

12

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

DTIC FILE COPY

Grant or Contract N00014-84-K-0428

R&T Code 413a002---03

Technical Report Number 15

Electrochemistry in Near-critical and Supercritical Fluids. 8.
Methyl Viologen, Decamethylferrocene, $\text{Os}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ and Ferrocene in
Acetonitrile and the Effect of Pressure on Diffusion Coefficients
Under Supercritical Conditions

By

Carlos R. Cabrera and Allen J. Bard

Prepared for Publication
in the
Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry

The University of Texas at Austin
Department of Chemistry
Austin, Texas 78712

DTIC
ELECTE
OCT 11 1989
S E D

July 20, 1989

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the
United States Government

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its
distribution is unlimited.

This statement should also appear in Item 10 of the Document
Control Data-DD Form 1473 Copies of the form available from
cognizant grant or contract administrator.

AD-A213 407

89 10 10196

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER Number 15	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Electrochemistry in Near-critical and Supercritical Fluids. 8. Methyl Viologen, Decamethylferrocene, Os(bpy) ₃ ²⁺ and Ferrocene in Acetonitrile and the Effect of the Pressure on Diffusion Coefficients Under Supercritical Conditions		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
7. AUTHOR(s) Carlos R. Cabrera and Allen J. Bard		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Chemistry University of Texas at Austin Austin, TX 78712		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-84-K-0428
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research 800 N. Quincy Arlington, VA 22217		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE 20 July 1989
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 12 pages
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for publication in the Journal of		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The cyclic Voltammetry (CV) of methyl viologen (MV ²⁺), decamethylferrocene (DMFc), Os(bpy) ₃ ²⁺ (bpy=2,2'-bipyridine) and ferrocene (Fc) was studied at high temperatures and pressures in a small cell (7.5 mL) where the pressure and temperature could be varied independently. While the CV of MV ²⁺ and Os(bpy) ₃ ³⁺ remained well-behaved with reversible or quasi-reversible waves only into the near-critical region (up to ca. 230 C), Fc and DMFc showed well-defined behavior under supercritical conditions. The diffusion coefficient of ferrocene was measured at a supercritical Temperature (280 C) as a function of pressure, and the experimental results were		

20.)

correlated to values calculated by the Stokes-Einstein relation.

Electrochemistry in Near-critical and Supercritical Fluids. 8.
Methyl Viologen, Decamethylferrocene, $\text{Os}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ and Ferrocene in Acetonitrile
and the Effect of Pressure on Diffusion Coefficients Under Supercritical Conditions

Carlos R. Cabrera and Allen J. Bard
Department of Chemistry, The University of Texas
Austin, TX 78712

ABSTRACT

The cyclic voltammetry (CV) of methyl viologen (MV^{2+}), decamethylferrocene (DMFc), $\text{Os}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ (bpy=2,2'-bipyridine) and ferrocene (Fc) was studied at high temperatures and pressures in a small cell (7.5 mL) where the pressure and temperature could be varied independently. While the CV of MV^{2+} and $\text{Os}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ remained well-behaved with reversible or quasi-reversible waves only into the near-critical region (up to ca. 230 °C), Fc and DMFc showed well-defined behavior under supercritical conditions. The diffusion coefficient of ferrocene was measured at a supercritical temperature (280 °C) as a function of pressure, and the experimental results were correlated to values calculated by the Stokes-Einstein relation.

(end of abstract)

Approved For	
NIH	☒
NSF	☐
DOE	☐
J. Publication	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Distribution/or	
Dist. Control	
A-1	

INTRODUCTION

We report here further studies of electrode reactions in near-critical and supercritical acetonitrile (MeCN). We have been interested in electrochemistry under these conditions, because small changes in pressure and temperature in the near-critical and supercritical region of a fluid can result in large changes in solvent properties. For example, the viscosity and dielectric constant of a supercritical fluid (SCF) are related to the density, which can be readily varied by changes in the temperature and pressure of the system. Moreover, SCF have been used extensively for extraction [1] and chromatography [2]. Previous studies from our laboratory and elsewhere have dealt with the use of NH_3 [3], water [4], $\text{CO}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ [5], MeCN [6], and SO_2 [7] as media for voltammetric investigations under near-critical and supercritical conditions. There have been reports of the application of H_2O [4c] and CO_2/MeOH [8] for electrosynthetic applications as well.

