

3

AD-A212 174

ANNUAL SUMMARY REPORT

for the period

1 November 1988 through 31 October 1989

for

Office of Naval Research  
Contract N00014-89-J-1042

THE DEMONSTRATION OF THE FEASIBILITY OF THE  
TUNING AND STIMULATION OF NUCLEAR RADIATION

Short Title: GAMMA-RAY LASER

Principal Investigator: Carl B. Collins

The University of Texas at Dallas  
Center for Quantum Electronics  
P.O. Box 830688  
Richardson, TX 75083-0688

Reproduction in whole, or in part, is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

\*This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

DTIC  
ELECTE  
SEP 08 1989  
S B D  
cb

89 9 06 134

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER XXUV89	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Demonstration of the Feasibility of the Tuning and Stimulation of Nuclear Radiation		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Annual Technical Report 11/1/88 - 10/31/89
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Carl B. Collins	**	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-89-J-1042
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS University of Texas at Dallas P.O. Box 830688 Richardson, TX 75083-0688		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Physics Division Arlington, VA 22217		12. REPORT DATE September 1, 1989
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 34
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  Extreme Ultraviolet Tunable XUV Gamma-Ray Laser		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  This project concerns the demonstration of the feasibility of the tuning and perhaps even stimulation of nuclear radiation. Theory has indicated that anti-Stokes Raman upconversion of intense but conventional long wavelength sources of radiation produced by scattering from isomeric states of nuclear excitation could lead to significant sources  (continued on next page)		

## 20. Abstract (continued)

of tunable  $\gamma$ -radiation characterized by the natural Mössbauer widths of the lines. This would result in lines with sub-Angstrom wavelengths and widths of a few MHz. Whether or not these processes can reach threshold depends upon the resolution of basic issues lying in an interdisciplinary region between quantum electronics and nuclear physics that have not been previously addressed. It was the purpose of this work to study these issues experimentally.

Our proposal has been that the key to the excitation of significant levels of multiphoton phenomena lay in the use of small oscillating fields to manipulate the greater ferromagnetic and ferroelectric fields in which nuclei were immersed. Unfortunately, such materials are almost always magnetostrictive or piezoelectric and concern had lingered that all coherent phenomena would be overwhelmed and degraded by periodic Doppler shifts produced by vibrations excited by such mechanical efforts. In the past year we completed an experiment in which we dressed nuclear states with photons from the periodic oscillation of magnetization transported by spin waves through a medium unable to propagate vibrations. Large effects were found to confirm our models.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION . . . . . 1

TECHNICAL BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY OF ACHIEVEMENTS . . . . . 3

RECENT ACCOMPLISHMENTS . . . . . 9

REFERENCES . . . . . 14

APPENDIX I - Reprints of publications of work completed  
during this reporting period. . . . . 17



Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC Tab	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

## INTRODUCTION

The problem of the tuning and stimulation of  $\gamma$ -radiation is one of a class of interesting concerns dealing with the properties of coherent nuclear states. It is generally recognized that studies of phenomena associated with the properties of coherently excited quantum states of matter at the atomic and molecular levels have revolutionized the traditional fields of optics and spectroscopy over the past decade. These efforts have benefited greatly from techniques that generally exchanged the readily available coherence of a radiation field for coherent states of material excitation. In contrast, it would seem at first that the low intensities of virtually all sources of quanta large enough to be used for the excitation of nuclear states, together with the shortened dephasing times that might characterize the coherent excitation of such levels, would deter comparable studies of excited nuclei.

Our early theoretical estimates<sup>1-8</sup> had suggested the opposite; namely that coherent superpositions of states of nuclear excitation might be produced or altered to a readily detectable extent by intense fields of longer wavelength electromagnetic radiation. Rather diverse processes involving such effects were discussed and modeled, including our efforts at quantitatively describing multiphoton absorption, emission, and mixed Raman-type scattering,<sup>1-8</sup> stimulated  $\gamma$ -radiation<sup>3-8</sup> and even some particle processes such as induced neutron capture and fission.<sup>4,5,8</sup> A very fertile interdisciplinary area of nuclear quantum electronics<sup>7</sup> appeared to be developing, encouraged by the further theoretical and experimental results of our subsequent work<sup>9-23</sup> under a previous ONR contract.

Perhaps surprisingly, within this new field of research the conclusions of successively refined modeling studies are becoming progressively more positive. This is particularly so in our own case for the tuning and stimulation of nuclear radiations. As mentioned at the inception of this work, the feasibilities of both the coherent and incoherent pumping of nuclear transitions with "optical" radiation were dependent upon various nuclear properties that were (and still are) uncertain by several orders of magnitude. The amplitudes for upconversion were expected to depend critically upon the

energies and symmetries of potentially resonant intermediate states for the processes that lie in the "blind spots" of conventional nuclear spectroscopy and that cannot be resolved with existing technology. Reports in the literature continue to span a range of values which would cause threshold estimates for the stimulation of gamma radiation to vary from routine to impossible; and it still appears that much additional basic study of the structure and properties of nuclear excitation, particularly near isomeric levels, must precede any truly indicative estimate of the feasibility of any stimulated emission device. However, the results of the ongoing experiments continue to be extremely positive.

Most laboratory sources of gamma radiation emit at levels of intensity corresponding to single photon conditions and Mössbauer experiments are rarely conducted at such great intensities that the detection of two photons would be probable in the transit time spent between source and absorber. Under those conditions, the perception of gamma rays as streams of particles is instinctive. Nevertheless, as elements of electromagnetic radiation they must also be considered as carrier waves of high frequency and analogs of the multiphoton processes which are routine at the molecular must be possible at the nuclear scale. For years we had maintained that the key to the excitation of significant levels of multiphoton phenomena lay in the use of small oscillating fields to manipulate the greater ferromagnetic and ferroelectric fields in which the nuclei were immersed. Unfortunately, such materials are almost always magnetostrictive or piezoelectric and the concern had lingered that all coherent phenomena would be overwhelmed and degraded by periodic Doppler shifts produced by vibrations excited by such mechanical efforts. In the past year we completed the experiment in which we dressed nuclear states with photons from the periodic oscillation of magnetization transported by spin waves through a medium unable to propagate vibrations. Large effects were found to confirm our models.

Now supported by peer review these results have been published in Physical Review Letters. A copy is reproduced in Appendix I. This breakthrough provides a sound point of departure for next year's work.

## TECHNICAL BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY OF ACHIEVEMENTS

All of the processes of interest in the new interdisciplinary field of nuclear quantum electronics that were mentioned in the introduction have a common requirement for the significant excitation of coherent superpositions of nuclear states with non-nuclear sources. This is actually the central issue. All conventional sources of nuclear radiations, including weapons level of power, are generally inadequate for the excitation of coherent nuclear states. Principally because it can be focused, conventional laser radiation offers a unique possibility for the preparation of such states, provided certain conditions are met. If such states can be prepared, the essential control of nuclear processes will be at hand. Radioactive decay can be slowed, accelerated or stimulated for laser-like output at energies in the 10-100 keV range. All of the accelerated processes involving particles that were discussed in the introduction can be realistically pursued. Most of the processes of nonlinear optics can be conceived to have analogs at the nuclear level, if reasonable levels of coherent excitation of nuclear states can be accomplished with non-nuclear input energy.

Resolution of this critical issue depends upon the availability of intermediate states at the nuclear level and upon the magnitude of the interaction matrix elements coupling them to known stationary states. Since these questions lie outside the normal purview of nuclear physics, the answers are not available, and the techniques for obtaining them are arising mostly from our work. Our research under this contract has had the overall plan of first developing the methods while refining the modeling, and secondly, of approaching directly the question of accessibility of threshold for significant levels of stimulated emission.

Surprisingly, the development of this promising field of nuclear quantum electronics has followed an excessively tortuous path dominated by a curious burden of history and counterproductive tradition. The most accessible sources of high power, coherent radiation lie in the radiofrequency and microwave ranges and in 1980 a review was made of the comprehensive literature on hyperfine Mössbauer effects observed in the presence of intense radiofre-

quency fields. Remarkably enough, a major effect was found that had defied the construction of a completely satisfactory explanation in terms involving only conventional processes of incoherent excitation. It was the generation of radiofrequency sidebands on the hyperfine Mössbauer spectra of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  in ferromagnetic environments.<sup>24-39</sup> Through the application to a ferromagnetic iron foil of a magnetic field oscillating at radiofrequencies, several new spectral lines were produced in addition to the usual six hyperfine components of the 14.4 keV transition in  $^{57}\text{Fe}$ . The rudiments of a multiphoton scheme had been advanced by Mitin<sup>30</sup> to explain the effect in 1971, but essential concerns were missed<sup>33</sup> and that attempt was apparently abandoned. Through a series of misleading but compelling experiments,<sup>40</sup> evidence was obtained that seemed to support an explanation attributing the sidebands to Doppler shifts caused by acoustic vibrations driven by magnetostriction of the foil. A persistent complication arose from the geometry in which the radiofrequency H field was generally applied in the plane of the absorber foil, so that magnetostriction occurred in the direction perpendicular to the axis of propagation of the  $\gamma$ -radiation. However, that was accommodated by the assumption<sup>33</sup> of mechanical resonances that drove the foil in a manner similar to the head of a drum. Quantitative agreement was never obtained with that model but it came to be believed with a fervor unusual in experimental physics.

In 1978, West and Matthias<sup>41</sup> reported the first clear case of the excitation of a coherent nuclear state with long wavelength radiation. They succeeded in producing at the level of the noise, two radiofrequency sidebands on the 6.1 keV transition of  $^{181}\text{Ta}$  in nonmagnetic tungsten. Working with frequencies low enough to be comparable to the Mössbauer width of the transition, at kilowatt levels of input power sidebands finally became perceptible. In 1981, Olariu et al.<sup>5</sup> showed theoretically as a consequence of more general modeling of processes of coherent nuclear excitation<sup>1-4</sup> that a multiphoton model comparable to the Matthias estimate<sup>41</sup> could explain in both magnitude and detail that much stronger development of sidebands in the earlier  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  experiments. However, the Olariu-Matthias representations had been constructed under the assumption that static magnetization was absent in the Mössbauer absorber and as a result that model was rigorously appropriate

only for nuclei embedded in paramagnetic samples. It was one of the first tasks of our group to test the Olariu-Matthias model as an approximation for ferromagnetic  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  and, if possible, to resolve experimentally the conflict between magnetostrictive and multiphoton origins of the radiofrequency sidebands.

