

3

A STUDY BY CONTACT ANGLE OF THE ACID-BASE BEHAVIOR OF
MONOLAYERS CONTAINING W-MERCAPTOCARBOXYLIC ACIDS ADSORBED ON GOLD:
AN EXAMPLE OF REACTIVE SPREADING

AD-A217 419

Colin D. Bain and George M. Whitesides
Department of Chemistry
Harvard University
Cambridge MA 02138

Technical Report No. 22 (November 1989)

Interim Technical Report

(Accepted for publication in Langmuir)

PREPARED FOR DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY
1400 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington VA 22209

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
Office of Naval Research, Code 1130P
800 North Quincy Street
Arlington VA 22217-5000

Project No.: a400011dd205
Contract No.: N00014-86-K-0756
Effective Date: 86 September 15
Expiration Date: 91 September 14

Principal Investigator: George M. Whitesides
(617) 495-9430

DTIC
ELECTE
DEC 13 1989
S D
E

The views and conclusions in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency or the U.S. Government.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited

89 12 13 042

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited		
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE					
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) Technical Report No. 22			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Harvard University		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)		7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION DARPA	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Office for Sponsored Research Holyoke Center, Fourth Floor Cambridge MA 02138-4993			7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington VA 22209-2308		
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION ONR/DARPA		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)		9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Chemistry Division, Code 1113 Office of Naval Research Arlington VA 22217-5000			10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS		
			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 86-K-0756	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO. a400011dd2
			WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.		
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) "A Study by Contact Angle of the Acid-Base Behavior of Monolayers Containing W-Mercaptocarboxylic Acids Adsorbed on Gold: An Example of Reactive Spreading"					
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Colin D. Bain and George M. Whitesides					
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical		13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) November 1989	
15. PAGE COUNT					
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	self-assembly, monolayers, reactive spreading, titration, carboxylic, acid, surface		
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)					
Mixtures of $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ and $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CH}_3$ adsorb onto gold from solution in ethanol and form densely packed, oriented monolayers. These monolayers expose a combination of polar carboxylic acid groups and nonpolar methyl groups at the surface. By varying the concentrations of the two thiols in solution the density of carboxylic acids at the surface and the polarity of the monolayer-liquid interface can be controlled. The technique of contact angle titration was used to study the acid-base properties of these					
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☐ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☒ SAME AS RPT. ☐ DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. Harold Guard			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 202/696-4409		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL

19. Abstract (Continued)

mixed monolayers as a function of the composition of the monolayer. The contact angles of water were constant at low pH (< 6) and decreased at higher values of pH. Similar behavior has been observed for polyethylene carboxylic acid (PE-CO₂H). Unlike PE-CO₂H, the contact angles on the monolayers on gold did not level out at high pH: θ continued to decrease. Carboxylic acids at the monolayer-water interface were less acidic than carboxylic acids in solution. The pH at which carboxylic acids in the monolayer were first ionized increased as the concentration of carboxylic acids in the monolayer decreased. The extent to which the free energy of ionization of the carboxylic acids is reflected in the advancing contact angle appears to be different for PE-CO₂H and for monolayers of acid-terminated thiols on gold. The general problem of reactive spreading is discussed.

A Study by Contact Angle of the Acid-Base Behavior of Monolayers Containing
 ω -Mercaptocarboxylic Acids Adsorbed on Gold: an Example of Reactive
Spreading¹

Colin D. Bain² and George M. Whitesides

Department of Chemistry,
Harvard University,
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	



Abstract

Mixtures of $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ and $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CH}_3$ adsorb onto gold from solution in ethanol and form densely packed, oriented monolayers. These monolayers expose a combination of polar carboxylic acid groups and nonpolar methyl groups at the surface. By varying the concentrations of the two thiols in solution the density of carboxylic acids at the surface and the polarity of the monolayer-liquid interface can be controlled. The technique of contact angle titration was used to study the acid-base properties of these mixed monolayers as a function of the composition of the monolayer. The contact angles of water were constant at low pH (< 6) and decreased at higher values of pH. Similar behavior has been observed for polyethylene carboxylic acid ($\text{PE-CO}_2\text{H}$). Unlike $\text{PE-CO}_2\text{H}$, the contact angles on the monolayers on gold did not level out at high pH: θ continued to decrease. Carboxylic acids at the monolayer-water interface were less acidic than carboxylic acids in solution. The pH at which carboxylic acids in the monolayer were first ionized increased as the concentration of carboxylic acids in the monolayer decreased. The extent to which the free energy of ionization of the carboxylic acids is reflected in the advancing contact angle appears to be different for $\text{PE-CO}_2\text{H}$ and for monolayers of acid-terminated thiols on gold. The general problem of reactive spreading is discussed.

Introduction

Monolayers formed by the spontaneous assembly of organic thiols from solution onto gold provide a high degree of control over the chemistry and structure of an organic surface.³⁻⁶ In this paper we exploit the flexibility of these self-assembled monolayers to study the influence of the polarity of an interface on the acidity of carboxylic acids. Methyl-terminated thiols, such as $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CH}_3$, adsorb from solution onto gold and form non-wettable, hydrophobic surfaces composed predominantly of nonpolar methyl groups. Thiols terminated by carboxylic acid groups form hydrophilic monolayers that are wetted by water.³ By coadsorbing mixtures of $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CH}_3$ and $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ in various mole fractions, we can synthesize surfaces in which the carboxylic acid groups are surrounded largely by nonpolar methyl groups, by other polar acid groups, or by mixtures of the two.⁵ This system also allows us to examine the effect on the advancing contact angle of a chemical reaction occurring at the interface between a drop and a surface — in this case, neutralization of a carboxylic acid by aqueous base. Reactive spreading appears to be complex and may lead to different wetting behavior for the same chemical reaction on different substrates.

A knowledge of the acid-base properties of carboxylic acids and other organic acids and bases at solid-liquid interfaces is important for understanding phenomena as diverse as the stability of colloids, the folding of proteins and the mechanisms of catalysis by enzymes. The considerable interest in these phenomena has led others to study the acidity of carboxylic acids at interfaces and to prepare surfaces composed of carboxylic acids.⁷ Surfaces containing at least some carboxylic acids have been created by cutting stearic acid crystals,⁸ by oxidizing the surfaces of polymers⁹⁻¹¹ and of monolayers of olefinic siloxanes adsorbed on silicon,^{12,13} and by adsorbing carboxylic acid-terminated dialkyl disulfides¹⁴ or dialkyl sulfides¹⁵ onto gold. Some of these systems are poorly characterized⁸ or unstable,^{12,15} or expose functional groups other than carboxylic acids at

Keywords: Thiols, Polarity, Polyethylene Carboxylic acids, Contact Angles, Reactive Spreading, Titration, (AW)

the surface.⁹⁻¹¹ None¹⁶ offers the control over the structure of the solid-liquid interface that is possible in monolayers of thiols on gold.

