

**AD-A227 833****NOTATION PAGE**Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

ted to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Avenue, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                             |                                                            |                                                                                                                                    |  |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                             | 2. REPORT DATE<br>October 1990                             | 3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED<br>Technical Rept. (Interim) 4/1/90-9/1/90                                                        |  |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE<br>Local-density-functional total energy gradients in the linear-combination-of-Gaussian-type-orbitals method                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                             |                                                            | 5. FUNDING NUMBERS<br>C: N00014-90-WX-24264<br>PE: 0601153N<br>PR: RR013-01-01<br>RR021-02-01<br>TA: 4131059-01<br>WU: 61-M193-X-0 |  |
| 6. AUTHOR(S)<br>B.I. Dunlap, J. Andzelm* and J.W. Mintmire                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                             |                                                            |                                                                                                                                    |  |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)<br>Naval Research Laboratory<br>Washington, DC 20375-5000<br>(Code 6119, C.T. White)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                             |                                                            | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION<br>REPORT NUMBER<br>Technical Report # 5                                                                |  |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)<br>Office of Naval Research<br>800 North Quincy Street<br>Arlington, VA 22217-5000<br>(Code 1113PS, P.P. Schmidt)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                             |                                                            | 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING<br>AGENCY REPORT NUMBER<br>Technical Report # 5                                                          |  |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES<br>*Cray Research, Inc.<br>Prepared for publication in Physical Review A.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                             |                                                            |                                                                                                                                    |  |
| 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT<br>This document has been approved for public release and sales distribution of this document is unlimited.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                             |                                                            | 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE                                                                                                             |  |
| 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)<br><br>Gradients of the total energy with respect to nuclear coordinates within the linear combination of Gaussian-type orbitals (LCGTO) approach to local-density-functional theory are discussed. We explicitly include the effects of the fitting procedures for both the direct-Coulomb and the exchange-correlation energies in the evaluation of the energy gradient expression. |                                                             |                                                            |                                                                                                                                    |  |
| 14. SUBJECT TERMS<br>Local Density Functional Forces                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                             |                                                            | 15. NUMBER OF PAGES<br>15                                                                                                          |  |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                             |                                                            | 16. PRICE CODE                                                                                                                     |  |
| 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>OF REPORT<br>UNCLASSIFIED                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>OF THIS PAGE<br>UNCLASSIFIED | 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>OF ABSTRACT<br>UNCLASSIFIED | 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT                                                                                                         |  |

**DTIC**  
**ELECTE**  
**OCT 26 1990**  
**S B D**

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH  
CONTRACT # N00014-90-WX-24264  
R&T CODE 4131059-01  
TECHNICAL REPORT # 5

**Local-density-functional total energy gradients in the  
linear-combination-of-Gaussian-type-orbitals method**

Brett I. Dunlap<sup>a</sup>, Jan Andzelm<sup>b</sup>, and John W. Mintmire<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Theoretical Chemistry Section, Code 6119  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Washington, D.C. 20375-5000

<sup>b</sup>Cray Research, Incorporated  
655 E. Lone Oak Drive  
Eagan, MN 55121

<sup>c</sup>Department of Physics  
Ohio State University  
Columbus, OH 43210-1106

to be published in Physical Review A

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

# Local-density-functional total energy gradients in the linear-combination-of-Gaussian-type-orbitals method

B. I. Dunlap

*Theoretical Chemistry Section, Code 6119*

*Naval Research Laboratory*

*Washington, D.C. 20375-5000*

J. Andzelm

*Cray Research, Incorporated*

*655 E. Lone Oak Drive*

*Eagan, MN 55121*

J. W. Mintmire<sup>†</sup>

*Department of Physics*

*Ohio State University*

*Columbus, OH 43210-1106*

(Received July 11, 1990)

Gradients of the total energy with respect to nuclear coordinates within the linear combination of Gaussian-type orbitals (LCGTO) approach to local-density-functional theory are discussed. We explicitly include the effects of the fitting procedures for both the direct-Coulomb and the exchange-correlation energies in the evaluation of the energy gradient expression.

