

2

AD-A213 724

20030205223

A NOVEL HEAT RESISTANT BLEND PRODUCED BY
COMPOSITIONAL QUENCHING: A THERMOPLASTIC POLYIMIDE IMPACT
MODIFIED WITH A FLUOROELASTOMER

FINAL REPORT

JOHN S. FURNO AND E. BRUCE NAUMAN

AUGUST 15, 1989

U.S. ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE

CONTRACT/GRANT NUMBER
DAAL03-86-G-0055

RENSSELAER POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE:
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

DTIC
JUL 20 1989
89

89 10 24 225

UNCLASSIFIED

MASTER COPY

FOR REPRODUCTION PURPOSES

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS	
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.	
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) ARO 23797.2-MS-F	
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION U. S. Army Research Office	
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211	
6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)		9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER DAA403-86-6-0055	
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION U. S. Army Research Office		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. PROJECT NO. TASK NO. WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.	
8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)		11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) A NOVEL HEAT RESISTANT BLEND PRODUCED BY COMPOSITIONAL QUENCHING: A THERMOPLASTIC POLYIMIDE IMPACT MODIFIED WITH A FLUOROELASTOMER	
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211		12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) John S. Furno and E. Bruce Nauman	
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Final		13b. TIME COVERED FROM 6/1/86 TO 5/31/89	
14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 8/15/89		15. PAGE COUNT	
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION The view, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.			
17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP		18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Compositional Quenching, Polyimides, Impact Modification, Blends, Ultem, Viton	
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) See attached.			
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☐ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT ☐ DTIC USERS		21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL		22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL	

19. ABSTRACT

The research findings supported by the Army Research Office under Proposal No. 23797-MS-F are summarized in the following paper which will soon be submitted for publication. The goal of this was to find out if new and improved high temperature polyimide blends could be produced by compositional quenching. Materials of this type could be used in advanced composites, as adhesives, and as structural materials.

The polymers used in this work are Ultem, a thermoplastic polyimide produced by General Electric, and Viton A, a fluoroelastomer made by DuPont. Both the polymer and rubber were chosen for this study because of their excellent thermal stability. Ultem is a heat and chemical resistant polymer that suffers from poor impact strength. The addition of a dispersed rubber phase to Ultem significantly reduces this problem. Compositional quenching is a process in which a single-phase solution consisting of two (or more) polymers dissolved in a common solvent is heated and then flashed in a vacuum chamber. Rapid devolatilization of the solvent results leaving behind a two-phase polymer blend in which the minor component is microdispersed within the major component through the action of spinodal decomposition,

Through the process of compositional quenching, Ultem-Viton blends were produced that contained relatively uniform-sized, spherical Viton particles approximately one-half micron in diameter. Blends containing 5, 10, and 15% Viton by weight were produced. Notched Izod impact strength was tripled and quadrupled with the addition of 10 and 15% Viton, respectively, with relatively little sacrifice in other properties such as yield strength and modulus. The impact strength improvement is even more dramatic at elevated temperatures. At 175°C, the impact strength of the 15% Viton blend is thirty times that of the neat resin. These improvements are due to the rubber particles ability to initiate shear yielding deformation about the propagating crack tip and thus cause additional energy to be absorbed during fracture. Compositionally quenched blends performed better than blends produced by conventional extrusion compounding which suffered from delamination problems.

Accession For

NTIS CARD	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	

A-1

A-1

Proposal Number 23797-MS
Funding Document DAAL03-86-G-0055

Phase Equilibria and the Landau-Ginzburg Functional

A NOVEL HEAT RESISTANT BLEND PRODUCED BY COMPOSITIONAL QUENCHING: A THERMOPLASTIC POLYIMIDE IMPACT MODIFIED WITH A FLUOROELASTOMER

John S. Furno and E. B. Nauman
Department of Chemical Engineering
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Troy, NY 12180-3590