The degree of ionization of carboxylic acids as a function of pH has been studied at the interface between aqueous solutions and organic solvents or air,¹⁷⁻¹⁹ in micelles^{20,21} and vesicles,²² and in Langmuir-Blodgett monolayers²³ spread on water.²⁴⁻²⁸ Experimental approaches have been both direct, such as chemical^{18,25} or infrared²⁶ analysis of skimmed films or foams, or direct potentiometric titration²⁰⁻²² of large surface area systems, and indirect, by inference from changes in surface potential,^{20,24} interfacial tension^{17,18} or the collapse pressure^{24,29} and desorption kinetics²⁸ of Langmuir-Blodgett monolayers. Of all these techniques, only direct titration can easily be applied to the solid-liquid interface and then only to highly dispersed systems, which are harder to characterize than smooth, flat surfaces.³⁰

In this work we examine the contact angle of water on the monolayers as a function of the pH of the water, a technique known as contact angle titration.^{11,31,32} Holmes-Farley et al.¹¹ have shown previously that the contact angle of buffered drops of water is sensitive to the state of ionization of functional groups covalently bound at the surface of functionalized polyethylene. For example, low-density polyethylene film can be oxidized with chromic acid to produce a functionalized interphase which contains a high density of carboxylic acids and ketones and/or aldehydes (PE-CO₂H). The contact angle on PE-CO₂H is independent of pH at low pH, decreases between pH 5 and 11, and levels out at higher pH (Figure 1).¹¹ Surfaces containing basic groups may also show titration curves. On some derivatives of polyethylene containing surface amines, the contact angle increased with increasing pH due to deprotonation of ammonium salts.³¹ Holmes-Farley et al. made three key observations in their study of PE-CO₂H that are relevant here. First, the apparent $pK_{1/2}$ — the solution pH at which $\cos \theta$ is midway between its plateaux at high and low pH — of carboxylic acids at the polyethylene-water interface was higher (by approximately 3 pH units) than the pK_a of carboxylic acids in aqueous solution. The initial




Figure 1. Advancing contact angles measured with sessile drops under air on PE-CO₂H as a function of the pH of buffered aqueous drops (after Ref. 11). The dashed line indicates the value of $pK_{1/2}$, the value of the pH at the midway point in $\cos \theta$ between the plateaux at low and high pH.

pH at which ionization was detectable by contact angle was also ~ 3 pH units higher than we would expect from the pK_a of carboxylic acids in solution. Second, the titration curve was broader than is observed for monocarboxylic acids in solution. Third, the extent of ionization, calculated on the assumption that $\cos \theta$ varied linearly with the degree of ionization, agreed with infrared analysis of dry samples after equilibration with buffered solutions. Here we extend the technique of contact angle titration to monolayer systems.

Although the experimental observations on PE-CO₂H seemed to fit a simple model involving a change in the hydrophilicity of the surface accompanying the conversion of carboxylic acids to carboxylate ions, three subsequent observations have hinted that the situation may be more complex. First, an examination of the wetting of a series of polyethylene samples containing surface functional groups with a wide range of polarity has established that there seems to be a limit to the hydrophilicity of the surface that is observed. Beyond a certain point, no matter how hydrophilic the functional group, the advancing contact angle of water was constant at $\theta_a(\text{H}_2\text{O}) = 20\text{--}25^\circ$; lower values were virtually never observed.³¹ Thus, it is possible that the levelling of $\theta_a(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ observed on PE-CO₂H at high pH represents an intrinsic limit to the contact angles on this material (imposed, we believe, by the heterogeneous nature of the surface). The contact angles on some other polyethylene derivatives did, however, level out at high pH at values above this apparent lower limit (e.g. 50° for PE-CONH(CH₂)₂PO(OH)₂).³¹ Second, samples of polyethylene containing acyl anthranilates at the surface (PE-CONH-C₆H₄-*o*-CO₂H) exhibited a very much larger total change in contact angle with pH ($\theta_a(\text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{pH } 1) = 110^\circ$; $\theta_a(\text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{pH } 13) = 30^\circ$) than does PE-CO₂H itself,³³ even though the densities of carboxylic acid groups at the surface are comparable. This observation suggests that the contact angles on polyethylene functionalized with carboxylic acids may be determined by factors other than density of carboxylic acids at the surface; for example, the conformational mobility of the functional groups. Third, the hysteresis in the contact angle

of water on PE-CO₂H is very large: $\theta_r(\text{H}_2\text{O}) \approx 0^\circ$ at all values of pH. PE-CO₂H is, at best, a very non-ideal system.

The contact angles of water on monolayers (adsorbed on gold) that contained carboxylic acids at the monolayer-water interface were especially interesting because the shape of the titration curves of the monolayers appeared to be qualitatively different from that of PE-CO₂H. These differences raise the question of how to describe acid-base reactions occurring at interfaces, and, more generally, of how to model reactive spreading theoretically. We present a simple model that incorporates the energy of reaction into the calculation of contact angles and discuss the extent to which our data fit the model.

Nomenclature. We use terms such as "monolayer of an alkanethiol" to refer to monolayers formed from a solution of an alkanethiol, although the actual species on the surface is probably a thiolate, RS⁻.^{3,34} We apply the term "reactive spreading" to situations in which the drop of liquid reacts chemically with the surface upon which it is placed. We also include under this term the adsorption of monolayer films on the surface from components in the drop. We use terms such as "acid surface" as shorthand for the cumbersome phrase "surface of a monolayer that exposes predominantly carboxylic acid groups at the monolayer-air or monolayer-liquid interface". The contact angle, θ , is described according to the following convention.³ The subscript, a or r, after θ designates whether the edge of the drop was advancing or receding across the surface before the measurement was made. The superscript after θ designates the medium in which the measurements of the contact angles were conducted. The probe liquid used is expressed in parenthesis after θ . Thus, $\theta_a^{C_8}(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ refers to advancing contact angles of water measured on a substrate immersed in cyclooctane (C₈). Interfacial tensions are designated γ_{ab} where we use the subscripts s, v, l, and l' to refer to the solid, vapor, liquid in the drop, and liquid in the surrounding medium, respectively.

Theory. To rationalize the variation in the $\theta_a^{\text{air}}(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ with pH on surfaces of PE-CO₂H (Figure 1), Holmes-Farley et al.¹¹ started from Young's Equation:³⁵

$$\gamma_v \cos \theta = \gamma_{sv} - \gamma_{sl} \quad (1)$$

and then made two assumptions. First, γ_v and γ_{sv} are independent of pH. Second, the change in the solid-liquid interfacial free energy, $\delta\gamma_{sl}$, with changes in pH depends linearly on the fraction of carboxylic acids, α_i , converted to carboxylate ions. The second assumption is the same as that employed in early studies by Peters and Danielli of the variation of interfacial tension with pH at the interface between aqueous solutions and organic solutions of carboxylic acid and amine surfactants.¹⁷ The titration of functionalized polyethylene surfaces could apparently be rationalized satisfactorily with this empirical model. Below pH = 5 and above pH = 11 there was no change in the extent of ionization with pH and hence no change in the contact angle. Between pH = 5 and 11 the contact angle decreased as the degree of ionization, and hence the hydrophilicity, increased. The essence of this model is that changes in the contact angle are determined by differences in the hydrophilicities of carboxylic acids and carboxylate ions. The free energy of ionization affects the contact angle only to the extent that it influences the difference in hydrophilicity between the ionized and unionized carboxylic acid groups.

If we are to apply this model generally to other surfaces and other systems, we encounter two conceptual problems. First, the model is essentially static: no explicit account is taken of the free energy of reaction (in this case, the ionization of a carboxylic acid) as the drop advances over the surface. For cases in which the spreading coefficient³⁶ is positive, Hoffman has shown that excess free energy can be dissipated by viscous processes in a precursor film extending beyond the macroscopic drop edge.³⁷ For systems which exhibit finite contact angles, it is not clear how the free energy of reaction is dissipated without influencing the contact angle. Intuitively, we might expect a negative free energy of reaction to drive the advance of the drop edge even if the surface after reaction were less wettable than the surface before reaction. Second, it is difficult to

choose a reference state for γ_{sl} that is consistent with the assumptions made in the model. The solid-liquid interfacial free energy, γ_{sl} , is defined by³⁸

$$\gamma_{sl} = \gamma_{sv} + \gamma_{lv} - W_{\text{adhesion}} \quad (2)$$

where W_{adhesion} is the work of adhesion of the aqueous solution to the polyethylene surface. The model proposed to rationalize the behavior of PE-CO₂H assumes that γ_{sl} is independent of pH at high pH. If we choose the reference state for γ_{sv} in (2) to be PE-CO₂H, then W_{adhesion} , and hence γ_{sl} , are dependent on the pH of the drop if the surface is ionized. If we choose PE-CO₂-M⁺ as the reference state for γ_{sv} , then W_{adhesion} , and hence γ_{sl} , are dependent on the concentration of the counterion, M⁺, in solution. With the latter choice of reference state, the contact angle at low pH would be dependent both on pH and the concentration of the counterion.³⁹

Contrary to the behavior observed on PE-CO₂H at high pH, the contact angles of buffered drops on mixed monolayers of HS(CH₂)₁₀CH₃ and HS(CH₂)₁₀CO₂H on gold did not level out at values of pH accessible with aqueous drops (see below: Figure 3). To try to understand the titration curves of the monolayers, we attempted to incorporate the energy of reaction explicitly in Young's equation.