31.20.Sy

|                    |                                            |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Accession For      |                                            |
| NTIS GRA&I         | <input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/> |
| DTIC TAB           | <input type="checkbox"/>                   |
| Unannounced        | <input type="checkbox"/>                   |
| Justification      |                                            |
| By                 |                                            |
| Distribution/      |                                            |
| Availability Codes |                                            |
| Dist               | Avail and/or Special                       |
| A-1                |                                            |

## I. INTRODUCTION

Accurate local density functional (LDF) gradients require corrections for the fact that the orbital basis set is finite<sup>1-8</sup> and that the local one-electron potential is treated using a finite basis set<sup>5-8</sup>. The linear combination of Gaussian-type orbitals (LCGTO) local density functional (LDF) approach to molecules<sup>9</sup>, polymers<sup>10</sup>, and slabs<sup>11</sup> is well established. For these lower-dimensional systems that are not periodic in three dimensions, Fourier-transform methods cannot be used to readily compute gradients of the total energy (for a fixed number of plane waves), unless one uses supercell calculations (which are singular in the separate fragment limit for a fixed number of plane waves). If an LDF such as  $X\alpha$  lends itself to an analytical LCGTO treatment—i.e. three-dimensional numerical integrations or fits can be avoided—then accurate gradients could be computed given a converged self-consistent-field (SCF) LDF LCGTO total energy<sup>5</sup>. In order to be able to use any LDF, a number of workers have recently implemented methods for computing the gradients of the molecular total energy that take into account the fact that the orbital basis set is finite and that the electronic Coulomb potential, the largest part of the one-electron potential, is treated using a finite expansion<sup>6-7</sup>. For a different purpose Averill and Painter<sup>12</sup> have considered fitting the density using the LCGTO LDF total energy and computed exact gradients including the effects of the density fit, assuming an exact three-dimensional numerical integration of the exchange-correlation (xc) forces. We consider herein the effects of approximating the xc energy density using a necessarily inexact fit to an LCGTO sum and the use of three-dimensional numerical integration techniques in the fitting scheme on the evaluation of a gradient with respect to nuclear coordinates of the LCGTO-LDF total energy expression.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

The nonrelativistic total energy and one-electron molecular orbitals, in the electric field caused by nuclei of charges  $Z_k$  at positions  $\mathbf{R}_k$ , are determined by variational minimization of the LDF total-energy expression,

$$E = \sum_{k>k'} \frac{Z_k Z_{k'}}{|\mathbf{R}_k - \mathbf{R}_{k'}|} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \int d^3r n_i \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) \nabla^2 \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) - \sum_k Z_k \left\langle \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r})}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_k|} \right\rangle + \frac{[\rho|\rho]}{2} + \langle \rho(\mathbf{r}) U_{xc}(\rho(\mathbf{r})) \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where both  $\langle f(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$  and  $\langle f \rangle$  are compact notation for  $\int d^3r f(\mathbf{r})$ , and where  $[f_1|f_2]$  is compact notation for  $\int d^3r_1 \int d^3r_2 f_1(\mathbf{r}_1) f_2(\mathbf{r}_2) / r_{12}$ . In this expression the  $\phi_i$  are the one-electron molecular orbitals and  $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ ,

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i n_i \phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \phi_i(\mathbf{r}), \quad (2)$$

is the charge density when the  $i$ th molecular orbital is occupied by  $n_i$  electrons. (This work will not consider the case where there is net spin polarization of the electrons, the generalization to such a case is straightforward.) The one-electron molecular orbitals are expressed in LCGTO fashion,

$$\phi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_j c_{ji} \chi_j(\mathbf{r}), \quad (3)$$

and satisfy the LDF one-electron secular equation,

$$\sum_j \left\langle \chi_{j'} \left| -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 - \sum_k \frac{Z_k}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_k|} + V_{es}(\mathbf{r}) + V_{xc}(\rho(\mathbf{r})) \right| \chi_j \right\rangle c_{ji} = \sum_j \langle \chi_{j'} | \chi_j \rangle c_{ji} \epsilon_i \quad (4)$$

Relating the total energy and the one-electron equations are the electrostatic potential due to all electrons,

$$V_{es}(\mathbf{r}) = \int d^3r' \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}, \quad (5)$$

and the local one-electron xc potential,

$$V_{xc}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{d[\rho(\mathbf{r})U_{xc}(\rho(\mathbf{r}))]}{d\rho(\mathbf{r})} = U_{xc}(\rho(\mathbf{r})) + \rho(\mathbf{r})\frac{dU_{xc}(\rho(\mathbf{r}))}{d\rho(\mathbf{r})}. \quad (6)$$

