

# Strong Large Deviation and Local Limit Theorems†

by

Narasinga Rao Chaganty and Jayaram Sethuraman  
*Old Dominion University and Florida State University*

FSU Technical Report Number M-813  
US Army Research Office Technical Report Number D-106

August 1989

AD-A213 610

†Research partially supported by the U.S. Army Research Office Grant number DAAL03-86-K-0094. The United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for Governmental purposes notwithstanding any copyright notation thereon.

AMS (1980) Subject classifications: 60F10, 60F05, 60F15.

Key words: Large deviations, Local Limit Theorems.

DTIC  
ELECTE  
OCT 23 1989  
S B D

DISCUSSION STATEMENT A  
Approved for public release;  
distribution unlimited

89 10 20 169

## Abstract

Most large deviation results give asymptotic expressions to  $\log P(Y_n \geq y_n)$  where the event  $\{Y_n \geq y_n\}$  is a large deviation event, that is,  $P(Y_n \geq y_n)$  goes to zero exponentially fast. We refer to such results as weak large deviation results. In this paper we obtain strong large deviation results for arbitrary random variables  $\{Y_n\}$ , that is, we obtain asymptotic expressions for  $P(Y_n \geq y_n)$  where  $\{Y_n \geq y_n\}$  is a large deviation event. These strong large deviation results are obtained for lattice valued and nonlattice valued random variables and require some conditions on their moment generating functions. These results strengthen existing results which apply mainly to sums of independent and identically distributed random variables.

Let  $\mu$  be the lebesgue measure on  $R$ . Let  $S$  be a measurable subset of  $R$  such that  $0 < \mu(S) < \infty$  and let  $b_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Define  $q_n(y; b_n, S) = [(b_n/\mu(S)) P(b_n(Y_n - y) \in S)]$ , as the pseudo-density function of  $Y_n$ . By a local limit theorem we mean the convergence of  $q_n(y_n; b_n, S)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y^*$ . In this paper we obtain local limit theorems for arbitrary random variables based on easily verifiable conditions on their characteristic functions. These local limit theorems play a major role in the proofs of the strong large deviation results of this paper.

We illustrate these results with two typical applications.

Accession For	
NTIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
Per _____	
Dist. Order No. _____	
Ann. Availability Code	
Dist. _____	Special
A-1	

## 1. Introduction

The establishment of a limit distribution for a sequence of random variables  $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$  provides an approximation to  $P(Y_n \leq y)$ . However, there are other aspects relating to the distribution of  $Y_n$  for which one often desires an approximation. This could be  $P(Y_n \geq y_n)$ , known in the literature as a large deviation, especially when it tends to zero exponentially fast. Another example is  $f_n(y_n)$ , the probability density function of  $Y_n$  at  $y_n$ . The term, a large deviation local limit result for  $Y_n$ , is used when an asymptotic expression is established for  $f_n(y_n)$  and  $y_n$  is in the range of a large deviation for  $Y_n$ . Still another example is the limit of  $(b_n/\mu(S)) P(b_n(Y_n - y_n) \in S)$ , where  $\mu$  is the lebesgue measure and  $S$  is a measurable set such that  $0 < \mu(S) < \infty$  and  $b_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Such a result will be referred to as a local limit result for  $Y_n$ . This paper will deal with strong large deviation and local limit theorems for arbitrary random variables.

The theory of large deviations for sums of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables and its many generalizations has a long history, see for instance Cramér(1938), Chernoff(1952), Ellis(1984), Varadhan(1984) etc. However, most of these results give asymptotic expressions for  $\log P(Y_n \geq y_n)$  and so we choose to call them weak large deviation results. For arbitrary random variables  $T_n$  and  $Y_n = T_n/a_n$  for some sequence  $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ , this paper gives asymptotic expressions for  $P(Y_n \geq y_n)$ , which we call strong large deviation results. These results are found in Theorems 3.1, 3.5 4.5, 4.7, 4.8, 4.9 and 4.13 which impose conditions on the moment generating function (m.g.f.) of  $T_n$ . These extend the well-known strong large deviation results for sums of i.i.d. random variables due to Bahadur and Ranga Rao(1960).

The proofs of our strong large deviation theorems depend on the local limit results for  $Y_n$ . These are established in this paper in Theorems 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 4.1 and 4.2 and they are in the spirit of Feller(1967) wherein can be found some of the first local limit results for sums of i.i.d. random variables. Local limit results for extreme values are established

in de Haan and Resnick(1982). Local limit results for sums of triangular arrays of i.i.d. random variables can be found in Jain and Pruitt(1987). The local limit results in this paper apply to arbitrary random variables  $Y_n$  and require some easily verifiable conditions on their characteristic functions.

We illustrate our general results with two applications in Section 5. The first application is a local limit result for sums of dependent random variables given by a general model considered in Chaganty and Sethuraman(1987). The second application is a strong large deviation result for the Wilcoxon signed-rank statistic under the null hypothesis.

We do not study large deviation local limit results in this paper. We have obtained such results for arbitrary random variables in Chaganty and Sethuraman(1985) for one-dimensional random variables and in Chaganty and Sethuraman(1986) for multi-dimensional random variables.

## 2. Local Limit Theorems

Let  $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables taking values in  $R_1$ , which converge to  $Y$  in distribution. Let  $S$  be a measurable subset with  $0 < \mu(S) < 1$  and let  $b_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Define

$$(2-1) \quad q_n(y; b_n, S) = \frac{b_n}{\mu(S)} P(b_n(Y_n - y) \in S).$$

Since  $Y_n$  may not have a probability density function (p.d.f.), we will consider limiting properties of  $q_n(y; b_n, S)$ , which will be called the pseudo-density function of  $Y_n$ . Let  $\{y_n\}$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $y_n \rightarrow y^*$ . The convergence of  $q_n(y_n; b_n, S)$  to the p.d.f. of  $Y$  at  $y^*$  is referred to as a local limit theorem. This is the spirit under which local limit theorems have been studied for normalized sums of i.i.d. random variables by Feller(1967), for normalized extreme values in de Haan and Resnick(1982) and for normalized triangular arrays of i.i.d. random variables in Jain and Pruitt(1985). This section is devoted to local limit theorems for arbitrary random variables  $Y_n$ .

To motivate the main Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 of this section we begin with the following result which must be well known.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of real valued random variables which converge to  $Y$  in distribution. Let  $\hat{f}_n$  be the characteristic function (c.f.) of  $Y_n$  for  $n \geq 1$  and let  $\hat{f}$  be the c.f. of  $Y$ . Suppose that there exists an integrable function  $f^*(t)$  such that

$$(2-2) \quad \sup_n |\hat{f}_n(t)| \leq f^*(t)$$

for all  $t$ . Then  $Y_n$  possesses a bounded and continuous p.d.f.  $f_n$  and  $Y$  also possesses a bounded and continuous p.d.f.  $f$ . Let  $y_n \rightarrow y^*$ . Then  $f_n(y_n) \rightarrow f(y^*)$ .

**Proof.** Condition (2-2) implies that the c.f.'s  $\hat{f}_n$  and  $\hat{f}$  are integrable. Hence both  $Y_n$  and  $Y$  possess a bounded and continuous p.d.f.'s. The inversion formula and the dominated convergence theorem show that  $f_n(y_n) \rightarrow f(y^*)$  if  $y_n \rightarrow y^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\diamond$

From this theorem we can also conclude that  $q_n(y_n; b_n, S) \rightarrow f(y^*)$ , for all  $S$  such that  $0 < \mu(S) < \infty$ , if  $b_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $q_n(y; b_n, S)$  is as defined in (2-1). Even this elementary result finds application in certain situations. For instance, we apply this result in Example 5.1 of Section 5 in this paper. However, condition (2-2) is simply too strong to be useful in most situations. We show in Theorem 2.2 below that by bounding  $\hat{f}_n(t)$  on increasing sequences of bounded intervals by an integrable function we can get a result similar to that of Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables which converges to  $Y$  in distribution. Let  $\hat{f}_n$  be the characteristic function (c.f.) of  $Y_n$  for  $n \geq 1$  and let  $\hat{f}$  be the c.f. of  $Y$ . Let  $\{b_n\}$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $b_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Suppose that there exists a sequence  $\{\beta_n\}$  with  $\beta_n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\beta_n/b_n \rightarrow \infty$  and an integrable function  $f^*(t)$  such that

$$(2-3) \quad \sup_n |\hat{f}_n(t)| I(|t| < \beta_n) \leq f^*(t)$$

for each  $t$ . Then the random variable  $Y$  possesses a bounded and continuous p.d.f.  $f$ . Let  $S$  be a measurable set such that  $0 < \mu(S^0) = \mu(\bar{S}) < \infty$ . Let  $q_n(y; b_n, S)$  be as defined in (2-1). Then there exists a finite constant  $M$  and an integer  $n_s$  such that