MeCN has been used extensively as a solvent in electrochemistry for many years. This solvent has a critical temperature (T_c) of 274.7 °C and a critical pressure (P_c) of 48.3 bars. Crooks first described electrochemistry in near-critical and supercritical MeCN [6] where the stainless steel cell employed did not allow easy independent variation of T and P. We present here further studies with MeCN in a small Inconel cell previously used in experiments with NH_3 [3b], where the pressure can be varied independent of the temperature. We might note that the low critical pressure of MeCN allows a wider range of conditions in the supercritical region than NH_3 and H_2O . We describe studies of the electrochemistry of systems such as $\text{Os}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ (bpy=2,2'-bipyridine), methyl viologen (MV^{2+}), and decamethylferrocene (DMFc) up to temperatures of 233, 277, and 282 °C, respectively. We have chosen these three different systems to study in near-critical and supercritical MeCN, because they have been widely studied in this solvent at room temperature and are reasonably stable at the high temperatures employed. In addition, their half-wave potentials lie within the potential limits of MeCN at high temperatures. The stability of these compounds and the electrogenerated products at the higher temperatures is also discussed.

We also describe measurements of the diffusion coefficient (D) of ferrocene (Fc) by chronoamperometry at 280 °C as a function of pressure up to 250 bar (reduced pressure, $P_r = P/P_c = 6.2$) are reported. These results are compared with those predicted by the Stokes-Einstein relationship, which relates D to the viscosity of the system. The density and other data are reported by Frank et al. [9], who measured thermodynamic parameters of MeCN up to 450 °C and 2500 bar. However, the viscosity of MeCN under near-critical and supercritical conditions is not available to our knowledge and, therefore, were calculated from a semi-empirical equation, presented by Steil and Thodos [10], in which the reduced density is related to the viscosity of polar solvents and gases as dense and liquid phases.

EXPERIMENTAL

MeCN (spectrophotometric grade, Mallinckrodt, St. Louis, MO) was dried over CaH_2 and distilled into P_2O_5 twice and then into basic alumina and finally into a glass vessel. Three freeze-pump-thaw cycles were carried out before placing the storage vessel into the dry box. DMFc and Fc were vacuum sublimed and the $\text{MV}(\text{PF}_6)_2$ was made by mixing aqueous solutions of MVCl_2 and KPF_6 . The precipitate was recrystallized twice from acetone and dried overnight under vacuum. $\text{Os}(\text{bpy})_3(\text{PF}_6)_2$ was prepared by the method of Gaudiello et al [11]. Tetraethylammonium trifluoromethanesulfonate ($\text{TEA CF}_3\text{SO}_3$) was used for studies under supercritical conditions. At lower temperatures, NaCF_3SO_3 was used. These were prepared and recrystallized following previous methods [6,12]. Briefly, these electrolytes were prepared from an aqueous solution of tetraethylammonium hydroxide or sodium carbonate that was neutralized with trifluoromethanesulfonic acid (3M, St. Paul, MN). The solution was dried, and the remaining solids were recrystallized from acetone three times and dried under vacuum at 100 °C ($\text{TEA CF}_3\text{SO}_3$) and 200 °C (NaCF_3SO_3) for 3 days.

A 7.5 mL high pressure (HP) cell, made from Inconel 600, and the high pressure apparatus similar to that employed in previous studies with NH_3 [3b], was used in all experiments. The cell was either rinsed thoroughly with acetone and deionized water (Millipore, Bedford, MA) or was cleaned with 3:1 $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ (30%) and rinsed thoroughly with deionized water. After the cleaning process, the cell was oven-dried overnight and mounted, with the proper electrodes, under vacuum ($<10^{-5}$ torr) at 100 °C for ca. 12 h before use. The solution was transferred to the HP cell and a stainless steel ampoule (25 mL) inside a dry box. The high pressure cell and ampoule were connected to a pressure generator. The pressure generator was placed under vacuum before opening it to the ampoule, which would fill the high pressure system.

A 0.008 cm^2 tungsten working electrode was used in all experiments and prepared following previous methods [3]. Briefly, the tungsten was sealed in uranium glass and then attached to a Pyrex-to-Kovar graded seal. The Kovar was silver-soldered to a 1/4" stainless steel tube.