This expression can be inverted to give the density derivative of the xc energy operator,

$$\frac{dU_{xc}}{d\rho} = \frac{V_{xc} - U_{xc}}{\rho}. \quad (7)$$

Initially, we follow the suggestion of Sambe and Felton<sup>13</sup> and approximate the charge density in  $V_{es}$ , the xc energy operator,  $U_{xc}$ , and the xc potential,  $V_{xc}$ , using LCGTO expansions,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\mathbf{r}) &\approx \bar{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_m f_m F_m(\mathbf{r}) \\ U_{xc}(\mathbf{r}) &\approx \bar{U}_{xc}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_m u_m G_m(\mathbf{r}) \\ V_{xc}(\mathbf{r}) &\approx \bar{V}_{xc}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_m v_m G_m(\mathbf{r}), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

centered primarily on the nuclei, but in which bond-centered *s*-type functions can be used to eliminate some high-angular-momentum nuclear-centered functions. Using these fits, the total energy expression<sup>9</sup>,

$$\begin{aligned} E = & \sum_{k>k'} \frac{Z_k Z_{k'}}{|\mathbf{R}_k - \mathbf{R}_{k'}|} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \int d^3r n_i \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) \nabla^2 \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) \\ & - \sum_k Z_k \left\langle \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r})}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_k|} \right\rangle + \sum_m f_m [\rho | F_m] + \sum_m u_m \langle \rho G_m \rangle, \\ & - \sum_{mn} f_m f_n \frac{[F_m | F_n]}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

is stationary with respect to variations of the molecular orbital coefficients  $c_{ij}$  and the charge density fitting coefficients,  $f_m$ , if and only if the xc fit is exact. This

requires the fitting basis set,  $G_m(\mathbf{r})$ , be complete. In that case the gradient of the total energy with respect to the Cartesian nuclear coordinates is relatively easy to evaluate<sup>6-8</sup>,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E}{\partial X_k} = & \sum_{k' \neq k} \frac{Z_k Z_{k'} (X_k - X_{k'})}{|\mathbf{R}_k - \mathbf{R}_{k'}|^3} - \sum_k Z_k \int d^3r \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r})(x - X_k)}{|\mathbf{R}_k - \mathbf{r}|^3} \\ & + \sum_{ij} \left[ P_{ij} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \chi_i^* \nabla^2 \frac{\partial \chi_j}{\partial X_k} \right\rangle - \sum_n \left\langle \chi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial \chi_j(\mathbf{r})}{\partial X_k} \frac{Z_n}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n|} \right\rangle \right. \right. \\ & + \sum_m f_m \left[ \chi_i^* \frac{\partial \chi_j}{\partial X_k} |F_m\rangle + \sum_m v_m \left\langle \chi_i^* \frac{\partial \chi_j}{\partial X_k} G_m \right\rangle \right] - \Omega_{ij} \left\langle \chi_i^* \frac{\partial \chi_j}{\partial X_k} \right\rangle + c.c. \left. \right] \\ & + \sum_m f_m \left[ \rho \left| \frac{\partial F_m}{\partial X_k} \right| \right] - \sum_{mn} f_m f_n \left[ F_n \left| \frac{\partial F_m}{\partial X_k} \right| \right], \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where c.c. means take the complex conjugate of the previous expression within the corresponding set of parentheses. In this expression  $P_{ij}$ , is the matrix element for orbitals  $i$  and  $j$  of the one-electron density matrix,

$$P_{ij} = \sum_p n_p \sum_{ij} c_{ip}^* c_{jp}, \quad (11)$$

and  $\Omega_{ij}$  is the one-electron eigenvalue weighted density matrix element

$$\Omega_{ij} = \sum_p \epsilon_p n_p \sum_{ij} c_{ip}^* c_{jp}. \quad (12)$$

The first two terms of Eq. 10 represent the standard terms for the Hellmann-Feynman force, the next two terms represent corrections to Hellmann-Feynman arising from the dependence of the orbital basis on nuclear coordinates<sup>1</sup>, and the last two terms represent corrections arising from fitting the charge density (Eq. 8). Expressions for third derivatives of the total energy have been obtained assuming that the xc fitting basis is complete and that the fits are exact<sup>8</sup>.

