

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

AD-A217 597

1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS

3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT

DTIC
Distribution Unlimited

4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)

5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)

AFOSR-TR-89-1857

6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION

Wayne State University

6b. OFFICE SYMBOL
(If applicable)

7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION

Air Force Office of Scientific Research

6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)

Detroit, MI 48202

7b. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)

Bolling AFB DC 20332-6448

8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING
ORGANIZATION
AFOSR8b. OFFICE SYMBOL
(If applicable)
NA

9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

AFOSR 83-0164

8c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)

BOLLING AFB DC 20332-6448

10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS.

PROGRAM
ELEMENT NO.

61102F

PROJECT
NO.

2308

TASK
NO.

D9

WORK UNIT
NO.11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) AFTERBURNING
SUPPRESSION KINETICS IN ROCKET EXHAUST

12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)

SINGH

13. TYPE OF REPORT

FINAL

13b. TIME COVERED

FROM 01JAN83 TO 30JUN83

14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr., Mo., Day)

UNTD

15. PAGE COUNT

16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION

17. COSATI CODES

FIELD GROUP SUB. GR.

18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)

19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)

DTIC
ELECTE
JAN 4 1990
S B D

90 01 01 188

20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT

CLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT. ☐ DTIC USERS ☐

21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL

LEONARD H CAVENY

22b. TELEPHONE NUMBER
(Include Area Code)

(202) 767-4937

22c. OFFICE SYMBOL

AFOSR/NA

Afterburning Suppression Kinetics in Rocket Exhaust

Sponsored by the

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

Prepared by: Trilochan Singh
Academic Rank: Associate Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Department
University: Wayne State University
Detroit, MI 48202
USAF Research
Colleague: Dr. Jay Eversole
Contract No: AFOSR-83-0164

AFTERBURNING SUPPRESSION KINETICS IN ROCKET EXHAUST

1. INTRODUCTION

The exhaust gases from the nozzle of a rocket motor usually contain significant proportions of unburned fuel. This fuel mixes turbulently with ambient air as the exhaust jet expands and may burn causing a substantial elevation of temperature in the exhaust plume. The secondary combustion is affected by factors such as velocity and altitude of the missile, motor thrust level, pressure, temperature at the nozzle exit plane. The addition of certain species such as HBR, K_2SO_4 etc. have been observed to inhibit the afterburning process. The inhibition of afterburning process is of great significance to the US Air Force. However, the kinetic mechanism for the afterburning suppression is not understood. A research program has been initiated at the Rocket Propulsion Laboratory to investigate the afterburning suppression kinetics by Dr. Jay Eversole. The fundamental research objective will be to determine the chemical reaction mechanisms that are critical to this afterburning suppression phenomena.

2. APPROACH AND OBJECTIVE

The experimental approach is to use a premixed flat flame burner and monitor the temperature profile, species profile (as a function of distance above the burner surface), burner surface temperature, and flow velocity. The construction of the apparatus is nearly complete and data acquisition for atmospheric flames is expected in the near future at the Rocket Propulsion Laboratory.

It is essential that the experimental approach be supported by a computational model. The objective of the principal investigator's summer assignment in 1982 at the AFRPL was to study the feasibility of the experiment by using an appropriate set of computer programs. A review of the literature showed that a computer code PLFLAME with some modifications would be suitable for the proposed experiment under construction.

PLFLAME code was obtained through Dr. Terence P. Coffee of the US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland in mid-June 1982. PLFLAME code simulates the combustion process occurring in the laminar, premixed, one dimensional steady state flame. PLFLAME code is divided into four sequential parts.

The first part requires thermochemical data (such as given in JANAF i/or



A-1

Codes

thermochemical tables) and the transport properties data (such as Lennard-Jones potential parameters) for all the reaction species in the reaction scheme as input. This first part of the PLFLAME code produces a data file containing a set of coefficients for the various polynomial fits used for calculating the transport properties of the species as a function of temperature. This data file is used as input to the second part of the PLFLAME code. The mole fraction for the incoming stream, the inlet temperature and the pressure are given as input by the user. PLFLAME code part I also generates three subroutines RT, RATE and F which are used by part II and part III. These subroutines calculate the rates of forward reactions and the backward reactions involved in the proposed mechanism.

PLFLAME code II generates a set of data containing the inlet boundary conditions (unburned gas composition, unburned gas temperature, pressure and flow velocity), burned gas adiabatic flame temperature and the corresponding equilibrium composition. The latter data serves as boundary condition at the hot end of the flame.