$$(2-4) \quad \sup_y [q_n(y; b_n, S)] \leq M$$

for  $n \geq n_s$ . Furthermore, if  $y_n \rightarrow y^*$  then

$$(2-5) \quad q_n(y_n; b_n, S) \rightarrow f(y^*)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\hat{f}_n(t) \rightarrow \hat{f}(t)$  pointwise and  $\beta_n \rightarrow \infty$ , condition (2-3) implies that  $\hat{f}$  is bounded by  $f^*$ . Hence  $Y$  possesses a bounded and continuous p.d.f.  $f$ . Let  $U_n$  be the uniform distribution on the set  $-S/b_n$  and  $u_n, \hat{u}_n$  be the p.d.f. and c.f. corresponding to  $U_n$ . We also introduce another distribution function (d.f.)  $V_n$  with p.d.f.  $v_n$  and c.f.  $\hat{v}_n$  as defined below, to obtain the important identity (2-10):

$$(2-6) \quad v_n(x) = \frac{\beta_n}{2\pi} \left[ \frac{\sin(\beta_n x/2)}{(\beta_n x/2)} \right]^2, \quad -\infty < x < \infty \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2-7) \quad \hat{v}_n(t) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{|t|}{\beta_n} & \text{if } |t| \leq \beta_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $F_n$  be the distribution function (d.f.) of  $Y_n$ , and let  $Q_n = F_n * U_n$ ,  $M_n = Q_n * V_n$  where  $*$  denotes the convolution operation. Notice that  $q_n(y; b_n, S)$  defined in (2-1) is the p.d.f. of  $Q_n$ . The p.d.f.  $m_n(y)$  of  $M_n$  is given by

$$(2-8) \quad m_n(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q_n(y-x; b_n, S) v_n(x) dx.$$

Since the c.f.  $\hat{m}_n(t)$  of  $M_n$ , which is equal to  $\hat{f}_n(t)\hat{u}_n(t)\hat{v}_n(t)$ , vanishes outside the interval  $[-\beta_n, \beta_n]$ , the inversion formula gives

$$(2-9) \quad m_n(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\beta_n}^{\beta_n} \exp(-ity) \hat{m}_n(t) dt.$$

Thus, we get

$$(2-10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{b_n}{\mu(S)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(b_n(Y_n - y + x) \in S) v_n(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\beta_n}^{\beta_n} \exp(-ity_n) \hat{m}_n(t) dt \\ &= A_n(y) \quad (\text{say}). \end{aligned}$$

Relation (2-10) is the starting point of the main part of this proof and it relates  $q_n(y; b_n, S)$  to the integrable c.f.  $\hat{m}_n(t)$ . We first show that  $A_n(y_n)$  converges to  $f(y^*)$  and then obtain lower and upper bounds for  $A_n(y_n)$  which depend on  $q_n(y_n; b_n, S)$ . This will then establish (2-4) and (2-5). By condition (2-3), the dominated convergence theorem and the inversion formula we get that

$$(2-11) \quad A_n(y_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-t_n}^{t_n} \exp(-ity_n) \hat{m}_n(t) dt \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-ity^*) \hat{f}(t) dt \\ = f(y^*)$$

Let  $\eta > 0$ . Let  $s(x, \eta)$  be a closed interval centered at  $x$ , that is,

$$(2-12) \quad s(x, \eta) = \{y : |y - x| \leq \eta\}$$

and let  $S_\eta = \{x : s(x, \eta) \subset S\}$  and  $S^\eta = \{y : |y - x| \leq \eta, \text{ for some } x \in S\}$ . We choose our  $\eta (= \eta_s)$  such that  $\mu(S_\eta) > 0$  and  $[\mu(S^\eta)/\mu(S)] \leq 2$ . Note that  $y \in S_\eta$  implies that  $y + x \in s(y, \eta) \subset S$  if  $|x| \leq \eta$ . Therefore, we get a lower bound for  $A_n(y)$  as follows:

$$(2-13) \quad A_n(y) \geq \frac{b_n}{\mu(S)} \int_{|x| \leq \eta/b_n} P(b_n(Y_n - y + x) \in S) v_n(x) dx \\ \geq \frac{b_n}{\mu(S)} P(b_n(Y_n - y) \in S_\eta) \int_{|x| \leq \eta/b_n} v_n(x) dx \\ \geq \frac{b_n}{\mu(S)} P(b_n(Y_n - y) \in S_\eta) \left[1 - \frac{4b_n}{\pi \beta_n \eta}\right].$$

Combining (2-10) and (2-13) and using condition (2-3) we get

$$(2-14) \quad \frac{b_n}{\mu(S)} P(b_n(Y_n - y) \in S_\eta) \left[1 - \frac{4b_n}{\pi \beta_n \eta}\right] \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^*(t) dt$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . Replacing  $S$  by  $S^\eta$  and noting that  $S \subset (S^\eta)_\eta$  we get

$$(2-15) \quad \frac{b_n}{\mu(S)} P(b_n(Y_n - y) \in S) \left[ 1 - \frac{4b_n}{\pi \beta_n \eta} \right] \leq \frac{\mu(S^\eta)}{\mu(S)} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^*(t) dt \\ \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^*(t) dt.$$

Since  $b_n/\beta_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we can find an integer  $n_*$  so that

$$(2-16) \quad \sup_y \left[ \frac{b_n}{\mu(S)} P(b_n(Y_n - y) \in S) \right] \leq M$$

for  $n \geq n_*$ , where

$$(2-17) \quad M = \frac{3}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^*(t) dt.$$

This proves assertion (2-4). Note that  $y \in S$  implies that  $y - v \in S^\eta$  for  $|x| \leq \eta$ . Therefore for  $n \geq n_*$ , an upperbound for  $A_n(y)$  is given by

$$(2-18) \quad A_n(y) = \frac{b_n}{\mu(S)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(b_n(Y_n - y + x) \in S) v_n(x) dx \\ \leq \frac{b_n}{\mu(S)} P(b_n(Y_n - y) \in S^\eta) \int_{|x| \leq \eta/b_n} v_n(x) dx + M \int_{|x| > \eta/b_n} v_n(x) dx \\ \leq \frac{b_n}{\mu(S)} P(b_n(Y_n - y) \in S^\eta) + \frac{4M b_n}{\pi \beta_n \eta}.$$

Thus, from (2-11), (2-13) and (2-18) we get that

$$(2-19) \quad \limsup_n \frac{b_n}{\mu(S)} P(b_n(Y_n - y_n) \in S_\eta) \\ \leq f(y^*) \leq \liminf_n \frac{b_n}{\mu(S)} P(b_n(Y_n - y_n) \in S^\eta).$$

Replacing  $S$  by  $S^\eta$  in the l.h.s. and  $S$  by  $S_\eta$  in the r.h.s. and using the relations  $S \subset (S^\eta)_\eta$  and  $(S_\eta)^\eta \subset S$  we get that

$$(2-20) \quad \limsup_n \frac{b_n}{\mu(S^\eta)} P(b_n(Y_n - y_n) \in S) \\ \leq f(y^*) \leq \liminf_n \frac{b_n}{\mu(S_\eta)} P(b_n(Y_n - y_n) \in S).$$

Letting  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  and using the fact  $\mu(S^0) = \mu(\bar{S})$  we get the assertion (2-5).  $\diamond$

Theorem 2.2 was stated as if the sequence  $\{b_n\}$  was given first and we were looking for conditions under which conclusions (2-4) and (2-5) hold. One can also see from the proof of this theorem that if  $\{\beta_n\}$  is any sequence with  $\beta_n \rightarrow \infty$  such that (2-3) holds then conclusions (2-4) and (2-5) hold for any sequence  $\{b_n\}$  with  $b_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\beta_n/b_n \rightarrow \infty$ . The next theorem explores the case where the sequence  $\{b_n\}$  is such that  $b_n \rightarrow \infty$  but  $\beta_n = O(b_n)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables which converges to  $Y$  in distribution. Let  $\hat{f}_n$  be the characteristic function (c.f.) of  $Y_n$  for  $n \geq 1$  and let  $\hat{f}$  be the c.f. of  $Y$ . Assume that there exists a sequence  $\beta_n$  with  $\beta_n \rightarrow \infty$  and an integrable function  $f^*(t)$  such that (2-3) holds for each  $t$ . Let  $\{b_n\}$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $b_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\beta_n = O(b_n)$ . Assume that

$$(2-21) \quad \theta_n(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{\beta_n \leq |t| \leq \lambda b_n} |\hat{f}_n(t)| = o\left(\frac{1}{b_n}\right)$$

for each  $\lambda > 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then the random variable  $Y$  possesses a bounded and continuous p.d.f.  $f$ . Further the conclusions (2-4) and (2-5) of Theorem 2.2 hold if  $y_n \rightarrow y^*$  and  $S$  be a measurable set such that  $0 < \mu(S^0) = \mu(\bar{S}) < \infty$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\beta_n \rightarrow \infty$ , condition (2-3) implies that  $\hat{f}$  is bounded by  $f^*$ . Hence  $Y$  possesses a bounded and continuous p.d.f.  $f$ . Also, since  $b_n \theta_n(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ , for each  $\lambda > 0$ , we can find a sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  satisfying

$$(2-22) \quad \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } \lambda_n b_n \theta_n \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\theta_n = \theta_n(\lambda_n)$ . Change the definitions of the distribution function  $V_n$  with p.d.f.  $v_n$  and c.f.  $\hat{v}_n$  in the proof of Theorem 2.2 as follows:

$$(2-23) \quad v_n(x) = \frac{\lambda_n b_n}{2\pi} \left[ \frac{\sin(\lambda_n b_n x/2)}{(\lambda_n b_n x/2)} \right]^2, \quad -\infty < x < \infty \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2-24) \quad \hat{v}_n(t) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{|t|}{\lambda_n b_n} & \text{if } |t| \leq \lambda_n b_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that the same steps in the proof of Theorem 2.2 establish this theorem.  $\diamond$