The subject of this work is the correction term that arises from approximating  $U_{xc}$  and  $V_{xc}$ . This term has been evaluated<sup>5</sup> for the special case of  $X\alpha$ , where both  $U_{xc}$

and  $V_{xc}$  are proportional to  $\rho^{1/3}$ , which can be treated using analytical integration. For all LDF's this correction term diminishes with increasing number of points and number of fitting functions used to treat  $U_{xc}$  and  $V_{xc}$ .<sup>6,14</sup>

### III. INCOMPLETE EXCHANGE-CORRELATION FITTING BASES

In the case of an incomplete xc fitting basis set, Eq. 10 needs to be corrected by adding a term to the right-hand side of the equation,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E_{xc}^1}{\partial X_k} = & \sum_m \frac{\partial [u_m \langle \rho G_m \rangle]}{\partial X_k} - \sum_{ijm} v_m \frac{\partial P_{ij}}{\partial X_k} \langle \chi_i^* \chi_j G_m \rangle \\ & - \sum_{ijm} \left( v_m P_{ij} \left\langle \chi_i^* \frac{\partial \chi_j}{\partial X_k} G_m \right\rangle + c.c. \right), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The first term is the exact differentiation of the xc energy of Eq. 10, the second term comes from using the one-electron equations, Eq. 4, to eliminate all terms involving derivatives of the density matrix elements from Eq. 10, and the final term is the only apparent xc term present in Eq. 10.

Because Eq. 13 is a density functional expression, the terms involving  $v_m$  can be more compactly expressed,

$$\frac{\partial E_{xc}^1}{\partial X_k} = \sum_m \frac{\partial [u_m \langle \rho G_m \rangle]}{\partial X_k} - \sum_m v_m \left\langle \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial X_k} G_m \right\rangle, \quad (14)$$

and the whole expression expanded,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E_{xc}^1}{\partial X_k} = & \sum_m \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial X_k} \langle \rho G_m \rangle + \sum_m u_m \left\langle \rho \frac{\partial G_m}{\partial X_k} \right\rangle \\ & + \left\langle \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial X_k} (\bar{U}_{xc} - \bar{V}_{xc}) \right\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where the approximate quantities defined in Eq. 8 have been substituted for the



appropriate expansion. The least-squares-fit (LSF) equations for the xc energy fitting coefficients,  $u_m$ , must be differentiated to evaluate this expression.

#### IV. NUMERICAL INTEGRATION

In what follows we will assume that we have at our disposal a numerical integration scheme involving a set of points,  $\mathbf{r}_i$  and an associated set of volume elements  $\Delta\tau_i$ ,

$$\{f(\mathbf{r})\} = \sum_i \Delta\tau_i f(\mathbf{r}_i), \quad (16)$$

with the property that we can increase the number of points to make the numerical integral  $\{f(\mathbf{r})\}$  arbitrarily close in value to the analytic integral  $\langle f(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$ . We further assume that numerical integration is used only to fit  $U_{xc}$  and  $V_{xc}$ , via a weighted LSF scheme,

$$\sum_m v_m \{W_v G_m G_n\} = \{W_v V_{xc} G_n\}, \quad (17)$$

$$\sum_m u_m \{W_u G_m G_n\} = \{W_u U_{xc} G_n\}, \quad (18)$$

where the weight functions  $W_v$  and  $W_u$  are arbitrary positive (or negative) semidefinite functions of position. Eq. 18 can be inverted to give the fitting coefficients,

$$u_m = \sum_n \{W_u \mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}\}_{mn}^{-1} \{W_u U_{xc} G_n\}, \quad (19)$$

where the symmetric matrix  $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}$  is the tensor product of the vector  $\mathbf{G}$  with itself.

We now assume that we have some method for choosing the points and volume elements of Eq. 16 so that we can systematically improve the accuracy of this numerical integration, albeit at an ever increasing computational price. Therefore, we shall ignore how the weights and points of this numerical integration vary as any Cartesian nuclear coordinate changes.