The output of the PLFLAME II is used as input to the PLFLAME III. The user also supplies the numerical parameters such as the number of breakpoints, number of continuity equations of each collocation point, spatial and temporal error tolerance, final nondimensional distance from the flame center or the burner surface and the ratio between the longest interval and the shortest interval for the break points. PLFLAME III numerically solves a set of coupled differential equations governing a laminar, premixed, one dimensional, steady state flame. The basic procedure is to integrate the equations in time till a steady state solution is obtained. Coffee (2) describes in detail the partial differential equations governing the flames and the method of solution used in the code. PLFLAME IV produces graphs of the temperature distribution and the mole fraction distribution of the various species as a function of the distance. During the summer of 1982 the principal investigator was able to bring the PLFLAME code in satisfactory working mode on the AFRPL computing system.

The goal of this project (AFCSR-83-0164 Contract) was to validate the PLFLAME code by comparing the calculated results with the experimental results reported in the literature. The calibrated program was then used for investigating the suppression kinetic mechanism for candidate species like K, HBR, KOH. The sensitivity of the results were investigated by

varying the reaction rate data and the transport data.

3.0 PLFLAME CODE VALIDATION FOR H₂/O₂/N₂ SYSTEM

At present, the hydrogen-oxygen flame is the only flame for which the rate constants of the elementary reactions are known with reasonable accuracy. A review of the literature shows that only a limited amount of experimental data are available for H₂-O₂-N₂ flames. Dixon-Lewis (3) has given a detailed review of the kinetic mechanisms, structure and properties of the premixed flames in H₂-O₂-N₂ system. The output of the PLFLAME gives the adiabatic flame temperature and the corresponding equilibrium composition of the burned gas, temperature profiles, species profiles and the flame velocities. The computed results of the PLFLAME code are compared with those reported in the literature. The validation procedure evolved into four parts: a) adiabatic flame temperature to other calculational results, b) sensitivity of PLFLAME results to numerical parameters, c) comparison of the PLFLAME flame speed both to other computations and to experimental results d) comparison of the PLFLAME equilibrium composition.

3.1 EQUILIBRIUM COMPOSITION AND ADIABATIC FLAME TEMPERATURE

PLFLAME II calculates the adiabatic flame temperature and the corresponding equilibrium composition. Table 1 shows the comparison between PLFLAME II results and those reported by Jensen and Jones (4)

TABLE 1								
Unburned Mole Ratio	H2O x 10 ⁻¹⁷		H2 x 10 ⁻¹⁷		H x 10 ⁻¹⁵		Eq. T	
H2/N2/O2/K	Molecules		/ CM ³				°K	
	PL	Jen.	PL	JEN.	PL	Jen.	PL	Jen.
3.5/6./1./0.	8.6	8.6	6.3	6.4	0.5	0.7	1790	1820
4.0/5./1./0.	8.8	8.6	8.6	8.6	1.1	1.7	1865	1920
5.0/3./1./0.	9.0	8.9	13.4	14.0	4.4	5.2	2032	2055
4.5/3.5/1./0.	9.1	8.9	11.2	11.0	4.0	4.6	2028	2055
4.0/4.0/1./0.	9.1	8.9	8.9	9.0	3.4	4.2	2024	2055
3.27/4./1./0.	9.4	9.3	5.9	6.1	5.8	6.8	2146	2170

PL = PLFLAME Code results

Jen = Jensen's Results (4)

The results obtained by using NASA equilibrium code (at Wayne State University and at AFRPL) are similar to those of PLFLAME Code. Table 1 shows good agreement between the PLFLAME Code results and those of Jensen (4).

3.2 EFFECT OF SOME NUMERICAL PARAMETERS INPUT TO PLFLAME III

PLFLAME III uses the package PDECOL (2) to solve a general system of N nonlinear partial differential equations of at most second order on a finite interval. The spatial discretization is accompanied by finite element collocation methods based on B-Splines (2). The user has to supply the values of NINT, which determines the number of breakpoints, KORD, the order of splines, NCC, the number of continuity conditions to be applied at each point, and FC, the ratio between the longest interval and the shortest interval. For the cases studied in this report, we used NINT= 12 to 24, KORD=4, NCC=2 and FC= 4 to 6.

