

AD-A219 754

STUDY
PROJECT

The views expressed in this paper are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Department of Defense or any of its agencies. This document may not be released for open publication until it has been cleared by the appropriate military service or government agency.

THE SOUTHERN SUDAN CONFLICT

BY

MAJOR GENERAL MOHAMMED AL-ABBAS

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A: Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

12 FEBRUARY 1990



U.S. ARMY WAR COLLEGE, CARLISLE BARRACKS, PA 17013-5050

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
The Southern Sudan Conflict		Study Project
7. AUTHOR(s)		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
Major General Mohammed Al-Abbas		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
U.S. Army War College Carlisle Barracks, PA 17013		
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
Same		February 1990
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
		45
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		
Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)		
This study explores the background to the current conflict in the Southern Sudan which results from accumulated historical, political, social, cultural, and administrative factors. The author traces these factors by examining the geography, peoples, and ethnic groups in the region; the origin of the political structure in Southern Sudan; the Mutiny of 1955 and the Addis Ababa Agreement of 1972; the origin of the Second (current) Civil War; and the impact and effects of that war. The study concludes that the core of the conflict is		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

development, or lack thereof, and not simply a religious dispute as is generally belived. The author provides recommendations for resolution of this conflict in terms of sharing power and national revenue, cultural diversity and the state and religion. It is essential to achieve coexistence within diversity as the Sudanese nationality represents the sum total of its various environments, cultures, and ethnic groups in a united framework. (X) -

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

USAWC MILITARY STUDIES PROGRAM PAPER

THE SOUTHERN SUDAN CONFLICT

AN INDIVIDUAL STUDY PROJECT

by

Major General Mohammed Al-Abbas, IN

Colonel Robert J. Lilley, Jr., MI
Project Adviser

U.S. Army War College
Carlisle Barracks, Pennsylvania 17013
12 February 1990

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A: Approved for public
release; distribution is unlimited.

The views expressed in this paper are those of the
author and do not necessarily reflect the views of
the Department of Defense or any of its agencies.
This document may not be released for open publication
until it has been cleared by the appropriate military
service or government agency.

ABSTRACT

AUTHOR: Mohammed Al-Abbas, MG, IN

TITLE: The Southern Sudan Conflict

FORMAT: Individual Study Project

DATE: 12 February 1990 PAGES: 46 CLASSIFICATION: Unclassified

This study explores the background to the current conflict in the Southern Sudan which results from accumulated historical, political, social, cultural, and administrative factors. The author traces these factors by examining the geography, peoples, and ethnic groups in the region; the origin of the political structure in Southern Sudan; the Mutiny of 1955 and the Addis Ababa Agreement of 1972; the origin of the Second (current) Civil War; and the impact and effects of that war. The study concludes that the core of the conflict is development, or lack thereof, and not simply a religious dispute as is generally believed. The author provides recommendations for resolution of this conflict in terms of sharing power and national revenue, cultural diversity and the state and religion. It is essential to achieve coexistence within cultural diversity as the Sudanese nationality represents the sum total of its various environments, cultures, and ethnic groups in a united framework.

Accession For	
1. ☒ 100-100000	X
2. ☐ 100-100000	
3. ☐ 100-100000	
4. ☐ 100-100000	
5. ☐ 100-100000	
6. ☐ 100-100000	
7. ☐ 100-100000	
8. ☐ 100-100000	
9. ☐ 100-100000	
10. ☐ 100-100000	
11. ☐ 100-100000	
12. ☐ 100-100000	
13. ☐ 100-100000	
14. ☐ 100-100000	
15. ☐ 100-100000	
16. ☐ 100-100000	
17. ☐ 100-100000	
18. ☐ 100-100000	
19. ☐ 100-100000	
20. ☐ 100-100000	
21. ☐ 100-100000	
22. ☐ 100-100000	
23. ☐ 100-100000	
24. ☐ 100-100000	
25. ☐ 100-100000	
26. ☐ 100-100000	
27. ☐ 100-100000	
28. ☐ 100-100000	
29. ☐ 100-100000	
30. ☐ 100-100000	
31. ☐ 100-100000	
32. ☐ 100-100000	
33. ☐ 100-100000	
34. ☐ 100-100000	
35. ☐ 100-100000	
36. ☐ 100-100000	
37. ☐ 100-100000	
38. ☐ 100-100000	
39. ☐ 100-100000	
40. ☐ 100-100000	
41. ☐ 100-100000	
42. ☐ 100-100000	
43. ☐ 100-100000	
44. ☐ 100-100000	
45. ☐ 100-100000	
46. ☐ 100-100000	
47. ☐ 100-100000	
48. ☐ 100-100000	
49. ☐ 100-100000	
50. ☐ 100-100000	
51. ☐ 100-100000	
52. ☐ 100-100000	
53. ☐ 100-100000	
54. ☐ 100-100000	
55. ☐ 100-100000	
56. ☐ 100-100000	
57. ☐ 100-100000	
58. ☐ 100-100000	
59. ☐ 100-100000	
60. ☐ 100-100000	
61. ☐ 100-100000	
62. ☐ 100-100000	
63. ☐ 100-100000	
64. ☐ 100-100000	
65. ☐ 100-100000	
66. ☐ 100-100000	
67. ☐ 100-100000	
68. ☐ 100-100000	
69. ☐ 100-100000	
70. ☐ 100-100000	
71. ☐ 100-100000	
72. ☐ 100-100000	
73. ☐ 100-100000	
74. ☐ 100-100000	
75. ☐ 100-100000	
76. ☐ 100-100000	
77. ☐ 100-100000	
78. ☐ 100-100000	
79. ☐ 100-100000	
80. ☐ 100-100000	
81. ☐ 100-100000	
82. ☐ 100-100000	
83. ☐ 100-100000	
84. ☐ 100-100000	
85. ☐ 100-100000	
86. ☐ 100-100000	
87. ☐ 100-100000	
88. ☐ 100-100000	
89. ☐ 100-100000	
90. ☐ 100-100000	
91. ☐ 100-100000	
92. ☐ 100-100000	
93. ☐ 100-100000	
94. ☐ 100-100000	
95. ☐ 100-100000	
96. ☐ 100-100000	
97. ☐ 100-100000	
98. ☐ 100-100000	
99. ☐ 100-100000	
100. ☐ 100-100000	
101. ☐ 100-100000	
102. ☐ 100-100000	
103. ☐ 100-100000	
104. ☐ 100-100000	
105. ☐ 100-100000	
106. ☐ 100-100000	
107. ☐ 100-100000	
108. ☐ 100-100000	
109. ☐ 100-100000	
110. ☐ 100-100000	
111. ☐ 100-100000	
112. ☐ 100-100000	
113. ☐ 100-100000	
114. ☐ 100-100000	
115. ☐ 100-100000	
116. ☐ 100-100000	
117. ☐ 100-100000	
118. ☐ 100-100000	
119. ☐ 100-100000	
120. ☐ 100-100000	
121. ☐ 100-100000	
122. ☐ 100-100000	
123. ☐ 100-100000	
124. ☐ 100-100000	
125. ☐ 100-100000	
126. ☐ 100-100000	
127. ☐ 100-100000	
128. ☐ 100-100000	
129. ☐ 100-100000	
130. ☐ 100-100000	
131. ☐ 100-100000	
132. ☐ 100-100000	
133. ☐ 100-100000	
134. ☐ 100-100000	
135. ☐ 100-100000	
136. ☐ 100-100000	
137. ☐ 100-100000	
138. ☐ 100-100000	
139. ☐ 100-100000	
140. ☐ 100-100000	
141. ☐ 100-100000	
142. ☐ 100-100000	
143. ☐ 100-100000	
144. ☐ 100-100000	
145. ☐ 100-100000	
146. ☐ 100-100000	
147. ☐ 100-100000	
148. ☐ 100-100000	
149. ☐ 100-100000	
150. ☐ 100-100000	
151. ☐ 100-100000	
152. ☐ 100-100000	
153. ☐ 100-100000	
154. ☐ 100-100000	
155. ☐ 100-100000	
156. ☐ 100-100000	
157. ☐ 100-100000	
158. ☐ 100-100000	
159. ☐ 100-100000	
160. ☐ 100-100000	
161. ☐ 100-100000	
162. ☐ 100-100000	
163. ☐ 100-100000	
164. ☐ 100-100000	
165. ☐ 100-100000	
166. ☐ 100-100000	
167. ☐ 100-100000	
168. ☐ 100-100000	
169. ☐ 100-100000	
170. ☐ 100-100000	
171. ☐ 100-100000	
172. ☐ 100-100000	
173. ☐ 100-100000	
174. ☐ 100-100000	
175. ☐ 100-100000	
176. ☐ 100-100000	
177. ☐ 100-100000	
178. ☐ 100-100000	
179. ☐ 100-100000	
180. ☐ 100-100000	
181. ☐ 100-100000	
182. ☐ 100-100000	
183. ☐ 100-100000	
184. ☐ 100-100000	
185. ☐ 100-100000	
186. ☐ 100-100000	
187. ☐ 100-100000	
188. ☐ 100-100000	
189. ☐ 100-100000	
190. ☐ 100-100000	
191. ☐ 100-100000	
192. ☐ 100-100000	
193. ☐ 100-100000	
194. ☐ 100-100000	
195. ☐ 100-100000	
196. ☐ 100-100000	
197. ☐ 100-100000	
198. ☐ 100-100000	
199. ☐ 100-100000	
200. ☐ 100-100000	
201. ☐ 100-100000	
202. ☐ 100-100000	
203. ☐ 100-100000	
204. ☐ 100-100000	
205. ☐ 100-100000	
206. ☐ 100-100000	
207. ☐ 100-100000	
208. ☐ 100-100000	
209. ☐ 100-100000	
210. ☐ 100-100000	
211. ☐ 100-100000	
212. ☐ 100-100000	
213. ☐ 100-100000	
214. ☐ 100-100000	
215. ☐ 100-100000	
216. ☐ 100-100000	
217. ☐ 100-100000	
218. ☐ 100-100000	
219. ☐ 100-100000	
220. ☐ 100-100000	
221. ☐ 100-100000	
222. ☐ 100-100000	
223. ☐ 100-100000	
224. ☐ 100-100000	
225. ☐ 100-100000	
226. ☐ 100-100000	
227. ☐ 100-100000	
228. ☐ 100-100000	
229. ☐ 100-100000	
230. ☐ 100-100000	
231. ☐ 100-100000	
232. ☐ 100-100000	
233. ☐ 100-100000	
234. ☐ 100-100000	
235. ☐ 100-100000	
236. ☐ 100-100000	
237. ☐ 100-100000	
238. ☐ 100-100000	
239. ☐ 100-100000	
240. ☐ 100-100000	
241. ☐ 100-100000	
242. ☐ 100-100000	
243. ☐ 100-100000	
244. ☐ 100-100000	
245. ☐ 100-100000	
246. ☐ 100-100000	
247. ☐ 100-100000	
248. ☐ 100-100000	
249. ☐ 100-100000	
250. ☐ 100-100000	
251. ☐ 100-100000	
252. ☐ 100-100000	
253. ☐ 100-100000	
254. ☐ 100-100000	
255. ☐ 100-100000	
256. ☐ 100-100000	
257. ☐ 100-100000	
258. ☐ 100-100000	
259. ☐ 100-100000	
260. ☐ 100-100000	
261. ☐ 100-100000	
262. ☐ 100-100000	
263. ☐ 100-100000	
264. ☐ 100-100000	
265. ☐ 100-100000	
266. ☐ 100-100000	
267. ☐ 100-100000	
268. ☐ 100-100000	
269. ☐ 100-100000	
270. ☐ 100-100000	
271. ☐ 100-100000	
272. ☐ 100-100000	
273. ☐ 100-100000	
274. ☐ 100-100000	
275. ☐ 100-100000	
276. ☐ 100-100000	
277. ☐ 100-100000	
278. ☐ 100-100000	
279. ☐ 100-100000	
280. ☐ 100-100000	
281. ☐ 100-100000	
282. ☐ 100-100000	
283. ☐ 100-100000	
284. ☐ 100-100000	
285. ☐ 100-100000	
286. ☐ 100-100000	
287. ☐ 100-100000	
288. ☐ 100-100000	
289. ☐ 100-100000	
290. ☐ 100-100000	
291. ☐ 100-100000	
292. ☐ 100-100000	
293. ☐ 100-100000	
294. ☐ 100-100000	
295. ☐ 100-100000	
296. ☐ 100-100000	
297. ☐ 100-100000	
298. ☐ 100-100000	
299. ☐ 100-100000	
300. ☐ 100-100000	
301. ☐ 100-100000	
302. ☐ 100-100000	
303. ☐ 100-100000	
304. ☐ 100-100000	
305. ☐ 100-100000	
306. ☐ 100-100000	
307. ☐ 100-100000	
308. ☐ 100-100000	
309. ☐ 100-100000	
310. ☐ 100-100000	
311. ☐ 100-100000	
312. ☐ 100-100000	
313. ☐ 100-100000	
314. ☐ 100-100000	
315. ☐ 100-100000	
316. ☐ 100-100000	
317. ☐ 100-100000	
318. ☐ 100-100000	
319. ☐ 100-100000	
320. ☐ 100-100000	
321. ☐ 100-100000	
322. ☐ 100-100000	
323. ☐ 100-100000	
324. ☐ 100-	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT.	11
CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. GEOGRAPHY, PEOPLE AND ETHNIC GROUPS.	3
The People	5
III. THE ORIGIN OF THE POLITICAL STRUCTURE IN SOUTHERN SUDAN.	9
IV. THE MUTINY OF 1955 AND ADDIS ABABA AGREEMENT IN 1972.	15
The Addis Ababa Agreement and Regional Government, 1972-1981.	17
V. ORIGINS OF THE SECOND CIVIL WAR.	20
The Transitional Military Council 1985-1986 (TMC).	24
The Political Parties 1986-1989.	25
The Foreign Interferences and Pressures.	26
VI. THE IMPACT AND EFFECTS OF THE WAR.	30
VII. CONCLUSIONS.	33
VIII. RECOMMENDATION	36
The Options or Alternative Solutions	37
Sharing of Power	37
Sharing of National Revenue.	37
The Cultural Diversity	38
Culture.	38
Identity	38
The State and Religion	39
BIBLIOGRAPHY.	40

