

DTIC File Copy

②


AD-A212 790



FJSRL-TR-89-0007
FRANK J. SEILER RESEARCH LABORATORY

**HEAT CAPACITIES OF
1-METHYL-3-ETHYLIMIDAZOLIUM
CHLORIDE-ALUMINUM CHLORIDE
MOLTEN SALTS**

**Maureen K. Farrish
and John R. Stuff**



DTIC
ELECTE
SEP 25 1989
S E D

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

September 1989
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE

89 9 25 074

FJSRL-TR-89-0007


This document was prepared by the Electrochemical Division, Directorate of Chemical Sciences, Frank J. Seiler Research Laboratory, United States Air Force Academy, CO. The reserach was conducted under Project Work Unit number 2303-F2-11, Captain John R. Stuff was the project officer.

When US Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related government procurement operation, the government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the government may have formulated, furnished or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise, as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.


Inquiries concerning the technical content of this document should be addressed to the Frank J. Seiler Research Laboratory (AFSC), FJSRL/NC, USAF Academy, Colorado Springs, CO 80840-6528. Phone AC 719-472-2655.

This report has been reviewed by the Commander and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nations.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.


JOHN R. STUFF, Captain, USAF
Project Scientist


STEPHEN W. LANDER, Lt Col, USAF
Director, Chemical Sciences


RICHARD J. COOK, Lt Col, USAF
Chief Scientist

Copies of this report should not be returned unless return is required by security considerations, contractual obligations, or notice on a specific document.

Printed in the United States of America. Qualified requestors may obtain additional copies from the Defense Documentation Center. All others should apply to:

National Technical Information Service
6285 Port Royal Road
Springfield VA 22161

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited		
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE					
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) FJSRL-TR-89-0007			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Frank J. Seiler Research Laboratory		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) FJSRL/NC	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION		
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) FJSRL/NC USAF Academy, CO 80840-6528			7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		
8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION AF Office of Scientific Research		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) AFOSR	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Bldg. 410 Bolling AFB DC 20332			10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS		
			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 61102F	PROJECT NO. 2303	TASK NO. F2
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Heat Capacities of 1-Methyl-3-Ethylimidazolium Chloride-Aluminum Chloride Molten Salts					
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Maureen K. Parrish and John R. Stuff					
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Tech Report		13b. TIME COVERED FROM 1 Aug 89 TO 22 Aug 89		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 89/09/05	
15. PAGE COUNT 11					
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) imidazolium, molten salt, chloroaluminate, heat capacity, differential scanning calorimetry		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP			
10	02				
07	04				
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) A standard method for the determination of heat capacities using differential scanning calorimetry is described. The heat capacities for the molten salt system aluminum chloride/ 1-methyl-3-ethylimidazolium chloride were determined over the composition range $0.30 < N < 0.65$ where N is the apparent mole fraction of aluminum chloride.					
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☒ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT. ☐ DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL John R. Stuff			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 719-472-2656		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL FJSRL / NC

FJSRL-TR-89-0007

HEAT CAPACITIES OF
1-METHYL-3-ETHYLIMIDAZOLIUM CHLORIDE-ALUMINUM CHLORIDE
MOLTEN SALTS

By

Maureen K. Parrish

and

John R. Stuff

September 1989

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Directorate of Chemical Sciences
The Frank J. Seiler Research Laboratory
Air Force Systems Command



TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY.....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	2
EXPERIMENTAL.....	3
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	4
REFERENCES.....	8

SUMMARY

A standard method for the determination of heat capacities using differential scanning calorimetry is described. The heat capacities for the molten salt system aluminum chloride/ 1-methyl-3-ethylimidazolium chloride ($\text{AlCl}_3/\text{MEIC}$) were determined over the composition range $0.30 < N < 0.65$, where N is the apparent mole fraction of aluminum chloride.

