



AD-A220 782

RT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS			
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; Distribution unlimited			
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) GL-TR-90-0090			
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) GL-TR-90-0090			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)			
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Geophysics Laboratory		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) LID		7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION		
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Hanscom AFB Massachusetts 01731-5000			7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)			
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)		9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)			10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS			
PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 61102F		PROJECT NO. 2310		TASK NO. G3		WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO. 20
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Erratum: Rotational Temperature Dependences of Gas Phase Ion-molecule Reaction [J. Chem. Phys. 89, 4848 (1988)]						
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) A.A. Viggiano*, Robert A. Morris#, John F. Paulson						
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Reprint		13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1990 April 12.		15. PAGE COUNT 1
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION *On contract to GL from Systems Integration Engineering, Inc. #Geophysics Laboratory scholar - Reprinted from J. Chem. Phys. 90(11), 1 June 1989						
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)			
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	Reactions of O <sup>-</sup> with N <sub>2</sub> O and CH <sub>4</sub> . Reprints. (EDC) +			
		Chemical				
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) The neutral inlet design used earlier led to erroneous rate constants. No rotational temperature dependence is now observed. The technique suggested for determining rotational temperature dependences remains valid. Keywords: Oxygen/molecule, Nitrous oxide						
<p><i>reprint is an erratum to ADA 202 024.</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>DTIC ELECTE</b> <b>S E D</b> APR 20 1990</p>						
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified			
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL J.F. Paulson			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 617-377-3124		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL LID	

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1 20	



## Erratum: Rotational temperature dependences of gas phase ion-molecule reactions [J. Chem. Phys. 89, 4848 (1988)]

A. A. Viggiano,<sup>a)</sup> Robert A. Morris,<sup>b)</sup> and John F. Paulson  
*Air Force Geophysics Laboratory, Ionospheric Interactions Branch, Hanscom AFB,  
 Massachusetts 01731-5000*




FIG. 1. Rate constants for the reaction of  $O^- + N_2O \rightarrow NO^- + NO$  vs center of mass kinetic energy at several temperatures.




FIG. 2. Rate constants for the reaction of  $O^- + CH_4 \rightarrow OH^- + CH_3$  vs center of mass kinetic energy at several temperatures.

We have found that the neutral inlet design used in our drift tube in these measurements caused the measured rate constants to be in error, especially at low temperatures. The old inlet is a single tube in which the gas is injected upstream. The new inlet is a ring with eight small holes pointing upstream. The technique reported for determining rotational temperature dependences remains valid. The data from Figs. 1 and 2 are replaced by Figs. 1 and 2 below. In contrast to the original data, the corrected data show no rotational temperature dependence within experimental uncertainty for

both reactions. Consequently much of the discussion is rendered invalid. We are uncertain why the finger inlet affected the  $CH_4$  data more than the  $N_2O$  data. Future papers will deal with the inlet effect and each of these reactions individually.

The authors are grateful to Dr. D. Smith and Dr. N. G. Adams for help in solving this problem.

<sup>a)</sup> On contract to AFGL from Systems Integration Engineering Inc.

<sup>b)</sup> Air Force Geophysics Scholar.