**Remark 2.4.** The conclusions of Theorem 2.3 hold if we replace condition (2-21) by

$$(2-25) \quad \int_{\beta_n \leq |t| \leq \lambda b_n} |\hat{f}_n(t)| dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

for each  $\lambda > 0$ . Notice that condition (2-21) is needed only to show that  $A_n(y_n) \rightarrow f(y^*)$ , where  $A_n(y)$  is as defined in (2-10) with  $\beta_n$  replaced by  $\lambda_n b_n$ . If (2-25) holds for each  $\lambda > 0$  we can find a sequence of real numbers  $\{\lambda_n\}$ , such that  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$(2-26) \quad \int_{\beta_n \leq |t| \leq \lambda_n b_n} |\hat{f}_n(t)| dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

from which it follows that  $A_n(y_n) \rightarrow f(y^*)$ .

The next theorem provides a convenient way to verify condition (2-3) of Theorem 2.2. In Lemma 3.3 of Section 3 we will use Theorem 2.3 and this method of verification of condition (2-3).

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables with c.f.'s  $\{\hat{f}_n(t)\}$ . Let  $\{d_n\}$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $d_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Assume that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $g_n(t) = d_n^{-2} \log |\hat{f}_n(d_n t)|$  is finite and twice differentiable in the interval  $(-\delta, \delta)$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ . Suppose that there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that for  $|t| < \delta$ ,

$$(2-27) \quad -g_n''(t) \geq \alpha$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then condition (2-3) of Theorem 2.2 is satisfied with  $\beta_n = \delta d_n$ .

**Proof.** An application of Taylor's theorem yields for  $|t| < \delta$ ,

$$(2-28) \quad \begin{aligned} g_n(t) &= g_n(0) + t g_n'(0) + \frac{t^2}{2} g_n''(r_n) \\ &= \frac{t^2}{2} g_n''(r_n) \\ &\leq -\frac{\alpha t^2}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $r_n$  is such that  $|r_n| < |t| < \delta$ . Therefore for  $|t| < \delta d_n$ ,

$$(2-29) \quad g_n(t/d_n) \leq -\frac{\alpha t^2}{2d_n^2}.$$

Let  $\beta_n = \delta d_n$ . Thus for  $|t| < \beta_n$ , we have for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$(2-30) \quad \begin{aligned} |\hat{f}_n(t)| &= \exp(d_n^2(g_n(t/d_n))) \\ &\leq \exp(-\alpha t^2/2), \end{aligned}$$

which is an integrable function. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\diamond$

The next lemma obtains a limit for the Laplace transform of  $Y_n$  when (2-4) and (2-5) hold. Such a result plays an important role in the proofs of the strong large deviation theorems of Sections 3 and 4.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables. Let  $\{b_n\}$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $b_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $S$  be a measurable set such that  $0 < \mu(S) < \infty$ . Let  $q_n(y; b_n, S)$  be as defined in (2-1). Assume that  $q_n(y; b_n, S)$  satisfies (2-4) and (2-5). Then

$$(2-31) \quad b_n E[\exp(-b_n Y_n) I(Y_n \geq 0)] \rightarrow f(0)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proof.** Let  $h > 0$ . Consider

$$(2-32) \quad \begin{aligned} I_n &= E[\exp(-b_n Y_n) I(Y_n \geq 0)] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E\left[\exp(-b_n Y_n) I\left(\frac{(k-1)h}{b_n} \leq Y_n < \frac{kh}{b_n}\right)\right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{k_h} E\left[\exp(-b_n Y_n) I\left(-\frac{h}{2b_n} \leq Y_n - y_{nk} < \frac{h}{2b_n}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

where  $y_{nk} = (2k-1)h/b_n$ . Let  $k_h = [1/h^2]$  and  $S_h = [-h/2, h/2)$ . We now get lower and upper bounds for  $I_n$  as follows:

$$(2-33) \quad \begin{aligned} I_n &\geq \sum_{k=1}^{k_h} \exp(-kh) P\left(-\frac{h}{2b_n} \leq Y_n - y_{nk} < \frac{h}{2b_n}\right) \\ &= \frac{h}{b_n} \sum_{k=1}^{k_h} \exp(-kh) q_n(y_{nk}; b_n, S_h) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2-34) \quad I_n &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp\{-(k-1)h\} P\left(-\frac{h}{2b_n} \leq Y_n - y_{nk} < \frac{h}{2b_n}\right) \\
 &= \frac{h}{b_n} \sum_{k=1}^{k_h} \exp\{-(k-1)h\} q_n(y_{nk}; b_n, S_h) \\
 &\quad + \frac{h}{b_n} \sum_{k=k_h+1}^{\infty} \exp\{-(k-1)h\} q_n(y_{nk}; b_n, S_h).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (2-4) and (2-5) after noting that  $y_{nk} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $k$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2-35) \quad \liminf_n (b_n I_n) &\geq f(0) h \sum_{k=1}^{k_h} \exp(-kh) \\
 &= f(0) \frac{h(\exp(-h) - \exp(-(k_h+1)h))}{1 - \exp(-h)}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2-36) \quad \limsup_n (b_n I_n) &\leq f(0) h \sum_{k=1}^{k_h} \exp(-(k-1)h) + M h \sum_{k=k_h+1}^{\infty} \exp(-(k-1)h) \\
 &= f(0) \frac{h(1 - \exp(-k_h h))}{1 - \exp(-h)} + M h \frac{\exp(-k_h h)}{1 - \exp(-h)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $h \rightarrow 0$  in (2-35) and (2-36) we get,

$$(2-37) \quad \lim_n (b_n I_n) = f(0).$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\diamond$

**Corollary 2.7.** Let  $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables. Let  $\{b_n\}$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $b_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Assume that  $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$  satisfies the conditions of any one of the Theorems 2.1, 2.2 or 2.3, then the conclusion (2-31) holds for the sequence  $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ .

### 3. Strong Large Deviation Theorems

Let  $\{T_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables. Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $a_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\{m_n\}$  be a bounded sequence of real numbers. Weak large deviation results give asymptotic expressions for  $\log P(T_n/a_n \geq m_n)$  where the event  $\{T_n/a_n \geq m_n\}$  represents a large deviation. A number of authors, including Seivers(1969), Steinebach(1973), Ellis(1984) have obtained such results under suitable conditions on the m.g.f. of  $T_n$ . Strong large deviation results give asymptotic expressions for  $P(T_n/a_n \geq m_n)$ . One of the earliest strong large deviation theorem was obtained by Bahadur and Ranga Rao(1960) when  $T_n$  is the sum of i.i.d. random variables. In Theorems 3.1 and 3.5 of this section we obtain strong large deviation limit theorems for arbitrary sequences of random variables  $\{T_n, n \geq 1\}$ , under some conditions on the m.g.f.'s of  $T_n$ 's.

In Remarks 3.4 and 4.10 we show that the original result of Bahadur and Ranga Rao(1960) for sums of i.i.d. random variables follows as a corollary to our main Theorem 3.1.

The proofs of our strong large deviation results depend heavily on the local limit theorems of Section 2. We use the notation  $A_n \sim B_n$ , if  $A_n/B_n \rightarrow 1$ . We shall develop some more notation before stating the main theorem.

Let  $\{T_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables with m.g.f.  $\phi_n(z) = E[\exp(zT_n)]$ , which is nonvanishing and analytic in the region  $\Omega = \{z \in \mathcal{C} : |z| < a\}$ , where  $a > 0$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of all complex numbers. Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence of real numbers. Let

$$(3-1) \quad \psi_n(z) = a_n^{-1} \log \phi_n(z), \quad \text{for } z \in \Omega, \text{ and}$$

$$(3-2) \quad \gamma_n(u) = \sup_{|s| < a, s \in R_1} [us - \psi_n(s)], \quad \text{for } u \in R_1.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\{T_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables. Let  $\{m_n\}$  be a bounded sequence of real numbers such that there exists a sequence  $\{\tau_n\}$  satisfying  $\psi_n'(\tau_n) = m_n$

and  $0 < d < \tau_n < a_0 < a$  for some positive numbers  $a_0, d$  and for all  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Assume the following conditions for  $T_n$ :

(A) There exists  $\beta < \infty$  such that  $|\psi_n(z)| < \beta$  for all  $n \geq 1, z \in \Omega$ .