1. INTRODUCTION

The impact modification of polymers is possible through incorporation of rubbery phase domains into an otherwise brittle polymer matrix. High impact polystyrene (HIPS), acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene (ABS), and modified epoxies are well-known and important materials that employ this technique. The size of the rubber phase domains in many cases determines the degree of toughening that occurs in a particular system. Two phase rubber/polymer blends have been produced by a number of methods. These methods include mechanical blending, precipitation polymerization, emulsion polymerization, and block copolymerization. These existing processes have limitations and cannot always be used to produce the desired microdispersion.¹ A new process, called compositional quenching, has been developed in which a blend of a finely dispersed rubbery phase within a continuous polymer phase can be created by rapid removal of the solvent from a single phase polymer solution. As the solvent is being removed, phase separation occurs by spinodal decomposition.¹ The size of the rubber phase domains is, in part, a function of the rate of solvent removal. High rates of solvent removal produce very small particles.^{2,3}

In this work, we explore using compositional quenching in the rubber modification of a high-temperature polymer, a poly(ether imide) known as Ultem®1000. Polymers of this type are particularly interesting for military, electronic, and automotive applications, where thermal stability is important. However, most heat-resistant polymers are usually quite brittle. This brittleness is often due to the stiff polymer backbone that also imparts the high thermal stability. It is difficult to alter the polymer backbone to add toughness without adversely affecting thermal stability. The addition of a thermally stable elastomer as an impact modifier is one means to improve the toughness of high temperature polymers without greatly sacrificing heat resistance.

The equipment used for compositional quenching is schematically shown in Figure 1. The single phase polymer solution is first pumped through a heat exchanger in order to accept heat which will ultimately be used to vaporize the solvent. The polymer solution is typically heated to 200 to 300°C. In order to prevent the solvent from boiling within the heat exchanger and in the lines before the flash chamber, the heated solution is kept under relatively high pressure, typically over 500 psi. This heated, pressurized solution is then flashed across a valve into an evacuated chamber. Most of the solvent rapidly

vaporizes and exits through the top of the flash chamber, leaving behind a two phase polymer blend. The solvent vapors can be condensed, collected, and recycled. The polymer blend is collected at the bottom of the flash chamber for further processing. The polymer product often contains large amounts of solvent, typically about 50% by weight, so that further devolatilization is needed. Despite the residual solvent, the mixture collected in the flash chamber has a relatively high viscosity. This high viscosity lowers molecular and Brownian diffusion and prevents agglomeration or coarsening of the rubber phase. The product is a viscosity-stabilized suspension of rubber particles in a continuous polymer matrix that is sufficiently stable to survive subsequent processing.

Polyimides characterized by their five-membered nitrogen-containing ring are particularly known for their thermal stability. Polyimides come in the form of both thermoset and thermoplastic polymers. One polyimide that includes ether linkages to provide its thermoplastic nature is sold by the General Electric Company under the tradename of Ultem®. Ultem is commonly used for electrical components and in aircraft. Ultem 1000, a high viscosity no additives grade, was used in this study. Viton A, a fluorelastomer produced by DuPont was chosen as the modifying agent due to its thermal stability. Viton can withstand temperatures in excess of 200°C without significant degradation. Dimethyl formamide was found to dissolve both Ultem and Viton as required for the compositional quenching process. No block copolymers or surface-active agents were employed in any of the experiments which follow.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

The single phase polymer solution required as the feed for the compositional quenching process consisted of 2.5% by weight of the combined polymers in dimethyl formamide. Blends containing 5, 10, and 15% by weight of Viton A in Ultem 1000 were produced. The solution was heated to 60°C in order to dissolve all of the polymer and provide a single phase solution. The polymer solution was fed to the compositional quenching apparatus and heated to a temperature of 290°C just before the flash valve. It was then flashed across a needle valve into a vacuum chamber held at 3.5 Torr. The partially devolatilized product was found to consist of approximately 40% polymer by weight.

