

AD-A241 532



MTL TR 91-35

AD

2

**A METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF
Cr, Mn, Mo, Ni, AND B IN LOW ALLOY STEEL
ARMOR USING ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPEC-
TROSCOPY AND INDUCTIVELY COUPLED ARGON
PLASMA EMISSION SPECTROSCOPY**

WAI K. CHIN

MATERIALS TESTING AND EVALUATION BRANCH

September 1991

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



**US ARMY
LABORATORY COMMAND**
MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY



91-12809



U.S. ARMY MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY
Watertown, Massachusetts 02172-0001

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Mention of any trade names or manufacturers in this report shall not be construed as advertising nor as an official indorsement or approval of such products or companies by the United States Government.

DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed.
Do not return it to the originator.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

Block No. 20

ABSTRACT

A more reliable, accurate, and rapid method to determine the concentration of Cr, Mn, Mo, Ni, and B in low alloy steel armors is described. The various procedures of sample dissolution by acids are given. For each element, the high and low intensity spectral lines are determined for the analytical ranges of interest. Analytical results using spiked standards and standard reference materials from the National Institute of Standards and Technology are in agreement with the certified values.

INTRODUCTION

The strength and resistance of an armor steel to ballistic impact can be strongly dependent on the microstructure as well as the alloying elements. Armor steels currently used by the Army consist of a variety of low alloy steels. The composition of Cr, Mo, Ni, Mn, B, and other alloying elements may vary over a wide concentration range depending upon manufacturers and the military specifications. Consequently, accurate and precise knowledge of chemical composition is a valuable asset in the research and development of armor steels.

There are many analytical methods available to determine the chemical composition of armor steels. Some have high accuracy but the procedures are tedious and complicated, such as those employing classical wet chemistry, and traditional atomic absorption spectrophotometry with multi-dilution steps. The fast but less accurate methods are arc-spark optical emission, X-ray fluorescence, and inductively coupled argon plasma emission spectrometry. These rapid methods often require extensive sample preparation. To minimize interference and analytical errors, the specimen must also be made identical to the standard in size, shape, surface uniformity, composition, and matrix. The purpose of this study is to develop a simple analytical procedure that yields the high accuracy of atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AA) and the speed of inductively coupled argon plasma emission spectrometry (ICP), using a minimum of analytical steps in sample preparation, dilution, and wet chemical procedures.

PROCEDURE

Background

A literature search was conducted to determine whether any previous work had been accomplished in which AA and ICP were used conjunctively, for the rapid and accurate analysis of the subject elements in armor steel. Literature showed only general and specific procedures using the most sensitive wavelengths and optimum instrumental operating conditions for each element of interest.

Other standard methods were also examined. They included classical wet methods and ASTM standard methods (E-30 and E-350); arc-spark optical emission and X-ray fluorescence spectrometry.

Acid dissolutions of steel specimen were experimented with in the laboratory. They included HCl, HNO₃, H₂SO₄, H₃PO₄, H₂SO₄/H₃PO₄, ranging from 10% to 50% by volume. No HClO₄ was used due to the inherent danger and laboratory safety requirements involved. The most desirable dissolution techniques were found to be applications of diluted HCl (1:1) with HNO₃ drops, and the 15% H₂SO₄/15% H₃PO₄ mixture. The diluted HCl/HNO₃ drops dissolution technique was chosen as the most desirable. It was easy to use, less hazardous, and did not have the viscosity problem associated with H₂SO₄.

The effect of acid types and concentrations was also investigated. Different acid and solution viscosity affect aspiration rates and results. The best specimen solutions were found to be those containing $\leq 10\%$ HCl by volume.

✓

By _____
Distribution _____
Collection _____
Date _____
A-1

Experiment

Equipment

A Perkins-Elmer Model 5100 atomic absorption spectrophotometer and a Jarrell-Ash Model 955 Plasmacomp emission spectrometer were utilized for this project. The operating procedures were described by the manufacturers and will not be repeated.

Specimen Preparations

- Weigh out 0.5 g of steel chips and placed into a 250 ml beaker. Slowly add 30 ml 1:1 HCl, cover and heat on hot plate at medium heat (do not boil) until reaction subsides and metal is dissolved. Slowly add 2 ml HNO₃ dropwise to oxidize the carbon, heat until nitrous oxide fume is expelled. Remove from heat. Upon cooling, pour solution into a 250 ml volumetric flask, rinse beaker with distilled water and add to the flask. Bring solution to volume with distilled water, shake or mix well. (This is Solution A.)
- Pipet 10 ml from Solution A, bring up to volume in a 100 ml volumetric flask with addition of 1 ml HCl to keep the solution stable. (This is Solution B.)
- The 250 ml volumetric flask (Solution A) is to be analyzed by ICP.
- The 100 ml volumetric flask (Solution B) is to be analyzed by AA.