Differentiating Eq. 18 gives the derivatives of the xc energy fitting coefficients,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial X_k} = & \sum_n \langle W_u \mathbf{G} \mathbf{G} \rangle_{mn}^{-1} \left( \left\{ W_u \frac{\partial U_{xc}}{\partial X_k} G_n \right\} - \sum_p u_p \left\{ W_u \frac{\partial G_p}{\partial X_k} G_n \right\} \right. \\ & \left. + \left\{ \frac{\partial W_u}{\partial X_k} (U_{xc} - \bar{U}_{xc}) G_n \right\} + \left\{ W_u (U_{xc} - \bar{U}_{xc}) \frac{\partial G_n}{\partial X_k} \right\} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The derivatives of the xc energy fitting coefficients in Eq. 15 appear multiplied by the overlap integral of the density and the xc basis functions suggesting a new set of variables,

$$t_m = \sum_n \{W_u \mathbf{G} \mathbf{G}\}_{mn}^{-1} \langle \rho G_n \rangle, \quad (21)$$

and

$$T(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_m t_m G_m(\mathbf{r}). \quad (22)$$

Using these variables, the summation,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_m \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial X_k} \langle \rho G_m \rangle = & \left\{ W_u T \frac{\partial U_{xc}}{\partial X_k} \right\} - \sum_m u_m \left\{ W_u T \frac{\partial G_m}{\partial X_k} \right\} \\ & + \left\{ \frac{\partial W_u}{\partial X_k} (U_{xc} - \bar{U}_{xc}) T \right\} + \sum_m t_m \left\{ W_u (U_{xc} - \bar{U}_{xc}) \frac{\partial G_m}{\partial X_k} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

can be used to eliminate the derivatives of the xc energy density fitting coefficients from Eq. 15,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E_{xc}^1}{\partial X_k} = & \left\{ \frac{\partial W_u}{\partial X_k} (U_{xc} - \bar{U}_{xc}) T \right\} + \sum_m t_m \left\{ W_u (U_{xc} - \bar{U}_{xc}) \frac{\partial G_m}{\partial X_k} \right\} \\ & + \sum_m u_m \left( \left\langle \rho \frac{\partial G_m}{\partial X_k} \right\rangle - \left\{ W_u T \frac{\partial G_m}{\partial X_k} \right\} \right) \\ & + \left\langle (\bar{U}_{xc} - \bar{V}_{xc}) \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial X_k} \right\rangle - \left\{ W_u T \frac{U_{xc} - V_{xc}}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial X_k} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where Eq. 7 has been used to evaluate the derivative of  $U_{xc}$ .

Eqs. 21 and 22 can be rewritten,

$$\{W_u T \mathbf{G}\} = \langle \rho \mathbf{G} \rangle. \quad (25)$$

In other words, for each weight function the corresponding  $T$  exactly transforms a numerical integral into an analytic integral on the set of functions exactly fit by the basis  $\mathbf{G}$ . For other functions, the transformation is into the analytic integral of the fitted quantity,

$$\{W_u T F\} = \langle \rho \overline{F} \rangle. \quad (26)$$

In particular, this hold for the xc energy operator,

$$\{W_u T U_{xc}\} = \langle \rho \overline{U}_{xc} \rangle, \quad (27)$$

and

$$\{W_u T V_{xc}\} = \langle \rho \overline{V}_{xc} \rangle, \quad (28)$$

provided  $W_v$  is chosen to be  $W_u$ . These relationships are independent of the accuracy of the numerical integration scheme and requires only that the matrix  $\{W_u \mathbf{G} \mathbf{G}\}$  be invertible. If the basis  $\mathbf{G}$  is complete, then Eq. 23 vanishes identically independent of the quality of the numerical integration. Therefore, for large xc basis sets improving the numerical integration scheme might not improve the accuracy of the gradients if they are approximately computed using Eq. 10.

