

DTIC FILE COPY

2

AD \_\_\_\_\_

**AD-A223 664**

**MODELING OF THE NON-AUDITORY  
RESPONSE TO BLAST OVERPRESSURE**

**Calculation of Parenchymal Pressure  
Due to Double Peak Loading**

**ANNUAL/FINAL REPORT**

**Michael J. Vander Vorst  
James H. Stuhrailler**

**JANUARY 1990**

Supported by

**U.S. ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND  
Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012**

Contract No. DAMD17-85-C-5238

**JAYCOR**  
11011 Torreyana Road  
San Diego, California 92121-1190

**DTIC**  
ELECTE  
JUL 02 1990  
S  
D

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

90 07 2 085

**MODELING OF THE NON-AUDITORY  
RESPONSE TO BLAST OVERPRESSURE**

---

**Calculation of Parenchymal Pressure  
Due to Double Peak Loading**

**JANUARY 1990**

**Supported by**

**U.S. ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH  
AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND  
Fort Detrick  
Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012**

**Contract No. DAMD17-85-C-5238**

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS N/A	
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY N/A		3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for Public Release; Distribution unlimited	
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE N/A			
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION JAYCOR Applied Sci. & Engr. Technol. Group	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Director Walter Reed Army Institute of Research	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 11011 Torreyana Rd. San Diego, CA 92121-1190		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) ATTN: SGRD-UWZ-C, Kenneth T. Dodd, Ph.D. Bldg. 40 Washington, DC 20307-5100	
8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION U. S. Army Medical Res. & Devel. Cmd.	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER DAMD17-85-C-5238	
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Fort Detrick Frederick, MD 21701-5012		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS	
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 62787A	PROJECT NO. 62787A878
		TASK NO. AB	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO. 004
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) (U) Modeling of the Non-Auditory Response to Blast Overpressure			
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Michael J. Vander Vorst and James H. Stuhmiller			
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Annual/Final	13b. TIME COVERED FROM 8/15/85 TO 7/31/89	14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1990 January	15. PAGE COUNT 26
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Calculation of Parenchymal Pressure Due to Double Peak Loading			
17. COSATI CODES		18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	
26	14	RA 3,	
23	04		
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) ↓ Peak parenchymal pressures, calculated with a one-dimensional model of the structural response of the thorax and lung, are compared with injury observed in sheep exposed to successive blasts a few milliseconds apart. The purpose of this work is to correlate injury level with blast conditions using computer simulations. The calculated peak parenchymal pressure adjacent to the pleural surface varies with blast conditions similarly to the observed increase in lung weight. This suggests that the pressure in the lung parenchyma can be correlated with lung injury. Results of the calculations using idealized loads support the conjecture that two identical blasts separated by a small time interval are more injurious than a single blast. The predicted parenchymal pressure for two blasts separated by 1.7 ms is larger than for a single blast, even though the peak incident pressures for the dual and the single blasts are identical. (DES) ←			
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS		21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Mary Frances Bestian		22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) [301] 663-7325	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL SGRD-RM-S

19. ABSTRACT (Continued from front)

# CALCULATION OF PARENCHYMAL PRESSURE DUE TO DOUBLE PEAK LOADING

Michael J. Vander Vorst  
James H. Stuhmiller

Applied Science and Engineering Technology  
JAYCOR

## ABSTRACT

Peak parenchymal pressures, calculated with a one-dimensional model of the structural response of the thorax and lung, are compared with injury observed in sheep exposed to successive blasts a few milliseconds apart. The purpose of this work is to correlate injury level with blast conditions using computer simulations. The calculated peak parenchymal pressure adjacent to the pleural surface varies with blast conditions similarly to the observed increase in lung weight. This suggests that the pressure in the lung parenchyma can be correlated with lung injury.

Results of the calculations using idealized loads support the conjecture that two identical blasts separated by a small time interval are more injurious than a single blast. The predicted parenchymal pressure for two blasts separated by 1.7 ms is larger than for a single blast, even though the peak incident pressures for the dual and the single blasts are identical.

Accession For	
NTIS GSA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Avail and/or	
Special	

A-1



# CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ABSTRACT	
EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS .....	1
MODEL DESCRIPTION .....	1
MODEL RESULTS .....	2
REFERENCES .....	13

# ILLUSTRATIONS

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
1. Comparison of increase in lung weight with intrathoracic pressure .....	6
2. Comparison of calculated and experimental intrathoracic pressure .....	7
3. Calculated results for two blasts at 1.7 ms interval .....	8
4. Calculated intrathoracic pressure using idealized loads .....	10
5. Load and intrathoracic pressure vs. time for single blast and blasts at 1.7 ms and 5.5 ms intervals .....	11