The electrochemical measurements were done using a Princeton Applied Research (PAR) 175 universal programmer, a PAR 173 potentiostat/galvanostat and a PAR 179 digital coulometer. The currents were recorded on a Norland 3001 digital oscilloscope for fast sweep rates (v) and chronoamperometric measurements or, at lower v , a Bausch & Lomb Houston Instruments Model 2000 recorder. A platinum gauze and a silver wire were used as counter and quasi-reference electrode, respectively. All potentials are reported vs. AgQRE. All chronoamperometric measurements were made over a time span of 0 - 100 ms. The

conductivity was measured between the counter and the working electrodes with an AC conductance meter.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The electrochemistry of MV^{2+} , $Os(bpy)_3^{2+}$ and DMFc was studied in MeCN up to near-critical and supercritical temperatures. The effect of T and P on the stability of parent compound and products, the potentials for the redox processes, and the diffusion coefficients (D) was investigated.

MV^{2+} . The cyclic voltammetric behavior of MV^{2+} was studied up to 277 °C. Cyclic voltammograms of MV^{2+} from 25 °C to 272 °C and 182 bar are given in Figure 1. The cyclic voltammetric (CV) waves are reversible or quasi-reversible up to 200 °C; above this temperature the electrochemical behavior becomes more complex and the waves are less well-defined. Typical CV data are given in Table 1. The normalized current function of the first reduction wave, $i_{pc}/v^{1/2}CA$ (i_{pc} , cathodic peak current; C , concentration; A , electrode area) increases by a factor of about two between 25 and 200 °C, reflecting the four-fold increase in the diffusion coefficient. The increased peak splittings, ΔE_p ($\Delta E_p = E_{pa} - E_{pc}$, where E_{pc} and E_{pa} are cathodic and anodic peak potentials) at the higher temperatures probably mainly reflects the increase in solution resistance and contributions of iR -drop to ΔE_p . The dielectric constant (ϵ) of MeCN decreases from 36 at 25 °C to 17 at 200 °C (53 bar). The half-wave potential, $E_{1/2}$, for each wave can be obtained by averaging the peak potentials. The difference between the $E_{1/2}$ -values of the first and second waves increases as the temperature increases, representing a relatively greater stabilization of the radical cation, MV^+ (i.e., a smaller extent of disproportionation to MV and MV^{2+}) at higher T . This perhaps reflects the greater stabilization of MV^+ as the medium becomes less polar. Similar stabilization effects in the viologen system have been observed in micellar systems [13].

At higher temperatures (>200 °C), the behavior becomes more complicated (Figure 1C, D and Figure 2). For example, at 234 °C the peak current of the second cathodic wave, i_{pc2} , is larger than that of the first wave. Moreover, the current function $i_{pc2}/v^{1/2}C$ depended strongly on v (Figure 2), while $i_{pc1}/v^{1/2}$ was somewhat less dependent on v . The waves became less well-defined at still higher temperatures, and at 300 °C, under supercritical conditions, the wave for the oxidation of MV^+ to MV^{2+} was peak-shaped, suggesting some precipitation of MV^+ on the electrode surface. Note that ϵ for MeCN at 300 °C (84 bar) is about 7 [9]. At the higher temperatures the currents tend to plateau towards steady-state values as D increases. This effect was observed with all of the redox couples and is discussed below.

Decamethylferrocene. The CV waves of DMFc are reversible or quasi-reversible from 25 °C to the supercritical region (282 °C) (Figure 3). The increase in ΔE_p found at the higher temperatures again probably results from an increase in uncompensated resistance.

Typical CV data are given in Table 2. Note that D for DMFc, calculated from i_{pa} , assuming a reversible wave at the given temperature, increases from $1.8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ at 25°C (1.01 bar) to $9.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ at 248°C (116 bar). These large increases of D parallel similar changes seen in MeCN [6] and other solvents [3,4,7] and reflect the strong decrease in viscosity of the solutions at higher temperatures. As previously discussed in connection with studies in SO_2 [7], this increase in D leads to increasing importance of hemispherical diffusion, as determined by the factor D/r (where r is the radius of the working electrode disk). As D/r increases, the CV limiting currents become more plateau-shaped. While this effect is frequently found for ultramicroelectrodes [14], it is manifested with larger electrodes, such as those used here, only when D shows large increases.

