



2

AD-A239 745



DLA-91-P10027

**Transportation Cost
Analysis for Vendor Consolidation -
New Jersey RFCC**

June 1991

OPERATIONS RESEARCH AND ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OFFICE



This document has been approved
for public release and sale; its
distribution is unlimited.

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY**

91 8 20 107

107 91-08362



DLA-91-P10027

**Transportation Cost
Analysis for Vendor Consolidation -
New Jersey RFCC**

June 1991

Charles F. Myers

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
OPERATIONS RESEARCH AND ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OFFICE
CAMERON STATION
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22304-6100**



DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
HEADQUARTERS
CAMERON STATION
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22304-6100




DLA-LO

FOREWORD

This report documents the results of a transportation cost analysis of vendor freight consolidation at the New Jersey Regional Freight Consolidation Center (RFCC) contractor operated facility for the 8-month period ending 30 September 1990. The study is the result of a request from the Directorate of Supply Operations, Transportation Division, RFCC Program Office (RFCCPO) and is part of the continuing analysis of RFCC implementation and operation.

Our analysis showed that during the 8 months of operation reviewed, vendor consolidation at New Jersey saved approximately \$614,910 in transportation expenditures. Based on observed trends in the RFCC data for New Jersey, transportation savings are expected to continue.


ROGER C. ROY
Assistant Director
Policy and Plans

Accession For	
NTIS CRAM	
DTIC TAB	
Unannounced	
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability	
Dist	Availability Special
A-1	



CONTENTS

<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
Foreword.....	iii
Contents.....	v
List of Tables.....	vii
List of Figures.....	ix
I. Background.....	1
II. Study Approach.....	1
A. Purpose.....	1
B. Objectives.....	1
III. Analysis.....	2
A. Inbound Shipment Characteristics.....	2
B. Outbound Shipment Characteristics.....	2
C. Cost Analysis.....	5
IV. Conclusions.....	6
V. Recommendation.....	6

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Number</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1	Vendor Receipts by Month - New Jersey.....	2
2	Average Outbound Shipment Size in Pounds.....	4
3	Outbound Shipment Frequencies.....	4
4	Depot Receipts - Feb 1990 to Sep 1990.....	4
5	Savings Projection for the New Jersey RFCC.....	6

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Number</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1	RFCC Inbound Vendor Shipments.....	3
2	Inbound Vendor Tonnage.....	3

I. BACKGROUND

The Defense Logistics Agency's (DLA) Directorate of Supply Operations, Transportation Division (DLA-OT), Regional Freight Consolidation Center Program Office (RFCCPO) requested a transportation cost analysis of vendor consolidation at the New Jersey Regional Freight Consolidation Center (RFCC). The analysis covers vendor shipments destined for the six DLA supply depots between 1 February 1990 and 30 September 1990.

Vendor consolidation is the process of collecting small, less-than-truckload (LTL) shipments from commercial vendors at or near origin and combining these shipments to build larger LTL or truckload (TL) shipments for movement to the DLA supply depots to replenish inventory. Savings are expected to accrue based on the difference in the cost of shipping many small LTL shipments direct to the depots versus the cost of collecting those same LTL shipments at a facility at or near origin and consolidating them into one large LTL or TL shipment for movement to the depots at a lower volume rate.

Studies conducted by the DLA Operations Research and Economic Analysis Management Support Office (DLA-LO(DORO)) have shown that vendor consolidation has the potential to save considerable transportation dollars. Currently, any savings achieved through this program will be indirect since the vendor will ship to the RFCC free-on-board destination. DLA expects these savings will eventually be passed on through lower item prices. The scope of this report covers only the estimated transportation cost differential between direct shipment to a depot versus transshipment through the RFCC system. A determination as to whether DLA has received a reduction in contract prices is beyond the scope of this report.

II. STUDY APPROACH

A. Purpose. The purpose of this study is to determine if vendor consolidation at the New Jersey RFCC is a cost effective means of shipping vendor freight to the six DLA depots.

B. Objectives. The objectives are as follows:

1. To determine the characteristics of shipments into and out of the RFCC (mode and weight).
2. To estimate vendor shipping costs for both direct and RFCC routed shipments. Use the calculated costs to compare the two methods of shipment and determine the dollar cost differentials.
3. Identify any problems with consolidation at the RFCC site and offer recommendations for improvement.

III. ANALYSIS

A. Inbound Shipment Characteristics

Vendor shipments are moved to the RFCC by three main methods of transportation; they are commercial motor carrier, private motor carrier, and small parcel carrier. These shipments can be categorized into two shipment types, LTL and small parcel. Figure 1 shows a breakdown of inbound shipments by aggregated weight, number of shipments, and average weight. Small parcels account for approximately 85 percent of the number of shipments (45,909 shipments) and 6 percent of the total shipment weight (747,288 pounds) received at the RFCC. On the other hand, LTL freight amounts to about 15 percent of the number of shipments (7,926 shipments) and 94 percent of the total shipment weight (11,392,905 pounds).