# TABLES

	<u>Page</u>
1. Measured Incident Parameters and Estimated Loads in First Peak .....	3
2. Measured Incident Parameters and Estimated Loads in Second Peak .....	3
3. Increase in Measured Right Lung Weight and Calculated Blast Side Pleural Pressure .....	4

## EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Lung injury from successive blasts separated by small time intervals has been measured by Richmond<sup>1</sup>. Two 8 lb TNT charges separated by a vertical steel plate were detonated at intervals from 0 to 14 ms. Sheep were placed 11 feet from the charges and instrumented with a pressure gauge in the esophagus. Free field pressures were measured. After each shot the weight of each lung and the animal's weight was recorded. In addition lung weights and total weight for each of a group of control animals were measured. The severity of lung hemorrhage was established visually and quantified by the lung weight as a percentage of body weight. By this measure, injury was considerably greater for two charges detonated simultaneously than for a single charge. When two charges were detonated successively, lung injury was much less than for a simultaneous detonation but still greater than for a single charge.

## MODEL DESCRIPTION

The computer program, THOR, used in these calculations is a one-dimensional, compressible, Lagrangian, finite-difference, computer program constructed to model the dynamics of the thorax.<sup>4</sup> The geometry modeled in these calculations is a line just below the seventh thoracic vertebrae of the animal which extends from the right rib surface through the esophagus to the left rib surface. The pressure loading on the ribs was estimated from knowledge of the free field pressure from Richmond's experiments.

The key parameters needed for calculations and their assumed values are:

ambient pressure	= 82.0 kPa
mass of rib-muscle-skin layer	= 1.65 g/cm <sup>2</sup>
lung composite density	= 0.2 g/cm <sup>3</sup>
Friedlander pressure loading	

$$P(t) = P_r(1-t/T_r) \exp(-b_r t/T_r)$$

where

$P_r$  is the maximum pressure load on the thorax,

$T_r$  is the positive duration of the load,

$I_r$  is the positive impulse of the load,

$b_r$  is related to the shape factor

$$S = I_r/(PT)$$

through the relationship

$$S = (1 - (1 - e^{-b})/b)/b.$$

Richmond reports the incident pressure, duration and impulse,  $P_s$ ,  $T_s$ ,  $I_s$ , for both peaks from the free field gauges. The reflected pressure is given from the incident by the expression<sup>2</sup>

$$P_r = (P_s + P_o) \frac{(2 + \alpha)s - 1}{\alpha + s} - P_o$$

where

$$s = (P_s + P_o)/P_o$$

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\mu} = \frac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma - 1},$$

where  $\gamma$ , the specific heat ratio, depends on the peak overpressure but for simplicity we use

$$\gamma = 1.4.$$

Impulse and duration of the reflected pulse, however, are more difficult to estimate. For these calculations we make the assumption that the duration, and shape factor, are the same for both the reflected and incident waves. Data from sheep taken in the summers of 1985 and 1986 indicate that the reflected duration and shape factor are usually smaller than the incident.<sup>3</sup>

In some cases, the experimental incident pressure for the second peak appears to be a narrow spike. In these cases, lower values were inferred directly from the pressure traces. Table 1 and 2 give all of the parameters used for each case.

Since only the blast side parenchymal pressure was of interest in this study, no loading was applied to the side of the animal opposite the blast

## MODEL RESULTS

Peak intrathoracic pressures are compared to lung injury, results in Table 3. Increase in right lung weight is defined as

$$\text{Increase Factor} = \frac{W_t - W_c}{W_c}$$

Table 1. Measured Incident Parameters and Estimated Loads in First Peak

Separation Time ms	Measured Incident Parameters			Friedlander Exponent b	Estimated Load Pr kPa
	Pressure Ps kPa	Impulse Is kPa-ms	Duration Ts ms		
Single	215	NA	1.2	0.5	780
0.0	415	NA	1.5	0.5	1875
1.7	260	172	1.5	0.5	1000
3.6	260	160	1.6	0.5	1000
5.5	260	151	1.4	0.55	1000
7.5	260	169	1.5	0.55	1000
9.7	260	175	1.7	0.7	1000
11.6	260	165	1.5	0.6	1000
13.6	260	165	1.5	0.3	1000

Table 2. Measured Incident Parameters and Estimated Loads in Second Peak

Separation Time ms	Measured Incident Parameters			Friedlander Exponent b	Estimated Load Tr kPa
	Pressure Ps kPa		Impulse Exponent Is kPa-ms		
	Reported	Adjusted			
1.7	250	250	139	1.3	895
3.6	345	260	165	1.4	1000
5.5	440	260	154	1.2	1000
7.5	418	325	150	1.2	1360
9.7	418	295	185	1.2	1200
11.6	377	335	154	1.0	1400
13.6	311	295	139	1.3	1200

Table 3. Increase in Measured Right Lung Weight and Calculated Blast Side Pleural Pressure

Separation Time ms	Blast Side Pleural Pressure kPa	Percent Right Lung %	Measured
			Increase in R. Lung Weight Factor
Single	133	0.55	0.38
0.0	544	1.35	2.38
1.7	305	0.69	0.72
3.6	271	0.63	0.58
5.5	212	0.72	0.80
7.5	258	0.59	0.47
9.7	300	0.58	0.45
11.6	220	0.73	0.82
13.6	248	0.64	0.60

where

$W_1$  is the right lung weight after blast exposure as a percentage of body weight, and

$W_c$  is the average right lung weight percentage for the control group.


