

2

THIS FILE COPY

Office of Naval Research  
Contract N00014-88-K-0472  
R T Code 413p008

**AD-A219 907**

Technical Report No. 2

An Ab Initio Study of the Geometry and Rotational Barrier  
of 4-Phenylimidazole

by

Peter V. Maye and Carol A. Venanzi

To Be Published in

Structural Chemistry

New Jersey Institute of Technology  
Chemistry Division  
Newark, NJ 07102

March 16, 1990

DTIC  
ELECTE  
MAR 29 1990  
S E D

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

## REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No 0704-0188

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS	
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT	
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	
5a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION New Jersey Institute of Technology	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Chemistry Division 323 King Boulevard Newark, New Jersey 07102		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) ONR	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS	
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.
		TASK NO.	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) An Ab Initio Study of the Geometry and Rotational Barrier of 4-Phenylimidazole			
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Peter V. Mave and Carol A. Venanzi			
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Manuscript	13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____	14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) March 16, 1990	15. PAGE COUNT 17
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Accepted for Publication in Structural Chemistry			
17. COSATI CODES		18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	
07	03		
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) The molecular design of several synthetic artificial enzymes, which mimic the action of the serine protease alpha-chymotrypsin, incorporates the phenylimidazole molecular fragment to play the role of the His-57 residue in the native enzyme active site. Study of these artificial enzymes by molecular modelling techniques requires accurate torsional force field parameters for the phenylimidazole inter-ring bond. This, in turn, requires accurate characterization of the barrier to rotation around this bond. Previous semi-empirical calculations of this rotational barrier have neglected geometry optimization of the molecule at the points along the rotational pathway. The 4-phenylimidazole rotational barrier (5.16 kcal/mol) presented here was obtained by full ab initio geometry optimization at the 3-21G level at each of the points along the rotational pathway. (AW)			
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS		21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Harold E. Guard		22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (202) 696-4402	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL ONR

STRUCTURAL CHEMISTRY (in press)

An Ab Initio Study of the Geometry and Rotational Barrier  
of 4-Phenylimidazole

Peter V. Maye and Carol A. Venanzi\*

Department of Chemical Engineering,  
Chemistry, and Environmental Sciences  
New Jersey Institute of Technology  
Newark, NJ 07102

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

\*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.



## Abstract

The molecular design of several synthetic artificial enzymes, which mimic the action of the serine protease alpha-chymotrypsin, incorporates the phenylimidazole molecular fragment to play the role of the His-57 residue in the native enzyme active site. Study of these artificial enzymes by molecular modelling techniques requires accurate torsional force field parameters for the phenylimidazole inter-ring bond. This, in turn, requires accurate characterization of the barrier to rotation around this bond. Previous semi-empirical calculations of this rotational barrier have neglected geometry optimization of the molecule at the points along the rotational pathway. The 4-phenylimidazole rotational barrier (5.16 kcal/mol) presented here was obtained by full ab initio geometry optimization at the 3-21G level at each of the points along the rotational pathway.

**Keywords:**

Molecular orbital theory, Phenylimidazole, Rotational barrier,  
Artificial enzymes.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The phenylimidazole molecular fragment plays a primary role in the functional architecture of biologically active molecules such as novel histamine H<sub>2</sub>-receptor antagonists<sup>1</sup>, cardiotropic agents<sup>2</sup>, and several types of artificial enzymes<sup>3-6</sup>. A current interest in this laboratory is the study of the structural basis of the activity of artificial enzyme models via molecular mechanics and molecular dynamics modeling techniques<sup>7-11</sup>. In order to obtain meaningful results from such calculations, high quality force fields are needed in order to compute realistic molecular conformations and accurate energies. The basic motivation for the work presented here is the calculation of the rotational energy barrier for 4-phenylimidazole. This information will be used to derive an accurate rotational force constant for use in subsequent molecular modelling studies of enzyme models incorporating this molecular fragment.

