

AD-A232 378

DTIC FILE COPY

AD \_\_\_\_\_

AN EXPERIMENTAL BRAIN MISSILE WOUND:  
ASCERTAINING PATHOPHYSIOLOGY AND EVALUATING TREATMENTS  
TO LOWER MORTALITY AND MORBIDITY

ANNUAL REPORT

MICHAEL E. CAREY

DECEMBER 5, 1990

DTIC  
ELECTE  
MAR 5 1991  
S B D

Supported by

U.S. ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND  
Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21702-5012

Contract No. DAMD17-86-C-6098

Louisiana State University Medical Center  
1542 Tulane Avenue  
New Orleans, Louisiana 70112

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an  
official Department of the Army position unless so designated  
by other authorized documents

91 2 27 027

## REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |       |                                              |                                                                                                            |                                                                     |                                   |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>Unclassified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |       |                                              | 1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS                                                                                   |                                                                     |                                   |
| 2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |       |                                              | 3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT<br>Approved for public release;<br>distribution unlimited         |                                                                     |                                   |
| 2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |       |                                              |                                                                                                            |                                                                     |                                   |
| 4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |       |                                              | 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)                                                                |                                                                     |                                   |
| 6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION<br>Louisiana State University<br>Medical Center                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |       | 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL<br>(If applicable)         |                                                                                                            | 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION                                 |                                   |
| 6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)<br>1542 Tulane Avenue<br>New Orleans, LA 70112-2822                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |       |                                              | 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)                                                                    |                                                                     |                                   |
| 8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING<br>ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Medical<br>Research & Development Command                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |       | 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL<br>(If applicable)         |                                                                                                            | 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER<br>DAMD17-86-C-6098 |                                   |
| 8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)<br>Fort Detrick<br>Frederick, MD 21702-5012                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |       |                                              | 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS                                                                              |                                                                     |                                   |
| PROGRAM<br>ELEMENT NO.<br>62787A                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |       | PROJECT<br>NO. 3M1-<br>62787A874             |                                                                                                            | TASK<br>NO.<br>CC                                                   | WORK UNIT<br>ACCESSION NO.<br>131 |
| 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)<br>(U) An Experimental Brain Missile Wound: Ascertaining Pathophysiology and Evaluating<br>Treatments to Lower Mortality and Morbidity                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |       |                                              |                                                                                                            |                                                                     |                                   |
| 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)<br>Michael E. Carey                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |       |                                              |                                                                                                            |                                                                     |                                   |
| 13a. TYPE OF REPORT<br>Annual                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |       | 13b. TIME COVERED<br>FROM 4/14/89 TO 4/13/90 |                                                                                                            | 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)<br>1990 December 5            |                                   |
| 15. PAGE COUNT                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |       |                                              |                                                                                                            |                                                                     |                                   |
| 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |       |                                              |                                                                                                            |                                                                     |                                   |
| 17. COSATI CODES                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |       |                                              | 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)                          |                                                                     |                                   |
| FIELD                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | GROUP | SUB-GROUP                                    | Experimental brain wounding, <del>RA=II</del> , Brain stem,<br>Hypothalamic biogenic amines after wounding |                                                                     |                                   |
| 06                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 04    |                                              |                                                                                                            |                                                                     |                                   |
| 06                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 14    |                                              |                                                                                                            |                                                                     |                                   |
| 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |       |                                              |                                                                                                            |                                                                     |                                   |
| <p>Brain missile wounding (BMW) is accompanied with a concomitant increase in intracranial pressure (ICP). Both, BMW with its accompanying increase in ICP or artificially increased ICP, without wounding, caused significant epinephrine (EPI) depletions (47%-68%) in the nucleus tractus solitarius (NTS), area A1C1, locus coeruleus, raphe nuclei and posterior hypothalamus. EPI was also significantly decreased in the anterior hypothalamus but only in wounded cats. BMW, but not artificially increased ICP, without wounding, also caused significant decreases of norepinephrine (NE), dopamine and homovanillic acid the NTS and area A1C1. We conclude that most of the brain stem and hypothalamic biogenic amine changes were probably caused by the cardiovascular effects associated with the stress of increased ICP alone. The results suggest selective monoamine decreases associated with increased ICP: the EPI system overall and the 5-HT system in the raphe nuclei. There remains the possibility that NE reductions in the NTS and area A1C1 found only in the wounded cats are the result of</p> |       |                                              |                                                                                                            |                                                                     |                                   |
| 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT<br><input type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |       |                                              | 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>Unclassified                                                       |                                                                     |                                   |
| 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL<br>Mary Frances Bostian                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |       |                                              | 22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)<br>301-663-7325                                                         |                                                                     | 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL<br>SGRD-RMI-S  |

#19

the very high instantaneous overpressures and resultant pressure waves in the cranial vault as a result of BMW.

The disruption of mechanical cerebral blood flow regulation occurs from brain missile wound effects per se, not from the associated increase in ICP.

|                    |                                            |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Accession For      |                                            |
| NTIS GPA&I         | <input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/> |
| DTIC TAB           | <input type="checkbox"/>                   |
| Unannounced        | <input type="checkbox"/>                   |
| Justification      |                                            |
| By                 |                                            |
| Distribution/      |                                            |
| Availability Codes |                                            |
| Dist               | Avail and/or<br>Special                    |
| A-1                |                                            |



## SUMMARY

This Annual Report covers two areas: 1) the effect of brain missile wounding on hypothalamic and brainstem biogenic amines; 2) the effect of increased intracranial pressure (ICP) on mechanical cerebral blood flow (CBF) regulation.

1) We have ascertained the acute effects of brain missile wounding on brain stem and hypothalamic biogenic amines epinephrine (EPI), norepinephrine (NE), and 5 hydroxytryptophane (5-HT) and their metabolites DOPAC, homovanillic acid (HVA), 5 hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA) in pentobarbital anesthetized cats. We have already shown the effects of elevated ICP alone on these brain stem and hypothalamic biogenic amines and metabolites. With both brain missile wounding and artificially-induced increases in ICP, significant EPI depletions (47-68%) occurred in the nucleus tractus solitarius, area A1C1, locus coeruleus, raphe nuclei and posterior hypothalamus. EPI was also significantly decreased in the anterior hypothalamus but only in wounded cats. Both brain wounding and artificially induced increased in ICP also caused significant decreases of norepinephrine in the posterior hypothalamus. Serotonin (5-HT), 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid, dopamine (DA) and homovanillic acid (HVA) were decreased in the raphe nuclei. Only brain wounding caused significant reductions of NE, DA, and HVA in the nucleus tractus solitarius and area A1C1. We conclude that most but not all of the selective brain stem and hypothalamic biogenic amine changes were caused by cardiovascular effects associated with the stress of increased ICP alone whether caused by wounding or by artificially increasing the cerebrospinal fluid pressure.

2) We previously ascertained that brain missile wounding severely affected mechanical CBF regulation. Because brain missile wounding also raises ICP we could not discern whether it was the wounding per se or the associated ICP which disturbed mechanical CBF regulation. In the present experiments on pentobarbital-anesthetized cats we show that ICP increases by themselves do not destroy mechanical CBF regulation. The loss of mechanical CBF regulation following brain wounding results from the wound process itself, not the associated ICP increase.

This effect of either an ICP increase or brain wounding on brain stem hypothalamic biogenic amines contrasts to mechanical CBF regulation which is destroyed by brain wounding but not by an elevated ICP alone.

## FOREWORD

In conducting research using animals, the investigators adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, National Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23 Revised 1985).

Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

The experiments presented in this report were performed by Joseph Soblosky, Ph.D., Lynn Rogers, M.D., Jeffrey Adams, M.D., (biogenic amines); Dan Torbati, Ph.D., June Davidson, B.S. (ICP mechanical CBF regulation).

This manuscript was typed by Mrs. Elizabeth P. Hulbert.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Page

|                                                                                                  |    |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Summary.....                                                                                     | 3  |
| Foreword.....                                                                                    | 4  |
| Effects of brain missile wounding on brain biogenic amines.....                                  | 6  |
| Appendix.....                                                                                    | 15 |
| References.....                                                                                  | 35 |
| The effects of raised intracranial pressure on<br>mechanical cerebral blood flow regulation..... | 41 |
| References.....                                                                                  | 46 |

EFFECTS OF BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING  
ON BRAIN BIOGENIC AMINES

## INTRODUCTION

Severe head trauma and brain injury may cause axial brain stem displacement and are often followed by an increase in intracranial pressure (ICP), systemic arterial hypertension, respiratory changes and a generalized sympathetic response. The increase in mean arterial blood pressure (MABP) and sympathetic responses as a result of increased ICP has been termed the Cushing pressor response (12,13).

Biogenic amines (norepinephrine, NE; epinephrine, EPI; dopamine, DA; serotonin, 5-HT) are thought to be important neurotransmitters in the hypothalamus and in brain stem cardiovascular and respiratory control centers (2,44). Drug- or blood volume-induced alterations in blood pressure have been correlated with changes in monoamine levels in the nucleus tractus solitarius (NTS) (3,29), rostral and caudal ventrolateral medulla (5,27,48), locus coeruleus (LC) (4), hypothalamus (45,60) and dorsal raphe nucleus (15,17).

Studies examining the effects of cortical lesions or ischemia on biogenic amines have found alterations which can be correlated with subsequent pathophysiology and/or behavioral dysfunctions (1,8,33,35,41,43,49,64,67). Most CNS trauma studies on the effects on monoamine neurotransmitters have utilized the spinal cord-injury model (6,31,37,39,57,69). A few studies have been performed determining the effects of brain trauma (16,25,28) or increased ICP (40) on brain biogenic amines, but only gross brain areas were sampled in these studies.

We utilize a brain missile wound (BMW) model wherein the feline brain is injured by a small pellet mimicking a low energy brain wound in the human (9). In our model of missile wounding to the brain there are apparent "brain stem effects" even though the cerebral hemisphere is the wound site i.e. the "brain stem effects" are an indirect effect of wounding. "Brain stem effects" i.e. disturbances in cardiovascular and respiratory functions are well noted following brain wounding and in our model dramatic increases in ICP and MABP occur (9). An immediate Cushing response (transient elevations in MABP, slight bradycardia and respiratory depression) is a prominent feature of the systemic response to BMW. At higher missile energies the respiratory depression may be so severe that fatal apnea occurs (9). Virtually instantaneous (microseconds) intracranial overpressures also occur following brain wounding which are associated with the propagation of pressure waves within the skull which may affect the brain at a distance from the wound itself. We have not measured these virtually instantaneous overpressures in our model.

Because brain biogenic amines have been seen to be perturbed following CNS injury and after blood pressure changes, we thought it important to determine whether biogenic amine changes occurred in the hypothalamus and brain stem following BMW which is invariably associated with increases in ICP and MABP.

In order to determine if the cardiovascular and respiratory effects of missile wounds to the brain are solely attributable to the accompanying increase in ICP, it was necessary to first conduct experiments examining the effects of increased ICP alone, without wounding. We have previously reported our results evaluating biogenic amine changes in the brain stem cardiovascular centers and the hypothalamus consequent to increases in ICP, without wounding. We increased the ICP by infusions of mock CSF into the cisterna magna in such manner as to mimic as closely as possible the ICP response seen after BMW. In those experiments we found dramatic decreases in epinephrine (EPI) levels in every brain stem area examined as well as the posterior hypothalamus. We also found decreases in norepinephrine (NE) in the posterior hypothalamus in addition to decreases in serotonin (5-HT), 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA), dopamine (DA) and homovanillic acid (HVA) in the raphe nuclei (see 1989 yearly report).

The present report evaluates the effects of missile wounds to the brain on biogenic amines in the same brain stem areas and the hypothalamus. The comparison of the present results with those previously reported for increases in ICP, without wounding, will aid in determining if the brain stem and hypothalamic biogenic amine decreases are solely attributable to increases in ICP alone, or if there are additional effects which are attributable to other factors related to missile wounding.

## MATERIALS and METHODS

### A. CHEMICALS and REAGENTS

Norepinephrine bitartrate (NE), epinephrine bitartrate (EPI), dopamine HCL (DA), 5-hydroxytryptamine creatinine sulfate (5-HT, serotonin), 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid (DOPAC), 4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenylacetic acid (HVA), 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA), normetanephrine HCl (NM), 3,4-dihydroxybenzylamine hydrobromide (DHBA), monochloroacetic acid (MCA), ethylene-diaminetetracetic acid (EDTA), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), dibasic sodium phosphate ( $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4$ ), monobasic potassium phosphate ( $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ ), and Tris base were purchased from Sigma Chemical Comp., St. Louis, MO. Sodium octyl sulfate (SOS) was purchased from Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, NY. Perchloric acid ( $\text{HClO}_4$ ) and acetonitrile ( $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$ ) were obtained from J.T. Baker, Phillipsburg, NJ. and hydrochloric acid (HCL) from Mallinckrodt, St. Louis, MO. Acid-washed alumina oxide (AAO) was purchased from BAS, W. Lafayette, IN. All water was either HPLC grade (J.T. Baker) or double distilled and deionized prior to use. Reference standard solutions of NE, EPI, DA, 5-HT, DOPAC, HVA, 5-HIAA, NM, and DHBA were made using .05 M  $\text{HClO}_4$  containing .1 mM EDTA and stored at  $-70^\circ\text{C}$ . Working standard solutions were prepared by serial dilutions using the same diluent and stored at  $4^\circ\text{C}$ .

## B. GENERAL SURGICAL PROCEDURES

Unselected, unfasted mongrel cats (3-5 kg) of either sex were used. Animals were first anesthetized with pentobarbital (40 mg/kg, i.p.). Adequacy of anesthesia was evaluated by cessation of limb withdrawal from thumb and index finger pinch between the toes and abolition of corneal reflex elicited by the touch of a paper wisp to the cornea. Arterial and venous cannulae (PE 90) were implanted in the right rear leg after treatment of the incision area with topical anesthetic (2% lidocaine). An endotracheal tube smeared with topical anesthetic (2% xylocaine jelly) was inserted after application of local anesthetic (0.5 ml 2% xylocaine) to the epiglottis. The cat was mounted in the stereotaxic frame (Kopf Inst., Tujunga, CA) and a MABP transducer (Narco, Houston, TX) was attached to the arterial cannula for physiograph recording (Narco). Expired CO<sub>2</sub> was measured by an end tidal CO<sub>2</sub>/respiratory rate monitor (Instrumentation Lab., Watertown, MA) and also recorded on the physiograph. The depth of anesthesia was rechecked frequently during the experimental period and prior to sacrifice using the above criteria as well as the MABP and the respiratory rate. If required, the cats were given supplemental anesthesia (pentobarbital, 6.5 mg, i.v.). The head was partially shaved and a 5 cm scalp incision made. A small burr hole (1.5 mm) was placed in the skull for the insertion of a fiberoptic ICP transducer (Camino, San Diego, CA). Arterial blood gases and pH were periodically checked using an acid-base analyzer (Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark). Rectal temperature was monitored and maintained via an automatic thermal heating blanket (Harvard). No paralyzing drugs were used in any of these experiments. If apnea occurred and lasted more than 30 s during any of the experiments, the cat was attached to a small animal respirator (Harvard Apparatus, S. Natick, MA) and artificially ventilated. At the end of the experiment the cats were rapidly decapitated using a large animal decapitator (Harvard Apparatus).