The calculations for the flame structure can be carried out to different distances from the center of the flame or from the burner surface by varying the value of non-dimensional parameter PHR. For short distance (above the burner surface or from the centre of flame with PHR=0.5 to 1) NINT=12 was used. As PHR was increased to 3, NINT was increased to 20 to 24. Table 2A shows that the computed burning velocity for unbounded flames do not change as the calculations are carried with different limits of nondimensional distance parameter PHR. Table 2B shows the reaction rates and the reaction mechanism used for these calculations.

TABLE 2A
Effect of Nondimensional Distance PHR on Flame Velocity

Mole Ratio	PHR	X-mm	Flame Vel. Cm./Sec.
H ₂ /O ₂ /N ₂			
0.3/.15/.55	0.5	0.97	220.86
0.3/.15/.55	2.0	4.20	220.24
0.3/.15/.55	3.0	6.40	221.69

To obtain an accurate solution both the temporal accuracy and the spatial accuracy must be sufficient. Spatial accuracy is more important near the flame fronts where we have steep gradients. As the number of breakpoint (NINT) increases, the spatial errors decrease and the computation

Table 2B
Reaction Mechanism and Reaction Rates for
H2-O2-N2 System

$$R = A T^n \exp (E/T) \text{ cm}^3\text{-moles-sec units}$$

		A	n	E
1	OH + H2 = H2O + H	1.1700E+09	1.3000E+00	-1.8250E+03
		1.2104E+10	1.1989E+00	-9.6237E+03
2	H + O2 = OH + O	1.4200E+14	0.	-8.2500E+03
		4.2321E+11	3.8707E-01	-4.6898E+02
3	O + H2 = OH + H	1.8000E+10	1.0000E+00	-4.4800E+03
		1.0182E+10	9.7038E-01	-3.4743E+03
4	H + O2 + MP = H2O + MP	1.0300E+18	-7.2000E-01	0.
		2.0658E+18	-7.6048E-01	-2.3580E+04
5	H + H2O = OH + OH	1.4000E+14	0.	-5.4000E+02
		5.1207E+10	6.6351E-01	-1.9370E+04
6	H + H2O = O + H2O	1.0000E+13	0.	-5.4000E+02
		6.5269E+10	5.9504E-01	-2.8169E+04
7	H + H2O = H2 + O2	1.2500E+13	0.	0.
		2.7012E+12	3.0654E-01	-2.8553E+04
8	OH + H2O = H2O + O2	7.5000E+12	0.	0.
		1.6512E+13	2.0733E-01	-3.6349E+04
9	O + H2O = OH + O2	1.4000E+13	0.	-5.4000E+02
		1.7090E+12	2.7708E-01	-2.8088E+04
10	O + H2O = OH + O2	1.2500E+12	0.	0.
		1.5259E+11	2.7708E-01	-2.7548E+04
11	H + H + H2 = H2 + H2	9.2000E+16	-6.0000E-01	0.
		3.9061E+16	-3.3146E-01	-5.2130E+04
12	H + H + N2 = H2 + H2	1.0000E+18	-1.0000E+00	0.
		4.2458E+17	-7.3146E-01	-5.2130E+04
13	H + H + O2 = H2 + O2	1.0000E+18	-1.0000E+00	0.
		4.2458E+17	-7.3146E-01	-5.2130E+04
14	H + H + H2O = H2 + H2O	6.0000E+19	-1.2500E+00	0.
		2.5475E+19	-9.8146E-01	-5.2130E+04
15	H + OH + MPP = H2O + MPP	1.6000E+22	-2.0000E+00	0.
		7.2013E+22	-1.8355E+00	-5.9932E+04
16	H + O + MPP = OH + MPP	6.2000E+16	-6.0000E-01	0.
		1.4919E+16	-3.6131E-01	-5.1124E+04
17	OH + OH = O + H2O	5.7500E+12	0.	-3.9000E+02
		1.0490E+14	-7.1127E-02	-9.1938E+03

time increases. The code uses a static mesh with the breakpoints most closely spaced near the centre of integration interval and the flame front is forced to remain near the centre of integration interval. The error tolerance parameters SREC=1.0E-06 and E=1.0E-03 were used for runs reported in this study. SREC=1.0E-6 implies that the species having mass fraction of less than 1.0E-06 would be computed less accurately. E controls the tolerance for temporal errors and should be decreased as the number of breakpoints increase. For one run SREC=1.0E-08 and E=1.0E-06

were used. The results were not affected. The computational time increased by 4 times. The user can vary these parameters and obtain optimum values for his system which would give accurate solution as well as minimize the computing time.

