

FINAL REPORT

APRIL 1989

REPORT NO. EVT 15-89


ENGINEERING TEST OF POWER
DRIVEN NAILS

AD-A215 603




DTIC FILE COPY

Prepared for:



U.S. Army Armament, Research, Development and Engineering Center
ATTN: SMCAR-ESK
Rock Islands, IL 61299-7300

Distribution Unlimited



US ARMY
ARMAMENT
MUNITIONS
CHEMICAL COMMAND

EVALUATION DIVISION
SAVANNA, ILLINOIS 61074-9639

US ARMY DEFENSE AMMUNITION
CENTER AND SCHOOL

89 12 19 120

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLAS			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT UNLIMITED		
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE					
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) EVT 15-89			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center and School		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) SMCAC-DEV	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center, ATTN: SMCAR-ESK		
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Savanna, IL 61074-9639			7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Rock Island, IL 61299-7300		
8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)			10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS		
			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.
			WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.		
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Engineering Test of Power Driven Nails					
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Quinn Hartman					
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Final		13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) April 1989	
15. PAGE COUNT 66					
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	Power Driven Nails		
			Cooler Nails		
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)					
The U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center and School (USADACS), Evaluation Division (SMCAC-DEV), has been tasked by the U.S. Army Armament, Munitions and Chemical Command (SMCAR-ESK), Rock Islands, IL, to evaluate an Engineering Change Proposal (ECP) which requested the addition of a cooler nail and a box-style nail to the unitization procedures developed by the Storage and Outloading Division (SMCAC-DEO). In order to evaluate the performance of the additional nails, a comparison was performed to measure the holding strengths of common, cooler, and annular-ring cooler nails in the dynamic shear mode. Tests were not conducted on the box style nail because the nail would be used in clinching applications which do not experience any stresses.					
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☒ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT. ☐ DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLAS		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Quinn Hartman			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (815) 273-8992		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL SMCAC-DEV

19. Continued

The results from the dynamic testing indicate that the cooler nail, which is shorter and has a smaller diameter than the corresponding common nail, has a smaller holding strength than the common nail. The relationship between the holding strengths of the common and cooler nail appears to be proportional to the relationship of the holding surface area of the common nail versus the holding surface area of the cooler nail. The results also showed that the annular-ring cooler nail provides a larger holding strength than the corresponding common nail.

U.S. ARMY DEFENSE AMMUNITION CENTER AND SCHOOL
Evaluation Division
Savanna, IL 61074-9639

REPORT NO. EVT 15-89
ENGINEERING TEST OF POWER DRIVEN NAILS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART	PAGE NO.
1. INTRODUCTION	1-1
A. BACKGROUND	1-1
B. AUTHORITY	1-1
C. OBJECTIVE	1-1
2. ATTENDEES	2-1
3. TEST PROCEDURES	3-1
4. TEST EQUIPMENT	4-1
5. TEST RESULTS	5-1
6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	6-1
7. GRAPHS	7-1

Approved For	
NTIS GRA&I	✓
DTIC TAB	()
Unannounced	()
Justification	
By	
Date	
Approved For	
Date	
Dist	Approved For
A-1	

PART 1

INTRODUCTION

A. BACKGROUND. The U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center and School (USADACS), Evaluation Division, was tasked by the U.S. Army Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center (ARDEC), SMCAR-ESK, to evaluate an Engineering Change Proposal (ECP) which would add two additional types of nails to the unitization procedures developed by the Storage and Outloading Division, SMCAC-DEO. The two nails that were stated in the ECP were a cooler nail with blunt point and bright finish and a box-style nail for clinching applications. (See Fed-Spec FF-N-105) After discussion with the originators of the ECP, the actual type of cooler nail that the contractor wanted added to the list was a cooler nail with annular rings. Testing was conducted on the cooler nails both with and without annular rings. Testing was not conducted on the box-style nails because clinching applications are not subjected to stresses.

B. AUTHORITY. This test was conducted in accordance with mission responsibilities delegated by the U.S. Army Armament, Munitions and Chemical Command (AMCCOM), Rock Island, IL.

C. OBJECTIVE. The objective of these tests was to assess the capability of the cooler nails to be substituted for the common nails currently specified in the unitization drawings.