Richmond reported a right lung weight percentage,  $W_c$ , of 0.4% for the control animals in this test. Figure 1 presents plots of increase in right lung weight and peak right parenchymal pressure. The two curves behave similarly. Calculated peak esophageal pressures are compared with the measured values in Figure 2. Figure 3 presents the transient solution from the THOR calculation for the 1.7 ms case. Plots of the load, right rib dynamics, and four intrathoracic locations are given.

The loadings for these calculations was inferred from the measured incident pressure traces. Unfortunately, as seen in Table 1, there is a large shot to shot variation in the incident pressures, impulses and positive durations. In particular, the first peak of the separated double blasts is significantly larger than the peak of the single blast. Hence, for these experiments, the injury from the double blasts is always larger than from the single blast. Based on these results, it is difficult to draw conclusions about the injury induced by complex waves compared to simple ones.

However, calculations using idealized loading conditions suggest that complex waves could be more injurious than simple ones. The pressure waveform describing the blast loading was assumed to be identical for the single blast and each of the double blasts. Figure 4 shows the peak parenchymal pressure for each time interval when the idealized loadings are used. These calculations predict, as before, that the blast side parenchymal pressure is larger for the double peak waves than for the single wave for short separation times. For large separation times, however, the peak parenchymal pressure due to the double blast approaches that for the single blast.

Inspection of the calculated right parenchymal pressure shown in Figure 5 leads to a mechanical explanation of the cumulative affect of the two waves. Even though the load signal shows two distinct waves, the intrathoracic pressures exhibit an additive effect. The blast loading on the skin displaces the ribs which in turn presses against the lung to set up a pressure wave in the parenchyma. The duration of the parenchymal wave is larger than the duration of the load due to the inertia of the ribs. Hence, if the time between two waves in the load is small enough, the second wave strikes the rib while the parenchyma near the pleura is still under compression. This further displaces the rib and causes the pressure to increase to a new peak which is higher than the first. When the time between waves is several times greater than the duration of the load, the parenchymal waves are distinct and of equal amplitude, and the peak parenchymal pressures for the double and single waves are nearly the same.

### Increase in Weight of Right Lung in Double Peak Study



### Calculated Right Pleural Pressure in Double Peak Study




Figure 1. Comparison of increase in lung weight with intrathoracic pressure.

# Calculated and Experimental Peak Esophageal Pressures




Figure 2. Comparison of calculated and experimental intrathoracic pressure.







Figure 3a. Calculated results for two blasts at 1.7 ms interval.







Figure 3b. Calculated results for two blasts at 1.7 ms interval.

# Calculated Right Pleural Pressure Using Idealized Loads




Figure 4. Calculated intrathoracic pressure using idealized loads.









Figure 5. Load and intrathoracic pressure vs. time for single blast and blasts at 1.7 ms and 5.5 ms intervals.



## REFERENCES

1. D. R. Richmond, Double Peak Study Results Report, Inhalation Toxicology Research Institute, Lovelace Biomedical and Environmental Research Institute, Albuquerque, NM, 87185, June 1982.
2. C. N. Kingery and G. Bulmash, Airblast Parameters from TNT Spherical Air Burst and Hemispherical Surface Burst, ARBRL-TR-02555, Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, April 1984.
3. K. Dodd, Private communications, WRAIR, October 1985 and August 1986.
4. M. J. Vander Vorst and J. H. Stuhmiller, "Calculation of the Internal Mechanical Response of Sheep to Blast Loading," JAYCOR Technical Report, August 1989.

## **DISTRIBUTION LIST**

4 copies            Director  
Walter Reed Army Institute of Research  
ATTN: SGRD-UWZ-C  
Washington, DC 20307-5100

1 copy              Commander  
US Army Medical Research and Development Command  
ATTN: SGRD-RMI-S  
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21701-5012

2 copies            Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC)  
ATTN: DTIC-DDAC  
Cameron Station  
Alexandria, VA 22304-6145

1 copy              Dean  
School of Medicine  
Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences  
4301 Jones Bridge Road  
Bethesda, MD 20814-4799

1 copy              Commandant  
Academy of Health Sciences, US Army  
ATTN: AHS-CDM  
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6100

**END  
FILMED**

DATE: 7-90

**DTIC**