## V. VARIATIONAL XC FITTING

It appears impossible to avoid resolving the one-electron equations to determine derivatives of  $\rho$  with respect to the nuclear coordinates that are necessary to evaluate Eq. 23 precisely when the fitting basis set is incomplete. An additional SCF

processes, analogous to the coupled-perturbed-Hartree-Fock calculation<sup>15</sup>, can be avoided if the one-electron equations are true variations of Eq. 9. To satisfy this requirement, a variational xc potential must be defined, perhaps most directly, by considering the derivative with respect to an arbitrary one-electron occupation number,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi_i^* V_{xc}^{var} \phi_i \rangle &= \frac{\partial \langle \rho \bar{U}_{xc} \rangle}{\partial n_i} \\ &= \langle \phi_i^* \bar{U}_{xc} \phi_i \rangle + \sum_m \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial n_i} \langle \rho G_m \rangle.\end{aligned}\quad (29)$$

The remaining derivatives can be obtained by differentiating Eq. 18 again. This equation contains half as many terms as Eq. 24 because  $\mathbf{G}$  is now assumed constant,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi_i^* V_{xc}^{var} \phi_i \rangle &= \langle \phi_i^* \bar{U}_{xc} \phi_i \rangle + \left\{ \phi_i^* T(U_{xc} - \bar{U}_{xc}) \frac{dW}{d\rho} \phi_i \right\} \\ &\quad + \left\{ \phi_i^* T(V_{xc} - U_{xc}) \frac{W}{\rho} \phi_i \right\}.\end{aligned}\quad (30)$$

In this equation the weight function does not contain a subscript, because the xc potential is not going to be fit. Instead the matrix elements of the xc potential,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \chi_i^* V_{xc}^{var} \chi_j \rangle &= \langle \chi_i^* \bar{U}_{xc} \chi_j \rangle \\ &\quad + \left\{ \chi_i^* T \left( (U_{xc} - \bar{U}_{xc}) \frac{dW}{d\rho} + (V_{xc} - U_{xc}) \frac{W}{\rho} \right) \chi_j \right\}.\end{aligned}\quad (31)$$

were obtained directly. In this expression  $W$  is taken to be a function of the density alone.

If the weight function is chosen to be unity, then summing this expression over all occupied orbitals and using Eqs. 27 and 28 gives precisely  $\langle \rho \bar{V}_{xc} \rangle$ , as expected.

The weight function<sup>16</sup>,

$$W = \frac{\rho}{U_{xc}}, \quad (32)$$

that is the optimal density functional expression for computing the total energy in the nonvariational LCGTO method<sup>9-11</sup> has derivative

$$\frac{dW}{d\rho} = \frac{2U_{xc} - V_{xc}}{U_{xc}^2}. \quad (33)$$

The choice of weighting function,  $W$ , is less important now because the function is included in the SCF process.

Having defined a variational xc potential, the derivative of the fitted xc energy is simplified,

$$\frac{\partial \langle \rho \bar{U}_{xc} \rangle}{\partial X_k} = \left\langle \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial X_k} V_{xc}^{var} \right\rangle + \sum_m \left( \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial X_k} \right)_\rho \langle \rho G_m \rangle + \sum_m u_m \left\langle \rho \frac{\partial G_m}{\partial X_k} \right\rangle, \quad (34)$$