Preparation of Standard Stock Solutions and Calibrating Standards

- All chemicals are of high purity, "spec pure" grade or better.

Standard stock solutions = 1000 ug/ml.

Chromium. Dissolve 2.844 g of potassium dichromate (K₂Cr₂O₇) in distilled water and dilute the solution to 1 liter.

Manganese. Dissolve 1.000 g of powdered manganese metal in 20 ml of HNO₃. Dilute the solution to 1 liter with 1% HCl.

Molybdenum. Dissolve 1.000 g of powdered molybdenum metal in 20 ml of HNO₃. Dilute the solution to 1 liter with 1% HNO₃.

Nickel. Dissolve 1.000 g of powdered nickel metal in a minimum amount of 1:1 HNO₃. Heat the solution slowly to effect dissolution, cool, and dilute to 1 liter with 1% HNO₃.

Boron. Dissolve 5.72 g of boric acid (H₃BO₃) in distilled water and dilute the solution to 1 liter, store solution in a polyethylene bottle.

- Preparation of Calibrating Standard

Dissolve 0.475 g electrolytic iron [National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Standard Reference Materials (SRM) 365] using the same method as described in the specimen preparation section. Add the appropriate volumes of standard stock solutions and HCl before bringing up to volume with distilled water. Table 1 lists the standards for iron and alloy elements.

Table 1. STANDARDS FOR IRON AND ALLOY ELEMENTS

Element	Solution		Equivalent Weight in Steel
Fe	2000 ug/ml	=	100.00%
	1900 ug/ml	=	95.00%
Cr	50 ug/ml	=	2.50%
	20 ug/ml	=	1.00%
Mn	50 ug/ml	=	2.50%
	20 ug/ml	=	1.00%
Mo	20 ug/ml	=	1.00%
Ni	100 ug/ml	=	5.00%
	50 ug/ml	=	2.50%
B	1 ug/ml	=	0.05%

Experimental Procedure

For each element several wavelengths were studied using the spiked stock and standard solutions to obtain the optimum wavelengths and linearity for the specific concentration ranges in the armor steels under investigation. The elements of interest and ranges of concentration are listed in Tables 2 and 3. These were the most common ranges encountered within the analytical chemistry laboratory at the U.S. Army Materials Technology Laboratory (MTL).

Table 2. LOW ALLOY STEELS
PERCENT MAXIMUM LIMIT

Cr	0 - 2.5%
Mn	0 - 2.5%
Mo	0 - 1.0%
Ni	0 - 2.5%

Table 3. (AISI 4340)

Cr	0.70 - 0.90%
Mn	0.60 - 0.80%
Mo	0.20 - 0.30%
Ni	1.65 - 2.00%

The selected wavelengths, slit (band width), linearity, sensitivity checks, and element concentration ranges are listed in Table 4 for AA. The wavelength, linearity, and element concentration ranges are listed in Table 5 for IC?

Steel standards from the NIST were analyzed as a comparison to determine the accuracy and precision of the method. The average of six measurements for each element is listed in Tables 6 and 7 along with the NIST certified values for SRM 361, 362, 363, and 364.

Table 4. AA ANALYSIS OF ARMOR STEEL COMPARISON OF SENSITIVE AND LESS SENSITIVE SPECTRAL LINES

	Range Wavelength (nm)	Slit* (nm)	Linearity (ug/ml)	Sensitivity Check* At 0.2 Absorbance (ug/ml)	Analytical Conc. % Max. Solution B
Cr	357.90†	0.7	5	4.0	0 - 2.5%
	429.00	0.7	5	20.0	- 12.5%
	427.50‡	0.7	7	15.0	- 7.5%
Mn	279.50†	0.2	2	2.5	0 - 1.0%
	279.80	0.2	5	3.0	2.5%
	403.10‡	0.2	80	25.0	- 12.5%
Mo	313.30	0.7	40	30.0	- 2.0% as is
	319.40‡	0.7	60	65.0	- 3.0% no dil.
Ni	232.00†	0.2	2	7.0	0 - 1.0%
	341.50	0.2	10	20.0	- 5.0%
	346.20	0.2	20	40.0	- 10.0%
	352.50‡	0.2	20	20.0	- 10.0%
B	249.72 ◇	0.7	400	600.0	Insensitive to our samples
	208.90	0.2	—	1200.0	

NOTE: Nitrous oxide-acetylene flame is recommended for Cr, Mo.