The product was then processed to remove the remaining DMF. First, the material was allowed to air dry at room temperature for 12 hours. This was followed by placing the material in a vacuum oven under full vacuum and ramping the temperature from 25°C to 180°C over six hours. The 5% and 10% Viton blends were ground in a mill (Wiley Model #3) through a 2 mm sieve to produce a granular material which was subsequently placed in a vacuum oven for 24 hours at 150°C and full vacuum. This material was then passed through a Werner-Pfleiderer (WP) vented, 30 mm, twin screw extruder to remove the residual solvent. The 15% Viton blend was ground through a 0.5 mm sieve producing a fine powder which could be dried using the vacuum oven alone.

The powder was kept in a vacuum oven at 175°C and full vacuum for 72 hours to remove the residual solvent. No differences in particle size or shape were apparent in the compositionally quenched blends despite the different devolatilization techniques.

Blends were also produced by passing well mixed Ultem (90%) and Viton (10%) pellets through a 28 mm WP twin screw extruder. Compounding was done at screw speeds of 100 and 200 rpm in an effort to produce blends of different rubber particle sizes. In addition, one batch was subjected to two passes through the extruder at 300 rpm [denoted 300(2) rpm].

In addition, a 90/10 Ultem-Viton blend was produced by precipitation of the polymer from solution. A polymer solution similar to the one used as feed for the compositional quenching process was slowly poured into an equal amount of water, an antisolvent, to precipitate the polymer blend from solution. The precipitate was collected by filtration, dried, and then processed similarly to the 15% Viton blend produced by compositional quenching.

Before molding, the compositionally quenched blend and the extruded compounds were dried in an air-circulating oven at 150°C for five hours. Mini (ASTM Type 5) tensile bars and Izod impact test bars were injection molded using a 28 ton Engle injection molding machine. Molding was performed using a 325°C nozzle temperature and a heated mold kept at 107°C.

A number of tests were performed on each of the samples in order to characterize their physical properties and assess their relative performance. A TMI Impact Testing Machine Model 43-1 was used for the standard Izod impact test. A TMI Monitor/Impact Model 43-02 equipped with a controlled temperature cell was used to measure Izod impact strength over the temperature range of -30 to 175°C. A model TMI-22-05 notching cutter was used to cut notches on all Izod specimens in accordance with ASTM standards. At least five samples were tested in each case with more than 10 samples tested for the mechanically compounded blends. Impact strength values quoted are an average over all tests. Room temperature tensile tests were done on an Instron Tensile Testing Machine Model 1125 equipped with Laboratory Microsystems, Inc. "Mechanical Testing Package 2.1" data analysis software. Yield strength, yield strain, tensile modulus, strength at break, and elongation (%) were measured for all samples. At least three tensile tests were performed on each material with more done if tests provided conflicting results. Tensile tests were done on ASTM Type 5 sample bars at a crosshead speed of 0.2 in/min. Variable temperature tensile tests were done on a Instron model 1123 equipped with a Model 3111 environmental chamber using a crosshead speed of 0.1 in/min. All specimens were allowed to equilibrate at test temperatures for at least 20 minutes. Extensometers were not employed.

A Perkin-Elmer Thermogravimetric Analyzer (Model TGA7) equipped with thermal analysis software (TAS, Version 2.0) was used to assess the thermal stability of the prepared blends as well as the virgin Ultem. Thermogravimetric analysis was performed using a heating rate of 20°C/min. The weight of the polymer was measured as a function of exposure temperature in these tests. A decrease in weight signifies polymer degradation.

