

AD-A230 677

DTIC FILE COPY

1



DTIC
ELECTE
JAN 07 1991

D

AN OPTICALLY PUMPED
MOLECULAR BROMINE LASER
THESIS

Jon W. Morrison, GM-13, AGMC
AFTT/GEO/ENP/90D-3

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release
Distribution Unlimited

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
AIR UNIVERSITY
AIR FORCE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio


91 1 3 109

AFIT/GEO/ ENP/90D-3

1

AN OPTICALLY PUMPED
MOLECULAR BROMINE LASER
THESIS

Jon W. Morrison, GM-13, AGMC
AFIT/GEO/ ENP/90D-3



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

AFIT/GEO/ENP/90D-3

AN OPTICALLY PUMPED MOLECULAR BROMINE LASER

THESIS

Presented to the faculty of the School of Engineering
of the Air Force Institute of Technology

Air University

In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
Master of Science in Electrical Engineering

Jon W. Morrison, B.S.
GM-13, AGMC

December 1990

Approved For	
NBS 03421	
DAG 000	
Department of	
Justification	
By	
Date/Initials	
Availability	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

Acknowledgments

I would like to acknowledge the Weapons Laboratory for sponsorship and financial support of this thesis work.

A great deal of appreciation is reserved for Capt Glenn P. Perram for serving as my thesis advisor and committee chairman. His guidance, insight, and patience were instrumental in this effort. Also, I wish to thank Dr. Ernest A. Dorko and Dr. Won B. Roh for serving on my thesis committee.

A word of thanks goes to M. Ray and J. Ray for fabrication of the glassware required in the experimental setup and to Dr. Mel Roquemore of Wright Research and Development Center (WRDC/POSF) for the loan of the Nd:YAG and dye laser system. This effort could not have been accomplished without their help.

I would like to thank my wife, Missy, for her love and support during this very hectic period of our lives. Missy's patience was tested several times while maintaining two households, but she managed quite well.

Finally, I would like to thank my two sons, Jacob and Jared, for helping me keep my priorities in order.

Jon W. Morrison

Table of Contents

	Page
Acknowledgements	ii
List of Figures	v
List of Tables	viii
Abstract	ix
I. Introduction	1
A. Background	1
B. Problem	2
C. Objective	3
D. Presentation	4
II. Theory	6
A. Molecular Energy Levels and Transitions	6
B. Optically Pumped Diatomic Lasers	12
C. Amplified Spontaneous Emission	16
D. Molecular Bromine Studies	19
III. Experimental	25
A. Pump Sources	25
B. Gain Cell and Gas Handling System	26
C. Data Acquisition	28
IV. Results and Discussion	30
A. Spectroscopic Assignments	30
B. Side Fluorescence Spectra	34
C. Power Output vs Br ₂ Pressure	38
D. Inverted Side Fluorescence	44

	Page
V. Conclusion	51
A. Summary and Conclusions	51
B. Future Work	52
Appendix A: Side Fluorescence Data	54
Appendix B: Stimulated Emission Spectra	66
Appendix C: ASE Output vs Br ₂ Pressure at Fixed Pump Wavelength	71
Appendix D: Mathematical Model	81
Bibliography	86
Vita	88

List of Figures

Figure	Page
1. Molecular Potential Energy Curve (5:18)	10
2. Energy Level Diagram (5:13)	11
3. Potential Energy Curves for Br ₂ (17:169)	14
4. Optically Pumped Diatomic Laser Cycles (5:27)	15
5. ASE Kinetic Model (5:40)	18
6. Excitation Spectra (12:2528)	23
7. Br ₂ Laser Output as a Function of Br ₂ Pressure (12:2531)	24
8. Gain Cell and Gas Handling System	27
9. Experimental Setup	29
10. Side Fluorescence Spectra	31
11. Stimulated Emission Spectra	32
12. Second Differences	33
13. Side Fluorescence as a Function of Input Pump Intensity	35
14. Side Fluorescence as a Function of Bromine Pressure	36
15. Side Fluorescence as a Function of Detector Location	37
16. ASE Output vs Br ₂ Pressure Via Dye Laser Scan	39
17. ASE Output vs Br ₂ Pressure at Fixed Pump Wavelength	40
18. ASE Output vs Br ₂ Pressure with Different Removal Rates	42
19. Inverted Side Fluorescence at Extremely High Pressure	46

List of Figures

Figure	Page
20. Inverted Side Fluorescence at Moderately High Pressure	47
21. Inverted Side Fluorescence at Relatively Low Pressure	48
22. Second Differences for Inverted Spectra	49
23. Theoretical Beam Intensity	50
24. Side Fluorescence as a Function of Bromine Pressure	55
25. Side Fluorescence as a Function of Detector Location	56
26. Side Fluorescence: Detector at 25 cm, Br ₂ Pressure 128 Torr	57
27. Side Fluorescence: Detector at 50 cm, Br ₂ Pressure 128 Torr	58
28. Side Fluorescence: Detector at 75 cm, Br ₂ Pressure 128 Torr	59
29. Side Fluorescence: Detector at 25 cm, Br ₂ Pressure 65 Torr	60
30. Side Fluorescence: Detector at 50 cm, Br ₂ Pressure 62 Torr	61
31. Side Fluorescence: Detector at 75 cm, Br ₂ Pressure 63 Torr	62
32. Side Fluorescence: Detector at 25 cm, Br ₂ Pressure 14 Torr	63
33. Side Fluorescence: Detector at 50 cm, Br ₂ Pressure 14.5 Torr	64
34. Side Fluorescence: Detector at 75 cm, Br ₂ Pressure 13.5 Torr	65
35. Stimulated Emission Spectra for Br ₂ Pressure of 6.1 Torr	67
36. Stimulated Emission Spectra for Br ₂ Pressure of 10 Torr	68
37. Stimulated Emission Spectra for Br ₂ Pressure of 14 Torr	69
38. Stimulated Emission Spectra for Br ₂ Pressure of 20.4 Torr	70
39. ASE Output vs Br ₂ Pressure at Pump Wavelength of 565.412 nm	72

List of Figures

Figure	Page
40. ASE Output vs Br ₂ Pressure at Pump Wavelength of 562.856 nm	73
41. ASE Output vs Br ₂ Pressure at Pump Wavelength of 562.868 nm	74
42. ASE Output vs Br ₂ Pressure at Pump Wavelength of 565.420 nm	75
43. Raw ASE Output vs Br ₂ Pressure: Pump Wavelength of 565.412 nm	76
44. Raw ASE Output vs Br ₂ Pressure: Pump Wavelength of 562.856 nm	77
45. Raw ASE Output vs Br ₂ Pressure: Pump Wavelength of 562.868 nm	78
46. Raw ASE Output vs Br ₂ Pressure: Pump Wavelength of 564.164 nm	79
47. Raw ASE Output vs Br ₂ Pressure: Pump Wavelength of 565.420 nm	80
48. Model Part One: Sample Line Position Data File	82
49. Model Part Two: Sample Absorption Coeff Generation Program	83
50. Model Part Three: Program to Add Linewidth and Plot Spectrum	85

List of Tables

Table	Page
1. Molecular Constants for Br ₂	8

Abstract

↓
An optically pumped molecular bromine laser was studied to investigate the quenching kinetics of the $B^3\Pi(O_u^+)$ state of Br_2 . This included characterization of the pressure dependence of the laser output power.

The approach was to excite molecular bromine in a sealed cell with a Nd:YAG pumped dye laser. Unresolved side fluorescence and amplified stimulated emission (ASE) spectra were recorded. ASE offered the advantage of a simpler optical system with no externally induced wavelength dependencies. Stimulated emission as a signal monitor offered greater resolution than side fluorescence spectra and facilitated spectroscopic assignment. The spectra obtained were attributed to the (14,0) band of the $^{79}Br^{81}Br$ isotope. The ASE output power peaked around Br_2 pressures from 8-12 Torr. A total removal rate, including all processes which remove population from the upper laser level, of $2.9 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ is proposed. This removal rate is consistent with the ASE output pressure dependence and the observed time delay between the dye pump beam and ASE pulses.

Inverted side fluorescence measurements were obtained. These spectra appear real and attributable to Br_2 due to agreement between observed and calculated differences of adjacent lines. However, these spectra could not be duplicated with a different pump source.

AN OPTICALLY PUMPED MOLECULAR BROMINE LASER

I. *Introduction*

A. *Background*

Visible chemical lasers are of great interest as potential directed energy weapons and as diagnostic tools (1:1). The diatomic interhalogens appear to be suitable candidates for visible lasing (2:191). The spectroscopy and kinetics of the interhalogens have been studied extensively (3; 4). While the demonstration of a visible chemical laser is difficult, the kinetics of visible chemical lasers can be approximated by an optically pumped laser (1:6). Optical pumping schemes have been used to demonstrate lasing of I₂, IF, and Br₂ (2:191).

Optical pumping facilitates the modeling of the laser medium. Using an appropriate pump laser to optically pump the gain medium at a specific wavelength, a portion of the ground state population is excited to a state of higher energy. If enough atoms are excited to create a population inversion, and to exceed losses due to collisions and radiative transitions, lasing will occur. The resulting stimulated emission spectra can be recorded and analyzed.(5:1)

B. Problem

The diatomic halogen molecules "have been found an ideal class of molecule for which the novel properties of lasers operating at visible wavelengths can be utilized"(4:177). Molecular bromine is one of the diatomic halogens which has been studied extensively (3; 6; 7; 8; 9;10).