## C. BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING PROCEDURE

Animals who received BMW had the anterior wall (1 cm x 1 cm) of the right frontal sinus removed. The cats were wounded by a 2 mm having an energy of 2.4 J. The missile entered the tip of the right cerebral hemisphere and tracked posteriorly angling 20 degrees from the midline (9). A wound of this energy is considered a severe wound and would be expected to eventually cause fatal apnea in 70% of the animals (9). The Cushing response occurred within 5 s of wounding in all animals. All cats were sacrificed 6 mins after the initial brain injury. Controls were treated in the exact same manner, but were not injured.

#### D. TISSUE DISSECTION

After rapid decapitation, the cranium was opened, the brain removed and frozen by immersion in cold dichlorodifluoromethane ( $-40^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), then stored at  $-70^{\circ}\text{C}$ . until the sampling process. The euthanization, brain removal and freezing procedure was kept as uniform as possible and took approximately 18 mins to complete for each animal. The brains were subsequently brought to approximately  $-15^{\circ}\text{C}$  and sliced into 3-5 mm thick coronal sections with reference to standard cat brain atlases (2,61). Each brain was completely sliced in one session (10 min). Tissue samples were taken from still frozen slices with the aid of tissue micropunches (1-1.5 mm dia.) or a scalpel, placed in dry ice and then stored at  $-70^{\circ}\text{C}$  until assayed (1-2 days). The brainstem was sliced in three 5 mm intervals, rostrally, starting at the obex. Two samples (left and right) of the NTS and area A1C1 were taken from the caudal slice. Two raphe nuclei samples were taken from the middle slice. Two raphe nuclei and two (left and right) LC samples were taken from the rostral slice. Hypothalamic slices were made by a three-bladed (3 mm interval) apparatus with the middle blade being positioned in the middle of the pituitary stalk. The anterior hypothalamus (AH) was dissected from the anterior slice by removing a 3 x 5 mm wide sample from the caudal midline. The posterior hypothalamus (PH) was sampled by removing a 4 x 4 x 4 mm triangular sample from the caudal midline of the posterior slice.

#### E. SAMPLE PREPARATION

For the determination of brain biogenic amines the left and right side samples of the NTS, area A1C1 and LC were analyzed individually, but the four raphe nuclei samples were analyzed as one sample. Tissue samples were homogenized by ultrasonic disruption in .05 M  $\text{HClO}_4$  containing .5 mM EDTA and 20 pmol of NM as an internal standard. The volume of .05 M  $\text{HClO}_4$  used was optimized from preliminary tests so that an adequate signal would be output to a strip chart recorder set at 20 nA/V full scale. The volume of .05 M  $\text{HClO}_4$  were as follows: 200 ul for the NTS, area A1C1 and the LC, 300 ul for the raphe N.; 1000 ul for the AH and PH. Following centrifugation ( $40,000 \times g$ , 25 min.), the supernatant was removed and refrigerated until injection into the HPLC; 20 ul was injected into the HPLC. The remaining tissue pellets were solubilized in .2 M NaOH and assayed for protein content using BCA\* protein assay reagent (Pierce Chemical, Rockford, IL) and bovine serum albumin as a standard.

#### F. HIGH PRESSURE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY

A BAS 200 HPLC system with an electrochemical detector was utilized for all analyses. Data was collected, integrated and quantified utilizing a PC-based chromatographic control system

(BAS PC-II). The analytical column used for all assays was a 100 mm x 3.1 mm, BAS Phase II, 3  $\mu$ m reverse phase column.

For brain biogenic amine analyses the column was kept at 40 °C during its operation. The mobile phase consisted of .13 M MCA with .67 mM EDTA, adjusted to pH 3.1 with 10 M NaOH and filtered through .45  $\mu$ m durapore filter (Millipore, Bedford MA.). SOS was added as the ion pairing reagent at 170 mg/l and CH<sub>3</sub>CN was added to a final concentration of 2.5%. The mobile phase was pumped at 1 ml/min and kept at 35°C to prevent any possible outgassing. The detector potential was maintained at +800 mV vs. Ag/AgCl reference electrode. The strip chart recorder was set at 20 nA/V full scale. These chromatographic conditions permitted the routine quantification of NE, EPI, DA, 5-HT, DOPAC, HVA and 5-HIAA. within 18 mins.

#### G. DATA ANALYSIS

Digitized data were used to quantify each compound. The concentration of the brain biogenic amines were determined by comparing sample peak areas with peak areas obtained from a mixture of working standards. All concentrations were corrected by the NM recovery value for each sample and are expressed as ng/mg protein.

Initially, the brain biogenic amines values from the right and left side samples of the NTS, area A1C1 and the LC in the control and experimental groups were compared using ANOVA (SAS statistical package). There were no significant differences between the right and left side samples of any brain area in any group (all probabilities >.8). Therefore the right and left side sample values were averaged and used in further statistical analyses. Comparisons between the control and experimental groups were made using ANOVA (SAS statistical package).

#### RESULTS


The main objective is to determine if the effects of BMW on biogenic amines in the brain stem and hypothalamus were solely attributable to the accompanying increase in ICP. Therefore it is necessary to compare data we previously acquired from experiments in which the ICP was artificially increased, without wounding (see 1989 yearly report), with the data obtained from BMW experiments performed since then. The data from experiments in which the ICP was increased, without wounding, presented in the present report are re-presentations of data we previously reported in detail in our 1989 yearly report, while the BMW data are our new data. Data displaying the comparison, using group means, of the results of artificially increasing ICP, without wounding, vs. BMW are in Figs. 1-11 and Tables 1-9 (appendix). Individual data acquired from cats used in the BMW experiments are presented in separate tables in the appendix (Tables 10-18)

Individual data acquired from cats used in increased ICP, without wounding, experiments was presented in our 1989 yearly report.

Nineteen cats were used in BMW experiments but 3 cats were excluded from the study. There were ballistic technical problems with 2 cats and one cat was anomalous because the skull over the frontal cortex was absent.


The effect on MABP and CPP resulting from artificially-induced (unwounded) and BMW-induced (2.4 J) increases in ICP are displayed in Figs. 1 and 2 respectively, and Tables 1-3, 10-12. With either BMW, with its concomitant increase in ICP, or with the rapid rise in ICP caused by mock CSF infusion into the cisterna magna, there was an immediate Cushing response: an immediate (< 5 secs) increase in MABP. Adequate CPP (>40 mm Hg) was maintained in both groups. None of the cats in these experiments required respiratory support. No significant effects on systemic pressures occurred in control cats who were surgically prepared but were neither wounded nor had the ICP artificially increased (Figs. 3 and 4; Tables 1-3, 10-12).

Fig. 1. MABP-ICP-CPP RELATIONSHIP OF CATS WITH ARTIFICIALLY INCREASED ICP




Values are Mean  $\pm$  SEM (n=6)

Fig. 2. MABP-ICP-CPP RELATIONSHIP OF WOUNDED (BMW) CATS




Values are Mean  $\pm$  SEM (n=8)

Fig. 3. MABP-ICP-CPP RELATIONSHIP OF CONTROL CATS USED IN ARTIFICIALLY INCREASED ICP EXPERIMENTS



Values are Mean with SEM (n=6)


Fig. 4. MABP-ICP-CPP RELATIONSHIP OF CONTROL CATS USED IN WOUNDING (BMW) EXPERIMENTS



Values are Mean with SEM (n=8)

Significant and similar decreases in the EPI levels resulted from both BMW- and artificially-induced increases in ICP in the following brain areas: the NTS (60%,  $p < .001$  and 57%,  $p < .01$  respectively), area A1C1 (74%,  $p < .001$  and 68%,  $p < .001$  respectively), the LC (60%,  $p < .001$  and 66%,  $p < .01$  respectively), the raphe N. (56%,  $p < .001$  and 47%,  $p < .05$  respectively), and the PH (63%,  $p < .001$  and 66%,  $p < .01$  respectively). EPI levels were significantly decreased (56%,  $p < .001$ ) in the AH only in wounded cats (Fig. 5; Tables 4-9, 13-18).


Fig. 5. COMPARISON OF THE EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIALLY INCREASED ICP (INC. ICP) AND BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON EPINEPHRINE (EPI) IN BRAIN STEM AREAS (NTS, A1C1, LC, RAPHE) AND THE HYPOTHALAMUS (AH, PH)



Values are Mean  $\pm$  SEM (INC. ICP n=6, BMW n=8)  
 \*  $p < .05$ , \*\*  $p < .01$ , \*\*\*  $p < .001$

The NE levels were significantly decreased to approximately the same extent in the PH both from BMW- and artificially-induced increases in ICP (40%,  $p < .01$  and 46%,  $p < .01$  respectively). The wounded cats also had significant NE decreases in the NTS (21%,  $p < .01$ ) and the area A1C1 (33%,  $p < .01$ ) (Fig 6; Tables 4,5,9,13,14,18).


Fig. 6. COMPARISON OF THE EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIALLY INCREASED ICP (INC. ICP) AND BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON NOREPINEPHRINE (NE) IN BRAIN STEM AREAS (NTS, A1C1, LC, RAPHE) AND THE HYPOTHALAMUS (AH, PH)



Values are Mean  $\pm$  SEM (INC. ICP n=6, BMW n=6)  
 \*\*  $p < .01$


The 5-HT and 5-HIAA levels were significantly decreased in the raphe N. both in the wounded cats (30%,  $p < .05$ ; 22%,  $p < .05$ , respectively) and those with only artificially increased ICP (30%,  $p < .01$ ; 36%,  $p < .01$ , respectively) (Figs. 7 & 8; Tables 7,16).

Fig. 7. COMPARISON OF THE EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIALLY INCREASED ICP (INC. ICP) AND BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON SEROTONIN (5-HT) IN BRAIN STEM AREAS (NTS, A1C1, LC, RAPHE) AND THE HYPOTHALAMUS (AH, PH)



Values are Mean  $\pm$  SEM (INC. ICP n=6, BMW n=8)  
 \*  $p < .05$ , \*\*  $p < .01$

Fig. 8. COMPARISON OF THE EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIALLY INCREASED ICP (INC. ICP) AND BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON 5-HIAA IN BRAIN STEM AREAS (NTS, A1C1, LC, RAPHE) AND THE HYPOTHALAMUS (AH, PH)




Values are Mean  $\pm$  SEM (INC. ICP n=6, BMW n=8)  
 \* p < .05, \*\* p < .01

Dopamine levels were significantly decreased in the raphe N. either from BMW- or artificially-induced increases in ICP (37%,  $p < .01$  and 27%,  $p < .05$ , respectively). Significant decreases in dopamine in the NTS (39%,  $p < .05$ ) and area A1C1 (48%,  $p < .05$ ) occurred only in wounded cats (Fig. 9; Tables 4,5,7,13,15,16).


Concomitant decreases in HVA occurred in the same areas in which DA was decreased: the raphe N. of wounded cats (29%,  $p < .05$ ) and those with only artificially increased ICP (32%,  $p < .01$ ); the NTS (34%,  $p < .05$ ) and area A1C1 (40%,  $p < .01$ ) only in the wounded cats (Fig. 10; Tables 4,5,7,13,14,16). DOPAC levels were significantly decreased in the NTS (21%,  $p < .05$ ) only in wounded cats (Fig. 11; Tables 4,13).

Fig. 9. COMPARISON OF THE EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIALLY INCREASED ICP (INC. ICP) AND BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON DOPAMINE (DA) IN BRAIN STEM AREAS (NTS, A1C1, LC, RAPHE) AND THE HYPOTHALAMUS (AH, PH)




Values are Mean  $\pm$  SEM (INC. ICP n=6, BMW n=8)  
 \*  $p < .05$ , \*\*  $p < .01$

Fig. 10. COMPARISON OF THE EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIALLY INCREASED ICP (INC. ICP) AND BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON HVA IN BRAIN STEM AREAS (NTS, A1C1, LC, RAPHE) AND THE HYPOTHALAMUS (AH, PH)



Values are Mean  $\pm$  SEM (INC. ICP n=6, BMW n=6)  
 \*  $p < .05$ , \*\*  $p < .01$

Fig. 11. COMPARISON OF THE EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIALLY INCREASED ICP (INC. ICP) AND BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON DOPAC IN BRAIN STEM AREAS (NTS, A1C1, LC, RAPHE) AND THE HYPOTHALAMUS (AH, PH)



Values are Mean  $\pm$  SEM (INC. ICP n=6, BMW n=6)  
 \*  $p < .05$

## DISCUSSION

The present results compare the effects of brain wounding by a missile (BMW), with its concomitant rise in ICP, to an ICP increase alone on brain stem and hypothalamic monoamines. The artificial increase in ICP, without wounding, was induced by infusing mock CSF into the cisterna magna. An immediate Cushing response occurred following both experimental procedures. The Cushing response consists of an increase in MABP, bradycardia and general sympathetic nervous system activation presumably in response to increased ICP (12,13). The exact cause of the increased ICP which accompanies BMW is uncertain. Possibilities include intracranial bleeding, increased brain intravascular volume, increased cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) formation, or decreased CSF absorption. The effects of BMW or increased ICP alone on biogenic amines in discrete brain areas has not been previously reported.

We feel that biogenic amine changes observed in the brain stem and hypothalamus in these experiments did not occur secondary to ischemia because adequate CPP was maintained throughout the experimental period and other experiments in our laboratory indicate adequate cerebral blood flow to these areas (unpublished observations). Measurements of regional cerebral blood flow (microspheres) usually indicate no brain stem ischemia as a result of artificially-induced increases in ICP unless the CPP is very low (<40 mm Hg) (68). The brain stem is less susceptible to diminished CPP than higher cortical areas of the brain (38,56,68).