INTRODUCTION

The addition of aluminum chloride to 1-methyl-3-ethylimidazolium chloride results in the formation of a room temperature molten salt. (1) This ionic liquid has shown to be a useful electrolyte for battery applications. (2) In practical situations, batteries are often subjected to extremes in temperatures, knowledge of the electrolytes' basic thermal properties, such as melting point, decomposition temperature and heat capacity, may help to predict how a battery containing the electrolyte of interest will behave under such conditions. The molar heat capacity, C_p , is defined as the amount of heat needed to raise the temperature of one mole of a substance by one kelvin. It is a measure of a systems ability to store heat through the vibrational and rotational motion of chemical bonds and through the translational motion of atoms and molecules in the system. The use of a differential scanning calorimeter makes the measurement of heat capacities an easy task. In differential scanning calorimetry, a sample is subjected to a linear temperature program and the heat flow between the sample and a reference is recorded as a function of temperature. The heat flow into the sample is directly proportional to the samples' specific heat at that temperature. The displacement between the sample curve and the baseline is then proportional to the heat capacity. The displacement is given by the equation;

$$d = KC_p m (dT/dt) \quad \text{Equation 1}$$

where K is a calibration constant, C_p is the heat capacity of the sample, m is the mass of the sample and dT/dt is the heating rate. (3,4) The calibration constant is determined by using a suitable standard.

EXPERIMENTAL

A typical run consists of two experiments. In the first a crimped sample pan is placed in the reference chamber and an uncrimped sample bottom and top are placed in the sample chamber. The baseline is scanned through a set temperature program. The temperature program contains an isothermal portion at the start of the run and at the end in order to establish the baseline. The sample top and bottom are then removed from the sample chamber and a known amount of sample placed into the pan which is then crimped under an inert atmosphere. The sample is then run through the same temperature program and the displacement at any point in the program is calculated by subtraction of the two curves. A suitable standard is run in the same way and the calibration constant calculated from Equation 1 using the known heat capacity of the standard.

The heat capacities of the ionic liquid $\text{AlCl}_3/\text{MEIC}$ were measured at 25 °C over the composition range $0.30 < N < 0.65$. The temperature program was isothermal for two minutes at 20 °C, 5 °C/min to 30 °C and hold at 30 °C for two minutes. Sample sizes ranged from 50 to 80 milligrams. A Perkin-Elmer DSC-7 differential scanning calorimeter with 7500 series computer was used for all experiments. Stainless steel sample pans were used for all runs. Glycerol was chosen as a standard material because of its low vapor pressure and known heat capacity at the temperatures of interest. Ethylene glycol was used as an "unknown" to check the accuracy of the method. Experimental values were within 1.00% of the literature value for ethylene glycol. The molecular weights of the melts were calculated from Equation 2;

$$M_b = M_m + M_a (N/1-N) \quad \text{Equation 2}$$

where M_b is the molecular weight of the melt, M_m is the molecular weight of MEIC, M_a is the molecular weight of AlCl_3 and N is the apparent mole fraction of AlCl_3 .

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Glycerol standards were run each morning of the study in order to calculate a calibration constant. A typical run with corresponding baseline is shown in Figure 1. The average value for 23 runs was 70.67 ± 1.87 (standard deviation). The average value of the heat capacity for three runs vs mole fraction aluminum chloride are given in Table 1. The data is graphed in Figure 2, where the error bars represent one standard deviation for the composition $N = 0.602$. Three samples of this melt were made and three runs made on each sample. The graph shows that for the basic compositions the heat capacities remain essentially constant. In the acidic range, the heat capacities increase with increasing acidity. This may be caused by the increase in the concentration of the Al_2Cl_7^- which increases the degrees of freedom in the rotational and vibrational modes in the melt.




Figure 1: DSC Curve of Glycerol with Baseline

Table 1: Mole Fraction vs Heat Capacity

<u>N</u>	<u>C_p (cal/mol/K)</u>
0.3124	94.28
0.3438	95.26
0.3755	93.45
0.3973	96.86
0.4548	97.99
0.5000	102.19
0.5902	115.48
0.6016	119.40
0.6469	128.61




Figure 2: Heat Capacity vs Composition

REFERENCES

1. Wilkes, J.S., Levisky, J.A., Wilson, R.A., and Hussey, C.L., Inorg.Chem., 21, 1263 (1982).
2. Dymek, C.J., Reynolds, G.F., and Wilkes, J.S., J.Electrochem.Soc., 7, 134 (1987).
3. Daniels, T., "Thermal Analysis", 1st Ed., p. 127, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1973).
4. Perkin-Elmer Corp., "Thermal Analysis Newsletter", No. 3.