The completion of that task succeeded in confirming only the encouraging magnitudes of the sidebands while calling attention to the clear need for a theoretical description more appropriate than the Olariu-Matthias "paramagnetic" model. Subsequently, our group built a greatly extended database and still we found intense sidebands on hyperfine components of the 14.4 Mössbauer transition to be maximized under conditions that minimized chances for spurious magnetostrictive effects. Prominent sidebands were observed at only tenths of Watts in input power. Ambiguities in the identification of the order and parentage of particular sidebands were resolved by several changes of frequency. No sidebands were found on forbidden transitions in contrast to the predictions of the simple paramagnetic model.

Another of the tasks under our previous ONR contract was to construct a multiphoton model for ferromagnetic environments. This description accommodated all phenomena previously reported and suggested that the sidebands developed in earlier experiments actually had been driven by the same excitation of coherent states, perhaps benefiting from some enhancement contributed by physical motions that could have developed if acoustic resonances were present as had been postulated. Our ferromagnetic model also showed that "forbidden sidebands" should not be expected for nuclei embedded in real magnetic materials.

Very significant was the result that unlike the paramagnetic case this new model predicted a dependence of sideband intensity upon power that was drastically different from the magnetostrictive theories. Gone were the nodes of power at which low order sidebands are quenched, a predicted phenomenon receiving no support from observations spanning the past 20 years. Even more significant was that the new multiphoton model of nuclear sidebands was comprehensive enough to suggest new extrapolations and effects not previously apparent. The most exciting was the extensive range over which sidebands

might be tuned. This together with indications that it should be possible to drive a large part of the modulated ferromagnetism (that is needed for a large effect) into a different material with other active nuclei seemed to imply the clear feasibility of the swept frequency source for nuclear spectroscopy which was subsequently realized.

Despite the impressive agreement between results obtained with the new instrumentation developed under this preceding contract and the multiphoton models, intense criticism continued to issue because of the belief that, somehow, all effects which appear as sidebands arise from spurious acoustic vibrations excited by magnetostriction of some of the various elements of the spectroscopic sample and its holder. In appearance our multiphoton spectra do resemble the spectra which can be obtained by imposing periodic Doppler shifts of purely mechanical origin upon the Mössbauer source. This unfortunate similarity in appearance between phenomena arising from such different origins has provided the basis for years of critical controversy.

Quite independently and from completely different directions,<sup>42-45</sup> concepts were converging upon the same means to excite multiphoton effects at significant levels. From a classical basis a Finnish group developed a model based upon the modulation of the phase of a nuclear state,<sup>42-44</sup> and from scattering theory a Soviet group<sup>45</sup> developed a quantum theory for an unrealistic type of magnetic material. Our own concepts are best characterized as a nuclear analog to the dressed state theory for atoms of Cohen-Tannoudji.<sup>46</sup>

Though completely obscured by different terminology and by the gulf between classical and quantum physics, ours and the Finnish representation are the same. Because of its clarity, the Finnish description is superior since we are able to justify it quantum mechanically.

For cases in which there is no static magnetic field<sup>41</sup> or in which the modulation is parallel to the static field<sup>5</sup> the effect of the time varying component,  $H_0 f(t)$  upon a nuclear eigenstate of the nucleus,  $\Psi_{\alpha,m}^0$  can be written,

$$\Psi_{\alpha,m} = e^{-i\phi_{\alpha}(t)} \Psi_{\alpha,m}^{(0)}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\phi_{\alpha}(t)$  is the modulation angle of the phase,

$$\phi_\alpha(t) = m\omega_\alpha \int_0^t f(t') dt' \quad , \quad (2)$$

and the Larmor frequency,  $\omega_\alpha$  is,

$$\omega_{\alpha m} = \mu_N g_\alpha H_0 / \hbar \quad , \quad (3)$$

where  $\mu_N$  is the nuclear magneton,  $g_\alpha$  is the gyromagnetic ratio for the  $\alpha$ -th excited or ground state of the nucleus, and  $m$  is the magnetic quantum number of the eigenstates.

In principle, the difference in phase modulation between the ground state,  $g$ , and an excited state,  $e$ , may be observed during an absorption transition because the Fourier components of  $\phi_e(t) - \phi_g(t)$  will be manifest as sidebands. However, since the transition will have a width,  $\Gamma$  associated with the time-dependent decay of the states, unless,

$$\hbar\omega_\alpha \geq \Gamma \quad , \quad (4)$$

the sidebands will be buried in the natural wings of the probing transition.

The Finnish group had derived the factor  $\exp. [i(\phi_e - \phi_g)]$  and obtained the sidebands but only for this case of linear media. With this restriction coherent effects will inevitably be small. Our conceptual key to orders-of-magnitude of enhancement of phase modulation effects has been reported<sup>11-13</sup> to lie in the use of smaller powers to manipulate the greater magnetic fields arising from the natural correlations of individual spins in ferromagnetic materials. However, the modulation  $\partial M / \partial t$  of the magnetization,  $M$  of a ferromagnetic material is rarely parallel to either the applied field  $H_0$  or even to  $M$ , itself.<sup>47</sup> For such cases of nuclei in ferromagnetic media the modulation angle of Eq. (2) takes a more complex form;<sup>11</sup> and one which causes a mixing of the eigenstates,  $\Psi^{(0)}_{\alpha m}$ . Nevertheless, the principal parameter is still a Larmor frequency,  $\Omega_\alpha$  which for magnetic environments becomes,

$$\Omega_\alpha = \mu_N g_\alpha M / \hbar \quad , \quad (5)$$

a value much larger than that found in Eq. (3) for nonmagnetic samples.

The approximate phase angle we developed<sup>11</sup> becomes,

$$\phi_\alpha(t) = \beta_\alpha (\omega_0 \Omega_\alpha / \omega^2) \sin \phi_\alpha (\sin \omega t - \omega t \cos \omega t) \quad , \quad (6)$$

where  $\omega$  is the frequency of reversal of the applied fields,  $\omega_0$  is the magnon Larmor frequency,  $\phi_\alpha$  is the average angle between the perturbation and the easy axis of the material.

$$\beta_g \text{ is } 1 \text{ and } \beta_e = -3|\omega_e/\omega_g| \quad .$$

In ferromagnetic foils our expression for the nuclear phase of Eq. (6) replaces the Finnish expression of Eq. (2) for linear materials. Sidebands are computed the same way from the Fourier coefficients of  $\exp [i(\phi_e - \phi_g)]$ ; while the dressed states come from the Fourier expansions of the individual  $\exp [i \phi_e]$  and  $\exp [i \phi_g]$ .

Essentially, the results are the same with the ferromagnetic media scaling as  $(\omega_0 \Omega_g / \omega^2)$  while the nonmagnetic materials would be limited to the relative size of  $\omega_g / \omega$ . Since  $\Omega_g \gg \omega_g$  by the ratio of magnetization to free space field strength, and  $\omega_0 \gg \omega_g$  because of the ratios of nuclear mass to electron mass the effects will be much larger in magnetic media whenever applied frequencies  $\omega$  are comparable to the static splittings of the nuclear transitions of the order of  $\omega_e$  and  $\omega_g$ .

Motivated by the convergence of theories arising in such disparate circumstances we focused considerable attention upon the disturbing impression in the Mössbauer community that an acoustic origin had been "proven" for all sidebands by the benchmark experiment of Chien and Walker<sup>39</sup> in 1976. In that experiment an absorbing foil composed of ferromagnetic and nonmagnetic layers was used to study transport of the causative agent from the ferromagnetic layer into the nonmagnetic region where the sidebands were produced upon Mössbauer transitions of embedded <sup>57</sup>Fe nuclei. Very clear evidence showed that the cause did arise in the ferromagnetic Ni layers, producing sidebands in the nonmagnetic stainless steel layers. The most ready explanation at that time was a transport of phonons from one layer to the next with a high acoustic Q. Those experiments were repeated<sup>48</sup> but with extensions which contradicted the classic interpretation of Chien and Walker.<sup>39</sup> In fact, our reexamination shows the original experiment to have been so flawed that any conclusions drawn from it must now be considered unproven.

This definitive assessment of the Chien and Walker experiment was finally completed to the reviewers' satisfactions and published during the current reporting period. A reprint is found in Appendix I. In the past year an even more critical experiment was completed which further reduced the role possible

for magnetostrictively generated phonons in sideband production.<sup>49</sup> Those results are reviewed in the following section.

#### RECENT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

The propagation of magnetoelastic waves is a complex problem which has been intensively studied since 1958.<sup>50</sup> For many magnetic media the dispersion equation for such waves displays several branches<sup>51,52</sup> which can be individually identified with spin waves, magnetostatic waves or elastic waves. Mixed waves coupling magnons and phonons occur principally when branches intersect, so that the frequencies and wavelengths for both are nearly equal.<sup>50</sup> Away from those values of parameters magnetic and acoustic waves can be separated. In principle this offers a means to propagate only the former to some remote part of a sample where it is desired to magnetically modulate the phases of the states of the nuclei without carrying acoustic noise along to the same place.

The most convenient of the Mössbauer transitions for modulation experiments is the 14.4-keV transition of <sup>57</sup>Fe diluted in a thin metal foil. The propagation of magnetic waves in conductive foils presents a special problem because of eddy current losses; Kittel has given an approximation<sup>50</sup> which would limit the mean free path for a magnon to a few wavelengths for the frequencies of tens of MHz which would be interesting for use with <sup>57</sup>Fe. For this reason the preferred choices for the propagation of magnetization in such thin metallic foils are the magnetostatic waves characterized by long wavelengths and high group velocities<sup>51,53</sup> that are quite removed from intersections with acoustic branches. Wavelengths can readily reach the scale of millimeters, and it has been demonstrated that dispersion properties are little affected by raising the temperature of the foil above the Curie point.<sup>54</sup> Thus, if they can be excited at the boundaries, such spin waves should propagate in paramagnetic foils as well as they do in conductive ferromagnetic foils.

Relatively recently, it has been shown<sup>55</sup> how to communicate the large values of magnetization characteristic of ferromagnetic materials into thin foils of paramagnetic media, such as stainless at room temperature, by

sandwiching it between ferromagnetic layers. Grünberg demonstrated that at small separations ferromagnetic foils switch coherently so that lines of fringing flux emerging from one continue across to the other. Flux refraction insures that this small normal component is compressed in the separating layer by a factor comparable to its ratio of length to thickness, an aspect ratio of about  $10^4$  in these experiments. In this way a wave of oscillating magnetization was launched into a stainless tape, enriched in  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  so that nuclear phase modulation might be observed at a distance from the source of the disturbance that was greater than the range for the transport of acoustic phonons.

