



AD-A224 265

Two-Dimensional Floodplain Modeling

Technical Paper No. 128
June 1990

DTIC
ELECTE
JUL 10 1980
S B D

Papers in this series have resulted from technical activities of the Hydrologic Engineering Center. Versions of some of these have been published in technical journals or in conference proceedings. The purpose of this series is to make the information available for use in the Center's training program and for distribution within the Corps of Engineers.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.

TWO-DIMENSIONAL FLOODPLAIN MODELING

By D. Michael Gee,¹ Member, ASCE, Malcolm G. Anderson²,
and Laura Baird³

Abstract

A two-dimensional horizontal finite element numerical model (RMA-2) was applied to a 15 mile (24 km) river channel-floodplain reach in West Germany. Previous applications of such models have been restricted to much smaller scales. The results indicate that finite element schemes may successfully estimate river stage in large scale floodplain applications. Computed stage hydrographs compared well with observed data using loss coefficients within expected ranges.

Applications of Finite Element Modeling to River Studies

Two-dimensional flow models have been applied to certain classes of river channel problems. Applications have included detailed analyses of flow patterns near structures such as bridges (FHWA, 1989), dams (Gee & Wilcox, 1985), and floodplains (Samuels, 1985). In all these problems the scale of interest has been small, e.g. reaches of river a few river widths long. Many estuary studies have been done that were of large scale; some of these utilized a "hybrid" (numerical plus physical) modeling technique (McAnally et al., 1984). In a review of the application of finite element methods to river channels, Samuels (1985) reported that the river channel was resolved separately from the floodplain in only two studies.

Missing in previous work is attention to large scale floodplain modeling. The work reported in this paper focuses on the feasibility and accuracy of applying a two-dimensional flow model to a large floodplain. Traditional floodplain studies have used semi-empirical flow routing with steady, one-dimensional computation of water surface elevations to define inundated areas.

Model Selection

The numerical model known as RMA-2 (King and Norton, 1978) was selected for use in this study. This model solves the depth integrated Reynolds equations for two-dimensional free-surface flow in the horizontal plane using the finite element method for both steady and unsteady flows. The finite element formulation of RMA-2 allows boundary roughness and geometric resolution to vary spatially to accurately reflect topography. It also provides a

¹ Research Hydraulic Engineer, The Hydrologic Engineering Center, 609 2nd St., Davis, CA 95616.

² Professor, Department of Geography, University of Bristol, Bristol, England.

³ Research Assistant, Department of Geography, University of Bristol, Bristol, England.

wide variety of boundary conditions. The two-dimensional approach relieves the engineer from having to construct cross sections that are perpendicular to the flow for all flows, as is required in a one-dimensional analysis.

The ability of RMA-2 to allow dry areas within the solution domain during the simulation of an unsteady flow event led us to select it for testing on a floodplain problem where flow is initially within the channel, spreads into the overbank areas as the flood arrives, and returns to the channel as the flood recedes. The version of RMA-2 (ver. 4, Oct. 1988) used in this study contains a new approach to the wetting/drying problem. Previously, an element instantaneously became dry once the depth at any node in that element became zero or negative (similarly with wetting). The new approach is based upon the concept of "marsh" elements that gradually dry or wet. This is accomplished with a pseudo-porosity that operates on the flow carrying capacity of an element as the depth changes (King and Roig, 1988). The application described herein is the first application of the marsh element formulation to a floodplain.

Study Reach

The study reach selected for the RMA-2 application was that from Bad Hersfeld (upstream) to Rotenburg (downstream) of the River Fulda in West Germany. The reach is about 15 miles (24 km) long with a slope of 0.0008. The channel is about 15 ft. (4.6 m) deep and 130 ft. (40 m) wide. The floodplain is about 0.6 miles (1 km) wide, has a very shallow slope orthogonal to the river (≈ 0.0001), and is bounded by steep forested hills. The floodplain land use is mostly grazed pasture with developed areas and patches of woods and brush. Manning's n was estimated at 0.045 for the floodplain and 0.035 for the channel; woods and brush were estimated to have an n value of 0.07. Although RMA-2 allows detailed spatial variation of Manning's n , this study used only two; one for the channel and one for the overbank. An observed event of approximately 10% chance exceedance was used for testing. This flood rose from a base flow of 5000 cfs (140 cms) to a peak of 15000 cfs (425 cms) in 6 hrs. The hydrograph at Bad Hersfeld and rating curve at Rotenburg were obtained from the Fulda River Authority.