We assume that (1) the aqueous drop and the surface are in thermodynamic equilibrium; (2) the surface is flat and homogeneous; (3) the drop is bounded by a well-defined three-phase line and ionization is confined to the region within this boundary; (4) the carboxylic acid groups at the surface are characterized by a unique, intrinsic⁴⁰ pK_a that is independent of the degree of ionization; (5) the effective pH at the solid-aqueous interface is the same as the bulk pH. Assumptions (4) and (5) can be relaxed to allow for double layer effects and for specific interactions between carboxylic acids and carboxylate ions without changing the slope of $\cos \theta$ against pH at high pH.

The ionization constant, K_a, is defined by

$$K_a = \frac{[\text{RCO}_2^-][\text{H}^+]}{[\text{RCO}_2\text{H}]} \quad (3)$$

where a complete monolayer is defined to be at unit concentration. In terms of the degree of ionization, α ,

$$K_a = \frac{\alpha[\text{H}^+]}{(1-\alpha)} \quad (4)$$

$$\Rightarrow \log\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right) = \text{pH} - \text{p}K_a \quad (5)$$

$$\text{and} \quad 1-\alpha = \frac{[\text{H}^+]}{[\text{H}^+] + K_a} \quad (6)$$


The difference between the two interfacial tensions that occurs in the r.h.s. of Young's equation can be broken down into two components, $\Delta G_1 + \Delta G_2$.

$$\gamma_{sl} - \gamma_{sv} = \Delta G_1 + \Delta G_2 \quad (7)$$

Here ΔG_1 is the free energy of immersion of a carboxylic acid surface into water without any ionization of the acid groups. If this quantity is assumed to be independent of pH, then

$$\Delta G_1 = -\gamma_v \cos \theta \quad (\text{pH} = 1) \quad (8)$$

ΔG_2 is the change in free energy arising from the ionization of a fraction α of the carboxylic acid groups at the surface. If there are n carboxylic acid groups per unit area of the surface, ΔG_2 is equal to n times the free energy change for



ΔG_2 can be evaluated by integrating the free energy change for reaction (9) from extent of reaction $x = 0$ to $x = \alpha$.⁴¹ For solutions buffered at a fixed value of pH,

$$\Delta G_2 = \int_0^\alpha (-nkT \ln K_a + nkT \ln \frac{x[H^+]}{(1-x)}) dx \quad (10)$$

$$= \int_0^\alpha (2.3nkT(pK_a - pH) + nkT \ln \frac{x}{(1-x)}) dx \quad (11)$$

$$= 2.3\alpha nkT(pK_a - pH) + nkT(\alpha \ln \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} + \ln(1-\alpha)) \quad (12)$$

Using (5)

$$\Delta G_2 = nkT \ln(1-\alpha) \quad (13)$$

Substituting (6), (7), (8) and (13) in (1)

$$\cos \theta = \cos \theta (pH = 1) - \frac{nkT}{\gamma_{lv}} \ln \left(\frac{[H^+]}{[H^+] + K_a} \right) \quad (14a)$$

$$\cos \theta = \cos \theta (pH = 1) + \frac{2.3nkT}{\gamma_{lv}} \log(1 + 10^{pH - pK_a}) \quad (14b)$$

At low pH, $[H^+] \gg K_a$

$$\cos \theta = \cos \theta (pH = 1) \quad (15)$$

At high pH, $[H^+] \ll K_a$

$$\cos \theta = \cos \theta (pH = 1) + \frac{2.3nkT}{\gamma_{lv}}(pH - pK_a) \quad (16)$$

A graph of $\cos \theta$ against pH is predicted to be constant well below the pK_a of the carboxylic acid, and to increase linearly with a slope of $2.3nkT/\gamma_{lv}$ well above the pK_a . Thus, if we take the energy of ionization into account, the predicted form of the dependence of the contact angles on pH is very different from that observed for PE-CO₂H, or from that predicted solely on the basis of the hydrophilicities of the functional groups.

Physically, the increase in $\cos \theta$ predicted by eq (16) at high pH (that is, when $\alpha \rightarrow 1$) arises purely from the entropy of dilution of the protons released by ionization of the carboxylic acids. Specific interactions between carboxylic acids and carboxylate anions would increase the acidity of the carboxylic acids at low α and decrease the acidity at high α .⁴² The effect of specific interactions would be to broaden the transitional region between the low and high pH behavior, but the contact angles at high pH (i.e. sufficiently basic that essentially all the carboxylic acids are ionized: $\alpha \rightarrow 1$) would be the same as on a monolayer in which the pK_a was independent of α and equal to the mean value of the pK_a in the presence of specific interactions. Field effects would also broaden the transitional regime. The slope of a plot of $\cos \theta$ against pH would be the same as that in the absence of field effects, but the curve would be translated to higher values of pH.

Hydrophilicity appears in this model indirectly through its relationship to the pK_a : the more hydrophilic the carboxylate anion, the lower the pK_a . Surfaces with different values of pK_a would give rise to a family of curves of $\cos \theta$ against pH that were parallel at high pH.

Results

We immersed gold slides overnight in mixtures of $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CH}_3$ and $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ in ethanol at room temperature to form monolayers containing a mixture of carboxylic acid groups and methyl groups at the surface. In Figure 2 we plot the advancing contact angles of water and hexadecane on the mixed monolayers as a function of the composition of the solution.⁴³ In general, the composition of a monolayer adsorbed from a solution containing two thiols does not mirror the relative concentrations of the two components in solution.⁴⁴ In a previous study,⁵ we used X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy to determine the relationship between the advancing contact angle of water and the composition of mixed monolayers of $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CH}_3$ and $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CO}_2\text{H}$. Using this relationship, we can derive the compositions of the monolayers from the contact angles in Figure 2.

Under air as the ambient medium, it is impossible to obtain a contact angle titration for the pure acid surface because the monolayer is wet by water at all values of pH. A well-established technique for increasing the contact angles of water is to perform the measurements under an inert, immiscible solvent.⁴⁵ Cyclooctane (C_8) was a suitable solvent for this purpose: the contact angles measured under cyclooctane were higher than under other liquids surveyed⁴⁶ and varied little with temperature. Cyclooctane is relatively non-volatile and can be obtained in high purity at low cost. An incidental advantage of measuring contact angles under cyclooctane was a reduction in the rate of contamination of the acid surfaces, which is rapid in the laboratory atmosphere. Under cyclooctane, the contact angle of water at low pH on a pure carboxylic acid surface was $30\text{--}40^\circ$, permitting at least a partial titration curve on all the monolayers (Figure 3).⁴⁷

Figure 3 plots $\theta_a^{\text{C}_8}(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ against pH for the monolayers adsorbed from solutions containing mixtures of $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CH}_3$ and $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CO}_2\text{H}$. Each titration curve is labelled with the percentage of the chains in the monolayer that were terminated by




Figure 2. Advancing contact angles of unbuffered, distilled water (pH = 5.3, filled circles) and hexadecane (open circles) measured with sessile drops under air on monolayers adsorbed onto gold from solutions containing mixtures of $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CH}_3$ and $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CO}_2\text{H}$. The abscissa represents the mole fraction of $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ in solution.