As a basis for comparison, sidebands were excited on the unsplit absorption transition of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  nuclei at 14.4 keV in a stainless steel foil by sinusoidal vibrations injected with a piezoelectric source as shown in Fig. 1a. In the usual longitudinal geometry for a transmission experiment a convenient level of input power produced a reference level of sideband development in which the 4th order contained 20% of the intensity remaining in the parent line. In the transverse geometry the effect of phonons transported in the foil about 1 cm around a bend of 90 degrees could not be detected, even with a tenfold increase in power above the reference level.

Replacing the piezoelectric source with a pair of  $2.5 \mu\text{m}$  foils of ferromagnetic Ni sinusoidally magnetized as shown in Fig. 1b, gave the opportunity to launch what we believe are large amplitude spin waves into the paramagnetic stainless foil. The longitudinal geometry was used to set the same reference level of sideband development at the source. In the transverse geometry at this same level of input power, the first order sidebands were found to have 20% of the intensity of the parent line, a level 10 times the threshold for detection and hence, 100 times any component contributed by acoustic phonons.




Figure 1: Schematic representation of the mounting of the enriched stainless steel foil  $2.5 \mu\text{m}$  thick used in these transmission experiments. The vectors of the propagation of the gammas from sources to detectors are shown by the dotted arrows for the longitudinal and transverse arrangements shown. a) (left) Sidebands driven by phonons from an X-cut quartz crystal. b) (right) Sidebands driven by the oscillating magnetization of the two Ni foils immersed in the applied  $H$  field shown.

Finally, to confirm the magnetic nature of the transport of the cause of the sidebands, the Ni foil generating the spin waves was separated from the SS foil by a gap which was then bridged by foils having various magnetic and acoustic properties. To insure reliable and reproducible contact of the foils the layers were pressed between two thin glass plates. As expected, these plates alone were unable to communicate the spin waves from the Ni to the SS and no sidebands could be produced without a bridging foil.

All of the bridging foils were of a uniform size and thickness being 4 mm by 10 mm by  $25 \mu\text{m}$ , respectively. They differed only in acoustic and magnetic properties. As shown in Table I, the transport of the spin waves correlated completely with the susceptibility of the bridge and showed no dependence upon the matchings of acoustic impedances.

TABLE I. Summary of experimental results for the rf bridging experiments.

Bridging Material	Susceptibility $\chi$ ( $10^{-6}$ cgs)	Acoustics Impedence $Z = \rho c$ ( $10^5$ g/cm <sup>2</sup> sec)	Effect Transported
Aluminum	+ 16.5	17.33	yes
Copper	- 5.46	44.74	no
Silver	- 19.5	37.96	no
310-SS	+ 4000	45.4	yes
Tin	- 37.0	24.24	no
Titanium	+ 153	27.32	yes

For example, consider the case for Al which has a magnetic susceptibility of +16.5 ( $\times 10^{-6}$  cgs) but which offers a very poor matching of its acoustic impedance to that of either Ni or SS. With an Al bridge there was a strong transport of the cause of the sidebands. In contrast Cu which is diamagnetic, as indicated by its negative susceptibility, should have been unable to propagate spin waves across the gap, despite its very close match of acoustic properties to both Ni and SS. Sidebands did not develop with the Cu bridge.

The details of the experimental arrangement together with the data are reproduced in the reprint appearing in Appendix I. There is a twofold significance to the results described there.

1) In stainless steel spin waves can be transported more efficiently than phonons, thus providing a means for studying the direct modulation of nuclear states in an environment free from acoustic noise.

2) Even with transport the intensities of magnetic sidebands are greatly enhanced by ferromagnetic sources meaning that relatively large interaction energies can be developed at the nuclear level with modest applied powers.

The means have now been demonstrated to excite large scale modulations of the phases of nuclear state, even in nonmagnetic samples.

Also reported during the past year was the use of the Frequency Modulation Spectrometer developed under our previous ONR contract. As described in

the manuscript, "Mössbauer isomer shift measurements without mechanical tuning," by T. W. Sinor, P. W. Reittinger, and C. B. Collins, the use of rf sidebands as tunable spectroscopic probes, allows access to a higher level of measurement precision. As an example, the isomer shift of an iron sample relative to a  $^{57}\text{Co}$  source in a Pd matrix was determined to be  $-(0.1869 \pm 0.003)$  mm/sec in comparison to the literature value of  $-(0.185 \pm 0.02)$  mm/sec. A copy of the galley proof describing that work is also included in Appendix I.

While this current reporting period is not completed at the time of writing, it can be expected that for the remainder of this year our efforts will be focused upon an investigation of the frequency dependence of the dressed state amplitudes produced by the spin waves. Our earlier model<sup>11</sup> for the ferromagnetic modulation of nuclear phases did not envision the specific use of spin waves in the excitation sequence, but the formalism may nevertheless represent a reasonable approximation. This will be evaluated in the tasks remaining for this reporting period.

## REFERENCES

1. C. B. Collins, S. Olariu, M. Petrascu, and I. Popescu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 1397 (1979).
2. C. B. Collins, S. Olariu, M. Petrascu, and I. Popescu, Phys. Rev. C20, 1942 (1979).
3. C. B. Collins, in Proceedings of the International Conference on Lasers '80, edited by C. B. Collins (STS Press, McLean, VA, 1981) pp. 524-531.
4. S. Olariu, I. Popescu, and C. B. Collins, Phys. Rev. C23, 50 (1981).
5. S. Olariu, I. Popescu, and C. B. Collins, Phys. Rev. C23, 1007 (1981).
6. C. B. Collins, in Proceedings of the International Conference on Lasers '81, edited by C. B. Collins (STS Press, McLean, VA, 1982) pp. 291-295.
7. C. B. Collins, in Laser Techniques for Extreme Ultraviolet Spectroscopy, edited by T. J. McIlrath (AIP Conference Proceeding Series No. 90).
8. C. B. Collins, F. W. Lee, D. M. Shemwell, B. D. DePaola, S. Olariu, and I. I. Popescu, J. Appl. Phys. 53, 4645 (1982).
9. C. B. Collins, F. Davanloo, and T. S. Bowen, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 57, 863-865 (1986).
10. F. Davanloo, T. S. Bowen, and C. B. Collins, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 58, 2103 (1987).
11. C. B. Collins and B. D. Depaola, Optics Letters, 10, 25-27 (1985).
12. B. D. Depaola, S. S. Wagal, and C. B. Collins, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B. 1, 812-817 (1984).
13. B. D. Depaola, S. S. Wagal, and C. B. Collins, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B. 2, 541-543 (1985).
14. C. B. Collins, in Advances in Laser Science - I, edited by W. C. Swalley and M. Lapp (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 146, Dallas, 1985) p. 18.
15. F. Davanloo, T. S. Bowen, and C. B. Collins, in Advances in Laser Science - I, edited by W. C. Swalley and M. Lapp (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 146, Dallas, 1985) p. 60.
16. S. S. Wagal and C. B. Collins, in Advances in Laser Science - I, edited by W. C. Swalley and M. Lapp (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 146, Dallas, 1985) p. 62.
17. C. B. Collins, in Advances in Laser Science - I, edited by W. C. Swalley and M. Lapp (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 146, Dallas, 1985) p. 40.
18. C. B. Collins, in Advances in Laser Science - II, edited by M. Lapp, W. C. Swalley, and G. A. Kenney-Wallace (AIP Conference Proceedings, New York, 1987), pp. 45-54.
19. F. Davanloo, T. S. Bowen, and C. B. Collins, in Advances in Laser Science - II, edited by M. Lapp, W. C. Swalley, and G. A. Kenney-Wallace (AIP Conference Proceedings, New York, 1987), pp. 63-65.
20. S. S. Wagal, P. Reittinger, E. Juengerman, C. D. Eberhard, and C. B. Collins, in Advances in Laser Science - II, edited by M. Lapp, W. C. Swalley, and G. A. Kenney-Wallace (AIP Conference Proceedings, New York, 1987), pp. 66-68.

21. T. S. Bowen, J. J. Coogan, F. Davanloo, and C. B. Collins, in Advances in Laser Science - II, edited by M. Lapp, W. C. Swalley, and G. A. Kenney-Wallace (AIP Conference Proceedings, New York, 1987), pp. 98-100.
22. P. Reittinger, S. S. Wagal, and C. B. Collins, in Advances in Laser Science - II, edited by M. Lapp, W. C. Swalley, and G. A. Kenney-Wallace (AIP Conference Proceedings, New York, 1987), pp. 101-103.
23. P. W. Reittinger, T. W. Sinor, S. S. Wagal, and C. B. Collins, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 59, 362 (1988).
24. N. D. Heiman, L. Pfeiffer, and J. C. Walker, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 21, 93 (1968).
25. G. J. Perlow, *Phys. Rev.* 172, 319 (1968).
26. G. Asti, G. Albanese, and C. Bucci, *Nuovo Cimento* 57B, 531 (1968).
27. G. Asti, G. Albanese, and C. Bucci, *Phys. Rev.* 184, 260 (1969).
28. H. D. Heiman, J. C. Walker, and L. Pfeiffer, *Phys. Rev.* 184, 281 (1969).
29. L. Pfeiffer, *J. Apply. Phys.* 42, 1725 (1971).
30. V. Mitin, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 194, 59 (1970) [*Sov. Phys. Dokl.* 15, 827 (1971)].
31. N. D. Heiman, J. C. Walker, and L. Pfeiffer, in Mössbauer Effect Methodology, edited by I. Gruverman (Plenum, New York, 1971), Vol. VI.
32. L. Pfeiffer, in Mössbauer Effect Methodology, edited by I. Gruverman (Plenum, New York, 1971), Vol. VII.
33. L. Pfeiffer, N. D. Heiman, and J. C. Walker, *Phys. Rev.* B6, 74 (1972).
34. N. Heiman, R. K. Hester, and S. P. Weeks, *Phys. Rev.* B8, 3145 (1973).
35. C. L. Chien and J. C. Walker, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Mössbauer Spectroscopy, Cracow, 1975* (unpublished), p. 47.
36. M. Kopcewicz, A. Kotlicki, and M. Szefer, see Ref. 24, p. 49.
37. M. Kopcewicz, see Ref. 24, p. 53.
38. Y. V. Baldokhin, V. A. Povitskii, V. A. Makarov, and E. F. Makarov, see Ref. 24, p. 55.
39. C. L. Chien and J. C. Walker, *Phys. Rev.* B13, 1876, (1976).
40. References 33 and 39 seem to have been particularly important in establishing the magnetostrictive model.
41. P. J. West and E. Matthias, *Z. Physik* A288, 369 (1978).
42. P. Helisto, E. Ikonen, T. Katila, and K. Riski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 49, 1209 (1982).
43. E. Ikonen, P. Helisto, T. Katila, and K. Riski, *Phys. Rev. A* 32, 2298 (1985).
44. E. Ikonen, P. Helisto, J. Hietaniemi, and T. Katila, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 60, 643 (1988).
45. E. K. Sadykov and A. I. Skvortsov, *Sov. Phys. Solid State* 29, 1818 (1987).