The most basic effect of increased ICP is an increase in sympathetic nerve activity which can be so massive that parasympathetic effects are overridden (30,34). Various methods have demonstrated that the characteristic cardiovascular (CV) responses to increased ICP and elicitation of the Cushing response are mediated by the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) (7,18,30,42,56).

Generalized activation of the SNS is also the main component of a stress response. Therefore, there is similarity between increased ICP- and stress-induced CV responses.

Wounded cats and those with only artificially increased ICP had substantial (47-68%) statistically significant decreases in EPI levels in the hypothalamus (PH and AH) and brain stem areas (NTS, area A1C1, LC, raphe N.) examined. The one exception was in the AH of unwounded cats with artificially increased ICP where EPI levels were reduced but did not reach statistical significance (Fig. 5). The similar magnitudes of EPI reductions in all other areas resulting from either BMW-induced or artificially increased ICP suggests that the reductions were possibility related to the CV effects caused by increased ICP. Brain stem EPI neurons appear to be physiologically heterogeneous and have been proposed to subserve vasopressor and vasodepressor functions (22,23,36,52,58).

Other studies using stress paradigms have reported significant reductions in EPI levels, along with a decrease in phenylethanolamine-N-methyltransferase activity, in discrete brain stem areas and the hypothalamus (32,54,55).

The levels of NE and DA and its metabolites did not show consistent changes parallel to those of EPI, suggesting a dissociation between the EPI systems and the NE and DA systems. NE, like EPI, was similarly decreased in the PH in response to both BMW- and artificially-induced increases in ICP. Conversely, there was no effect of BMW or artificially increased ICP on NE levels in the AH, raphe N. or LC, but EPI was reduced in all these areas. The NE and EPI depletions in the PH may be directly related to the vasopressor response to increased ICP. The PH and AH are thought to mediate vasopressor and vasodepressor responses, respectively (44) because electrical stimulation or application of NE or EPI directly to the PH or AH will increase or decrease MABP respectively (26,46,47,65).

Only BMW with its concomitant increase in ICP significantly reduced NE, DA and HVA levels in the NTS and area A1C1. Artificially increasing the ICP lowered the NE, DA and HVA levels in the NTS and area A1C1, but the decreases were not statistically significant. Since the levels of DA are small in the NTS and area A1C1, it is conceivable that the DA and HVA decreases are reflective of the NE decreases which occurred in these areas because DA is a precursor to NE in noradrenergic neurons. It is possible that the statistically significant decreases in NE in the NTS and area A1C1 result from the effects of brain wounding with very high instantaneous overpressures, and resultant pressure waves within the cranial vault. The NE decreases could also result from a more severe stress response to BMW-induced increased ICP but such a response was not reflected in the systemic pressures (Figs. 1 & 2). If anything, the artificially-induced increased ICP might be considered more stressful because a high ICP and MABP were maintained for a longer period of time.

5-HT and its metabolite 5-HIAA were significantly reduced only in the raphe N. both in response to both BMW and artificially increased ICP, which suggest that decreased serotonergic functioning in the raphe nuclei may be solely as a result of increased ICP. DA and HVA were also decreased about equally in the raphe nuclei with both BMW and artificially increased ICP. It is difficult to speculate on the meaning of the 5-HT and DA reductions in the raphe N., as relates to CV functions, since discrete raphe nuclei were not evaluated.

The overall monoamine profile of BMW and increased ICP suggests that the monoamine effects in the brain stem and hypothalamus are probably attributable to increased ICP alone. Artificially increasing the ICP and BMW both had generally the same effect on the brain biogenic amines. Artificially increasing the ICP via cisterna magna infusions of mock CSF is probably associated with minimal brain stem distortion since the

intracranial pressure is distributed equally throughout the brain. BMW and associated overpressures and increased ICP may lead to brain stem distortion since the pressure is quickly applied to the lateral supratentorial compartment. The possible brain stem distortion from BMW did not give any different results from artificially increased ICP except possibly on NE in the NTS and area A1C1 at 6 mins post-injury.

The CV effects of increased ICP are similar to that of a stress response. Previous studies using footshock or immobilization stress in conscious rats have revealed similar results in many of the brain areas presently examined, especially in regards to the adrenergic system (11,20,32,53,54,55,59). Similar results have also been found in the hypothalamus and brain stem using a hindlimb ischemia model in anesthetized rats (62,63). Concussive head injury in unanesthetized rats caused a DA increase in the pons-medulla area which was attributable to injury, but other significant monoamine alterations were found to be stress related because unanesthetized rats were used and ICP alterations were also not accounted for (25).

The present EPI and NE data are not sufficient to conclusively determine if the depletions were due to increased EPI or NE utilization or decreased neuronal functioning. Therefore, whether the changes represent causative factors in the increase in MABP (vasopressor) or compensatory factors (vasodepressor) cannot be speculated upon.

It is important to note that despite brain injury and/or large increases in ICP all monoamines were not depleted 6 mins post-injury indicating that, at least at this time point, some maintenance of the integrity of the brain stem monoaminergic systems despite rather severe perturbations. A total, across the board depletion may have been indicative of severe brainstem disruption and/or widespread cell death. Our results suggest that even at a wound energy expected to be fatal 70% of the time (9) or at very high ICP levels, initially, selective, organized alterations occurred in the EPI systems overall and 5-HT system in the raphe N. Whether a more prolonged duration of high ICP would preferentially deplete the EPI and 5-HT systems even further is presently unknown as are the effects of BMW on brain stem monoamines beyond 6 mins after injury. Possibly, EPI and/or 5-HT depletions could be deleterious in the face of further severe physiological stress such as high ICP and play a role in eventual CV and/or respiratory failure in brain injury. If so, these systemic effects may be amenable to pharmacological intervention.

#### GENERAL SIGNIFICANCE

The effects of brain missile wounding (BMW), with its accompanying increase in ICP and instantaneous overpressures, had not been previously evaluated and it was unknown whether BMW led to any overall or generalized effects on brain stem monoaminergic

functioning. Overall effects or depletion of all monoamine systems may suggest a severe dysfunction of brain stem functions indicating possible cellular disruption or death.

In order to discern the effects of BMW, with its accompanying increase in ICP, from the effects of increased ICP alone, the results of BMW were compared to the results of increased ICP alone, without wounding (1989 yearly report). This comparison indicated that neither BMW nor increased ICP alone led to global effects, an important finding. The comparison further indicated that the effects on brain stem monoamines were selective and similar: depletions of EPI overall and decreased functioning of 5-HT and DA in the raphe nuclei. BMW, however, did result in additional decreases of NE in the NTS and area A1C1. From this comparison we concluded that the effects of BMW on brain stem monoamines are probably the result of the stress of increased ICP, i.e. stress-induced cardiovascular changes.

The present study on brain stem monoamines focused on effects at 6 mins. post-injury. Severe depletions (47%-68%) of EPI were found in every brain stem area examined. The effects on EPI systems at longer time periods post-injury is unknown. It is possible that a longer period of prolonged stress could deplete the EPI further and be causally related to later cardiovascular and/or respiratory dysfunction. If so, it may be possible to use selective pharmacological agents which may prevent the compromise or at least maintain the EPI systems until more appropriate action can be delivered. It is also possible that the depletion of the EPI systems are reflective of depletions of suspected epinephrine co-transmitters (e.g. neuropeptide Y and substance P). The answers to these questions must await further study.

**APPENDIX**

Table 1. COMPARISON OF THE EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIALLY INCREASED INTRACRANIAL PRESSURE (INC. ICP) AND BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON MEAN ARTERIAL BLOOD PRESSURE (MABP)

|                | CONT | 1 Min | 2 Min | 3 Min | 4 Min | 5 Min | 6 Min |
|----------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| <hr/>          |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| CONTROLS (n=6) |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| MEAN           | 119  | 120   | 117   | 119   | 119   | 119   | 120   |
| +/-SEM         | 6    | 6     | 7     | 6     | 7     | 7     | 7     |
| INC. ICP (n=6) |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| MEAN           | 128  | 182   | 196   | 196   | 201   | 203   | 198   |
| +/-SEM         | 5    | 6     | 9     | 8     | 10    | 12    | 1     |
| CONTROLS (n=8) |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| MEAN           | 127  | 126   | 126   | 125   | 125   | 126   | 127   |
| +/-SEM         | 9    | 9     | 9     | 9     | 9     | 9     | 9     |
| BMW (n=8)      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| MEAN           | 120  | 187   | 163   | 158   | 141   | 128   | 113   |
| +/-SEM         | 6    | 13    | 11    | 12    | 13    | 14    | 11    |

Values are mm Hg

Table 2. COMPARISON OF THE EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIALLY INCREASED INTRACRANIAL PRESSURE (INC. ICP) AND BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON MEAN INTRACRANIAL PRESSURE (ICP)

|                | CONT | 1 Min | 2 Min | 3 Min | 4 Min | 5 Min | 6 Min |
|----------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| <hr/>          |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| CONTROLS (n=6) |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| MEAN           | 9    | 9     | 9     | 9     | 9     | 9     | 9     |
| +/-SEM         | 2    | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     |
| INC. ICP (n=6) |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| MEAN           | 13   | 137   | 124   | 122   | 123   | 125   | 120   |
| +/-SEM         | 1    | 2     | 8     | 8     | 17    | 9     | 10    |
| CONTROLS (n=8) |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| MEAN           | 7    | 7     | 7     | 7     | 7     | 7     | 7     |
| +/-SEM         | 1    | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     |
| BMW (n=8)      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| MEAN           | 10   | 91    | 73    | 67    | 58    | 53    | 43    |
| +/-SEM         | 1    | 11    | 7     | 8     | 9     | 9     | 2     |

Values are mm Hg

Table 3. COMPARISON OF THE EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIALLY INCREASED INTRACRANIAL PRESSURE (INC. ICP) AND BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON CEREBRAL PERFUSION PRESSURE (CPP)

|                | CONT | 1 Min | 2 Min | 3 Min | 4 Min | 5 Min | 6 Min |
|----------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| -----          |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| CONTROLS (n=6) |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| MEAN           | 110  | 111   | 108   | 110   | 110   | 110   | 111   |
| +/-SEM         | 7    | 8     | 8     | 7     | 8     | 8     | 9     |
| INC. ICP (n=6) |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| MEAN           | 115  | 59    | 71    | 75    | 79    | 80    | 80    |
| +/-SEM         | 5    | 7     | 5     | 7     | 14    | 15    | 12    |
| CONTROLS (n=8) |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| MEAN           | 120  | 119   | 119   | 118   | 118   | 119   | 121   |
| +/-SEM         | 8    | 9     | 9     | 8     | 8     | 8     | 8     |
| BMW (n=8)      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| MEAN           | 110  | 83    | 90    | 92    | 83    | 75    | 70    |
| +/-SEM         | 6    | 7     | 12    | 11    | 11    | 12    | 10    |

Values are mm Hg

Table 4. COMPARISON OF THE EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIALLY INCREASED INTRACRANIAL PRESSURE (INC. ICP) AND BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON BIOGENIC AMINES AND METABOLITES IN THE NUCLEUS TRACTUS SOLITARIUS (NTS)

|                | NE       | EPI      | DA     | DOPAC  | HVA    | 5-HT   | 5-HIAA |
|----------------|----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| -----          |          |          |        |        |        |        |        |
| CONTROL (n=6)  |          |          |        |        |        |        |        |
| MEAN           | 12.927   | 0.982    | 0.864  | 0.743  | 1.986  | 21.623 | 12.607 |
| +/-SEM         | 1.298    | 0.049    | 0.118  | 0.036  | 0.117  | 1.061  | 1.631  |
| INC. ICP (n=6) |          |          |        |        |        |        |        |
| MEAN           | 11.415   | 0.420**  | 0.640  | 0.682  | 1.748  | 19.191 | 9.668  |
| +/-SEM         | 1.259    | 0.048    | 0.076  | 0.054  | 0.147  | 1.036  | 1.244  |
| CONTROL (n=8)  |          |          |        |        |        |        |        |
| MEAN           | 16.247   | 0.862    | 0.945  | 0.585  | 2.154  | 23.746 | 10.266 |
| +/-SEM         | 0.796    | 0.080    | 0.143  | 0.039  | 0.233  | 1.414  | 0.783  |
| BMW (n=8)      |          |          |        |        |        |        |        |
| MEAN           | 12.790** | 0.344*** | 0.577* | 0.462* | 1.424* | 19.057 | 9.024  |
| +/-SEM         | 0.906    | 0.052    | 0.062  | 0.029  | 0.174  | 0.869  | 0.798  |

Values are ng/mg protein

\* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001

Table 5. COMPARISON OF THE EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIALLY INCREASED INTRACRANIAL PRESSURE (INC. ICP) AND BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON BIOGENIC AMINES AND METABOLITES IN AREA A1C1

|                | NE      | EPI      | DA     | DOPAC | HVA      | 5-HT   | 5-HIAA |
|----------------|---------|----------|--------|-------|----------|--------|--------|
| <hr/>          |         |          |        |       |          |        |        |
| CONTROL (n=6)  |         |          |        |       |          |        |        |
| MEAN           | 9.451   | 0.849    | 0.591  | 0.850 | 1.772    | 17.060 | 12.930 |
| +/-SEM         | 0.808   | 0.038    | 0.018  | 0.108 | 0.071    | 0.542  | 1.642  |
| INC. ICP (n=6) |         |          |        |       |          |        |        |
| MEAN           | 8.084   | 0.269*** | 0.603  | 0.804 | 1.657    | 16.834 | 9.935  |
| +/-SEM         | 1.002   | 0.045    | 0.057  | 0.071 | 0.164    | 1.044  | 1.091  |
| CONTROL (n=8)  |         |          |        |       |          |        |        |
| MEAN           | 12.119  | 0.801    | 0.795  | 0.602 | 2.156    | 18.288 | 11.347 |
| +/-SEM         | 0.917   | 0.089    | 0.127  | 0.056 | 0.187    | 1.005  | 0.677  |
| BMW (n=8)      |         |          |        |       |          |        |        |
| MEAN           | 8.101** | 0.206*** | 0.416* | 0.517 | 1.289*** | 15.970 | 9.684  |
| +/-SEM         | 0.761   | 0.046    | 0.039  | 0.058 | 0.160    | 0.518  | 0.641  |

