

AD-A216 727

191 01 10 06

FILE COPY

2

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH  
Contract N00014-89-J-1103  
R & T Code: 413e022  
Technical Report No. 53

LOWER LIMITS ON THE ABSOLUTE DISSOCIATIVE ELECTRON ATTACHMENT  
CROSS SECTION FROM O<sub>2</sub> CONDENSED ON RARE-GAS FILMS

By  
H. Sambe, D. E. Ramaker, M. Deschenes, and L. Sanche

Prepared for Publication  
In  
DIET IV, Springer Series in Surface Science

GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY  
Department of Chemistry  
Washington, D.C. 20052

December, 1989

Reproduction in whole, or in part, is permitted for any purpose  
of the United State Government.

\* This document has been approved for public release and sale;  
its distribution is unlimited.

DTIC  
ELECTE  
S B  
JAN 11 1990

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE		REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	UnClassified	1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS	
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT	Approved for public release; distribution unlimited
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	Technical Report # 53	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION	Office of Naval Research (Code 413)
5a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	Dept. of Chemistry George Washington Univ.	7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	Chemistry Program 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217
5b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	Washington, DC 20052	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	Contract N00014-89-J-1103
6a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	Office of Naval Research	10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS	
6b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	Chemistry Program 800 Nth, Quincy, Arlington, VA 22217	PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)	Lower Limits on the Absolute Dissociative Electron Attachment Cross Section from O <sub>2</sub> Condensed on Rare-Gas Films (Unclassified)		
12. PERSONAL AUTHORING	H. Sambe, C.E. Ramaker, M. Deschenes, and L. Sanche		
13a. TYPE OF REPORT	13b. TIME COVERED	14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)	15. PAGE COUNT
Interim Technical	FROM TO	December 1989	8
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	Prepared for publication in DIET IV, Springer Series in Surface Science		
17. COSAN CODES	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	
18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	Rare gas solids; oxygen; dissociative attachment; negative ion desorption, ESD		
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	<p>We summarize our approach for experimentally obtaining a lower limit on the absolute cross section for production of O<sup>-</sup> by dissociative electron attachment from O<sub>2</sub> condensed on a Kr film. Comparison of this result with the dissociative attachment cross section for gas phase O<sub>2</sub> reveals a factor of 17 enhancement on the surface. It is semiquantitatively shown that a decreased autoionization rate, arising from the electronic polarization of the neighboring Kr atoms, can account for most of this large enhancement.</p>		
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT	21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		
UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS <input type="checkbox"/>	Unclassified		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL	22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL		
Dr. David L. Nelson	(202) 696-4410		
DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 APPS edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete.			
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified			

Lower Limits on the Absolute Dissociative Electron Attachment Cross Section from O<sub>2</sub> Condensed on Rare-Gas Films.

H. Sambe and D.E. Ramaker

Department of Chemistry, George Washington University  
Washington, D.C. 20052 USA

and

M. Deschênes and L. Sanche

Medical Research Council Group in Radiation Sciences  
Faculty of Medicine, University of Sherbrooke  
Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada J1H 5N4

**Abstract.** We summarize our approach for experimentally obtaining a lower limit on the absolute cross section for production of O<sup>-</sup> by dissociative electron attachment from O<sub>2</sub> condensed on a Kr film. Comparison of this result with the dissociative attachment cross section for gas phase O<sub>2</sub> reveals a factor of 17 enhancement on the surface. It is semiquantitatively shown that a decreased autoionization rate, arising from the electronic polarization of the neighboring Kr atoms, can account for most of this large enhancement.

1. Introduction

It could be claimed that the simplest system imaginable for studying surface effects is closed-shell molecules condensed on a rare-gas film. This claim is made because of the weak interactions involved. In this paper we summarize previously published work, which to our knowledge accomplishes the first direct comparison of absolute electron dissociation cross-sections in the gas phase and on the surface of a solid. In Sect. 2 we summarize the experimental procedure for obtaining a lower limit on the absolute dissociative electron attachment cross section from O<sub>2</sub> condensed on rare gas films. In Sect. 3 we summarize a semi-quantitative theory for explaining the large enhancement seen in the dissociative cross-section for O<sub>2</sub> on the surface.