Os(bpy) $_3^{2+}$ The CV behavior of $\text{Os}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ in MeCN as a function of T is similar to that of the other redox species (Figure 4). The normalized i_{pa} -values strongly increase with T and the waves again show a plateau-shape as D increases (Table 3).

Effect of T on $E_{1/2}$. Although the absolute values of $E_{1/2}$ with respect to true reference electrode or couple are not available because a quasi-reference electrode was employed in these studies, the relative changes in $E_{1/2}$ with T are probably meaningful. The results for the redox species discussed above are shown in Figure 5. DMFc, which could be studied over the widest temperature range because of its high stability, showed the smallest variation of $E_{1/2}$ with T and was remarkably constant up to ca. 230°C . The $E_{1/2}$ values for the $\text{Os}(\text{bpy})_3^{3+/2+}$ couple and for the $\text{MV}^{2+/+}$ (vs. the $\text{MV}^{+/0}$) couple show somewhat larger variations with T , suggesting that solvation effects are more important for the more highly charged cations. DMFc appears to be a useful reference redox couple in MeCN at high T .

Effect of Pressure of Diffusion Coefficient Under Supercritical Conditions. The stability of Fc at high temperatures permitted the measurement of D under supercritical conditions. An especially interesting aspect of a supercritical fluid is the ability to strongly change its characteristics (e.g., ϵ , density, viscosity) by changes in P . The D -value for Fc was measured by chronoamperometry [15] by a potential step from -0.05 to $+1.05$ V vs. AgQRE for a solution containing 2.5 mM Fc and 0.1 M NaCF_3SO_3 at 280°C ($T_r = 1.02$) and $P_r = 1.94$. Since the solution volume is a function of P , the concentrations of the Fc and electrolyte were corrected at each P . By using the small Inconel cell, where P could be varied independently of T , we were able to measure D , at 280°C ($T_r = 1.02$) for pressures of 67.6 ($P_r = 1.40$) to 250 bar ($P_r = 5.19$). This experiment was carried out to determine how the diffusion coefficient changed with viscosity at supercritical T and P . The viscosity, η , increases with increasing pressure and is related to D by the Stokes-Einstein (S-E) relationship [9]:

$$D = \kappa T / A \pi \eta r$$

(1)

where r is the radius of ferrocene (2.5 Å) [6]. The parameter, A , is given by

$$A = 6(1+2\eta/\beta r)/(1+3\eta/\beta r)$$

where β is a slip coefficient. For a non-slip particle-solvent boundary conditions, $\beta = \infty$, and for a free-slip condition $\beta=0$, so that A ranges from 4 to 6. For Fc, A is probably close to 6, since the Fc molecule is larger than MeCN and closer to a free-slip condition.

The D -value for Fc was calculated from the slope of a plot of i vs. $t^{-1/2}$ for times up to 100 ms. The concentration of Fc at a given T and P was calculated from the previously reported density of MeCN [9]. However, experimental values of η under these conditions are not available. Thus, η was estimated by the following equation of state that relates residual viscosity ($\eta-\eta^\circ$) to the reduced density (ρ_r) [10]:

$$(\eta-\eta^\circ)\xi = 0.0607(9.045\rho_r + 0.63)^{1.739} \text{ for } 0.1 \leq \rho_r \leq 0.9$$

$$\log\{4-\log[(\eta-\eta^\circ)\xi]\} = 0.6439 - 0.1005\rho_r - \Delta$$

where $\Delta = 0$ for $0.9 \leq \rho_r \leq 2.2$

$$\Delta = (4.75)(10^{-4})(\rho_r^3 - 10.65)^2 \text{ for } 2.2 \leq \rho_r \leq 2.6$$

where η is the dense gas viscosity, μP ; η° is low-pressure gas viscosity, μP (at 280 °C $\eta^\circ = 135 \mu\text{P}$ for acetonitrile [10]), and ρ_r is the reduced gas density. The critical density of acetonitrile is 0.237 [9]. ξ is calculated from the following equation.

$$\xi = T_c^{1/6}/(M^{1/2}P_c^{2/3})$$

where T_c is in K, P_c is in atm. and M is the molecular weight. For acetonitrile $\xi = 0.034$.