A previous theoretical study<sup>12</sup> of the relationship between inter-ring twist and the conformational energies of the isomers of phenylimidazole used extended Hückel, CNDO/2, and PCILO methods to calculate conformational energies for rigid rotations of 0°, 30°, 60°, 90°, 120°, 150° and 180° of the inter-ring torsional angle.

The phenylimidazole geometry used for the rigid rotations in that calculation was constructed from an X-ray structure of imidazole combined with a benzene fragment of standard geometry. The geometry was not optimized at each new torsional angle.

A more recent study<sup>13</sup> used the MNDO method to optimize the geometry of 4-phenylimidazole in the planar conformation. This geometry was then used to calculate the barrier for rigid rotations of 0°, 30°, 60°, 90°, 120°, 150° and 180° inter-ring twist using the CNDO/2 method.

Since these earlier studies gave different results for the barrier height, it seemed important to determine the barrier using a high-quality ab initio basis set. The work presented here gives the fully-optimized geometry of 4-phenylimidazole in seven conformations (with 0°, 30°, 60°, 90°, 120°, 150° and 180° of inter-ring twist) in the 3-21G basis set. Similar calculations are currently proceeding for the other (1-, 2- and 5-) isomers of phenylimidazole and will be reported in a future publication.

## II. METHODS

The initial coordinates for the structural optimization of 4-phenylimidazole were based on standard bond length and bond angle geometry. The CC bond lengths were taken as 1.390 Å, the CN bond

Å = ANGSTROM  
UNIT

lengths as 1.360 Å, the CH bond lengths as 1.100 Å, the NH bond length as 1.000 Å and the CC inter-ring bond length as 1.480 Å. The initial bond angles were assigned by assuming an equiangular hexagonal geometry for the phenyl ring and an equiangular pentagon for the imidazole ring. Both rings were initially assumed to be perfectly planar. The initial coordinates were subjected to molecular mechanics refinement using the AMBER program<sup>14</sup>. These coordinates were then subjected to a Berny gradient optimization in the 3-21G basis set using the Gaussian 86<sup>15</sup> ab initio quantum chemistry program. The initial geometry optimization proceeded in two steps. First, with the inter-ring twist angle ( $C_{11}C_6C_4N_3$ , see Figure 1) constrained to  $0^\circ$ , the geometry of the  $C_4C_6$  bond and the hydrogen atoms ortho to these carbon atoms (on  $C_5$ ,  $C_7$  and  $C_{11}$ ) were optimized. Then, with the  $C_4C_6$  twist angle still constrained to  $0^\circ$ , a full optimization was carried out. Full optimization was repeated with the inter-ring twist angle constrained to  $30^\circ$ ,  $60^\circ$ ,  $90^\circ$ ,  $120^\circ$ ,  $150^\circ$  and  $180^\circ$ ; each optimization used the phenyl and imidazole ring geometries of the fully-optimized planar structure as the starting point.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The energies of the optimized structures relative to the optimized structure with the  $0^\circ$  twist angle, are found at the bottom

of Table I. The geometry of the optimized structure with perpendicular phenyl and imidazole rings is the highest energy structure on the rotational pathway, yielding a rotational barrier of 5.16 kcal/mol relative to the fully planar conformations at  $0^\circ$  and  $180^\circ$ . The magnitude of the barrier appears reasonable considering experimental barriers to rotation around partial double bonds in aromatic molecules such as styrene<sup>16</sup> (3.3 kcal/mol) and benzaldehyde<sup>17</sup> (4.6 kcal/mol). The present work agrees with previous calculations<sup>12,13</sup> in finding the planar conformation to be the most stable. However, the CNDO/2 calculations using the MNDO-optimized planar geometry<sup>13</sup> predicted a rotational barrier of only 1.4 kcal/mol and a very slight rise in the energy (less than 0.5 kcal/mol) between  $0^\circ$  and  $45^\circ$ . In the present calculation, the energy rises more sharply in this region and continues to rise even more steeply beyond  $45^\circ$  to reach the maximum of 5.16 kcal/mol at  $90^\circ$ .