46. C. Cohen-Tannoudji, Frontiers in Laser Spectroscopy, Session XXVII (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1975).
47. T. H. O'Dell, Ferromagnetodynamics (J. Wiley, N.Y., 1981) Ch. 2.
48. C. B. Collins, P. W. Reittinger, and T. W. Sinor, "Comments on 'Mössbauer Sidebands from a Single Parent Line'," Phys. Rev. B. 39, 1-5 (1989).
49. T. W. Sinor, P. W. Reittinger, and C. B. Collins, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2547 (1989).
50. C. Kittel, Phys. Rev. 110, 836 (1958).
51. P. C. Fletcher and C. Kittel, Phys. Rev. 120, 2004 (1960).
52. E. Schlömann, J. Appl. Phys. 31, 1647 (1960).
53. R. W. Damon and J. R. Eshback, J. Appl. Phys. Suppl. 31, 1045 (1960).
54. H. A. Mook, J. W. Lynn, and R. M. Nicklow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 30, 556 (1973).
55. P. Grünberg, J. Appl. Phys. 51, 4338 (1980).

APPENDIX I

Reprints of publications of work completed during this reporting period.

"Comment on 'Mössbauer Sidebands from a Single Parent Line'," by C. B. Collins, P. W. Reittinger, and T. W. Sinor, Phys. Rev. B 39, 9655 (1989).

"Large Scale Effects of the Magnetic Phase Modulation of Recoilless Gamma Transitions," T. W. Sinor, P. W. Reittinger, and C. B. Collins, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2547 (1989).

"Mössbauer Isomer Shift Measurements without Mechanical Tuning," T. W. Sinor, P. W. Reittinger, and C. B. Collins, Rev. Sci. Instrum. (pending).

## Comment on "Mössbauer sidebands from a single parent line"

C. B. Collins, P. W. Reittinger, and T. W. Sinor

*Center for Quantum Electronics, University of Texas at Dallas, P.O. Box 830688, Richardson, Texas 75083-0688*

(Received 25 March 1988)

Foils composed of alternating layers of ferromagnetic and nonmagnetic materials immersed in magnetic fields oscillating at radio frequencies display sidebands on Mössbauer transitions from the nuclei contained in the nonmagnetic regions. Attributed by Chien and Walker [Phys. Rev. B **13**, 1876 (1976)] to the transfer into the nonmagnetic layer of acoustic phonons excited by magnetostriction in the ferromagnetic layers, this accepted cause of such effects is challenged by new data resulting from a reexamination and extension of that classical experiment.

The paper of Chien and Walker<sup>1</sup> was of such critical importance that it warrants comment over a decade later. Generally perceived as reporting an unarguable proof of a certain basic proposition, it has now been found to have rested upon a demonstrably false assumption. A reexamination of the original experiment shows it to have been so flawed that any conclusions drawn from it must now be considered unproven.

The point of inception had been the original proposal of Mitin<sup>2,3</sup> that Mössbauer transitions could be excited as part of a multiphoton process in nuclei immersed in intense radio-frequency (rf) fields. In those cases the Mössbauer spectrum was expected to show additional sum and difference frequency lines displaced from the normal lines by integral multiples of the perturbing frequency. In appearance such multiphoton spectra are expected to resemble the transmission spectra which Ruby and Bolef<sup>4</sup> obtained by imposing periodic Doppler shifts of purely mechanical origin upon the Mössbauer source. This unfortunate similarity in appearance between phenomena arising from such different origins provided the basis for years of critical controversy seemingly resolved by the work of Chien and Walker.<sup>1</sup> The purpose of this comment is to report new data from a repetition and extension of the Chien and Walker experiment that shows their conclusions to be unjustified. Without the force of conviction conveyed by their work, the controversy must be reopened to further investigation.

The earliest experiment in radio-frequency sideband production, reported by Perlow<sup>5</sup> in 1968, focused upon the components of the 14.4-keV transition in <sup>57</sup>Fe. Several <sup>57</sup>Co sources diffused into ferromagnetic hosts were immersed into intense magnetic fields oscillating at radio frequencies. Those results were attributed<sup>5</sup> to successive jumps in the hyperfine energies of the radiating states that had been caused by rotations of the local direction of the magnetization at the nucleus. Those jumps were assumed

to occur at the random times when domain walls passed, but with an average periodicity which was treated as a fitted parameter. Such a treatment could be termed a magnetodynamic model of sideband development which anticipated the results of the more complex propagation of the rotations of the magnetization in foils and tapes that was subsequently developed.<sup>6,7</sup> It generally conformed to the Mitin hypothesis for multiphoton transitions. Two of the three groups who initially documented this phenomena favored the magnetodynamic explanation which required no mechanical action<sup>5,8,9</sup> while the other group began to develop an alternative based entirely upon magnetostriction.<sup>10,11</sup> Most of the actual experiments had used ferromagnetic hosts to enhance the applied magnetic fields, and such materials are almost invariably magnetostrictive. In the model finally synthesized, periodic Doppler shifts were assumed to be driven by acoustic phonons which were excited by magnetostriction along the greatest dimensions of the material and scattered onto the axis connecting source and absorber. To be effective, this mechanism required the sample to have a large acoustic  $Q$  so that displacements of the active nuclei could build to significant values.

Despite the accretion over the years of a large body of phenomenology presumed to describe rf sidebands on Mössbauer transitions, the magnetostrictive-acoustic theory never quantitatively predicted the amplitudes of the sidebands as functions of either applied power or frequency. However, the magnetodynamic models of that time fared no better, and attention turned to "proving" a magnetostrictive origin by distressing the alternative explanations.<sup>12</sup> The obvious difficulty with proving a theory by distressing the alternatives is that those other explanations may not have reached comparable levels of maturation. The magnetodynamic models of the late 1960's were relatively easy to destroy.<sup>12</sup> However, the recent successes of ferromagnetodynamics<sup>6,7</sup> show the early models<sup>5</sup> of

sideband formation to have been inspired, but inadequate approximations. Those models simply did not embody the level of sophistication necessary to describe the complex switching behavior of magnetization in ferromagnetic foils subjected to various combinations of static and oscillating fields in those geometries employed.

More recent experiments<sup>13,14</sup> have shown that the applications of such oscillating magnetic fields to Mössbauer nuclei embedded in nonmagnetic hosts do produce radio-frequency sidebands by directly modulating the phases of the nuclear states involved in the transitions. However, amplitudes were rather small in those experiments because the driving forces depended only upon the value of applied field  $\mu_0 H$ . In 1984, we extended such approaches further by deriving the phase modulation of a nuclear state in a magnetic material.<sup>15</sup> In this case driving forces were proportional to the magnetization  $\mu_0 M$  and effects were found to be large.<sup>15-17</sup> It appears that many prior results attributed exclusively to acoustic effects driven by magnetostriction could have also benefited from an unrecognized contribution from direct phase modulations of the nuclear states involved.

From a current perspective it is the experiment reported by Chien and Walker<sup>1</sup> that forms the bulwark of the magnetostrictive-acoustic explanation of Mössbauer sidebands. In that experiment an absorbing foil composed of ferromagnetic and nonmagnetic layers was used to study transport of the causative agent from the ferromagnetic layer into the nonmagnetic region where the sidebands were produced upon Mössbauer transitions of embedded <sup>57</sup>Fe nuclei. Very clear evidence showed that the cause did arise in the ferromagnetic Ni layers, producing sidebands in the nonmagnetic stainless-steel layers. The most ready explanation at that time was a transport of phonons from one layer to the next with a high acoustic  $Q$ . Those experiments were repeated in the work reported here, but with extensions which contradict the classic interpretation of Chien and Walker.<sup>1</sup>

Although not unique for all sidebands in a spectrum,<sup>1</sup> the idea of a modulation index  $m$  as a measure of the strength of the development of the sidebands offers practical convenience for descriptions. For a magnetostrictive origin,<sup>1</sup>

$$m = x_0/\lambda, \quad (1)$$

where  $x_0$  is the amplitude of the periodic displacement of the nuclei and  $\lambda = 0.137 \text{ \AA}$  for the 14.4 keV line of <sup>57</sup>Fe. In the corresponding magnetodynamic model,<sup>15</sup>

$$m = bH, \quad (2)$$

where  $H$  is the applied magnetic field and  $b$  provides proportionality between  $M_s$ , the saturation magnetization of the medium, and  $H$ . For relatively small  $m$ , the ratio of the magnitude of the first order sidebands to the intensity in the original parent line is proportional to  $m^2$ , which in turn is proportional to  $P$ , the applied radio-frequency power.

One of the most compelling results presented by Chien and Walker<sup>1</sup> was a demonstration supposed to show the enhancement of  $m^2$  afforded by tighter acoustic coupling of the layers. They found that electroplating Ni upon a

stainless-steel foil produced much higher values of  $m^2$  in absorption experiments than could be obtained by gluing a Ni foil to the stainless foil. They attributed the difference to the obviously poorer acoustic properties of the glue. However, as part of this report we observe that their stainless-steel foil was electroplated on *both* sides with Ni while the epoxied bond was used to join a *single* Ni foil to one side of the stainless absorber. While the  $m$  defined by Eq. (1) for a single foil could not be additive if produced in different magnetostrictive layers, in principle the  $H$  upon which  $m$  depends in Eq. (2) could add coherently. Two sources of  $m$  arising from distinctly separate sources could give a resulting modulation of  $4m^2$  in a magneto-dynamic model. Chien and Walker failed to recognize<sup>1</sup> that even in the magnetostrictive model two sources of  $m$  generated in the two electroplated layers should give a modulation index of  $2m^2$  in the absorber foil. Instead, they attributed the increased sideband intensity developed by the two plated sources in comparison to the one glued source only to the advantage they assumed for a plated contact over a glued interface. They reported no comparison of the effects of gluing or plating the *same number of ferromagnetic layers to the absorber foil*. Reported here is a repetition of the Chien and Walker experiment which showed that the effect of two foils varied from two to four times that produced by a single foil joined in the same fashion, depending upon the static magnetic bias applied.