Values are ng/mg protein

\* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001

Table 6. COMPARISON OF THE EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIALLY INCREASED INTRACRANIAL PRESSURE (INC. ICP) AND BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON BIOGENIC AMINES AND METABOLITES IN THE LOCUS COERULEUS (LC)

|                | NE     | EPI      | DA    | DOPAC | HVA    | 5-HT   | 5-HIAA |
|----------------|--------|----------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| <hr/>          |        |          |       |       |        |        |        |
| CONTROL (n=6)  |        |          |       |       |        |        |        |
| MEAN           | 22.437 | 1.061    | 1.871 | 4.190 | 15.336 | 25.578 | 29.630 |
| +/-SEM         | 1.971  | 0.167    | 0.217 | 0.186 | 0.984  | 0.681  | 2.556  |
| INC. ICP (n=6) |        |          |       |       |        |        |        |
| MEAN           | 16.668 | 0.366**  | 1.821 | 4.096 | 11.823 | 22.875 | 22.524 |
| +/-SEM         | 2.288  | 0.037    | 0.267 | 0.862 | 1.434  | 0.506  | 3.828  |
| CONTROL (n=8)  |        |          |       |       |        |        |        |
| MEAN           | 19.323 | 0.735    | 1.430 | 3.426 | 11.215 | 21.013 | 19.991 |
| +/-SEM         | 1.281  | 0.070    | 0.100 | 0.437 | 0.749  | 1.053  | 1.757  |
| BMW (n=8)      |        |          |       |       |        |        |        |
| MEAN           | 22.101 | 0.291*** | 1.586 | 3.855 | 11.119 | 21.320 | 18.632 |
| +/-SEM         | 0.888  | 0.066    | 0.093 | 0.238 | 0.756  | 1.130  | 2.078  |

Values are ng/mg protein

\*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001

Table 7. COMPARISON OF THE EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIALLY INCREASED INTRACRANIAL PRESSURE (INC. ICP) AND BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON BIOGENIC AMINES AND METABOLITES IN THE RAPHE NUCLEI

|                | NE     | EPI      | DA      | DOPAC | HVA     | 5-HT     | 5-HIAA   |
|----------------|--------|----------|---------|-------|---------|----------|----------|
| -----          |        |          |         |       |         |          |          |
| CONTROL (n=6)  |        |          |         |       |         |          |          |
| MEAN           | 13.174 | 0.893    | 0.816   | 0.371 | 4.536   | 23.962   | 32.608   |
| +/-SEM         | 0.915  | 0.224    | 0.079   | 0.048 | 0.246   | 1.870    | 2.457    |
| INC. ICP (n=6) |        |          |         |       |         |          |          |
| MEAN           | 10.767 | 0.475*   | 0.598*  | 0.287 | 3.087** | 16.700** | 20.713** |
| +/-SEM         | 1.050  | 0.117    | 0.041   | 0.037 | 0.054   | 1.060    | 2.737    |
| CONTROL (n=8)  |        |          |         |       |         |          |          |
| MEAN           | 14.676 | 0.895    | 0.750   | 0.264 | 3.571   | 22.028   | 25.058   |
| +/-SEM         | 0.940  | 0.043    | 0.046   | 0.019 | 0.384   | 2.455    | 2.028    |
| BMW (n=8)      |        |          |         |       |         |          |          |
| MEAN           | 13.408 | 0.398*** | 0.470** | 0.254 | 2.540*  | 15.471*  | 19.595*  |
| +/-SEM         | 1.692  | 0.054    | 0.058   | 0.015 | 0.234   | 1.435    | 0.795    |

Values are ng/mg protein

\* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001

Table 8. COMPARISON OF THE EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIALLY INCREASED INTRACRANIAL PRESSURE (INC. ICP) AND BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON BIOGENIC AMINES AND METABOLITES IN THE ANTERIORHYPOTHALAMUS (AH)

|                | NE     | EPI      | DA    | DOPAC | HVA   | 5-HT   | 5-HIAA |
|----------------|--------|----------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| -----          |        |          |       |       |       |        |        |
| CONTROL (n=6)  |        |          |       |       |       |        |        |
| MEAN           | 48.833 | 3.289    | 3.736 | 1.430 | 8.264 | 19.845 | 13.800 |
| +/-SEM         | 2.707  | 0.355    | 0.377 | 0.165 | 0.619 | 1.593  | 1.091  |
| INC. ICP (n=6) |        |          |       |       |       |        |        |
| MEAN           | 40.856 | 2.626    | 4.465 | 1.442 | 9.663 | 19.197 | 12.416 |
| +/-SEM         | 3.338  | 0.238    | 0.360 | 0.061 | 1.403 | 1.398  | 1.659  |
| CONTROL (n=8)  |        |          |       |       |       |        |        |
| MEAN           | 42.020 | 3.191    | 5.538 | 1.457 | 9.772 | 24.398 | 13.630 |
| +/-SEM         | 2.134  | 0.216    | 0.732 | 0.146 | 0.877 | 1.390  | 0.889  |
| BMW (n=8)      |        |          |       |       |       |        |        |
| MEAN           | 39.001 | 2.199*** | 4.416 | 1.214 | 7.420 | 21.329 | 11.839 |
| +/-SEM         | 1.553  | 0.147    | 0.338 | 0.116 | 0.752 | 0.550  | 0.333  |

Values are ng/mg protein

\*\*\* p<.001

Table 9. COMPARISON OF THE EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIALLY INCREASED INTRACRANIAL PRESSURE (INC. ICP) AND BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON BIOGENIC AMINES AND METABOLITES IN THE POSTERIOR HYPOTHALAMUS (PH)

|                | NE       | EPI      | DA    | DOPAC | HVA   | 5-HT   | 5-HIAA |
|----------------|----------|----------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| -----          |          |          |       |       |       |        |        |
| CONTROL (n=6)  |          |          |       |       |       |        |        |
| MEAN           | 40.757   | 2.720    | 2.484 | 1.609 | 5.969 | 13.242 | 9.461  |
| +/-SEM         | 3.783    | 0.425    | 0.205 | 0.142 | 0.449 | 1.481  | 0.653  |
| INC. ICP (n=6) |          |          |       |       |       |        |        |
| MEAN           | 22.085** | 0.922**  | 3.153 | 1.311 | 6.390 | 15.412 | 8.999  |
| +/-SEM         | 2.504    | 0.177    | 0.328 | 0.041 | 0.750 | 1.044  | 1.137  |
| CONTROL (n=8)  |          |          |       |       |       |        |        |
| MEAN           | 44.569   | 2.421    | 6.172 | 2.120 | 6.768 | 19.963 | 12.626 |
| +/-SEM         | 3.622    | 0.240    | 1.345 | 0.350 | 0.789 | 0.891  | 1.089  |
| BMW (n=8)      |          |          |       |       |       |        |        |
| MEAN           | 26.848** | 0.888*** | 2.957 | 2.099 | 5.092 | 17.332 | 10.048 |
| +/-SEM         | 3.027    | 0.103    | 0.785 | 0.689 | 0.308 | 0.881  | 0.746  |

Values are ng/mg protein

\*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001

Table 10. EFFECT OF BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON MEAN ARTERIAL BLOOD PRESSURE (MABP)

|          | CONT | 1 Min | 2 Min | 3 Min | 4 Min | 5 Min | 6 Min |
|----------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| -----    |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| CONTROLS |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| J2       | 86   | 82    | 82    | 91    | 88    | 87    | 85    |
| J5       | 109  | 109   | 109   | 109   | 109   | 109   | 116   |
| J8       | 117  | 117   | 117   | 118   | 117   | 123   | 120   |
| J11      | 134  | 136   | 136   | 131   | 131   | 131   | 132   |
| J13      | 163  | 163   | 163   | 163   | 163   | 163   | 163   |
| J14      | 117  | 117   | 117   | 117   | 117   | 123   | 127   |
| J15      | 137  | 127   | 127   | 117   | 117   | 117   | 120   |
| J16      | 157  | 157   | 157   | 157   | 157   | 157   | 157   |
| MEAN     | 127  | 126   | 126   | 125   | 125   | 126   | 127   |
| +/-SEM   | 9    | 9     | 9     | 9     | 9     | 9     | 9     |
| BMW      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| J1       | 127  | 156   | 133   | 140   | 123   | 123   | 113   |
| J3       | 137  | 210   | 152   | 145   | 117   | 102   | 102   |
| J4       | 113  | 243   | 221   | 213   | 193   | 185   | 163   |
| J6       | 100  | 146   | 135   | 104   | 79    | 80    | 77    |
| J7       | 110  | 147   | 137   | 166   | 155   | 145   | 138   |
| J9       | 118  | 194   | 158   | 135   | 125   | 75    | 75    |
| J10      | 105  | 168   | 178   | 167   | 162   | 140   | 140   |
| J12      | 152  | 198   | 186   | 197   | 174   | 174   | 100   |
| MEAN     | 120  | 187   | 163   | 158   | 141   | 128   | 113   |
| +/-SEM   | 6    | 13    | 11    | 12    | 13    | 14    | 11    |

Values are mm Hg

Table 11. EFFECT OF BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON INTRACRANIAL PRESSURE (ICP)

|          | CONT | 1 Min | 2 Min | 3 Min | 4 Min | 5 Min | 6 Min |
|----------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| -----    |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| CONTROLS |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| J2       | 5    | 5     | 5     | 5     | 5     | 5     | 5     |
| J5       | 7    | 7     | 7     | 7     | 7     | 7     | 7     |
| J8       | 9    | 9     | 7     | 7     | 5     | 5     | 5     |
| J11      | 5    | 5     | 5     | 5     | 5     | 5     | 5     |
| J13      | 9    | 9     | 9     | 9     | 9     | 9     | 9     |
| J14      | 5    | 5     | 5     | 5     | 5     | 5     | 5     |
| J15      | 6    | 6     | 6     | 6     | 6     | 6     | 6     |
| J16      | 12   | 12    | 12    | 12    | 12    | 12    | 12    |
| MEAN     | 7    | 7     | 7     | 7     | 7     | 7     | 7     |
| +/-SEM   | 1    | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     |
| BMW      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| J1       | 15   | 100   | 79    | 63    | 50    | 42    | 35    |
| J3       | 12   | 136   | 81    | 74    | 50    | 45    | 38    |
| J4       | 10   | 89    | 72    | 68    | 62    | 50    | 50    |
| J6       | 6    | 78    | 78    | 58    | 44    | 44    | 44    |
| J7       | 8    | 50    | 50    | 43    | 43    | 43    | 45    |
| J9       | 14   | 87    | 56    | 56    | 48    | 40    | 40    |
| J10      | 10   | 61    | 52    | 52    | 52    | 48    | 48    |
| J12      | 7    | 130   | 115   | 119   | 116   | 116   | 46    |
| MEAN     | 10   | 91    | 73    | 67    | 58    | 53    | 43    |
| +/-SEM   | 1    | 11    | 7     | 8     | 9     | 9     | 2     |

Values are mm Hg

Table 12. EFFECT OF BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON CEREBRAL PERFUSION PRESSURE (CPP)

|          | CONT | 1 Min | 2 Min | 3 Min | 4 Min | 5 Min | 6 Min |
|----------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| -----    |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| CONTROLS |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| J2       | 81   | 77    | 77    | 86    | 83    | 82    | 79    |
| J5       | 102  | 102   | 102   | 102   | 102   | 102   | 109   |
| J8       | 108  | 108   | 110   | 111   | 112   | 118   | 115   |
| J11      | 129  | 131   | 131   | 126   | 126   | 126   | 127   |
| J13      | 154  | 154   | 154   | 154   | 154   | 154   | 154   |
| J14      | 112  | 112   | 112   | 112   | 112   | 118   | 122   |
| J15      | 131  | 121   | 121   | 111   | 111   | 111   | 114   |
| J16      | 145  | 145   | 145   | 145   | 145   | 145   | 145   |
| MEAN     | 120  | 119   | 119   | 118   | 118   | 119   | 121   |
| +/-SEM   | 8    | 9     | 9     | 8     | 8     | 8     | 8     |
| BMW      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| J1       | 112  | 56    | 54    | 77    | 73    | 81    | 78    |
| J3       | 115  | 74    | 71    | 71    | 67    | 57    | 64    |
| J4       | 103  | 77    | 149   | 145   | 131   | 135   | 113   |
| J6       | 94   | 75    | 57    | 46    | 35    | 36    | 33    |
| J7       | 102  | 97    | 87    | 123   | 112   | 102   | 93    |
| J9       | 114  | 107   | 102   | 82    | 77    | 35    | 35    |
| J10      | 95   | 107   | 126   | 115   | 110   | 92    | 92    |
| J12      | 145  | 68    | 71    | 78    | 58    | 58    | 54    |
| MEAN     | 110  | 83    | 90    | 92    | 83    | 75    | 70    |
| +/-SEM   | 6    | 7     | 12    | 11    | 11    | 12    | 10    |

Values are mm Hg

Table 13. EFFECT OF BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON BIOGENIC AMINES AND METABOLITES IN THE NUCLEUS TRACTUS SOLITARIUS (NTS)

|          | NE       | EPI      | DA     | DOPAC  | HVA    | 5-HT   | 5-HIAA |
|----------|----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| -----    |          |          |        |        |        |        |        |
| CONTROLS |          |          |        |        |        |        |        |
| J2       | 15.540   | 0.439    | 0.600  | 0.486  | 2.204  | 27.003 | 11.294 |
| J5       | 13.846   | 0.698    | 0.436  | 0.795  | 1.550  | 17.857 | 9.304  |
| J8       | 20.119   | 0.706    | 0.541  | 0.467  | 1.408  | 17.576 | 6.928  |
| J11      | 17.261   | 0.956    | 0.890  | 0.587  | 1.341  | 26.938 | 8.492  |
| J13      | 14.740   | 1.071    | 1.158  | 0.476  | 2.579  | 25.822 | 13.807 |
| J14      | 17.400   | 1.086    | 1.225  | 0.613  | 2.755  | 27.460 | 11.651 |
| J15      | 17.748   | 1.027    | 1.614  | 0.603  | 2.286  | 23.267 | 8.992  |
| J16      | 13.538   | 0.913    | 1.096  | 0.653  | 3.106  | 24.043 | 11.662 |
| MEAN     | 16.247   | 0.862    | 0.945  | 0.585  | 2.154  | 23.746 | 10.266 |
| +/-SEM   | 0.796    | 0.080    | 0.143  | 0.039  | 0.233  | 1.414  | 0.783  |
| BMW      |          |          |        |        |        |        |        |
| J1       | 13.432   | 0.211    | 0.569  | 0.427  | 2.131  | 20.557 | 12.556 |
| J3       | 13.975   | 0.234    | 0.625  | 0.493  | 2.035  | 19.034 | 10.294 |
| J4       | 7.900    | 0.194    | 0.291  | 0.349  | 0.687  | 14.503 | 6.370  |
| J6       | 13.829   | 0.215    | 0.583  | 0.567  | 1.276  | 18.758 | 7.971  |
| J7       | 16.453   | 0.473    | 0.914  | 0.561  | 1.645  | 22.390 | 8.991  |
| J9       | 11.386   | 0.461    | 0.549  | 0.414  | 1.409  | 17.661 | 8.030  |
| J10      | 14.051   | 0.564    | 0.636  | 0.376  | 1.053  | 21.379 | 6.503  |
| J12      | 11.294   | 0.403    | 0.449  | 0.507  | 1.153  | 18.178 | 11.480 |
| MEAN     | 12.790** | 0.344*** | 0.577* | 0.462* | 1.424* | 19.057 | 9.024  |
| +/-SEM   | 0.906    | 0.052    | 0.062  | 0.029  | 0.174  | 0.869  | 0.798  |