The 3-21G barrier of 5.16 kcal/mol is roughly comparable to the PCILO value<sup>12</sup> of 6.65 kcal/mol. Some error, however, arises in the latter calculation due to neglect of geometry optimization at the points along the rotational pathway. For example, the PCILO calculations gave an asymmetry in the rotational barrier around the  $90^\circ$  data point (see Table I, bottom row); there is a difference of approximately 1.4 kcal/mol in the energies of the  $60^\circ$  and  $120^\circ$  conformations. However, these conformations are symmetry-related and should be of equal energy. In contrast to the PCILO results, the

results presented in Table I give an essentially symmetrical rotational barrier around the 90° twist conformation.

Our ab initio results give a rotational barrier for 4-phenylimidazole which is considerably higher than the values obtained with rigid rotations using standard geometry and the CNDO/2 or extended Hückel methods (0.02 or 0.16 kcal/mol, respectively)<sup>12</sup>, or for rigid rotations using MNDO-optimized planar geometry and the CNDO/2 method (1.4 kcal/mol)<sup>13</sup>. Therefore, our results suggest that the preference of 4-phenylimidazole for a planar conformation is considerably greater than indicated by the CNDO/2 results.

It should be noted that, in general, ab initio rotational barriers have not been shown to be more accurate than those calculated with semi-empirical techniques. However, the large rotational barrier calculated here seem more reasonable than the CNDO/2 results considering that rotation in 4-phenylimidazole involves breaking a partial double bond.

Comparison of the optimized geometries from our calculations at 0° (Table I) with the MNDO-optimized planar geometry reported by Donetti and coworkers<sup>13</sup> shows that the 3-21G values for the imidazole heavy atom-heavy atom bond lengths tend to be shorter than the corresponding MNDO bond lengths. The differences range from 0.010 Å for C<sub>4</sub>C<sub>6</sub> to 0.045 Å for C<sub>4</sub>C<sub>5</sub>. The N<sub>1</sub>H<sub>1</sub> bond lengths agree, while the C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> and the C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub> bond lengths are 0.02 Å larger than the MNDO calculation. The internal imidazole bond angles in the two

calculations are quite similar, whereas the external angles differ by 0.2 Å for  $H_1N_1C_2$  to 1.1 Å for  $H_5C_5C_4$ . The  $C_5C_4C_6$  angle is  $130^\circ$  in both calculations.

The two calculations differ by  $2^\circ$ - $3^\circ$  in the values for the  $H_{11}C_{11}C_6$ ,  $H_7C_7C_6$ , and  $C_7C_6C_4$  angles, but since the rest of the benzene ring was kept rigid in the Donetti calculation<sup>13</sup>, the 3-21G results for these geometries are likely more accurate.

Comparison of the optimized geometries of the  $0^\circ$  and  $90^\circ$  conformations obtained in our ab initio calculations shows that the largest difference in bond angle for these conformers is a  $2.4^\circ$  increase in the  $C_5C_4C_6$  bond angle on the imidazole side of the inter-ring linkage in the  $0^\circ$  conformer relative to the  $90^\circ$  conformer. There is a similar trend in the slightly smaller ( $1.5^\circ$ ) increase in the  $C_4C_6C_7$  bond angle of the  $0^\circ$  conformer relative to the  $90^\circ$  conformer. These changes could serve to slightly separate the ortho substituents in the  $0^\circ$  (or  $180^\circ$ ) conformer.

The largest bond length difference between the  $0^\circ$  and  $90^\circ$  conformers is found in the  $C_4C_6$  bond linking the two rings. This increases from 1.466 Å in the  $0^\circ$  conformer to 1.478 Å in the  $90^\circ$  conformer. The increase in bond length upon rotation is presumably due to loss of electron conjugation between the rings.