Wodarczyk and Schlossberg observed lasing from molecular bromine in the visible and near infrared when optically pumped at 532 nm. The laser's output peak power as a function of Br₂ pressure was found to vary with changes to the pump energy. As the pump energy was lowered the peak output power shifted to lower Br₂ pressures. Fairly high gains were reported. The power output of the Br₂ was limited by the B³Π(O_u⁺) state's large quenching cross section and collisional predissociation. (11:4476-4482)

Clyne *et al.* performed laser-excitation experiments of Br₂ to investigate the rates of energy transfer in the B state. Their studies were conducted using various collision partners. A quenching rate for Br₂ self-deactivation from the v' = 14 level was reported as $(4.2 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Reported quenching rates for deactivation by other collision partners were significantly lower. (8:963-964, 971-977)

Perram and Davis performed studies of an optically pumped Br₂ laser. The laser was operated at Br₂ pressures up to 60 Torr. Power output was examined as a function of Br₂ pressure and was strongly peaked at Br₂ pressures of 10 to 15 Torr, very similar to the observations of Wodarczyk and Schlossberg. Modeling of the Br₂ laser kinetics yielded a removal rate, which included all population removal processes from the upper laser level, on the order of $2.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. These results appear to be in disagreement

with the value reported by Clyne *et al.* In addition to the described work, the authors found Br₂ gain to be on the order of 0.11/cm and observed Amplified Spontaneous Emission (ASE) from the $v' = 13$, P(46) line of the Br₂.(12:2526-2533)

Van De Burgt and Heaven conducted an investigation of the electronic self-quenching of the B state of Br₂. Deactivation by Br₂(X) and He over a pressure range of 0.002-5 Torr was examined. A quenching rate constant of $(4.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ was reported. This is in close agreement with Clyne *et al.* A total removal rate, including the effects of the quenching rate and rotational, and vibrational energy transfer rates, was reported to be on the order of $1.4 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. This is drastically different from the rate of $2.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ suggested by Perram and Davis. (13:407-416)

Thus, even though molecular bromine has been studied extensively, the kinetics of the upper laser level are not clear. The quenching rates reported by Clyne *et al.*(8) and Van De Burgt and Heaven (13) are not consistent with the laser output's pressure dependence measured by Perram and Davis (12:2531).

C. Objective

The primary objective of this effort was to investigate the quenching kinetics of the B state of Br₂ through a detailed characterization of an optically pumped molecular bromine laser. This included characterization of the pressure dependence of the laser.

The approach was to optically pump molecular bromine in a sealed cell. As the single pass gain for molecular bromine is high, on the order of 0.11/cm (12:2532), amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) was employed. Measurements of side fluorescence and stimulated emission spectra were recorded. Perram and Davis, in their study of an optically

pumped Br₂ laser, found stimulated emission as the signal monitor afforded much greater resolution than did side fluorescence as a signal monitor (12:2527). The Br₂ laser output consisted of a series of P-R doublets as observed in earlier experiments (11; 12). Assignment of the excitation spectral lines was accomplished using the constants of Barrow *et al.* (3). Power output was examined as a function of Br₂ pressure. Data was collected for Br₂ self-deactivation. The output power's dependence on the buffer gas pressure was anticipated by a simplified kinetic model

$$P = P_0 \exp (-k[M]t_D) \quad (1)$$

where P is the laser output power, P₀ is an initial condition constant, M is the buffer pressure, k is the collisional rate constant for all losses from the prepared (pumped) level, and t_D is the time delay between the pump pulse and the Br₂ laser pulses (5:41). This simplified model has also been used to study quenching of an optically pumped I₂ laser (5). The quenching rates extracted via the kinetic model were compared with previous experimental results and a mechanism for depopulation of the upper laser level was proposed.

D. *Presentation*

Chapter II contains theoretical background relevant to this thesis. This includes information on molecular energy levels and transitions, optically pumped diatomic lasers, amplified spontaneous emission, and a short history of molecular bromine studies.

Chapter III provides descriptions of the experiments performed including details of the experimental apparatus.

Chapter IV supplies results and discussion. This includes spectroscopy and pressure dependence studies.

Chapter V offers a summary and conclusions of this work and an outline of suggested future efforts.

II. Theory

A. Molecular Energy Levels and Transitions

The potential energy curves of a diatomic molecule are a function of the internuclear spacing of the atoms making up the molecule. The potential energy curve for an harmonic oscillator, a parabolic well, is divided into uniformly spaced vibrational energy levels. These vibrational energy levels are in turn subdivided into rotational energy levels as illustrated in Figure 1. Thus, the diatomic molecules possess electronic, vibrational, and rotational energy. These various energy levels give rise to rotational (far infrared to microwave), vibrational-rotational (mid infrared), and electronic (visible to ultraviolet) transitions. An important part of spectroscopy is to analyze these transitions and determine the vibrational and rotational energy levels involved.(15:551-553)

The molecule's energy levels are identified by vibrational and rotational quantum numbers, v and J . The electronic energy above ground state is T_e , the vibrational energy is denoted G_v and the rotational energy is termed F_J . The vibrational and rotational energy are given by equations 1 and 2 respectively:

$$G_v = w_e(v + 0.5) - w_e x_e(v + 0.5)^2 + w_e y_e(v + 0.5)^3 + \dots \quad (2)$$

$$F_J = B_v J(J + 1) - D_v J^2(J + 1)^2 + H_v J^3(J + 1)^3 + \dots \quad (3)$$

$$\text{with } B_v = B_e + \alpha_e(v + 0.5) + \Gamma_e(v + 0.5)^2 + \dots \quad (4)$$

$$D_v = D_e + \beta_e(v + 0.5) + \dots \quad (5)$$

$$H_v = H_e + \dots \quad (6)$$

where w_e , $w_e x_e$, $w_e y_e$, B_e , α_e , Γ_e , D_e , β_e , and H_e are molecular constants.(5:151-152) These constants, as derived by Barrow *et al.*(3) for Br_2 , are contained in Table 1.

Equation 6 yields the molecular rovibronic transition energy ν :

$$\nu = \nu_0 + (G_{v'} - G_{v''}) + (F_{j'} - F_{j''}) \quad (7)$$

"where ν_0 (the band origin) is the transition energy between electronic states of the molecule with no rotational or vibrational energy" (5:12). Single primes are used to designate the upper energy level and double primes the lower energy level of the transition. Vibrational transitions are labelled by the upper and then lower vibrational quantum numbers as (v', v'') . (5:11-12)

TABLE 1

MOLECULAR CONSTANTS FOR Br₂
 $X^1\Sigma_g^+$ and $B^3\Pi_{Ou}^+$ STATES (3:440)

	⁷⁹ Br ₂ (cm ⁻¹)	⁸¹ Br ₂ (cm ⁻¹)	^{79,81} Br ₂ (cm ⁻¹)
T _∞	15823.47	15824.46	15822.96
T _e	15902.47	15902.47	15902.47
³ Π _{Ou} ⁺ State v ≤ 8			
w _e	167.6066	(165.5244)	(166.5688)
w _e x _e	1.63608	(1.5957)	(1.6159)
10 ³ w _e y _e	-9.3687	(-9.0238)	(-9.1957)
10 ² B _e	5.9589	(5.8118)	(5.8853)
10 ⁴ α _e	4.89095	(4.7109)	(4.8007)
10 ⁶ Γ _e	-6.6369		
r _e	2.6776Å	2.6776Å	2.6776Å
10 ⁸ D _e (Kratzer)	3.013	2.866	2.939
¹ Σ _g ⁺ State v ≤ 10			
w _e	325.3213	321.29 (321.2798)	(323.3069)
w _e x _e	1.07742	1.064 (1.0508)	(1.0641)
10 ³ w _e y _e	-2.29798	(-2.2134)	(-2.2556)
10 ² B _e	8.2107	8.0088 (8.0080)	(8.1093)
10 ⁴ α _e	3.1873	3.19 (3.070)	(3.1285)
10 ⁶ Γ _e	-1.045		
r _e	2.28107Å	2.28107Å	2.28107Å
10 ⁸ D _e (Kratzer)	2.092	1.990	2.041
Values in parentheses are calculated from isotope effects with ⁷⁹ μ = 39.45915, ⁸¹ μ = 40.45815, ^{79,81} μ = 39.95240			

The possible transitions can be split into three branches, P, Q, and R. The assignment of the branch is determined by the change in the rotational quantum number J . The transition is assigned to the P branch if $\Delta J = -1$, to the Q branch if $\Delta J = 0$, and to the R branch if $\Delta J = +1$. The Q branch does not appear for most diatomic molecules. Rotational transitions are labeled by the lower-state J'' values.(15:555-556) Figure 2 presents an energy level diagram. Note the P(2) and R(0) transitions of the (0,0) band and the P(3) and R(3) transitions of the (0,1) band are labelled as described previously.