Finally, fracture surfaces and representative cross-sections were analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using an International Scientific Instruments Model IIIA microscope. Fracture surfaces were observed to gain insight into the processes occurring and the differences in fracture between modified and unmodified material. Fracture surfaces analyzed by SEM were produced from room temperature notched Izod tests. Representative cross-sections were also analyzed by SEM in order to measure the rubber particle sizes in the prepared blends. Part of an injection molded sample was encapsulated in an epoxy bullet. The bullet was then cut using a Sorvall "Porter-Blum" Ultramicrotome (MT-2) equipped with a freshly cut glass knife. The bullet was then frozen in liquid nitrogen and subsequently polished using the ultramicrotome. It was then soaked in methyl ethyl ketone for two hours in order to selectively dissolve the Viton A and thus etch the polished surface.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A comparison of the mechanical properties for the experimental materials containing 10% Viton is given in Table 1. It is obvious that the addition of 10% Viton A is an effective method to impact modify Ultem. Virtually a three-fold improvement in Izod impact strength is observed with a 10% addition of Viton A in blends made by both compositional quenching and extrusion (at all screw speeds). This major improvement in impact strength is coupled with a relatively small sacrifice in yield strength and modulus (-16% and -14%, respectively, for the compositionally quenched blend). The precipitated 90/10 blend, meanwhile did not exhibit any improvement in impact strength over the neat material.

There are significant differences in tensile behavior between compositionally quenched and extruded blends. Up to the yield point, the blends produced by both methods perform similarly. They have a tensile modulus and yield strength that are virtually the same, and differences are only manifested in post-yield behavior. The compositionally quenched blend has a much higher strength at break and a larger elongation (%) than any of the extruded blends. There is a clear progression of increasing strength and elongation at break with increasing screw speed in the extrusion blends. This progression is illustrated in Figure 2 which shows tensile tests for individual samples of extruded blends of various screw speeds as well as the compositionally quenched blend.

The differences in mechanical behavior of these materials can be linked to their morphological differences. Figure 3 is a scanning electron micrograph of a

polished and etched surface of the compositionally quenched blend. The average rubber particle size in this material is approximately $0.3\ \mu\text{m}$ with the largest of these particles being $0.5\ \mu\text{m}$. The particles are generally spherical in shape. On the other hand, all of the extruded materials included particles that were at least twice the size of the largest rubber domains found in the compositionally quenched material. Figure 4 shows the etched surface of the 200 rpm extruded blend. The rubber domains are substantially larger ($\approx 2.5\ \mu\text{m}$) than those found in the compositionally quenched blend. In addition, the particles are no longer spherical in shape, but instead, highly elliptic. Figure 5 shows that the rubber particles in the precipitated blend are much larger than in the other materials. The particles are as large as $15\ \mu\text{m}$ in size. We thus conclude that particles of this size and larger are ineffective as impact modifiers.

Figures 6 and 7 are SEM photographs of fracture surfaces obtained from a room temperature notched Izod test. Figure 6 is the fracture surface of neat Ultem, and Figure 7 shows the fracture surface of the compositionally quenched blend. The extrusion blended materials had fracture surfaces that were quite similar to the one shown in Figure 6 for the compositionally quenched blend. The fracture surfaces of the blends are quite sinuous and expose a great deal of surface area. In addition, there seems to be shear yielding of the polymer matrix and rubber particle dislocations on these surfaces. In contrast, the neat Ultem fracture surface is quite flat. There is little evidence of shear yielding, and the fracture path seems quite direct. It seems likely that the increased toughness of the blend is due to the tortuous path of the fracture along with the extensive yielding that occurs in the modified material. There is no evidence of crazing, and the rubber particles seem to function as shear yielding initiators.^{4,5}

These results agree with the findings of Wu⁶ for a Type II polymer which has a high crack initiation energy but a low crack propagation energy in impact. Ultem® has a high unnotched impact strength, but a low notched impact strength characteristic of a Type II polymer. Wu states that these materials are rubber toughened mainly by increased matrix yielding. Wu proposes, for Type II blends, that when the distance between rubber particles is less than some critical value, the stress fields around the rubber particles interact considerably to enhance matrix yielding and produce tough behavior. Tough behavior for 90/10 Ultem-Viton blends corresponds to an impact strength of 1.5 ft-lb/in, approximately three times the impact strength found for brittle fracture. Assuming that the rubber particles are uniformly-sized spheres arranged in a cubic lattice, the interparticle spacing, T , can be calculated from the known particle diameter, d , and the volume fraction of the rubber, ϕ , as