Table I also contains calculated values for the  $C_4C_6C_9$  angle and the deviations of the phenyl and imidazole rings from planarity. Examination of these quantities shows that the deviations of  $C_4C_6C_9$

from linearity and of the phenyl and benzene rings from planarity are small.

Bond lengths obtained for individual benzene and imidazole molecules calculated in the 3-21G(\*) and 6-31G\* basis sets are also available for comparison with the bond lengths of 4-phenylimidazole<sup>18</sup>. The largest observable differences in the bond lengths of phenylimidazole relative to the component ring molecules occur in the 0° conformer in the ring bonds flanking the C<sub>4</sub>C<sub>6</sub> inter-ring bond. The C<sub>6</sub>C<sub>7</sub> and C<sub>6</sub>C<sub>11</sub> bonds of the phenyl portion and the C<sub>4</sub>C<sub>5</sub> imidazole bond are each lengthened about 0.005 Å (in the planar conformation) relative to the values in benzene and imidazole. The same bonds in the 90° conformer deviate only by about 0.0025 Å for C<sub>6</sub>C<sub>7</sub> and C<sub>6</sub>C<sub>11</sub>, and by less than 0.001 Å for C<sub>4</sub>C<sub>5</sub> from the corresponding benzene and imidazole bond lengths.

Comparison of our calculated geometries may be made with experimental geometries for benzene and imidazole. The calculated phenyl ring bond lengths are on the order of 0.01 Å shorter than the CC bond length found for benzene (1.396 Å)<sup>20</sup>. The calculated imidazole ring bond lengths in 4-phenylimidazole at C<sub>11</sub>C<sub>6</sub>C<sub>4</sub>N<sub>3</sub> equal to 0° differ from the neutron diffraction imidazole bond lengths (1.347 Å for N<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 1.322 Å for C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>, 1.375 Å for N<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 1.368 Å for C<sub>4</sub>C<sub>5</sub> and 1.369 Å for C<sub>5</sub>N<sub>1</sub>)<sup>21</sup> by a maximum value of 0.024 Å for C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>. The experimental imidazole bond angles (111.92° for N<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>, 105.14° for C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 109.80° for N<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub>C<sub>5</sub>, 106.02° for C<sub>4</sub>C<sub>5</sub>N<sub>1</sub> and 107.11° for

$C_5N_1C_2$ )<sup>21</sup> and the calculated values at  $C_{11}C_6C_4N_3$  equal to  $0^\circ$  differ by a maximum of  $1.74^\circ$  for  $C_2N_3C_4$ .

Mean absolute errors for geometric parameters involving heavy atoms have been calculated for the 3-21G basis set<sup>19</sup>. These are on the order of several hundredths of an Angstrom for bond lengths (0.033 Å for single bonds from 126 comparisons, 0.019 Å for double bonds, from 60 comparisons) and on the order of a degree for bond angles (1.0 degree, from 70 comparisons). Thus our reported geometries cannot be considered accurate to the number of figures reported. Also, our calculations were carried out with a relatively small basis set and without electron correlation.

In summary, the 3-21G results presented here indicate that 4-phenylimidazole has a significant barrier to rotation (5.16 kcal/mole). The effect of this barrier on the orientation of the imidazole functionality with respect to the other catalytic components of an artificial enzyme binding site<sup>3,4</sup> and with respect to the substrate will be examined in future molecular mechanics and molecular dynamics calculations in this laboratory.

Finally, as mentioned in the Introduction, similar ab initio calculations are proceeding in this laboratory to determine the rotational barriers and geometries of the 1-, 2- and 5-phenylimidazole isomers. Information from these calculations will be used, via molecular modeling, to determine how the orientation of the phenylimidazole portion of the molecular architecture of the mimic is affected by different isomeric substitutions.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by grants to C.A.V. from the New Jersey Commission on Science and Technology and the Office of Naval Research. C.A.V. acknowledges a generous grant of computer time from New Jersey Institute of Technology.