Air-acetylene flame is recommended for Mn and Ni.

*Perkin-Elmer, *Analytical Methods for AA Spectrophotometry*, (1982).

†Best wavelengths for diluted solutions.

‡Wavelengths for more concentrated solutions.

(The burner head system must be cleaned frequently to prevent clogging.)

◇ Atomic absorption is not sensitive enough for trace boron analysis.

Boron is a refractory element.

Table 5. ICP ANALYSIS OF ARMOR STEEL COMPARISON OF SENSITIVE AND NON-SENSITIVE SPECTRAL LINES

	Wavelength (nm)	Linearity (ug/ml)	Analytical Range (ug/ml) Solution A	Analytical Range Conc. % Max. Solution A
Cr	267.7	300	40.00	2.00%
	298.9	300 - 450	40.00 - 60.00	2.00 - 3.00%
Mn	348.2	300	100.00	5.00%
Mo	202.2	300	40.00	2.00%
	263.8	300 - 1500	40.00 - 200.00	2.00 - 10.00%
Ni	243.7	1000	40.00 - 500.00	2.00 - 25.00%
	231.6	40	40.00	2.00%
B	249.7	100	0.01 - 0.02	0.0005 - 0.01%

NOTE: A larger specimen should be used for the accurate determination of boron with this technique

Table 6. AA RESULTS OF ARMOR STEEL (CERTIFIED AND EXPERIMENTAL VALUES)

Element	Cr	Mn	Mo	Ni
<u>NIST SRM 361</u>				
Certified Value	0.690	0.660	0.190	2.000
AA Value	0.690	0.660	0.170	2.010
Deviation	0.000	0.000	0.020	0.010
Range	0.680 - 0.700	0.650 - 0.670	0.150 - 0.190	2.000 - 2.020
<u>NIST SRM 362</u>				
Certified Value	0.300	1.040	0.680	0.590
AA Value	0.310	1.060	0.650	0.600
Deviation	0.010	0.020	0.030	0.010
Range	0.300 - 0.320	1.040 - 1.080	0.620 - 0.680	0.590 - 0.610
<u>NIST SRM 363</u>				
Certified Value	1.310	1.500	0.028	0.300
AA Value	1.330	1.530	0.022	0.310
Deviation	0.020	0.030	0.006	0.010
Range	1.310 - 1.350	1.500 - 1.560	0.016 - 0.028	0.300 - 0.320
<u>NIST SRM 364</u>				
Certified Value	0.063	0.255	0.490	0.144
AA Value	0.070	0.266	0.440	0.140
Deviation	0.007	0.011	0.050	0.004
Range	0.063 - 0.077	0.255 - 0.277	0.390 - 0.490	0.136 - 0.144

NOTE: Values expressed in weight percent.
Average of six results on each element.

Table 7. ICP RESULTS IN WEIGHT PERCENT
(CERTIFIED AND EXPERIMENTAL VALUES)

Element	Cr	Mn	Mo	Ni	B
<u>NIST SRM 361</u>					
Certified Value	0.690	0.660	0.190	2.000	0.0005
ICP Value	0.710	0.690	0.210	2.040	0.0009
Deviation	0.020	0.030	0.020	0.040	0.0004
Range	0.690 - 0.730	0.660 - 0.720	0.190 - 0.230	2.000 - 2.080	0.0005 - 0.0013
<u>NIST SRM 362</u>					
Certified Value	0.300	1.040	0.068	0.590	0.0025
ICP Value	0.310 - 0.370	1.100	0.070	0.620	0.0054
Deviation	0.010	0.060	0.002	0.030	0.0029
Range	0.300 - 0.320	1.040 - 1.160	0.068 - 0.072	0.590 - 0.650	0.0025 - 0.0068
<u>NIST SRM 363</u>					
Certified Value	1.310	1.500	0.028	0.300	0.0009
ICP Value	1.340	1.550	0.030	0.330	0.0017
Deviation	0.030	0.050	0.002	0.030	0.0008
Range	1.310 - 1.370	1.500 - 1.550	0.028 - 0.032	0.300 - 0.360	0.0008 - 0.0026
<u>NIST SRM 364</u>					
Certified Value	0.063	0.255	0.490	0.144	0.0110
ICP Value	0.067	0.262	0.530	0.151	0.0120
Deviation	0.004	0.007	0.040	0.007	0.0010
Range	0.063 - 0.071	0.255 - 0.269	0.490 - 0.570	0.144 - 0.158	0.0110 - 0.0130

