragma, and it is this text that must appear at the top of the page.
- b. A39005G: This test unreasonably expects a component clause to pack an array component into a minimum size (line 30).
- c. B97102E: This test contains an unintended illegality: a select statement contains a null statement at the place of a selective wait alternative (line 31).
- d. C97116A: This test contains race conditions, and it assumes that guards are evaluated indivisibly. A conforming implementation may use interleaved execution in such a way that the evaluation of the guards at lines 50 & 54 and the execution of task CHANGING OF THE GUARD results in a call to REPORT.FAILED at one of lines 52 or 56.
- e. BC3009B: This test wrongly expects that circular instantiations will be detected in several compilation units even though none of the units is illegal with respect to the units it depends on; by AI-00256, the illegality need not be detected until execution is attempted (line 95).
- f. CD2A62D: This test wrongly requires that an array object's size be no greater than 10 although its subtype's size was specified to be 40 (line 137).
- g. CD2A63A..D, CD2A66A..D, CD2A73A..D, and CD2A76A..D (16 tests): These

WITHDRAWN TESTS

tests wrongly attempt to check the size of objects of a derived type (for which a 'SIZE length clause is given) by passing them to a derived subprogram (which implicitly converts them to the parent type (Ada standard 3.4:14)). Additionally, they use the 'SIZE length clause and attribute, whose interpretation is considered problematic by the WG9 ARG.

- h. CD2A81G, CD2A83G, CD2A84M..N, and CD50110 (5 tests): These tests assume that dependent tasks will terminate while the main program executes a loop that simply tests for task termination; this is not the case, and the main program may loop indefinitely (lines 74, 85, 86, 96, and 58, respectively).
- i. CD2B15C and CD7205C: These tests expect that a 'STORAGE SIZE length clause provides precise control over the number of designated objects in a collection; the Ada standard 13.2:15 allows that such control must not be expected.
- j. CD2D11B: This test gives a SMALL representation clause for a derived fixed-point type (at line 30) that defines a set of model numbers that are not necessarily represented in the parent type; by Commentary AI-00099, all model numbers of a derived fixed-point type must be representable values of the parent type.
- k. CD5007B: This test wrongly expects an implicitly declared subprogram to be at the address that is specified for an unrelated subprogram (line 303).
- l. ED7004B, ED7005C..D, and ED7006C..D (5 tests): These tests check various aspects of the use of the three SYSTEM pragmas; the AVO withdraws these tests as being inappropriate for validation.
- m. CD7105A: This test requires that successive calls to CALENDAR.CLOCK change by at least SYSTEM.TICK; however, by Commentary AI-00201, it is only the expected frequency of change that must be at least SYSTEM.TICK--particular instances of change may be less (line 29).
- n. CD7203B and CD7204B: These tests use the 'SIZE length clause and attribute, whose interpretation is considered problematic by the WG9 ARG.
- o. CD7205D: This test checks an invalid test objective: it treats the specification of storage to be reserved for a task's activation as though it were like the specification of storage for a collection.
- p. CE2107I: This test requires that objects of two similar scalar types be distinguished when read from a file--DATA_ERROR is expected to be raised by an attempt to read one object as of the other type. However, it is not clear exactly how the Ada standard 14.2.4:4 is to be interpreted; thus, this test objective is not considered valid (line 90).

WITHDRAWN TESTS

- q. CE3111C: This test requires certain behavior, when two files are associated with the same external file, that is not required by the Ada standard.
- r. CE3301A: This test contains several calls to END_OF_LINE and END_OF_PAGE that have no parameter: these calls were intended to specify a file, not to refer to STANDARD_INPUT (lines 103, 107, 118, 132, and 136).
- s. CE3411B: This test requires that a text file's column number be set to COUNT_LAST in order to check that LAYOUT_ERROR is raised by a subsequent PUT operation. But the former operation will generally raise an exception due to a lack of available disk space, and the test would thus encumber validation testing.