3.3 FLAME SPEED

The flame speed as a function of H₂ mole fraction (unburned mixture) for hydrogen-air flames have been reported by various workers. PLFLAME III calculates the flame speed from the species profile. Normally the time integration proceeds until the flame speed based on the changes in the major species profiles (reactants like H₂, O₂ and products like H₂O) agree within a small fraction of a percent. Table 3 shows the comparison between the flame speed calculated by PLFLAME III code and those reported by Dixon-Lewis (3) and Warnatz(5). This table shows that the flame velocities calculated by the PLFLAME code are in fair agreement with those computed by Warnatz (5) and Dixon-Lewis (3) and the experimental data of Gunther and Janish (3). The qualitative trends are similar and maximum quantitative difference is around 50%.

TABLE 3

Mole Fraction Unburned Mix.		Flame Speed Cm./Sec.		
H ₂ /O ₂ /N ₂	PLFLAME	Warnatz (4)	Dixon-Lewis (3)	Gunther (3)
0.2/.17/.63	110.	100.	95.	152.
0.3/.15/.55	221.	245.	208.	280.
0.4/.126/.474	281	320.	258.	342.
0.5/.105/.395	253.	280.	239.	320.
0.6/.084/.316	179.	190.	152.	200.

The reasons for the differences between the experimental values and the computed values have been discussed in detail by Dixon-Lewis (3). The differences are attributed partly to the uncertainties in the values of rate constants and transport parameters but mostly to the experimental difficulties and errors such as existence of truly one dimensional flames, thermal disequilibrium, diffusion effects etc. Dixon-Lewis (3) considers

the experimental values of Gunther and Janish (3) to be too high. The measured flame speed varies by a factor 0.65 to 1.4 from the mean flame speed for Hydrogen-Air flames depending upon the experimental technique.(6)

3.4 COMPOSITION AND TEMPERATURE PROFILE

Dixon-Lewis (3) has reported measured composition profile and temperature profile for H₂-O₂-N₂ flame with unburned mole ratio of .188/.046/.766. The surface temperature of the burner and the flow velocity has not been reported by Dixon-Lewis (3). Therefore it was not possible to match the absolute values of the axial distance between measured values and those calculated by the PLFLAME code. The point for 15% change in H₂ profile was matched between the calculated profiles (PLFLAME Code output) and the experimental data of Dixon-Lewis and used as reference point for plotting. The experimental data for H₂, O₂, H₂O and temperature profile from reference 3 are compared with the calculated results of PLFLAME code in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. These figures show good agreement between the calculated profiles and the experimental profiles in the primary flame zone (where significant changes in composition and temperature take place). The flame speed computed for this case by PLFLAME III is 9.7 cm./sec. as compared to 9.2 cm/sec. reported by Dixon-Lewis (3).

4.0 SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS



Coffee and Heimerl (7) have carried out a detailed sensitivity analysis for PLFLAME Code varying the reaction rate coefficients and the transport data. These changes produced expected trends in flame velocities and the species mass fraction profiles. We were able to reproduce the results of one of the four flames reported by Coffee and Heimerl (7) for the species profile, temperature profile and the flame speed. The reaction rate for the reaction $H+O_2 = OH+O$ was doubled in both directions and the PLFLAME code was run. The computed flame speed increased from 253 to 306.7 cm/sec. as per expectations. $H+O_2$ is a chain branching reaction producing OH and O which react with H₂ and OH ultimately producing H₂O. The effect of changes in the transport parameters produce the expected results as discussed in reference 7.

From the above discussions and results it is reasonable to conclude that PLFLAME Code calculations give good agreement with the results reported by other workers and is calibrated for the available experimental

data for H₂/O₂/N₂ system. PLFLAME Code was used to study the effect of adding suppressant species K and KOH and on the computed flame velocity and the species profile.

4.1 EFFECT OF ADDING K TO H₂/O₂/N₂ FLAMES

PLFLAME Code was modified by adding the thermodynamic data and the kinetic rate data for the two inhibition reactions as suggested by the studies of Jensen and Jones (8-9):



PLFLAME code was run for the following compositions (unbounded flame).

TABLE 4

Run #	H ₂	O ₂	N ₂	K
1.	.444	.111	.444	0.0
2.	.44	.11	.44	.01
3.	.433	.108	.433	.025
4.	.422	.106	.422	.050
5.	.413	.103	.413	.071

The mole ratio for H₂/O₂/N₂ is 4/1/4 for all these runs. The temperature profiles and composition profiles for H₂, O₂, H₂O, H and OH are shown in figs. 3 and 4 for 0% and 7.1%K. The flame speed variation is shown in table 5.