2. Experiment

Using low-energy electron transmission spectroscopy (LEETS), two of us (M.D. and L.S.) along with Marsolais [1] have devised a new method to measure surface charges on a thin dielectric film deposited on a metal substrate. In LEETS [2], the electron current arriving at the metal substrate is measured as a function of the potential applied between the substrate and the electron source. When the dielectric film is not charged, the electron current rises sharply at the zero-energy reference (ZER) of the vacuum level as seen in Fig. 1. When electrons are trapped in the




Fig. 1 Current transmitted through a clean Kr layer (top) and that through a Kr layer covered with 0.1-monolayer (ML) of O<sub>2</sub> (bottom) as a function of the incident electron beam voltage, V. (Data from reference 1; Used with permission).

Fig. 2 Anion yields produced by 0-10 eV electron impact on gaseous O<sub>2</sub> (a and b) and on 0.1 ML O<sub>2</sub>/Kr (d). The electron energy dependence of the surface charging coefficient A<sub>s</sub> for 0.1 ML O<sub>2</sub>/Kr is shown in (c). (Data from reference 1; Used with permission).

dielectric or on the surface, this ZER shifts to a higher accelerating voltage because the trapped negative charges retard the incoming electrons. Assuming a charged capacitor model, this ZER shift, ΔV, can be related to an average surface charge density Q by the relation ΔV = c-LQ, where c and L are the dielectric constant and thickness of the film, respectively [1].

If the dielectric film is covered by molecules with a small surface density, σ<sub>s</sub>, and only these molecules can trap electrons, then the average surface-charge density, Q(t), trapped by the molecules after small time, t, can be given, Q(t) = Jσ<sub>s</sub>t. Here J is the average electron current density, and μ is the electron trapping cross section. The corresponding ZER shift ΔV(t) is then ΔV(t) = c-LJσ<sub>s</sub>t. Its time derivative at t=0, denoted by A<sub>s</sub>, is,

$$A_s = d\Delta V(t)/dt|_{t=0} = c-LJ\sigma_s \mu \quad (1)$$

The proportionality of A<sub>s</sub> with L, J, and σ<sub>s</sub> has been experimentally verified [1]. No charge accumulation is observed on pure Kr films for thicknesses of 1-20 monolayers (ML) in the electron energy range 4-10 eV [1], indicating that indeed only the O<sub>2</sub> species trap charge.

Figure 2 shows the electron energy dependence of A<sub>s</sub> between 0-10 eV [1]. The results are comparable to the energy dependence of the anion yields derived from O<sub>2</sub> in the gas phase. No signal has been reported



odes

AV-14 and/or

Special

1181

A-1

between 1.2 and 4.5 eV in the gas-phase. Curve d represents the electron stimulated desorption (ESD) signal from a 0.1 ML  $O_2/Kr$ . The similarity of the surface charging coefficient to the gas phase anion yield data shows that the surface charging in the 0-2 eV range is due to vibrational stabilization via the  $\Pi_g$ ,  $O_2^-$  shape resonance,



The trapping cross-section in the region 4-10 eV is due to dissociative attachment via the intermediate  $\Pi_g$  state of  $O_2^-$  [3],



Since the ESD of  $O^-$  from the surface is also known to proceed through this process, the  $O^-$  ESD and charging proceed via the same mechanism in this energy region. The small shift in energy between the peaks for the ESD yield and the surface charging coefficient is due to the polarization of the Kr film (see below).

We wish to make a quantitative comparison between the DA cross-sections in the gas phase and on the surface; i.e. comparison of the peak heights in the  $O^-$  yield from  $O_2$  gas and the charge trapping ratio (4). To do this quantitatively, estimates must be made of several variables. The film thickness of 20 ML is estimated within 15% accuracy by monitoring the interference structures in LEIS [5]. The  $O_2$  coverage,  $\sigma_0 = 1/7$  ML, is determined within an accuracy of 15% by verifying that the sticking coefficient of  $O_2$  on Kr and Kr on Kr are the same at 20K. Thus  $L=20 \times 3^{-1/2}$  and  $\sigma_0 = (1/7) \times 3^{-1/2} \text{ \AA}^{-2}$ , and the dielectric constant,  $\epsilon$ , of Kr is known