Figure 3. Advancing contact angles of buffered, aqueous solutions measured with sessile drops under cyclooctane on mixed monolayers of $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CH}_3$ and $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CO}_2\text{H}$, plotted as a function of pH. The curves are labelled by the proportion of the chains in the monolayer that were terminated by a carboxylic acid group. The composition of the monolayer was determined by comparing the contact angle of water under air with monolayers whose compositions had been established by XPS (Ref. 5).

carboxylic acid groups. The contact angles on the pure monolayer of $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CH}_3$, which contains no ionizable groups, provided a useful control. Since the contact angles on the pure methyl-terminated monolayer were independent of pH, we are confident that changes in θ with pH on the other monolayers reflect ionization of the carboxylic acids and are not artifacts arising from changes in γ_{ll} , or from degradation or reconstruction of the monolayer.⁴⁸ We note a number of features of these titration curves. First, the contact angle on all the monolayers containing carboxylic acids was constant at low pH and decreased linearly at high pH. The titration curves did not level out at high pH on any of the monolayers for which we could obtain complete titration curves, in contrast to the contact angles of water on $\text{PE-CO}_2\text{H}$ (Figure 1). Second, the break-point in the titration curve of the 100% acid surface occurred at $\text{pH} = 6$, the same pH as was observed on $\text{PE-CO}_2\text{H}$. If the changes in θ result from ionization of carboxylic acids, as we believe they do, then the most ionizable groups may be in similar environments in $\text{PE-CO}_2\text{H}$ and in a monolayer of $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ on gold. Third, the onset of ionization moved to higher values of pH as the proportion of carboxylic acids in the monolayer decreased. The break-point in the titration curves moved from $\text{pH} = 6$ to $\text{pH} = 9$ as the proportion of the components of the monolayer that were terminated by carboxylic acid groups decreased from 100% to 14%.

The most intriguing feature of the data in Figures 1 and 3 is the different behavior at high pH of the contact angles of water on $\text{PE-CO}_2\text{H}$ and on the monolayers on gold. To ensure that the differences between the two substrates is not an artifact of the different techniques used to measure the contact angles, we compared the contact angles on $\text{PE-CO}_2\text{H}$ and on monolayers on gold under a common inert solvent. Unfortunately, we were not able to use cyclooctane: hydrocarbons swell polyethylene. Perfluorocarbons do not. Figure 4 plots the contact angles of water on three samples immersed in perfluorodecalin: $\text{PE-CO}_2\text{H}$, a pure monolayer of $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CO}_2\text{H}$, and a monolayer adsorbed from a 1:1 mixture of $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ and $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CH}_3$. Since perfluorodecalin is denser than

water, we employed the captive drop rather than the sessile drop technique for these measurements.⁴⁹ Although perfluorodecalin does not cause bulk swelling of polyethylene, it does induce a reconstruction of the polyethylene-liquid interphase.⁵⁰ The initial contact angle of water on PE-CO₂H increased as the time of immersion of the PE-CO₂H in perfluorodecalin before the drop of water was placed on the surface increased. The contact angle of a drop of water resting on the surface slowly decreased. We found that stable contact angles could be obtained with acidic or basic drops, provided that the sample of PE-CO₂H was immersed in the perfluorodecalin for at least ten minutes before a drop of water was placed on the surface, and a further ten minutes allowed to elapse before the contact angle was measured. We presume that the surface reconstructs in contact with perfluorodecalin to bury the polar carboxylic groups but reexposes them when a water droplet is applied. The contact angles on the monolayer samples did not vary with time. Figure 4 shows that, at high pH, the titration curve of PE-CO₂H levelled out, as it does under air, but the contact angles on the mixed monolayer still declined linearly. The difference in shape between the titration curves of polyethylene and the monolayers on gold at high pH thus appears to be real.

Discussion

Acidity of Carboxylic Acids at the Surface of Monolayers on Gold. Ideally, we would like to extract thermodynamic quantities, such as the pK_a or $pK_{1/2}$, from the titration curves. In practice, the titration curve of the pure acid surface is cut off by $\theta_a = 0^\circ$, and the relationship between α and $\cos \theta$ is unclear, preventing the determination of either of these quantities. A value that we can extract from these data is the pH at which significant ionization (in the sense that it causes a measurable change in the contact angle) first occurs.




Figure 4. Advancing contact angles of buffered, aqueous solutions measured with captive drops under perfluorodecalin as a function of pH: PE-CO₂H (diamonds); monolayer of HS(CH₂)₁₀CO₂H (open circles); monolayer adsorbed from a 1:1 mixture of HS(CH₂)₁₀CH₃ and HS(CH₂)₁₀CO₂H (filled circles).

Most of the previous studies^{17,24,26-28} on carboxylic acids at interfaces yielded estimates of the pK_a in the range 7–10. The apparent pK_a is not, in general, a unique quantity. Even if the intrinsic pK_a is a constant, field effects can cause the apparent pK_a to increase with the degree of ionization, α . The values of pK_a quoted have generally been taken to be the pH of half-ionization, $pK_{1/2}$, which is a well-defined quantity. In addition to double layer effects, the pK_a may vary with α due to a range of local environments of the carboxylic acid groups, or from specific effects such as ion-pairing or H-bonding. Some authors^{24,26} have attempted to use Gouy-Chapman theory to correct for the effects of the electrostatic double-layer and hence to obtain an intrinsic pK_a for the carboxylic acids. The values of pK_a that they obtained were generally 1–2 pH units higher than the solution value. Other authors have criticized this approach⁵¹ and have obtained results incompatible with Gouy-Chapman theory.^{18,22} Whatever the details of the dependence of the pK_a on α may be, field effects do not change the pH of the onset of ionization. This pH is clearly higher on the monolayer of $HS(CH_2)_{10}CO_2H$ (~6) than for carboxylic acids in aqueous solution (~3). The onset of ionization occurred at the same pH on the monolayer of $HS(CH_2)_{10}CO_2H$ and on $PE-CO_2H$, which suggests that the most ionizable groups are in similar environments in these two surfaces.

As the carboxylic acids at the surface of the pure acid monolayers were progressively diluted with methyl groups, the onset of ionization moved to higher pH: from pH = 6 for the 100% acid surface to pH = 9 for the 14% acid surface. In part, this shift is only apparent, arising because a higher proportion of the carboxylic acids in the dilute surfaces must be ionized before a measurable change in the contact angle occurs. In part, a real shift arises from the changing environment of the carboxylic acids. The effects of structure and environment on acidity in solution are well-known⁵² and suggest at least two factors that might contribute to the decrease in the intrinsic acidity of carboxylic acids at the interface, relative to carboxylic acids in solution, and to the decrease in acidity with decreasing polarity of the interface. First, the ions formed by dissociation of the carboxylic

acid are strongly stabilized by "electrostatic solvation",⁵³ the strength of which is dependent on the dielectric constant of the medium. For example, the pK_a of acetic acid increases from 4.7 in pure water to 10.1 in 82% dioxane/18% water.⁵⁴ Replacing carboxylic acids at the monolayer-water interface by methyl groups decreases the local dielectric constant and might thus decrease the acidity of the carboxylic acids. Second, the steric bulk of adjacent chains at the surface of the monolayer might hinder the formation of hydrogen bonds between the carboxylic acids and water. An analogy from solution chemistry is the difference between the pK_a of t-butylmethylnepentylacetic acid (6.97) and acetic acid (5.55) in 1:1 methanol/water.⁵⁵

The flexibility of the technique of self-assembly will allow several natural extensions of the work presented in this paper. For example, by employing a methyl-terminated chain that is slightly longer than the carboxylic acid-terminated chain, the acidity of the carboxylic acids could be monitored as a function of the depth that they are buried below the monolayer-solution interface. Alternatively, other functional groups could be introduced into the surface of the monolayer in order to stabilize or destabilize the carboxylate anions.^{18,56}