System Schematization

RMA-2 utilizes a finite element mesh composed of both triangular and quadrilateral elements. Ground elevations are defined at the corners of the elements and vary linearly between corner nodes. In this study, the channel was represented by a strip of two elements wide (Fig. 1) producing a triangular channel cross section. Overbank areas were represented by much larger elements. Ground elevations in the overbank areas were determined from 3.3 ft. (1 m) contour interval maps. The resulting finite element mesh was composed of 860 elements and 2660 nodes (Fig. 2). The ratio of maximum to minimum element areas was about 200 to 1. This variability in resolution demonstrates the flexibility of the finite element method for use in large scale floodplain modeling. Turbulent exchange coefficients used varied with element size from 500 to 1000 lb-sec/ft² (24000 to 48000 N-sec/m²).

The computations were performed with a 0.5 hr. time step. No over-attenuation due to this relatively large time step was observed. One simulation was performed using an 0.25 hr. time step, yielding results the same as those with the 0.5 hr. time step.




Figure 1. Fulda River Mesh (detail).




Figure 2. Fulda River Mesh (flow is right to left).



on For	
&I	☒
ed	☐
ation	☐

ation/

Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

Results

Continuously recorded stage hydrographs were available at both Bad Hersfeld and Rotenburg. The observed and computed stage hydrographs at the upstream end are shown in Figure 3. These results were obtained after setting




Figure 3. Upstream Stages, $n_{ob} = 0.070$

all of the overbank n values to 0.07.

Figure 4 shows the computed stage at the downstream end. Note that the initial conditions do not match the observed; this is probably due to approximations made to the rating curve at the downstream end. It is possible that the anomalous behavior of the rising limb of the hydrograph is due to the rather crude description of the channel.

The two-dimensional solution obtained from RMA-2 yields velocity vectors in addition to stage at every computational node. Indeed, most applications of two-dimensional flow models have focused on velocity for purposes of constituent transport or hydraulic design. In the context of large floodplain modeling velocities are important for both definition of inundated area and determination of flood hazard. Examination of plotted velocity fields is useful for determining the extent of inundation and velocity hazard areas within the floodplain at any particular time.

Computational Aspects

Although this is not a very computationally intensive problem for the simulation of steady flow conditions, the dynamic simulations performed (consisting of 40 to 60 time steps) utilized significant computational resources. The simulations were performed on a super minicomputer rated at about 4.5 mips. Each simulation took several hours of central processing time on this machine. Although contemporary desktop computers equal or exceed the




Figure 4. Downstream Stages, $n_{ob} = 0.070$

processing speed of this computer, the results indicate that engineers contemplating two-dimensional floodplain modeling on this scale for dynamic flow events should carefully plan their studies to minimize the number of alternatives to be modeled and utilize steady flow simulations wherever possible. Development of initial conditions (base flow) in systems with large elevation changes [>10 ft (3 m)] may also be difficult. The capability for rapid graphic representation of data and computed results is essential.

Conclusions

Application of RMA-2 to the River Fulda has demonstrated the applicability of finite element numerical models to large scale floodplain applications. The initial results indicate that RMA-2 may successfully be used for estimating the depth and lateral extent of inundation at this scale. Flow velocities and depths are directly available from the computed results, however, there were no data in this application to verify the computed velocities and flow depths. Stability of solutions for wetting and drying of large areas was greatly improved by use of the "marsh" element option in ver. 4 of RMA-2. Improvement of this capability, channel representation, initial condition development, and graphics presentation, are future research needs. Further field validation needs to be undertaken and documented to establish an experience base with these applications. Use of digital terrain and geographic information systems should blend well with this approach to floodplain analysis.

Acknowledgements

Funding for this research came in part from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS through a contract awarded to M. G. Anderson. The assistance and advice of Ian King during the course of this study is appreciated. The opinions expressed herein are those

of the authors and not necessarily those of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

REFERENCES

Federal Highway Administration (1989) "FESWMS-2DH Finite Element Surface-Water Modeling System: Two-Dimensional Flow in a Horizontal Plane," Pub. No. FHWA-RD-88-177.