TABLE 5

%K	Flame Speed H ₂ /O ₂ /N ₂ 4/1/4 flame
0.0	259. cm./sec.
1.0	208.9
2.5	166.9
5.0	92.1
7.1	3.95

From table 5 we see that the flame speed decreases as the %K increases in the unburned mixture. The flame speed becomes negligible at 7.1% K which is in keeping with the expansion of the primary flame zone (as shown by the temperature profile and the composition profile in Figs. 3 and 4).

The inhibition effect of K is attributed to the stronger affinity of KOH for H atoms through the reaction $\text{KOH} + \text{H} = \text{K} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ as compared to that of O_2 through the chain branching reaction $\text{H} + \text{O}_2 = \text{OH} + \text{O}$ resulting in decrease of H atoms in the primary flame zone. The peak mole fraction of H-atoms in the primary flame zone are as follows (Table 6):

TABLE 6

%K	H Atom Peak Mole Fract.
	H ₂ /O ₂ /N ₂ 4/1/4 Flame
0.0	0.0626
1.0	0.0478
2.5	0.0313
5.0	0.0106
7.1	0.000756

Table 6 shows that the peak mole fraction of H Atom (as computed by the PLFLAME Code) decreases as the % of K in the unburnt mixture increases. The peak mole fraction of H atom decreases by an order of magnitude as %K increases from 5 to 7.1 and it is accompanied by a similar decrease in flame velocity. Increasing the mole fraction of K beyond 7.1% does not have any discernible effect on the temperature profile and species profile. This would indicate that K reactions are very fast at 7.1% K flames and the controlling speed is shifted to the radical producing reaction of H₂-O₂ system.

4.2 EFFECT OF CHANGING THE REACTION RATE CONSTANTS FOR K, KOH, H Reactions:

The sensitivity of the inhibition effect to the values of the reaction rate constants involving K species is discussed in this section. The rate constants for the reactions R1 and R2 were changed by a factor of 10 (one at a time) and PLFLAME Code was run for various concentrations of K in the unburned gases.

4.2.1 Effect of Changing the Rate Constant for the Reaction $\text{KOH} + \text{H} = \text{K} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$

PLFLAME Code was run for the following conditions after increasing/decreasing the rate constant for the reaction R1 by a factor of 10. The calculated flame speed results are shown in table 7:

Table 7

%K	FLAME	SPEED	CM/SEC
Addition	Baseline	Base Rate R1x10	Base Rate R1/10.
0.	259.0	259.0	259.0
2.5	166.9	162.7	185.0
5.0	92.1	83.8	144.0
7.1	7.0	3.25	109.6
10.	3.1	<1	22.6

The inhibition effect (in terms of decrease in flame speed and the expansion of the primary flame zone) of K is not strongly affected as the rate constant for the reaction $\text{KOH} + \text{H} = \text{K} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ is increased by a factor of 10 (both forward and backward). Thus it seems that the reaction R1 is sufficiently fast even with the baseline values and it is not the controlling step in determining the flame speed. The temperature profile and the composition profile do not show any change from the base line as the rate constant for the reaction R1 is increased by a factor of 10.

The inhibition effect of K decreases as the rate constant for the reaction R1 is decreased by a factor of 10 as is seen from the column 4 table 7. The temperature profiles and the composition profiles are steeper as compared to the corresponding profiles for the baseline value. Thus we see that the inhibition effect of K is not sensitive to the increase in the value of rate constant for the reaction R1. However the suppressant effect decreases somewhat as the rate constant for the reaction R1 decreases.

4.2.2 Sensitivity to the Rate Constant for Reaction $\text{K} + \text{OH} + \text{M} = \text{KOH} + \text{M}$

PLFLAME code was run after increasing/decreasing the rate constants for the reaction R2 by a factor of 10. The calculated flame speed results

are shown in table 8:

Table 8

%K	FLAME	SPEED	CM/SEC
Addition	Baseline	Baseline R2x10	Baseline R2/10.
0.0	259.0	259.0	259.0
0.5	-	133.0	-
1.0	208.9	-	-
2.5	166.9	8.1	-
5.0	92.1	-	207.5
7.1	3.95	-	188.
10.0	3.1	-	3.49