Values are ng/mg protein

\* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001

Table 14. EFFECT OF BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON BIOGENIC AMINES AND METABOLITES IN AREA A1C1

|         | NE      | EPI      | DA     | DOPAC | HVA     | 5-HT   | 5-HIAA |
|---------|---------|----------|--------|-------|---------|--------|--------|
| -----   |         |          |        |       |         |        |        |
| CONTROL |         |          |        |       |         |        |        |
| J2      | 10.317  | 0.477    | 0.466  | 0.539 | 2.508   | 12.350 | 13.665 |
| J5      | 13.392  | 0.640    | 0.488  | 0.607 | 1.576   | 19.761 | 10.053 |
| J8      | 16.689  | 0.693    | 0.626  | 0.854 | 2.127   | 18.858 | 13.474 |
| J11     | 8.667   | 0.834    | 0.455  | 0.309 | 1.265   | 17.149 | 8.448  |
| J13     | 14.386  | 1.286    | 1.262  | 0.715 | 2.783   | 21.330 | 13.123 |
| J14     | 11.320  | 1.026    | 0.715  | 0.523 | 2.111   | 16.932 | 11.150 |
| J15     | 11.971  | 0.761    | 1.337  | 0.600 | 2.172   | 19.307 | 9.784  |
| J16     | 10.212  | 0.688    | 1.007  | 0.671 | 2.703   | 20.616 | 11.076 |
| MEAN    | 12.119  | 0.801    | 0.795  | 0.602 | 2.156   | 18.288 | 11.347 |
| +/-SEM  | 0.917   | 0.089    | 0.127  | 0.056 | 0.187   | 1.005  | 0.677  |
| BMW     |         |          |        |       |         |        |        |
| J1      | 10.379  | 0.083    | 0.540  | 0.661 | 2.056   | 18.225 | 11.967 |
| J3      | 10.863  | 0.173    | 0.614  | 0.697 | 1.741   | 16.934 | 10.823 |
| J4      | 9.118   | 0.229    | 0.373  | 0.488 | 0.786   | 14.947 | 8.764  |
| J6      | 9.270   | 0.091    | 0.403  | 0.501 | 1.273   | 15.587 | 8.337  |
| J7      | 6.840   | 0.079    | 0.446  | 0.614 | 1.536   | 13.983 | 9.024  |
| J9      | 5.512   | 0.212    | 0.303  | 0.163 | 1.033   | 15.620 | 8.763  |
| J10     | 5.144   | 0.442    | 0.312  | 0.521 | 0.846   | 16.049 | 7.402  |
| J12     | 7.683   | 0.338    | 0.339  | 0.491 | 1.037   | 17.001 | 12.396 |
| MEAN    | 8.101** | 0.206*** | 0.416* | 0.517 | 1.289** | 15.970 | 9.684  |
| +/-SEM  | 0.761   | 0.046    | 0.039  | 0.058 | 0.160   | 0.518  | 0.641  |

Values are ng/mg protein

\* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001

Table 15. EFFECT OF BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON BIOGENIC AMINES AND METABOLITES IN THE LOCUS COERULEUS (LC)

|         | NE     | EPI      | DA    | DOPAC | HVA    | 5-HT   | 5-HIAA |
|---------|--------|----------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| -----   |        |          |       |       |        |        |        |
| CONTROL |        |          |       |       |        |        |        |
| J2      | 23.583 | 0.380    | 1.395 | 2.897 | 13.162 | 22.228 | 21.876 |
| J5      | 16.366 | 0.908    | 1.637 | 2.917 | 14.488 | 20.793 | 30.932 |
| J8      | 19.450 | 0.468    | 1.029 | 2.614 | 9.126  | 15.319 | 20.469 |
| J11     | 18.471 | 0.801    | 1.163 | 2.317 | 11.735 | 21.528 | 15.828 |
| J13     | 17.537 | 0.832    | 1.488 | 1.800 | 8.533  | 24.607 | 20.257 |
| J14     | 16.116 | 0.862    | 1.311 | 1.938 | 9.906  | 21.055 | 17.148 |
| J15     | 17.004 | 0.761    | 1.948 | 1.897 | 10.090 | 18.561 | 15.624 |
| J16     | 26.062 | 0.866    | 1.465 | 2.025 | 12.678 | 24.012 | 17.790 |
| MEAN    | 19.323 | 0.735    | 1.430 | 3.426 | 11.215 | 21.013 | 19.991 |
| +/-SEM  | 1.281  | 0.070    | 0.100 | 0.437 | 0.749  | 1.053  | 1.757  |
|         |        |          |       |       |        |        |        |
| BMW     |        |          |       |       |        |        |        |
| J1      | 18.080 | 0.415    | 1.105 | 2.845 | 14.255 | 18.965 | 27.480 |
| J3      | 21.715 | 0.222    | 1.655 | 4.153 | 11.583 | 21.020 | 21.899 |
| J5      | 20.757 | 0.053    | 1.591 | 5.048 | 12.989 | 21.574 | 16.230 |
| J6      | 22.724 | 0.107    | 1.470 | 3.542 | 10.926 | 17.176 | 12.659 |
| J7      | 22.870 | 0.128    | 1.881 | 3.331 | 8.099  | 20.723 | 18.756 |
| J9      | 27.005 | 0.513    | 1.952 | 4.363 | 11.587 | 28.244 | 16.173 |
| J10     | 22.480 | 0.526    | 1.484 | 3.791 | 8.140  | 21.050 | 10.705 |
| J12     | 21.175 | 0.360    | 1.550 | 3.765 | 11.536 | 21.810 | 25.153 |
| MEAN    | 22.101 | 0.291*** | 1.586 | 3.855 | 11.119 | 21.320 | 18.632 |
| +/-SEM  | 0.888  | 0.066    | 0.093 | 0.238 | 0.756  | 1.130  | 2.078  |

Values are ng/mg protein

\*\*\* p<.001

Table 16. EFFECT OF BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON BIOGENIC AMINES AND METABOLITES IN THE RAPHE NUCLEI

|         | NE     | EPI      | DA      | DOPAC | HVA    | 5-HT    | 5-HIAA  |
|---------|--------|----------|---------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| -----   |        |          |         |       |        |         |         |
| CONTROL |        |          |         |       |        |         |         |
| J2      | 15.560 | 0.895    | 0.762   | 0.193 | 3.050  | 14.275  | 26.248  |
| J5      | 18.394 | 1.089    | 0.750   | 0.280 | 5.324  | 26.658  | 26.921  |
| J8      | 16.590 | 0.814    | 0.651   | 0.197 | 2.230  | 16.303  | 17.067  |
| J11     | 15.503 | 0.959    | 0.934   | 0.288 | 2.869  | 23.362  | 21.353  |
| J13     | 10.271 | 0.792    | 0.655   | 0.338 | 3.017  | 20.438  | 27.575  |
| J14     | 12.583 | 0.792    | 0.673   | 0.318 | 5.074  | 25.570  | 36.281  |
| J15     | 12.450 | 0.776    | 0.866   | 0.241 | 3.326  | 18.393  | 21.390  |
| J16     | 15.965 | 1.042    | 1.010   | 0.258 | 3.674  | 21.225  | 23.626  |
| MEAN    | 14.676 | 0.895    | 0.750   | 0.264 | 3.571  | 22.028  | 25.058  |
| +/-SEM  | 0.940  | 0.043    | 0.046   | 0.019 | 0.384  | 2.455   | 2.028   |
|         |        |          |         |       |        |         |         |
| BMW     |        |          |         |       |        |         |         |
| J1      | 14.990 | 0.249    | 0.450   | 0.268 | 3.912  | 11.382  | 19.479  |
| J3      | 23.744 | 0.445    | 0.836   | 0.320 | 3.019  | 23.859  | 24.374  |
| J5      | 14.433 | 0.617    | 0.448   | 0.270 | 1.695  | 17.380  | 19.975  |
| J6      | 11.526 | 0.192    | 0.392   | 0.213 | 2.289  | 14.671  | 17.248  |
| J7      | 13.902 | 0.340    | 0.557   | 0.213 | 2.281  | 16.196  | 19.238  |
| J9      | 9.623  | 0.599    | 0.328   | 0.271 | 2.268  | 15.687  | 16.991  |
| J10     | 8.572  | 0.374    | 0.409   | 0.284 | 2.416  | 11.011  | 19.708  |
| J12     | 10.473 | 0.364    | 0.343   | 0.193 | 2.439  | 13.584  | 19.744  |
| MEAN    | 13.408 | 0.398*** | 0.470** | 0.254 | 2.540* | 15.471* | 19.595* |
| +/-SEM  | 1.692  | 0.054    | 0.058   | 0.015 | 0.234  | 1.435   | 0.795   |

Values are ng/mg protein

\* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001

Table 17. EFFECT OF BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON BIOGENIC AMINES AND METABOLITES IN THE ANTERIOR HYPOTHALAMUS (AH)

|          | NE     | EPI      | DA    | DOPAC | HVA    | 5-HT   | 5-HIAA |
|----------|--------|----------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| -----    |        |          |       |       |        |        |        |
| CONTROLS |        |          |       |       |        |        |        |
| J2       | 41.490 | 2.323    | 4.922 | 1.389 | 11.672 | 28.796 | 15.697 |
| J5       | 35.256 | 2.300    | 3.955 | 1.032 | 8.351  | 19.762 | 11.122 |
| J8       | 54.370 | 3.290    | 3.532 | 1.042 | 7.004  | 17.901 | 10.486 |
| J11      | 41.558 | 3.620    | 7.498 | 2.197 | 6.811  | 24.163 | 10.735 |
| J13      | 35.605 | 3.195    | 4.405 | 1.071 | 8.831  | 27.952 | 17.064 |
| J14      | 40.172 | 3.327    | 4.726 | 1.501 | 12.116 | 23.889 | 14.198 |
| J15      | 45.442 | 4.093    | 9.702 | 1.643 | 9.793  | 24.866 | 14.509 |
| J16      | 42.269 | 3.385    | 5.562 | 1.778 | 13.596 | 27.858 | 15.229 |
| MEAN     | 42.020 | 3.191    | 5.538 | 1.457 | 9.772  | 24.398 | 13.630 |
| +/-SEM   | 2.134  | 0.216    | 0.732 | 0.146 | 0.877  | 1.390  | 0.889  |
| BMW      |        |          |       |       |        |        |        |
| J1       | 46.591 | 1.834    | 5.799 | 1.875 | 8.956  | 21.589 | 11.751 |
| J3       | 41.033 | 1.989    | 3.292 | 1.225 | 8.986  | 19.580 | 12.017 |
| J5       | 34.062 | 1.947    | 3.547 | 1.074 | 4.429  | 23.520 | 12.088 |
| J6       | 35.851 | 2.061    | 3.178 | 0.852 | 9.510  | 19.557 | 12.128 |
| J7       | 43.338 | 2.397    | 5.335 | 1.485 | 9.741  | 20.075 | 11.808 |
| J9       | 39.033 | 3.131    | 3.873 | 0.948 | 5.807  | 23.146 | 10.902 |
| J10      | 34.883 | 2.015    | 3.819 | 1.132 | 5.154  | 22.232 | 10.412 |
| J12      | 37.218 | 2.218    | 4.322 | 1.120 | 6.773  | 20.934 | 13.605 |
| MEAN     | 39.001 | 2.199*** | 4.416 | 1.214 | 7.420  | 21.329 | 11.839 |
| +/-SEM   | 1.553  | 0.147    | 0.338 | 0.116 | 0.752  | 0.550  | 0.333  |

Values are ng/mg protein

\*\*\* p<.001

Table 18. EFFECT OF BRAIN MISSILE WOUNDING (BMW) ON BIOGENIC AMINES AND METABOLITES IN THE POSTERIOR HYPOTHALAMUS (PH)

|          | NE       | EPI      | DA     | DOPAC | HVA   | 5-HT   | 5-HIAA |
|----------|----------|----------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| -----    |          |          |        |       |       |        |        |
| CONTROLS |          |          |        |       |       |        |        |
| J2       | 38.836   | 1.464    | 1.875  | 1.105 | 6.875 | 17.750 | 8.567  |
| J5       | 45.064   | 1.672    | 3.950  | 2.506 | 2.859 | 23.550 | 17.035 |
| J8       | 65.071   | 3.272    | 2.041  | 1.120 | 4.397 | 16.193 | 7.788  |
| J11      | 52.801   | 2.491    | 9.311  | 2.692 | 8.971 | 21.482 | 12.457 |
| J13      | 39.557   | 3.073    | 2.800  | 1.083 | 5.797 | 22.172 | 14.632 |
| J14      | 37.141   | 3.097    | 9.716  | 3.797 | 8.303 | 17.821 | 12.862 |
| J15      | 32.397   | 2.155    | 9.598  | 2.773 | 8.478 | 20.800 | 13.627 |
| J16      | 45.684   | 2.144    | 10.080 | 1.882 | 8.467 | 19.935 | 14.042 |
| MEAN     | 44.569   | 2.421    | 6.172  | 2.120 | 6.768 | 19.963 | 12.626 |
| +/-SEM   | 3.622    | 0.240    | 1.345  | 0.350 | 0.789 | 0.891  | 1.089  |
| BMW      |          |          |        |       |       |        |        |
| J1       | 29.342   | 0.779    | 2.229  | 6.518 | 5.652 | 17.452 | 7.561  |
| J3       | 33.827   | 0.880    | 1.924  | 1.195 | 6.217 | 21.673 | 11.210 |
| J4       | 20.394   | 0.668    | 1.848  | 0.949 | 3.630 | 18.775 | 11.343 |
| J6       | 36.944   | 0.887    | 1.757  | 0.952 | 5.700 | 19.490 | 9.797  |
| J7       | 29.850   | 1.033    | 2.844  | 1.315 | 5.721 | 16.031 | 8.472  |
| J9       | 19.727   | 1.217    | 8.378  | 3.325 | 4.884 | 14.273 | 8.444  |
| J10      | 11.993   | 0.379    | 2.577  | 1.470 | 4.403 | 15.416 | 9.451  |
| J12      | 32.707   | 1.262    | 2.102  | 1.069 | 4.530 | 15.548 | 14.107 |
| MEAN     | 26.848** | 0.888*** | 2.957  | 2.099 | 5.092 | 17.332 | 10.048 |
| +/-SEM   | 3.027    | 0.103    | 0.785  | 0.689 | 0.308 | 0.881  | 0.746  |