Effect of the Energy of Reaction on the Contact Angle. One of the most puzzling, and potentially most interesting, aspects of this work is the apparent difference in the titration behavior of the monolayers containing CO_2H groups and of PE- CO_2H at high pH.⁵⁷ It is possible that the titration curves of the monolayers do actually level out, but not at basicities accessible with aqueous drops. The titration curve would have to be much broader for the monolayers than for PE- CO_2H . Within Gouy-Chapman theory, the maximum broadening of the titration curve due to double-layer effects would be 3 pH units under our experimental conditions, and less on the monolayers containing fewer carboxylic acids.⁵⁸ Even after adding this broadening to the width of a titration curve of a monocarboxylic acid in solution (~ 2 pH units), we would expect to see the titration curves level out in the accessible range of pH. If, in addition, the intrinsic pK_a varied with α (that

is, the monolayers behaved as polyacids), it is possible that a sigmoidal titration curve might extend beyond pH = 14. We note, however, that none of the previous studies on carboxylic acids at aqueous interfaces suggested that carboxylic acids remained unionized at pH 14. Furthermore, it is not clear why the titration curve should be much broader for monolayers than for PE-CO₂H. In PE-CO₂H the intrinsic pK_a of the carboxylic acids may, in principle, be influenced by electronic and steric effects, by variations in the dielectric constant of the local environment, and by H-bonding between carboxylic acids and carboxylate anions. In monolayers only the last of these effects is likely to be significant. Further experiments will be necessary to determine incontrovertibly the form of the titration curves of the monolayers at high pH.⁵⁹

If, in fact, the titration curves do not level out at high pH, then it is likely that the free energy of ionization is instrumental in determining the contact angle. The form of the contact angles agrees qualitatively with the theoretical prediction of eq (16), but refinement of the theory (or a better understanding of the data) will be necessary for quantitative agreement. The observed slopes are less (by a factor of 2 to 4) than those predicted from eq (16) and vary little with the composition of the surface, contrary to the linear dependence on n predicted theoretically (Table I).

Reactive Spreading: General Comments. The contact angle titrations of the carboxylic acid surfaces raise the general question of how best to incorporate the energy of reaction into the theory of wetting. Reactive spreading is a complex topic that has not been extensively studied theoretically. The models presented by Holmes-Farley et al.¹¹ and in this paper are by no means the only ones for reactive spreading. The early study by Hare and Zisman⁶⁰ of the spreading of n -alcohols and n -alkanoic acids on high-energy surfaces, such as silica, alumina and platinum was consistent with neither of these models. The liquids in that study adsorb onto silica, alumina or platinum and form oriented monolayers that expose nonpolar methyl groups at the monolayer-liquid interface. The model of Holmes-Farley et al. would predict spreading of these liquids due to the high value of γ_{sv} .

Table I. Measured and Predicted Values of $\gamma_{11}' \times \text{Slope of } \cos \theta$ against pH, for Various Mole Fractions of Carboxylic Acid in Mixed Monolayers of $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CH}_3$ and $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CO}_2\text{H}$

$\chi^{\text{CO}_2\text{H}}$	Expt (mN/m) ^a	Theory (mN/m) ^b
0.14	1.7	6
0.25	5.3	11
0.32	5.8	14
0.41	6.2	18
0.73	7.3	32
1.00	-	44

^a Derived from the linear portions at high pH of the curves in Fig. 3. ^b Calculated from eq (16) with $n = 4.7 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ for the 100% acid surface, $\gamma_{11}' = 50 \text{ mN/m}$.

The model presented here would predict spreading because of the large negative free energy of adsorption of the alcohols and acids on the substrates. The liquids did not spread, however, and exhibited sharp three-phase lines and contact angles typical of substrates coated with a pre-adsorbed monolayer of the liquid. In the experiment of Hare and Zisman, the vapor pressures of the liquids were sufficiently high that monolayers might have adsorbed from the gas-phase in advance of the drop edge. We have observed the same result, however, for less volatile liquids, such as hexadecanethiol on gold or octadecyltrichlorosilane on glass.⁶¹ Recent advances in the theory of spreading⁶² suggest that a thin precursor film extends in front of an advancing drop edge if the spreading coefficient³⁶ is greater than zero. A monolayer film, which would not be wetted by the liquid, could adsorb onto the substrate from the precursor film. The free energy of formation of the monolayer would be dissipated in the precursor film. The drop of liquid would only spread until the contact angle reached the value of the advancing contact angle on the monolayer-coated substrate. We note that if ionization of PE-CO₂H were to occur beyond the drop edge,⁶³ so that aqueous drops spread over a surface with the same degree of ionization as the surface beneath the drop, an approach based on relative hydrophilicities of functional groups, such as that developed by Holmes-Farley et al.,¹¹ may well be correct. If the monolayer-forming material is present as a dilute solution (~1%) in a solvent that does not wet a monolayer-coated surface, a range of interesting behavior may occur.⁶¹ For example, the drop may first spread and then retract, or it may travel across the surface.⁶⁴ A detailed discussion of these phenomena is beyond the scope of this paper.

It is unlikely that any single model applies to all cases of reactive spreading. The actual behavior may depend on the rate and free energy of reaction, the viscosity and surface tension of the drop, the wettability of the substrate before and after reaction, and the heterogeneity of the surface.

Conclusions

The environment of carboxylic acids at the solid-liquid interface can be controlled by using mixed monolayers of thiols adsorbed from solution onto gold. The contact angle of water on monolayers formed by coadsorption of $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CH}_3$ and $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ was constant at low pH and decreased at high pH. The shape of the titration curve was qualitatively different from that on oxidized polyethylene (PE- CO_2H). The free energy of ionization may have a greater influence on the contact angle of water on the monolayers than on PE- CO_2H . On PE- CO_2H consideration of the different hydrophilicities of the carboxylic acids and carboxylate ions lead to a plausible model for the interpretation of the titration curves.¹¹ The titration curves of the monolayers agree qualitatively with the predictions of a simple model in which changes in θ are due to the free energy of ionization. A better theoretical understanding of reactive wetting will be necessary to obtain quantitative agreement with the experimental data and to derive thermodynamic quantities, such as the pK_a or $\text{pK}_{1/2}$ of the carboxylic acids at the monolayer-aqueous interface.

Reactive spreading is clearly a complex topic in which a number of different types of interesting behavior are possible. A full understanding will require not only consideration of the equilibrium thermodynamics of the three-phase line, but also the dynamics of the spreading drop.

The acidity of the carboxylic acids at the surface of an organic monolayer on gold was lower than the acidity of carboxylic acids in solution, and decreased as the interface was made progressively less polar. The changes in acidity can be understood by comparison with parallels in solution. At biological pH (~ 7) only the pure carboxylic acid surface was significantly ionized.

The modifications we made in the environment of the carboxylic acids at the surface of the monolayer were of a simple type — changes in the polarity of a planar interface. With self-assembled monolayers it is easy to introduce disorder in the surface, include

other functional groups at the surface, or vary the depth of carboxylic acids beneath the monolayer-liquid interface.^{5,6,44} Such monolayers could yield valuable information on the effect of environment or specific interactions on acidity at interfaces. To use contact angles as an accurate probe of the acidity of such monolayers, however, we must first improve our understanding of contact angle titrations in particular, and reactive spreading in general.