Figure 1. Molecular Potential Energy Curve (5:18)




Figure 2. Energy Level Diagram (5:13)

B. *Optically Pumped Diatomic Lasers*

An optically pumped diatomic laser uses a pump source, usually a tunable dye laser, to excite molecules from the ground state to a higher energy level (16:385). Lasing occurs when a population inversion is created and losses due to collisions and radiative transitions are overcome (5:1). The diatomic halogen and interhalogen B-X systems are of great interest as optically pumped diatomic lasers due to their displaced potential energy curves. The internuclear spacing of the B (excited) state is shifted with respect to the X (ground) state.(5:5) In accordance with the Franck-Condon principle, which states that electronic processes (transitions) must take place along a vertical path (constant internuclear spacing), the most likely B-X transitions will terminate on high vibrational levels (v'') of the X state. The potential energy curves of Br₂, Figure 3, show this shift of the B state relative to the X state which is typical of the halogens. As the vibrational levels initially have a Boltzmann distribution, the high v'' levels are basically unpopulated in thermal equilibrium.(17:168-169). Thus, molecules excited from the X state to a specific rotational level of the B state will create a population inversion between the B state vibration level (v') and the unpopulated high v'' level of the ground state. Once this inversion is created and losses due to collisions and radiative transitions are exceeded, lasing will occur as the molecule transitions from a B state (v', J') to an X state high (v'', J'').

An optically pumped diatomic laser may be modeled as a three level or a four level laser cycle (5:26). In a three level cycle, a molecule is pumped from the ground state to the excited state (v'). Once in the v' excited state, it transitions directly to a high v'' level of the X state and lasing occurs. The molecule subsequently relaxes from the high v'' level to the low-lying

vibrational levels of the ground state.(15:307) The relaxation to the low-lying vibrational levels is due to collisions and radiative transitions (5:29). Thus, in the three level diatomic laser, the stimulated emission occurs from the level excited by the pump (16:385). In the four level cycle, a molecule is again pumped from the ground state to an excited state (v'). However, once in the excited v' level, the molecule relaxes to a lower J' or even a lower v' level before transitioning, and lasing, to the high v'' level of the ground state. The molecule then relaxes to the low-lying vibrational levels of the ground state as it did in the three level cycle.(15:307) Thus, in the four level diatomic laser, the pump energy is spread over several J' or even v' levels by relaxation and stimulated emission can result from each of these levels. As the pump energy is spread over several J' or v' levels, the population inversion is not as great and four level lasing is observed less frequently.(16:385) These optically pumped diatomic laser cycles are illustrated in Figure 4. Thus, the major difference between the three and four level cycles is that in the four level cycle the upper laser level and the pumped level are different (5:29).





Figure 3. Potential Energy Curves for Br_2 (17:169)

(a)



(b)




Figure 4. Optically Pumped Diatomic Laser Cycles
Three Level Cycle (a) and Four Level Cycle (b) (5:27)

C. *Amplified Spontaneous Emission*

A typical laser uses an optical cavity to promote oscillation, enhance gain, and reach lasing threshold. However, if the laser medium is of very high gain, mirrorless lasing can be achieved. In the latter case, Amplified Spontaneous Emission (ASE), the medium absorbs the pump energy and is allowed to radiate via spontaneous emission. The radiation due to spontaneous emission is incoherent and proceeds in all directions including along the medium's axis. Spontaneous emission is continuously added along the medium's axis and at the same time the power from previous sections of the medium is amplified exponentially by stimulated emission. The resultant emission can be very intense for certain media.(15:210) ASE has been observed for both I₂ and Br₂ (12:2532).

The output of a medium which supports mirrorless lasing or ASE will be controlled by the gain of the individual transitions. This can be very useful in examining the medium's spectroscopic and kinetic characteristics. The excited states of a molecule can be selectively populated v.a absorption of a tunable pump source and subsequently either the side fluorescence or stimulated emission may be monitored. Knowing that only transitions with relatively high Franck-Condon factors will be able to exceed threshold and support mirrorless lasing, or ASE, facilitates data analysis.(5:34)

A simplified model for the kinetic processes of pulsed optically pumped ASE of the I₂ B-X system was developed by Glessner. Glessner noted that "the populations of the various levels involved would be functions of vibration, rotation, position along the gain length, and time"(5:38). Glessner further noted several complications, such as the possibility of randomly initiated ASE and depletion due to ASE which is not in the proper solid angle,

which made difficult the development of a total model. However, Glessner was able to develop a simplified kinetic model which described the total loss from the upper energy state. (5:38-42) The following treatment for a simplified kinetic model of the Br₂ B-X system parallels Glessner's approach. The model incorporates the following assumptions:

- 1) The gain medium is an optically pumped three level system as shown in Figure 5a.
- 2) Both the pump and ASE pulses are considered instantaneous and copropagating.
- 3) Only the total energy per pulse is considered.
- 4) There is a time delay between the pump and ASE pulse as shown in Figure 5b. (5:39)

Collisional losses from the prepared (pumped) level are described as:



where Br₂(B:v', J') is the prepared (pumped) level, M is any collision partner, Br₂ is any state other than the prepared (pumped) state, and k is the collisional rate constant. From Equation 8 it follows that

$$d[\text{Br}_2(\text{B:v}', \text{J}')]/dt = -k[\text{Br}_2(\text{B:v}', \text{J}')][\text{M}] \quad (9)$$

Now, letting N₂ = Br₂(B:v', J') yields

$$dN_2/dt = -kN_2[\text{M}] \quad (10)$$

Solving for N₂ as a function of time produces

$$N_2(t) = N_2(0)\exp(-tk[\text{M}]) \quad (11)$$

where N₂(t) is the population density of the prepared (pumped) level at time t, N₂(0) is the population density of the prepared (pumped) level at time zero, k is the collisional rate constant for all losses from the prepared (pumped) level, and [M] is the buffer concentration. N₂, the population density of the prepared (pumped) level, is shown as a function of time




Figure 5. ASE Kinetic Model. Schematic energy level diagram (a), time history of transitions (b), and upper state population (c).(5:40)

in Figure 5c. Note that the population N_2 decays, due to collisions, from its peak value $N_2(0)$ to a lower value $N_2(t_D)$ at time t_D . Time t_D is the delay time between the pump pulse and the ASE pulse. Assuming the lasing transitions terminate on a single (v,J) level, which

is not necessarily true, mirrorless lasing or ASE ceases when the population of the prepared (pumped) level reaches a value of $N_2(t_D)/2$ as there is no longer a population inversion. (5:36-42) As the ASE output power is proportional to $N_2(t_D)$, Equation 11 leads to:

$$P = P_0 \exp (-k[M]t_D) \quad (1)$$

where P is the laser output power, P_0 is an initial condition constant dependent on the buffer concentration at time zero ($N_2(0)$), and t_D is the time delay between the pump pulse and the Br_2 laser pulses (5:41). This simplified model has been used to study quenching of an optically pumped I_2 laser (5). The quenching rates extracted via the kinetic model were compared with previous experimental results and a mechanism for depopulation of the upper laser level is proposed.

D. Molecular Bromine Studies

Considerable information is available for the electronic states of the diatomic halogen molecules (3; 4; 17). Molecular bromine is one of the diatomic halogens which has been studied extensively and has been successfully lased (11; 12).

Wodarczyk and Schlossberg observed lasing from molecular bromine in the visible and near infrared when optically pumped at 532 nm. They used a 21 cm long stainless steel laser cell with Pyrex Brewster windows to hold the room temperature Br_2 . Br_2 pressures within the cell ranged from 0.7 to 40 Torr for the experiments. A 34 cm long optical cavity with dielectric coated end mirrors enclosed the laser cell. The end mirrors (two sets) were coated to facilitate lasing in either the visible or infrared. A frequency doubled Nd:YAG laser and intercavity etalon were used to generate a 532 nm 0.03 cm^{-1} wide pump signal. The laser

oscillated at several wavelengths, termed broadband lasing by Wodarczyk and Schlossberg, when the cavity consisted of only the laser cell and end mirrors. Insertion of a prism into the cavity allowed selection of individual wavelengths for observation. Spectroscopic assignments of the individual lines were based on the constants of Barrow *et al.* (3). The lasing output was found to consist of P and R doublets in all cases. The laser's output peak power as a function of Br₂ pressure was found to vary with changes to the pump energy. As the pump energy was lowered the peak output power shifted to lower Br₂ pressures. Fairly high gains, 0.05-0.40 cm⁻¹ per pass, were reported due in part to the high pressures attainable with Br₂ at room temperature. The power output of the Br₂ was limited by the B state's large self-quenching cross section and collisional predissociation. (11:4476-4482)

Clyne *et al.* performed laser-excitation experiments of Br₂ to investigate the rates of energy (electronic, vibrational, and rotational) transfer in the B state. Their studies were conducted using various collision partners namely, Br₂, Ar, He, N₂, and Cl₂. The experiment involved populating selected ro-vibrational states of the bromine's excited B state. Both a cw dye laser operating in a single longitudinal mode and a 1 pm bandwidth pulsed dye laser were used as excitation sources.(8:961) The pulsed dye laser studies are most relevant to this thesis and therefore will be focused upon. For the pulsed dye laser studies, selected B state vibrational-rotational levels were populated. Fluorescent decay rates of various Br₂ pressures, up to 100 mTorr, were recorded by a photomultiplier and subsequently digitized for analysis. Electronic deactivation was assigned to collisional predissociation and therefore thought to be very efficient. A Stern-Volmer (SV) analysis of the fluorescent decay data was used to examine quenching rate constants for Br₂ self-deactivation and deactivation by

other partners. It was noted that the SV plots showed a strong dependence on J' . Reported quenching rates for Br₂ self-deactivation from the $v' = 14$ level ranged from $(4.1-9.2) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ with the value reported as $(4.2 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Reported quenching rates for deactivation by Ar $(1.7-4.5) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, Cl₂ $(3.9-6.0) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, and He $2.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ were somewhat lower.(8:963-964; 971-977)