From these equations we can determine the residual viscosity at different reduced densities, and by knowing η° , we can estimate the viscosity of MeCN. The D -values at 280 °C from $P_r = 1.40$ (190 μP) to 5.19 (690 μP) are presented in Table 4. As the pressure was increased, the diffusion constant decreased from $8.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ at $P_r = 1.4$ to $2.9 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ at $P_r = 5.19$. Figure 6 presents a plot of D vs. viscosity for the experimental results and the S-E relationship values. The experimental D -values tend to be higher than those predicted by the S-E relationship for $A = 6$, but closer to, and slightly below, that for $A = 4$. This suggests that the change of D with pressure in supercritical MeCN fluids is not only governed by

the change in η , but by other factors, such as changes in the effective value of r , because of changes in the solvating power of the solvent. A similar explanation was proposed to rationalize why the diffusion constant of Cu^{2+} was higher than that predicted by the S-E relationship in water at high temperature [4a].

Cyclic voltammograms of Fc at 280 °C and at two different reduced pressures are shown in Figure 7. The normalized anodic peak current increased with a decrease in P_r , from 6.44 mA/cm² at $P_r = 4.78$ to 9.3 mA/cm² at $P_r = 1.94$. Moreover, more IR compensation was needed for the CV at $P_r = 1.94$ than for $P_r = 4.78$, since the dielectric constant and the density of the solvent increase with an increase in pressure (see Table 1). Therefore, the concentration of the electrolyte changed from 0.10 M at $P_r = 1.94$ to 0.13 M at $P_r = 4.78$. The conductivity of the solution between working and counter electrodes at 280 °C increased by 200 μS from $P_r = 1$ to $P_r = 4$ (Figure 8).

These results suggest that electrochemical methods are useful in measurement of D as a function of pressure under supercritical conditions. Short experimental times are required in the measurement, since the low viscosity and possible thermal gradients in the system could contribute to the onset of convective processes, which would become more important as the thickness of the diffusion layer increases. Moreover, the contribution from non-linear diffusion processes, which are more important at longer times, would complicate the analysis of the experimental results. However, measurements cannot be made at very short times because of significant contributions by double layer charging processes to the total measured current. Thus, the "time window" that is useful for determination of D under supercritical conditions is a rather narrow one, e.g., roughly 5 to 100 ms. We might indicate that previous measurements of D as a function of P under supercritical conditions have been reported [16].

CONCLUSIONS

Several compounds were examined in near-critical and supercritical MeCN. Although $\text{Os}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ and MV^{2+} were fairly stable in the near-critical region, useful electrochemical results could not be obtained under supercritical conditions. However, both Fc and DMFc could be used, and either would be a useful molecule for probing MeCN properties at high T . Fc and DMFc should also be convenient reference redox couples for measuring potentials of other systems. Although the conductivity of MeCN under high temperature conditions is rather low, it appears adequate for useful voltammetric measurements, especially with ultramicroelectrodes. Near-critical and supercritical MeCN might also prove interesting for synthetic applications.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The advice and assistance of Dr. Richard M. Crooks is gratefully acknowledged. This research was supported by the Office of Naval Research.