Values are ng/mg protein

\*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001

REFERENCES

- 1 Altar CA, Marien MR, Marshall JF: Time course of adaptations in dopamine biosynthesis, metabolism, and release following nigrostriatal lesions: Implications for behavioral recovery from brain injury. *J Neurochem* 48:390-399, 1987.
- 2 Berman AL: The Brain Stem of the Cat. A Cytoarchitectonic Atlas with Stereotaxic Coordinates. Madison, Wisconsin: Univ of Wisconsin Press, 1968.
- 3 Bhaskaran D, Freed CM: Changes in arterial blood pressure lead to baroreceptor-mediated changes in norepinephrine and 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid in rat nucleus tractus solitarius. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 245:356-363, 1988.
- 4 Bhaskaran D, Freed CR: Changes in neurotransmitter turnover in locus coeruleus produced by changes in arterial blood pressure. *Brain Res Bull* 21:191-199, 1987.
- 5 Bhaskaran D, Freed CR: Catechol and indole metabolism in the rostral ventrolateral medulla change synchronously with changing blood pressure. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 249:660-666, 1989.
- 6 Blight AR, DeCrescito V: Morphometric analysis of experimental spinal cord injury in the cat: the relation of injury intensity to survival of myelinated axons. *Neuroscience* 19(1):321-341, 1986.
- 7 Brown FK: Cardiovascular effects of acutely raised intracranial pressure. *Am J Physiol* 185:510-514, 1956.
- 8 Busto R, Harik SI, Yoshida S, et al: Cerebral norepinephrine depletion enhances recovery from brain recovery. *Ann Neurol* 18:329-336, 1985.
- 9 Carey ME, Sarna GS, Farrell JB, et al: Experimental missile wound to the brain. *J Neurosurg* 71:754-764, 1989.
- 10 Ciriello J, Caverson MM, Polosa C: Function of the ventrolateral medulla in the control of the circulation. *Brain Res Rev* 11:359-391, 1986.
- 11 Corrodi H, Fuxe K, Hokfelt T: The effect of immobilization stress on the activity of central monoamine neurons. *Life Sci* 7:107-112, 1968.
- 12 Cushing H: Concerning a definite regulatory mechanism of the vasomotor center which controls blood pressure during cerebral compression. *Bull J Hopkins Univ* 12:290-292, 1901.

- 13 Cushing H: Some experimental and clinical observations concerning states of increased intracranial hypertension. *Amer J Med Sci* 124:375-400, 1902.
- 14 Echizen H, Freed CR: Altered serotonin and norepinephrine metabolism in rat dorsal raphe nucleus after drug-induced hypertension. *Life Sci* 34:1581-1589, 1984.
- 15 Edvinsson L, Owman C, Rosengren E, et al: Brain concentrations of dopamine, noradrenaline, 5-hydroxytryptamine, and homovanillic acid during intracranial hypertension following traumatic brain injury in rabbit. *Acta Neurol Scand* 47:458-463, 1971.
- 16 Freed CR, Echizen H, Bhaskaran D: Brain serotonin and blood pressure regulation: studies using in vivo electrochemistry and direct tissue assay. *Life Sci* 37:1783-1793, 1985.
- 17 Freeman NE, Jeffers WA: Effect of progressive sympathectomy on hypertension produced by increased intracranial pressure. *Am J Physiol* 128:662-671, 1940.
- 18 Gonon F, Buda M, De Simoni G, et al: Catecholamine metabolism in the rat locus coeruleus as studied by in vivo differential pulse voltammetry. II. Pharmacological and behavioral study. *Brain Res* 273:207-216, 1983.
- 19 Granata AR, Ruggiero DA, Park DH, et al: Brain stem area with C1 epinephrine neurons mediates baroreflex vasodepressor functions. *Am J Physiol* 248:H547-H567, 1985.
- 20 Guyenet PG, Stornetta RL: Inhibition of sympathetic preganglionic discharges by epinephrine and alpha-methylepinephrine. *Brain Res* 235:271-283, 1982.
- 21 Huger F, Patrick G: Effect of concussive head injury on central catecholamine levels and synthesis rates in rat brain regions. *J Neurochem* 33:89-95, 1979.
- 22 Karplus JP, Kreidl A: Gehirn und Sympathicus. VII. Mitteilung. Über Beziehungen der Hypothalamuszentren zu Blutdruck und innerer Sekretion. *Pflugers Arch* 215:667-670, 1927.
- 23 Katahira K, Mikami H, Tsunetoshi T, et al: Increase in the blood pressure and decrease in the norepinephrine release in the ventrolateral medulla during intraventricular administration of hypertonic NaCl. *Pflugers Arch Eur J Physiol* 414:719-725, 1989.

- 24 Kmiecak-Kolada K, Felinska W, Stachura Z, et al: Concentrations of biogenic amines and their metabolites in different parts of brain after experimental cerebral concussion. *Pol J Pharmacol Pharm* 39:47-53, 1987.
- 25 Kobilansky C, Lanzinger I, Philippu A: Release of endogenous catecholamines in the nucleus tractus solitarii during experimentally induced blood pressure changes. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch Pharmacol* 337:125-130, 1988.
- 26 Kocsis B, Fedina L, Pasztor E: Two phase change of sympathetic rhythms in brain ischemia, Cushing reaction, and asphyxia. *Am J Physiol* 256:R120-R132, 1989.
- 27 Kurihara M: Role of monoamines in experimental spinal cord injury in rats. *J Neurosurg* 62:743-749, 1985.
- 28 Kvetnansky R, Kopin IJ, Saavedra JM: Changes in epinephrine in individual hypothalamic nuclei after immobilization stress. *Brain Res* 155:387-390, 1978.
- 29 Matsumoto M, Kimura K, Fujisawa A, et al: Differential effect of cerebral ischemia on monoamine content of discrete brain regions of the Mongolian gerbil (*Meriones unguiculatus*). *J Neurochem* 42:647-651, 1984.
- 30 Matsuura S, Sakamoto H, Hayashida Y, et al: Efferent discharges of sympathetic and parasympathetic nerve fibers during increased intracranial pressure in anesthetized cats in absence and presence of pressor response. *Brain Res* 305:291-301, 1984.
- 31 Miyazaki M, Nazarali AJ, Boisvert DP, et al: Inhibition of ischemia-induced brain catecholamine alterations by clonidine. *Brain Res Bull* 22:207-211, 1989.
- 32 Miyazaki T, Coote JH, Dun NJ: Excitatory and inhibitory effects of epinephrine on neonatal rat sympathetic preganglionic neurons in vitro. *Brain Res* 497:108-116, 1989.
- 33 Naftchi NE, Demeny M, DeCrescito V, et al: Biogenic amine concentrations in traumatized spinal cord of cats. Effect of drug therapy. *J Neurosurg* 40:52-57, 1974.
- 34 Nagao S, Sunami N, Tsutsui T, et al: Acute intracranial hypertension and brain-stem flow. An experimental study. *J Neurosurg* 60:566-571, 1984.
- 35 Osterholm JL, Mathews GJ: Altered norepinephrine metabolism following experimental spinal cord injury. Part 1: Relationship to hemorrhagic necrosis and post-wounding neurological deficits. *J Neurosurg* 36:386-394, 1972.

- 36 Owman C, Rosengren E, West KA: Influence of various intracranial pressure levels on the concentration of certain arylethylamines in rabbit brain. *Experientia* 27:1036-1037, 1971.
- 37 Pappius HM: Significance of biogenic amines in functional disturbances resulting from brain injury. *Metab Brain Dis* 3(4):303-310, 1988.
- 38 Pasztor E, Fedina L, Kocsis B, et al: Activity of peripheral sympathetic efferent nerves in experimental Subarachnoideal hemorrhage. Part I: Observations at the time of intracranial hypertension. *Acta Neurochir* 79:125-131, 1986.
- 39 Pearlson GD, Robinson RG: Suction lesions of the frontal cerebral in the rat induce asymmetrical behavioral and catecholaminergic responses. *Brain Res* 218:233-242, 1981.
- 40 Philippu A: Regulation of blood pressure by central neurotransmitters and neuropeptides. *Rev Physiol Biochem Pharmacol* 111:1-115, 1988.
- 41 Philippu A, Dietl H, Sinha JN: Rise in blood pressure increases the release of endogenous catecholamines in the anterior hypothalamus of the cat. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch Pharmacol* 310:237-240, 1980.
- 42 Philippu A, Schartner P: Inhibition by locally applied alpha-adrenoreceptor agents of the depressor response to stimulation of the anterior hypothalamus. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch Pharmacol* 295:1-7, 1976.
- 43 Przuntek H, Guimaraes S, Philippu A: Importance of adrenergic neurons of the brain for the rise of blood pressure evoked by hypothalamic stimulation. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch Pharmacol* 271:311-319, 1971.
- 44 Quintin L, Gillon JY, Ghignone M, et al.: Baroreflex-linked variations of catecholamine metabolism in the caudal ventrolateral medulla: An in vivo electrochemical study. *Brain Res* 423:319-336, 1987.
- 45 Robinson RG, Shoemaker WJ, Schlumpf M: Time course of changes in catecholamines following right hemisphere cerebral infarction in the rat. *Brain Res* 181:202-208, 1980.
- 46 Ross CA, Ruggerio DA, Park DH, et al: Tonic vasomotor control by the rostral ventrolateral medulla: Effect of electrical or chemical stimulation of the area containing C1 adrenaline neurons on arterial pressure, heart rate, and arterial plasma catecholamines and vasopressin. *J Neurosci* 4:474-494, 1984.

- 47 Roth KA, Mefford IM, Barchas JD: Epinephrine, norepinephrine, dopamine and serotonin: differential effects of acute and chronic stress on regional brain amines. *Brain Res* 239:417-424, 1982.
- 48 Saavedra JM, Kvetnansky R, Kopin IJ: Adrenaline, noradrenaline and dopamine levels in specific brain stem areas of acutely immobilized rats. *Brain Res* 160:271-280, 1979.
- 49 Saavedra JM, Torda T: Increased brain stem and decreased hypothalamic adrenaline-forming enzyme after acute and repeated immobilization stress in the rat. *Neuroendocrinology* 31:142-146, 1980.
- 50 Sadoshima S, Thames M, Heistad D: Cerebral blood flow during elevation of intracranial pressure: role of sympathetic nerves. *Am J Physiol* 241:H78-H84, 1981.
- 51 Salzman SK, Hirofuji E, Llados-Eckman C, et al: Monoaminergic responses to spinal trauma. Participation of serotonin in posttraumatic progression of neural damage. *J Neurosurg* 66:431-439, 1987.
- 52 Sangdee C, Franz DN: Evidence for inhibition of sympathetic preganglionic neurons by bulbospinal epinephrine pathways. *Neurosci Lett* 37:167-173, 1983.
- 53 Sauter AM, Baba Y, Stone EA, et al: Effect of stress and of phenylethanolamine-N-methyltransferase inhibition on central norepinephrine and epinephrine levels. *Brain Res* 144:415-419, 1978.
- 54 Sinha JN, Dietl H, Philippu A: Effect of a fall of blood pressure on the release of catecholamines in the hypothalamus. *Life Sci* 26:1751-1760, 1980.
- 55 Snider RS, Niemer WT: *A Stereotaxic Atlas of the Cat Brain*. Illinois: Univ of Chicago Press Chicago, 1961.
- 56 Stoner HB, Elson PM: The effect of injury on monoamine concentrations in the rat hypothalamus. *J Neurochem* 81:1837-1846, 1971.
- 57 Stoner HB, Hunt A: The effect of trauma on the activity of central noradrenergic neurons. *Brain Res* 112:337-346, 1976.
- 58 Stricker EM, Zigmond MJ: Recovery of function following damage to central catecholamine-containing neurons: a neurochemical model for the lateral hypothalamic syndrome, in Sprague JM Epstein AN (eds): *Progress in Physiological Psychology and Psychobiology*, Vol. 6. New York: Academic Press, 1976, pp 121-188.

- 59 Struyker-Boudier H, Smeets G, Brouwer G, et al: Hypothalamic alpha-adrenergic receptors in cardiovascular regulation. *Neuropharmacology* 13:837-841, 1974.
- 60 Weinberger J, Nieves-Rosa J: Metabolism of monoamine neurotransmitters in the evolution of infarction in ischemic striatum. *J Neural Trans* 69:265-275, 1987.
- 61 Zierski J: Blood flow in brain structures during increased ICP. *Acta Neurochirurgica Suppl.* 40:95-116, 1987.
- 62 Zivin JA, Doppman JL, Reid JL, et al: Biochemical and histochemical studies of biogenic amines in spinal cord trauma. *Neurology* 26:99-107, 1976.

THE EFFECT OF RAISED INTRACRANIAL PRESSURE ON  
MECHANICAL CEREBRAL BLOOD FLOW REGULATION

## INTRODUCTION

When normal cerebral blood flow (CBF) regulation mechanisms are present the brain is able to maintain a relatively constant flow despite a mean arterial blood pressure (MABP) reduction to about 60 mmHg by bleeding. Below this MABP level CBF regulation is impaired because cerebral vessels can not dilate further. At this point cerebral blood flow is MABP dependent. This response of CBF to graded hemorrhagic hypotension has been the classic test of CBF autoregulation and defines "mechanical" CBF regulation.(4,6,9)

Cerebral blood flow regulation also may be impaired when intracranial pressure (ICP) is elevated to greater than 70 mmHg(4,6,9,12).

In so far as cerebral circulation is concerned, cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP) is critical.  $CPP = MABP - ICP$ . If CPP is reduced too far CBF will fall. Cats with intact blood flow regulation mechanisms have been shown to autoregulate CBF with CPPs as low as 30 mmHg (12, Torbati, 1988 annual report).