Gee, D. M., and Wilcox, D. B. (1985) "Use of a Two-Dimensional Flow Model to Quantify Aquatic Habitat," Proc. ASCE Spec. Conf. on Computer Applications in Water Resources, Buffalo NY.

King, I. P. and Norton, W. R. (1978) "Recent Application of RMA's Finite Element Models for Two Dimensional Hydrodynamics and Water Quality," Proc. 2nd. Int. Conf. on Finite Elements in Water Resources, Pentech Press, London.

King, I. P. and Roig, L. C. (1988) "2-D Finite Element Models for Flood Plains and Tidal Flats," Proc. Int. Conf. on Computational Methods in Flow Analysis, Okayama, Japan.

McAnally, W. H., et al. (1984) "Application of Columbia Hybrid Modeling System," Jour. Hyd. Engr., ASCE Vol. 110, No. 5, Paper 18796.

Samuels, P. G. (1985) "Modeling of River and Floodplain Flow Using the Finite Element Method," Hydraulics Research, Tech. Report No. SR61, Wallingford, UK.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
 OMB No. 0704-0188

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT		
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE					
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) TECHNICAL PAPER 128			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION HYDROLOGIC ENGINEERING CENTER		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) CEWRC-HEC	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION WATER RESOURCES SUPPORT CENTER		
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 609 SECOND ST DAVIS, CA 95616			7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) CASEY BLDG 2594 FT. BELVOIR, VA 22060		
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)			10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS		
			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) TWO-DIMENSIONAL FLOODPLAIN MODELING (UNCLASSIFIED)					
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) DR. D. MICHAEL GEE, MALCOLM G. ANDERSON, LAURA BAIRD					
13a. TYPE OF REPORT TECHNICAL PAPER		13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) JUNE 1990	
15. PAGE COUNT 6					
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) FLOODPLAIN MODELING, INUNDATION, FINITE ELEMENTS, TWO-DIMENSIONAL FLOW, FULDA RIVER		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP			
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) A TWO-DIMENSIONAL HORIZONTAL FINITE ELEMENT NUMERICAL MODEL (RMA-2) WAS APPLIED TO A 15 MILE (24 KM) RIVER CHANNEL-FLOODPLAIN REACH IN WEST GERMANY. PREVIOUS APPLICATIONS OF SUCH MODELS HAVE BEEN RESTRICTED TO MUCH SMALLER SCALES. THE RESULTS INDICATE THAT FINITE ELEMENT SCHEMES MAY SUCCESSFULLY ESTIMATE RIVER STAGE IN LARGE SCALE FLOODPLAIN APPLICATIONS. COMPUTED STAGE HYDROGRAPHS COMPARED WELL WITH OBSERVED DATA USING LOSS COEFFICIENTS WITHIN EXPECTED RANGES.					
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☒ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT ☐ DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL DARRYL W. DAVIS, DIRECTOR, HEC			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (916) 756-1104		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL CEWRC-HEC

TECHNICAL PAPER SERIES
(\$2.00 per paper)