Experimental

Materials. The gold substrates for these experiments were prepared by either thermal or electron-beam evaporation of 1000–2000 Å of gold onto 3-in. silicon (111) wafers (Monsanto), which had been pre-coated with 50 Å of chromium to improve adhesion. These procedures produce polycrystalline films with a strong (111) texture.^{14,65} The wafers were stored in polypropylene containers (Fluoroware) until use, which was generally within one day of evaporation. Ethanol (US Industrials Co.) was deoxygenated with bubbling nitrogen. Hexadecane (Aldrich, 99%) and cyclooctane (Aldrich, gold label) were percolated twice through neutral, Grade 1 alumina, after which they passed the Bigelow test.⁶⁶ Perfluorodecalin (PCR) was distilled and percolated through neutral, Grade 1 alumina. Water was deionized and then distilled in a glass and Teflon still. Undecanethiol and 11-mercaptoundecanoic acid were available from previous studies.^{3,15} Polyethylene carboxylic acid (PE-CO₂H) was provided by S. R. Holmes-Farley and was prepared by chromic acid oxidation of LDPE film according to established procedures.¹¹

Preparation of Monolayers.⁶⁷ The gold-coated wafers were cut into slides (ca. 1 cm x 3 cm), rinsed with ethanol, and blown dry with a stream of argon before being immersed in solutions of thiols overnight at room temperature. These solutions were freshly prepared in deoxygenated, absolute ethanol. The mole fractions of undecanethiol and 11-mercaptoundecanoic acid were varied while keeping the total concentration of thiols in the solutions constant at 1 mM.

Contact Angles. All measurements of contact angles were advancing angles and were performed with a Rame-Hart Model 100 goniometer. (1) *Measurements under air.* Slides were removed from the solutions from which the monolayer had been adsorbed, rinsed with ethanol, blown dry with argon and placed in an environmentally controlled chamber near 100% relative humidity. A 1- μ L drop of distilled water (2- μ L for contact angles over $\sim 80^\circ$ to improve accuracy) was formed at the end of a PTFE-coated, blunt-ended needle. The needle was lowered until the drop touched the surface and then removed. As the drops detached themselves from the needle they advanced over the surface. (2) *Measurements under cyclooctane and perfluorodecalin.* To measure contact angles under cyclooctane and perfluorodecalin, we replaced the environment chamber with a glass tank containing two supports upon which a slide could be placed. Both perfluorodecalin and cyclooctane were saturated with distilled water before being placed in the tank. In cyclooctane, a drop of water was formed at the end of a needle, as above, lowered through the cyclooctane to the surface of the gold slide, and the needle was then removed. For contact angles over about 140° the drop of water adhered better to the needle than to the surface: for these surfaces drops of water were allowed to sink through the cyclooctane onto the slide. In perfluorodecalin, the samples were placed upside down on the supports, and drops of water were raised until they touched the lower surface of the sample (captive drop technique). The contact angles on samples of PE-CO₂H immersed in perfluorodecalin changed with time (Figure 5). Stable contact angles were obtained by immersing the polyethylene in the perfluorodecalin for at least ten minutes before placing a drop of water on the surface, and waiting a further ten minutes before measuring the contact angles.

Titration. Each titration of a monolayer on gold was performed on a single sample. Due to the reconstruction of polyethylene, we used a fresh sample for each pH for the titration of PE-CO₂H under perfluorodecalin. The gold slides were rinsed successively with ethanol, 0.1 N HCl, distilled water and ethanol, and then blown dry with argon




Figure 5. Contact angles of water at pH 4 on PE-CO₂H immersed in perfluorodecalin. Filled circles: initial contact angles of drops of water placed on the surface after the sample had been immersed in perfluorodecalin for T mins. Open circles: contact angles of a drop of water placed on the surface fifteen minutes after the sample had been immersed in perfluorodecalin; the abscissa represents the time after the drop was placed on the surface.

between each set of measurements. This cleaning procedure was necessary to keep contamination to acceptable levels. Each set of measurements comprised, where possible, eight drops at two different values of pH, placed alternately along the slide. For contact angles near zero, fewer drops than this could be placed on the slide at one time (a minimum of six measurements — both sides of three drops — were made for all the aqueous solutions that did not wet the surface). The contact angles were measured in the following order: 5, 8, 7, 2, 9, 4, 10, 6, 3, 12, 11, 13. On the 41% acid surface, the contact angles at four values of pH were remeasured after the titration: the maximum difference between measurements at the same pH was 4°. All the buffers were 0.05 M, with the exception of the pH 11 buffer which was 0.1 M. The following buffers were used for the titrations under cyclooctane: malonic acid (pH = 2, 3), sodium phosphate (4, 12), acetic acid (5), maleic acid (6), HEPES (7, 8), boric acid (9, 10) 1,3-diamino-2-propanol (11), and KCl (13). The pH of the buffers was within 0.1 unit of the values stated.

Acknowledgements. We thank S. R. Holmes-Farley (Lord Corporation) and H. Biebuyck for valuable discussions during the course of this work.

References and Notes

- ¹ Supported in part by the Office of Naval Research.
- ² IBM Pre-Doctoral Fellow in Physical Chemistry 1985-86.
- ³ Bain, C. D.; Troughton, E. B.; Tao, Y.-T.; Evall, J.; Whitesides, G. M.; Nuzzo, R. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, in press.
- ⁴ Porter, M. D.; Bright, T. B.; Allara, D. L.; Chidsey, C. E. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1987, 109, 3559-3568.
- ⁵ Bain, C. D.; Whitesides, G. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1988, 110, 6560-6561.
- ⁶ Bain, C. D.; Whitesides, G. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1988, 110, 3665-3666; Bain, C. D.; Whitesides, G. M. *Science (Washington, D. C.)* 1988, 240, 62-63; Bain, C. D.; Whitesides, G. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1988, 110, 5897-5898.
- ⁷ For a review, see Goddard, E. D. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* 1974, 4, 45-78.
- ⁸ Adam, N. K.; Jessop, G. J. *Chem. Soc.* 1925, 127, 1863-1868.
- ⁹ De Bruyne, N. A. *Nature* 1957, 180, 262-266; Guiseppe-Elie, A.; Wnek, G. E. *J. Poly. Sci. Poly. Chem. Ed.* 1985, 23, 2601-2613.
- ¹⁰ Rasmussen, J. R.; Stedronsky, E. R.; Whitesides, G. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1977, 99, 4736-4745; Rasmussen, J. R.; Bergbreiter, D. E.; Whitesides, G. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1977, 99, 4746-4756.
- ¹¹ Holmes-Farley, S. R.; Reamey, R. H.; McCarthy, T. J.; Deutch, J.; Whitesides, G. M. *Langmuir* 1985, 1, 725-740.
- ¹² Haller, I. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* 1978, 100, 8050-8055.
- ¹³ Wasserman, S. R. Ph.D. Thesis, Harvard University, 1988.
- ¹⁴ Nuzzo, R. G.; Allara, D. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1983, 105, 4481-4483; Nuzzo, R. G.; Fusco, F. A.; Allara, D. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1987, 109, 2358-2368;
- ¹⁵ Troughton, E. B.; Bain, C. D.; Whitesides, G. M.; Nuzzo, R. G.; Allara, D. L.; Porter, M. D. *Langmuir* 1988, 4, 365-385.