In a prior work (DAMD17-86-C-6098, 27 April 1989) we evaluated mechanical CBF regulation in cats following a brain missile wound and observed that about half of so wounded cats could not maintain CBF when MABP was decreased by hemorrhagic hypotension, ie their mechanical CBF regulation was impaired. In all cases wherein brain wounded animals had been made hypotensive by bleeding to test mechanical CBF regulation, reinfusion of shed blood failed to improve CBF and, in fact, often decreased it.

In these prior experiments concerning the mechanical regulation of CBF after a brain missile wound we concluded that mechanical brain blood flow regulatory mechanisms were severely impaired but we were unable to ascertain how much of this impairment resulted from increased intracranial pressure which invariably accompanies a missile wound to the brain (1,2) and how much of the autoregulatory loss was from brain wound mechanisms per se.

The following experiments were designed to delineate the effect of increased intracranial pressure alone on mechanical CBF regulation. In the experiments herein being reported increased ICP was induced by an infusion of mock CSF into the cisterna magna. After ICP had been increased, mechanical CBF regulation was evaluated by measuring CBF during 3 levels of systemic hypotension induced by bleeding. As in brain wounded cats, animals in these experiments had CPPs lowered not only by ICP elevations but also by MABP reductions. In the present experiments, however, the physical effects of missile wounding were absent.

## METHOD

SURGICAL PROCEDURE: The surgical and experimental procedures utilized were described in our previous reports as was our microsphere technique (See Final Reports: DAMD17-83-C-3145, 31 Dec 1985; DAMD17-86-C-6098, 21

Sep 1987). In the present experiments 8 mongrel cats of either sex (2.9 to 4.1 kg) were initially anesthetized i.p. with 30 to 40 mg/kg pentobarbital. After endotracheal intubation, one femoral artery was cannulated (PE 90 or 160) for MABP recording, periodic blood sampling, for bleeding to induce hypotension, and for blood reinfusion. The second femoral artery was used for placement of an intracardiac (PE 90) pigtail catheter for microsphere injection. Catheter tip placement within the left ventricle was determined by pulse pressure recordings and post-mortem examination. Both brachial arteries were cannulated (PE 50) for reference blood sampling and for administration of additional pentobarbital as needed during surgery and for infusion of gallamine (30 to 40 mg/cat) for paralysis. Each cat was then placed in a stereotaxic head apparatus and a fiber optic ICP probe (Camino, model 420) was inserted in the left parietal brain. Three EEG electrodes (miniature screws) were also implanted over the right and left parietal cortices. A 20 gauge spinal needle was inserted into the cisterna magna and mounted on a stereotaxic arm. This needle was connected to a reservoir containing mock CSF\*.

#### TECHNIQUE FOR INCREASING ICP AND REDUCING MABP:

The container of mock CSF could be elevated above the cat's head in order to increase the cat's ICP up to a maximum of 120 mmHg. Graded hemorrhagic hypotension was achieved by arterial bleeding into pre-heparinized 20 ml disposable syringes. Blood in these syringes were kept at 37°C until used for blood reinfusion. In these experiments with unwounded cats, we attempted to simulate as closely as possible the increases in ICP which occurred over time in cats which received a brain wound. After BMW usually there is an abrupt increase in ICP to very high levels (~ 150 mmHg) occurring within 2 to 3 min of wounding. The ICP in most cases then declines to 60 to 80 mmHg within 5 min and then continues to drop, stabilizing at 40 to 60 mmHg 20 to 40 mins after wounding. (See prior cited reports and 1988 Annual Report DAMD17-86-C-6098, April 27, 1989). Accordingly, in the present experiments ICP was raised by mock CSF infusion initially to greater than 100 mmHg but by five minutes after this initial rise ICP was reduced to ~82 mmHg by lowering the container containing the mock CSF. By 20 minutes mean ICP was decreased to 59 mmHg and by 40 minutes ICP was set at 42 mmHg. All during the time the ICP was artificially elevated by the mock CSF infusion MABP was gradually lowered in 3 stages from a control of 131 mmHg down to 70 mmHg. During blood reinfusion at 90 minutes ICP was again increased to 65 mmHg. At each of the 3 reduced blood pressure levels wherein mechanical CBF regulation was tested in the face of increased ICP, MABP remained in the known autoregulatory range. We tried to keep CPPs relatively constant and at the lower limit of CPP which is consistent with CBF autoregulation, about 30 mmHg (12, Torbati, et al 1988 annual report).

\* Chemical Composition: KCl 2.9 mEq; MgCl<sub>2</sub> 1.4 mEq; CaCl<sub>2</sub> mEq; NaCl 132 mEq; NaHCO<sub>3</sub> 24.6 mEq, Urea 6.7 mEq; Glucose 3.7 mEq

CBF MEASUREMENTS: In these experiments, total CBF and 14 rCBFs and cervical spinal cord blood flows were measured 5 times by means of: [153] Gd, [113] Sn, [103] Ru, [95] Nb and [46] Sc.

CBF #1 was measured after completion of all surgical procedures when intracranial and arterial blood pressures were normal. This control CBF measurement took place about 10 min before the induction of simultaneous intracranial hypertension and hemorrhagic hypotension.

CBF #2 was measured 5 minutes after induction of severe intracranial hypertension which consisted of an abrupt increase of ICP to 100-120 mmHg within 3 min followed by a gradual drop to an average ICP of  $82 \pm 5$  mmHg at five minutes. At the same time, MABP was decreased by bleeding from a control of 131 to 111 mmHg. CPP was 29.7 mmHg. The mean volume of blood removed at this stage was  $31 \pm 3$  ml/cat or 8.9 ml/Kg body weight.

CBF #3 was measured 20 min after initiation of bleeding and intracranial hypertension. At this time ICP was reduced to  $59 \pm 2$  mmHg while MABP was decreased to 96 mmHg. CPP was  $35 \pm 3$  mmHg. The mean volume of blood removed was  $55 \pm 5$  ml/cat, about 16 ml/Kg.

CBF #4 was measured at 45 min after initiation of intracranial hypertension and bleeding. ICP was set at  $42 \pm 1$  mmHg while MABP was reduced to 70 mmHg (CPP= $28 \pm 4$  mmHg). The mean volume of blood removed at this final stage of hypotension was  $87 \pm 10$  ml/cat equivalent to 25 ml/Kg body weight.

CBF #5 was measured after blood reinfusion in presence of a maintained increase in ICP. In our prior experiments (DAMD17-86-C-6098, 27 April 1989) testing mechanical CBF regulation following missile wounding, CBF autoregulation was impaired. Reperfusion of shed blood with restoration of MABP caused a parallel increase in ICP. This phenomenon has also been described in other instances where CBF regulation is impaired (Miller et al, 1973). Hence, in our present experiments we increased ICP gradually from  $42 \pm 1$  mmHg to  $65 \pm 1$  mmHg as blood was being reinfused and MABP was being raised from its lowest level of 70 mmHg to 148 mmHg. CBF #5 was, thus, measured following 90 min of maintained but variable levels of intracranial hypertension. Reinfusion and CBF measurements were successfully performed in 5 cats. Three cats were excluded because of instability of arterial  $PO_2$  following of reinfusion (2) and accidental arterial bleeding in another.

These manipulations of ICP and MABP are summarized in Table 1 and diagramed in Figure 1.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS: All data are presented as means  $\pm$  SE. The results were first evaluated by analysis of variance. Comparison between control and subsequent CBFs was made by Tukey's test for repeated measurements. Selective comparisons between data in the present study and previous results in cats subjects to both brain missile wounding and hemorrhagic hypotension to test mechanical CBF regulation after brain wounding (DAMD17-86-C-6098, April 27, 1989) were made with unpaired t-test. Values of  $p < 0.05$  were considered significant.

**TABLE 1:** MABP and ICP changes induced to test mechanical CBF regulation in unwounded cats

| <u>MANEUVER</u>       | <u>CBF No.</u> | <u>MABP(mmHg)</u> | <u>ICP(mmHg)</u> | <u>CPP(mmHg)</u> |
|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| None (Control)        | 1              | 130               | 7                | 123              |
| raise ICP, lower MABP | 2              | 111               | 82               | 29               |
| lower ICP, lower MABP | 3              | 96                | 59               | 35               |
| lower ICP, lower MABP | 4              | 70                | 42               | 28               |
| raise ICP, raise MABP | 5              | 148               | 65               | 83               |




Figure 1: Changes in ICP, MABP and CPP induced by artificially increasing ICP and gradually reducing MABP by arterial bleeding in anesthetized ventilated cats. These pressure changes simulate intracranial and systemic pressure changes observed in brain wounded cats subjected to hemorrhagic hypotension. n=8 up to 45 min and 5 at 90 min.

## RESULTS

The control (Table 2), normotensive values of various physiologic variables in these 8 cats were all in the accepted range for pentobarbital anesthetized cats. With the onset of ICP elevation and bleeding these ventilated cats maintained a normoxic arterial  $PO_2$  (95-107 mmHg). Their arterial  $PCO_2$ s and pHs, however, fell significantly during the course of bleeding; pH from a control of 7.35 to 7.18 and  $P CO_2$  from 28 to 24 mmHg. This arterial acidosis persisted following completion of blood reinfusion pH was 7.20 (Table 2). The hematocrit was significantly reduced from 31 to 22% when MABP was reduced to 70 mmHg but returned to normal, 32%, following blood reinfusion.

Table 2: Changes in physiological variables in anesthetized ventilated cats during hemorrhagic hypotension associated with intracranial hypertension (5-45 min) and after blood reinfusion in presence of a maintained intracranial hypertension (90 min). Mean  $\pm$  SE; n=8 for control and 5, 20 and 45 min measurements; n=5 for reinfusion. \*Significant,  $p < 0.05$ .

| VARIABLES                | CONTROL        | 5 MIN           | 20 MIN         | 45 MIN         | 90 MIN          |
|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| pH                       | 7.35<br>0.01   | 7.33<br>0.01    | 7.30<br>0.01   | 7.18*<br>0.04  | 7.20*<br>0.02   |
| [H+] (n/mol)             | 44.75<br>1.44  | 46.32<br>1.00   | 50.10<br>1.52  | 68.96*<br>7.62 | 63.46*<br>3.52  |
| PaO <sub>2</sub> (mmHg)  | 106.63<br>3.35 | 106.00<br>4.49  | 106.13<br>5.10 | 106.88<br>6.28 | 95.20<br>2.75   |
| PaCO <sub>2</sub> (mmHg) | 28.49<br>1.56  | 26.63<br>1.78   | 26.57<br>0.58  | 23.61*<br>1.34 | 31.54<br>1.34   |
| MABP (mmHg)              | 130.50<br>5.71 | 111.25*<br>8.03 | 95.88*<br>3.14 | 70.00*<br>4.19 | 147.60*<br>7.79 |
| ICP (mmHg)               | 7.37<br>0.60   | 81.50*<br>5.30  | 59.37*<br>2.31 | 42.00*<br>1.35 | 65.00*<br>0.84  |
| CPP (mmHg)               | 123.13<br>5.61 | 29.75*<br>6.36  | 35.25*<br>3.05 | 28.00*<br>3.60 | 82.60*<br>8.03  |
| CVR (CPP/CBF)            | 3.33<br>0.28   | 1.14*<br>0.27   | 1.22*<br>0.13  | 0.80*<br>0.15  | 2.44<br>0.42    |
| HEMATOCRIT (%)           | 30.75<br>2.12  | 31.00<br>1.85   | 27.75<br>2.45  | 22.13*<br>1.86 | 32.40<br>3.53   |

Changes in Total CBF and CVR with Simultaneous Increased ICP and Reduced MABP in Unwounded Cats: Tables 2 and 3 presents the salient data from these set of experiments. When ICP was abruptly raised to  $> 100$  mmHg and then decreased to 82 mmHg total CBF fell significantly from a control of  $38.4 \pm 3$  to  $26.7 \pm 2$  ml/100g/min. MABP, though reduced by hemorrhagic hypotension from a control of 130 mmHg to 111 mmHg, was still very adequate during this CBF measurement. CPP was about 30 mmHg, close to the lowest level allowing CBF autoregulation. Though CVR showed an appropriate decrease from 3.3 to 2.4 (autoregulatory mechanisms intact) CBF still showed a reduction because of the excessively high ICP. The next two CBF measurements were made 20 and 45 mins after the start of the experimental period when MABP was successively lowered to 96 mmHg and then to 70 mmHg in the face of ICPs ranging from 59 to 42 mmHg. Despite the increased ICP, CBF showed no further significant reduction when MABP was lowered and, in fact, returned very much to normal even though MABP was decreased. This reflects the appropriate CVR decrease. Following blood reinfusion and elevation of MABP above control, CVR increased preventing hyperfusion of the brain.

Individual mean CBF vs. CPP relationships for all cats measured during the control period, after 3 hemorrhagic hypotensive intervals, and following reinfusion are illustrated in Figure 2. In no instance did total CBF fall below 20 ml/100g/min which is above the ischemic level for cats, ~15 ml/100g/min (7,11).

Changes in rCBFs: Reflecting the total CBF change, significant rCBFs reductions occurred in all investigated brain structures when ICP was 82 mmHg, (Table 3). Again, however, the residual regional flows were all above ischemic levels and tended to recover and return to normal when ICP was decreased to 59 mmHg and then 42 mmHg and corresponding MABPs were 96 and 70 mmHg.

Effect of blood reinfusion in presence of a moderate intracranial hypertension:

Blood reinfusion produced a mild but significant systemic hypertension (MABP 148 mmHg). The mean concomitant intracranial hypertension of 65 mmHg did not prevent the necessary CVR rise from accompanying the MABP increase which was required to keep CBF constant.