## REFERENCES

- (1) Donetti, A.; Cereda, E.; Bellora, E.; Galazzi, A.; Bazzano, C.; Vanoni, P.; Del Soldato, P.; Micheletti, R.; Pagani, Giachetti, A. J. Med. Chem. 1984, 27, 380.
- (2) Edwards, P.W.; Hagedorn, A.A. III; Sabio, M. Molecular Pharm. 1988, 33, 1.
- (3) Cram, D.J.; Lam, P.Y.S; Ho, S.P. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 839.
- (4) Cram, D.J.; Katz, H.E. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 135.
- (5) D'Souza, V.T.; Hanabusa, K.; O'Leary, T.; Gadwood, R.C.; Bender, M.L. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1985, 129, 727.
- (6) Mallick, I.M.; D'Souza, V.T; Yamaguchi, M.; Lee, J.; Chalabi, P.; Gadwood, R.C.; J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 7252.
- (7) Venanzi, C.A.; Bunce, J.D. Int. J. Quantum Chem. 1986, Quantum Biology Symposium 12, 69.
- (8) Venanzi, C.A.; Bunce, J.D. Enzyme 1986, 36, 79.
- (9) Venanzi, C.A.; Bunce, J.D. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 1986, 471, 318.
- (10) Venanzi, C.A.; Namboodiri, K. Anal. Chim. Acta 1988, 210, 151.
- (11) Venanzi, C.A.: in "Molecular Structure and Energetics",

- Liebman J.F. and Greenberg, A., eds., VCH Publishers, New York, NY, 1988; Vol. 10, pp. 251-279.
- (12) Rachin, E.; Milun, M.; Trinajstic N. Izv. Khim. 1978, 11, 313.
- (13) Donetti, A.; Trummlitz, G.; Bietti, G.; Cereda, E.; Bazzano, C.; Wagner, H.-U. Arzneim.-Forsch. 1985, 35, 306.
- (14) "AMBER 3.0" by Singh, U.C.; Weiner, P.K.; Caldwell, J.W.; Kollman, P.A., University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, CA.
- (15) Gaussian 86, Release C, by Frisch, M.J.; Binkley, J.S.; Schlegel, H.B.; Raghavachari, K.; Martin, R.L.; Stewart, J.J.P.; Bobrowicz, F.W.; Defrees, D.F.; Seeger, R.; Whiteside, R.A.; Fox, D.J.; Fleuder, E.M.; Pople, J.A., Carnegie-Mellon Quantum Chemistry Publishing Unit, Pittsburgh, PA.
- (16) Hollas, J.H.; Ridley, T. Chem.Phys.Lett. 1980, 75, 94.
- (17) Dirug, J.R.; Bist, H.D.; Furic, K.; Qiu, J.; Little, T.S. J.Mol.Struc. 1985, 129, 45.
- (18) Radom, L.; Nobes, R.H.; Underwood, D.J.; Li, W-K. Pure Appl. Chem. 1986, 58, 75.
- (19) Hehre, J.H.; Radom, L.; Schleyer, P.v.R.; Pople, J.A. "Ab Initio Molecular Orbital Theory", Wiley, New York, 1986; pp.164-181.
- (20) Harmony, M.D.; Laurie, V.W.; Kuczkowski, R.L.; Scwendeman, R.H.; Ramsey, D.A.; Lovas, F.J.; Lafferty, .J.; Maki, A.G. J.Phys.Chem.Ref.Data 1979, 8, 619.

(21) McMullan, R.K.; Epstein, J.; Ruble, J.R.; Craven, B.M.  
Acta Crystallogr., Sect.B 1979, 35, 658.