This table 8 shows that the inhibition effect of K increases as the rate constant for the reaction R2 is increased by a factor of 10. The inhibition effect at 2.5% K addition to the unburned gases is similar to that of 7% K addition at the baseline value of rate constant for the reaction R2. When the rate constant for the reaction R2 is decreased by a factor of 10 the inhibition effect of K decreases significantly up to 7.1% K addition. At 10% K addition the inhibition effect of K (with rate R2 = baseline rate/10) is similar to that of 7.1% K addition with the baseline values. Thus it appears that for Hydrogen rich air flames the inhibition effect of K is more sensitive to the rate constant for reaction R2. This needs to be verified experimentally. The above results also show that the addition of KOH would have a stronger inhibition effect as compared to that of K with the same % addition. This is confirmed by the following results.

4.2.3 Effect of KOH Addition

PLFLAME Code was run for 1% KOH and 2.5% KOH addition to the unburnt gases. The flame speed variation are as follows:

Table 9
FLAME SPEED H₂/O₂/N₂ 4/1/4

% Addition	K	KOH
0.0	259	259 cm/sec
1.0	208	194
2.5	166.7	8.1
7.1	3.3	-

From this we see that the inhibition effect of 2.5% KOH is similar to that of 7.1% K addition to the unburnt gases. This is confirmed by the temperature and composition profiles. These results need to be confirmed from the experimental measurements in the flame apparatus near completion at the AFRPL.

4.3 BURNER STABLISED FLAMES

PLFLAME Code was run for the burner stabilised flames by giving appropriate values to the input parameters such as gas flow speed, burner surface temperature. The program was run for 3 different values of the burner surface temperatures and 3 different values of gas flow velocity. In the limiting case when the flow velocity was greater than the flames speed, the flame did not ignite as expected and gave additional confidence to the reliability of the program. The composition profiles and the temperature profiles need to be verified against the experimental measurements.

5.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

- * PLFLAME Code gives satisfactory results.
- * The calculated flame speeds, temperature profile and the composition profile in good agreement those measured experimentally for H₂/O₂/N₂ system.
- * The flame speed decreases as the % of K in the unburned H₂/O₂/N₂ mixture increases.
- * The length of the primary increases as in the % of K increases.
- * There is no change in the inhibition effect as the % of K is increased from 7% to 10% in the unburned H₂/O₂/N₂ mixture.
- * The inhibition effect of 2.5% KOH addition is similar to that of 7% K addition to the H₂/O₂/N₂ 4/1/4 mixture.
- * The inhibition effect of K is more sensitive to the rate constant

of the reaction $K+OH+M = KOH+M$ as compared to that of reaction $KOH+H = K+H_2O$.

* These results for $H_2/O_2/N_2/K$ systems need to be verified experimentally.

REFERENCES

1. Herimerl, J.M. and Coffee, T.P., "The Detailed Modeling of Premixed Laminar Steady-State Flames," *Combustion and Flame* 39: 301-315C1980.
2. Coffee, T.P., "A Computer Code for the Solution of the Equations Governing a Laminar, Premixed One-Dimensional Flame," Report ARBRL-MR-03265, US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005, April, 1982.
3. Dixon-Lewis, G. "Kinetic Mechanism, Structure and Properties of Premixed Flames in Hyrdrogen-Oxygen-Nitrogen Mixtures", *Proceedings, Royal Society London*, Vol. 292, pp. 45-99, 1979.
4. Jensen, D.E. and Jones, G.A., "Kinetics of Flames Inhibition by Sodium," *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1*, 1982, 78.
5. Warnatz, J. "Calculation of the Structure of Laminar Flat Flames II; Flame Velocity and Structure of Freely Propagating Hydrogen-Oxygen and Hydrogen-Air Flames," *Phys. Chem.* 82, 643-649 (1978).
6. Andrews G.E., Brandy D. "Determination of Burning Velocities: A Critical Review," *Combustion and Flame* 18, pp. 133-153 (1972).
7. Coffee, T.P. and Heimerl, J.M. "Sensitivity, Analysis For Premixed Laminar, Steady State Flames," Report ARBRL-TR-02437, US Army Ballistie Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, January, 1983.
8. Jensen, D.E. and Jones, G.A. "Kinetics of Flame Inhibition by Potassium", *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1*, 1982, 78.
9. Jensen, D.E, "Alkali Compounds in Oxygen-rid Flames", *J. Chem. Soc. Fraday Trans. 1*, 1982, 78.




Fig 1




Fig 2




Fig 3




Fig 4