Table 3: Changes in rCBF and total CBF in anesthetized ventilated cats during hemorrhagic hypotension (5,20 and 45 min) and after blood reinfusion (90 min) in presence of maintained intracranial hypertension. Mean  $\pm$  SE; n=8 reinfusion. \*Significant,  $p < 0.05$ .

| VARIABLES            | CONTROL       | 5 MIN          | 20 MIN         | 45 MIN        | 90 MIN        |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| MABP                 | 130.5         | 111.3          | 95.9           | 70.0          | 147.6         |
| ICP                  | 7.4           | 81.5           | 59.4           | 42.0          | 65.0          |
| CPP                  | 123.1         | 29.8           | 36.5           | 28.0          | 82.6          |
| <hr/>                |               |                |                |               |               |
| FRONTAL CORTEX       | 43.50<br>3.55 | 30.69*<br>2.37 | 34.21<br>3.25  | 44.69<br>4.31 | 37.42<br>3.98 |
| PARIETAL CORTEX      | 42.52<br>4.28 | 26.41*<br>1.54 | 30.98*<br>4.20 | 39.34<br>4.36 | 34.24<br>3.09 |
| TEMPORAL CORTEX      | 29.24<br>2.52 | 26.56*<br>1.52 | 28.21<br>2.15  | 38.42<br>3.26 | 31.48<br>4.01 |
| OCCIPITAL CORTEX     | 47.56<br>4.21 | 26.57*<br>1.79 | 30.31*<br>2.90 | 39.44<br>5.42 | 42.34<br>3.31 |
| WHITE MATTER         | 34.66<br>3.19 | 23.10*<br>2.15 | 27.57<br>2.93  | 30.81<br>2.00 | 29.74<br>2.55 |
| CAUDATE NUCLEUS      | 55.36<br>5.16 | 42.17*<br>4.30 | 40.21*<br>3.34 | 58.01<br>5.57 | 52.00<br>5.42 |
| HIPPOCAMPUS          | 27.19<br>2.03 | 23.85*<br>1.95 | 27.70<br>2.68  | 36.55<br>3.20 | 27.18<br>3.55 |
| THALAMUS             | 45.24<br>4.78 | 35.24*<br>2.91 | 37.95<br>2.77  | 48.96<br>3.57 | 43.22<br>3.27 |
| RETICULAR FORMATION  | 45.29<br>4.21 | 31.76*<br>2.99 | 33.10<br>2.84  | 43.95<br>3.45 | 41.02<br>4.11 |
| BRAIN STEM & MEDULLA | 35.05<br>2.08 | 25.26*<br>1.67 | 28.26<br>2.49  | 35.60<br>3.71 | 32.50<br>4.18 |
| CEREBELLUM GRAY      | 39.89<br>3.93 | 27.53*<br>1.73 | 32.37<br>3.89  | 36.67<br>6.23 | 46.42<br>6.42 |
| CEREBELLUM WHITE     | 42.34<br>2.95 | 27.13*<br>1.48 | 32.89<br>3.70  | 36.17<br>5.69 | 41.14<br>7.30 |
| TOTAL CBF            | 38.39<br>2.76 | 26.73*<br>1.54 | 30.27<br>2.37  | 37.87<br>3.58 | 36.25<br>3.63 |




Figure 2: CBF-CPP relationship in individual cats during increased intracranial hypertension associated with 3 levels of systemic hypotension and after blood reinfusion. CBF remained above 20 ml/100g/min even when CPPs were below 30 mmHg indicating intact autoregulation.

## DISCUSSION

The question these present experiments attempt to answer is whether the failure of mechanical CBF regulation following brain wounding occurs as a consequence of the missile wounding process per se or occurs mainly as a result of the brain-wound induced acute and chronic ICP elevations. To help resolve this issue the current experiments were undertaken wherein mechanical regulation of CBF was examined under conditions of elevated ICP alone. Table 4 indicates that under conditions of increased ICP alone mechanical CBF regulation remains intact.

In Table 5 data concerning the maintenance of CBF from our present experiments (Group C) are compared to that from other groups of cats also exposed to experiments which tested mechanical CBF regulation: Group A consists of normal unwounded cats exposed to hemorrhagic hypotension. ICP in these animals was normal. Group B<sub>1</sub> is comprised of cats which sustained a brain wound. Mean ICPs in these cats were never greater than 41 mmHg and cats in this group autoregulated their CBF when MABP was reduced by graded hemorrhagic hypotension. These cats could not, however, control ICP when shed blood was reinfused. Group B<sub>2</sub> consists of brain wounded cats in which mechanical CBF regulation was totally impaired; these cats could neither control CBF with induced hypotension nor ICP after shed blood reinfusion. ICP was elevated to 73 mmHg 5 minutes after wounding in this group. Groups A, B<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>2</sub> came from our prior work on mechanical regulation of CBF following brain wounding presented in our 1988 yearly report, DAMD17-C-60<sup>0</sup>, 27 April 1988.

The basic results of these experiments are briefly recapitulated in Table 4.

**TABLE 4:** Mechanical CBF regulation when ICP is elevated  
(derived from tables 2 and 3)

| <u>Time</u><br>(min) | <u>MABP</u> | <u>ICP</u><br>(mmHg) | <u>CPP</u> | <u>CBF</u><br>(ml/100g/min) | <u>CVR</u><br>(CPP/CBF) |
|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| -10                  | 130         | 7                    | 123        | 38                          | 3.3                     |
| +5                   | 111         | 82                   | 29         | 27*                         | 1.1*                    |
| +20                  | 96          | 59                   | 35         | 30                          | 1.2*                    |
| +45                  | 70          | 42                   | 28         | 38                          | 0.8*                    |
| +90                  | 148         | 65                   | 83         | 36                          | 2.4                     |

\* Significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) change from control

These results indicate that unwounded cats cannot completely maintain CBF with ICPs  $> 80$  mmHg even though MABP, is adequate. Under these circumstances even though CVR shows an appropriate decrease, CBF tends to fall, presumably because the effect of the greatly elevated ICP predominates. Mechanical autoregulation remains intact, however, even though ICPs are elevated between 42 and 59 mmHg because when MABP is decreased to 70 mmHg, CBF remains normal via CVR reduction mechanisms which remain operative even though CPPs are at the lower levels compatible with intact CBF regulation (28-35 mmHg).

**TABLE 5: Mechanical CBF regulation in normal cats, brain wounded cats and unwounded cats with increased intracranial pressure**

| <u>Group</u>   | <u>Physiologic Conditions</u> | <u>Time (min)</u> | <u>MABP (mmHg)</u> | <u>ICP (mmHg)</u> | <u>CPP (mmHg)</u> | <u>CBF (ml 100g min)</u> | <u>CVR</u> |
|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| A              |                               | control           | 116                | 6                 | 110               | 39                       | 3.6        |
|                | Unwounded+                    | +5                | 89                 | 5                 | 84                | 34                       | 2.9        |
|                | Hemorrhagic                   | +20               | 68                 | 5                 | 63                | 35                       | 2.1        |
|                | Hypotension                   | +45               | 48                 | 4                 | 44                | 31                       | 1.6*       |
|                | N=8                           | +90               | 122                | 8                 | 114               | 43                       | 3.2        |
| B <sub>1</sub> |                               | control           | 115                | 5                 | 110               | 37                       | 3.2        |
|                | Wounded+                      | +5                | 98                 | 41                | 57                | 35                       | 1.7        |
|                | Hemorrhagic                   | +20               | 62                 | 26                | 36                | 34                       | 1.2        |
|                | Hypotension                   | +45               | 38                 | 16                | 22                | 31                       | 0.7*       |
|                | N=4                           | +90               | 82                 | 57                | 25                | 22*                      | 1.1*       |
| B <sub>2</sub> |                               | control           | 135                | 8                 | 127               | 42                       | 3.0        |
|                | Wounded+                      | +5                | 111                | 73                | 38                | 14*                      | 2.7        |
|                | Hemorrhagic                   | +20               | 64                 | 50                | 14                | 11*                      | 1.3        |
|                | Hypotension                   | +45               | 47                 | 41                | 6                 | 7*                       | 0.8        |
|                | N=6                           | +90               | 91                 | 62                | 29                | 4*                       | 7.2        |
| C              | Unwounded                     | control           | 131                | 7                 | 124               | 38                       | 3.3        |
|                | ICP +                         | +5                | 111                | 82                | 29                | 27*                      | 1.1*       |
|                | Hemorrhagic                   | +20               | 96                 | 59                | 37                | 30                       | 1.2*       |
|                | Hypotension                   | +45               | 70                 | 42                | 28                | 38                       | 0.8*       |
|                | (N= 5-8)                      | +90               | 148                | 65                | 83                | 36                       | 2.4        |

\* Significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) change from control

Unwounded cats (Group A) subject to hemorrhagic hypotension were able to maintain CBF by decreasing CVR. The B<sub>1</sub> group of brain wounded cats was also able to maintain CBF when MABP was lowered by bleeding. Mean ICP in this group was ~40 mmHg 5 minutes after wounding. CVR appropriately decreased and remained so throughout the hypotensive period. With reinfusion of blood (+90 min), however, a large part of the MABP increase was transmitted intracranially and ICP showed more than a 3 folds rise. Despite reinfusion and restoration of MABP, therefore, CBF fell even though CPP remained in or just below the autoregulatory range. This rise in ICP with blood reinfusion indicates a partial loss of autoregulatory ability. The B<sub>2</sub> group of brain wounded cats with a much higher mean initial ICP of 73 mmHg showed an abrupt fall off of CBF as soon as MABP was lowered even slightly from control levels to 111 mmHg. CVR, though reduced somewhat, initially was not reduced enough to maintain CBF which fell to 14 ml/100g/min despite MABP and CPPs which should have allowed mechanical CBF regulation. Mechanical blood flow regulation clearly failed in this group. With blood reinfusion ICP rose dramatically because of transmission of MABP into the intracranial compartment. This indicates failure of another aspect of autoregulation. Though MABP was restored CBF did not rise owing to completely deranged blood flow autoregulation mechanisms. Unwounded cats with ICP elevation up to 59 mmHg in the present experiments, Group C, were able to maintain CBF with MABP reductions to 70 mmHg.

The effect of brain wounding with concomitantly elevated ICP on CBF as opposed to elevated ICP alone on CBF is best seen by comparing groups B<sub>2</sub> and C 5 minutes after wounding, table 6 and Figure 3 comparing the percentage change in rCBFs between wounded and unwounded cats also provides insight into the ability of unwounded cats to autoregulate CBF despite elevated ICP.

Table 6: Comparison of mechanical CBF regulation between brain wounded cats with increased ICP and unwounded cats with increased ICP

|                     | Group B <sub>2</sub><br>Brain Wound<br>+ ICP |                             |                   | Group C<br>Normal<br>+ ICP |                             |                   |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
|                     | <u>Control</u>                               | <u>5 min<br/>Post Wound</u> | <u>Reinfusion</u> | <u>Control</u>             | <u>5 min<br/>Post Wound</u> | <u>Reinfusion</u> |
| MABP mmHg           | 135                                          | 111                         | 91                | 131                        | 111                         | 148               |
| ICP mmHg            | 8                                            | 73                          | 62                | 7                          | 82                          | 65                |
| CPP mmHg            | 127                                          | 38                          | 29                | 124                        | 29                          | 83                |
| CBF ml/<br>100g/min | 42                                           | 14*                         | 4*                | 38                         | 27*                         | 36                |
| CVR                 | 3.7                                          | 2.7                         | 7.2               | 3.3                        | 1.1*                        | 2.4               |

\* Significant (p <0.05) change from control

Animals in group B<sub>2</sub> which sustained a brain wound with concomitant elevation in mean ICP to 73 mmHg could not effect a substantial CVR reduction after MABP reduction and consequently CBF fell precipitously into the ischemic range. Cats in group C maintained CBF well above ischemic levels despite a markedly elevated ICP up to 82 mmHg. CVR was appropriately reduced from a pre-wound level of 3.3 to post wound level 1.1. These data indicate that brain wounding impairs the mechanical autoregulatory response to a far greater degree than does just an ICP rise by itself and suggests that the impairment of mechanical CBF regulation consequent to missile wounding cannot be explained solely on the basis of increased ICP.

Figure 3 compares the percent change in rCBFs between wounded and unwounded cats subjected to hemorrhagic hypotension and approximately the same level of increased ICP, 59 to 60 mmHg. These data are derived from pooled group B<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>2</sub>. Significant reductions occurred in many rCBFs in wounded cats but only 3 cortical areas in unwounded cats exhibited a decrease.

Another component of successful CBF autoregulation is the exclusion of a large component of the MABP from the intracranial compartment: normally MABP averages ~100 mmHg while ICP is only 7-15 mmHg. Neither group of brain wounded cats (B<sub>1</sub> or B<sub>2</sub>) could exclude MABP from the intracranial compartment with blood reinfusion while cats in group C could despite a persistently elevated Mean ICP, (Tables 5 and 6)

The successful exclusion of MABP from the intracranial space with blood reinfusion and the normal CVR response exemplifies another aspect of mechanical CBF regulation not disturbed at all by ICP elevations alone but clearly deranged by brain wounding plus increased ICP. This further suggests that increased ICP by itself does not underline the mechanical CBF disruption following brain wounding.




Figure 3: Percent changes in rCBFs between wounded and unwounded cats subjected to hemorrhagic hypotension and approximately the same levels of increased ICP. Brain wounded cats with elevated ICP were not able to autoregulate rCBF. Data from wounded cats at 5 minutes; post wound data are compared with data from unwounded cats 20 minutes after ICP increase

## REFERENCES

1. Carey ME, Sarna, GS, Farrell, JB, et al: Experimental missile wound to the brain. *J Neurosurg* 71:754-764, 1989
2. Crockard HA, Brown FD, Johns LM, et al: An experimental cerebral missile injury in primates. *J Neurosurg* 46:776-783
3. Davies PF: How do vascular endothelial cells respond to flow? *NIPS* 4:22-25, 1989.
4. Fitch GG, Ferfuson D, Sengupta D, et al: Autoregulation of cerebral blood flow during controlled hypotension in baboons. *J Neurol Neurosurg and Psychiat* 39:1014-1022, 1976.
5. Haggendal E, Lofgren J, Nilsson NJ, et al: Effects of varied cerebrospinal fluid pressure on CBF in dogs. *Acta Physiol Scand* 79:262-271, 1970.
6. Harper AM: Physiology of cerebral blood flow. *Brit J Anaesth.* 37:225-235, 1965.
7. Hossmann KA, Hossmann V, Tyagi S: Microsphere analysis of local cerebral and extracerebral blood flow after complete ischemia of the cat brain for one hour. *J Neurol* 218:275-285, 1978.
8. Johnston IH, Rowan JO, Harper AM, et al: Raised ICP and CBF. I. Cisterna magna infusion in primates. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiat* 35:285-296, 1972.
9. Miller JD, Stanck AF, Langfitt TW: CBF regulation during experimental brain compression. *J Neurosurg* 39:186-196, 1973.
10. Rapela CE, Green HD: Autoregulation of canine cerebral blood flow. *Circ Res* 15 suppl I-205-I212, 1964.
11. Strong JA, Tomlinson BE, Venables GS, et al: The cortical ischemic penumbra associated with occlusion of the middle cerebral artery in the cat: 2. Studies of histopathology, water content and in-vitro neurotransmitter uptake. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 3:97-108, 1983.
12. Zierski J: Blood flow in brain structures during increased ICP. *Acta Neurochirurgica Suppl* 40:95-116, 1987.