where the parentheses and subscript on the derivative of the xc energy coefficient indicates that  $\rho$  must be held constant during this partial differentiation. The first term comes from Eq. 29, and the remaining two terms come from changes in the fitting basis set, which is independent of the density. Using the variational xc potential, Eq. 31, in the one-electron secular equation, Eq. 4, eliminates all terms containing a derivative of the density (and density functional weight function) from the gradient correction term, Eq. 24. Thus the precise derivative of the total energy using a variational xc potential is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E}{\partial X_k} = & \sum_{k' \neq k} \frac{Z_k Z_{k'} (X_k - X_{k'})}{|\mathbf{R}_k - \mathbf{R}_{k'}|^3} - \sum_k Z_k \int d^3r \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r})(x - X_k)}{|\mathbf{R}_k - \mathbf{r}|^3} \\ & + \sum_{ij} \left[ P_{ij} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \chi_i^* \nabla^2 \frac{\partial \chi_j}{\partial X_k} \right\rangle - \sum_n \left\langle \chi_i^* \frac{\partial \chi_j}{\partial X_k} \frac{Z_n}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n|} \right\rangle \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_m f_m \left[ \chi_i^* \frac{\partial \chi_j}{\partial X_k} |F_m\rangle + \left\langle \chi_i^* \frac{\partial \chi_j}{\partial X_k} V_{xc}^{var} \right\rangle \right] - \Omega_{ij} \left\langle \chi_i^* \frac{\partial \chi_j}{\partial X_k} \right\rangle + c.c. \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_m f_m [\rho] \frac{\partial F_m}{\partial X_k} - \sum_{mn} f_m f_n [F_n] \frac{\partial F_m}{\partial X_k} \\
& + \sum_m u_m \left( \left\langle \rho \frac{\partial G_m}{\partial X_k} \right\rangle - \left\{ WT \frac{\partial G_m}{\partial X_k} \right\} \right) + \sum_m t_m \left\{ W(U_{xc} - \bar{U}_{xc}) \frac{\partial G_m}{\partial X_k} \right\} \quad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \chi_i^* \frac{\partial \chi_j}{\partial X_k} V_{xc}^{var} \right\rangle &= \left\langle \chi_i^* \bar{U}_{xc} \frac{\partial \chi_j}{\partial X_k} \right\rangle \\
&+ \left\{ \chi_i^* T \left( (U_{xc} - \bar{U}_{xc}) \frac{dW}{d\rho} + (V_{xc} - U_{xc}) \frac{W}{\rho} \right) \frac{\partial \chi_j}{\partial X_k} \right\}. \quad (36)
\end{aligned}$$

The price that is paid for an exact derivative is the coupling of the eigenvalue problem and numerical fitting/integration.

## VI. CONCLUSION

The error terms in existing gradient LCGTO LDF programs have been analyzed. The derivatives of the fitting coefficients with respect to nuclear coordinate have been eliminated from Eq. 23, however the derivative of the density cannot be eliminated without adding an additional SCF procedure. A better approach is to use  $V_{xc}^{var}$  in the one-electron equations. This correction replace two quasi-independent fitting procedures, Eq. 17 and Eq. 18, with one, the latter. Thus the xc fitting basis set can be optimized to fit only the xc energy operator. Furthermore, this correction leads to directly computable gradients, Eq. 35. This derivative is exact under the assumption that the grid of points necessary to fit the xc energy density do not move with the nuclei. That is, of course, not true unless the grid leads to accurate numerical integration. Eq. 26 strongly suggests that this remaining problem will be minimized. In any event, existing grids<sup>17</sup> make this problem negligible, at least temporarily.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

One of us (JA) thanks Eric Wimmer and colleagues at Cray Research for fruitful conversations on LDF methodology. This work was supported in part by the U.S. Office of Naval Research through the Naval Research Laboratory and through contract N0001490WX24264, and in part by the U.S. Department of Energy, Division of Materials Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences.

---

<sup>†</sup>Permanent address: Theoretical Chemistry Section, Code 6119, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC 20375-5000.

<sup>1</sup>P. Pulay, *Mol. Phys.* **17**, 197 (1969).

<sup>2</sup>P. Bendt and A. Zunger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 1684 (1983).

<sup>3</sup>C. Satoko, *Phys. Rev. B* **30** 1754 (1984).

<sup>4</sup>L. Versluis and T. Ziegler, *J. Chem. Phys.* **88** 322 (1988).

<sup>5</sup>B. I. Dunlap and N. Rösch, *J. Chim. Phys. Phys-chim. Biol.* **86**, 671 (1989).

<sup>6</sup>R. Fournier, J. Andzelm, and D. R. Salahub, *J. Chem. Phys.* **90**, 6371 (1989); J. Andzelm, E. Wimmer, and D. R. Salahub, in *The Challenge of d and f Electrons: Theory and Computation*, Vol 394 of *American Chemical Society Symposium Series*, edited by D. R. Salahub and M. C. Zerner (American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C., 1989), pp. 228-245.

<sup>7</sup>J.W. Mintmire, *Inter. J. Quantum Chem. Symp.*, **24**, xxx (1990).

<sup>8</sup>R. Fournier, *J. Chem. Phys.* **92** 5422 (1990).

<sup>9</sup>B. I. Dunlap, J. W. D. Connolly, and J. R. Sabin, *J. Chem. Phys.* **71**, 3396 (1979); **71**, 4993 (1980).

<sup>10</sup>J. W. Mintmire and C. T. White, *Phys. Rev. B* **28**, 3283 (1983).