$$T = d[(\pi/6\phi)^{1/3} - 1] \quad (1)$$

Given that the average particle sizes are 0.3 , 1.0 , and $7.0\ \mu\text{m}$ for the compositionally quenched, extruded, and precipitated blends, respectively, we

would calculate the interparticle spacings to be 0.22, 0.74, and 5.2 μm , respectively. These values represent gross estimates of the true interparticle spacings since the assumptions behind Equation (1) are certainly violated. However, from this we can estimate that the critical interparticle distance for Viton-modified Ultem to be on the order of a micron in size. The results here also back Wu's contention that strong adhesion between the rubber and matrix phases as provided by chemical bonding is not necessary and that adhesion provided by van der Waals attractions alone is adequate for toughening.

The most important difference observed between the compositionally quenched material and the extrusion blends is that the extruded blends all showed signs of delamination while the compositionally quenched material did not. These delaminations became obvious when the material was twisted. Layer separation and tearing were observed. Delamination in blends is often an indication of incompatibility of the polymers making up the blend. This incompatibility seems to be overcome in the compositionally quenched material presumably by creating a more intimate mixture of the two polymers. Using low magnification SEM, Figure 8 shows the skin of oriented polymer present on the surface of a test bar made from a blend produced by compounding. This type of skin is characteristic of a delaminating material. The blends produced through the process of compositionally quenched did not contain such a skin.

Table 2 shows the measured mechanical properties of neat Ultem along with Ultem-Viton blends containing 5, 10, and 15% Viton. The blends were produced via compositional quenching. The average rubber particle size in all of these blends was slightly less than a half of a micron, approximately 0.3 μm in diameter. As illustrated in Figure 9, the impact strength of the blends increases with rubber content. These impact strengths all seemingly correspond to "tough" behavior, under Wu's tough-brittle transition framework,⁶ even the blend containing 5% Viton which shows only a modest improvement in impact strength. The interparticle distance in the 5% Viton blend is approximately 0.4 μm which is less than the apparent interparticle distance in the extrusion compounded 10% Viton blend which exhibits tough behavior.

The increase in impact strength with increasing amounts of added rubber is believed to be due to the activation of shear yielding deformation in a larger volume of the matrix material surrounding the crack tip. Rubber inclusions are well known to act as stress concentrators in the matrix material immediately surrounding the particle.^{7,8} An intense concentration of stress exists in the region about the tip of a propagating crack.⁹ The rubber particles in the vicinity of the crack tip act as a larger number of nucleation sites for expanding stress concentration regions. These induced stress fields extending from the surface of the rubber particles interact appreciably when the particles are close enough together so as to create a relatively large region of high stress. The rubber particles thus act as stress relaxation agents which transforms a small area of intense stress at the tip of a propagating crack in an unmodified material to a

much larger region of comparably lower stress which is able to initiate and sustain energy absorbing deformation processes in a modified material. The occurrence of deformation processes such as shear yielding and crazing result in a higher measured impact strength.

The results of tensile tests given in Table 2 show that sacrifices in modulus and yield strength must be accepted as a tradeoff for improved impact strength in blends having a higher rubber content. A decrease in modulus and yield strength of approximately 7% was found for each 5% increase in rubber content. These decreases, however, are modest in comparison to the dramatic increases observed in impact strength.

The improvement in impact strength of the rubber modified materials in comparison to the neat matrix material is even more dramatic at elevated temperatures. Figure 10 compares the Izod impact strength of neat Ultem with that of the 85/15 Ultem-Viton blend over the temperature range of -30 to 175°C. The impact strength of the neat resin is essentially temperature insensitive over this range. The impact strength of the blend approaches that of the neat material for low temperatures. This result is expected since the rubber will essentially act as a glass at these temperatures and the fracture will proceed directly through the glassy particles. The glass transition temperature of Viton A was found to be -19°C using differential scanning calorimetry. At elevated temperatures, the situation is quite different. The rubber modified material exhibits a dramatic increase in impact strength above 100°C. In fact, the impact strength of the blend is approximately 30 times greater than that of the neat resin at 175°C. Interestingly enough, the impact strength of the blend at these elevated temperatures approaches the unnotched Izod impact strength of the neat resin. The combination of elevated temperature and added rubber particles act together to essentially blunt the crack tip.