- | | | | |
|-------|---|-------|---|
| TP-1 | Use of Interrelated Records to Simulate Streamflow | TP-35 | Computer Models for Rainfall-Runoff and River Hydraulic Analysis |
| TP-2 | Optimization Techniques for Hydrologic Engineering | TP-36 | Evaluation of Drought Effects at Lake Atitlan |
| TP-3 | Methods of Determination of Safe Yield and Compensation Water from Storage Reservoirs | TP-37 | Downstream Effects of the Levee Overtopping at Wilkes-Barre, PA, During Tropical Storm Agnes |
| TP-4 | Functional Evaluation of a Water Resources System | TP-38 | Water Quality Evaluation of Aquatic Systems |
| TP-5 | Streamflow Synthesis for Ungaged Rivers | TP-39 | A Method for Analyzing Effects of Dam Failures in Design Studies |
| TP-6 | Simulation of Daily Streamflow | TP-40 | Storm Drainage and Urban Region Flood Control Planning |
| TP-7 | Pilot Study for Storage Requirements for Low Flow Augmentation | TP-41 | HEC-5C, A Simulation Model for System Formulation and Evaluation |
| TP-8 | Worth of Streamflow Data for Project Design - A Pilot Study | TP-42 | Optimal Sizing of Urban Flood Control Systems |
| TP-9 | Economic Evaluation of Reservoir System Accomplishments | TP-43 | Hydrologic and Economic Simulation of Flood Control Aspects of Water Resources Systems |
| TP-10 | Hydrologic Simulation in Water-Yield Analysis | TP-44 | Sizing Flood Control Reservoir Systems by Systems Analysis |
| TP-11 | Survey of Programs for Water Surface Profiles | TP-45 | Techniques for Real-Time Operation of Flood Control Reservoirs in the Merrimack River Basin |
| TP-12 | Hypothetical Flood Computation for a Stream System | TP-46 | Spatial Data Analysis of Nonstructural Measures |
| TP-13 | Maximum Utilization of Scarce Data in Hydrologic Design | TP-47 | Comprehensive Flood Plain Studies Using Spatial Data Management Techniques |
| TP-14 | Techniques for Evaluating Long-Term Reservoir Yields | TP-48 | Direct Runoff Hydrograph Parameters Versus Urbanization |
| TP-15 | Hydrostatistics - Principles of Application | TP-49 | Experience of HEC in Disseminating Information on Hydrological Models |
| TP-16 | A Hydrologic Water Resource System Modeling Techniques | TP-50 | Effects of Dam Removal: An Approach to Sedimentation |
| TP-17 | Hydrologic Engineering Techniques for Regional Water Resources Planning | TP-51 | Design of Flood Control Improvements by Systems Analysis: A Case Study |
| TP-18 | Estimating Monthly Streamflows Within a Region | TP-52 | Potential Use of Digital Computer Ground Water Models |
| TP-19 | Suspended Sediment Discharge in Streams | TP-53 | Development of Generalized Free Surface Flow Models Using Finite Element Techniques |
| TP-20 | Computer Determination of Flow Through Bridges | TP-54 | Adjustment of Peak Discharge Rates for Urbanization |
| TP-21 | An Approach to Reservoir Temperature Analysis | TP-55 | The Development and Servicing of Spatial Data Management Techniques in the Corps of Engineers |
| TP-22 | A Finite Difference Method for Analyzing Liquid Flow in Variably Saturated Porous Media | TP-56 | Experiences of the Hydrologic Engineering Center in Maintaining Widely Used Hydrologic and Water Resource Computer Models |
| TP-23 | Uses of Simulation in River Basin Planning | TP-57 | Flood Damage Assessments Using Spatial Data Management Techniques |
| TP-24 | Hydroelectric Power Analysis in Reservoir Systems | TP-58 | A Model for Evaluating Runoff-Quality in Metropolitan Master Planning |
| TP-25 | Status of Water Resource Systems Analysis | TP-59 | Testing of Several Runoff Models on an Urban Watershed |
| TP-26 | System Relationships for Panama Canal Water Supply | TP-60 | Operational Simulation of a Reservoir System with Pumped Storage |
| TP-27 | System Analysis of the Panama Canal Water Supply | TP-61 | Technical Factors in Small Hydropower Planning |
| TP-28 | Digital Simulation of an Existing Water Resources System | TP-62 | Flood Hydrograph and Peak Flow Frequency Analysis |
| TP-29 | Computer Applications in Continuing Education | TP-63 | HEC Contribution to Reservoir System Operation |
| TP-30 | Drought Severity and Water Supply Dependability | TP-64 | Determining Peak-Discharge Frequencies in an Urbanizing Watershed: A Case Study |
| TP-31 | Development of System Operation Rules for an Existing System by Simulation | TP-65 | Feasibility Analysis in Small Hydropower Planning |
| TP-32 | Alternative Approaches to Water Resource System Simulation | TP-66 | Reservoir Storage Determination by Computer Simulation of Flood Control and Conservation Systems |
| TP-33 | System Simulation for Integrated Use of Hydroelectric and Thermal Power Generation | TP-67 | Hydrologic Land Use Classification Using LANDSAT |
| TP-34 | Optimizing Flood Control Allocation for a Multipurpose Reservoir | TP-68 | Interactive Nonstructural Flood-Control Planning |
| | | TP-69 | Critical Water Surface by Minimum Specific Energy Using the Parabolic Method |
| | | TP-70 | Corps of Engineers Experience with Automatic Calibration of a Precipitation-Runoff Model |
| | | TP-71 | Determination of Land Use from Satellite Imagery for Input to Hydrologic Models |
| | | TP-72 | Application of the Finite Element Method to Vertically Stratified Hydrodynamic Flow and Water Quality |
| | | TP-73 | Flood Mitigation Planning Using HEC-SAM |
| | | TP-74 | Hydrographs by Single Linear Reservoir Model |
| | | TP-75 | HEC Activities in Reservoir Analysis |

