


2

AD-A235 222




DLA-91-P10021

Transportation Cost Analysis for  
RFCC Vendor Consolidation -  
Chicago, IL



OPERATIONS RESEARCH AND ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OFFICE



DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

March 1991

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A  
Approved for public release  
Distribution Unlimited

91 4 23 001

DLA-91-P10021

# Transportation Cost Analysis for RFCC Vendor Consolidation - Chicago, IL

Charles F. Myers II



Approved For	
NTIC 10000	✓
DTIC 1000	
Unrestricted	
Jurisdiction	
By	
Dist	
A-1	

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY  
OPERATIONS RESEARCH AND ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OFFICE  
CAMERON STATION  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22304-6100

March 1991



**DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY  
HEADQUARTERS  
CAMERON STATION  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22304-6100**



DLA-LO

FOREWORD

This report documents the results of a transportation cost analysis of vendor freight consolidation at the Chicago, IL, Regional Freight Consolidation Center (RFCC) contractor operated facility for the 6-month period ending 30 September 1990. The study is the result of a request from the Directorate of Supply Operations, Transportation Division, RFCC Program Office (RFCCPO) and is part of the continuing analysis of RFCC implementation and operation.

Our analysis showed that during the 6 months of operation reviewed, vendor consolidation at Chicago, IL, saved approximately \$359,350 in transportation expenditures. Based on observed trends in the RFCC data for Chicago, IL, transportation savings are expected to continue.

*Christine R. Gallo*  
CHRISTINE GALLO  
Deputy Assistant Director  
Office of Policy and Plans

**CONTENTS**

<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
Foreword.....	iii
Contents.....	v
List of Tables.....	vii
List of Figures.....	ix
I. Background.....	1
II. Study Approach.....	1
A. Purpose.....	1
B. Objectives.....	1
III. Analysis.....	2
A. Inbound Shipment Characteristics.....	2
B. Outbound Shipment Characteristics.....	2
C. Cost Analysis.....	5
IV. Conclusions.....	6
V. Recommendation.....	6

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Number</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1	Vendor Receipts by Month - Chicago, IL.....	2
2	Average Outbound Shipment Size in Pounds.....	4
3	Outbound Shipment Frequencies.....	4
4	Depot Receipts - April 1990 to September 1990.....	4
5	Savings Projection for the Chicago, IL, RFCC Site.....	6

**LIST OF FIGURES**

<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Title</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
1	EDDS Inbound Vendor Shipments.....	3
2	Inbound Vendor Tonnage.....	3

## I. BACKGROUND

The Defense Logistics Agency's (DLA) Directorate of Supply Operations, Transportation Division (DLA-OT), Regional Freight Consolidation Center Program Office (RFCCPO) requested a transportation cost analysis of vendor consolidation at the Chicago, IL, Regional Freight Consolidation Center (RFCC). The analysis covers vendor shipments destined for the six DLA supply depots between 1 April 1990 and 30 September 1990.

Vendor consolidation is the process of collecting small, less-than-truckload (LTL) shipments from commercial vendors at or near origin and combining these shipments to build larger LTL or truckload (TL) shipments for movement to the DLA supply depots to replenish inventory. Savings are expected to accrue based on the difference in the cost of shipping many small LTL shipments direct to the depots versus the cost of collecting those same LTL shipments at a facility at or near origin and consolidating them into one large LTL or TL shipment for movement to the depots at a lower volume rate.

Studies conducted by the DLA Operations Research and Economic Analysis Management Support Office (DLA-LO(DORO)) have shown that vendor consolidation has the potential to save considerable transportation dollars. Currently, any savings achieved through this program will be indirect since the vendor will ship to the RFCC free-on-board destination. DLA expects these savings will eventually be passed on through lower item prices. The scope of this report covers only the estimated transportation cost differential between direct shipment to a depot versus transshipment through the RFCC system. A determination as to whether DLA has received a reduction in contract prices is beyond the scope of this report.

## II. STUDY APPROACH

A. Purpose. The purpose of this study is to determine if vendor consolidation at the Chicago, IL, RFCC is a cost effective means of shipping vendor freight to the six DLA depots.

B. Objectives. The objectives are as follows:

1. To determine the characteristics of shipments into and out of the RFCC (mode and weight).
2. To estimate vendor shipping costs for both direct and RFCC routed shipments. Use the calculated costs to compare the two methods of shipment and determine the dollar cost differentials.
3. Identify any problems with consolidation at the RFCC site and offer recommendations for improvement.

