

AD-A216 062

Final Report
May 1989

EVT 21-89

776 MIL COR.

MIL-STD-1660 TEST OF PA116 WOOD PALLET
WITH TOP LIFT ASSEMBLY DRAWING -807
FOUR UNITS HIGH

DTIC
ELECTE
DEC 20 1989
S D Cs D

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited


Distribution Unlimited

PREPARED FOR:

U.S. Army Armament Research, Development
and Engineering Center

ATTN: SMCAR-ESK

Rock Island, IL 61299-7300



US ARMY
ARMAMENT
MUNITIONS
CHEMICAL COMMAND

US ARMY DEFENSE AMMUNITION
CENTER AND SCHOOL

EVALUATION DIVISION
SAVANNA, ILLINOIS 61074-9639

89 12 19 125

AVAILABILITY NOTICE

A complimentary copy of this report is furnished each attendee on automatic distribution. Should additional copies be required or authority for reprinting may be obtained by requesting same in writing from Director, U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center and School, ATTN: SMCAC-DEV, Savanna, IL 61074-9639.

DISTRIBUTION INSTRUCTIONS

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return.

* * *

Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement.

The information contained herein will not be used for advertising purposes.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT		
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE					
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) EVT 21-89			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center and School		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) SMCAC-DEV	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION		
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) ATTN: SMCAC-DEV Savanna, IL 61074-9639 ^a			7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) SMCAR-ESK	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) ATTN: SMCAR-ESK Rock Island, IL 61299-7300			10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS		
			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.
					WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) MIL-STD-1660 Test of PA116 Wood Pallet With Top Lift Assembly Drawing -807 Four Units High					
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) A. C. McIntosh, Jr.					
13a. TYPE OF REPORT FINAL		13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____	14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1989 May		15. PAGE COUNT 13
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP			
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) The U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center and School (USADACS), Evaluation Division, SMCAC-DEV, was tasked by the U.S. Army Armament, Research, Development and Engineering Center (ARDEC), SMCAR-ESK, to retest unitization procedures for PA116 containers on wood pallets. The tested configuration was four containers high with the redesigned top lift assembly. The unitization satisfied the test requirements of MIL-STD-1660, Design Criteria for Ammunition Unit Loads; however, PA116 container damage can be expected due to deformation of the square rings.					
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☒ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT. ☐ DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL THOMAS J. MICHELS			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 815-273-8928		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL SMCAC-DEV

U.S. ARMY DEFENSE AMMUNITION CENTER AND SCHOOL
Evaluation Division
Savanna, IL 61074-9639

REPORT NO. EVT 21-89

MIL-STD-1660 TEST OF PA116 WOOD PALLET

WITH TOP LIFT ASSEMBLY DRAWING -807

FOUR UNITS HIGH

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART	PAGE NO.
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
A. Background.....	1-1
B. Authority.....	1-1
C. Objective.....	1-1
2. ATTENDEES.....	2-1
3. TEST PROCEDURES.....	3-1
4. TEST EQUIPMENT.....	4-1
5. TEST RESULTS.....	5-1
6. CONCLUSIONS, APPROVAL AND RECOMMENDATION.....	6-1
7. UNITIZATION DRAWING.....	7-1

Revision For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution	
Availability	
Dist	Special

PART 1

INTRODUCTION

- A. BACKGROUND. The U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center and School (USADACS), Evaluation Division, was tasked by the U.S. Army Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center (ARDEC), SMCAR-ESK, to test unitization procedures for PA116 containers on wood pallets for the U.S. Marine Corps in a four container high configuration with the redesigned top lifting adapter. The criteria used for evaluating the PA116 container wood pallet with top lifting adapter was MIL-STD-1660, Design Criteria for Ammunition Unit Loads.
- B. AUTHORITY. This test was conducted in accordance with mission responsibilities delegated by the U.S. Army Armament, Munitions and Chemical Command (AMCCOM), Rock Island, IL.
- C. OBJECTIVE. The objective of these tests was to determine if the PA116 container shipped in a four high unit with a top lifting adapter could satisfy the testing requirements of MIL-STD-1660.