In our experiment the absorber was a 2.5  $\mu\text{m}$  paramagnetic stainless-steel (SS) foil with 90.6% enrichment of <sup>57</sup>Fe. For the nonabsorbing ferromagnetic drivers, 2.5  $\mu\text{m}$  Ni foils were used, all of which were cut from a single sheet of polycrystalline Ni. The stainless-steel absorber was sandwiched between two Ni foils and held in rigid contact by mounting the foils between glass cover slides of 100  $\mu\text{m}$  thickness. A conventional Mössbauer spectrometer, modified for rf experiments (Fig. 1), utilized a 25-mCi source in a Rh matrix to obtain the <sup>57</sup>Fe absorption spectra. The 14.4-keV gamma rays were detected with a Kr gas filled proportional counter biased with 1.8 kV.

A 25 MHz rf magnetic field was applied by mounting the foils in the cylindrical induction coil of an L-C tank circuit. In obtaining data for a direct comparison between the effect of one Ni driver versus two, the product of the applied rf power  $P$  and the electrical  $Q$  of the circuit containing the rf induction coil was maintained at constant values. Elementary analysis shows that if  $PQ$  is constant the rf current in the coil of such a circuit is also constant and hence the two absorber arrangements are subjected to applied fields of the same intensity  $H$ . The results of the first experiment verified the linearity of the first-order sideband amplitudes at 25 MHz for SS with two Ni drivers with  $PQ$  products of 75, 150, and 300 W as shown in Fig. 2. The spectra are scaled so that the intensity of the central Mössbauer absorption peak of <sup>57</sup>Fe in SS is held constant in order to make direct comparisons of the sideband amplitudes.

Having established the linearity of the first-order sidebands in the Ni-SS-Ni sandwich, one of the Ni drivers was removed and the experiment was repeated with the same  $PQ$  products as before. Figure 3 shows a comparison of the sideband amplitude for two Ni drivers versus




FIG. 1. Schematic drawing of the experimental arrangement used.

one; in this configuration two Ni drivers give twice the effect of one driver foil.

In the next experiments a comparison was made between the effect of one source of excitation with that from two sources when both were biased with a static magnetic field. Rare-earth element magnets were placed about the induction coil such that the static magnetic field was mutually orthogonal to the rf magnetic field and to the direction of gamma-ray propagation.

The linearity of the sideband amplitudes at 25 MHz as a function of  $PQ$  was again established as shown in Fig. 4 to insure that the introduction of the static magnetic field did not introduce any nonlinearities into the system. The scale thus established was used to measure the decrease in the sideband amplitude when one of the sources of excitation was removed from this biased sandwich. As is clearly shown in Fig. 5, the sideband amplitudes obtained with two driver foils are four times the amplitudes obtained with one driver foil. Therefore, with the application of a




FIG. 2. Experimental verification of the linearity of the first-order sidebands at 25 MHz as a function of the applied rf power. The product of the applied rf power  $P$  and the quality factor  $Q$  of the circuit are used to insure reproducibility of the rf field strengths.




FIG. 3. Comparison of first-order sideband amplitudes for one Ni driver foil vs two at 25 MHz with a  $PQ$  product of 300 W.




FIG. 4 Establishment of linearity of the first-order sidebands at 25 MHz with  $PQ = 75, 150,$  and  $300$  W when the foils are biased with a static  $B$  field.

static  $B$  field, two sources of excitation give four times the effect.

The results of this reexamination of the Chien and Walker experiment support only the first conclusion reached in that original work, namely that the causative agent of rf sidebands can be produced in a ferromagnetic layer and then transported into a nonmagnetic layer. Their other conclusion is completely refuted by this demonstration because the effects they attributed to the type of coupling between layers most probably resulted from the relative numbers of magnetic and nonmagnetic layers.

These new results go beyond the propositions tested by Chien and Walker<sup>1</sup> and display behaviors completely inconsistent with the traditional magnetostrictive-acoustic origin of Mössbauer sidebands. In experiments such as these, acoustic phonons are the bosons associated with vector fields driven by tensor forces, *not* vector forces. Without invoking stimulated emission, we can conceive of no way in which tensor sources which are physically separated can produce coherent vector fields in a space between them, even if they are temporally synchronized. As the fields increase the magnetostrictive foils will become stressed along parallel axes which are displaced by the thickness of the stainless layer between them. There is no mechanism to produce a displacement vector in a particular transverse direction as a consequence of the resulting strains in the Ni foils. Only a small scale bulging or buck-




FIG. 5. Comparison of sideband amplitudes for one driver foil vs two when both are biased by a static  $B$  field with  $PQ = 300$  W. Here two foils give four times the effect of one thus giving a modulation index of  $4m^2$ .

ling of each Ni foil is to be expected and this is usually described as the scattering of phonons at right angles to the source. Without the stimulated emission of such phonons, there is no way to insure that one foil buckles toward the stainless layer at a particular point while the other buckles away.

The stimulated emission of phonons that would be necessary to produce coherent additions of the displacements arising from the different sources would imply the existence of a threshold of power, above which two modulation indices of  $m$  would give an effect of  $4m^2$  and below which only  $2m^2$ . No such threshold was suggested by data similar to that of Fig. 5 which was obtained over an adequate range of powers.

In view of the growing number of successes of the model for the direct modulation of the phases of the nuclear states and these new results which question the validity of the conclusions of the Chien and Walker<sup>1</sup> experiment, it would appear that the controversy over the origin of Mössbauer sidebands must be reopened.

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of this work by the Office of Naval Research, by the Naval Research Laboratory, and by the Innovative Science and Technology Directorate of the Strategic Defense Initiative Office.

- <sup>1</sup>C. L. Chien and J. C. Walker, *Phys. Rev. B* **13**, 1876 (1976).
- <sup>2</sup>A. V. Mitin, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **52**, 1596 (1967) [*Sov. Phys. JETP* **25**, 1062 (1967)].
- <sup>3</sup>A. V. Mitin, *Dok. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **194**, 59 (1971) [*Sov. Phys. Dokl.* **15**, 827 (1971)].
- <sup>4</sup>S. L. Ruby and D. I. Bolef, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **5**, 5 (1960).
- <sup>5</sup>G. L. Perlow, *Phys. Rev.* **172**, 319 (1968).
- <sup>6</sup>T. H. O'Dell, *Ferromagnetodynamics* (Wiley, New York, 1981), Chap. I.
- <sup>7</sup>C. W. Chen, *Magnetism and Metallurgy of Soft Magnetic Materials* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977).
- <sup>8</sup>G. Asti, G. Albanese, and C. Bucci, *Nuovo Cimento B* **57**, 531 (1968).
- <sup>9</sup>G. Asti, G. Albanese, and C. Bucci, *Phys. Rev.* **184**, 260 (1969).
- <sup>10</sup>N. D. Heiman, L. Pfeiffer, and J. C. Walker, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **21**, 93 (1968).
- <sup>11</sup>N. D. Heiman and J. C. Walker, *Phys. Rev.* **184**, 281 (1969).
- <sup>12</sup>L. Pfeiffer, N. D. Heiman, and J. C. Walker, *Phys. Rev. B* **6**, 74 (1972).
- <sup>13</sup>P. J. West and E. Matthias, *Z. Phys. A* **288**, 369 (1978).
- <sup>14</sup>E. Ikonen, P. Helistö, J. Hietaniemi, and T. Katila, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 643 (1988).
- <sup>15</sup>C. B. Collins and B. D. DePaola, *Opt. Lett.* **10**, 25 (1985).
- <sup>16</sup>B. D. DePaola and C. B. Collins, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **1**, 812 (1984).
- <sup>17</sup>B. D. DePaola, S. S. Wagal, and C. B. Collins, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **2**, 541 (1985).

## Large-Scale Effects of the Magnetic Phase Modulation of Recoilless $\gamma$ Transitions

T. W. Sinor, P. W. Reitinger, and C. B. Collins

Center for Quantum Electronics, University of Texas at Dallas, P.O. Box 830688,  
Richardson, Texas 75083-0688

(Received 6 July 1988)

The excitation of coherent transients in Mössbauer spectra has been previously limited by the high powers required to modulate the nuclear phases. Reported here is an orders-of-magnitude increase in the efficiency through which such phenomena can be produced. Magnetic modulation of the quantum phases of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  nuclei in paramagnetic media has been produced by spin waves of large amplitude transported from ferromagnetic sources.

PACS numbers: 76.80.+y

A recent Letter<sup>1</sup> reported variations in recoilless  $\gamma$ -ray spectra produced by directly modulating the interaction energies arising from the couplings of the nuclear magnetic moments to the hyperfine fields. Described in terms of the phase modulation of the nuclear states involved in the transition, those results represent important realizations of some of the general possibilities for developing nuclear analogs<sup>2,3</sup> of coherent transient effects studied in quantum optics.

An example is found in the frequency domain in the excitation of sidebands on  $\gamma$ -ray transitions, and precursive work<sup>4-6</sup> had treated that problem in a manner equivalent to an application of the phase modulation formalism.<sup>3</sup> For cases in which there is no static magnetic field<sup>5</sup> or in which the modulation is parallel to the static field,<sup>6</sup> the effect of the time-varying component  $H_Q(t)$  upon an eigenstate of the nucleus,  $\Psi_{a,m}^{(0)}$ , can be written

$$\Psi_{a,m} = e^{-i\phi_a(t)} \Psi_{a,m}^{(0)}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\phi_a(t)$  is the modulation angle of the phase,

$$\phi_a(t) = m\omega_a \int_0^t f(t') dt', \quad (2)$$

and the Larmor frequency  $\omega_a$  is

$$\omega_a = \mu_N g_a H_Q / \hbar, \quad (3)$$

where  $\mu_N$  is the nuclear magneton,  $g_a$  is the gyromagnetic ratio for the  $a$ th excited or ground state of the nucleus, and  $m$  is the magnetic quantum number of the eigenstates.

In principle, the difference in phase modulation between the ground state,  $g$ , and an excited state,  $e$ , may be observed during an absorption transition because the Fourier components of  $\phi_e(t) - \phi_g(t)$  will be manifested as sidebands. However, since the transition will have a width  $\Gamma$  associated with the time-dependent decay of the states, unless,

$$\hbar\omega_a \geq \Gamma, \quad (4)$$

the sidebands will be buried in the natural wings of the probing transition.