(B) There exists  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{\delta \leq |t| \leq \lambda} \left| \frac{\phi_n(\tau_n + it)}{\phi_n(\tau_n)} \right| = o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}}\right)$$

for all  $0 < \delta < \delta_0$  and for each  $\lambda > \delta_0$ .

(C) There exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $\psi_n''(\tau_n) \geq \alpha$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

Then

$$(3-3) \quad P\left(\frac{T_n}{a_n} \geq m_n\right) \sim \frac{1}{\tau_n \sqrt{2\pi a_n \psi_n''(\tau_n)}} \exp(-a_n \gamma_n(m_n)).$$

**Proof.** Let  $K_n$  be the d.f. of  $T_n$ . We will use the left continuous version of the distribution function which will enable us to write the identities in (3-5). Let

$$(3-4) \quad H_n(y) = \int_{-\infty < u < y} \exp(u\tau_n - a_n \psi_n(\tau_n)) dK_n(u),$$

and let  $T_n^*$  be a random variable with d.f.  $H_n(y)$ . Let  $T_n' = T_n^* - a_n m_n, Y_n = T_n'/d_n, d_n = \sqrt{a_n \psi_n''(\tau_n)}$  and  $b_n = \tau_n d_n$ . Using these new random variables and the relation  $\gamma_n(m_n) = m_n \tau_n - \psi_n(\tau_n)$ , we have

$$(3-5) \quad \begin{aligned} P\left(\frac{T_n}{a_n} \geq m_n\right) &= \int_{a_n m_n}^{\infty} dK_n(y) \\ &= \int_{a_n m_n}^{\infty} \exp(-y\tau_n + a_n \psi_n(\tau_n)) dH_n(y) \\ &= \exp(a_n \psi_n(\tau_n)) E(\exp(-\tau_n T_n^*) I(T_n^* \geq a_n m_n)) \\ &= \exp(-a_n \gamma_n(m_n)) E(\exp(-\tau_n T_n') I(T_n' \geq 0)) \\ &= \exp(-a_n \gamma_n(m_n)) E(\exp(-b_n Y_n) I(Y_n \geq 0)). \end{aligned}$$

This step, usually called the use of Esscher transformation, is the starting point of most investigations in large deviations. The rest of the proof is stated and proved separately as Lemma 3.3 below where it is shown that when the conditions (A), (B) and (C) are satisfied  $Y_n$  converges in distribution to the standard normal and

$$(3-6) \quad b_n E(\exp(-b_n Y_n) I(Y_n \geq 0)) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$

The present proof follows by substituting (3-6) in (3-5).  $\diamond$

**Remark 3.2.** The boundedness of  $\tau_n$  below by  $d > 0$  is satisfied for example if  $\liminf_n [(m_n - E(T_n))/a_n] > 0$ . A strong large deviation result for  $T_n$  when  $\tau_n \rightarrow 0$  is proved later in Theorem 3.5.

We now state and prove Lemma 3.3, which will complete the proof of Theorem 3.1.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $\{T_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables. Let  $a_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\{m_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a bounded sequence of real numbers such that there exists a sequence  $\{\tau_n\}$  satisfying  $\psi'_n(\tau_n) = m_n$  and  $0 < d < \tau_n < a_0 < a$  for some positive numbers  $a_0, d$  and for all  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $d_n = \sqrt{a_n \psi''_n(\tau_n)}$ . Let the rand. variable  $Y_n$  be defined as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Assume that the conditions (A), (B) and (C) of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Then  $Y_n$  converges in distribution to standard normal and (3-6) holds.

**Proof.** The c.f. of  $Y_n$  is given by

$$(3-7) \quad \hat{f}_n(t) = \exp(-it a_n m_n / d_n) \frac{\phi_n(\tau_n + it/d_n)}{\phi_n(\tau_n)}.$$

Since  $\psi_n(z) = a_n^{-1} \log \phi_n(z)$  is a finite and analytic function in  $\Omega$ , and  $|\tau_n| < a_0$ , using condition (A) and Cauchy's theorem for derivatives it follows that

$$(3-8) \quad |\psi_n^{(k)}(\tau_n + it)| \leq \frac{k! \beta}{(a - a_0)^k}, \quad \text{for } k \geq 1,$$

for  $|t| < (a - a_0)^{1/2}$ . Using the Taylor series expansion we can write

$$(3-9) \quad \psi_n(\tau_n + it) = \psi_n(\tau_n) + it\psi_n'(\tau_n) - (t^2/2)\psi_n''(\tau_n) + R_n(\tau_n + it),$$

for  $|t| < (a - a_0)^{1/2}$ , where the remainder term  $R_n$  satisfies

$$(3-10) \quad |R_n(\tau_n + it)| \leq \frac{2\beta|t|^3}{(a - a_0)^3}.$$

From (3-9), (3-10) and condition (C) we obtain, for any fixed  $t$ , that

$$(3-11) \quad \begin{aligned} \log \hat{f}_n(t) &= -(ita_n m_n)/d_n + a_n [\psi_n(\tau_n + it/d_n) - \psi_n(\tau_n)] \\ &= -(ita_n m_n)/d_n + a_n [it\psi_n'(\tau_n)/d_n - (t^2\psi_n''(\tau_n))/(2d_n^2) + R_n(\tau_n + it/d_n)] \\ &= -t^2/2 + a_n R_n(\tau_n + it/d_n), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3-12) \quad |a_n R_n(\tau_n + it/d_n)| \leq \frac{2\beta|t|^3}{\alpha d_n (a - a_0)^3} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence  $Y_n$  converges in distribution to the standard normal random variable. We now proceed to show that  $Y_n$  satisfies the conditions (2-3) and (2-21) of Theorem 2.3. Let

$$(3-13) \quad \begin{aligned} g_n(t) &= d_n^{-2} \log |\hat{f}_n(d_n t)| \\ &= \frac{1}{\psi_n''(\tau_n)} [\text{Real}(\psi_n(\tau_n + it) - \psi_n(\tau_n))]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
(3-14) \quad g_n''(t) &= \frac{-\text{Real}(\psi_n''(\tau_n + it))}{\psi_n''(\tau_n)} \\
&= \frac{-\text{Real}(\psi_n''(\tau_n) + it\xi_n)}{\psi_n''(\tau_n)} \\
&= -1 + \text{Real}(it\xi_n/\psi_n''(\tau_n)) \\
&\leq -1 + |t||\xi_n|/\alpha,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi_n$  is an appropriate complex number depending on the third derivative of  $\psi_n$ , which from (3-8) satisfies

$$(3-15) \quad |\xi_n| \leq \frac{3! \beta}{(a - a_0)^3} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Therefore we can find  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\delta < \delta_0$  and for  $|t| < \delta$ ,

$$(3-16) \quad g_n''(t) \leq -(1/2) \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

This verifies condition (2-27) of Theorem 2.5, and hence condition (2-3) with  $\beta_n = \delta d_n$ . Now, with  $b_n = \tau_n d_n$  and using condition (B) for fixed  $\lambda > 0$ , we get that,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3-17) \quad \sup_{\delta d_n \leq |t| \leq \lambda b_n} |\hat{f}_n(t)| &= \sup_{\delta \leq |t| \leq \lambda \tau_n} \left| \frac{\phi_n(\tau_n + it)}{\phi_n(\tau_n)} \right| \\
&= o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}}\right) \\
&= o\left(\frac{1}{\tau_n d_n}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

since  $d < \tau_n < a_0$  and  $d_n = O(\sqrt{a_n})$ . This verifies condition (2-21) of Theorem 2.3 with  $b_n = \tau_n d_n$ . The assertion (3-6) now follows from Corollary 2.7.  $\diamond$

**Remark 3.4.** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , be i.i.d. random variables with m.g.f.  $\phi(z)$  and let  $\psi(z) = \log(\phi(z))$ , be finite for  $|z| < a$ . Let  $T_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ . Bahadur and Ranga Rao (1960)

obtained a strong large deviation theorem for  $T_n$  in Theorem 1 of their paper considering three cases. In *Case 1* they assumed that  $X_1$  is absolutely continuous or more generally the d.f. satisfies Cramér's condition. In *Case 2* they assumed that  $X_1$  is a lattice variable and finally they consider all the other possibilities in *Case 3*. We now show that *Cases 1 and 3* of Theorem 1 of Bahadur and Ranga Rao(1960) follows from our Theorem 3.1. *Case 2* follows from our Theorem 4.9 and is obtained later in Remark 4.10. Assume now that  $X_1$  is a nonlattice random variable. The m.g.f. of  $T_n$  is given by  $\phi_n(z) = \phi^n(z)$ . Let  $m$  be a real number such that there exists  $0 < \tau < a$  satisfying  $\psi'(\tau) = m$ . We will now proceed to verify the conditions of our main Theorem 3.1, with  $m_n = m$  and  $a_n = n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Conditions (A) and (C) are trivially satisfied since  $\psi_n \equiv \psi$  and  $\tau_n = \tau$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Using the fact that  $X_1$  is nonlattice we get that for each  $\delta > 0$  and  $\lambda > \delta$  there exists  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  such that

$$(3-13) \quad \sup_{\delta \leq |t| \leq \lambda} \left| \frac{\phi(\tau + it)}{\phi(\tau)} \right| < (1 - \epsilon).$$

This shows that condition (B) is satisfied since  $\phi_n(z) = \phi^n(z)$ . Thus the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 holds. This proves the strong large deviation result for  $P(T_n/n \geq m)$  contained in *Cases 1 and 3* of Theorem 1 of Bahadur and Ranga Rao(1960).