PART 2

ATTENDEES

Quinn Hartman
Test Engineer

U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center and School
ATTN: SMCAC-DEV
Savanna, IL 61074-9639
AV 585-8992

Thomas Michels
Chief, Evaluation Division

U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center and School
ATTN: SMCAC-DEV
Savanna, IL 61074-9639
AV 585-8080

Sandra Schultz
Industrial Engineer

U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center and School
ATTN: SMCAC-DEO
Savanna, IL 61074-9639
AV 585-8086

William Frerichs
Chief, Storage &
Outloading Division

U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center and School
ATTN: SMCAC-DEO
Savanna, IL 61074-9639
AV 585-8071

PART 3

TEST PROCEDURES

The test procedures to measure the peak force at which the nail joints shear involved constructing six wood/nail joints for each size and type of nail. Each wood/nail joint consisted of one section of pine 4" x 4", one section of oak 5/8" x 3", and two nails. (See Figure 1) While assembling, precautions were taken to prevent the hand driven




Figure 1: Test Sample Configuration

and power driven nails from being driven below the surface of the oak piece. Also, the 5/8" dimension on the oak piece of wood was strictly maintained so that the penetration of the nail into the pine 4" x 4" would be consistent between samples. Within four hours after assembly, the samples were tested one at a time in the inclined impact tester. As seen in Figure 2, the inclined impact tester travels 4 feet down the ramp and into the inclined impact surface. Prior to the time of impact, the datalogging




Figure 2: Testing Apparatus

device was activated so that the load cell which was attached to the front of the wood/nail joint would record the forces that were being experienced. After impact, the old wood/nail joint was removed and a new wood/nail joint was installed. This process was repeated until all the samples had been tested. During the testing, any samples that did not shear cleanly (both nails pulled from the pine 4" x 4" without the oak piece cracking), or had nails that failed, were retested using new nails and wood. After completion of the testing, the datalogger was downloaded into an IBM-AT compatible computer where the data could be analyzed.

PART 4

TEST EQUIPMENT

1. TEST SPECIMENS:

- a. 6d Cooler (1-7/8" x .099") Power Driven
- b. 8d Cooler (2-3/8" x .113") Power Driven
- c. 10d Cooler (2-3/4" x .120") Power Driven
- d. 6d Annular-Ring Cooler (1-7/8" x .099") Power Driven
- e. 8d Annular-Ring Cooler (2-3/8" x .113") Power Driven
- f. Unavailable: 10d Annular-Ring Cooler (2-3/4" x .120") Power Driven
- g. 6d Common (2" x .120") Hand Driven
- h. 8d Common (2-1/2" x .148") Hand Driven
- i. 10d Common (3" x .120") Hand Driven

2. POWER NAILER:

- a. Manufacturer: Paslode
- b. Model: 5300+
- c. Nail Range: 1-7/8" to 2-3/4"

3. DATALOGGER:

- a. Manufacturer: OmniData International
- b. Sampling Speed: 1024 Points/Second
- c. Filtering: None

4. INCLINED RAMP:

- a. Manufacturer: Conbur Incline
- b. Type: Impact Tester
- c. Grade: 10 percent Incline
- d. Impact Travel: 4-foot

PART 5

TEST RESULTS

Once downloaded into the computer, the data was analyzed using a spreadsheet program. The first step in analyzing the data was to determine the peak force at which the wood/nail joint failed. As seen in Table 1, the peak values for each of the six samples for each nail type and size are listed.

Table 1: Peak Values in Pounds Measured by Load Cell

Common

<u>6d</u>	<u>8d</u>	<u>10d</u>
687	972	1091
687	1016	1091
628	957	1031
732	942	1076
732	911	1151
762	926	1330


Cooler

<u>6d</u>	<u>8d</u>	<u>10d</u>
567	867	957
687	747	1016
597	852	1121
613	792	942
552	852	1001
538	867	867

Annular-Ring Cooler

<u>6d</u>	<u>8d</u>
747	1031
881	1181
822	957
778	1046
702	987
732	1151

Also, a graph for each sample was produced to verify that the datalogger captured the full impact. (See PART 7) Once the peak values for each sample were determined, an average was taken for each type and size of nail so that the different types of nails could be compared. (See Table 2) In addition, the total surface area and the holding surface area of the different types of nails was calculated. From the calculated holding surface areas, a graph was developed that showed the differences in the holding surface for each of the common and cooler nail sizes. (See Graph 1). As can be seen on




Graphs 1 and 2, the peak shearing force and the holding surface graphs have similar shapes. This similarity in graphs would indicate that an equivalent holding surface area of nail in wood/nail joints would yield equivalent holding strengths.

Table 2: Results from the Power Driven Nail Study


Type	Size	Diameter Inches	Length Inches	Total Surface Inches ²	Holding Surface Inches ²	Average Strength Pounds
Common	6d	0.113	2.000	1.420	0.976	705
Common	8d	0.131	2.500	2.058	1.543	954
Common	10d	0.148	3.000	2.790	2.209	1128
Cooler	6d	0.099	1.875	1.166	0.778	592
Cooler	8d	0.113	2.375	1.686	1.242	830
Cooler	10d	0.120	2.750	2.073	1.602	984
Ring Shank	6d	0.099	1.875	1.166	0.778	777
Ring Shank	8d	0.113	2.375	1.686	1.242	1059

Graph 2: Holding Force
of 6d-10d Comm. & Cooler



The final step in analyzing the data was to compare the common nails and the annular-ring cooler nails. A similar comparison was not conducted between the holding surface areas of the common and annular-ring nails because of the differences in nail

geometry. As can be seen on graph 3, the annular-ring cooler nails are superior to the common nails.