Perram and Davis performed studies of an optically pumped Br₂ laser. A dye laser (550-575 nm range) was used to pump the $v' = 12-17$ levels of the B state of Br₂. The Br₂ was sealed in a 60 cm long Brewster windowed Pyrex cell. The cell was contained in a laser cavity having dielectric coated mirrors with a 3 m radius of curvature. The laser was operated at Br₂ pressures up to 60 Torr. Excitation spectra were recorded with side fluorescence and stimulated emission as a signal monitor. As Figure 6 shows, stimulated emission as the signal monitor afforded much greater resolution. Power output was examined as a function of Br₂ pressure (1.5-60 Torr) and was strongly peaked at Br₂ pressures of 10 to 15 Torr. This is very similar to the peak output versus Br₂ pressure observed by Wodarczyk and Schlossberg. Modeling of the Br₂ laser kinetics yielded a removal rate, including all processes which remove population from the upper laser level, on the order of $2.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Figure 7 shows Br₂ output power versus Br₂ pressure. Values measured by Perram and Davis as well as predicted curves using removal rates of 2.5 and $5.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ are shown in the figure. Note that the measured data more closely resembles the $2.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ predicted curve. These results appear to be in disagreement with the value of $(4.2 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ reported by Clyne *et*

al. Perram and Davis note the faster rates reported by Clyne *et al.* were obtained at Br₂ pressures several orders of magnitude lower. Therefore, the faster rates may contain R-T transfer contributions. At higher pressures, quenching effects will dominate over predissociation and R-T transfer contributions should be negligible and would lead to slower removal rates. In addition to the described work, the authors found Br₂ gain to be on the order of 0.11/cm and observed ASE from the $v' = 13$, P(46) line of Br₂. (12:2526-2533)

Van De Burgt and Heaven conducted an investigation of the electronic self-quenching of the E state of Br₂. A pulsed dye laser was used to excite molecules to specific rovibrational levels. Observations of real time fluorescence decay allowed the measurement of Br₂ B state lifetimes. Deactivation by Br₂(X) and He over a pressure range of 0.002-5 Torr was examined. Stern Volmer (SV) plots and a computer model of spontaneous decay, quenching, and energy transfer were used in data analysis. SV plots for $J' < 15$ and pressures < 5 Torr exhibited significant curvature due to competition between spontaneous and collisional deactivation. For higher J' values the SV plots were linear. Effective Br₂ self-deactivation rates ranging from $(4.0-11) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ were measured for pressures of 0-2 Torr. A quenching rate constant of $(4.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ was reported. This is in close agreement with Clyne *et al.* Rotational energy transfer rates were reported to be $(6 \pm 2) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and vibrational energy transfer rates were believed to be on the order of $(2.5-3.5) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. This yielded a total removal rate on the order of $1.4 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ which is drastically different from the rate of $2.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ suggested by Perram and Davis. Effective deactivation rates for

He as a collision partner at pressures of 0-5 Torr were measured to be less than 2×10^{-10} $\text{cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. (13:407-416)

Thus, even though molecular bromine has been studied extensively, the kinetics of the upper laser level are not clear. The quenching rates reported by Clyne *et al.*(8) and Van De Burgt and Heaven (13) are not consistent with the laser output's pressure dependence measured by Perram and Davis (12:2531).




Figure 6. Excitation Spectra.
Spontaneous Side Fluorescence as a Signal Monitor (a)
and Stimulated Emission as a Signal Monitor (b).(12:2528)




Figure 7. Br₂ Laser Output as a Function of Br₂ Pressure
 Δ indicates measured values, --- indicates predicted curve with
 $2.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ rate, and -O- indicates predicted
 curve with $2.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ rate.(12:2531)

III. *Experimental*

A. *Pump Source*

Two pump sources were used during this effort. A Lambda Physik excimer and dye laser system served as the pump source for early side fluorescence measurements. However, the excimer laser developed a gas leak and became unusable. For the remaining side fluorescence and all stimulated emission measurements, a Quanta-Ray Nd:YAG pumped dye laser system was used to provide a tunable pump signal.

The Lambda Physik system consisted of a Model EMG 101 MSC Excimer Laser and a Model FL 3002 Dye Laser. The excimer laser used a XeCl active medium to produce a 308 nm pulse with a linewidth 10 cm^{-1} to drive the dye laser. Coumarin 540A dye was used in the dye laser to provide a tunable output signal over the range of 522 to 600 nm. The Lambda Physik system provided approximately 17 ns pulses at a 20 Hz rate with $\leq 23\text{ mJ/pulse}$. The dye laser's linewidth was not measured prior to system failure.

The Quanta-Ray Nd:YAG pumped dye laser system consisted of a Q-switched Nd:YAG laser (DCR-3), an harmonic generator (HG-2) containing KDP crystals, and a pulsed dye laser (PDL-2). The Q-switched Nd:YAG 1064 nm output was frequency doubled to 532 nm by the harmonic generator to drive the pulsed dye laser. The pulsed dye laser contained a motor controlled grating which allowed for output wavelength selection. Rhodamine 590 dye was used in the pulsed dye laser to provide pump wavelengths in the 550-575 nm range. The Nd:YAG pumped dye laser system provided 8 ns pulses at a 20 Hz rate with a bandwidth on the order of 0.3 cm^{-1} . The system's output ranged from 13 to 48 mJ/pulse, as measured

by a Quantronix 504 Energy Meter and 501 Energy Receiver, over the 550 to 575 nm range when operated at maximum power output. The bulk of experimental work was limited to pump wavelengths between 560 and 570 nm where the system's output was ≥ 35 mJ/pulse.

B. *Gain Cell and Gas Handling System*

The gain cell, shown in Figure 8, was constructed of glass with a 0.5 inch (12 mm) diameter. The gain cell was terminated on each end with removable one inch diameter glass windows at Brewster's angle. The removable windows were required to allow periodic cleaning. The length of the gain cell from input to exit Brewster windows was 130 cm. A gas handling system, also shown in Figure 8, was used to handle the Br₂. After placing the Br₂ in the handling system, the system was sealed. Gas pressures were monitored with an MKS Baratron PAR-100 Pressure Meter and 221AHS-A-100 100 Torr Pressure Transducer located near the laser output. The Br₂ was subjected to repeated freeze-thaw-pumping cycles with liquid nitrogen. This allowed any impurities in the Br₂ to be effectively removed. Between experimental runs, the gain cell was evacuated to ≤ 0.01 Torr. A cold trap was used to capture any residual Br₂ from the gain cell and protect the Duo Seal Model 1376 Vacuum Pump during cell evacuation.

C. Data Acquisition

As described earlier, both side fluorescence (perpendicular to the excitation beam) and stimulated emission were used as signal monitors during data acquisition. In order to block the dye pump beam from the detector, 630 nm long-pass filters were employed. A photomultiplier (RCA Type 7326), boxcar integrator (PARC Model 162), and strip chart were used to record the stimulated emission intensity and unresolved side fluorescence as a function of pump wavelength at specific gas pressures. The boxcar integrator averaged the 20 Hz signal and provided a voltage proportional to the input signal's intensity. A Tektronix Model 7834 Oscilloscope was used to monitor the photomultiplier signal and boxcar integrator's gate. The Oscilloscope was also used to measure the time delay between the dye laser pump pulse and Br₂ ASE pulse during stimulated emission experiments. To measure this time delay, it was necessary to remove the 630 nm long pass filter closest to the photomultiplier to allow a portion of the dye pump beam to be detected. A typical measurement configuration is shown in Figure 9.

An Optically Pumped Molecular Bromine Laser




Figure 9. Experimental Setup

IV. Results and Discussion

A. Spectroscopic Assignments

Spectra were obtained using both side fluorescence and stimulated emission as signal monitors. A typical side fluorescence spectra depicting the majority of the (14,0) band is shown in Figure 10. This spectra along with additional side fluorescence spectra found in Appendix A were obtained using the Quanta-Ray Nd:YAG pumped dye laser system as the pump source. Using the constants of Barrow *et al.*(3), it can be determined that the bandhead is at 562.0 nm. The side fluorescence spectra obtained lack the resolution necessary to perform detailed assignments. The lack of resolution is due to the presence of the three bromine isotopes ($^{79}\text{Br}^{79}\text{Br}$, $^{79}\text{Br}^{81}\text{Br}$, and $^{81}\text{Br}^{81}\text{Br}$) and the relatively wide bandwidth (0.3 cm^{-1}) of the dye laser.

Figure 11 shows a typical excitation spectra of the (14,0) band using stimulated emission as the signal monitor. The characteristic P-R doublet structure of the halogen molecules is apparent. The ASE output signal being monitored fluctuated between shots and even "turned off" at times implying that it was being run just over the threshold level. As the bromine isotopes $^{79}\text{Br}^{79}\text{Br}$ and $^{81}\text{Br}^{81}\text{Br}$ each have relative populations of approximately one half the $^{79}\text{Br}^{81}\text{Br}$ isotope population, they were not sufficiently excited to achieve the threshold level. Therefore, the spectra in Figure 11 was assigned to the $^{79}\text{Br}^{81}\text{Br}$ isotope of bromine. The increased resolution provided by the use of stimulated emission as the signal

INTENSITY ARBITRARY UNITS




Figure 10. Side Fluorescence Spectra




Figure 11. Stimulated Emission Spectra

monitor facilitated further spectroscopic assignment. Examination of the second differences between adjacent doublets allowed rotational assignments to be made. Figure 12 shows a comparison of the measured differences $\Delta F(J)=P(J)-P(J+1)$ with the differences obtained using the constants of Barrow *et al.*(3) for the (14,0) band. The observed and calculated differences are in good agreement.