- ¹⁶ Dialkyl disulfides are a possible exception. Monolayers of disulfides are structurally very similar to monolayers of thiols, but may be slightly less well-packed (Bain, C. D.; Biebuyck, H. A.; Whitesides, G. M., submitted for publication in *Langmuir*).
- ¹⁷ Peters, R. A. *Proc. Roy. Soc.* 1931, *A133*, 140–154; Danielli, J. F. *Proc. Roy. Soc.* 1937, *B122*, 155–174.
- ¹⁸ Van Voorst Vader, F. *Trans. Faraday Soc.* 1963, *59*, 1225–1230.
- ¹⁹ Van Voorst Vader, F. *Trans. Faraday Soc.* 1961, *57*, 2263–2271.
- ²⁰ Yalkowski, S. H.; Zograf, G. J. *Colloid Interface Sci.* 1970, *34*, 525–533.
- ²¹ Tokiwa, F.; Ohki, K. *J. Phys. Chem.* 1967, *71*, 1824–1829.
- ²² Mille, M.; Vanderkooi, G. J. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 1977, *61*, 475–484.
- ²³ Gaines, G. L. *Insoluble Monolayers at Liquid-Gas Interfaces*; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1966.
- ²⁴ Caspers, J.; Goormaghtigh, E.; Ferreira, J.; Brasseur, R.; Vandenbranden, M.; Ruyschaert, J.-M. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 1983, *91*, 546–551; Betts, J. J.; Pethica, B. A. *Trans. Faraday Soc.* 1956, *52*, 1581–1589; Schulman, J. H.; Rideal, E. K. *Proc. Roy. Soc.* 1931, *A130*, 284–294; Schulman, J. H.; Hughes, A. H. *Proc. Roy. Soc.* 1932, *A138*, 430–450.
- ²⁵ Havinga, E. *Rec. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas* 1952, *71*, 72–79.
- ²⁶ Bagg, J.; Haber, M. D.; Gregor, H. P. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 1966, *22*, 138–143.
- ²⁷ Joos, P. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Belges* 1971, *80*, 277–281.
- ²⁸ Patil, G. S.; Matthews, R. H.; Cornwell, D. G. In *Monolayers*; Goddard, E. D., Ed.; Advances in Chemistry 144; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1975; pp 44–66.
- ²⁹ Joos, P. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Belges* 1969, *78*, 207–217; Zsako, J.; Tomoia-Cotisel, M.; Mocanu, A.; Chifu, E. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 1986, *110*, 317–334.

- ³⁰ Recent advances in FTIR and non-linear optics (Hunt, J. H.; Guyot-Sionnest, P.; Shen, Y. R. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 1987, 133, 189–192) may soon make in situ vibrational spectroscopy possible at the solid aqueous interface.
- ³¹ Holmes-Farley, S. R.; Bain, C. D.; Whitesides, G. M. *Langmuir* 1988, 4, 921–937.
- ³² Holmes-Farley, S. R.; Whitesides, G. M. *Langmuir* 1987, 3, 62–76; Holmes-Farley, S. R.; Reamey, R. H.; McCarthy, T. J.; Whitesides, G. M. *Langmuir* 1987, 3, 799–815.
- ³³ Wilson, M.; Whitesides, G. M., submitted for publication in *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*
- ³⁴ See also Ref. 16.
- ³⁵ Young, T. *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. (London)* 1805, 95, 65–87.
- ³⁶ Adamson, A. W. *Physical Chemistry of Surfaces*, 4th ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1982; p104.
- ³⁷ Hoffman, R. J. *Colloid Interface Sci.* 1975, 50, 228–241.
- ³⁸ Dupre, A. *Theorie Mecanique de la Chaleur*, Paris, 1869; p 368.
- ³⁹ Choosing PE-CO₂H as the reference state but allowing γ_{sl} to vary with pH according to eq (2) is another way of including the energy of reaction in Young's equation.
- ⁴⁰ We use *intrinsic* to refer to the pK_a expressed in terms of the pH at the surface of the monolayer, and *apparent* to refer to the pK_a expressed in terms of the bulk pH.
- ⁴¹ We assume that the components in the monolayer act ideally, so that we can write

$$\Delta G = \Delta G^0 + nkT \ln \frac{[RCO_2^-][H^+]}{[RCO_2H]}$$

The result of the integration (eq (13)) may also be obtained more simply by substituting the initial concentration of RCO₂H and the final concentration of RCO₂⁻ into this expression for ΔG .

- ⁴² We can estimate the effect of specific interactions between head groups by comparing the acidities of maleic acid (cis-butenedioic acid), in which the monoanion is stabilized by

intramolecular H-bonding, and fumaric acid (trans-butenedioic acid), in which the same through-bond electronic effects are present but intramolecular H-bonds are not possible. The $pK_{a,1}$ and $pK_{a,2}$ of maleic acid are 1.83 and 6.07, and of fumaric acid are 3.03 and 4.44 (Ref. 54, p 210). If intramonolayer H-bonds between carboxylic acids and carboxylate anions have similar strengths to the H-bonds in the monoanion of maleic acid, these specific interactions could broaden the titration curves by up to 3 pH units.

⁴³ The use of distilled water (pH = 5.3) does not result in ionization of the carboxylic acids in the monolayer. Only the 100% acid surface, which is wet by water at all pH, is significantly ionized by a buffered solution at pH = 6. With unbuffered solutions there is insufficient base present in the drop to ionize any significant proportion of the carboxylic acids at pH = 6.⁵

⁴⁴ Bain, C. D.; Evall, J.; Whitesides, G. M., submitted for publication in *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*; Bain, C. D.; Whitesides, G. M., submitted for publication in *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*.

⁴⁵ Tamai, Y.; Makuuchi, K.; Suzuki, M. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1967**, *71*, 4176-4179; Hamilton, W. C. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **1972**, *40*, 219-222; Bargeman, D. J. *Colloid Interface Sci.* **1972**, *40*, 344-348.

⁴⁶ Other liquids surveyed included hexadecane, hexane, isooctane, cyclohexane, cis/trans-decalin, bicyclohexyl, toluene, benzonitrile, nitrobenzene, butyl acetate, butyl ether, and *o*-dichlorobenzene.

⁴⁷ Even when measured under cyclooctane, the titration curves of the 100% and 73% acid surfaces were cut off by $\cos \theta = 1$.

⁴⁸ The contact angle on a pure monolayer of $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CH}_3$ was also independent of pH when measured under air. We have titrated mixed monolayers of $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{15}\text{CH}_3$ and $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{15}\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ (Ref. 31), and of $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{11}\text{CH}_3$ and $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CO}_2\text{H}$, under air: we did not observe plateaux at high pH with these systems, in contrast to $\text{PE-CO}_2\text{H}$. We also titrated a monolayer of $\text{HS}(\text{CH}_2)_{11}\text{OH}$ on gold. Under air, water wets this monolayer at all values of pH. The contact angles under cyclooctane declined slightly at high pH (from 43°

at pH 2 to 39° at pH 13 on one sample), even though we do not expect the alcohol groups to ionize. The contact angle of the drop with pH = 13 declined slowly with time, reaching 20° after 2 h. The most probable cause of this change is the ionization of trace, surface-active impurities in the cyclooctane.

⁴⁹ Ref. 36, Chapter 10.

⁵⁰ Ruckenstein, E.; Gourisankar, S. V. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 1985, 107, 488–502.

⁵¹ Mille, M. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 1981, 81, 169–179.

⁵² March, J. *Advanced Organic Chemistry*, 3rd ed.; Wiley: New York, 1985; pp 229–236.

⁵³ Dyumaev, K. M.; Kordev, B. A. *Russ. Chem. Rev.* 1980, 49, 1021–1032; Bordwell, F. G. *Pure Appl. Chem.* 1977, 49, 963–968.

⁵⁴ Pine, S. H.; Hendrickson, J. B.; Cram, D. J.; Hammond, G. S. *Organic Chemistry*, 4th ed.; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1980; p 203.

⁵⁵ Hammond, G. S.; Hoyle, D. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1955, 77, 338–340.

⁵⁶ Rosen, M. J.; Friedman, D.; Gross, M. *J. Phys. Chem.* 1964, 68, 3219–3225.

⁵⁷ "When one's experimental findings are not quite those anticipated, the proper course is not to minimize, but to maximize the discrepancies, because by doing so new discoveries are made": the philosophy of N. K. Adam (Danielli, J. F. In *Monolayers*; Goddard, E. D., Ed.; Advances in Chemistry 144; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1975; pp 1–8).

⁵⁸ The electrolyte concentration is too high for Gouy-Chapman theory to apply at all distances from the surface and some form of Stern layer probably exists. The Gouy-Chapman theory does, however, provide us with a simple, order of magnitude calculation of the broadening of the titration curve due to field effects.