PART 2

ATTENDEES

Mr. A. C. McIntosh, Jr.
Test Engineer
AV 585-8989
Comm (815) 273-8989

Director
U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center and
School
ATTN: SMCAC-DEV
Savanna, IL 61074-9639

PART 3

TEST PROCEDURES

The test procedures outlined in this section are extracted from MIL-STD-1660, Design Criteria for Ammunition Unit Loads, 8 April 1977. This standard identifies nine steps that a unitized load must undergo if it is considered to be acceptable. These tests are synopsized below:

1. STACKING TESTS. The unit load shall be loaded to simulate a stack of identical unit loads stacked 16-feet high, for a period of one hour. This stacking load is simulated by subjecting the unit load to a compression of weight equal to an equivalent 16-foot stacking height. The compression load is calculated in the following manner. The unit load weight is divided by the unit load height in inches and multiplied by 192. The resulting number is the equivalent compressive force of a 16-foot-high stack.
2. LOOSE CARGO TRANSPORTATION TEST. The Loose Cargo Transportation Test shall be conducted in accordance with Method 5019, Federal Standard 101. The test procedure is as follows: The test specimen shall be placed on, but not fastened to, the platform. With the specimen in one position, vibrate the platform at 1/2-inch amplitude (1-inch double amplitude) starting at a frequency of about 3 cycles-per-second. Steadily increase the frequency until the package leaves the platform. The resonant frequency is achieved when a 1/16-inch-thick feeler may be momentarily slid freely between every point on the specimen in contact with the platform at some instance during the cycle or a platform acceleration achieves one plus or minus zero point one G. Midway into the testing period the specimen shall be rotated 90 degrees and the test continued for the duration. If failure occurs, the total time of vibration shall be two hours if the specimen is tested in one position; and, if tested

in more than one position, the total time shall be three hours.

3. EDGEWISE ROTATIONAL DROP TEST. This test shall be conducted by using the procedures of Method 5008, Federal Standard 101. The procedure for the Edgewise Drop (Rotational) Test is as follows: The specimen shall be placed on its bottom with one end of the base of the container supported on a sill nominally 6 inches high. The height of the sill shall be increased, if necessary, to ensure that there will be no support for the base between the ends of the container when dropping takes place, but should not be high enough to cause the container to slide on the supports when the dropped end is raised for the drops. The unsupported end of the container shall then be raised and allowed to fall freely to the concrete, pavement, or similar underlying surface from a prescribed height. Unless otherwise specified, the height of drop for level A protection shall conform to the following tabulation.

GROSS WEIGHT NOT EXCEEDING	DIMENSIONS ON ANY EDGE NOT EXCEEDING	HEIGHT OF DROP LEVEL A PROTECTION
Pounds	Inches	Inches
600	72	36
3,000	no limit	24
no limit	no limit	12

4. LIFTING WITH MECHANICAL ATTACHMENTS (TOP LIFT SLINGING) TEST. This test is based on Method 5011, Mechanical Handling Test of Federal Standard 101, paragraph 6.3 Hoisting with Slings. The slinging for this unitization is accomplished with a four legged sling. The length of the slings will be such that when lifting the slings form angles between 20 degrees and 25 degrees with the horizontal plane of the specimen. The specimen shall be lifted clear of the floor and will remain suspended for not less than 2 minutes. The specimen shall be lifted with four legs, three legs, two legs diagonal, two

legs alternate diagonal, two legs lateral, two legs longitudinal and by a single sling leg. Record observations of each lift and then lower to the ground.

5. IMPACT TEST. This test shall be conducted by using the procedure of Method 5023, Incline-Impact Test of Federal Standard 101. The procedure for the Incline-Impact Test is as follows: The specimen shall be placed on the carriage with the surface or edge, which is to be impacted, projecting at least 2 inches beyond the front end of the carriage. The carriage shall be brought to a predetermined position on the incline and released. If it is desired to concentrate the impact on any particular position on the container, a 4x4-inch timber may be attached to the bumper in the desired position before the test. No part of the timber shall be struck by the carriage. The position of the container on the carriage and the sequence in which surfaces and edges are subjected to impacts may be at the option of the testing activity and will depend upon the objective of the tests. When the test is to determine satisfactory requirements for a container or pack and unless otherwise specified the specimen shall be subjected to one impact on each surface that has each dimension less than 9.5 feet. Unless otherwise specified, the velocity at time of impact shall be 7 feet per second.

6. DISASSEMBLY TEST. Following all rough handling tests, the unit load may be squared up within 2 inches of its original shape and on a flat level surface. The strapping shall then be cut and removed from the palletized load. Assembly of the load shall be such that it retains its unity upon removal of the strapping.