Only the ingenious use of the ultranarrow, 93-keV line of  $^{67}\text{Zn}$  permitted the quantitative study of the

coherent phase modulation effects recently described.<sup>1</sup> That benchmark achievement required field amplitudes reaching 13.4 mT for sinusoidal modulation at applied frequencies  $\omega$  up to 10 kHz and effects were reported<sup>1</sup> to scale as  $(H_Q/\omega)^2$ .

The conceptual key to orders-of-magnitude enhancement of phase modulation effects has been reported<sup>7-9</sup> to lie in the use of smaller powers to manipulate the greater magnetic fields arising from the natural correlations of individual spins in ferromagnetic materials. However, the modulation  $\partial M/\partial t$  of the magnetization  $M$  of a ferromagnetic material is rarely parallel to either the applied field  $H_0$  or even to  $M$  itself.<sup>10</sup> For such cases of nuclei in ferromagnetic media, the modulation angle of Eq. (2) takes a more complex form;<sup>7</sup> and one which causes a mixing of the eigenstates  $\Psi_{a,m}^{(0)}$ . Nevertheless, the principal parameter is still a Larmor frequency  $\Omega_a$  which for magnetic environments becomes

$$\Omega_a = \mu_N g_a M / \hbar, \quad (5)$$

a value much larger than that found in Eq. (3) for non-magnetic samples. Unfortunately, magnetic materials are almost invariably magnetostrictive and the concern has lingered that even the enhanced efficiency phase modulation might always be overwhelmed by the periodic Doppler shifts produced by vibration in the lattices excited by magnetostriction.

The propagation of magnetoelastic waves is a complex problem which has been intensively studied since 1958.<sup>11</sup> For many magnetic media the dispersion equation for such waves displays several branches<sup>12,13</sup> which can be individually identified with spin waves, magnetostatic waves, or elastic waves. Mixed waves coupling magnons and phonons occur principally when branches intersect, so that the frequencies and wavelengths for both are nearly equal.<sup>11</sup> Away from those values of parameters magnetic and acoustic waves can be separated. In principle this offers a means to propagate only the former to some remote part of a sample where it is desired to magnetically modulate the phases of the states of the nuclei without carrying acoustic noise along to the same place.

The most convenient of the Mössbauer transitions for modulation experiments is the 14.4-keV transition of

$^{57}\text{Fe}$  diluted in a thin metal foil. The propagation of magnetic waves in conductive foils presents a special problem because of eddy-current losses; Kittel has given an approximation<sup>11</sup> which would limit the mean free path for a magnon to a few wavelengths for the frequencies of tens of MHz which would be interesting for use with  $^{57}\text{Fe}$ . For this reason the preferred choices for the propagation of magnetization in such thin metallic foils are the magnetostatic waves characterized by long wavelengths and high group velocities<sup>12,14</sup> that are quite removed from intersections with acoustic branches. Wavelengths can readily reach the scale of millimeters, and it has been demonstrated that dispersion properties are little affected by raising the temperature of the foil above the Curie point.<sup>15</sup> Thus, if they can be excited at the boundaries, such spin waves should propagate in paramagnetic foils as well as they do in conductive ferromagnetic foils.

A stainless-steel foil  $10\text{ mm} \times 20\text{ mm} \times 2.5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  was used in these experiments. It was rolled from a nonmagnetic alloy, 310, which was expected<sup>16</sup> to have a susceptibility of about  $4000 \times 10^{-6}$  cgs. When enriched in  $^{57}\text{Fe}$ , it displayed a single absorption line at 14.4 keV. Relatively recently, it has been shown<sup>17</sup> how to communicate the large values of magnetization characteristic of ferromagnetic materials into thin foils of paramagnetic media, such as stainless steel at room temperature, by sandwiching it between ferromagnetic layers. Grünberg demonstrated that at small separations ferromagnetic foils switch coherently so that lines of fringing flux emerging from one continue across to the other. Flux refraction insures that this small normal component is compressed in the separating layer by a factor comparable to its ratio of length to thickness, an aspect ratio of about  $10^4$  in these experiments. In this way a wave of

oscillating magnetization was launched into a stainless-steel tape, enriched in  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  so that nuclear phase modulation might be observed at a distance from the source of the disturbance that was greater than the range for the transport of acoustic phonons.

This experiment employed a conventional Mössbauer spectrometer with a 2 mCi  $^{57}\text{Co}$  source in a Pd matrix to obtain the  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  resonance spectra in a transmission geometry. To detect the 14.4-keV  $\gamma$  rays we used a high-resolution EG&G high-purity Ge detector. A Wavetek Model 3000 signal generator and a 50-dB ENI-325LA linear radio-frequency (rf) amplifier provided the oscillating magnetic field.

To calibrate the contributions from ultrasonic and from phase modulation effects two independent techniques of sideband generation were used. As a basis for comparison, ultrasonic sidebands were excited on the unsplit absorption line of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  nuclei in the same stainless-steel foil by sinusoidal vibrations injected with two different 25-MHz piezoelectric transducers. One was  $X$  cut and the other  $AT$  cut in order to inject the widest possible variety of phonons of vibration for transmission to the point of observation in the geometry of Fig. 1(a).

As shown in the lower panel of Fig. 1(b) an  $8 \times 10\text{ mm}^2$  section of the foil was acoustically bonded to each of the transducers used in this experiment. The remaining length was gently curved, forming a  $90^\circ$  angle with the plane of the transducer. Mechanical support was provided for the remote section of foil by mounting it between glass cover slides which were then fastened to the transducer cell.

In the usual geometry for a transmission experiment arranged to sample excitation at the source, a convenient level of input power of 0.06 W to the  $X$ -cut crystal produced the reference level of sideband development seen




FIG. 1. Schematic representation of the mounting arrangements used in the excitation of sidebands on the Mössbauer absorption line of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  in the  $2.5\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ -thick stainless-steel (SS) foil. (a) The optical path from  $\gamma$  source to detector is shown by the dotted arrow for the orthogonal  $x$  and  $y$  directions arranged to sample sideband development at the source of the phenomenon and at a remote point, respectively, as marked. Excitation is injected into the horizontal face of the SS foil and the vertical face is mechanically stabilized by the  $100\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ -thick glass cover slides sandwiching the absorber foil. (b) Upper: spin waves injected by the periodic oscillation of the Ni foils pressed onto the absorber foil by additional cover slides not shown and excited by the magnetic field in an inductor containing the Ni foils. The vertical section protrudes from the coil between windings. Lower: vibrational excitation injected by the  $X$ -cut quartz-crystal transducer connected to a radio-frequency oscillator. (c) Geometry used in the experiments designed to bridge a break in the conductive path with  $25\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ -thick foils of various materials.

in Fig. 2 in which the fourth order contained 34% of the intensity remaining in the parent transition. Nevertheless, the effect of phonons transported in the foil about 1 cm around a bend of  $90^\circ$  could not be detected even with a tenfold increase in power above the reference level. Nor could they be observed at the remote sampling point when excitation was provided by the *AT*-cut transducer which required a larger input power of 2.9 W in order to obtain the same reference level of sideband development at the source position. The presence of a high level of phonon excitation in the stainless-steel foil at the source end was clearly demonstrated by the strong development of the sidebands seen there, but evidently those phonons could not propagate to the remote point of observation. If there had been any low-loss modes for the transport of purely elastic waves in the stainless-steel foil, the population of phonons already in the foil at the source end should have coupled into them. However, the absence of phonon transport actually observed seems consistent with the difficulties expected in propagating transverse vibrations through a medium which is very thin in comparison to a wavelength and one in which the local acoustic  $Q$  is expected to be high.<sup>18</sup>

In the experimental arrangement used to launch spin waves, the piezoelectric transducer was replaced with a pair of 2.5- $\mu\text{m}$  foils of ferromagnetic Ni ( $8 \times 10 \text{ mm}^2$ ) which were periodically magnetized as shown in the upper panel of Fig. 1(b). The foils (Ni-SS-Ni) were held in rigid contact by sandwiching them between glass cover slides at the source end. Only the source end of the experiment was changed and the protruding section of the stainless-steel foil was again bent at an angle of  $90^\circ$  and enclosed between glass cover slides in the same way as before. Mechanical rigidity was provided to the absorber assembly by a plastic frame.

An rf magnetic field of 0.07 mT was applied to the absorber via a flattened induction coil of a tuned LC circuit. During observation in the  $x$  direction at the source end the rf power level was adjusted to give about the

same reference level of sideband development as had been generated with the piezoelectric transducer. This insured the same ambient level of phonons in the foil at the source end and thus provided for a direct comparison of the phase modulation effects propagated by magneto-static waves with the null level of acoustic noise that had reached the same point of observation during calibration.

The spectra obtained in the samples of the source and remote points in the geometry of Fig. 1(b) are shown in Fig. 3. At the remote point for this same level of input power, first-order sidebands containing about 22% of the intensity of the parent line developed. This represents a level 10 times the threshold for detection and hence 100 times any component contributed by acoustic phonons as determined from the data of Fig. 2. It seems that these experiments have shown that an oscillating alignment of spins can be propagated through a paramagnetic foil better than mechanical vibrations can be transported under the same conditions.

Moreover, such effects of spin waves were not sensitive to mechanical pressures or spurious damping and the complete disassembly, substitution of foils, and reassembly served to reproduce closely the levels of excitation seen in Fig. 3. Only the thickness and length of the foil, together with the level of input power, were found to be variables that significantly affected the result.

Finally, to confirm the magnetic nature of the transport of the cause of the sidebands, the Ni foil generating the spin waves was separated from the SS foil by a gap which was then bridged as shown in Fig. 1(c) by foils having various magnetic and acoustic properties. To insure reliable and reproducible contact of the foils the layers were pressed between two thin glass plates. As expected, these plates alone were unable to communicate the spin waves from the Ni to the SS and no sidebands could be produced without a bridging foil.

All of the bridging foils were of a uniform size and thickness, being 4 mm by 10 mm by 25  $\mu\text{m}$ , respectively. They differed only in acoustic and magnetic properties.




FIG. 2. Absorption spectra of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  in stainless steel showing sidebands developed by phonons present in the sample foil. (a) Reference spectrum observed in the  $x$  direction at the source at a level of excitation of 0.06 W in the piezoelectric crystal. (b) Spectrum observed in the  $y$  direction at the remote point shown in Fig. 1(a) for an excitation level of 0.7 W. Only the unsplit parent line is seen.