Figure 11 shows the fracture surface created from an Izod impact test at the 85/15 Ultem-Viton blend performed at 175°C. The increase in temperature causes an even larger volume of the matrix material to be involved in the shear yielding deformation about the crack. This should be expected since the yield strength of the matrix material drops significantly with increasing temperature. This allows stresses further from the crack tip to cause matrix yielding.

Bucknall and Street¹⁰ have previously observed this type of increase in impact strength with increasing temperature for HIPS, modified PMMA, and modified PVC. They concluded that this behavior was due to the activated initiation of crazes in the matrix phase which effectively relaxes the stress field about the tip of an advancing crack. In Ultem-Viton blends, there is no evidence of crazing, and shear yielding is believed to be the major deformation process occurring in the Type II⁶ matrix material. Higher temperatures allow shear yielding to occur in regions further from the crack tip which are at lower stress levels since the yield strength of the matrix has been lowered. The same stress

field relaxation arguments used by Bucknall and Street apply this shear yielding polymer situation. Additional energy is absorbed by the yielding matrix material during the fracture of the blend. This results in a higher measured impact strength.

Table 3 lists the mechanical properties of neat Ultem and the 85/15 Ultem-Viton blend at a series of temperatures between -30 and 175°C. Figure 12 graphically compares these mechanical properties as a function of temperature for the two materials. Several interesting aspects of this comparison are evident. The modulus of both the blend and the neat resin are essentially the same at -30°C while at the higher temperatures the blend has a modulus that is approximately 20% lower than that of the unmodified polymer. Again the explanation for this phenomena is that the rubber is essentially a glass with a modulus similar to the matrix material at the low temperature while at the higher temperatures the modulus differences between the two phases becomes significant.

In addition, the ultimate elongation of these materials shows an interesting dependence on temperature. The neat resin has a relatively high elongation even at low temperatures. The blend, meanwhile, elongates significantly less at temperatures at or below ambient. At higher temperatures, however, the elongation drastically increases and even surpasses that of the neat polymer. The increased ability of the matrix to cold flow and yield at high temperatures so as to heal the molecular separations that are created in tension allows the material to elongate to a higher degree. In essence, the flaw sensitivity of the matrix is drastically reduced at elevated temperatures. The flaws inherent at the particle-matrix interface in the rubber modified materials thus become less destructive at these elevated temperatures.

Figure 13 shows the results of the thermogravimetric analyses. There were no observable differences in thermal stability between the compositionally quenched and extruded blends. There is some loss of thermal stability in these rubber modified materials as seen from the earlier weight loss in comparison to the neat Ultem. However, this loss of weight in the blend does not occur until about 425°C.

4. CONCLUSIONS

A new impact-modified high-temperature blend has been produced. The addition of 10% Viton A to an Ultem polyetherimide matrix by the process of compositional quenching increases the impact strength by nearly a factor of 3 with little sacrifice in modulus, strength, and thermal stability in comparison to the neat Ultem. The addition of 15% Viton results in approximately a 4-fold improvement in impact strength. Rubber particles formed in this process are spherical in shape with a diameter of approximately 0.3 μm . The particles are not chemically bonded to the matrix. Adhesion due to van der Waals forces is

sufficient for toughening in this system. The rubber particles seem to act as shear yielding initiators since extensive yielding is apparent on the fracture surfaces of the blends and not on the pure material. These findings agree with the work of Wu for a Type II matrix and suggest that the critical interparticle distance for the toughening of Ultem is on the order of 1 μm in size. The compositionally quenched material outperforms conventionally blended materials which were found to have inferior post-yield tensile behavior and suffer from delamination.