THE SUDAN

200 mls

- Sudan borders
- Southern provinces
- Towns
- Capital
- Rivers
- Railways
- Jonglei Canal



THE SOUTHERN SUDAN CONFLICT

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The present situation in the Southern Sudan is an outcome of accumulated historical, political, social, cultural and administrative factors. Since independence in January 1956 and except for a few years following the Addis Ababa Agreement of 27 February 1972, the southern part of the Sudan has been in turmoil.

After independence, northern Sudanese society in particular came under the influence of the Arab nationalist revival movement and later the Islamic revival. Meanwhile, some sectors of southern society were gaining an increased awareness of its African and Christian identity and a realization of how little southerners participated in public life and the extent of the decline in their standard of living. These factors remained and the intellectual and political currents from the north and south which were completely contrary to one another even became stronger.

Nimeiry, who ruled the Sudan from 1969 to 1985, put the country through an experience of Islamic laws in September 1983. The role of Christians was completely ignored, and an attempt was made to apply the Islamic law to non-Moslems in a way that was condemned by even those southerners who were close to Nimeiry and sympathetic to his policies. In April 1985 Nimeiry fell from power, and it was hoped that the rebels would throw down their arms in order to give some breathing space for discussions and agreement on the reasons for the conflict and to draw up the basis for a new era in the Sudan. But for unjustifiable reasons, the rebels continued fighting against the transitional government which was supported by all political forces in the country.

The government has suggested a constitutional conference and guarantees full protection and security for the participants. The main topics of the conference will include:

- o The problem of the relationship between religion and politics, including reconciliation of the aspiration of Christians, Moslems and others under a modern, democratic and constitutional political system.

- o The problem of national identity and cultural and ethnic diversity and how to achieve peaceful coexistence within this diversity.

- o Equitable participation in political and public affairs to ensure that the majority preserves the rights of the minority in this respect.

- o Economic development based on the equitable distribution of resources and development opportunities.

A peaceful solution to the southern problem is feasible and possible. There is no real obstacle preventing the north and the south from finding political solutions based on mutual understanding and consent.

This study consists of eight chapters. The details are as follows:

Chapter Two: Geography, People and Ethnic Groups.

Chapter Three: The Origin of the Political Structure in Southern Sudan.

Chapter Four: The Mutiny of 1955 and Addis Ababa Agreement in 1972.

Chapter Five: Origin of the Second Civil War.

Chapter Six: The Impact and Effects of the War.

Chapter Seven: Conclusions.

Chapter Eight: Recommendation.

CHAPTER II

GEOGRAPHY, PEOPLE AND ETHNIC GROUPS

The discovery of Sudan's fascinating past is a long and complex story, still in progress and based on a multitude of written sources and archaeological researches. The oldest clues about Sudan were the records found in ancient Egyptian literature, but unfortunately these records hold very little ethnographical or geographical descriptions for the historians.