TABLE I. Energy and Geometry of 4-Phenylimidazole<sup>a</sup> $C_{11}C_6C_4N_3$  Torsional Angle

Geometric Parameter	0°	30°	60°	90°	120°	150°	180°
r(N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub> )	1.366	1.366	1.366	1.366	1.366	1.366	1.366
r(C <sub>2</sub> N <sub>3</sub> )	1.298	1.299	1.300	1.300	1.300	1.299	1.298
r(N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> )	1.395	1.395	1.396	1.397	1.396	1.395	1.395
r(C <sub>4</sub> C <sub>5</sub> )	1.358	1.358	1.355	1.353	1.355	1.357	1.358
r(C <sub>5</sub> N <sub>1</sub> )	1.383	1.383	1.384	1.384	1.383	1.383	1.383
r(C <sub>4</sub> C <sub>6</sub> )	1.466	1.466	1.471	1.478	1.471	1.466	1.466
r(C <sub>6</sub> C <sub>7</sub> )	1.390	1.389	1.388	1.387	1.390	1.390	1.390
r(C <sub>7</sub> C <sub>8</sub> )	1.382	1.383	1.385	1.384	1.382	1.382	1.382
r(C <sub>8</sub> C <sub>9</sub> )	1.384	1.384	1.383	1.384	1.386	1.385	1.384
r(C <sub>9</sub> C <sub>10</sub> )	1.384	1.385	1.386	1.384	1.383	1.384	1.384
r(C <sub>10</sub> C <sub>11</sub> )	1.382	1.382	1.382	1.384	1.385	1.383	1.382
r(C <sub>11</sub> C <sub>6</sub> )	1.390	1.390	1.389	1.388	1.388	1.389	1.391
r(N <sub>1</sub> H <sub>1</sub> )	0.994	0.994	0.994	0.994	0.994	0.994	0.994
r(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> )	1.063	1.064	1.064	1.064	1.064	1.064	1.064
r(C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> )	1.063	1.063	1.063	1.063	1.063	1.063	1.063
r(C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>7</sub> )	1.072	1.072	1.072	1.072	1.071	1.070	1.070
r(C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>8</sub> )	1.072	1.072	1.072	1.072	1.072	1.072	1.072

TABLE I. (Continued)

r(C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>9</sub> )	1.072	1.072	1.072	1.072	1.072	1.072	1.072
r(C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>10</sub> )	1.072	1.072	1.072	1.072	1.072	1.072	1.072
r(C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>11</sub> )	1.070	1.070	1.071	1.072	1.072	1.072	1.072

∠ = ANGLE SYMBOL

<(N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub> N <sub>3</sub> )	110.96	111.05	111.13	111.18	111.13	111.02	110.96
<(C <sub>2</sub> N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> )	106.88	106.72	106.51	106.40	106.51	106.73	106.90
<(N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> C <sub>5</sub> )	108.84	108.97	109.13	109.22	109.13	108.98	108.83
<(C <sub>4</sub> C <sub>5</sub> N <sub>1</sub> )	106.21	106.19	106.19	106.24	106.20	106.17	106.21
<(C <sub>5</sub> N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub> )	107.10	107.05	107.01	106.95	107.01	107.08	107.10
<(C <sub>5</sub> C <sub>4</sub> C <sub>6</sub> )	130.02	129.32	128.30	127.65	128.04	129.31	130.05
<(C <sub>4</sub> C <sub>6</sub> C <sub>7</sub> )	121.94	121.52	121.02	120.44	119.79	119.40	119.18
<(C <sub>6</sub> C <sub>7</sub> C <sub>8</sub> )	120.55	120.48	120.47	120.45	120.40	120.37	120.44
<(C <sub>7</sub> C <sub>8</sub> C <sub>9</sub> )	120.24	120.18	120.06	120.09	120.19	120.34	120.39
<(C <sub>8</sub> C <sub>9</sub> C <sub>10</sub> )	119.51	119.59	119.73	119.78	119.72	119.58	119.49
<(C <sub>9</sub> C <sub>10</sub> C <sub>11</sub> )	120.37	120.32	120.20	120.05	120.10	120.18	120.23
<(C <sub>10</sub> C <sub>11</sub> C <sub>6</sub> )	120.44	120.37	120.38	120.49	120.46	120.49	120.57
<(C <sub>11</sub> C <sub>6</sub> C <sub>7</sub> )	118.89	119.03	119.12	119.14	119.13	119.04	118.88
<(H <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>5</sub> )	126.10	126.15	126.24	126.28	126.22	126.16	126.11
<(H <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub> )	122.91	122.89	122.85	122.83	122.83	122.88	122.92
<(H <sub>5</sub> C <sub>5</sub> C <sub>4</sub> )	131.63	131.29	130.93	130.84	130.93	131.29	131.61
<(H <sub>7</sub> C <sub>7</sub> C <sub>6</sub> )	120.14	119.78	119.37	119.30	119.04	118.71	118.49
<(H <sub>8</sub> C <sub>8</sub> C <sub>9</sub> )	120.06	120.07	120.08	120.07	119.99	120.01	120.01
<(H <sub>9</sub> C <sub>9</sub> C <sub>10</sub> )	120.32	120.22	120.13	120.11	120.17	120.17	120.18