Fig. 3 Schematic potential energy curves (from ref. 4) of  $O_2^-$  (solid curves) and  $O_2$  (dashed curves) for gaseous  $O_2$  (top) and  $O_2$  physisorbed on a Kr film (bottom). Autoionization of the  $O_2^-(A^2\Pi_g)$  and  $O_2^-(A^2\Pi_g)/Kr$  states are indicated by the downward arrows.

to be  $(1.78 \pm 0.01) \times 8.85 \times 10^{-10}$  Farad/M [6]. The absolute current density,  $J = (1.18 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-5}$  A/M<sup>2</sup> [2], is measured by allowing all electrons, including those reflected, to return to the surface. The maximum in  $A_0$  around 6 eV is  $(1.1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-5}$  volt/sec. This gives a value from Eq. 1 of  $\mu = (2.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-17}$  cm<sup>2</sup>. This can be compared with the cross-section in the gas phase at 6.7 eV of  $(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-16}$  [7]. Apparently an enhancement of the DA cross-section by a factor of  $17 \pm 8$  occurs on the surface.

### 3. Theory

The origin of this enhancement is explained using Fig. 3 which schematically shows how a Kr film influences the DA process [4]. The upper curves illustrate the accepted interpretation of the DA process for gaseous  $O_2$ , where the intermediate  $O_2^-(1\pi_g^*1\pi_g^*)/\Pi_g$  state dissociates into the lowest  $O+O^-$  limit, competing with autoionization into the  $A^2\Sigma_g^+$ ,  $C^2\Sigma_g^-$ , and  $B^2\Sigma_g^+$  states arising from the excited configuration,  $1\pi_g^*1\pi_g^*$  and into the  $b^1\Sigma_g^+$ ,  $a^1\Delta_g$ , and  $X^2\Sigma_g^-$  states arising from the ground configuration,  $1\pi_g^*1\pi_g^*$ . The three states arising from the excited configuration are close to each other and hence are represented by a single potential curve in Fig. 3. According to O'Malley [8], less than 1.3% of the  $O_2^-(\Pi_g)$  states dissociates into the  $O+O^-$  limit. About half of the  $O_2^-(\Pi_g)$  states autoionize into the three states arising from the excited configuration, and the remainder into the three states arising from the ground configuration.

Theoretical expressions for the DA process in  $O_2$  have been derived previously by O'Malley et al. [8]. The potential curve for  $O_2^-(\Pi_g)$  and its survival probability against autoionization have also been calculated by O'Malley [8]. From this information, the cross-section can be evaluated using the expression [4],

$$\sigma_{DA} = \exp [3.4(R_C - R_B)^2 - 376(R_C - R_B)^2 - 41(R_C - R_B)^{3/2}], \quad (4)$$

where  $R_C$  is the turning point (in  $\text{\AA}$ ) of the  $O_2^-(\Pi_g)$  intermediate state at the incident-electron energy  $E$ ;  $R_C$  ( $\approx 1.44 \text{ \AA}$ ) is the crossing point between the potential curves of the  $O_2^-(\Pi_g)$  state and the  $O_2^-(1\pi_g^*1\pi_g^*)$  states; and  $R_B$  is the equilibrium internuclear distance (1.21  $\text{\AA}$ ) of the  $O_2$  ground state (see Fig. 3). The first two terms in brackets in eq. 4 arise from the Franck-Condon overlap integral between the lowest vibrational state of the  $O_2$  electronic ground state and the vibrational continuum of the repulsive  $O_2^-(\Pi_g)$  state. The delta-function approximation yields the second term and the first term arises from a first order correction. The third term represents a survival probability of the  $O_2^-(\Pi_g)$  intermediate state against autoionization. The proportionality constant is nearly independent of the electron energy  $E$ , so that it is also nearly independent of  $R_C$ . The  $\sigma_{DA}$  reaches a maximum at  $R_C = 1.24 \text{ \AA}$ , which corresponds to  $E = 6.8 \text{ eV}$  or the energy for the maximum cross-section.

In the presence of the Kr film, the potential curve of the charged  $O_2^-(\Pi_g)$  state is shifted downward, essentially in parallel, because of an electronic polarization of the neighboring Kr atoms [3]. The dissociation limit  $O+O^-$  is split into the  $O/Kr+O^-$  and  $O+O^-/Kr$  limits, separated by the polarization energy,  $R_0$ , and the three exponential coefficients in eq. 4 are not expected to be severely altered by the downward shift, however,  $R_C$  and  $R_B$  are altered. To calculate  $\sigma_{DA}$  for  $O_2/Kr$ , we need just to estimate the polarization energy.