We now turn our attention to the case where  $\tau_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , but not very fast. More specifically, we require that  $\tau_n \sqrt{a_n} \rightarrow \infty$ . In this case we can get the stronger result that the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 holds without condition (B).

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $\{T_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables. Let  $\{m_n\}$  be a sequence of real numbers such that there exists a sequence  $\{\tau_n\}$  satisfying  $\psi'_n(\tau_n) = m_n$ , and  $\tau_n > 0$ . Also assume that  $\tau_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\tau_n \sqrt{a_n} \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $T_n$  satisfy the conditions (A) and (C) of Theorem 3.1. Then

$$(3-19) \quad P\left(\frac{T_n}{a_n} \geq m_n\right) \sim \frac{1}{\tau_n \sqrt{2\pi a_n \psi''_n(\tau_n)}} \exp(-a_n \gamma_n(m_n)).$$

The proof of Theorem 3.5 is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. The only change is that we apply Lemma 3.6 instead of Lemma 3.3 to obtain (3-6).

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables as defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let  $\tau_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\tau_n \sqrt{a_n} \rightarrow \infty$ . Assume that conditions (A) and (C) of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Then  $Y_n$  converges in distribution to standard normal and (3-6) holds.

**Proof.** We have already seen that in Lemma 3.3,  $Y_n$  converges in distribution to standard normal random variable if conditions (A) and (C) are satisfied. Also,  $Y_n$  satisfies condition (2-3) of Theorem 2.2 with  $\beta_n = \delta d_n$ . Let  $b_n = \tau_n d_n$ . The assumptions on  $\tau_n$  imply that  $b_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\beta_n/b_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore the conclusions (2-4) and (2-5) are valid for  $Y_n$  by Theorem 2.2. Thus  $Y_n$  satisfies (3-6) by Corollary 2.7. This proves Lemma 3.6.

◇

#### 4. The Lattice Case

This section primarily deals with local limit theorems and strong large deviation theorems for lattice valued random variables. These theorems are analogous to the theorems of Sections 2 and 3. In this section and the next we use the word span to indicate the maximal span of a lattice random variable.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $Y_n$  be a lattice valued random variable taking values in the lattice  $\{kh_n : k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ , where  $h_n > 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Assume that the span  $h_n$  of  $Y_n$  converges to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $Y_n$  converge in distribution to  $Y$ . Let  $\hat{f}_n$  be the c.f. of  $Y_n$  and  $\hat{f}$  be the c.f. of  $Y$ . Assume that there exists an integrable function  $f^*$  such that

$$(4-1) \quad \sup_n |\hat{f}_n(t)| I(|t| \leq \pi/h_n) \leq f^*(t)$$

for each  $t$ . Then  $Y$  possesses a bounded and continuous p.d.f.,  $f$ , and there exists a constant  $M < \infty$  such that

$$(4-2) \quad \sup_n \left[ \frac{1}{h_n} P(Y_n = y) \right] \leq M$$

uniformly in  $y$ . Further, if  $y_n$  is in the range of  $Y_n$ , and  $y_n$  converges to  $y^*$  then

$$(4-3) \quad \frac{1}{h_n} P(Y_n = y_n) \rightarrow f(y^*)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proof.** Let  $y_n$  be in the range of  $Y_n$ . Then an application of the inversion formula yields

$$(4-4) \quad \frac{1}{h_n} P(Y_n = y_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/h_n}^{\pi/h_n} \exp(-ity_n) \hat{f}_n(t) dt$$

The assertions (4-2) and (4-3) now follow from condition (4-1) and the dominated convergence theorem.

The next theorem relaxes condition (4-1) but imposes an additional condition (4-6) and obtains the same conclusion as Theorem 4.1.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of lattice valued random variables as in Theorem 4.1. Assume that there exists an integrable function  $f^*$  such that

$$(4-5) \quad \sup_n | \hat{f}_n(t) | I(|t| < \beta_n) \leq f^*(t)$$

for each  $t$ , and

$$(4-6) \quad \theta_n^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{\beta_n \leq |t| \leq \pi/h_n} | \hat{f}_n(t) | = o(h_n),$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for some sequence of real numbers  $\{\beta_n\}$  such that  $\beta_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\beta_n < \pi/h_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $Y$  possesses a bounded and continuous p.d.f.,  $f$ , and there exists constants  $M$  such that (4-2) holds uniformly for all  $y$ . If  $y_n$  be in the range of  $Y_n$  and  $y_n$  converges to  $y^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  then (4-3) holds.

**Proof.** Let  $y_n$  is a possible value of  $Y_n$ . Then an application of the inversion formula yields

$$(4-7) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_n} P(Y_n = y_n) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/h_n}^{\pi/h_n} \exp(-ity_n) \hat{f}_n(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|t| < \beta_n} \exp(-ity_n) \hat{f}_n(t) dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\beta_n \leq |t| \leq \pi/h_n} \exp(-ity_n) \hat{f}_n(t) dt \\ &= I_{n1} + I_{n2} \quad (\text{say}). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that condition (4-5) and dominated convergence theorem imply that  $I_{n1}$  converges to  $f(y^*) = (1/2\pi) \int \exp(-ity^*) \hat{f}(t) dt$ . Next

$$(4-8) \quad \begin{aligned} |I_{n2}| &\leq \frac{1}{h_n} \sup_{\beta_n \leq |t| \leq \pi/h_n} | \hat{f}_n(t) | \\ &= \frac{\theta_n^*}{h_n} \end{aligned}$$

which converges to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , by condition (4-6). This completes the proof of (4-3).

Next, from (4-7) and (4-8) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4-9) \quad \left| \frac{1}{h_n} P(Y_n = y) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|t| < 3_n} |\hat{f}_n(t)| dt + \frac{\theta_n^*}{h_n} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^*(t) dt + \frac{\theta_n^*}{h_n} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^*(t) dt = M
 \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in  $y$ , for  $n \geq n_0$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\diamond$

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $Y_n$  be a lattice valued random variable taking values in the lattice  $\{kh_n : k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$  where  $h_n > 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Assume that the span  $h_n$  of  $Y_n$  converges to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $Y_n$  converge in distribution to  $Y$ . Let  $\{b_n\}$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $\lim_n(b_n h_n) = \infty$ . Suppose that  $Y_n$  satisfies the conditions (4-2) and (4-3). Then

$$(4-10) \quad \frac{1}{h_n} E[\exp(-b_n Y_n) I(Y_n \geq 0)] \rightarrow f(0)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $f$  is the p.d.f. of  $Y$ .

**Proof.** Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4-11) \quad I_n &= E(\exp(-b_n Y_n) I(Y_n \geq 0)) \\
 &= P(Y_n = 0) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp(-kb_n h_n) P(Y_n = kh_n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (4-2) we get

$$(4-12) \quad \left[ \frac{1}{h_n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp(-kb_n h_n) P(Y_n = kh_n) \right] \leq M \frac{\exp(-b_n h_n)}{(1 - \exp(-b_n h_n))} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $\lim(b_n h_n) = \infty$ . Therefore from (4-11), (4-12) and using (4-3) we get

$$(4-13) \quad \lim_n \left( \frac{1}{h_n} I_n \right) = \lim_n \frac{1}{h_n} P(Y_n = 0) = f(0).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\diamond$

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $Y_n$  be a lattice valued random variable taking values in the lattice  $\{kh_n : k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$  where  $h_n > 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Assume that the span  $h_n$  of  $Y_n$  converges to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $Y_n$  converge in distribution to  $Y$ . Let  $\{b_n\}$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $0 < \liminf_n(b_n h_n) = b < \infty$ . Suppose that  $Y_n$  satisfies the conditions (4-2) and (4-3). Then

$$(4-14) \quad \frac{(1 - \exp(-b_n h_n))}{h_n} E[\exp(-b_n Y_n) I(Y_n \geq 0)] \rightarrow f(0)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $f$  is the p.d.f. of  $Y$ .