TABLE I. (Continued)

$\langle(H_{10}C_{10}C_{11})$	119.61	119.68	119.79	119.85	119.81	119.75	119.71
$\langle(H_{11}C_{11}C_{10})$	121.08	120.92	120.54	120.22	120.14	119.72	119.32
$\langle(C_4C_6C_9)$	178.65	178.98	178.67	179.80	177.09	177.90	178.65
Phenyl Ring Planarity <sup>b</sup>	0.01	0.67	1.16	0.11	0.23	0.34	0.01
Imidazole Ring Planarity <sup>c</sup>	0.00	0.69	0.97	0.00	0.95	0.68	0.02
Relative Energy <sup>d</sup>	0.00	0.71	3.22	5.16	3.20	0.71	0.00

---



---



---


Relative Energy <sup>e,f</sup>	0.000	1.996	5.602	6.647	4.231	1.043	0.000
-----------------------------------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

---

- a. 3-21G basis set. Energy in kcal/mol, distances in Å, angles in degrees.
- b. Average deviation from planarity, in degrees, of the 6 dihedral angles of the phenyl ring involving heavy atoms.
- c. Average deviation from planarity, in degrees, of the 5 dihedral angles of the imidazole ring involving heavy atoms.
- d. Relative to the total energy at 0° and 180°, -283,523.13 kcal/mol.
- e. PCILO results, Ref. 12; relative to the total energy at 0°, 58,764.124 kcal/mol.
- f. The CNDO/2 and extended Hückel results are only presented in graphical form in Figures 2 and 3 of Ref 12 and Figure 2 of Ref. 13. See text for comparison of results.

Legend to Figure.

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the structure of 4-phenyl-  
imidazole.



TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GENERAL

	<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
Office of Naval Research Chemistry Division, Code 1113 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000	3	Dr. Ronald L. Atkins Chemistry Division (Code 385) Naval Weapons Center China Lake, CA 93555-6001	1
Commanding Officer Naval Weapons Support Center Attn: Dr. Bernard E. Douda Crane, IN 47522-5050	1	Chief of Naval Research Special Assistant for Marine Corps Matters Code OOMC 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000	1
Dr. Richard W. Drisko Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Code L52 Port Hueneme, California 93043	1	Dr. Bernadette Eichinger Naval Ship Systems Engineering Station Code 053 Philadelphia Naval Base Philadelphia, PA 19112	1
Defense Technical Information Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	2 <u>high quality</u>	Dr. Sachio Yamamoto Naval Ocean Systems Center Code 52 San Diego, CA 92152-5000	1
David Taylor Research Center Dr. Eugene C. Fischer Annapolis, MD 21402-5067	1	David Taylor Research Center Dr. Harold H. Singerman Annapolis, MD 21402-5067 ATTN: Code 283	1
Dr. James S. Murday Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375-5000	1		