FIG. 3. Absorption spectra of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  in stainless steel showing sidebands resulting from the modulation of nuclear phases by spin waves present in the sample foil. (a) Reference level of excitation observed at the source in the  $x$  direction shown in Fig. 1(b) at a field amplitude of 0.07 mT. (b) Sidebands observed in the  $y$  direction at the remote point for the same driving field of 0.07 mT.

TABLE I. Summary of experimental results for the rf bridging experiments.

Bridging material	Susceptibility $\chi$ ( $10^{-6}$ cgs)	Acoustics impedance $Z = \rho c$ ( $10^5$ g/cm <sup>2</sup> sec)	Effect transported
Aluminum	+16.5	17.33	Yes
Copper	-5.46	44.74	No
Silver	-19.5	37.96	No
310-SS	+4000	45.4	Yes
Tin	-37.0	24.24	No
Titanium	+153	27.32	Yes

As shown in Table I, the transport of the spin waves correlated completely with the susceptibility of the bridge and showed no dependence upon the matchings of acoustic impedances.

For example, consider the case for Al which has a magnetic susceptibility of  $+16.5 \times 10^{-6}$  cgs but which offers a very poor matching of its acoustic impedance to that of either Ni or SS. With an Al bridge there was a strong transport of the cause of the sidebands as seen in Fig. 4(a). In contrast Cu which is diamagnetic, as indicated by its negative susceptibility, should have been unable to propagate spin waves across the gap, despite its very close match of acoustic properties to both Ni and SS. Figure 4(b) shows that sidebands did not develop with the Cu bridge.

While it is expected that the transport of purely acoustic waves should be quite sensitive to interface contacts and damping effects, the spin waves propagated in these experiments were not found to be affected by the contact pressure or by the mechanical mounting. The area of contact and length of the bridge were important parameters but the pressure of the cover glasses and placement of the supports were not important. The data of Fig. 4(a) were routinely reproduced to within the noise level even after disassembly and rearrangement of the mechanical parts.

In these experiments the driving amplitude  $H_0$  in the Ni was around 0.07 mT while the frequency was 23.74 MHz. Without the excitation of spin waves the effect should have been smaller by at least the ratios of the scaling parameters,  $(B_0/\omega)^2$ , a factor of  $10^{11}$  for this case. The great enhancement in the effects of coherent modulation which spin waves produce makes possible the examination of many other coherent phenomena predicted at practical ranges of tuning and accessible levels of input powers.




FIG. 4. Absorption spectra of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  in stainless steel in the geometry of Fig. 1(c) for a certain constant level of radio-frequency input. (a) Sidebands developed with an Al bridge. (b) Null effect obtained with a Cu bridge.

The authors acknowledge the support of this work by ONR and by Strategic Defense Initiative Office of Innovative Science and Technology (SDIO/IST) with direction by the NRL.

<sup>1</sup>E. Ikonen, P. Helisto, J. Hietaniemi, and T. Katila, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 643 (1988).

<sup>2</sup>P. Helisto, E. Ikonen, T. Katila, and K. Riski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 1209 (1982).

<sup>3</sup>E. Ikonen, P. Helisto, T. Katila, and K. Riski, Phys. Rev. A **32**, 2298 (1985).

<sup>4</sup>A. V. Mitin, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **52**, 1596 (1967) [Sov. Phys. JETP. **25**, 1062 (1967)].

<sup>5</sup>P. J. West and E. Matthias, Z. Phys. A **228**, 369 (1978).

<sup>6</sup>S. Olariu, I. Popescu, and C. B. Collins, Phys. Rev. C **23**, 1007 (1981).

<sup>7</sup>C. B. Collins and B. D. DePaola, Opt. Lett. **10**, 25 (1985).

<sup>8</sup>B. D. DePaola and C. B. Collins, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **1**, 812 (1984).

<sup>9</sup>B. D. DePaola, S. S. Wagal, and C. B. Collins, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **2**, 541 (1985).

<sup>10</sup>T. H. O'Dell, *Ferromagnetodynamics* (Wiley, New York, 1981), Chap. 2.

<sup>11</sup>C. Kittel, Phys. Rev. **110**, 836 (1958).

<sup>12</sup>P. C. Fletcher and C. Kittel, Phys. Rev. **120**, 2004 (1960).

<sup>13</sup>E. Schlömann, J. Appl. Phys. **31**, 1647 (1960).

<sup>14</sup>R. W. Damon and J. R. Eshback, J. Appl. Phys. Suppl. **31**, 1045 (1960).

<sup>15</sup>H. A. Mook, J. W. Lynn, and R. M. Nicklow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**, 556 (1973).

<sup>16</sup>T. Lyman, *Metals Handbook* (American Society for Metals, Metals Park, OH, 1961), 8th ed., Vol. I, p. 793.

<sup>17</sup>P. Grünberg, J. Appl. Phys. **51**, 4338 (1980).

<sup>18</sup>L. Pfeiffer, N. D. Heiman, and J. C. Walker, Phys. Rev. B **6**, 74 (1972).

# Mössbauer isomer shift measurements without mechanical tuning

T. W. Sinor, P. W. Reittinger, and C. B. Collins

Center for Quantum Electronics, University of Texas at Dallas, P. O. Box 830688, Richardson, Texas 75083-0688

(Received 16 March 1989; accepted for publication 28 June 1989)

A technique is described which demonstrates how a frequency modulation spectrometer (FMS) can be used to measure the isomer shift of ferromagnetic absorbers without mechanical tuning. As an example, the isomer shift of an iron sample relative to a  $^{57}\text{Co}$  source in a Pd matrix was measured and found to be  $-(0.1869 \pm 0.003)$  mm/s compared to the literature value of  $-(0.185 \pm 0.02)$  mm/s.

## INTRODUCTION

The high  $Q$  of a Mössbauer resonance, approximately  $10^{12}$  for  $^{57}\text{Fe}$ , provides photons of well-defined energy in the keV range that are useful for probing phenomena involving very small changes of energies. In this way Mössbauer spectroscopy can provide a highly detailed account of the way in which the nucleus interacts with its environment. It is only through the existence of the Mössbauer effect that a practical source of narrow line radiation can be produced at these wavelengths, and with such a source very small changes in frequency can be measured.

With Mössbauer techniques there is sufficient frequency resolution that spectral changes produced by magnetic perturbations to the nucleus can be observed in the short wavelength limit where quantum effects are dominant. In particular the Mössbauer effect is ideally suited to the study of the interaction of the nucleus with a rapidly oscillating magnetic field. Mitin<sup>1,2</sup> was the first to propose that Mössbauer transitions could be excited as part of a multiphoton interaction for nuclei immersed in intense radio-frequency fields. The earliest experiments investigating the influence of radio-frequency magnetic fields on Mössbauer transitions was reported by Perlow<sup>3</sup> in 1968. In his experiment, Perlow subjected several  $^{57}\text{Co}$  sources of the 14.4-keV transition of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  to intense magnetic fields oscillating at rf frequencies and was able to demonstrate the destruction of the Mössbauer hyperfine pattern by the action of the rf field. Later researchers<sup>4</sup> soon found that when a ferromagnetic iron absorber was subjected to long wavelength photons of an alternating magnetic field with frequency  $\Omega$  (MHz), the Mössbauer spectrum contained additional absorption lines, known as rf sidebands, at frequencies  $\omega, \pm n\Omega$  where  $\omega$  is the frequency of the parent transition and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , but these were shown to be artifacts produced by spurious acoustic noise generated in the absorber. True sum and difference frequency lines were not found in Mössbauer spectra until much later.<sup>5-10</sup> The origin of these tunable sidebands in multiphoton or nuclear phase modulation effects was established even more recently.<sup>11-14</sup>

The frequency dependence of these rf sidebands provides the basis for development of a high resolution gamma-ray spectrometer which operates by modulating the cross section for the gamma-ray absorption. A prototype of this Frequency Modulation Spectrometer (FMS) was first de-

scribed<sup>10</sup> by our laboratory in 1985 with subsequent refinements<sup>15</sup> reported in 1988.

A FMS spectrum of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  provides a direct measurement of rf sideband positions and intensities without interference from the parent transitions. It does this by scanning the frequency of the probing sidebands<sup>15</sup> as opposed to the shifting of the transition energy conventionally employed. In such a spectrum one can extrapolate information about the transitions between Zeeman split energy levels (parent transitions) and the nuclear isomer shift between the source and absorber. In particular, it provides a technique for accurately measuring the isomer shift directly in terms of frequency; a quantity which can be measured more precisely than the customary Doppler velocity.

The heart of the Frequency Modulation Spectrometer is an Apple II + computer which serves as a Multichannel Scaler (MCS) and an IEEE-488 GPIB interface as shown in Fig. 1. The GPIB enables the spectrometer to sweep continuously through the frequencies of the rf magnetic field which is controlled by a Wavetek frequency synthesizer. A Mössbauer linear motor allows the frequency of the incident gamma rays to be biased by a constant Doppler shift, if desired. The spectrometer currently has an instrumental resolution of 100 Hz and a frequency range of 1 MHz–1 GHz with a stability of 0.1 Hz/s when used with a stationary source.

In Fig. 2(a) a conventional Mössbauer spectrum of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  showing the six allowed magnetic transitions is shown without external perturbation. Component lines are labeled 1 through 6 with the transition of lowest energy identified as number 1. In the spectrum of the same absorber subject to the excitation of rf sidebands as shown in Fig. 2(b), the sidebands have been labeled using the following nomenclature. The first digit corresponds to the order of the sideband  $n$ , with the second number corresponding to its particular parent transition,  $p$ . The sign of  $n$  indicates whether the sideband appears at a higher ( $+n$ ) or lower ( $-n$ ) energy than its parent transition. For example,  $-1;5$  denotes the first order sideband displaced to lower energies from parent transition 5.