Inbound tonnage has remained level over the 8-month period. Table 1 shows a breakdown of the tonnage for the period 1 February 1990 through 30 September 1990 for New Jersey. Included in Table 1 are average weights for both LTL and small parcels. An average inbound LTL shipment weighed 1437 pounds while inbound small parcels averaged 16.3 pounds. Figure 2 shows the information graphically.


Table 1

VENDOR RECEIPTS BY MONTH - NEW JERSEY

<u>Month</u>	<u>Weight</u>	<u>Shipments</u>	<u>Average Weight</u>	
			<u>Parcels</u>	<u>LTL</u>
Feb	1,365,318	6,195	17	1,437
Mar	1,669,610	7,436	17	1,470
Apr	1,436,422	6,691	16	1,392
May	1,527,486	7,318	16	1,326
Jun	1,741,114	6,910	16	1,600
Jul	1,410,345	6,806	16	1,358
Aug	1,660,770	6,514	16	1,403
Sep	1,329,128	5,965	16	1,533
Total	12,140,193	53,835	16	1,437

B. Outbound Shipment Characteristics

After vendor shipments arrive at the RFCC they are consolidated into large LTL or TL shipments and forwarded to the DLA depot consignee on a routine basis. Outbound shipment weights should be considerably higher than the weights of shipments received from the vendors. Experience gained since the beginning of the vendor consolidation phase of the RFCC concept has shown that carrier trailers will reach maximum cube utilization between 18,000 and 25,000 pounds depending on the product mix. Shipment frequencies should be relatively low but do depend on the distance and time needed to deliver the freight to the receiving depot within specified standards. Table 2 shows the average



RFCC INBOUND VENDOR SHIPMENTS New Jersey RFCC - Feb 90 thru Sep 90





Figure 1

INBOUND VENDOR TONNAGE New Jersey RFCC Site




Figure 2

outbound shipment weight by month and receiving depot. Table 3 shows the corresponding outbound shipment frequencies.

Table 2

AVERAGE OUTBOUND SHIPMENT SIZE IN POUNDS

	<u>DDRV</u>	<u>DDCO</u>	<u>DDMP</u>	<u>DDTC</u>	<u>DDOU</u>	<u>DDMT</u>
Feb	7,451	5,382	7,519	10,573	9,827	7,441
Mar	14,617	12,752	17,320	15,188	12,961	9,621
Apr	12,978	14,890	21,066	10,842	19,786	14,837
May	12,890	17,587	20,600	13,569	16,709	19,255
Jun	18,177	21,522	17,330	19,941	23,026	12,550
Jul	16,379	15,067	21,556	14,936	8,392	16,656
Aug	18,913	18,971	24,506	15,791	14,682	17,860
Sep	17,421	20,736	16,986	20,482	14,634	18,886

Table 3

OUTBOUND SHIPMENT FREQUENCIES

	<u>DDRV</u>	<u>DDCO</u>	<u>DDMP</u>	<u>DDTC</u>	<u>DDOU</u>	<u>DDMT</u>	<u>Total</u>
Feb	29	34	29	34	25	36	187
Mar	18	15	17	26	19	24	119
Apr	19	9	11	30	15	16	100
May	19	9	15	24	12	14	93
Jun	15	9	17	24	11	20	96
Jul	14	10	12	24	19	16	95
Aug	17	10	12	25	14	19	97
Sep	12	6	9	13	12	12	64

The average weight per outbound shipment has tended to stabilize over the time period studied while the frequency of shipments has continued to decrease. It appears that the carrier is attempting to reach maximum consolidation by fully utilizing available trailer space except for shipments to the Defense Depot Tracy, CA, where transit times dictate more frequent shipments. Table 4 gives a breakdown of weight received by depot.

Table 4

DEPOT RECEIPTS - FEB 1990 TO SEP 1990

<u>Depot</u>	<u>Shipments</u>	<u>Weight</u>
Richmond	143	2,003,208
Columbus	102	1,325,051
Mechanicsburg	122	2,053,432
Tracy	200	2,903,364
Ogden	127	1,783,146
Memphis	157	2,086,804
Total	851	12,155,005

C. Cost Analysis

Cost comparison of RFCC routed versus non-RFCC shipments necessitates that the data be processed into three files. The first covers shipments from the vendor to the RFCC for consolidation. This file is built by aggregating the RFCC history file for New Jersey by inbound bill number. The second file incorporates shipments made from the RFCC to each of the DLA depots. This file is built by aggregating the RFCC history file on outbound government bill of lading (GBL) number. By combining the shipments in both files, movement through the RFCC system is emulated. A third file was built from the RFCC history file which simulated shipment of the same material on a direct basis from vendor origin to the DLA depot consignee. Direct shipments were aggregated by inbound bill number, depot destination, and contract number. The total number of RFCC routed shipments was 53,835 while the number of direct shipments was estimated at 66,201. The difference of 12,366 in the number of shipments between RFCC routed and non-RFCC shipments reflects a secondary level of consolidation being accomplished at the vendor origin (for example, more than one depot's freight on the same bill going to the RFCC site).