A 10% Viton blend formed by precipitation did not exhibit any improvement in impact strength. The particles in the precipitated blend were substantially larger than in the other blends, approximately 10 μm in diameter. For a given rubber content, particle size does not seem to effect the impact strength of the blend until the particles become so large that they are completely ineffective at toughening the material. This supports the work of Wu which identified a similar tough-brittle transition for modified nylon.

All of the compositionally quenched Ultem-Viton blends exhibited what is believed to be tough impact failure. The impact strength of these blends increased with rubber content. The increased amount of rubber seems to cause greater amounts of the matrix material to experience energy-absorbing deformation.

The improvement in impact strength of these materials becomes more evident at elevated temperatures. Whereas the neat polyimide has a relatively constant impact strength with respect to temperature, the rubber modified blend shows dramatic improvement in impact strength with increasing temperature. Observation of the fracture surface produced at these elevated temperatures provides evidence that yielding is much more extensive than at ambient conditions. At high temperatures, the impact strength of the 85/15 blend approaches the unnotched impact strength of the neat resin. The rubber particles function as shear yielding initiators while the increased temperature lowers the yield strength of the matrix material. The combination of these two phenomena cause yielding to occur in a relatively large volume surrounding the tip of a propagating crack and effectively relax the stress concentration at the crack tip. This action essentially blunts the crack tip.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was funded by contracts from the Army Research Office and the New York State Science and Technology Foundation. The support from General Electric through gifts and use of their facilities was instrumental in this study.

REFERENCES

1. Nauman, E.B., et al., *Chem. Eng. Comm.*, 66, 29 (1988).
2. Nauman, E.B. and Balsara, N.P., *Proc. ACS Div. Poly. Matls.*, 57, 637-642 (1987).
3. Nauman, E.B., Wang, S.T., and Balsara, N.P., *Polymer*, 26, 1855-1863 (1985).
4. Newman, S. and Strella, S., *J. Appl. Poly. Sci.*, 9, 2297-2310 (1965).
5. Bucknall, C.B. "Toughened Plastics", Applied Science, London, (1977).
6. Wu, S., *Polymer*, 26, 1855-1863 (1985).
7. Goodier, J.N., *ASME Trans.*, 55, 39 (1933).
8. Broutman, L.J. and Panizza, G., *Int. J. Poly. Matl.*, 1, 95 (1977).
9. Irwin, G.R., "Fracture Mechanics", Pergamon Press (1960).
10. Bucknall, C.B. and Street, D.G., *SCI Monograph No. 26*, 272 (1967).

TABLE I. MECHANICAL PROPERTY COMPARISON BETWEEN
ULTEM AND VARIOUS 90/10 ULTEM-VITON BLENDS

	Ultem® 1000	90/10 Ultem-Viton Compositional Quenching	90/10 Ultem-Viton -Extruded -100 RPM	90/10 Ultem-Viton -Extruded -200 RPM	90/10 Ultem-Viton -Extruded -300(2) RPM	90/10 Ultem-Viton -Precipitated
Izod Impact Strength [ft-lb/in]	0.58	1.51	1.71	1.42	1.46	0.45
Yield Strength [psi]	14,400	12,200	12,200	13,000	12,900	13,360
Yield Strain [in/in]	.165	.165	.155	.158	.174	.184
Tensile Modulus [psi]	171,500	146,700	148,200	158,100	143,100	134,200
Strength @ Break [psi]	15,000	10,700	6,100	7,600	7,400	11,000
Elongation [%]	137	56	20	25	27	34

**TABLE 2. MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF ULTEM-VITON
BLENDS OF VARIOUS RUBBER CONTENTS**

	Ultem® 1000	95/5 Ultem-Viton Compositional Quenching	90/10 Ultem-Viton Compositional Quenching	85/15 Ultem-Viton Compositional Quenching
Izod Impact Strength [ft•lb/in]	0.58	0.70	1.42	1.96
Yield Strength [psi]	14,400	13,800	12,200	11,700
Yield Strain [in/in]	.165	.166	.165	.171
Tensile Modulus [psi]	171,500	162,800	146,700	136,500
Strength @ Break [psi]	15,000	10,200	10,700	10,000
Elongation [%]	137	26	56	41