NOTE: Values expressed in weight percent.
Average of six results on each element.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained by AA and ICP are tabulated in Tables 6 and 7. The data obtained by AA analyses (see Table 6) are not significantly different from those obtained by ICP (see Table 7). The discrepancies between data from the two instrumental techniques and the certified SRM values from NIST are not significantly different. In general, the ICP data deviates from the NIST certified values slightly more than those obtained by AA. The deviations were probably caused by spectral interference, plasma instability, and instrument background noise. The ICP technique was found to be more accurate but less precise than AA. The ICP technique has the advantage of speed as well as a wide linear dynamic range and a better detection limit. Moreover, this technique required less dilutions.

In steel analysis, the major drawbacks for ICP were the spectral interference problems. Matrix matching techniques using the same types and amounts of acids and similar concentrations of alloy elements in the standard solutions as was performed in this experiment minimized and compensated for the interference problems.

As indicated by this experiment, the ICP results, in general, deviated from the certified NIST SRM values slightly more than those obtained by AA. Unless absolute accuracy and precision are required, ICP is the method of choice for the routine analyses of low alloy armor steels.

CONCLUSIONS

The specimens of armor steels are readily dissolved in the acids and acid mixtures under the present investigation. The steel dissolution involving diluted hydrochloric acid (1:1) with the addition of nitric acid is considered the simplest and safest procedure. The perchloric acid dissolution is not recommended due to its inherent danger and special laboratory safety requirements.

The present method is simple, direct, and requires no pre-concentration or separations. After the acid dissolution, the sample solution is brought to volume for instrumental analysis following the minimal analytical steps. This technique eliminates a major source of analytical error, derived from the multi-step dilutions. The solution is then analyzed by a combination of these two techniques. ICP is required for Boron, a refractory element.

The data from this investigation indicates that the present method is accurate and suitable for use in Army laboratories for the analysis of low alloy armor steels.

No significant differences were found between the experimental results and those established by NIST.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The procedure described is accurate and easy to use and should be adopted by the Army laboratories in the extensive chemical analysis of low alloy armor steels.

Further studies should be made toward the modification of this method to include other elements in the armor steels such as Cu, V, Ti, Al, as well as the statistical analysis of this method.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies	To
1	Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering, The Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301
	Commander, U.S. Army Laboratory Command, 2800 Powder Mill Road, Adelphi, MD 20733-1145
1	ATTN: AMSLC-IM-TL
1	AMSLC-CT
	Commander, Defense Technical Information Center, Cameron Station, Building 5, 5010 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22304-6145
2	ATTN: DTIC-FDAC
1	MIAC/CINDAS, Purdue University, 2595 Yeager Road, West Lafayette, IN 47905
	Commander, Army Research Office, P.O. Box 12211, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211
1	ATTN: Information Processing Office
	Commander, U.S. Army Materiel Command, 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22333
1	ATTN: AMSCCI
	Commander, U.S. Army Materiel Systems Analysis Activity, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005
1	ATTN: AMXSY-MP, H. Cohen
	Commander, U.S. Army Missile Command, Redstone Scientific Information Center, Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-0041
1	ATTN: AMSMI-RD-TS-R/Doc
1	AMSMI-RLM
	Commander, U.S. Army Natick Research, Development and Engineering Center, Natick, MA 01760-5010
1	ATTN: Technical Library
	Commander, U.S. Army Satellite Communications Agency, Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703
1	ATTN: Technical Document Center
	Commander, U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Command, Warren, MI 48397-5000
1	ATTN: AMSTA-TSK
2	AMSTA-TSL, Technical Library
	Commander, White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002
1	ATTN: STEWS-WS-VT
	President, Airborne, Electronics and Special Warfare Board, Fort Bragg, NC 28307
1	ATTN: Library
	Director, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005
1	ATTN: BLCBR-TSB-S (STINFO)
	Commander, Dugway Proving Ground, Dugway, UT 84020
1	ATTN: Technical Library, Technical Information Division
	Commander, Harry Diamond Laboratories, 2800 Powder Mill Road, Adelphi, MD 20763
1	ATTN: Technical Information Office
	Director, Benet Weapons Laboratory, LCWSL, USA AMCCOM, Watervliet, NY 12189
1	ATTN: AMSMC-LCB-TL
1	AMSMC-LCB-R
1	AMSMC-LCB-RM
1	AMSMC-LCB-RP
	Commander, U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, 110 7th Street, N.E., Charlottesville, VA 22901-5706
1	ATTN: AIRFTC, Applied Technologies Branch, Gerald Schoesinger