The parent transitions 1 and 6 of Fig. 2(a) are symmetric about the centroid of the resonance pattern and are separated by 123.68 MHz. The application of a 61.84 MHz alternating magnetic field to the  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  absorber produces  $+1;1$  and  $-1;6$  sidebands which overlap at the center of the hyperfine structure of the Mössbauer spectrum. The energies of




FIG. 1. Schematic representation of a frequency modulation spectrometric (FMS).  
 Please Retype Caption  
 8.5 Picas




FIG. 2 (a) Mössbauer absorption spectrum of a 2.5- $\mu\text{m}$  foil of iron isotopically enriched with 958  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  obtained with a  $^{57}\text{Co}$  source in a Pd matrix. The six allowed magnetic dipole transitions are labeled 1 through 6 going from the lowest- to the highest-energy transition. (b) Spectrum of the same foil subjected to an oscillating radio-frequency field with a frequency of 61.85 MHz.

the incident gamma rays from the source will differ from the transition center of the absorber by the isomer shift,  $\Delta$ . In a FMS spectrum the sidebands  $+1;1$  would be observed at a frequency of  $(61.85 - \Delta)$  MHz while the sideband  $-1;6$ , would be detected at a frequency of  $(61.85 + \Delta)$  MHz. Therefore, the FMS spectrum will produce two absorption peaks as shown in Fig. 3(a), around 62 MHz with a separation equal to twice the isomer shift,  $2\Delta$ . If the Mössbauer source is given a constant velocity Doppler shift, the FMS spectrum will show two peaks near 62 MHz, separated by  $2(\Delta + \delta)$ , where  $\delta$  is the Doppler shift of the incident gamma rays. In this way very precise measurements of the nuclear isomer shift can be obtained using FMS. The accuracy of the measurement is dependent upon the resolution and stability of the signal generator used to produce the rf magnetic field and the quality of the curve fitting routine used to fit the data.

### I. THEORY

In a Mössbauer absorption spectrum taken in the velocity domain the isomer shift appears as a displacement of the centroid of the resonance pattern from the nominal zero position. The isomer shift is the result of an electric monopole interaction between the Mössbauer nuclei and its surrounding electrons. More specifically, the excited and ground-state nuclei differ in radius by a small yet significant amount ( $\Delta R/R = -0.8 \times 10^{-3}$  in  $^{57}\text{Fe}$ ). It is this change in the radius of the nucleus which causes the electrostatic interaction between the nucleus and its surrounding electrons to change upon excitation of the nucleus. Therefore, if the Mössbauer nuclei in the source and absorber are in different




FIG. 3. (a) FMS spectrum of the same enriched foil. The two peaks represent sidebands +1;1 and -1;6. The separation of these peaks is equal to twice the isomer shift of an iron foil relative to a  $^{57}\text{Co}$  source in Pd. (b) Spectrum constructed from fitting the data of Fig. (a) using the Levenberg-Marquardt method for a nonlinear least-squares fit. (c) Spectral components resulting from the fitting procedure shown before summation.

chemical environments such that different electronic distributions are experienced, the isomer shift has been shown to be<sup>16</sup>

$$\Delta = (4\pi c Z e^2 R^2 / 5 E_r) [\rho_o(0) - \rho_i(0)] (\Delta R / R) \text{ mm/s}, \quad (1)$$

where  $Z$  is the atomic number,  $e$  is the electronic charge,  $R$  is the effective nuclear radius,  $c$  is the velocity of light,  $\rho_o(0)$  and  $\rho_i(0)$  are the electronic charge densities at the nucleus for the source and absorber, and  $\Delta R = R_{\text{excited}} - R_{\text{ground}}$ .

In addition to the isomer shift displacement of the centroid of the resonance pattern there is also a temperature shift of the spectrum which arises due to time dilation resulting from the motions of the emitting and absorbing nuclei. This temperature shift is given by<sup>17</sup>

$$\delta E / E = C_p / 2c^2 \quad (2)$$

where  $C_p$  is the specific heat of the material and  $c$  is the velocity of light. At room temperature, for iron the above expression yields  $\delta E / E = -2.21 \times 10^{-15} / ^\circ\text{C}$ . The experimentally observed value<sup>18</sup> is  $(-2.09 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-15} / ^\circ\text{C}$ . In the work being reported here the source and absorber were at essentially the same temperature. Therefore, the temperature shift was considered negligible.

The frequency,  $f_n$ , at which sidebands appear in a FMS spectrum is given by

$$f_n = (v - P_i + \Delta)(K/n), \quad (3)$$

where  $v$  (mm/s) is the Doppler velocity of the source,  $P_i$  (mm/s) is the position of the  $i$ th parent transition,  $\Delta$  (mm/s) is the isomer shift,  $K$  ( $= 11.605$  MHz/mm/s) is the conversion factor for the 14.4-keV gamma rays being detected and  $n$  is the order of the sideband of interest. Furthermore, it is known that the frequency displacement  $\Omega$  of sidebands 1;1 and -1;6 is given by

$$\Omega(1;1) = \Omega(-1;6), \quad (4)$$

with respect to the center of the resonance pattern. Therefore, for a stationary source and absorber we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(1;6) - \Omega(1;1) &= |\Omega(P_o) + \Delta| \\ &- |\Omega(P_i) + \Delta| = 2\Delta. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The absolute value of the  $\Omega$ 's are used since Eq. (3) can result in a negative frequency. However, the sign of  $f_n$  is merely a convention to indicate whether the sideband has a lesser or greater energy than its parent transition. The sign convention used for isomer shift measurements is that a negative isomer shift indicates the source has a higher nuclear energy level than the absorber.

## II. DATA AND DISCUSSION

The isomer shift between a  $^{57}\text{Co}$  source in a Pd matrix relative to an iron foil absorber was measured using FMS and found to have a value of  $-(0.1869 \pm 0.003)$  mm/s compared to the literature value<sup>19</sup> of  $-(0.185 \pm 0.02)$  mm/s. The  $^{57}\text{Co}$  source in a Pd matrix had an initial activity of 25.4 mCi and was purchased from New England Nuclear. The absorber was a  $1.5 \text{ cm} \times 0.85 \text{ cm} \times 2.5 \mu\text{m}$  foil of iron isotopically enriched with 95%  $^{57}\text{Fe}$ . The rf signal was produced by a Wavetek signal generator, Model 3520, which was equipped with an IEEE-488 GPIB interface to allow the frequency to be automatically scanned. The resolution and stability of this signal generator are those previously stated. The rf signal from the Wavetek was amplified by an ENI-5506 50db linear amplifier and this amplified signal was then used to drive an inductor in which the absorber was mounted. A five-turn flat coil was constructed as the field induction coil with a separation of 4.5 mm between turns and a cross-sectional area of  $20 \text{ mm}^2$ . This led to a small inductance ( $< 1 \mu\text{H}$ ) and a minimum capacitance between turns while producing a reasonable field intensity ( $\sim 4\text{G}$ ) at 50 W. This inductor was then mounted in series with a 48- $\Omega$  noninductive load to match the impedance of the amplifier output and cable. The result was a circuit having a reasonably broad band tunability and a  $Q$  of approximately one. The heat produced in the absorber by eddy currents was minimal for the rf powers employed. However, in critical measurements of the isomer shift using FMS, this minimal rf heating of the absorber can be eliminated by simultaneously pulsing the rf and directing a cool flow of nitrogen over the absorber.

The data from which the fitted parameters were obtained is shown in Fig. 3(a). The data was fit to seven parameters using the Levenberg-Marquardt method<sup>20</sup> for a nonlinear least squares fit. In the fitting procedure, it was assumed that the spectra were composed of three sidebands; the -1;6, +1;1, and -1;5. It was further assumed that

the sidebands had a uniform linewidth. Figure 3(b) shows the spectrum constructed from the fit parameters and Fig. 3(c) shows the spectral components before summation. The standard deviation of the fit parameters was obtained from the covariance matrix derived from the fitting procedure and are valid only with the assumption of normally distributed measurement error.

As can be seen from this example, FMS is a high-resolution Mössbauer technique which provides a direct measurement of rf sideband positions and intensities without interference from the parent transitions. Furthermore, from an FMS spectrum, information can be obtained without mechanical tuning about the linewidth or positions of Zeeman split energy levels in addition to accurate measurement of the nuclear isomer shift. Recently<sup>14</sup> it has been demonstrated how to excite large-scale magnetic phase modulation effects in paramagnetic materials by exciting magnetostatic spin waves in the sample under study. With this refinement frequency modulation spectroscopy can now be extended to the study of paramagnetic materials. Then, FMS can provide a viable alternative for precision isomer shift measurements in a variety of environments.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the support of this work by the Office of Naval Research and by the Innovative Science and Technology Directorate of the Strategic Defense Initia-

tive Organization with direction by the Naval Research Laboratory.

- <sup>1</sup>A. V. Mitin, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 52, 1596 (1967) [Sov. Phys. JETP 25, 1062 (1967)].
- <sup>2</sup>A. V. Mitin, Dok. Akad. Nauk SSSR 194, 59 (1971) [Sov. Phys. Dokl. 15, 827 (1971)].
- <sup>3</sup>G. J. Perlow, Phys. Rev. 172, 319 (1968).
- <sup>4</sup>N. D. Heiman, L. Pfeiffer, and J. C. Walker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 21, 93 (1968).
- <sup>5</sup>P. J. West and E. Matthias, Z. Phys. A 288, 369 (1978).
- <sup>6</sup>O. Olariu, I. Popescu, and C. B. Collins, Phys. Rev. C 23, 50 (1981).
- <sup>7</sup>O. Olariu, I. Popescu, and C. B. Collins, Phys. Rev. C 23, 1007 (1981).
- <sup>8</sup>B. D. DePaola and C. B. Collins, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 1, 812 (1984).
- <sup>9</sup>C. B. Collins and B. D. DePaola, Opt. Lett. 10, 25 (1985).
- <sup>10</sup>B. D. DePaola, S. S. Wagal, and C. B. Collins, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 2, 541 (1985).
- <sup>11</sup>T. W. Sinor, Ph.D. thesis, University of Texas at Dallas, 1988.
- <sup>12</sup>E. Ikonen, P. Helistö, J. Hietaniemi, and T. Katila, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 643 (1988).
- <sup>13</sup>E. Ikonen, J. Hietaniemi, and T. Katila, Phys. Rev. B 38, 6380 (1988).
- <sup>14</sup>T. W. Sinor, P. W. Reittinger, and C. B. Collins, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2547 (1989).
- <sup>15</sup>P. W. Reittinger, T. W. Sinor, S. S. Wagal, and C. B. Collins, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 59, 362 (1988).
- <sup>16</sup>See, for example, G. K. Shenoy and F. E. Wagner, Eds. *Mössbauer Isomer Shifts* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978).
- <sup>17</sup>B. D. Josephson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 4, 341 (1960).
- <sup>18</sup>R. V. Pound and G. A. Rebka, Jr., Phys. Rev. Lett. 4, 274 (1960).
- <sup>19</sup>A. H. Muir, Jr., K. J. Ando, and H. M. Coogan, *Mössbauer Effect Data Index, 1958-1965* (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1966).
- <sup>20</sup>B. P. Flannery, S. A. Teukolsky, and W. T. Vetterling, *Numerical Recipes: The Art of Scientific Computing* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985).