Once the files were built, they were rated using a program designed to individually rate each shipment with the appropriate rate tables. Direct LTL shipments were rated with commercial class rates¹ at class 50 with a 10 percent discount. LTL shipments from vendor to the RFCC site for consolidation were also rated at class 50 with a 10 percent discount. The rate level and discount are based on samples of inbound vendor shipments taken at the New Jersey RFCC² and from a sample of direct vendor shipments into the Defense Depot at Richmond, VA (internal DORO analysis). Small parcels were rated using United Parcel Service surface parcel rates. Consolidated shipments from the RFCC to the DLA depots were rated using the applicable government tenders. After completing the rating process, the cost data were compiled and the results are shown in Table 5.

¹ Class rating is a method used by the commercial motor carrier industry to assign rate scales to different types of commodity groups. Rate classes range from Class 50 for high density commodities that take up little space to Class 500 for low density items that require a lot of space. DLA traditionally paid Class rates for freight-all-kinds (FAK) on shipments out of its depots prior to the Guaranteed Traffic Program.

² Defense Logistics Agency, "Transportation Cost Analysis of New York EDDS Vendor Consolidation," DLA Project No. DLA-90-P90174, March 1990.

Table 5

SAVINGS PROJECTION FOR THE NEW JERSEY RFCC

<u>MONTH</u>	<u>IN</u>	<u>OUT</u>	<u>TOTAL</u> <u>RFCC</u>	<u>TOTAL</u> <u>DIRECT</u>	<u>PROJECTED</u> <u>SAVINGS (LOSS)</u>
Feb	\$130,083	\$178,343	\$308,426	\$318,950	10,524
Mar	163,302	158,462	321,764	391,944	70,180
Apr	147,201	139,648	286,849	343,058	56,209
May	157,140	133,147	290,287	377,811	87,524
Jun	161,090	148,440	309,530	403,226	93,696
Jul	143,014	130,433	273,447	352,656	79,209
Aug	159,577	140,288	299,865	416,224	116,359
Sep	129,544	96,949	226,493	327,702	101,209
Total	\$1,190,951	\$1,125,710	\$2,316,661	\$2,931,571	\$614,910

Savings appear to be consistent now that the RFCC concept is becoming the normal operating procedure for the vendors using the RFCC system. Costs both in and out of the RFCC appear to be reasonable and consistent. As the system is enlarged to include other services and the vendors gain more confidence in the system, savings should continue to grow accordingly.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Vendor consolidation at the New Jersey RFCC has resulted in an estimated net savings of \$614,910 during the the period 1 February 1990 through 30 September 1990. Estimated monthly savings have increased considerably over the 8-month time period with projected savings in August and September 1990 in excess of \$100,000 per month.

The carrier appears to have made a good improvement in trailer utilization over the 8-month time period with average shipment sizes currently ranging between 15,000 and 21,000 pounds, depending on the destination. If this trend continues, estimated savings from vendor consolidation at the New Jersey RFCC should continue.

V. RECOMMENDATION. Continue to monitor carrier operations to insure that maximum consolidation is maintained.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE June 1991	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Transportation Cost Analysis for Vendor Consolidation - New Jersey RFCC		5. FUNDING NUMBERS		
6. AUTHOR(S) Charles F. Myers				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) HQ Defense Logistics Agency Operations Research and Economic Analysis Office (DLA-LO) Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6100		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER DLA-91-P10027		
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Defense Logistics Agency (DLA-OT(RFCCPO)) Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6100		10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Public Release; Unlimited Distribution			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) This report documents the results of a transportation cost analysis of vendor freight consolidation at the New Jersey Regional Freight Consolidation Center (RFCC) contractor operated facility for the 8-month period ending 30 September 1990. The study is the result of a request from the Directorate of Supply Operations, Transportation Division, RFCC Program Office (RFCCPO) and is part of the continuing analysis of RFCC implementation and operation. Our analysis showed that during the 8 months of operation reviewed, vendor consolidation at New Jersey saved approximately \$614,910 in transportation expenditures. Based on observed trends in the RFCC data for New Jersey, transportation savings are expected to continue.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Transportation, Consolidation, Freight			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 16	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	