**Proof.** Consider

$$(4-15) \quad \begin{aligned} I_n &= E(\exp(-b_n Y_n) I(Y_n \geq 0)) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \exp(-kb_n h_n) P(Y_n = kh_n). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $N > 1$  be fixed. A lower bound for  $I_n$  is given by

$$(4-16) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \exp(-kb_n h_n) P(Y_n = kh_n)$$

and an upper bound is given by

$$(4-17) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \exp(-kb_n h_n) P(Y_n = kh_n) + M h_n \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \exp(-kb_n h_n)$$

wherein we have used (4-2). Combining (4-15), (4-16), (4-17) and using (4-3) we get

$$(4-18) \quad \begin{aligned} \liminf_n \left[ \frac{(1 - \exp(-b_n h_n))}{h_n} I_n \right] &\geq f(0) \liminf_n (1 - \exp(-N b_n h_n)) \\ &= f(0) (1 - \exp(-Nb)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4-19) \quad \begin{aligned} \limsup_n \left[ \frac{(1 - \exp(-b_n h_n))}{h_n} I_n \right] &\leq f(0) + \limsup_n (M \exp(-N b_n h_n)) \\ &= f(0) + M \exp(-Nb) \end{aligned}$$

where  $b = \liminf_n(b_n h_n)$ . Now letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$  in (4-18) and (4-19) we get

$$(4-20) \quad \lim_n \left[ \frac{(1 - \exp(-b_n h_n))}{h_n} I_n \right] = f(0).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\diamond$

We are now in a position to state strong large deviation theorems for arbitrary sequence  $\{T_n, n \geq 1\}$  of lattice random variables. We shall develop some notation before stating the theorems.

Let  $T_n$  be a lattice random variable taking values in the lattice  $\{t_n + kp_n : k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ , where  $p_n > 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Let the m.g.f. of  $T_n$ ,  $\phi_n(z)$ , be analytic and nonvanishing in the region  $\Omega = \{z \in \mathcal{C} : |z| < a\}$ . Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let

$$(4-21) \quad \psi_n(z) = a_n^{-1} \log \phi_n(z),$$

be finite and analytic in  $\Omega$ . For each  $n$ , let  $m_n$  be in the range of  $T_n/a_n$  and let  $\tau_n$  be such that  $\psi_n'(\tau_n) = m_n$  with  $0 < \tau_n < a_0 < a$ . Let

$$\gamma_n(m_n) = m_n \tau_n - \psi_n(\tau_n).$$

The following Theorems 4.5, 4.7, 4.8, 4.9 and 4.13 obtain an estimate of the large deviation probability,  $P(T_n/a_n \geq m_n)$ , depending on the various behaviors of  $p_n$  and  $\tau_n$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** Assume that  $p_n$  and  $\tau_n$  are such that  $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $p_n = o(\sqrt{a_n})$  and  $\lim_n(\tau_n p_n) = \infty$ . Assume that  $\{T_n, n \geq 1\}$  satisfies conditions (A) and (C) of Theorem 3.1. Then

$$(4-22) \quad P\left(\frac{T_n}{a_n} \geq m_n\right) \sim \frac{p_n}{\sqrt{2\pi a_n \psi_n''(\tau_n)}} \exp(-a_n \gamma_n(m_n)).$$

**Proof.** Since  $m_n$  is in the range of  $T_n/a_n$ , we can write  $a_n m_n = t_n + l_n p_n$  for some integer  $l_n$ . Using the relation  $\gamma_n(m_n) = m_n \tau_n - \psi_n(\tau_n)$  we get

$$(4-23) \quad \begin{aligned} P\left(\frac{T_n}{a_n} \geq m_n\right) &= P(T_n \geq t_n + l_n p_n) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(T_n = t_n + (k + l_n)p_n) \\ &= \exp(-a_n \gamma_n(m_n)) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \exp(a_n \gamma_n(m_n)) P(T_n = t_n + (k + l_n)p_n) \\ &= \exp(-a_n \gamma_n(m_n)) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \exp(-k \tau_n p_n) P_n(k) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4-24) \quad P_n(k) = \frac{\exp(a_n t_n + (k + l_n)p_n \tau_n)}{\phi_n(\tau_n)} P(T_n = t_n + (k + l_n)p_n).$$

Let us introduce a lattice valued random variable  $T'_n$  which takes the value  $kp_n$  with probability  $P_n(k)$  for each  $n$ . Therefore, we can rewrite (4-23) as

$$(4-25) \quad \begin{aligned} P\left(\frac{T_n}{a_n} \geq m_n\right) &= \exp(-a_n \gamma_n(m_n)) E(\exp(-\tau_n T'_n) I(T'_n \geq 0)) \\ &= \exp(-a_n \gamma_n(m_n)) E(\exp(-\tau_n \beta_n Y_n) I(Y_n \geq 0)) \\ &= \exp(-a_n \gamma_n(m_n)) E(\exp(-b_n Y_n) I(Y_n \geq 0)) \\ &= \exp(-a_n \gamma_n(m_n)) I_n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $d_n = \sqrt{a_n \tau_n''(\tau_n)}$  and  $Y_n = T'_n/d_n$  and  $b_n = \tau_n d_n$ . Note that  $Y_n$  is a lattice valued random variable with span  $h_n = p_n/d_n$  and  $\lim_n(b_n h_n) = \lim_n(\tau_n p_n) = \infty$ . If the conditions (A) and (C) are satisfied, the next Lemma 4.6 shows that  $Y_n$  converges in distribution to the standard normal and satisfies (4-2) and (4-3). Therefore applying Theorem 4.3 for  $Y_n$  we get

$$(4-26) \quad I_n \sim \frac{h_n}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Substituting (4-26) in (4-25) we get (4-22). This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\diamond$

We now state and prove Lemma 4.6 which was used in a major way in the proof of the above theorem.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let the lattice random variable  $Y_n$  be defined as in the proof of Theorem 4.5. Note that  $Y_n$  takes values in the lattice  $\{kh_n : k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ , with probabilities  $\{P_n(k) : k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ , where  $h_n = p_n/d_n$  and  $P_n(k)$  is as defined in (4-24). Assume that  $T_n$  satisfies conditions (A) and (C) of Theorem 3.1. Let  $p_n \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $p_n = o(\sqrt{a_n})$ . Then  $Y_n$  converges in distribution to standard normal and satisfies (4-2) and (4-3).

**Proof.** The lemma will be proved once we verify that  $Y_n$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.1. Note that  $h_n \rightarrow 0$ , since  $p_n = o(\sqrt{a_n})$  and  $\psi_n''(\tau_n) > \alpha$ . The c.f. of  $Y_n$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{f}_n(t) &= E(\exp(itY_n)) \\
 &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(itkh_n)P_n(k) \\
 (4-27) \quad &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(it(k-l_n)h_n + (t_n + kp_n)\tau_n) \frac{P(T_n = t_n + kp_n)}{\phi_n(\tau_n)} \\
 &= \exp(-it a_n m_n / d_n) \frac{\phi_n(\tau_n + it/d_n)}{\phi_n(\tau_n)},
 \end{aligned}$$

wherein we have used the fact  $a_n m_n = t_n + l_n p_n$ . If the conditions (A) and (C) are satisfied Lemma 3.3 shows that  $\hat{f}_n(t)$  converges to  $\exp(-t^2/2)$  and there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(4-28) \quad |\hat{f}_n(t)| I(|t| < \delta d_n) \leq \exp(-\alpha t^2/2)$$

for  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ , we can choose  $n$  large such that  $p_n > \pi/\delta$  and hence  $\pi/h_n < \delta d_n$ . Thus for sufficiently large  $n$  we have

$$(4-29) \quad |\hat{f}_n(t)| I(|t| \leq \pi/h_n) \leq f^*(t) = \exp(-\alpha t^2/2)$$

This verifies (4-1). The lemma now follows from Theorem 4.1.  $\diamond$

**Theorem 4.7.** Assume that  $p_n$  and  $\tau_n$  are such that  $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $p_n = o(\sqrt{a_n})$  and  $\liminf_n(\tau_n p_n) = b < \infty$ . Let  $\{T_n, n \geq 1\}$  satisfies conditions (A) and (C) of Theorem 3.1. Then

$$(4-30) \quad P\left(\frac{T_n}{a_n} \geq m_n\right) \sim \frac{p_n}{\sqrt{2\pi a_n \psi_n''(\tau_n)}} \frac{\exp(-a_n \gamma_n(m_n))}{(1 - \exp(-\tau_n p_n))}$$