⁵⁹ It should be possible to resolve this question with monolayers incorporating a more acidic carboxylic acid, such as an α,α -difluoro acid. Using mixed monolayers of this compound with the methyl-terminated thiol of the same chain length, we could probe a

greater range of pH above the pKa. We have not yet completed the syntheses of such compounds.

⁶⁰ Hare, E. F.; Zisman, W. A. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1955**, *59*, 335-340.

⁶¹ Biebuyck, H. A.; Bain, C. D., unpublished results.

⁶² De Gennes, P. G. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **1985**, *57*, 827-863.

⁶³ This possibility was also raised by the authors.¹¹ When a drop of sulfuric acid was placed on a surface of polyethylene containing covalently-bound, fluorescent dansyl groups, fluorescence was extinguished by protonation of the dansyl groups outside the region confined by the observed drop boundary, in addition to the surface beneath the drop (Holmes-Farley, S. R., unpublished results).

⁶⁴ In a dilute solution there is insufficient adsorbate in the precursor film to form a densely packed monolayer. Even at high concentration, if formation of a monolayer were sufficiently slow, a drop could advance over the surface before a monolayer had time to adsorb from the precursor film.

⁶⁵ Chidsey, C. E. D.; Loiacono, D. N.; Sleater, T.; Nakahara, S. *Surf. Sci.* **1988**, *200*, 45-66.

⁶⁶ Bigelow, W. C.; Pickett, D. L.; Zisman, W. A. *J. Colloid Sci.* **1946**, *1*, 513-538.

⁶⁷ Full experimental details may be found in Refs. 3 and 15.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Advancing contact angles measured with sessile drops under air on PE-CO₂H as a function of the pH of buffered aqueous drops (after Ref. 11). The dashed line indicates the value of $pK_{1/2}$, the value of the pH at the midway point in $\cos \theta$ between the plateaux at low and high pH.

Figure 2. Advancing contact angles of unbuffered, distilled water (pH = 5.3, filled circles) and hexadecane (open circles) measured with sessile drops under air on monolayers adsorbed onto gold from solutions containing mixtures of HS(CH₂)₁₀CH₃ and HS(CH₂)₁₀CO₂H. The abscissa represents the mole fraction of HS(CH₂)₁₀CO₂H in solution.

Figure 3. Advancing contact angles of buffered, aqueous solutions measured with sessile drops under cyclooctane on mixed monolayers of HS(CH₂)₁₀CH₃ and HS(CH₂)₁₀CO₂H, plotted as a function of pH. The curves are labelled by the proportion of the chains in the monolayer that were terminated by a carboxylic acid group. The composition of the monolayer was determined by comparing the contact angle of water under air with monolayers whose compositions had been established by XPS (Ref. 5).

Figure 4. Advancing contact angles of buffered, aqueous solutions measured with captive drops under perfluorodecalin as a function of pH: PE-CO₂H (diamonds); monolayer of HS(CH₂)₁₀CO₂H (open circles); monolayer adsorbed from a 1:1 mixture of HS(CH₂)₁₀CH₃ and HS(CH₂)₁₀CO₂H (filled circles).

Figure 5. Contact angles of water at pH 4 on PE-CO₂H immersed in perfluorodecalin. Filled circles: initial contact angles of drops of water placed on the surface after the sample had been immersed in perfluorodecalin for T mins. Open circles: contact angles of a drop of water placed on the surface fifteen minutes after the sample had been immersed in perfluorodecalin; the abscissa represents the time after the drop was placed on the surface.

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GENERAL

	<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>		<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>
Office of Naval Research Chemistry Division, Code 1113 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000	3	Dr. Ronald L. Atkins Chemistry Division (Code 385) Naval Weapons Center China Lake, CA 93555-6001	1
Commanding Officer Naval Weapons Support Center Attn: Dr. Bernard E. Douda Crane, IN 47522-5050	1	Chief of Naval Research Special Assistant for Marine Corps Matters Code OOMC 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000	1
Dr. Richard W. Drisko Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Code L52 Port Hueneme, California 93043	1	Dr. Bernadette Eichinger Naval Ship Systems Engineering Station Code 053 Philadelphia Naval Base Philadelphia, PA 19112	1
Defense Technical Information Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	2 <u>high</u> <u>quality</u>	Dr. Sachio Yamamoto Naval Ocean Systems Center Code 52 San Diego, CA 92152-5000	1
David Taylor Research Center Dr. Eugene C. Fischer Annapolis, MD 21402-5067	1	David Taylor Research Center Dr. Harold H. Singerman Annapolis, MD 21402-5067 ATTN: Code 283	1
Dr. James S. Murday Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375-5000	1		

ORGANIC CHEMISTRY & MOLECULAR RECOGNITION - Distribution List

Professor Robert W. Armstrong
Department of Chemistry
University of California
405 Hilgard Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90024
R&T Code 4135020

Professor Bruce D. Beaver
Department of Chemistry
Duquesne University
Pittsburgh, PA 15282
R&T Code 4135016

Professor Jerald S. Bradshaw
Department of Chemistry
Brigham Young University
Provo, UT 84602
R&T Code 413p002

Professor Ronald Breslow
Department of Chemistry
Columbia University
New York, NY 10027
R&T Code 413p005

Professor Cynthia J. Burrows
Department of Chemistry
State University of New York
at Stony Brook
Stony Brook, NY 11794-3400
R&T Code 413p009

Professor Anthony W. Czarnik
Department of Chemistry
Ohio State University
120 West 18th Avenue
Columbus, OH 43210-1173
R&T Code 413p002

Professor Peter Dervan
Department of Chemistry
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, CA 91125
R&T Code 4135018

Professor Francois N. Diederich
Department of Chemistry
University of California
405 Hilgard Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90024
R&T Code 413b012

Professor Dennis A. Dougherty
Department of Chemistry
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, CA 91125
R&T Code 413p006

Professor Kenneth M. Doxsee
Department of Chemistry
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089-1062
R&T Code 413p001

Professor Margaret C. Etter
Department of Chemistry
University of Minnesota
207 Pleasant Street, S.E.
Minneapolis, MN 55455
R&T Code 4135010

Professor Wilmer K. Fife
Department of Chemistry
Indiana University-Purdue University
at Indianapolis
1125 East 38th Street
P.O. Box 647
Indianapolis, IN 46223
R&T Code 4135021

Dr. Richard Hollins
Naval Weapons Center
Chemistry Division
Research Department
China Lake, CA 93555
R&T Code 413b002

Professor Thomas J. McCarthy
Department of Polymer Science
University of Massachusetts
Room 701 Graduate Research Center
Amherst, MA 01003
R&T Code 400x015

Professor Arthur E. Martell
Department of Chemistry
Texas A&M University
College Station, TX 77843-3255
R&T Code 4135017

Professor William L. Mock
Department of Chemistry
University of Illinois at Chicago
Chicago, IL 60680
R&T Code 413p004

ORGANIC CHEMISTRY & MOLECULAR RECOGNITION, Dist. List, Page 2

Professor Martin E. Newcomb
Department of Chemistry
Texas A&M University
Box 3578
College Station, TX 77843-3255
R&T Code 4135009

Professor Peter Schultz
Department of Chemistry
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
R&T Code 413j005

Professor Carol Venanzi
Department of Chemistry
New Jersey Institute of Technology
323 King Blvd.
Newark, NJ 07102
R&T Code 413p008

Professor George M. Whitesides
Department of Chemistry
Harvard College
Cambridge, MA 02138
R&T Code a400011dd2

Professor Howard W. Whitlock
Department of Chemistry
University of Wisconsin
Madison, WI 53706
R&T Code 413p007

Professor Jeffrey D. Winkler
Department of Chemistry
The University of Chicago
5735 S. Ellis Avenue
Chicago, IL 60637
R&T Code 413b013