Figure 12. Second Differences A comparison of the measured differences $\Delta F(J)=P(J)-P(J+1)$ with the differences obtained using the constants of Barrow *et al.*(3) for the (14,0) band.

B. Side Fluorescence Spectra

Side Fluorescence data was examined to observe the effect that changes to the pump power, the bromine vapor pressure, and location of the detection device with respect to the gain cell input have on the magnitude and characteristics of the side fluorescence spectra. As the pump beam proceeds down the length of the gain cell, more and more of the input intensity is absorbed resulting in a dropoff of the detected side fluorescence output intensity. The detected side fluorescence can be described by:

$$I_{out} = I_{in} \exp(\Delta N \sigma_{SE} l) \quad (12)$$

where I_{in} is the intensity of the pump signal, σ_{SE} is the stimulated emission cross section, and l is the distance from the input of the cell (gain medium) to the location of the detector (15:176). ΔN can be approximated as:

$$\Delta N \approx N_2 - N_1 \approx -N_1 \approx -P/(k T) \quad (13)$$

where N_2 is the population of the excited energy state (assumed zero initially), N_1 is the population of the unexcited energy state, P is the pressure of the gain medium (bromine), k is Boltzmann's constant, and T is the ambient temperature. Thus, equation (12) can be recast as:

$$I_{out} = I_{in} \exp[-(P \sigma_{SE} l)/(k T)] \quad (14)$$

where $-(P \sigma_{SE} l)/(k T)$ describes the absorption of the media. Equation (14) states the measured side fluorescence intensity varies linearly with respect to changes of the input pump intensity (I_{in}) and exponentially with changes to the gain medium (bromine) pressure (P) or changes to the detector distance from the gain cell input (l). The relationships




Figure 13. Side Fluorescence as a Function of Input Pump Intensity: Full (a) and Reduced (b) Power




Figure 14. Side Fluorescence as a Function of Bromine Pressure
Detector located 25 cm from Cell Input




Figure 15. Side Fluorescence as a Function of Detector Location
Bromine Pressure is 128 Torr

anticipated by Equation (14) are confirmed by Figures 13, 14, and 15 which depict side fluorescence as a function of input intensity, bromine pressure, and detector location respectively.

C. Power Output vs Br₂ Pressure

Mirrorless lasing or ASE was observed over a wide range of bromine pressures (4-40 Torr). Stimulated emission was used as a signal monitor to determine the peak signal occurred around 8-12 Torr. This behavior is similar to the output peak near 10 Torr observed by Wodarczk and Schlossberg (11:4480) and the peaked output around 10-15 Torr noted by Perram and Davis (12:2530). The relative strength of the Br₂ mirrorless lasing was determined by two different methods. The first method consisted of scanning the dye laser over the (14,0) band and averaging the magnitude of the five strongest Br₂ lines observed while holding the Br₂ pressure constant. This process was then repeated for various Br₂ pressures similar to the work of Perram and Davis.(12:2530) Figure 16 shows the resulting Br₂ ASE power as a function of Br₂ pressure achieved via this method. It should be noted that the data is fairly erratic as denoted by the error bars. This is due to the fact the mirrorless lasing was occurring very near threshold which resulted in an output beam that varied in intensity from shot to shot. The solid curves of Figure 16 will be explained later. Actual spectra obtained via this method are contained in Appendix B.

In an effort to average out some of the erratic nature of the data a second method of determining the relative strength of the Br₂ mirrorless lasing was employed. This method involved tuning the dye laser to a fixed pump wavelength, adjusting the Br₂ pressure to a




Figure 16. ASE Output vs Br₂ Pressure Via Dye Laser Scan
 The solid curves represent a total removal rate of
 $2.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ with a time delay (t_D) of
 12 (a), 14 (b), and 16 (c) nanoseconds.

stable value, and then averaging the ASE output for approximately 4 minutes. The Br₂ pressure was then adjusted to a new stable value and the process was repeated. The longer averaging period reduced the erratic nature of the data. This procedure was employed at various pump wavelengths representing a wide range of rotational levels in the (14,0) band. Figure 17 shows a typical data set employing this collection method. The solid curves in




Figure 17. ASE Output vs Br₂ Pressure at Fixed Pump Wavelength
Pump Wavelength = 564.164 nm Corresponding to the P(46) Br₂ Line.

The solid curves represent a total removal rate of
 $2.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ with a time delay (t_D) of
 12 (a), 14 (b), and 16 (c) nanoseconds.

Figure 17 will be described shortly. Additional data sets and actual raw data plots are contained in Appendix C.

This ASE output power as a function of Br₂ pressure data can be used to obtain a total removal rate for depopulation of the upper lasing level, if we recall the simplified model to

study quenching described earlier:

$$P = P_0 \exp (-k[M]t_D) \quad (1)$$

Dividing by P_0 and taking the natural log leads to:

$$\ln (P/P_0) = -k[M]t_D \quad (15)$$

from which the total removal rate k can be extracted. The time delay between the dye laser pump pulse and the Br_2 ASE pulse, measured between the peak of the two pulses, was 14 ± 2 nanoseconds. The solid curves in Figures 16 and 17 represent total removal rates of $2.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $2.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ respectively with time delays (t_D) of 12 (a), 14 (b), and 16 (c) nanoseconds. Figure 18 a displays the same data as Figure 17. However, the solid curves of Figure 18 represent a time delay of 14 nanoseconds with total removal rates of $2.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (a), $5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (b), and $1.4 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (c). Figure 18b plots $\ln (P/P_0)$ vs bromine pressure. The solid line of Figure 18b depicts a line with slope $-kt_D$ and shows that with a time delay (t_D) of 14 nanoseconds, a total removal rate (k) of $2.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ provides a good fit to the observed data. The agreement between the actual data and the predicted values is much better with the slower removal rate of $2.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. This agreement with the slower removal rate is typical of the data sets collected. Total removal rates of $2.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ to $5.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ with a time delay of 14 nanoseconds are shown to be in good agreement with the data in Appendix C. Thus, a total removal rate, including all processes which remove population from the upper laser level, of $2.9 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ is proposed. This value is the average of all data sets collected.




Figure 18a. The solid curves represent a time delay (t_D) of 14 nanoseconds with total removal rates of $2.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (a), $5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (b), and $1.4 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (c).




Figure 18b. $\ln(P/P_0)$ vs bromine pressure. The solid line represents a slope of $-kt_D$ with $t_D = 14 \text{ ns}$ and $k = 2.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

Figure 18. ASE Output vs Br_2 Pressure with Different Removal Rates

The proposed total removal rate of $2.9 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ is in close agreement with the value of $2.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ suggested by Perram and Davis (12:2531), but disagrees with the quenching rate constant of $(4.2 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ reported by Clyne *et al.* (8:961) and the total removal rate on the order of $1.4 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ reported by Van De Burgt and Heaven (13:415). It should be noted that the Clyne *et al.* work was conducted at pressures less than 100 mTorr (8:972) and the Van De Burgt and Heaven data was taken at pressures less than 5 Torr (13:408). Meanwhile, the present work concentrated on pressures above 5 Torr similar to the efforts of Perram and Davis (12:2531). At these higher pressures quenching should be the main factor depleting the upper laser level and the effects of energy transfer should be negligible which should yield a slower removal rate. Also, the slower removal rates seem to be in closer agreement with the laser's observed performance. Using the simple relationship:

$$P = N k T \quad (16)$$

where P is pressure, N is the number of molecules/cm³, k is Boltzmann's constant, and T is the temperature yields approximately $3.2 \times 10^{17} \text{ molecules/cm}^3$ at a pressure of 10 Torr, near the laser's peak output. At 10 Torr, a removal rate of $1.4 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ would depopulate the upper lasing level in 2.2 nanoseconds. This does not agree with the observed time delay between the dye pump pulse and ASE pulse of 12-16 nanoseconds. However, the slower removal rate of $2.9 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ depopulates the 10 Torr upper lasing level in 10.8 nanoseconds which is much closer to the observed time delay.

D. *Inverted Side Fluorescence*

Early side fluorescence measurements using the Lambda Physik Excimer and Dye Lasers as the dye pump source resulted in some very unusual spectra. During these measurements, a pressure meter was unavailable. Qualitatively, three sets of spectra were obtained: one set at extremely high bromine pressure (enough to totally absorb the dye pump beam) shown in Figure 19, one set at a moderately high bromine pressure (enough to totally absorb the dye pump beam at certain wavelengths and partially absorb it at other wavelengths) displayed in Figure 20, and one set at relatively low bromine pressure (the dye pump beam was only partially absorbed at all wavelengths observed) depicted in Figure 21. Examination of the three scans reveals some very unusual features. The spectra at extremely high and moderately high pressures appear to be inverted. Nulls rather than peaks occur at strong bromine absorption lines. Secondly, all three spectra seem to lack the characteristic P-R doublet structure expected of the halogen molecules. Examination of the second differences between adjacent deep nulls in Figures 19 and 20 and strong peaks in Figure 21 allowed rotational assignments to be made. Figure 22 shows a comparison of the measured differences $\Delta F(J)=P(J)-P(J+1)$ with the differences obtained using the constants of Barrow *et al.*(3) for the (14,0) band for the extremely high pressure (a), moderately high pressure (b), and relatively low pressure (c) cases. The observed and calculated differences show a fair agreement. This agreement leads to the belief that the spectral features are indeed real and attributable to Br₂. It is believed the inverted nature of the spectra at higher pressures is due to the weakness of the pump beam, and the lack of P-R doublet structure is due to the duration and linewidth of the pump beam. It is suggested that the pump beam signal is weak

enough that, at the higher pressures, the entire pump beam has been absorbed at the strong Br₂ lines before reaching the detector location. Thus, at these strong absorption lines, no incident beam exists and the detected signal is weaker than the side fluorescence at adjacent wavelengths and therefore appears as a null or inverted peak. Although this behavior could not be precisely modeled, a model showing the relative intensity of the beam at the detector has been developed. This model, detailed in Appendix D, calculates the bromine absorption coefficient and uses it to plot $I_{out}/I_{in} = \exp(-\alpha l)$ as a function of dye pump beam wavelength. A sample plot at a Br₂ pressure of 128 Torr and a detector location (l) of 25 cm is contained in Figure 23. Although this plot does not precisely match the actual spectra observed, it does show the nulling of the beam intensity in a periodic fashion due to strong bromine absorption lines.