Bilad al Sudan¹ or "Land of the Blacks" was the term used by Arab geographers to describe the land lying south of the Sahara in Africa. Modern geographers use the term "Sudan" to describe the belt of dry Savanna and semi-desert areas stretching across the continent from east to west. The chief sources are Herodotus, Diodorus and Pliny The Elder. Rich data is found in the vivid writings of medieval Arab historians and geographers, notably, Ibn Selim, Ibn Kuldun and Al-Magrizi. It was the Turko-Egyptian invasion in 1821 which exposed the country to study by individual scholars and organized expeditions who assiduously recorded, measured, published and removed the visible antiquities along the Nile.

Sudan is Africa's largest country. It is nearly as large as Western Europe, or one-third the size of the United States. Sudan's geographical position at the crossroads of Africa has been dominant in directing the course of both its history and politics. This vast land of nearly one million square miles in size provided a meeting place for the Pharonic, Christian and Islamic civilizations and its indigenous civilization, such as that of Meroe, and witnessed the subsequent diffusion of a huge variety of cultures.

Sudan's vastness is matched by the diversity of climatic conditions. The country's ecological zones are determined by the amount of precipitation, ranging from the virtually rainless deserts in the far north, merging into

semi-desert then low and high rainfall areas of the Savanna which meets first swampy flood plains and finally, in the far south the rain forest. The central areas are predominately extremely flat plains, but there are significant mountain ranges in the east, the south and the west. The Nuba mountains in the heart of the country rise above the plains and provide an isolated habitat for some West African vegetation.

The Nile is the most outstanding physical feature of the land. It has two main tributaries: The White and Blue Niles. The former flows from Lake Victoria, while the later is from Lake Tana in Ethiopia.

The country can be divided into three climatic regions: north of latitude 19 degrees N. where a desert region is dominated by dry northerly winds throughout the year and rainfall is rare. In winter the winds are high causing sandstorms (haboobs). South of latitude 19 degrees is a region influenced by the movement of the Inter-tropical Convergence Zone. The third region is the Red Sea coast where the climate is open to maritime influences and sea breezes and most rain falls in winter.

The Southern Sudan may be roughly defined as the region lying south of the tenth parallel and extending to the north of Lake Albert in Uganda. It consists of three provinces of Bahr al Ghazal, Equatoria and Upper Nile. The boundaries of the south are by no means ethnic boundaries. Some of the tribes of the Southern Sudan, such as the Azande, the Acholi, the Latuka, the Turkana and the Anuak spill over the borders into the neighboring countries. Some of the tribes in the northern part of Upper Nile and Bahr al Ghazal provinces likewise spill over the tenth parallel. The boundaries of the south are lines drawn by a foreign power for political or administrative convenience.²

These physical and climatic conditions of the south have produced an environment difficult to live in. It has on the other hand, produced a

variety in the modes of living. At one extreme, there are those who live through animal husbandry and at the other extreme those who live on crop production. In every area, fishing, hunting, and gathering of wild vegetation and fruits are subsidiary economic activities.

The People

There are two main physical types in the Sudan, the so-called Brown race and the Negroid. The former, according to Arkell, is thought to have originated from Arabia, various waves having left the Arabian Peninsula at different times owing primarily to climatic change and periodical droughts forcing the population to emigrate.³

Many of the so-called Arabs are Negroid in appearance, and some of the so-called Negroes have non-Negroid features. Professor Seligman believes that there is a foreign non-Negroid element in some of the tribes of the Southern Sudan, such as the Shilluk. He holds that though this element may not be so obvious in the Dinka and the Nuer, for example, there is no question that it exists there too.⁴ It is doubtful whether any people in the Sudan can be regarded as true Negroes, and their non-Negroid characters, their pastoral pursuits and, to a certain degree, the structure of their language are attributed to Hamitic admixture and influence.⁵

He warns against the use of the term "Arab" in reference to racial character. The term is used by anthropologists in a historic sense only.

The true Arabs have always been numerically insignificant, and wherever they settled, they intermarried with the local people whether Nubians, Beja or Negroids. The result of all this is the existence in the Sudan of today of every conceivable degree of admixture between the Brown and the Negro and the absence of a culture which can be described as a purely Arabic and Moslem. So

the term "Arab" has significance in a linguistic and cultural rather than in a racial sense.

The Southern Sudan, unlike the north, is culturally heterogeneous. Anthropologists have classified its people, according to their language, physical types and historical background, into three main groups:

- o The Nilotics, comprising the Dinka, Nuer, Shilluk and Anuak, who mostly live in Bahr a Ghazal and Upper Nile provinces. Each of these consists of a number of tribes. They are agriculturists and cattle owners, depending on the environment.⁶ Not only do cattle provide them with milk and furnish them with fuel, sleeping skins and other useful objects, but they also give them the means to marry and are the medium through which they maintain relations with the spirits and with the ghosts of their ancestors.⁷

The Dinka are considered the most sophisticated of the Nilotics, the Nuer are looked upon as the hardest to administer. The greater part of the Anuak live in Ethiopia.

- o The Nilo-Hamitics, comprising the Murle, the Didinga, Boya, Toposa and Latuka who live mostly in Equatoria. Like the Anuak, they are not confined to Sudan, some of them living in Uganda and Kenya.

- o The Sudanic tribes, comprising the small and numerous tribes living in the west and southwestern parts of the south. The most important member of the group is the Azande.

Other tribes such as the Bari, Mandari, Nyangwara, Fajulu, Muru and Luluba are admixtures of Nilotic, Nilo-Hamitic and Sudanic groups. None of these tribes is strong enough to act as a focus for others or capable of dominating or absorbing the other tribes.

Not all of these tribes have originated and lived all their life in the Southern Sudan. The Shilluk, according to Seligman, came to the south from

the east of Lake Victoria.⁸ The Dinka are thought to have come from the Great Lakes of East Africa while the Azande are thought to have come from Central Africa in the 19th century, and the Sudanic people from near Lake Chad in the 17th century.⁹ These tribes, therefore, have no more claim to be the original people of the Sudan than the Arab tribes who emigrated to the north.¹⁰

The ethnic differences among tribes are reflected in language and political and religious institutions. Though some of the languages spoken are related to each other, others are isolated and little is known about a great number of them. The main language groups, however, are the Nilotic languages and their dialects, comprising Dinka, Nuer, Shilluk, Acholi and Burun; the Bari group and its allied dialects comprising Bari and Latuka; the Didinga group comprising Didinga and Tobosa; the Madi group comprising Madi and Muru; the Azande and the western group comprising Mundi and Kreish.¹¹

The Southern Sudan is not a homogeneous community and the factors which contribute to the making of one nation are still lacking. The same cannot be said about the north. Economic, political and social development and the spread of Islam as a cultural uniting factor have contributed to the creation of a homogeneous community.¹²

Internal migration and a common history for thousands of years has contributed to the admixture of the people and the absence of a purely Arab or Negro race. Hence the acceptance by the Sudanese that they are a mixture of both. This, though it may correctly be regarded as an adequate ground for claiming a special status for the south within the framework of united Sudan, does not constitute a sound argument for the splitting of the Sudan into two independent sovereign states. The modern state, especially in Africa, is not and could not be founded on religious, racial or even cultural homogeneity.

It is based, above all, on the community of interests and objectives of people who, different though they may be in certain respects, have met across continental and not merely tribal or regional boundaries. In the present age Africa, of which the Sudan constitutes an integral part and uniquely representative cross section, is moving towards unity and close association rather than in the direction of separation. The splitting of the Sudan into two or more states probably would not only be unnecessary and undesirable as a matter of principle; it would also have serious practical repercussions on Africa as a whole. In an age when the race question is becoming of increasingly great importance, it might, from a worldwide point of view, also be a most tragic event.¹³

ENDNOTES

1. M. O. Beshir, The Southern Sudan - Background to Conflict, p. 1.
2. Ibid.
3. A. J. Arkell, A History of The Sudan. London, 1961, p. 22.
4. J. A. Hamilton, The Anglo-Egyptian Sudan from Within, London, 1935.
5. Ibid.
6. M. O. Beshir, The Southern Sudan, p. 5.
7. Hamilton, op cit, p. 86.
8. Ibid., p. 86.
9. Richard Gray, A History of The Southern Sudan., 1961, p. 14.
10. Beshir, p. 6.
11. Sudan Government, The Rejaf Conference, London, 1928, pp. 51-55.
12. Beshir, p. 8.
13. Dunstan M. Wai, The Southern Sudan - The Problem of National Integration, Frank Cass: London, 1973.