REFERENCES

1. M. A. McHugh, V. J. Krokonsis, *Supercritical Fluid Extraction: Principle and Practice*, Butterworths, Stoneham, MA, 1986.
2. M. Novotny, S. R. Springston, P. A. Peadar, J. C. Fjldsted, M. L. Lee, Anal. Chem., **53** (1981) 407A.
3. (a) R. M. Crooks, F-R. F. Fan, A. J. Bard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **106** (1984) 6851; (b) R. M. Crooks, A. J. Bard, J. Phys. Chem., **91** (1987) 1274.
4. (a) A. C. McDonald, F-R. F. Fan, and A. J. Bard, J. Phys. Chem., **90** (1986) 196; (b) W. M. Flarsheim, Y-M. Tsou, I. Trachtenberg, K. P. Johnston, A. J. Bard, J. Phys. Chem., **90** (1986) 3857; (c) A. J. Bard, W. M. Flarsheim, K. P. Johnston, A. J. Bard, J. Electrochem. Soc., **135** (1988) 1939.
5. M. E. Phillips, M. R. Deakir, M. V. Novotny, R. M. Wightman, J. Phys. Chem., **91** (1987) 3934.
6. (a) R. M. Crooks, A. J. Bard, J. Electroanal. Chem., **243** (1988) 117; (b) R. M. Crooks, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Texas, Austin, TX, 1988.
7. C. R. Cabrera, E. Garcia, A. J. Bard, J. Electroanal. Chem., **260** (1989) 457.
8. R. A. Dimbro, Jr., G. A. Prentice, M. A. McHugh, J. Electrochem. Soc., **135** (1988) 2219.
9. (a) A. Z. Francesconi, E. U. Frank, H. Lentz, Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem., **79** (1979) 897; (b) E. U. Frank, R. Duel, Faraday Discuss. Chem. Soc., **66** (1978) 191.
10. L. I. Steil, G. A. I. Thodos, Ch. E. Journal, **10** (1964) 275.
11. J. G. Gaudiello, P. R. Sharp, A. J. Bard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **104** (1982) 6373.
12. K. Rousseau, G. C. Farrington, D. Dolphin, J. Org. Chem., **37** (1972) 3968.
13. A. E. Kaifer, A. J. Bard, J. Phys. Chem., **91** (1987) 2006.
14. R. M. Wightman, Anal. Chem., **53** (1981) 1125A.
15. A. J. Bard and L. R. Faulkner, *Electrochemical Methods*, Wiley, New York, 1980.
16. (a) H. J. V. Tyrrell, K. R. Harris, *Diffusion in Liquids*, Butterworths, London, 1984, Ch. 6; (b) W. J. Lamb, G. A. Hoffman, J. Jonas, J. Chem. Phys., **74** (1981) 6875.

Table 1 Cyclic Voltammetric Data for MV²⁺ •

T °C	P bars	Density g/cm ³	Conc. (MV(PF ₆) ₂) mM	Conc. TEACF ₃ SO ₃ M	v V/s	E _{1/2} (1) V vs AgQRE	ΔE _p (1) mV	E _{1/2} (2) V vs. AgQRE	ΔE _p (2) mV	$\frac{I_{pc}(1)^b}{v^{1/2}CA}$	$\frac{I_{pc}(2)^b}{v^{1/2}CA}$	$\frac{I_{pa}(2)}{I_{pc}(2)}$
25	1.0	0.78	5.0	0.2	0.5	-0.230	60	-0.630	60	0.49	0.49	1.00
106	8.6	0.69	4.4	0.18	0.5	-0.180	100	-0.620	100	0.71	0.71	0.94
200	53	0.57	3.7	0.15	0.5	-0.125	150	-0.613	125	0.96	0.99	0.84

a The solution contained the indicated amount of MV(PF₆)₂ and TEA CF₃SO₃. The electrode was a 0.008 cm² tungsten electrode and the scan was between -0.2 and -1.1 V vs. AgQRE.

b A-s^{1/2} V^{-1/2} M⁻¹ cm⁻²

Table 2 Cyclic Voltammetric Data for Decamethylferrocene^a

T °C	P bars	Conc. DMFc mM	Conc. NaCF ₃ SO ₃ M	v V/s	$I_{pa}/v^{1/2}$ C A	D 10 ⁻⁴ cm ² /s	D/r cm/s	i_{pc}/i_{pa}	E _{1/2} V vs. AgQRE	ΔE _p mV
25	1.01	5.00	0.20	1	1.01	0.18	3.5 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.00	0.081	60
104	19	4.45	0.18	1	1.54	0.74	1.5 x 10 ⁻³	1.00	0.072	78
200	63	3.57	0.14	1	1.89	2.00	3.9 x 10 ⁻³	0.96	0.064	115
248	116	2.84	0.11	1	2.85	9.30	6.02	0.96	0.038	170

a Solution contained indicated amounts of DMFc and NaCF₃SO₃. A 0.008 cm² tungsten electrode was employed. The potential scan was between -0.3 to 0.5 V vs. AgQRE at 25 °C, 104 °C, and 200 °C and -0.35 to 0.4 V vs. AgQRE at 248 °C.