PART 6

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS


1. CONCLUSIONS. From the test results, the following conclusions can be made about the common, cooler, and annular-ring cooler nail comparison. The standard cooler nail does not provide the same amount of holding force that a common nail provides. The relationship between the standard cooler and common nails seems to indicate that an equivalent amount of surface area is required to maintain equivalent holding force. This would require using 5 cooler nails for every 6 common nails in areas where the wood/nail joint must maintain equivalent holding strength. In the annular-ring cooler nail versus common nail comparison, the test results indicate that the annular-ring cooler nail provides a greater holding strength than the common nail.
2. RECOMMENDATIONS. Since the cooler nail did not provide the equivalent holding strength as the common nail, the cooler nail should only be approved for use in the unitization drawings in a ratio of 5:6 common nail to cooler nail; i.e., 1.2 cooler nails are required for each common nail. In approving the annular-ring coolers, the annular-ring cooler provided a greater holding force and should, therefore, be approved for use in the unitization drawings in a direct ratio of 1:1 common nail to annular-ring cooler nail. Also, the box style nails should be approved for use in the clinching applications because clinching applications are not subjected to shearing forces, and the difference between box-style nails and common nails is negligible in clinching

applications. (All recommendations made assuming MIL-STD FF-N-105 is strictly adhered to).


PART 7

GRAPHS

Graph 4: 6d Common Nail
Sample 1




Graph 5: 6d Common Nail
Sample 2




Time in Seconds

PULL-OUT FORCE (LBS)


Graph 6: 6d Common Nail
Sample 3




Graph 7: 6d Common Nail
Sample 4



Graph 8: 6d Common Nail
Sample 5




Graph 9: 6d Common Nall
 3 samples




Time in Seconds

Cap 10: 801 yadd
Noun 1

Sunday




Graph 11: Bid Common Nail




Common Nail

Graph 12: Bd Common Nail




0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Graph 13: 8d Common Nail
Sample 4




Graph 14: 8d Common Nail Sample 5



Time in Seconds


Graph 15: 8d Common Nail Sample E



Time in Seconds


Pull Force (lb)

Graph 16: 10d Comm. Nail Sample 1




Time in Seconds

Graph 17: 10d Comm. Nail Sample 2




Graph 18: 10d Comm. Nail Sample 3




Time in Seconds

Graph 19: 10d Comm. Nail Sample 4




Time in Seconds

Graph 20: 10d Comm. Ngil Sample 5




Time in Seconds

COMMERCIAL




15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850

Graph 22: 6d Cooler Nail Sample 1



Time in Seconds


Graph 23: 6d Cooler Nail Sample 2




Time in Seconds

1907-1908

2195




Graph 25: 6d Cooler Nail Sample 4




Time in Seconds

Graph 26: 6d Cooler Nail




600.00
 400.00
 200.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.20
 0.40
 0.60
 0.80
 1.00


Graph 27: 69 11 23 26 29

[illegible]

LIBRARY


[illegible]

Graph 29: 8d Cooler Nail Sample 2




Time in Seconds

Graph 30: 8d Cooler Nail Sample 3




Time in Seconds

Graph 32: 8d Cooler Nail Sample 5




Time in Seconds

1100 N
74100 J
P 83:33
4444 J




Graph of 1000 ml. of Sample 1




Time in Seconds

Graph 35: 10d Cooler No. 2




Time in Seconds

Graph 36: 10d Cooler NI. Sample 3




Time in Seconds

Graph 37: 10d P0T 4 Samples 4 Cooler NI.




Time in Seconds

Graph 38: 10g Cooler Ni, Sample 5




Time in Seconds

IN
REPORT
FOT
S
1963
JAN 13 1963




Graph of Cd River Shunk Sample 1



Time


CD

Graph of 6d Ring Shank Sample 2




Time in Seconds

Graph 42: 6d Ring Shank Sample 3




Time in Seconds

Graph 43: 6d Ring Shank Sample 11




Time in Seconds


Graph 44: 6d Ring Shank Sample 5




ANDERSON
RILEY
FISHER
STANLEY
JAMES

[illegible]


Graph 46: 8d Ring Shank Sample 1



Time in Seconds

[illegible]


Graph 48: 8d Ring Shank Sample 3



Time in Seconds

Upd 49:64 45077
+ 45078
KUDUS 45079

U
O
E
C
I
H
+




THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

Catch No. 807

Species


Shark

2000



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

Graph 51-8d Rhy Shank Sample B



Time in Seconds