CHAPTER III

THE ORIGIN OF THE POLITICAL STRUCTURE IN SOUTHERN SUDAN

Richard Gray observed that the 19th century societies of Southern Sudan lacked any broad focus of authority. They were, in his view, in a state of anarchy. Not only did they have "scanty technological equipment," but they were also ignorant of commerce, had "minute social horizon," and lacked "any broad political allegiance."¹ In the 1940's, a similar observation was made by Evans-Pritchard and Fortes, in their collection of essays (African Political Systems).² They believed that stateless, unlike centralized, societies can boast no cultural achievements, have no stable political organization, and above all, lack institutions for long-distance trade contacts. Such a view of precolonial African societies has already proved erroneous because some of the so-called segmentary or stateless societies have been revealed as far more vigorous and stable than was earlier assumed.³

In spite of these advances in African historiography, such ideas still persist with regard to the societies of Southern Sudan. How might this be explained? Part of the answer lies in the fact that until now only a few systematic historical studies have been undertaken on the precolonial history of these communities. The result is that we know far too little of their history. Indeed, for some of these societies we know next to nothing. Yet a knowledge of this history is essential in the search for our cultural, political and social roots.⁴

Professor Ali Mazrui has noted that "the most fundamental problems confronting African countries are reducible to two crises: The crisis of national integration, and the crisis of political legitimacy."⁵ The Sudan

is no stranger to these problems, although Arab culture, Islam and the Arabic language have provided a unifying element in the Northern Sudan.

Professor Mohammed Omer Beshir has noted that:

The policy of the condominium administration regarding relations between the Northern and Southern Sudan - which came to be known as Southern Policy, and which was based on separate and different paths of development for the north and the south, had led to a delay in the rise and development of political movements in the south, relative to the north.⁶

The Southern Policy amounted to:

- o All northern administrative staff transferred out of the southern provinces.
- o Trading permits of the northerners in the south were withdrawn.
- o Abolition of Arabic language; every administrator was instructed to speak the language of his district or at second best, English, but never Arabic.
- o The wearing of Arab dress and usage of Arabic names by southerners were discouraged.

Political consciousness in the south remained weak, until the early 1940's. The first period of informal social association and embryonic economic and political organizations ended in 1947 the Year of the Juba Conference. The importance of the Juba Conference was that it was the first meeting in which the northerners and the southerners had met together to discuss the future constitutional and administrative relationship between north and south. It was also the first occasion when southerners from the three provinces had been consulted as a group on this future relationship. It provided the first opportunity for southern leaders to participate as individuals and jointly as a group in discussing the future of the south in relation to the political and administrative changes in Sudan.

The second participation came in December 1948 when thirteen southerners were appointed to represent the south in the Legislative Assembly. The total number in all for the Assembly was ninety-five of whom six were British. The agenda of the Legislative Assembly included the question of the future of the Sudan, economic, educational development and legislative matters. Their concern was no longer limited to the south only, but to the whole Sudan.

In 1951 the first political organization was formed in Juba (The Southern Party). In 1952 a political committee was set up in Juba, but it did not live long. In 1954 the Southern Party changed its name to the Liberal Party. The Liberal Party functioned as the only political organization in the south until 1958 when it was dissolved with all other political parties by the military regime of General Abboud.

The central point in the Liberal Party's program was federation, and to this end its leadership based its alliances with the then major political parties in the north: The Umma and The National Union Party (NUP). The Liberal Party devoted all its energies to obtaining a federal status for the south. It succeeded on 19 December 1955 in persuading the Parliament when making its resolution to declare the independence of the Sudan in January 1, 1956 to pass another resolution to the effect that the demand for federation would be given full consideration. In order to fulfill this aim and to serve the immediate demands of the south, the Liberal Party entered into coalitions with different parties at different times.

The era of southern involvement in national politics took an upward trend, and in 1958 when new elections were held, there was disillusionment with the old politicians. The 1958 elections brought to parliament a new type of southern politician, young, better educated and militant in his demand for federation. The phase which came to an end in November 1958 had witnessed one

of the most important events in the north-south relationship and in the process of participation and involvement.

The policy of the Abboud Regime and its attitude towards the south led many southerners, especially students, politicians, administrators and ex-MPs afraid of being arrested, to flee the country in 1960. Those who fled the country started to organize themselves. In 1962 they created in Leopoldville, Congo, the Sudan African Closed Districts National Union (SACDNU). In 1963 the name was changed to the Sudan African National Union (SANU). Its headquarters were in Kampala, Uganda. From then on SANU began to seek and organize support inside and outside the Sudan.⁷

Meanwhile, the various groups of former soldiers and policemen who had been living in the bush since the 1955 mutiny continued to attack army and police posts from time to time, but they lacked organization and leadership. In 1963 these groups joined together under the name of Anya Nya and became the armed wing of SANU.

In 1965 the Azania Liberation Front (ALF) was formed. In 1966 there were further conflicts and divisions within ALF. In August 1967 a large meeting of southern political leaders outside the Sudan was held in secret in Angudri near the Congo (Zaire) border. At this time it was decided to dissolve all political groups and organization and establish the Southern Sudan Provisional Government (SSPG). Aggrey Jaden was appointed president, and a political bureau of fifteen members was formed. Later the Nile Provisional Government (NPG) was formed, and in 1969 General Taffeng declared his opposition to the (NPG) and formed the Anyidi State Government. In July 1970 Colonel Joseph Lagu, Eastern Commander of the Anya Nya forces, revolted against Taffeng and declared the formation of the Southern Sudan Liberation Movement (SSLM).

However, the same divisive forces which had led to the discontinuance of the previous political organizations and provisional governments soon appeared: tribalism, personal rivalries and disagreements over the distribution of foreign assistance and aid.⁸

The southern politicians outside the Sudan were described by Dunstan M. Wai as:

Confused and having lost contact with the real issues involved in the North-South Conflict. Some of them have no keen interests in the Anya Nya and have even worked for the disunity of the Anya Nya to serve their own interests. Sheer personal ambition has led to power struggles resulting in internal divisions, thereby creating a meaningless government purporting to represent the southern Sudanese. Incompetence and lack of political foresight are common among them. They have refused to see the fact that they lack the ability to put political issues in proper perspective and have assumed certain positions of power which they are unable to shoulder. All these factions suffer from the lack of serious intention to serve the people they claim to lead, and egoistic pursuits occupy much of their time. The creation of the multiplicity of presidents was motivated by the struggle for financial help from their benefactors. Tribalism has also plagued all southern politicians outside the Sudan. I have already indicated that the formation of the Anyidi Revolutionary Government was basically tribally motivated and aimed at countering the Dinka dominance in the Nile Government. Another common element among them is that they fall under the category of ignorant elites.⁹

Similarly, in 1982, when launching the call for the redivision of the Southern Region, Joseph Lagu argued that tribalism was one of the main reasons why the south had to be decentralized--that is "to save the south from the institutionalized Dinka domination."¹⁰

ENDNOTES

1. R. Gray, A History of the Southern Sudan, 1839-1889, 1961, pp. 8-9.
2. M. Fortress and E. E. Evans-Pritchard, Eds. African Political System, 1940.

3. Vidrouitch C. Coquery, Research on an African Mode of Production. In: P.C.W. Gutkind and P. Waterman, Eds. African Social Studies - 1977, pp. 77-92.

4. Okeny, Kenneth, The Role of Southern Sudanese People in the Building of Modern Sudan, 1985.

5. A. A. Mazrui, Violence and Thought. pp. 174-176.

6. M. O. Beshir, The Southern Sudan, 1975, p. 45.

7. Ibid., p. 52.

8. Ibid., p. 65.

9. D. M. Wai, Ed. The Southern Sudan: The Problems of National Integration. 1973, pp. 163-164.

10. J. Lagu, Decentralization, 1982.

CHAPTER IV

THE MUTINY OF 1955 AND ADDIS ABABA AGREEMENT IN 1972

The mutiny of Equatoria Corps on 18 August 1955 was a reaction against the indifference of the northern political parties to the demands made by the southern political leadership for federation, sometimes amounting to outright rejection. The results of the Sudanization policy were disappointing to educated southerners and were the direct reason for the mutiny.¹ The way the mutiny broke out and the way it was suppressed marked the beginning of the use of force to solve the problems of relations between the north and south. Until then the problem had been left to the politicians to debate. "For the first time since 1924 the 'soldier' became directly involved and active in the field which had hitherto been considered the domain of politicians."²

The origin and causes of the mutiny have been recounted in detail in a Government Report.³ On the 8th of September the Minister of Interior appointed a Commission of Enquiry to enquire into and report upon the recent disturbances in Southern Sudan and their underlying causes.

On October 10, 1956 the Commission of Enquiry published its report. It stated in the report that:

The causes of the disturbances can never be understood unless the following points are constantly kept in mind: (1) There is very little in common between northern and southern; (2) For historical reasons the southerners regard the northern Sudanese their traditional enemies; (3) The British administrative policy until 1947 was to let the southern Sudanese progress on African and Negroid lines; (4) For political, financial, geographical and economical reasons the Northern Sudan progressed quickly in every field while the Southern Sudan lagged far behind; (5) All the above factors combined did not create in the southern Sudanese a feeling of common citizenship with the northern Sudanese, nor a feeling of nationalism or patriotism to the Sudan as a whole.⁴

The mutiny had resulted from fear, suspicion and past policies aimed at the creation of a constitutional collapse which in the case of federation not being granted, would lead ultimately to the separation of the south. Although this was not achieved at the time, the mutiny introduced a new factor in north-south relations: the use of violence and direct involvement of the military on both sides in the Southern Sudan problem.