b A-s^{1/2} V^{-1/2}·M⁻¹·cm⁻²

Table 3 Cyclic Voltammetric Data of Os(bpy)₃³⁺

T °C	P bars	Density g/cm ³	Conc. {Os(bpy) ₃ (PF ₆) ₂ } mM	Conc. TEA CF ₃ SO ₃ M	v V/s	E _{1/2} (1) V vs. AgQRE	ΔE _p mV	i _{pa} (1)/v ^{1/2}	CA	i _{pc} /i _{pa}
25	1.0	0.78	5.0	0.20	0.1	1.01	60	0.46		1
106	59	0.69	4.4	0.18	0.2	1.06	1.10	0.76		1
223	122	0.55	3.5	0.14	0.2	E _a =1.18	-	1.84		-

a The solution contained the indicated amounts of Os(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂ and TEA CF₃SO₃. The working electrode was 0.008 cm² tungsten and was scanned between 0 and +1.3 V vs. AgQRE

b A -s^{1/2} - V^{-1/2} - M⁻¹ - cm⁻².

Table 4 Experimental and Theoretical Diffusion Coefficients of Ferrocene at 280 °C to 250 Bar

Pressure	P_r^1	Density (g/cm ³)	Viscosity (μP)	Diffusion Coefficient (x10 ⁻⁴ cm ² /s)	SE ²
67.6	1.40	0.29	277	8.5	5.84
80.2	1.66	0.34	332	7.1	4.86
108	2.24	0.41	456	4.9	3.55
129	2.67	0.44	526	4.5	3.08
146	3.03	0.46	574	4.0	2.82
165	3.41	0.47	617	3.9	2.62
183	3.79	0.48	657	3.4	2.47
203	4.21	0.49	697	3.2	2.33
217	4.50	0.50	722	3.1	2.24
238	4.92	0.51	758	3.0	2.14
250	5.19	0.52	778	2.9	2.08

1 P_r = reduced pressure = pressure/critical pressure

2 Diffusion coefficient according to the Stokes-Einstein relationship with A=6.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1 CV of $MV(PF_6)_2$ at different temperatures and pressures;

T (°C)	P (bar)	[MV^{2+}], mM	[TEA CF_3SO_3], M	v, V/s	S, mA/cm ²
(a) 24	1.01	5	0.2	0.5	0.5
(b) 106	8.63	4.4	0.18	0.5	0.7
[C $NaCF_3SO_3$], M					
(c) 234	106	3.35	0.13	0.5	
(d) 277	182	3.12	0.10	1.0	

Figure 2 Normalized current of the 1st (B) and 2nd (A) cathodic peak of MV^{2+} vs. log(scan rate) at 234 °C and 106 bar ($P_r = 2.2$).

Figure 3 CV of DMFc from 25 °C to 282 °C;

T (°C)	P (bar)	v, V/s	C{DMFc}, mM	C{ $NaCF_3SO_3$ }, M
25	1.01	1	5.0	0.2
104	19 1	4.45	0.18	
200	64 1	3.57	0.14	
248	116	1	2.84	0.11
282	168	1	2.82	0.11

Figure 4 CV of $Os(bpy)_3(PF_6)_2$ at different temperatures.

T (°C)	P (bar)	C{ $Os(bpy)_3(PF_6)_2$ } mM	C{ $NaCF_3SO_3$ } M	v, V/s	S, mA
(a) 25	1.01	5.0	0.20	0.1	0.62
(b) 106	59	4.4	0.18	0.2	0.63
(c) 223	122	3.5	0.14	0.2	1.25

Figure 5 $E^{1/2}$ vs. temperature for (a) $Os(bpy)^{2+/3+}$; (b) $DMFc^{0/1+}$; (c) $MV^{2+/1+}$; (d) $MV^{1+/0}$.

Figure 6 D of Fc at 280 °C vs. viscosity: (a) experimental; (b) A=4 and (c) A=6 (Stokes-Einstein relation--see text).

Figure 7 CV of Fc at at 280 °C at different pressures.

T (°C)	P _r	v, V/s	C _{Fc} , mM	C{NaCF ₃ SO ₃ }, M
(a) 280	1.94	2	2.5	0.10
(b) 280	4.78	2	3.39	0.13

Figure 8 Conductivity between working and auxiliary electrodes vs. P_r at 280 °C for 0.2 M NaCF₃SO₃ (concentration at 25 °C) in MeCN.







Figure 1




Figure 2








Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6





Figure 7




Figure 8