- TP-76 Institutional Support of Water Resource Models
- TP-77 Investigation of Soil Conservation Service Urban Hydrology Techniques
- TP-78 Potential for Increasing the Output of Existing Hydroelectric Plants
- TP-79 Potential Energy and Capacity Gains from Flood Control Storage Reallocation at Existing U. S. Hydropower Reservoirs
- TP-80 Use of Non-Sequential Techniques in the Analysis of Power Potential at Storage Projects
- TP-81 Data Management Systems for Water Resources Planning
- TP-82 The New HEC-1 Flood Hydrograph Package
- TP-83 River and Reservoir Systems Water Quality Modeling Capability
- TP-84 Generalized Real-Time Flood Control System Model
- TP-85 Operation Policy Analysis: Sam Rayburn Reservoir
- TP-86 Training the Practitioner: The Hydrologic Engineering Center Program
- TP-87 Documentation Needs for Water Resources Models
- TP-88 Reservoir System Regulation for Water Quality Control
- TP-89 A Software System to Aid in Making Real-Time Water Control Decisions
- TP-90 Calibration, Verification and Application of a Two-Dimensional Flow Model
- TP-91 HEC Software Development and Support
- TP-92 Hydrologic Engineering Center Planning Models
- TP-93 Flood Routing Through a Flat, Complex Flood Plain Using a One-Dimensional Unsteady Flow Computer Program
- TP-94 Dredged-Material Disposal Management Model
- TP-95 Infiltration and Soil Moisture Redistribution in HEC-1
- TP-96 The Hydrologic Engineering Center Experience in Nonstructural Planning
- TP-97 Prediction of the Effects of a Flood Control Project on a Meandering Stream
- TP-98 Evolution in Computer Programs Causes Evolution in Training Needs: The Hydrologic Engineering Center Experience
- TP-99 Reservoir System Analysis for Water Quality
- TP-100 Probable Maximum Flood Estimation - Eastern United States
- TP-101 Use of Computer Program HEC-5 for Water Supply Analysis
- TP-102 Role of Calibration in the Application of HEC-6
- TP-103 Engineering and Economic Considerations in Formulating
- TP-104 Modeling Water Resources Systems for Water Quality
- TP-105 Use of a Two-Dimensional Flow Model to Quantify Aquatic Habitat
- TP-106 Flood-Runoff Forecasting with HEC-1F
- TP-107 Dredged-Material Disposal System Capacity Expansion
- TP-108 Role of Small Computers in Two-Dimensional Flow Modeling
- TP-109 One-Dimensional Model For Mud Flows
- TP-110 Subdivision Froude Number
- TP-111 HEC-5Q: System Water Quality Modeling
- TP-112 New Developments in HEC Programs for Flood Control
- TP-113 Modeling and Managing Water Resource Systems for Water Quality
- TP-114 Accuracy of Computed Water Surface Profiles - Executive Summary
- TP-115 Application of Spatial-Data Management Techniques in Corps Planning
- TP-116 The HEC's Activities in Watershed Modeling
- TP-117 HEC-1 and HEC-2 Applications on the MicroComputer
- TP-118 Real-Time Snow Simulation Model for the Monongahela River Basin
- TP-119 Multi-Purpose, Multi-Reservoir Simulation on a PC
- TP-120 Technology Transfer of Corps' Hydrologic Models
- TP-121 Development, Calibration and Application of Runoff Forecasting Models for the Allegheny River Basin
- TP-122 The Estimation of Rainfall for Flood Forecasting Using Radar and Rain Gage Data
- TP-123 Developing and Managing a Comprehensive Reservoir Analysis Model
- TP-124 Review of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineering Involvement With Alluvial Fan Flooding Problems
- TP-125 An Integrated Software Package for Flood Damage Analysis
- TP-126 The Value and Depreciation of Existing Facilities: The Case of Reservoirs
- TP-127 Floodplain-Management Plan Enumeration