Southern leadership grew with the expansion of education in the 1950's and during the early 1960's, and parties were formed by students and junior administrators who had fled abroad. Between the 1962-1964 SANU organized a guerrilla army out of the remnants of the 1955 mutineers and new student recruits. By 1964 the Anya Nya (named after a type of Moru poison) was active in Equatoria Province. In October 21, 1964 the military regime was overthrown, and SANU abandoned secession and returned to Sudan to become a legal party.

An all parties Round Table Conference was convened in 1965 to discuss the southern problems but it failed to agree on a formula. The main northern parties insisted on unitary national government with no single southern regional government. The southern parties wanted a united southern region which elected its own leader and had some control over finances and security. Northern and southern parties remained divided on these issues. In 1968 a constituent assembly was elected to draft a permanent constitution. The Umma, DUP and Moslem brothers were also committed to an Islamic state. Southern delegates walked out when it was clear that the Moslem majority in the assembly would not accept southern objections.

By 1969 the Civil War had escalated to all three provinces. The exile movement fragmented and guerrilla armies proliferated along ethnic lines. Douglas H. Johnson noted that:

Some got funding from external church groups and foreign governments, especially Israel, Ethiopia and Uganda (following Idi Amin's Coup). It was largely through Israel's support that Joseph Lagu, a former army lieutenant, was able to pull together the disparate guerrilla bands, supplant the civilian exile leadership and form the Southern Sudan Liberation Movement (SSLM) in 1970.⁵

Nimeiry's coup on May 25, 1969 dissolved the constituent assembly and banned political parties. He proposed a secular, socialist state with regional autonomy for the south, claiming that the Islamic state favored by the main northern parties was detrimental to national unity. It was not until 1971, however, after a bloody confrontation with the Ansar Religious Sect (associated with the Umma Party) and a near successful Communist coup, that negotiations began between Nimeiry's government and the SSLM of Joseph Lagu.⁶

THE ADDIS ABABA AGREEMENT AND REGIONAL GOVERNMENT, 1972-1981

The Addis Ababa Agreement which ended the war in March 1972 led to the formation of a southern regional government and was the first serious attempt to give constitutional guarantees for institutionalized autonomy for the south. In the absence of northern insistence on an Islamic state, the negotiators were able to come to an agreement.

The agreement provided for a single southern region with a regional assembly which elected a president for its own High Executive Council. The HEC was responsible for internal administration and security, and the assembly had legislative powers and the right to raise some of its own revenues. The agreement also provided for the absorption of the guerrillas into the national army, police and prison service.

The full weight of the agreement came to rest on the working relationship between the president of the republic and the HEC. It was a relationship vulnerable to stresses at either end of Khartoum--Juba axis. In Khartoum Nimeiry had to contend with those who thought he had conceded too much to the south, while in Juba Abel Alier, the leader of the governments's negotiating team at Addis Ababa and the first president of the HEC, was accused of being too subservient to the north. Attempts by both presidents to meet the complaints of their critics weakened this one governmental link between the north and the south, imperiling the effectiveness of the entire agreement.⁷

During the period 1977-1980 the southern region was preoccupied with its own internal divisions. The first government of Abel Alier made significant progress in establishing the basic structures of government and administration. Yet the pace of development did not meet expectation. Alier was blamed for not pressing the south's case forcefully enough in Khartoum. There emerged a feeling that those who had fought the struggle "outside" of the Sudan were receiving fewer benefits of peace than those who had remained "inside." It was this dissatisfaction which Joseph Lagu, now retired from the army, focussed in the regional elections of 1978.

A certain mythology has grown up around "outsiders" and "insiders" in the south, which has contributed to the current "Equatorian-Nilotic" confrontation. "Outsiders" claim to have contributed most in the first war, having either fought in the bush or gone into exile. "Insiders," who worked through the internal parties are sometimes alleged to have collaborated with the Khartoum government. Abel Alier, a Dinka, represented the "insiders," while Lagu, an Equatorian, represented the outsiders.

It is true that a number of AnyaNya soldiers and administrators did not fare well in appointments and promotions in the army and civil administration. Part of this was due to the high rate of retirement and dismissal of

ex-Any-Nya officers in the army, over which the HEC had no control. The government also did not have enough jobs to give to the large numbers of ordinary ex-guerrillas. Abel Alier's supporters deserted him over this issue, and over the slow development in the south. There was a smooth constitutional transfer of power when the new regional assembly elected Joseph Lagu president in 1978.

Lagu was a popular orator but lacked administrative and political skills. He was unable to deal with the opposition in the assembly and antagonized many of his supporters. Nimeiry dissolved the regional assembly, declared new elections, and appointed an interim regional government.

Alier was elected for a second term by the new assembly in 1980. He appointed a large number of Dinka, including some of Lagu's former allies, to his new cabinet. Alier's government was marked by a more aggressive pursuit of regional autonomy and economic development when dealing with Khartoum. Nimeiry regarded this regional government as a threat and tried to neutralize it. In this he was helped by the disunity in the south. This second assault on the regional government was one of the reasons which led to the outbreak of the second civil war.

ENDNOTES

1. M. O. Beshir, The Southern Sudan, 1975, p. 49.
2. Ibid., p. 49.
3. Sudan Government: Southern Sudan Disturbances, Report of the Commission of Enquiry, Khartoum, 1955.
4. Borna Malwal, People and Power in Sudan, 1981, pp. 34-35.
5. Douglas H. Johnson, The Southern Sudan, The Minority Rights Group Report No. 78, 1988, p. 5.
6. Ibid., p. 5.
7. Ibid., p. 5.

CHAPTER V
ORIGINS OF THE SECOND CIVIL WAR

With the help of many people of good intent, Sudanese and non-Sudanese, agreement was reached in Addis Ababa between the central government of the Sudan on the one hand and the Southern Sudan Liberation Movement on the other.

Full details of the implementation of this agreement over a ten-year period and how and why Nimeiry came to abrogate it in 1983 are contained in a longer study by Bona Malwall¹ currently in preparation. But the reader may benefit here from a summary of what was actually contained in the 1972 Addis Ababa Agreement and what has of late been flouted. The following were the main items of the agreement:²

- o The cultural identity of the south was recognized and the southern legislative body was empowered to legislate on custom. The region's executive institutions were also obligated to encourage and develop southern customs and adjust them in the light of social changes in society.

- o Arrangements were made governing the equality of citizens and prohibiting discrimination on the bases of race, color, religion and other factors. There are two main cultural axes in the country--broadly speaking "African" and "Arab." Many religions and spiritual beliefs are professed and practiced in addition to the two well-known faiths, Islam and Christianity. In view of these diversities, it was agreed that a secular constitution would guarantee equal opportunity to all citizens in their cultural, economic and public life.

- o The agreement provided for a southern regional legislative and executive body--The High Executive Council--as well as for a regional civil service. The legislative body was to be elected on the basis of one man, one vote in a secret ballot. Power to nominate members to legislative body was

granted to no one, not even the president of the Republic. This arrangement was made to guard the south's evolving democratic practice. It was also intended to guarantee a measure of independence to the regional institutions.

- o In 1972 at Addis Ababa this vital southern position was accepted. The point is important, for it is expected to be very many years indeed before the president of the Sudan is likely to be a southern Sudanese.

- o After any civil war, the issue of national security is bound to present many problems. It was therefore agreed in Addis Ababa that the armed forces stationed in the south were to be composed of 12,000 officers and men, 50 percent southern Sudanese and the other 50 percent drawn from other parts of Sudan. Police and prison services were to be recruited locally in the south and similarly enlisted, in the main, from the Anya-Nya forces. It was also agreed that the president of the HEC was to be an adviser to the president of the Republic on the deployment of national forces in the south. This has been shelved.

- o Financial considerations were of course fundamental, the southern Sudan having been left by its former British rulers with hardly any schools, hospitals, roads, modern commerce or trained manpower. It was thus essential to agree on a crash economic program to pull the south out of its state of poverty and socioeconomic underdevelopment. This has been one of the broken promises.

- o Moreover, that agreement, it had been decided, could only be changed by a decision of a three-quarters majority of the members of the National Assembly, provided that such a resolution was subsequently supported by two-thirds majority of the people of Southern Sudan voting in a plebiscite. So when the president, by decree in June 1983, sought to divide the south, he did

so in disregard of the provisions of the agreement. The National Assembly had not and has not made a resolution to divide the south, nor has any plebiscite been conducted in the Southern Sudan.