**Proof.** Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 4.5 we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4-31) \quad P\left(\frac{T_n}{a_n} \geq m_n\right) &= \exp(-a_n \gamma_n(m_n)) E(\exp(-\tau_n d_n Y_n) I(Y_n \geq 0)) \\
 &= \exp(-a_n \gamma_n(m_n)) E(\exp(-b_n Y_n) I(Y_n \geq 0)) \\
 &= \exp(-a_n \gamma_n(m_n)) I_n
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $Y_n$  is as defined in the proof of Theorem 4.5 and  $b_n = \tau_n d_n$ . Note that  $\liminf_n(b_n h_n) = \liminf_n(\tau_n p_n) = b < \infty$ . The theorem now follows from Lemma 4.6 and Theorem 4.4.  $\diamond$

**Theorem 4.8.** Assume that  $p_n$  and  $\tau_n$  are such that  $p_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 < d < \tau_n < a_0 < a$ . Suppose that  $T_n$  satisfy conditions (A), (B) and (C) of Theorem 3.1. Then

$$(4-32) \quad P\left(\frac{T_n}{a_n} \geq m_n\right) \sim \frac{1}{\tau_n \sqrt{2\pi a_n \psi_n''(\tau_n)}} \exp(-a_n \gamma_n(m_n)).$$

**Proof.** Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 4.5 we get that

$$(4-33) \quad P\left(\frac{T_n}{a_n} \geq m_n\right) = \exp(-a_n \gamma_n(m_n)) E(\exp(-b_n Y_n) I(Y_n \geq 0))$$

where  $Y_n$  is as defined in the proof of Theorem 4.5 and  $b_n = \tau_n d_n$ . The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\diamond$

**Theorem 4.9.** Assume that there exists positive numbers  $p^*$ ,  $p^{**}$  and  $d$  such that  $p^* < p_n < p^{**}$  and  $\tau_n > d$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $T_n$  satisfy conditions (A), (C) of Theorem 3.1 and the following condition (B'):

(B') There exists  $\delta_1 > 0$ , such that for  $0 < \delta < \delta_1$ ,

$$\sup_{\delta \leq |t| \leq \pi/p_n} \left| \frac{\phi_n(\tau_n + it)}{\phi_n(\tau_n)} \right| = o\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}}\right].$$

Then

$$(4-34) \quad P\left(\frac{T_n}{a_n} \geq m_n\right) \sim \frac{p_n}{\sqrt{2\pi a_n \psi_n''(\tau_n)}} \frac{\exp(-a_n \gamma_n(m_n))}{(1 - \exp(-\tau_n p_n))}.$$

**Proof.** The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.7. The major difference is that we apply Lemma 4.12 instead of Lemma 4.6. If the conditions (A), (B') and (C) are satisfied, the next Lemma 4.12 shows that  $Y_n$  converges in distribution to the standard normal and it satisfies (4-2) and (4-3). Note that in this case  $\liminf_n(b_n h_n) =$

$\liminf_n(\tau_n p_n) = b < \infty$ . Combining Lemma 4.12 and Theorem 4.4 we get the conclusion (4-34).  $\diamond$

**Remark 4.10.** Let  $\{T_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of lattice random variables with span  $p_n > 0$ . If  $p_n \rightarrow 0$  then Condition (B') implies Condition (B). Therefore one should note that Theorem 4.8 is also true if Condition (B) is replaced by Condition (B'). However, if  $p_n$  is such that  $0 < p^* < p_n < p^{**} < \infty$  for some positive constants  $p^*$  and  $p^{**}$ , the stronger Condition (B') is never satisfied for the lattice random variables  $\{T_n, n \geq 1\}$ . In this case Theorem 4.9 obtains the strong large deviation result assuming the weaker condition (B'). In the case where  $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ , both conditions (B) and (B') can be dropped altogether as shown in Theorems 4.5, 4.7 and 4.13.

**Remark 4.11.** We now show that Case 2 of Theorem 1 of Bahadur and Ranga Rao(1960) follows from Theorem 4.9. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , be i.i.d. lattice random variables with span  $p > 0$  and m.g.f. given by  $\phi(z)$ . Let  $\psi(z) = \log(\phi(z))$ , be finite for  $|z| < a$ . Let  $T_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ . The m.g.f. of  $T_n$  is given by  $\phi_n(z) = \phi^n(z)$ . Let  $m$  be a real number such that  $nm$  is a possible value of  $T_n$  and there exists  $0 < \tau < a$  satisfying  $\psi'(\tau) = m$ . Conditions (A) and (C) are trivially satisfied as noted in Remark 3.4. Using the fact that  $X_1$  is lattice with span  $p$  we get that for each  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  such that

$$(4-35) \quad \sup_{\delta \leq |t| \leq \pi/p} \left| \frac{\phi(\tau + it)}{\phi(\tau)} \right| < (1 - \epsilon).$$

This shows that condition (B') is satisfied since  $\phi_n(z) = \phi^n(z)$ . Thus the conclusion of Theorem 4.9 holds. This proves the strong large deviation result for  $P(T_n/n \geq m)$  contained in Case 2 of Theorem 1 of Bahadur and Ranga Rao(1960) and also Theorem 4 of Blackwell and Hodges(1959).

**Lemma 4.12.** Let  $Y_n$  be a function of the lattice valued random variable  $T_n$  as in Lemma 4.6. Let there exists positive numbers  $p^*, p^{**}$  and  $d$  such that  $p^* < p_n < p^{**}$  and  $\tau > d$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . If  $T_n$  satisfies conditions (A), (B') and (C) then  $Y_n$  converges in distribution to standard normal and satisfies (4-2) and (4-3).

**Proof.** The lemma follows once we verify that  $Y_n$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.2. Note that the span  $h_n = p_n/d_n$  of  $Y_n$  goes to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $d_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $p_n$  is bounded. If  $T_n$  satisfies conditions (A) and (C) then Lemma 4.6 shows that  $Y_n$  converges to standard normal and satisfies (4.5) with  $\beta_n = \delta d_n$ . Further, condition (B') implies that  $Y_n$  satisfies (4.6). Thus  $Y_n$  satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 4.2.  $\diamond$

**Theorem 4.13.** Let  $T_n$  satisfy conditions (A), (C) of Theorem 3.1. Assume that the span  $p_n$  of  $T_n$  and  $\tau_n$  satisfy any one of the following conditions:

- (i)  $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\tau_n p_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\tau_n \sqrt{a_n} \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (ii)  $0 < p_n < p < \infty$ ,  $\tau_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\tau_n \sqrt{a_n} \rightarrow \infty$ .

Then

$$(4.36) \quad P\left(\frac{T_n}{a_n} \geq m_n\right) \sim \frac{1}{\tau_n \sqrt{2\pi a_n \psi_n''(\tau_n)}} \exp(-a_n \gamma_n(m_n)).$$

**Proof.** Proceeding as in Theorem 4.5 we can write

$$(4.37) \quad P\left(\frac{T_n}{a_n} \geq m_n\right) = \exp(-a_n \gamma_n(m_n)) E(\exp(-b_n Y_n) I(Y_n \geq 0))$$

where  $Y_n$  is as defined in the proof of Theorem 4.5 and  $b_n = \tau_n d_n$ . The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.5.  $\diamond$

## 5. Applications

In this section we give two typical applications to illustrate the large deviation limit theorems and strong large deviation limit theorems of the previous sections. The first example is a local limit result and illustrates Theorem 2.1. The second example is a strong large deviation result for a lattice valued random variable and illustrates the theorems in Section 4.

**Example 5.1.** This example applies to a general class of sums of dependent random variables considered in Chaganty and Sethuraman(1987). Though it was proved in that paper that the limit distribution could be both normal and nonnormal, our example applies only to the case where the limit distribution is normal. We first present a particular application and then state a more general application referring to conditions found in Chaganty and Sethuraman(1987).

Let  $\{X_1^{(n)}, X_2^{(n)}, \dots, X_n^{(n)}\}$  be a triangular array of random variables with joint density function

$$(5-1) \quad dQ_n^*(\mathbf{x}) = z_n^{-1} (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left[ \cosh\left(\frac{s_n}{\beta n}\right) \right]^n \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^2}{2}\right) d\mathbf{x},$$

where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $s_n = x_1 + \dots + x_n$ ,  $\beta > 1$  and  $z_n$  is a normalizing constant. Such dependent random variables arise in generalized Curie-Weiss models used to describe ferro-magnets. Using Theorem 3.7 of Chaganty and Sethuraman(1987) or using (5-3) below we can show that  $Y_n = (X_1^{(n)} + \dots + X_n^{(n)})/\sqrt{n}$  converges in distribution to a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2 = \beta^2/(\beta^2 - 1)$  (Example 4.4 of Chaganty and Sethuraman(1987) considered the case  $\beta = 1$  and obtained a non-normal distribution under a different normalization). We will now show that Theorem 2.1 applies to  $Y_n$ . Since

$$(5-2) \quad (\cosh \omega)^n = \sum_{y \in C_n} \exp(\omega y) \lambda_n(y)$$

with  $\lambda_n(y) = \binom{n}{(n+y)/2} 2^{-n}$  and  $C_n = \{-n, -n+2, \dots, n\}$ , the c.f. of  $Y_n$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5-3) \quad \hat{f}_n(t) &= E(\exp(itY_n)) \\
 &= z_n^{-1} \sum_{y \in C_n} \left[ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int \exp\left(\frac{its_n}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{ys_n}{\beta n} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^2}{2}\right) dx \right] \lambda_n(y) \\
 &= \exp(-t^2/2) z_n^{-1} \sum_{y \in C_n} \exp\left(\frac{ity}{\beta\sqrt{n}} + \frac{y^2}{2\beta^2 n}\right) \lambda_n(y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\hat{f}_n(0) = 1$ , we have

$$(5-4) \quad |\hat{f}_n(t)| \leq \exp(-t^2/2) \quad \text{for all } n \text{ and } t.$$

Thus from Theorem 2.1 it follows that for any  $h > 0$ ,  $\{b_n\} \rightarrow \infty$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y$ .

$$(5-5) \quad b_n P(|Y_n - y_n| < h/b_n) \rightarrow \frac{2h}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

with  $\sigma^2 = \beta^2/(\beta^2 - 1)$ .