During the experimentation, the Lambda Physik Excimer Laser developed a gas leak necessitating a change of pump sources to the Quanta-Ray Nd:YAG pumped dye laser system described earlier. After changing to the Quanta-Ray system, the inverted side fluorescence spectra could not be duplicated.




Figure 22. Second Differences for Inverted Spectra extremely high pressure (a), moderately high pressure (b), and relatively low pressure (c) cases.

V. Conclusion

A. Summary and Conclusions

The primary objective of this effort was to investigate the quenching kinetics of the B state of Br₂ through a detailed characterization of an optically pumped molecular bromine laser. This included characterization of the pressure dependence of the laser.

The approach was to optically pump molecular bromine in a sealed cell. As the single pass gain for molecular bromine is on the order of 0.11/cm (12:2532), amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) was employed. ASE offered the advantage of a simpler optical system with no externally induced wavelength dependencies. Measurements of unresolved side fluorescence and stimulated emission spectra were recorded. Stimulated emission as a signal monitor offered greater resolution than side fluorescence spectra and facilitated spectroscopic assignment. The spectra obtained were attributed to the (14,0) band of the ⁷⁹Br⁸¹Br isotope. The data showed the ASE output to be dependent on the Br₂ pressure in the gain cell. The ASE output peaked around Br₂ pressures from 8-12 Torr. This behavior is similar to the output peak near 10 Torr observed by Wodarczk and Schlossberg (11:4480) and the peaked output around 10-15 Torr noted by Perram and Davis (12:2530). The data was also used to extract and propose a total removal rate, including all processes which remove population from the upper laser level, of $2.9 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. This removal rate is in close agreement with the value of $2.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ suggested by Perram and Davis (12:2531), but disagrees with the quenching rate constant of $(4.2 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ reported by Clyne *et al.* (8:961) and the total removal rate on the order of $1.4 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ reported by Van De Burgt and Heaven (13:415). The slower

removal rates proposed by the current work and suggested by Perram and Davis seem to more closely describe the observed performance of the optically pumped molecular bromine laser. The slower rates are more consistent with the ASE output pressure dependence and the observed time delay between the dye pump beam and ASE pulses.

An interesting, but not fully understood, set of inverted side fluorescence measurements were obtained during the early portions of the work. These spectra appear real and attributable to Br₂ due to a fair agreement between observed and calculated differences of adjacent lines. It is believed the inverted nature of the spectra at higher pressures is due to the weakness of the pump beam, and the lack of P-R doublet structure may be due to the duration and linewidth of the pump beam. It is suggested that the pump beam signal is weak enough that, at the higher pressures, the entire pump beam has been absorbed at the strong Br₂ lines before reaching the detector location. Thus, at these strong absorption lines, no incident beam exists and the detected signal is weaker than the side fluorescence at adjacent wavelengths and therefore appears as a null or inverted peak. Although these spectra do indeed appear attributable to Br₂, they could not be duplicated in later measurements made with a different pump source.

B. *Future Work*

Reported total removal rates for the upper laser level range from the $2.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ suggested by Perram and Davis (12:2531) to the $1.4 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ reported by Van De Burgt and Heaven (13:415). Further studies are required to resolve these differences. It is suggested that additional measurements be taken via method two which involved using stimulated emission as a signal monitor, tuning the dye laser to a fixed

pump wavelength, adjusting the Br₂ pressure to a stable value, and then averaging the ASE output for approximately 4 minutes. The Br₂ pressure was then adjusted to a new stable value and the process was repeated. This method allows a longer averaging period and reduces the erratic nature of the data. Measurements should be made at many Br₂ pressures from 1 to 60 Torr and at various pump wavelengths to gain a better understanding of the laser output's pressure dependence. Another interesting study would be to examine the upper laser level removal rate with collision partners other than Br₂.

Finally, the inverted side fluorescence spectra should be revisited. This could be done in two ways: The first would be to use the Lambda Physik system, once it is operational, as the pump source and attempt to duplicate the inverted spectra. The second would be to put more effort into development of a theoretical model of the spectra. These inverted spectra are of interest as the *null to background ratio* appears to provide greater resolution than does a typical side spectra.

Appendix A

Side Fluorescence Data

Figures 24 and 25 display the side fluorescence magnitude's exponential dependence on bromine pressure and detector location respectively. Figures 26 through 34 depict raw side fluorescence data.





Figure 24. Side Fluorescence as a Function of Bromine Pressure
Detector Location is 50 cm (a) and 75 cm (b) from Cell Input





Figure 25. Side Fluorescence as a Function of Detector Location
Br₂ Pressure is 14 ± 0.5 Torr (a) and 63.5 ± 1.5 Torr (b)




Figure 26. Side Fluorescence: Detector at 25cm, Br₂ Pressure 128 Torr




Figure 27. Side Fluorescence:
Detector at 50 cm, Br₂ Pressure 128 Torr






Figure 28. Side Fluorescence:
Detector at 75 cm, Br₂ Pressure 128 Torr



PUMP WAVELENGTH IN ANGSTROMS


Figure 29. Side Fluorescence:
Detector at 25 cm, Br₂ Pressure 65 Torr



PUMP WAVELENGTH IN ANGSTROMS


Figure 30. Side Fluorescence:
Detector at 50 cm, Br₂ Pressure 62 Torr

RELATIVE INTENSITY ARBITRARY UNITS



PUMP WAVELENGTH IN ANGSTROMS

Figure 31. Side Fluorescence:
Detector at 75 cm, Br₂ Pressure 63 Torr



PUMP WAVELENGTH IN ANGSTROMS

Figure 32. Side Fluorescence:
Detector at 25 cm, Br₂ Pressure 14 Torr





Figure 33. Side Fluorescence:

Detector at 50 cm, Br₂ Pressure 14.5 Torr



PUMP WAVELENGTH IN ANGSTROMS

Figure 34. Side Fluorescence:
Detector at 75 cm, Br₂ Pressure 13.5 Torr

Appendix B

Stimulated Emission Spectra

Figures 35 through 38 display spectra obtained using stimulated emission as a signal monitor. This raw data was used to construct Figure 16.




Figure 35. Stimulated Emission Spectra for Br₂ Pressure of 6.1 Torr




Figure 36. Stimulated Emission Spectra for Br₂ Pressure of 10 Torr




Figure 37. Stimulated Emission Spectra for Br₂ Pressure of 14 Torr




Figure 38. Stimulated Emission Spectra for Br₂ Pressure of 20.4 Torr

Appendix C

ASE Output vs Br₂ Pressure at Fixed Pumped Wavelength

Figures 39a through 42a show the ASE output dependence on Br₂ pressure for various pump wavelengths. The solid curves represent the appropriate total removal rates from the upper lasing level with time delays (t_D) of 12 (a), 14 (b), and 16 (c) nanoseconds. Figures 39b through 42b plot $\ln(P/P_0)$ vs bromine pressure. The solid lines represent lines with a slope of $-kt_D$ for each data set. Figures 43 through 47 represent the raw data used to generate Figure 17 and Figures 39 through 42. This data was collected via method two which involved sitting at a fixed pump wavelength and varying the Br₂ pressure as described earlier.




Figure 39a. The solid curves represent a total removal rate of $2.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ with a time delay (t_D) of 12 (a), 14 (b), and 16 (c) nanoseconds.




Figure 39b. $\ln(P/P_0)$ vs bromine pressure. The solid line represents a slope of $-kt_D$ with $t_D = 14 \text{ ns}$ and $k = 2.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

Figure 39. ASE Output vs Br₂ Pressure
at Fixed Pump Wavelength of 565.412 nm




Figure 40a. The solid curves represent a total removal rate of $5.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ with a time delay (τ_D) of 12 (a), 14 (b), and 16 (c) nanoseconds.




Figure 40b. $\ln(P/P_0)$ vs bromine pressure. The solid line represents a slope of $-k\tau_D$ with $\tau_D = 14 \text{ ns}$ and $k = 5.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

Figure 40. ASE Output vs Br₂ Pressure
at Fixed Pump Wavelength of 562.856 nm




Figure 41a. The solid curves represent a total removal rate of $2.7 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ with a time delay (t_D) of 12 (a), 14 (b), and 16 (c) nanoseconds.




Figure 41b. $\ln(P/P_0)$ vs bromine pressure. The solid line represents a slope of $-kt_D$ with $t_D = 14 \text{ ns}$ and $k = 2.7 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

Figure 41. ASE Output vs Br_2 Pressure
at Fixed Pump Wavelength of 562.868 nm




Figure 42a. The solid curves represent a total removal rate of $2.4 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ with a time delay (t_D) of 12 (a), 14 (b), and 16 (c) nanoseconds.