Bona Malwal, one of Nimeiry's ministers for ten years, has noted that:

The passing years have revealed Nimeiry's ulterior motives for initially agreeing to a south central government settlement. He has progressively revealed his real intentions towards the south year after year, and many southerners have indeed begun to feel that Nimeiry even deliberately planned to appoint Abel Alier as head of the delegation to Addis Ababa in order to deceive the south. 'Southerners negotiated among themselves and should now take their agreement and eat it,' is often quoted because Nimeiry has made it crystal clear that he is absolutely resolved to abrogate the 1972 Agreement with the south.³

The Addis Ababa agreement included provision for integrating the Anya Nya fighters in the People's Armed Forces. It provided for the number of fighters to be incorporated and the period for completing such incorporation. It also provided for the type of government for the south and for its relationship with the central government in Khartoum. Those provisions were the causes for renewal of the fighting and the emergence of Anya Nya II and, in particular, the mutiny of Battalion 105 in Bor. This sparked the new rebellion.

There are those who are of the opinion that the agreement was concluded and signed hastily, and was not preceded by a dialogue of the type that is being conducted in 1989.⁴ Provisions of the agreement lacked precision and overlooked certain important issues such as the transfer of troops between various regions of the country and the unification of such troops. Others thought that the former president was not serious about implementing the agreement or that, at least, he did not respect its provisions in the light of his powers that consolidated the presidential system in the Sudan, in general, and the parliamentary system in the south in particular. Some northerners and

southerners regard the period of the rule of President Gaafar Nimery as characterized by a number of errors that could be specified as follows:⁵

- o The decision to integrate the Anya Nya I jungle fighters was not done in a satisfactory manner, and the agreement did not provide for the transfer of troops, after the five-year period, to various regions of the north. Fighters who were appointed to other jobs were dismissed a few months later. This created an army of unemployed former guerrilla fighters. This had a negative impact, and such disgruntled persons were potential recruits for the rebel forces.

- o The agreement provided for effecting radical changes in the social and economic structures through expansion of the construction of development projects and the exploitation of natural resources. Planned projects such as the Mangala and Malut Sugar Factories, Aiwel Rice Project, Kapoita Cement Project, Tong Kenaf Project, Rehabilitation of the Azande Project and the Coffee and Tea Projects were neglected and have not been implemented up to the present day.

- o The decision to construct the Jongli Canal without consultation of the indigenous inhabitants raised fear among those citizens for it would lead to great change in the ecology and means of livelihood in the area.

- o The decision to change plans and construct the Oil Refinery in Kostl instead of Bantu, where the oil was discovered, raised many suspicions. These were further strengthened by differences over the areas of Hofrat Al Nihas and Kafi Kinji. A crisis developed over the borders of the southern region.

- o The promulgation of the Sudan Penal Code 1983 led to a feeling of disregard for the rights of Christians. That code included provisions from Islamic Hudud which were applied on a number of non-Moslems. The feeling was

that those provisions were imposed on non-Moslems in disregard of their beliefs and customs.

There is no consensus of opinion on the interpretation of those incidents. Reactions thereto have been tainted with suspicions, the factor of distrust added new dimensions. For instance, the redivision of the south has been considered by some people as the doing of Equatorians who complained of the ethnic superiority and domination by the Dinka tribes. They tried to find in redivision a way out. The transfer of the Oil Refinery, according to some people, should not have given rise to frictions if the discovered oil was considered as belonging to the whole of the Sudan just like other national schemes in the country. The September 1983 laws ought not, according to a different point of view, to have given rise to those violent campaigns had they not been exploited by some foreign and domestic elements. In any case those laws were never applied to the provinces of the south. Additionally, those enactments were passed after the mutiny of Battalion 105 in Bor. Some citizens have declared their total rejection of any laws other than those of Sharia which they accepted and did not impose on others.

Regardless of the differences of opinions associated with the interpretation of those incidents, there is no doubt that Southern Sudan, for different historical, geographical and political reasons, has remained underdeveloped, and characterized with great diversity in religious and ethnic groups.

THE TRANSITIONAL MILITARY COUNCIL 1985-1986 (TMC)

In response to mass demonstrations in Khartoum, Nimeiry was removed in April 1985 by the Minister of Defense, General Suwar Al-Dahab, who formed a Transitional Military Council and appointed a civilian cabinet composed of

politicians and trade union leaders. The problem of the south had already reached an unprecedented degree of complication. The SPLA/SPLM escalated the war in a sharp manner which plundered most of the country's resources. Political parties were allowed to organize freely, and in addition to the traditional parties, several new parties were formed in the nation's capital and in the south, east and west.⁶

The SPLA refused to recognize the TMC, claiming that it was a continuation of Nimeiry's military high command.⁷ The SPLA/SPLM also refused to take part in the proposed national elections until a new constitutional formula for the country had been agreed. It did, however, agree to a dialogue with the trade unions and political parties, who together formed the National Alliance which had forced Nimeiry's removal. General elections were conducted. Negative effects on the Constituent Assembly were apparent. Armed conflict was intensified and, for the first time, extended to areas such as the Nuba Mountains and southern Kordofan.

THE POLITICAL PARTIES 1986-1989

The mistakes of the National Government during this period were due to the multi-party political system and to the rivalries for widening support for the respective political parties so as to form majority governments regardless of the identity of the ministerial formula, or coalition, and the elements of agreement or discord in policies and objectives. Hence, majority governments necessarily included southern political parties whether those were governments of national consensus, national unity or a united national front. And the problem of the south remained a source for personal glory and personal gains. This caused the will of the nation to weaken and disintegrate even on fundamental national issues. Rounds of negotiations inspired by specific

partisan stands, and peace initiatives which were accepted or rejected on the basis of political intrigues and partisan jealousies, and the winning of allies, were evidence of the fragility of the national will.⁸ Samples of this indecisiveness were demonstrated in Koka Dam, Burger, Ambo and the 16th of November Peace Initiative. They reflected the absence of a uniform Sudanese will. Those governments have overlooked and played down the serious incidents of Babanousa and Al Diain in Western Sudan. This exposed the country to aggressive and unfavorable campaigns. Such campaigns were further intensified by unfounded reports about slavery in the country. This, in turn, was used to excite new racism. Those governments have also helped in raising militia forces that were deployed for exerting pressure and practicing robberies and pillage in contingent zones. Prior to that, those areas were places of peaceful existence between various tribes and groups.

THE FOREIGN INTERFERENCES AND PRESSURES

Developing countries have been facing heavy pressures since the late 1970's. Such pressures take various forms, but the most effective one is the imbalance in the world economy. This now constitutes a real crisis since dialogue has vanished between the affluent northern and deprived southern parts of the world. This imbalance, or defect, in the world economy has been followed by political pressures whether concealed under humanitarian and charitable labels or exposed in the form of ideologies. For that reason the world has become spheres of influence divided by agreement in a way that preserves the balance of power and allocates areas whether they be warm seas, strategic zones or natural resources. These international pressures have played major roles in the conflict in the Southern Sudan. We can observe features and effects of that in the following:

o Neighboring countries, with their different political systems and ideological orientations, have been the main bases for harboring hostile activity whether through the supply of arms, training or the provisions of camps and other material and moral support.

o Some of the neighboring countries exploit the rebellion in the Sudan in order to arrive at agreements that would help them to settle their own domestic conflicts, or they play host to the rebels and thereby obtain substantial assistance from a major power supporting the rebellion in the Sudan.

o Some big financial organizations and corporations look at areas of conflict as lucrative markets for the sale of arms which has developed into a profitable international trade.

o Some organizations and corporations are quite aware of the great mineral reserve wealths of the Southern Sudan together with the region's vast and unexploited agricultural lands. They look at this area from the point of view of their interest and potential investments. They plan that their support of the rebellion now would give them preferential or priority treatment in future investments in that region.

o Rivalries for ideological influence are still rampant regardless of detente, and ideologies aspire to gaining areas of influence for spreading their way of thinking and forms of government.

o There are some voluntary and charitable organizations which are, in fact, arms of foreign intelligence agencies and which, under a humanitarian mask, tend to frustrate all human endeavors.⁹

o There are Sudanese groups, parties or political entities that use foreign pressure to achieve their political objectives by "internationalizing" the conflict. Such tactics may appear as rejection of the September 1983

Sharia Laws, or advocacy of a particular ideology and form of government, or for creating a prominent status in the social and political domain. Those foreign sources would act as agents or sponsors for the Sudanese groups in question.¹⁰

Foreign interference was evident and effective. Without such interference and intervention the rebel movement would not have been able to possess rockets, anti-tank missiles, aeroplanes and modern arms, and would not have been able to have access to international forums, or to possess broadcasting stations and make great use thereof.