From the above discussion and from a full use of Theorem 3.7 of Chaganty and Sethuraman(1987) we have the following application which we state without proof.

Let  $\{X_1^{(n)}, X_2^{(n)}, \dots, X_n^{(n)}\}$  be a triangular array of random variables whose joint distribution is as given in (3.13) of Theorem 3.7 of Chaganty and Sethuraman(1987). We will impose conditions on the probability measure  $P$  and the index  $r$  appearing in that Theorem. Let  $P$  be the standard normal distribution and let  $r = 1$ . Under these conditions, Theorem 3.7 of Chaganty and Sethuraman(1987) shows that there is a sequence of constants  $\{m_n\}$  such that

$$(5-6) \quad Y_n = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_j^{(n)} - nm_n\right)/\sqrt{n}$$

has a limiting normal distribution with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Let  $\hat{f}_n(t)$  be the c.f. of  $Y_n$ . For this case, if we proceed as in the application above, we can establish (5-4) for all  $n$  and  $t$ . This shows that (5-5) is true with the appropriate  $\sigma$ .

**Example 5.2.** We now obtain a strong large deviation result for the Wilcoxon signed-rank statistic under the null hypothesis. This strengthens the well known weak large deviation results for this statistic (see Klotz(1965)).

Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. continuous random variables with median  $m$ . Arrange  $|X_1|, |X_2|, \dots, |X_n|$  in increasing order of magnitude and assign ranks  $1, 2, \dots, n$ . The Wilcoxon signed-rank statistic  $U_n$  is defined as the sum of the ranks of positive  $X_i$ 's. The statistic  $U_n$  is used to test the null hypothesis  $H_0 : m = 0$  vs  $H_1 : m \neq 0$ . Let  $T_n = U_n/n$ . The random variable  $T_n$  is a lattice random variable with span  $p_n = 1/n$ . The m.g.f. of  $T_n$  under the null hypothesis  $H_0$  is given by

$$(5-7) \quad \phi_n(z) = \prod_{k=1}^n [(\exp(kz/n) + 1)/2], \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

It is easy to check that  $\phi_n(z)$  is analytic and nonvanishing in the region  $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < \pi/2\}$ . Let

$$(5-8) \quad \psi_n(z) = n^{-1} \log \phi_n(z).$$

It is easy to check that there exists  $\beta > 0$  such that  $|\psi_n(z)| < \beta$  for  $|z| < \pi/2$ . Straightforward calculations show that  $\psi_n''(\tau)$  is bounded below by a positive number  $\alpha$  for real  $\tau$  such that  $|\tau| < \pi/2$ . Thus  $T_n$  satisfies conditions (A) and (C). Next we first note that  $\psi_n'(s) \rightarrow \int_0^1 (x)/(1 + \exp(-sx)) dx$  and that the range of  $\psi_n'(s)$ , for real  $s$  contains the open interval  $(0, 1/2)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Thus if  $\{m_n\}$  is a sequence of real numbers such that  $1/4 < m_n < \bar{m} < \int_0^1 (x)/(1 + \exp(-\pi x/2)) dx$  then we can find a positive number  $a_0$  and a sequence  $\{\tau_n\}$  satisfying  $0 < \tau_n < a_0 < \pi/2$  and  $\psi_n'(\tau_n) = m_n$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ . If  $\tau_n \rightarrow 0$  such that  $\sqrt{n}\tau_n \rightarrow \infty$ , then Theorem 4.13 shows that (4-36) is valid for  $P(T_n \geq nm_n)$ . Now consider the case where  $\tau_n > d$  for all  $n \geq 1$  for some positive  $d$ . From the analysis in Example 3.1 of Chaganty and Sethuraman(1985) it can be seen that there exists  $n_0$  and  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that for  $0 < \delta < \delta_1$ ,

$$(5-9) \quad \sup_{\delta \leq |t| \leq \pi/p_n} \left| \frac{\phi_n(\tau_n + it)}{\phi_n(\tau_n)} \right| \leq \exp(-n\alpha\delta^2/4)$$

for  $n \geq n_0$ . Since  $p_n \rightarrow 0$  this verifies condition (B). Therefore Theorem 4.8 shows that the conclusion (4-36) holds even in this case.

**Acknowledgments.** We are grateful to a referee for carefully reading the original version of this paper and for suggesting many improvements, including the several variations in the lattice case.

## References

1. Bahadur, R. R. and Ranga Rao, R.(1960). On deviations of the sample mean. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **31** 1015-1027.
2. Blackwell, D. and Hodges, J. L.(1959). The probability in the extreme tail of a convolution. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **30** 1113-1120.
3. Chaganty, N. R. and Sethuraman, J.(1985). Large deviation local limit theorems for arbitrary sequences of random variables. *Ann. Probab.* **13** 97-114.
4. Chaganty, N. R. and Sethuraman, J.(1987). Limit theorems in the area of large deviations for some dependent random variables. *Ann. Probab.* **15** 628-645.
5. Chaganty, N. R. and Sethuraman, J.(1986). Multi-dimensional large deviation local limit theorems. *J. Multivariate Anal.* **20** 190-204.
6. Chernoff, H.(1952). A measure of asymptotic efficiency for tests of an hypothesis based on the sum of observations. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **23** 493-507.
7. Cramér, H.(1938). Sur un nouveau théorème-limite de la théorie des probabilités. *Actualites Sci. Ind.* **736** 5-23.
8. de Haan, L. and Resnick, S. I.(1982). Local limit theorems for sample extremes. *Ann. Probab.* **10** 396-413.
9. Ellis, R. S.(1984). Large deviations for a general class of dependent random vectors, *Ann. Probab.* **12** 1-12.
10. Feller, W.(1967). On regular variation and local limit theorems. *Proc. Fifth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Probab.* Vol II, Part I, 373-388. University of California Press, Berkeley.
11. Jain, N. C. and Pruitt, W. E.(1987). Lower tail probability estimates for subordinators and nondecreasing random walks. *Ann. Probab.* **15** 75-101.

12. Klotz, J.(1965). Alternative efficiencies for signed rank tests. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **36** 1759-1766.
13. Sievers, G. L.(1969). On the probability of large deviations. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **40** 1908-1921.
14. Steinebach, J.(1978). Convergence rates of large deviation probabilities in the multi-dimensional case. *Ann. Probab.* **6** 751-759.
15. Varadhan, S. R. S. (1984). *Large deviations and Applications*. SIAM, CBMS/NSF Regional Conference in Applied Math. **46** SIAM, Philadelphia.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
Old Dominion University  
Norfolk, Virginia 23529.

Department of Statistics  
Florida State University  
Tallahassee, Florida 32306.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER <b>ARO 23699.23-MA</b>	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. N/A	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER N/A
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Strong Large Deviation and Local Limit Theorems		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER FSU Tech. Report No. M-813
7. AUTHOR(s) Narasinga R. Chaganty and Jayaram Sethuraman		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DAAL03-86-K-0094
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Florida State University Department of Statistics Tallahassee, FL 32306-3033		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709		12. REPORT DATE August, 1989
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 36
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  For public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)  N/A		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  Large Deviations, Local Limit Theorems		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  An event is loosely called a large deviation event if the dominant term in the probability of that event goes to zero exponentially. Most papers give asymptotic expressions only to the logarithm of the probability of a large deviation event. In this paper we obtain strong deviation results which give asymptotic expressions to the actual probability of a large deviation event based on an arbitrary sequence of random variables, under some conditions on the moment generating functions. (please see back to continue)		

The proof of these results depends on local limit theorems, which also are proved in this paper, by imposing some conditions on the characteristic functions. A local limit theorem states that the pseudo-densities of random variables converge, which is stronger than the convergence of these random variables in distribution.