<sup>11</sup>J. W. Mintmire, J. R. Sabin, and S.B. Trickey, *Phys. Rev. B* **26**, 1743 (1982).

<sup>12</sup>F.W. Averill and G.S. Painter, *Phys. Rev. B* **41**, 10344 (1990).



- <sup>13</sup>H. Sambe and R. Felton, J. Chem. Phys. **61**, 3862 (1974); **62**, 1122 (1975).
- <sup>14</sup>J. Andzelm in *Density Functional Methods in Chemistry*, edited by J. Labanowski and J. Andzelm (Springer, New York, in press); D.R. Salahub, R. Fournier, P. Mlynarski, I. Papai, A. St-Amant, and J. Ushio, *ibid.*
- <sup>15</sup>J.A. Pople, R. Krishnan, H.B. Schlegel, and J.S. Binkley, Inter. J. Quantum Chem. **S13** 225 (1979) and references therein.
- <sup>16</sup>B. I. Dunlap and M. Cook, Int. J. Quantum Chem. **29**, 767 (1986).
- <sup>17</sup>A.D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. **88**, 2547 (1988); B. Delley, J. Chem. Phys. **92** 508 (1990).

## TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

Office of Naval Research (2)  
Chemistry Division, Code 1113  
800 North Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Commanding Officer (1)  
Naval Weapons Support Center  
Dr. Bernard E. Douda  
Crane, IN 47522-5050

Dr. Richard W. Drisko (1)  
Naval Civil Engineering  
Laboratory  
Code L52  
Port Hueneme, CA 93043

David Taylor Research Center (1)  
Dr. Eugene C. Fischer  
Annapolis, MD 21402-5067

Dr. Harold H. Singerman (1)  
David Taylor Research Center  
Code 283  
Annapolis, MD 21402-5067

Professor Elliot R. Bernstein (1)  
Department of Chemistry  
Colorado State University  
Fort Collins, CO 80523

Professor Mostafa El-Sayed (1)  
Department of Chemistry  
University of California, Los Angeles  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Professor Stuart Kauffman (1)  
Department of Biochemistry and  
Biophysics  
University of Pennsylvania  
Philadelphia, PA 19104

Professor Jurgen Kreuzer (1)  
Department of Physics  
Dalhousie University  
Halifax, Nova Scotia B3H 3J5  
Canada

Dr. W.E. Moerner (1)  
IBM Almaden Research Center  
650 Harry Road  
San Jose, CA 95193

Dr. Robert Green, Director (1)  
Chemistry Division, Code 385  
Naval Weapons Center  
China Lake, CA 93555-6001

Chief of Naval Research (1)  
Special Assistant for Marine  
Corps Matters  
Code 00MC  
800 North Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Dr. Bernadette Eichinger (1)  
Naval Ship Systems Engineering  
Station  
Code 053  
Philadelphia Naval Base  
Philadelphia, PA 19112

Dr. Sachio Yamamoto (1)  
Naval Ocean Systems Center  
Code 52  
San Diego, CA 92152-5000

Professor Max Berkowitz (1)  
Department of Chemistry  
University of North Carolina  
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

Professor Herschel Rabitz (1)  
Department of Chemistry  
Princeton University  
Princeton, NJ 08544

Professor Geraldine Richmond (1)  
Department of Chemistry  
University of Oregon  
Eugene, OR 97403

Professor Jack Simons (1)  
Department of Chemistry  
University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

Professor Deanne Snavely (1)  
Department of Chemistry  
Bowling Green State University  
Bowling Green, OH 43402

Professor Michael Zerner (1)  
Department of Chemistry  
The University of Florida  
Gainesville, FL 32611

Dr. T. Pavlopoulos (1)  
Naval Ocean Systems Center  
Code 521  
San Diego, CA 92152-5000

Dr. Peter P. Schmidt (1)  
Chemistry Division, Code 1113PS  
Office of Naval Research  
800 North Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Dr. Larry R. Cooper (1)  
Electronics Division, Code 1114SS  
Office of Naval Research  
800 North Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Dr. George B. Wright (1)  
Electronics Division, Code 1114SS  
Office of Naval Research  
800 North Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Defense Technical Information Center (4)  
Cameron Station  
Alexandria, VA 22314