### III. ANALYSIS

#### A. Inbound Shipment Characteristics

Vendor shipments are moved to the RFCC by three main methods of transportation; they are commercial motor carrier, private motor carrier, and small parcel carrier. These shipments can be categorized into two shipment types, LTL and small parcel. Figure 1 shows a breakdown of inbound shipments by aggregated weight, number of shipments, and average weight. Small parcels account for approximately 80 percent of the number of shipments (17,869 shipments) and 4 percent of the total shipment weight (333,938 pounds) received at the RFCC. On the other hand, LTL freight amounts to about 20 percent of the number of shipments (4,333 shipments) and 96 percent of the total shipment weight (8,131,931 pounds).

Inbound tonnage has remained level over the 6-month period. Table 1 shows a breakdown of the tonnage for the period 1 April 1990 through 30 September 1990 for Chicago. Included in Table 1 are average weights for both LTL and small parcels. An average inbound LTL shipment weighed 1876 pounds while inbound small parcels averaged 18.7 pounds. Figure 2 shows the information graphically.


Table 1

#### VENDOR RECEIPTS BY MONTH - CHICAGO, IL


<u>Month</u>	<u>Weight</u>	<u>Shipments</u>	<u>Average Weight</u>	
			<u>Parcels</u>	<u>LTL</u>
Apr	1,352,264	3,801	20	1,732
May	1,447,644	4,043	18	1,714
Jun	1,304,644	3,550	19	1,727
Jul	1,431,828	3,723	18	1,967
Aug	1,421,983	3,735	19	2,012
Sep	1,507,506	3,350	18	2,159
Total	8,465,869	22,202	19	1,876

#### B. Outbound Shipment Characteristics

After vendor shipments arrive at the RFCC they are consolidated into large LTL or TL shipments and forwarded to the DLA depot consignee on a routine basis. Outbound shipment weights should be considerably higher than the weights of shipments received from the vendors. Experience gained since the beginning of the vendor consolidation phase of the RFCC concept has shown that carrier trailers will reach maximum cube utilization between 18,000 and 25,000 pounds depending on the product mix. Shipment frequencies should be relatively low but do depend on the distance and time needed to deliver the freight to the receiving depot within specified standards. Table 2 shows the average outbound shipment weight by month and receiving depot. Table 3 shows the corresponding outbound shipment frequencies.



### RFCC INBOUND VENDOR SHIPMENTS Chicago RFCC Site - Apr 90 thru Sep 90



### INBOUND VENDOR TONNAGE Chicago, IL RFCC Site




Figure 1

Figure 2

Table 2

AVERAGE OUTBOUND SHIPMENT SIZE IN POUNDS

	<u>DDRV</u>	<u>DDCO</u>	<u>DDMP</u>	<u>DDTC</u>	<u>DDOU</u>	<u>DDMT</u>
Apr	32,828	32,093	26,542	25,484	22,390	34,207
May	30,584	28,992	28,804	16,890	26,309	32,636
Jun	35,620	34,182	23,183	18,383	35,484	31,057
Jul	30,518	29,908	28,109	18,788	27,356	39,555
Aug	31,922	33,968	24,781	21,898	25,749	31,788
Sep	35,133	34,744	30,285	22,547	29,628	28,695

Table 3

OUTBOUND SHIPMENT FREQUENCIES

	<u>DDRV</u>	<u>DDCO</u>	<u>DDMP</u>	<u>DDTC</u>	<u>DDOU</u>	<u>DDMT</u>	<u>Total</u>
Apr	8	7	6	14	9	9	53
May	8	5	9	15	8	9	54
Jun	5	4	4	18	6	10	47
Jul	9	7	7	19	6	10	58
Aug	8	4	6	12	10	9	49
Sep	5	5	7	13	8	9	47

The average weight per outbound shipment and frequency of shipments have leveled out over the 6 months reviewed. It appears that the carrier has reached maximum consolidation by fully utilizing available trailer space except for shipments to the Defense Depot Tracy, CA, where transit times dictate more frequent shipments. Table 4 gives a breakdown of weight received by depot.

Table 4

DEPOT RECEIPTS - APR 1990 TO SEP 1990

Richmond	43	1,391,094
Columbus	32	1,025,433
Mechanicsburg	39	1,068,657
Tracy	91	1,853,878
Ogden	47	1,283,533
Memphis	56	1,852,061
Total	308	8,474,656

### C. Cost Analysis

Cost comparison of RFCC routed versus non-RFCC shipments necessitates that the data be processed into three files. The first covers shipments from the vendor to the RFCC for consolidation. This file is built by aggregating the RFCC history file for Chicago by inbound bill number. The second file incorporates shipments made from the RFCC to each of the DLA depots. This file is built by aggregating the RFCC history file on outbound government bill of lading (GBL) number. By combining the shipments in both files, movement through the RFCC system is emulated. A third file was built from the RFCC history file which simulated shipment of the same material on a direct basis from vendor origin to the DLA depot consignee. Direct shipments were aggregated by inbound bill number, depot destination, and contract number. The total number of RFCC routed shipments was 22,202 while the number of direct shipments was estimated at 28,914. The difference of 6,712 in the number of shipments between RFCC routed and non-RFCC shipments reflects a secondary level of consolidation being accomplished at the vendor origin (for example, more than one depot's freight on the same bill going to an RFCC site).