The root causes of the problem have intertwined and have penetrated deeply in the soil of distrust. Various factors have contributed to the development of those causes. Some of them relate to the ancient, middle, or modern history, or to the environment, as well as to the recent emergence of the Sudan as one state. This state has not yet grown into a nation with one fabric having the same customs or beliefs. In addition, for administrative and political mistakes, and the reprehensible objectives of previous professional politicians and their exploitation of the conflict for personal, partisan or tribal gains, the conflict escalated. There were also the colonial heritage of the past and recent foreign interventions in various forms. There was the lack of a scientific approach, or methodology, for handling the conflict through serious constructive dialogue which analyzes the various aspects of the conflict including issues of identity, sharing of power and realization of balanced development in all parts of the country.

ENDNOTES

1. Bona Malwal, The Sudan-A Second Challenge to Nationhood, 1985, p. 17.
2. Ibid., pp. 17-20.

3. Ibid., p. 21.

4. The Steering Committee on National Dialogue on Peace Issues,
September 9 - October 1989, pp. 18-19.

5. Ibid., p. 19.

6. Douglas H. Johnson, The Southern Sudan, The Minority Rights Group
Report No. 78, p. 8.

7. Ibid., p. 8.

8. The Steering Committee on National Dialogue on Peace Issues, p. 23.

9. Ibid., p. 25.

10. Ibid., p. 25.

CHAPTER VI

THE IMPACT AND EFFECTS OF THE WAR

In considering the destructive impacts of war on man, infrastructure, surrounding environments and social setups, I was able to come out with the following statistics.¹ In human casualties we have lost 4,593 martyrs from the armed forces and 2,595 civilians. The rebels have lost 27,733. The number of the war victims who perished as a result of famine, illness and lack of food amounts to 259,000 bringing the total number of the war victims to 293,921. In the field of weapons, equipments, vehicles, planes and ammunitions, the losses on the part of both the armed forces and the rebel forces were terrifying. Development in agricultural and industrial areas was brought to a complete halt. This resulted in the loss of revenue expected from hundreds of thousands of hectares and from many important factories which ceased to function. The losses suffered as a result of the suspension of oil prospecting operations between 1986-1989 were estimated to be around three billion U.S. dollars. The work on the Jongli Canal was suspended in February 1983 after 51 percent of the actual digging was done. The costs of prospecting till that date were around 300 million U.S. dollars. This constituted a great loss and deprived the country of an ideal exploitation of huge amounts of water.

The statistics also showed that the loss in the field of animal resources is approximately seven million heads, a very tragic loss in a place like the Southern Sudan where people are socially and economically closely attached to their animal wealth. On the question of government expenditure the studies conducted indicated that the costs of the war are 2.4 billion Sudanese pounds a year or \$11 million per day. Additional emergency expenditures had effected

around a 750 million pounds increase in Chapter One in the 1989-1990 budget of the southern regions.

All these have produced a negative impact on the national economy of the whole country and accelerated its systematic deterioration which resulted in repeated devaluations and a series of setbacks in the development process and the flow of services throughout the country. On the other hand, the political effects of the war had negative repercussions demonstrated in the disintegration of the internal front. At the external level, the political and diplomatic work has undergone confusion especially in the fields of good neighborliness, regional cooperation, Afro-Arab cooperation and aid solicitation for the development of the Sudan. As a result of the effects of war on education and public services, all nine post-secondary institutes in the south closed their doors. The University of Juba--the only one in the south--faced numerous difficulties which led to its transfer to Khartoum.

In the area of general education, it transpired that 977 elementary schools closed down and only 174 are open at the moment. One hundred forty-eight intermediate schools ceased to function and only 51 are still open. Thirty-five secondary schools were shut down and only 14 are open. Eight institutes for teacher training stopped working and only four are still open, whereas three vocational, industrial and commercial schools were shut down and only one is operative these days. All these schools and institutions were either physically destroyed or deserted by the tens of thousands of students who fled to the other regions of the Sudan.

In the area of health facilities, 26 hospitals were closed down and only six are providing very limited health care. Tens of clinics and medical units in southern Kordofan and Southern Sudan stopped. All the war-affected regions lost a good number of their qualified medical personnel and suffered from

severe shortages of medications. The losses in the social fields were quite enormous. Approximately one-half million Sudanese citizens fled their homes to seek refuge in neighboring countries. Three and one-half million either headed towards some of the major cities in the south itself and the northern regions or, as the majority did, arrived at the national capital Khartoum. This phenomenon of displaced persons has precipitated various negative aspects including family disintegration, social and moral deviations, psychological distresses, homelessness and idleness, especially among the youth of the displaced.

ENDNOTES

1. Final Report and Recommendation for the Steering Committee for National Dialogue on Peace Issues, 1989, pp. 9-10.

CHAPTER VII

CONCLUSIONS

The historical background of the conflict in the Southern Sudan was discussed within the framework of the following six premises:

- o Social and cultural structures of the Sudanese communities, their basic features and historical developments.
- o The all-Sudanese state, its recent birth and emergence and its limited ability to achieve national unity and socioeconomic development.
- o The colonial southern policy and its impact.
- o The national governments and regimes, their policies and their weaknesses.
- o Foreign intervention and pressure.
- o The weakness of national development and deterioration of public services.

On considering the cultural and social structures, their basic features and their historical development, we concluded that Sudan with its geographical situation and the lack of transportation and communication facilities between northern and southern parts, has become one of the most diversified countries in the African continent in terms of climate, ethnic groups and cultures. This diversification is less sharp in the northern regions due to the widespread prevalence of Islam and the Arabic language among the population in those regions. It would have, therefore, been natural for cultural intermixing and integration between the south and the north to have gained more ground had it not been for the colonialist policy which encouraged the establishment of two heterogeneous identities which constituted the root cause of the problem.

Development is an essential issue in the present conflict in Southern Sudan and in the deterioration of conditions in the various parts of the country. But development was not considered with the appropriate attention or within the correct philosophical framework which would render it focal in national thought.

Religion was, and will continue to be, the source of benevolence, tolerance, compassion and belief among individuals and groups. What discriminates and causes destruction and changes religion into tools of disruption and damage is intolerance and bigotry, whether on the side of Moslems, Christians or others.

As to Arabism which is used to refer to the majority of the people of the Sudan, together with many other African countries, it is not a racial tie that connects the individuals of a certain ethnic group. It is a linguistic, cultural and non-racial tie that engulfs many races and ethnic groups. These include black, white and brown people. If Arabism was other than that, then it would not include many of today's Arabs in both Asia and Africa as well as all the inhabitants of the Sudan. On the other hand, Africanism is a geographic, political and non-racial link that comports within it the inhabitants of the African Continent in their diverse races, colors and languages, including Negroes, Berbers and Arabs.

Concerning the destructive impacts of war on man, infrastructure, surrounding environments and social setups, we were able to come out with statistics for the great losses from both sides, beside the losses in the field of animal resources, education, health and social and moral deviations.

The issue of the slave trade, whatever historical justifications it had, and regardless of the participation of many quarters therein, whether

colonialism, the north or citizens of all south, has been and will continue to be forever the most atrocious practice ever known in history. The issue of ethnic superiority, however suppressed or concealed under comic or teasing remarks in the north, or resorted to for securing control of some tribes in the south by other tribes of the same region, is a symbol of underdevelopment that should be discouraged and condemned.

CHAPTER VIII

RECOMMENDATION

For a better perception and understanding of the recommendation I had to draw attention to the following:

- o The core of the conflict in Southern Sudan and the rest of the regions is the issue of development which itself never enjoyed stability since independence of the country because development as a strategic goal never received the necessary plans for its execution.

- o Religion has always been a source of welfare, tolerance, love and unity, and so whatever divides by converting such a role to one of sabotage and destruction is intolerance whether coming from Moslems, Christians or others.

- o The development of local cultures, languages and dialects should also facilitate the establishment of bridges of communication and understanding within national or local channels. The development of education on the basis of these characteristics should receive proper attention as major concerns of the country.

- o The issue of governing in the Sudan is an issue of participation in the decisionmaking and active involvement in the running of the government affairs in accordance with justice and equality.

- o Balanced information that perceives the characteristics of the Sudanese society and reflects them in a just and honest manner is a cornerstone for the realization of integration and putting an end to years of pain, creating thereby a harmonious and advanced nation.

- o The spread of corruption throughout vital institutions and at all levels of leadership has led to a state of frustration which in turn brought

about loss of hope for a better future. This provided a permanent source for recruitment to join the rebellion.

- o Dialogue is an authentic Sudanese value; its call and appeal could not remain unanswered, its conclusions are always founded in this richness of culture, experience and knowledge.

THE OPTIONS OR ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS

Sharing of Power

A complete change from Regional administration in Sudan to the Federal System with its federal organs.