Figure 42b. $\ln(P/P_0)$ vs bromine pressure. The solid line represents a slope of $-kt_D$ with $t_D = 14 \text{ ns}$ and $k = 2.4 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

Figure 42. ASE Output vs Br_2 Pressure at Fixed Pump Wavelength of 565.420 nm



BROMINE PRESSURE IN TORR

Figure 43. Raw ASE Output vs Br₂ Pressure:
Pump Wavelength of 565.412 nm




Figure 44. Raw ASE Output vs Br₂ Pressure:
Pump Wavelength of 562.856 nm




Figure 45. Raw ASE Output vs Br₂ Pressure:
Pump Wavelength of 562.868 nm




Figure 46. Raw ASE Output vs Br₂ Pressure:
Pump Wavelength of 564.164 nm




Figure 47. Raw ASE Output vs Br₂ Pressure:
Pump Wavelength of 565.420 nm

Appendix D

Mathematical Model

This appendix details the mathematical model used to predict the amplitude of the dye pump beam at the detector location during side fluorescence measurements. The model, while not exactly duplicating the inverted side fluorescence spectra, shows the general trend of an inverted side fluorescence spectra encountered during early experimentation. The mathematical model consists of three parts: Part one is a data file containing the line positions (generated with the constants of Barrow *et al.*(3)) of the (14,0), (17,1), and (20,2) bands of bromine. Separate data files were used for each of the three bromine isotopes. Part two is a MATHCAD program which reads the data file and calculates the bromine absorption coefficients taking into account the pressure, appropriate spectroscopic constants, Franck-Condon factors, rotational population effects, and relative isotope distributions (the bromine isotopes $^{79}\text{Br}^{79}\text{Br}$ and $^{81}\text{Br}^{81}\text{Br}$ each have relative populations of approximately one half the $^{79}\text{Br}^{81}\text{Br}$ isotope population). Separate MATHCAD programs were used for each bromine isotope to generate three separate data files of absorption coefficients. Part three consisted of a MATHCAD program which read in the absorption coefficients, added them together, and applied a Doppler line shape to form and plot a spectrum based on $I_{\text{out}}/I_{\text{in}} = \exp(-\alpha l)$. Figure 48 shows a typical line position data file, Figure 49 depicts a sample absorption coefficient generation program for one isotope, and Figure 50 lists the program used to read the absorption coefficients, add linewidth, and plot a theoretical spectrum.

Wavelength	J	Band	Wavelength	J	Band	Wavelength	J	Band
5625.41	22	0	5626.88	26	1	5631.12	9	2
5625.86	23	0	5627.43	27	1	5631.35	10	2
5626.34	24	0	5628	28	1	5631.60	11	2
5626.83	25	0	5628.59	29	1	5631.87	12	2
5627.34	26	0	5629.19	30	1	5632.15	13	2
5627.87	27	0	5629.82	31	1	5632.46	14	2
5628.42	28	0	5630.46	32	1	5632.79	15	2
5628.99	29	0	5631.13	33	1	5633.14	16	2
5629.57	30	0	5631.81	34	1	5633.51	17	2
5630.18	31	0	5632.51	35	1	5633.90	18	2
5630.80	32	0	5633.23	36	1	5634.31	19	2
5631.44	33	0	5633.97	37	1	5634.74	20	2
5632.10	34	0	5634.74	38	1	5629.91	0	2
5632.78	35	0	5625.19	26	1	5629.90	1	2
5633.48	36	0	5625.67	27	1	5629.91	2	2
5634.19	37	0	5626.18	28	1	5629.94	3	2
5634.93	38	0	5626.70	29	1	5629.99	4	2
5625.15	25	0	5627.24	30	1	5630.06	5	2
5625.60	26	0	5627.80	31	1	5630.14	6	2
5626.06	27	0	5628.38	32	1	5630.25	7	2
5626.54	28	0	5628.98	33	1	5630.38	8	2
5627.04	29	0	5629.60	34	1	5630.53	9	2
5627.56	30	0	5630.24	35	1	5630.69	10	2
5628.10	31	0	5630.89	36	1	5630.88	11	2
5628.66	32	0	5631.57	37	1	5631.09	12	2
5629.23	33	0	5632.26	38	1	5631.32	13	2
5629.83	34	0	5632.98	39	1	5631.56	14	2
5630.44	35	0	5633.71	40	1	5631.83	15	2
5631.07	36	0	5634.47	41	1	5632.12	16	2
5631.72	37	0	5629.99	1	2	5632.42	17	2
5632.38	38	0	5630.07	2	2	5632.75	18	2
5633.07	39	0	5630.16	3	2	5633.10	19	2
5633.77	40	0	5630.27	4	2	5633.46	20	2
5634.50	41	0	5630.40	5	2	5633.85	21	2
5625.35	23	1	5630.55	6	2	5634.25	22	2
5625.85	24	1	5630.72	7	2	5634.68	23	2
5626.36	25	1	5630.91	8	2			

Figure 48. Model Part One: Sample Line Position Data File

Bromine lines -- Calculation of absorption coefficient
taking into account rotational and vibrational effects.
cmaheadthesisbrspec

```

i := 1 .. 330      *** read in data ***
x := READ(lines)
i
i := 1.4 .. 328
λ := x              *** Sort out wavelength
i+2 i               in air in Angstroms ***
-----
3
i := 2.5 .. 329    *** Sort out J Number of line ***
J := x
i+1 i
-----
3
i := 3.6 .. 330    *** Sort out band ***
B := x              0=(14,0) 1=(17,1) 2=(20,2)
i i
-----
3
***** Constants *****

wel := 323.3069 cm-1      k := 1.3807 10-23 Pa m3 K-1
weu := 166.5683 cm-1      Torr := 133.32 Pa
Evl := 0.081093 cm-1      T := 300 deg K
q014 := 0.00056           P := 128 Torr
q117 := 0.00924           N2 := 0
q220 := 0.02477
P Torr molecules/cm3
N := ----- 18
      6 N = 4.119881 10
      k T 10

*** Cross Sections (in cm2) ***
σse014 := 1.49 10-17      σse117 := 2.46 10-16      σse220 := 6.59 10-16
*** Vibrational ***
Nv1014 := exp [-wel ·  $\frac{0.5}{208}$ ]      Nv1117 := exp [-wel ·  $\frac{1.5}{208}$ ]
Nv1220 := exp [-wel ·  $\frac{2.5}{208}$ ]      Nv1014 = 0.459701
                                      Nv1117 = 0.097146
                                      Nv1220 = 0.020529

```

Figure 49. Model Part Two:
Sample Absorption Coeff Generation Program

```

*** Rotational ***
NJ1=(hc/kT)Bv1(2J+1)exp[-Bv1J(J+1)(hc/kT)]
i := 1 ..110

NJ1_i := [1 / 208] Bv1_i [2J_i + 1] exp[-Bv1_i J_i (J_i + 1) / 208]

Calculate absorption coefficient -α=δNσse=[N2-(g2/g1)N1]σse
*****
g2=g1 N2=C
σse=(λ^2/(8π))A21g(v)

i := 1 ..110
Nt_i := 0 α_i := 0 Initialize

i := 1 ..110
Nt_i := if [0 - B_i] = 0, Nt_i, Nv1014 NJ1_i N + Nt_i (14.0) band

α_i := if [0 - B_i] = 0, α_i, σse014 Nt_i + α_i

i := 1 ..110
Nt_i := if [1 - B_i] = 0, Nt_i, Nv1117 NJ1_i N + Nt_i (17.1) band

α_i := if [1 - B_i] = 0, α_i, σse117 Nt_i + α_i

i := 1 ..110
Nt_i := if [2 - B_i] = 0, Nt_i, Nv1220 NJ1_i N + Nt_i (20.2) band

α_i := if [2 - B_i] = 0, α_i, σse220 Nt_i + α_i

*** place absorption coeff by λ ***
pt := 0 ..1000 αb := 0 initialize variables
pt := 0 ..1000 pt
i := 1 ..110
αb_pt := if [5625 + pt / 100 - λ_i] = 0.0, αb_pt, α_i fill in α where there are no lines.

pt := 0 ..1000
WRITE(brabco) := αb_pt Write absorption coeff to data file
pt

```

Figure 49. Model Part Two:
Sample Absorption Coeff Generation Program

```

Read data from brabco (absorb coef) Add linewidth to lines
and create spectrum cmatheadthesispltbrc
l := 25 cm
k := 0 ..1000 *** read data *** ** initialize **
M := READ(brabco) + READ(abco79) + READ(abco81) m := 0
k
k := 20 ..980 *** allow for line width 20 is 5625.2 A
980 is 5634.8 A *****

```

$$\delta v := \frac{.1}{5630} \left[\frac{3 \cdot 10}{5630 \cdot 10} \right]^{-10} \quad \text{line width based on data}$$

$$\delta v = 9.464648 \cdot 10^{-9}$$

```

c := 3 10
Cnt := -20..-19 ..20 +/- 0.2 Angstroms

```

$$v_{k+Cnt} := \frac{c}{5625 + \frac{k+Cnt}{100}}^{-10} \quad v_o_k := \frac{c}{5625 + \frac{k}{100}}^{-10}$$

```

*** calculate lineshape and form spectrum ***