The surface-polarization energy of the Kr film appears to be about 0.9 eV. The observed shift in the threshold energies (4.4 eV vs 3.5 eV) of

the O<sup>-</sup> yield from O<sub>2</sub> gas and electron trapping seen in Fig. 2 give 0.9 eV. A theoretical estimate of this energy gave 0.86 eV [9]. The value of R<sub>c</sub> has been obtained from an analytical fit of Fig. 3 giving R<sub>c</sub> equal to 1.295 Å compared with 1.44 Å in the gas phase [4]. R<sub>c</sub> shifts very little, from 1.24 Å in the gas to 1.23 Å on the surface. Insertion of these values into eq. 4 gives an enhancement factor of 21 on the surface. Nearly all of the enhancement comes from the survival factor, the third term in Eq. 4.

It is known that some of the O<sup>-</sup> produced via DA on the surface escapes or desorbs from the surface (i.e. the source of curve d in Fig. 2). If this fraction, f, is added to the charge trapping yield, we obtain the total DA cross-section on the surface. Therefore, we can equate  $17/(1-f)$  to 21, and arrive at an estimate of  $f \approx 0.2$ . Because of the uncertainties involved, this is a very rough estimate for the probability of desorption from the surface.

We can exclude two other possible explanations for the observed enhancement. The orientation of the O<sub>2</sub> on Kr is fixed, unlike in O<sub>2</sub> gas. The maximum possible enhancement due to orientation dependencies is estimated to be 1.3 [4]. Finally, we have previously discussed an enhancement of O<sup>-</sup> desorption from Kr due to a coherent scattering phenomena or surface resonance [3]. However, in the current experiment, the incident electron energy is too low for normal-incident electrons to play a role in surface state resonances on Kr. Therefore, the evidence indicates that the polarization effect of the Kr lattice dramatically reduces the autoionization rate, and hence dramatically increases the DA branching ratio on the surface.

Acknowledgement HS and DER acknowledge support from the U.S. Office of Naval Research and MD and LS from the Medical Research Council of Canada.

#### References

1. R.M. Maroclais, M. Deschenes and L. Sanche, Rev. Sci. Instr. 60, 2724 (1989).
2. L. Sanche, J. Chem. Phys. 71, 4860 (1979).
3. H. Sambe, D.E. Ramaker, L. Parenteau, and L. Sanche, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 236 (1987); 505 (1987).
4. H. Sambe, D.E. Ramaker, M. Deschenes, A.D. Bass, and L. Sanche, to be published.
5. R.M. Maroclais, M. Michaud, and L. Sanche, Phys. Rev. A35, 607 (1987). "Rare Gas Solids", ed. by M.L. Klein and J.A. Venables (Academic Press, London; 1977), Vol. 2, p. 1028.
6. G.J. Schulz, Phys. Rev. 128, 178 (1962).
7. T.F. O'Malley, Phys. Rev. 150, 14 (1966); 155, 59 (1967).
8. F.J. Himpsel, N. Schwentner, and E.E. Koch, Phys. Stat. Sol. B71, 615 (1975).

DL/1113/89/1

#### TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GENERAL

	No. Copies	No. Copies
Office of Naval Research Chemistry Division, Code 1113 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000	3	Dr. Ronald L. Atkins Chemistry Division (Code 385) Naval Weapons Center China Lake, CA 93553-6001
Commanding Officer Naval Weapons Support Center Attn: Dr. Bernard E. Doude Craze, IN 47522-5050	1	Chief of Naval Research Special Assistant for Marine Corps Matteta Code 00MC 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000
Dr. Richard W. Drisko Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Code L52 Port Buena Vista, California 93063	1	Dr. Bernadette Eichinger Naval Ship Systems Engineering Station Code 053 Philadelphia Naval Base Philadelphia, PA 19112
Defense Technical Information Center 2 Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	2	Dr. Sachio Yamamoto Naval Ocean Systems Center Code 52 San Diego, CA 92152-5000
David Taylor Research Center Dr. Eugene C. Fischer Annapolis, MD 21402-5067	1	David Taylor Research Center Dr. Harold B. Singerman Annapolis, MD 21402-5067 ATTN: Code 283
Dr. James S. Murday Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375-5000	1	