Once the files were built, they were rated using a program designed to individually rate each shipment with the appropriate rate tables. Direct LTL shipments were rated with commercial class rates<sup>1</sup> at class 50 with a 10 percent discount. LTL shipments from vendor to the RFCC site for consolidation were also rated at class 50 with a 10 percent discount. The rate level and discount are based on samples of inbound vendor shipments taken at the New York, NY, RFCC<sup>2</sup> and from a sample of direct vendor shipments into the Defense Depot at Richmond, VA (internal DORO analysis). Small parcels were rated using United Parcel Service surface parcel rates. Consolidated shipments from the RFCC to the DLA depots were rated using the applicable government tenders. After completing the rating process, the cost data were compiled and the results are shown in Table 5.

---

<sup>1</sup> Class rating is a method used by the commercial motor carrier industry to assign rate scales to different types of commodity groups. Rate classes range from Class 50 for high density commodities that take up little space to Class 500 for low density items that require a lot of space. DLA traditionally paid Class rates for freight-all-kinds (FAK) on shipments out of its depots prior to the Guaranteed Traffic Program.

<sup>2</sup> Defense Logistics Agency, "Transportation Cost Analysis of New York EDDS Vendor Consolidation," DLA Project No. DLA-90-P90174, March 1990.

Table 5

SAVINGS PROJECTION FOR THE CHICAGO, IL, RFCC

<u>MONTH</u>	<u>IN</u>	<u>OUT</u>	<u>TOTAL</u> <u>RFCC</u>	<u>TOTAL</u> <u>DIRECT</u>	<u>PROJECTED</u> <u>SAVINGS (LOSS)</u>
Apr	\$123,536	\$ 91,500	\$215,036	\$275,720	\$60,684
May	139,312	86,225	225,537	293,149	67,612
Jun	123,712	79,798	203,510	258,119	54,609
Jul	127,812	96,784	224,596	268,942	44,346
Aug	125,884	82,086	207,970	280,419	72,449
Sep	121,746	81,990	203,736	263,386	59,650
Total	\$762,002	\$518,383	\$1,280,385	\$1,639,735	\$359,350

Savings appear to be consistent now that the RFCC concept is becoming the normal operating procedure for the vendors using the RFCC system. Costs both in and out of the RFCC also appear to be reasonable and consistent. As the system is enlarged to include other services and the vendors gain more confidence in the system, savings should continue to grow accordingly.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Vendor consolidation at the Chicago, IL, RFCC has resulted in an estimated net savings of \$359,350 during the the period 1 April 1990 through 30 September 1990. This represents an average monthly savings at Chicago of approximately \$60,000.

The carrier appears to be utilizing trailers to the maximum extent possible with average shipment sizes ranging between 20,000 and 35,000 pounds depending on the destination. If this trend continues, estimated savings from vendor consolidation at the Chicago, IL, RFCC should continue.

V. RECOMMENDATION. Continue to monitor carrier operations to insure that maximum consolidation is maintained.

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

<b>1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)</b>	<b>2. REPORT DATE</b> March 1991	<b>3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED</b> Final	
<b>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE</b> Transportation Cost Analysis for RFCC Vendor Consolidation - Chicago, IL		<b>5. FUNDING NUMBERS</b>	
<b>6. AUTHOR(S)</b> Charles F. Myers II		<b>7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> HQ Defense Logistics Agency Operations Research and Economic Analysis Office(DLA-LO) Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6100	
<b>9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> Defense Logistics Agency Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6100		<b>8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER</b>  DLA-91-P10021	
<b>11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b>		<b>10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER</b>	
<b>12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT</b>		<b>12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE</b>	
<b>13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)</b>  This report documents the results of a transportation cost analysis of vendor freight consolidation at the Chicago, IL, Regional Freight Consolidation Center (RFCC) contractor operated facility for the 6-month period ending 30 September 1990. The study is the result of a request from the Defense Logistics Agency's Directorate of Supply Operations, Transportation Division, RFCC Program Office and is part of the continuing analysis of RFCC implementation and operation. Our analysis showed that during the 6 months of operation reviewed, vendor consolidation at Chicago, IL, saved approximately \$359,350 in transportation expenditures. Based on observed trends in the RFCC data for Chicago, IL, transportation savings are expected to continue.			
<b>14. SUBJECT TERMS</b> Transportation, Consolidation, Vendor			<b>15. NUMBER OF PAGES</b> 18
<b>17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT</b> UNCLASSIFIED			<b>16. PRICE CODE</b>
<b>18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE</b> UNCLASSIFIED	<b>19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT</b> UNCLASSIFIED	<b>20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT</b>	