```

$$m_{k-Cnt} := m_{k+Cnt} + M_k \left[\frac{4 \ln(2)}{\pi} \right]^{.5} \frac{1}{\delta v} \exp \left[-4 \ln(2) \frac{v_{k+Cnt} - v_o_k}{\delta v} \right]^2$$

```

pt := 0 ..1000

```

$$m_{pt} := \exp \left[-m_{pt} \right] \quad I_{out}/I_{in} = \exp(-\alpha l)$$




Figure 50. Model Part Three:
Program to Add Linewidth and Plot Spectrum

Bibliography

1. Perram, Glenn P. "Visible Chemical Lasers," *Proceedings of the International Conference on Lasers '89*.
2. Davis, S. J. "Prospects for Visible Chemical Lasers," *Southwest Conference on Optics SPIE*, 540: 188-195 (1985).
3. Barrow, R. F. and others. "The $B^3\Pi_{O_u^+} - X^1\Sigma_g^+$ System of Br_2 : Rotational Analysis, Franck-Condon Factors, and Long Range Potential in the $B^3\Pi_{O_u^+}$ State," *Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy*, 51: 428-449 (1974).
4. Coxon, J. A. "Low-lying Electronic States of Diatomic Halogen Molecules," *Molecular Spectroscopy*, Volume 1, London: The Chemical Society, 1973.
5. Glessner, Capt John W. *Amplified Spontaneous Emission of the Iodine $B^3\Pi(O_u^+) - X^1\Sigma(O_g^+)$ System*. PhD dissertation. School of Engineering, Air Force Institute of Technology (AU), Wright-Patterson AFB OH, April 1987.
6. Clyne, Michael A. A. and Michael C. Heaven. "Kinetics of Excited States of Br_2 Using Laser Excitation Part 1," *Journal of the Chemical Society Faraday II*, 74: 1992-2013 (1978).
7. ----- and others. "Kinetics of Excited States of Br_2 Using Laser Excitation Part 2," *Journal of the Chemical Society Faraday II*, 76: 405-419 (1980).
8. ----- and others. "Laser-Excitation Studies of Br_2 ," *Journal of the Chemical Society Faraday II*, 76: 961-978 (1980).
9. Luypaert, R. and others. "Lifetimes and Collisional Quenching Cross Sections of Single Rotational States in Bromine," *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 72 (11): 6283-6288 (1 June 1980).
10. Smedley, John E. and others. "Collision-Induced Dissociation of Laser-Excited $Br_2[B^3\Pi(O_u^+); v', J']$: Formation of $Br^*(^2P_{1/2}) + Br(^2P_{3/2})$ at Energies 1-5 kT Below Dissociation," *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 86 (12): 6801-6812 (15 June 1987).
11. Wodarczyk, Francis J. and Howard R. Schlossberg. "An Optically Pumped Molecular Bromine Laser," *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 67 (10): 4476-4482, (15 November 1977).
12. Perram, G. P. and S. J. Davis. "Spectroscopic and Kinetic Studies of a Dye Laser Pumped $Br_2 B^3\Pi(O_u^+) - X^1\Sigma_g^+$ Laser," *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 84 (5): 2526-2533 (1 March 1986).

13. Van de Burgt, L. J. and M. C. Heaven. "Rate Constants for Collisional Deactivation of $\text{Br}_2 \text{B}^3\Pi(\text{O}_u^+)$ by $\text{Br}_2(\text{X})$ and He," *Chemical Physics*, 103: 407-416 (1986).
14. Perram, Capt Glenn P. Associate Professor School of Engineering, Air Force Institute of Technology. Personal Interviews. Air Force Institute of Technology, Wright-Patterson AFB OH, April through September 1990.
15. Verdeyen, Joseph T. *Laser Electronics* (Second Edition). Englewood Cliffs NJ: Prentice Hall 1989.
16. Koffend, J. Brooke and others. "Pulsed and CW Optically Pumped Lasers for Novel Applications in Spectroscopy and Kinetics," *Journal of Applied Physics*, 48: 4468, (1977).
17. Davis, S. J. "Potential of Halogen Molecules as Visible Chemical Laser Systems," AFWL-TR-79-104: 167-189, Kirtland AFB NM.

Vita

Jon W. Morrison was born on 29 March 1959 in Salem, Ohio. He graduated third in his class from Salem High School in 1977 and attended Ohio University from which he received a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering, with honors, in June 1981. Upon graduation, he began his civil service career at the Aerospace Guidance and Metrology Center at Newark Air Force Base. His initial assignment was with the Microwave and Laser Engineering Branch. He was responsible for many microwave calibration systems and was instrumental in the development of a new Instrument Landing System calibration standard. Next, he served briefly as the Branch Chief of the Mass and Dimensional Standards Laboratory. In 1986, he was promoted to a Supervisory Engineering position in the Systems Metrology Engineering Branch. This branch is responsible for on site calibration of Air Force automatic test equipment throughout the world. He entered the School of Engineering, Air Force Institute of Technology, in June 1989. Upon completion of his studies, he will return to Newark Air Force Base.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE December 1990	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Master's Thesis
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE AN OPTICALLY PUMPED MOLECULAR BROMINE LASER			5. FUNDING NUMBERS
6. AUTHOR(S) Jon W. Morrison			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Institute of Technology, WPAFB OH 45433-6583		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER AFIT/GEO/ENP/90D-3	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) An optically pumped molecular bromine laser was studied to investigate the quenching kinetics of the $B^3\Pi(O_u^+)$ state of Br_2 . This included characterization of the pressure dependence of the laser output power. The approach was to excite molecular bromine in a sealed cell with a Nd:YAG pumped dye laser. Unresolved side fluorescence and amplified stimulated emission (ASE) spectra were recorded. ASE offered the advantage of a simpler optical system with no externally induced wavelength dependencies. Stimulated emission as a signal monitor offered greater resolution than side fluorescence spectra and facilitated spectroscopic assignment. The spectra obtained were attributed to the (14,0) band of the $^{79}Br^{81}Br$ isotope. The ASE output power peaked around Br_2 pressures from 8-12 Torr. A total removal rate, including all processes which remove population from the upper laser level, of $2.9 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ is proposed. This removal rate is consistent with the ASE output pressure dependence and the observed time delay between the dye pump beam and ASE pulses.			
14. SUBJECT TERMS Bromine, Optically Pumped Laser, Quenching, Amplified Spontaneous Emission (ASE), Fluorescence			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 98
			16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING SF 298

The Report Documentation Page (RDP) is used in announcing and cataloging reports. It is important that this information be consistent with the rest of the report, particularly the cover and title page. Instructions for filling in each block of the form follow. It is important to **stay within the lines to meet optical scanning requirements.**

Block 1. Agency Use Only (Leave Blank)

Block 2. Report Date. Full publication date including day, month, and year, if available (e.g. 1 Jan 88). Must cite at least the year.

Block 3. Type of Report and Dates Covered. State whether report is interim, final, etc. If applicable, enter inclusive report dates (e.g. 10 Jun 87 - 30 Jun 88).

Block 4. Title and Subtitle. A title is taken from the part of the report that provides the most meaningful and complete information. When a report is prepared in more than one volume, repeat the primary title, add volume number, and include subtitle for the specific volume. On classified documents enter the title classification in parentheses.

Block 5. Funding Numbers. To include contract and grant numbers; may include program element number(s), project number(s), task number(s), and work unit number(s). Use the following labels:

C - Contract	PR - Project
G - Grant	TA - Task
PE - Program Element	WU - Work Unit Accession No.

Block 6. Author(s). Name(s) of person(s) responsible for writing the report, performing the research, or credited with the content of the report. If editor or compiler, this should follow the name(s).

Block 7. Performing Organization Name(s) and Address(es). Self-explanatory.

Block 8. Performing Organization Report Number. Enter the unique alphanumeric report number(s) assigned by the organization performing the report.

Block 9. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Name(s) and Address(es). Self-explanatory.

Block 10. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Report Number. (If known)

Block 11. Supplementary Notes. Enter information not included elsewhere such as: Prepared in cooperation with...; Trans. of ..., To be published in When a report is revised, include a statement whether the new report supersedes or supplements the older report.

Block 12a. Distribution/Availability Statement.

Denote public availability or limitation. Cite any availability to the public. Enter additional limitations or special markings in all capitals (e.g. NOFORN, REL, ITAR)

DOD - See DoDD 5230.24, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents."

DOE - See authorities

NASA - See Handbook NHB 2200.2.

NTIS - Leave blank.

Block 12b. Distribution Code.

DOD - DOD - Leave blank

DOE - DOE - Enter DOE distribution categories from the Standard Distribution for Unclassified Scientific and Technical Reports

NASA - NASA - Leave blank

NTIS - NTIS - Leave blank.

Block 13. Abstract. Include a brief (Maximum 200 words) factual summary of the most significant information contained in the report.

Block 14. Subject Terms. Keywords or phrases identifying major subjects in the report.

Block 15. Number of Pages. Enter the total number of pages.

Block 16. Price Code. Enter appropriate price code (NTIS only).

Blocks 17. - 19. Security Classifications. Self-explanatory. Enter U.S. Security Classification in accordance with U.S. Security Regulations (i.e., UNCLASSIFIED). If form contains classified information, stamp classification on the top and bottom of the page.

Block 20. Limitation of Abstract. This block must be completed to assign a limitation to the abstract. Enter either UL (unlimited) or SAR (same as report). An entry in this block is necessary if the abstract is to be limited. If blank, the abstract is assumed to be unlimited.