PART 4

TEST EQUIPMENT

1. TEST SPECIMEN.

- a. Drawing Number:
- b. Width: 40-1/8 inches
- c. Length: 44-1/2 inches
- d. Height: 37-1/2 inches
- e. Weight: 1623 pounds

2. COMPRESSION TESTER.

- a. Manufacturer: Ormond Scientific
- b. Platform: 60 inches by 60 inches
- c. Compression Limit: 50,000 pounds
- d. Tension Limit: 50,000 pounds

3. TRANSPORTATION SIMULATOR.

- a. Manufacturer: Gaynes Laboratory
- b. Capacity: 5,000 pounds
- c. 1/2-inch Amplitude
- d. Speed: 50 to 300 rpm
- e. Platform: 5 feet by 8 feet

4. INCLINED RAMP.

- a. Manufacturer: Conbur Incline
- b. Impact Tester
- c. 10-Percent Incline
- d. 12 Foot Ramp

PART 5

TEST RESULTS

1. COMPRESSION TEST

a. Pallet Data

(1) Weight	1623	pounds
(2) Height	36 1/2	inches
(3) Test Load	8540	pounds

b. Applied test load duration 60 minutes

c. Observations: Banding straps loosened under compression.
Returned to normal after test load removal.

2. LOOSE CARGO TRANSPORTATION TEST

a. Longitudinal orientation

(1) Operating speed	180	rpm
(2) Test Duration	90	minutes
(3) Observations:	No visible damage.	

b. Lateral orientation

(1) Operating speed	210	rpm
(2) Test Duration	90	minutes
(3) Observations:	No visible damage.	

3. EDGEWISE ROTATIONAL DROP TEST

a. Side 1

(1) Drop Height	24	inches
(2) Unit orientation	lateral	
(3) Observations:	Load skewed two inches off vertical at top in direction of rotational drop.	

b. Side 2

(1) Drop Height	24	inches
(2) Drop orientation	longitudinal	
(3) Observations:	No visible damage.	

c. Side 3

(1) Drop Height	24	inches
(2) Drop orientation	lateral	
(3) Observations:	Load top skew returned to within 1/2-inch of vertical.	

d. Side 4.

(1) Drop Height	24	inches
(2) Drop Orientation	longitudinal	
(3) Observations:	No visible damage.	

4. SLING TEST

- a. Four leg pickup, no visible damage.
- b. Three leg pickup, warp in top lift assembly. No permanent set.
- c. Two leg diagonal pickup, hyperboloid form in top lift assembly, no permanent set.
- d. Two leg alternate diagonal pickup, hyperboloid form in the top lift assembly, no permanent set.
- e. Two leg lateral edge pickup - NOT PERFORMED.
- f. Two leg longitudinal edge pickup - NOT PERFORMED.
- g. One leg pickup, top lift separated two inches from top corner of containers above point of pickup.

5. INCLINED IMPACT

- a. Drop height for all impacts: 7
- b. Side 1
 - (1) Orientation Lateral
 - (2) Observations: No visible damage.
- c. Side 2
 - (1) Orientation Longitudinal
 - (2) Observations: No visible damage.
- d. Side 3
 - (1) Orientation Lateral
 - (2) Observations: No visible damage.
- e. Side 4
 - (1) Orientation Longitudinal
 - (2) Observations: Sheared two interlock plugs from the top row of containers.

6. DISASSEMBLY OBSERVATIONS

- a. All square rings of the base end of the PAll6 containers were permanently deformed.
- b. Square rings in the third column at the PAll6 container bases in rows 1, 2 and 3 were permanently deformed.
- c. Paint abrasion was observed on containers on the lowest row of the pallet.

PART 6

CONCLUSIONS, APPROVAL AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. CONCLUSION(S): As tested, the unitization procedure marginal in that the unit load maintained its integrity and the rounds were still accessible. There is some loosening of the straps due to the PAll6 square ring deformation.
2. APPROVAL: This unitization procedure is approved for Army or U.S. Marine Corps use.
3. RECOMMENDATIONS: The PAll6 containers, as currently fielded, can be expected to experience damage due to lack of strength. It is recommended that action be taken to strengthen the square rings.

PART 7

UNITIZATION DRAWINGS