TABLE 3. MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF ULTEM AND 85/15 ULTEM-VITON AT VARIOUS TEMPERATURES

Temperature, °C	Ultem				85/15 Ultem-Viton			
	-30	20	100	175	-30	20	100	175
Izod Impact Strength [ft-lb/in]	0.50	0.49	0.42	0.39	0.64	1.96	2.93	13.85
Yield Strength [psi]	19,000	14,800	9,000	4,200	17,000	12,900	7,400	3,100
Yield Strain [in/in]	.180	.159	.130	0.080	.180	.162	.135	.075
Tensile Modulus [psi]	196,000	178,000	138,000	104,000	198,000	144,500	110,000	77,000
Strength @ Break [psi]	16,300	13,700	8,100	3,700	14,600	10,800	7,700	3,700
Elongation [%]	78	96	106	113	19	33	88	137

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1 Schematic Diagram of Compositional Quenching Apparatus**
- Figure 2 Tensile Test Stress - Strain Curves for 90/10 Ultem-Viton Blends**
- Figure 3 SEM of 90/10 Ultem-Viton Blend Produced by Compositional Quenching**
- Figure 4 SEM of 90/10 Ultem-Viton Blend Produced by Extrusion (200 RPM)**
- Figure 5 SEM of 90/10 Ultem-Viton Blend Produced by Precipitation**
- Figure 6 SEM of Ultem Fracture Surface**
- Figure 7 SEM of 90/10 Blend (CQ) Fracture Surface**
- Figure 8 Low Magnification SEM of 90/10 Ultem-Viton Blend Produced by Extrusion**
- Figure 9 Izod Impact Strength of Ultem-Viton Blends as a Function of Rubber Content**
- Figure 10 Izod Impact Strength of Ultem and 85/15 Ultem-Viton as a Function of Temperature**
- Figure 11 SEM of 85/15 Blend Fracture Surface Created at 175°C**
- Figure 12 Mechanical Properties of Ultem and 85/15 Blend as a Function of Temperature.**
- Figure 13 Thermogravimetric Analysis of Ultem and 90/10 Ultem-Viton Blend Produced by Compositional Quenching**




Figure 1: Schematic Diagram of Compositional Quenching Apparatus




Figure 2: Tensile Test Stress - Strain Curves for 90/10 Ultem-Viton Blends




Figure 3: SEM of 90/10 Ultem-Viton Blend Produced by Compositional Quenching




Figure 4: SEM of 90/10 Ultem-Viton Blend Produced by Extrusion (200 RPM)




FIGURE 5 : SEM OF 90/10 ULTEM-VITON
BLEND PRODUCED BY PRECIPITATION




Figure 6: SEM of Ultem Fracture Surface



Figure 7: SEM of 90/10 Blend (CQ) Fracture Surface





FIGURE 8 : LOW MAGNIFICATION SEMs OF
 90/10 ULTEM - VITON BLEND PRODUCED BY EXTRUSION.

IMPACT STRENGTH vs. RUBBER CONTENT




FIGURE 9 : IZOD IMPACT STRENGTH OF ULTEM - VITON
BLENDS AS A FUNCTION OF RUBBER CONTENT.

IMPACT STRENGTH vs. TEMPERATURE




FIGURE 10: IZOD IMPACT STRENGTH OF ULTEM AND 85/15 ULTEM-VITON AS A FUNCTION OF TEMPERATURE.



FIGURE 11 : SEM OF 85/15 BLEND FRACTURE

SURFACE CREATED AT 175°C.





Figure 12: Yield Strength, Elongation, and Modulus of ULTEM and 85/15 ULTEM-VTOL BLEND AS A FUNCTION OF TEMPERATURE



13
 Figure 1: Thermogravimetric Analysis of Ultem and 90/10 Ultem-Viton Blend Produced by Compositional Quenching