Sharing of National Revenue

The economic and social disparity is one of the important causes of discontent and injustice among the people of the less-developed regions. It is essential for the new Federal Government to help to promote development all over the Sudan in accordance with the following guidelines:

- o The state shall lay down a comprehensive economic and social plan to develop the country and to bridge the gaps between the various regions.
- o Big development projects, whether agricultural or industrial, should be established and managed on a national basis.
- o Big mining projects, like oil and others, shall be considered as national wealth for the benefit of the whole country.
- o To establish branches for development corporations and public sector specialized banks in the less-developed regions.
- o The state shall endeavor to strengthen the infrastructure on which the economy of the country depends, with emphasis on the building of interstate highways and improvement of the means of transport and communication.

- o To train and qualify citizens from the less-developed regions to manage economic and social development projects by allotting them a fair share of chances in the Federal Educational and Training Institutions.

- o To strengthen the national and regional economic planning organs, and grant them the powers and facilities to cope with their work.

The Cultural Diversity

Cultural, language, historical and environmental diversity is a factor of strength in the Sudan. The plans of education, information and culture should approach this diversity with tolerance and efficiency--in order to assimilate and express it in a comprehensive Sudanese cultural context.

Culture

The Federal State has a very important role in cultural revival and should seriously give consideration to cultural diversity and direct its cultural agencies:

- o To provide finance and efficiency to cultural projects which are initiated by the individuals and societies, especially those proposed by various Sudanese groups to develop their dialects or to collect or display their heritage.

- o To build national cultural institutions to display and reflect our rich diversity.

Identity

The Sudanese people are one nation, and like other nations they are a blend of diverse ethnic groups and cultures. After confirming these diverse realities of the Sudan, it is essential to emphasize the connection of the Sudanese citizens with the Sudanese nationality--which represents the sum

total of its various environments, cultures and ethnic groups in a united framework.

Arabism and Africanism are two important factors in the formation of the Sudan. They embody many geographical, political and cultural significances which overlap and influence each other greatly.

The State and Religion

Belief in religion forms a basic factor in the Sudanese fabric and culture. Islam is the religion of the majority of the population, and Christianity and African creeds are professed by a considerable number among them. The state and society are obliged to respect religions, tend for their rights and be guided by their tolerant teachings in close observance at the following principles:

- o Freedom of belief and worship shall be guaranteed to all Sudanese.
- o Freedom of Daawa and preaching shall be guaranteed for all Sudanese, provided no provocation shall be made to others.
- o Sharia and custom shall be the two main sources of legislation in the Sudan.
- o Sudanese are equal in rights and duties before the law. No distinction or discrimination shall be made between citizens because of their religion, ethnic origin or sex.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Beshir, M. O. The Southern Sudan - Background to Conflict.
2. Beshir, M. O. The Southern Sudan - From Conflict to Peace. Khartoum Bookshop, 1975.
3. Arkell, A. J. A History of the Sudan. London, 1961.
4. Gray, Richard. A History of the Southern Sudan. 1961.
5. Wai, Dunstan M. The Southern Sudan - The Problem of National Integration. Frank Cass, London, 1973.
6. Malwal, Bona. People and Power in Sudan. 1981.
7. Malwal, Bona. The Sudan - A Second Challenge to Nationhood. 1985.
8. Woodward, Peter. 1944. Sudan Threats to Stability. London: Institute for the Study of Conflict, 1985.
9. Deng, Francis Mading. African of Two Worlds: The Dinka in Afro-Arab Sudan. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1978.
10. Eprile, Cecil. War and Peace in Sudan, 1955-1972. Newton Abbot, David and Charles, 1974.
11. Johnson, Douglas H. The Southern Sudan. The Minority Rights Group Report, No. 78, 1988.
12. Lagu, J. Decentralization. 1972.
13. Sudan Government: Southern Sudan Disturbances. Report of the Commission of Enquiry, Khartoum, 1955.
14. The Steering Committee on National Dialogue on Peace Issues, September 9 - October 21, 1989.
15. Final Report and Recommendation for the Steering Committee for National Dialogue on Peace Issues, 1989.
16. Christian Science Monitor Index
 - a. 1988 ed., p. 666. Prospects that proposed adoption of Islamic Law will prolong civil war in Sudan. Christian Science Monitor, 2 Aug 88, p. 1.
 - b. 1988 ed., p. 666. Peace talks may occur between Sudanese Prime Minister Sadiq al-Mahdi and Southern Rebel COL John Garang. Christian Science Monitor, 19 Oct 88, p. 1.
 - c. 1988 ed., p. 666. Rebel Leader COL John Garang and Mohamed Osman, leader of Democratic Union Party, were expected to sign an agreement to

approve a cease-fire in Sudan's civil war. Christian Science Monitor, 16 Nov 88, p. 2.

17. CQ Almanac

a. CQ Almanac, 100th Congress, 1st Sess., 1987. Washington Congressional Quarterly, Inc., Vol XLIII. "Sudan - Human Rights," p. 161.

b. CQ Almanac, 100th Congress, 1st Sess., 1987. Washington Congressional Quarterly, Inc., Vol. XLIII. "U.S. Aid," p. 480.

c. CQ Almanac, 100th Congress, 2nd Sess., 1988. Washington Congressional Quarterly, Inc., Vol. XLIV. "Sudan - U.S. Aid," pp. 186-695.

18. CQ Congress and the Nation

a. Congress and the Nation. Washington Congressional Quarterly, Inc., 1985, Vol. 6. "Sudan-Famine Aid," p. 178.

b. Congress and the Nation. Washington Congressional Quarterly, Inc., 1985, Vol. 6. "Military Aid 50 Millions for Sudan in 1981," pp. 183, 160.

c. Congress and the Nation. Washington Congressional Quarterly, Inc., 1985, Vol. 6. "Foreign Aid Assessment," p. 160.

19. Facts on File

a. Facts on File. New York by Facts on File, 1988. "Crime and Civil Disorders." Islamic Code Okd by CAPT 9-10 - 9-11.

b. Facts on File. New York by Facts on File, 1988. "South Starvath Policy." Chrgd 4-14 - 7-23, U.S. House Com probes 7-14, 666 G 3, 667 G 1 - C 2.

c. Facts on File. New York by Facts on File, 1988. "Civil War Rages." 1-12 - 3-24 "genocide" Chrgd 4-14 - 7-23, 666 F 3 - 667 D 2.

20. New York Times Index

a. New York Quarterly Index, Jul-Sep 1983, p. 1168. "Negotiations to Persuade Sudanese Guerrillas to Free 11 Western Hostages at Boma." New York Times, 3 July 1983, p. 1.

b. New York Quarterly Index, Jul-Sep 1983, p. 1168. "Sudanese Troops Free Foreign Relief Workers." New York Times, 6 Jul 1983, p. 1.

c. New York Quarterly Index, Jul-Sep 1983, p. 1168. "Thousands of Gallons of Liquor Poured into Nile to put Islamic Law into Effect in Sudan." New York Times, 25 Sep 1983, p. 1.

21. Public Affairs Information Service Bulletin

a. Stanfield, Rochellel. "Saving the Sudan," Public Affairs Information Service Bulletin, Vol. 75, No. 6. March 1989 - 20 July, D10, p. 288.

22. Reader's Guide to Periodical Literature

a. Guttenplan, D. D. "Did Kaddafi Strike Again?" Newsweek, 103. 2 April 1984, Vol. 44, p. 1731.

b. Lesch, A. M. "Foreign Relations - A View From Khartoum." Foreign Affairs, 65:807, Spr 1987, Vol. 47.

c. Lesch, A. M. "A View From Khartoum." Foreign Affairs, 65:807, Spr 1987, Vol. 47, New York, 1988.

23. Social Science Index

a. "Southern Split." Social Science Index, Vol. 287, 28 May - Je 3, 1983, p. 50.

b. "Islam and World Politics." Mid E J 37, 14-29 Wint 1983.

c. A. Heraclides J. "James or Sisyphus? The Southern Problem of the Sudan." Mod Afr Stud, Vol. 25:213-31, Je 1987.

24. Wall Street Journal Index

a. "Social Unit Suspends Drilling in Sudan, Cities Attack by Guerrilla." Wall Street Journal, 15 Feb 1984, p. 3.

b. "Sudan's President Declared a Unilateral Cease-fire." Wall Street Journal, 4 Mar 1985, p. 1

c. "Sudan's Rebels Claimed they Overran an Army Garrison and Seized the Town of Bor." Wall Street Journal, 31 May 1985, p. 1.

25. Washington Post Index

a. "Libya Expands its Role in Sudan War by Sending Planes to Help Fight the Rebels." Washington Post, 28 May 1986, p. 17A.

b. "Analysis Find Rebels Refusal to Negotiation." Washington Post. 27 Apr 1985, p. 11D.

c. "Opposition Mounts Against President Jafar Nimeiry's Move to Turn Sudan into Islamic State." Washington Post, 3 Aug 1984, p. 18A.