No. of
Copies

To

- Commander, U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Unit, P.O. Box 577, Fort Rucker,
AL 36360
1 ATTN: Technical Library
- Commander, U.S. Army Aviation Systems Command, Aviation Research and Technology
Activity, Aviation Applied Technology Directorate, Fort Eustis, VA 23604-5577
1 ATTN: SAVDL-E-MOS
- U.S. Army Aviation Training Library, Fort Rucker, AL 36360
1 ATTN: Building 5906-5907
- Commander, U.S. Army Agency for Aviation Safety, Fort Rucker, AL 36362
1 ATTN: Technical Library
- Commander, USACDC Air Defense Agency, Fort Bliss, TX 79916
1 ATTN: Technical Library
- Clarke Engineer School Library, 3202 Nebraska Ave. North, Ft. Leonard Wood,
MO 65473-5000
- Commander, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, P. O. Box 631,
Vicksburg, MS 39180
1 ATTN: Research Center Library
- Commandant, U.S. Army Quartermaster School, Fort Lee, VA 23801
1 ATTN: Quartermaster School Library
- Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC 20375
1 ATTN: Code 5830
2 Dr. G. R. Yoder - Code 6384
- Chief of Naval Research, Arlington, VA 22217
1 ATTN: Code 471
- 1 Edward J. Morrissey, WRDC/MLTE, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433-6523
- Commander, U.S. Air Force Wright Research & Development Center,
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433-6523
1 ATTN: WRDC/MLLP, M. Forney, Jr.
1 WRDC/MLBC, Mr. Stanley Schulman
- NASA - Marshall Space Flight Center, MSFC, AL 35812
1 ATTN: Mr. Paul Schuerer/EH01
- U.S. Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology,
Gaithersburg, MD 20899
1 ATTN: Stephen M. Hsu, Chief, Ceramics Division, Institute for Materials
Science and Engineering
- 1 Committee on Marine Structures, Marine Board, National Research Council,
2101 Constitution Ave., N.W., Washington, DC 20418
- 1 Librarian, Materials Sciences Corporation, 930 Harvest Drive, Suite 300,
Blue Bell, PA 19422
- 1 The Charles Stark Draper Laboratory, 68 Albany Street, Cambridge, MA 02139
- Wyman-Gordon Company, Worcester, MA 01601
1 ATTN: Technical Library
- Lockheed-Georgia Company, 86 South Cobb Drive, Marietta, GA 30063
1 ATTN: Materials and Processes Engineering Dept. 71-11, Zone 54
- General Dynamics, Convair Aerospace Division, P.O. Box 748, Fort Worth,
TX 76101
1 ATTN: Mfg. Engineering Technical Library
- Director, U.S. Army Materials Technology Laboratory, Watertown, MA 02172-0001
2 ATTN: SLCMT-TML
1 Author

U.S. Army Materials Technology Laboratory
Watertown, Massachusetts 02172-0001
A METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF Cr, Mn
Mo, Ni, AND B IN LOW ALLOY STEEL ARMOR USING
ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROSCOPY AND
INDUCTIVELY COUPLED ARGON PLASMA EMISSION
SPECTROSCOPY
Wai K. Chin

Technical Report MTL TR 91-35, September 1991, 8 pp-
tables

AD UNCLASSIFIED
UNLIMITED DISTRIBUTION

Key Words
Low alloy steels
Armor steels
Analytical testing

A more reliable, accurate, and rapid method to determine the concentration of Cr, Mn, Mo, Ni, and B in low alloy steel armors is described. The various procedures of sample dissolution by acids are given. For each element, the high and low intensity spectral lines are determined for the analytical ranges of interest. Analytical results using spiked standards and standard reference materials from the National Institute of Standards and Technology are in agreement with the certified values.

U.S. Army Materials Technology Laboratory
Watertown, Massachusetts 02172-0001
A METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF Cr, Mn
Mo, Ni, AND B IN LOW ALLOY STEEL ARMOR USING
ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROSCOPY AND
INDUCTIVELY COUPLED ARGON PLASMA EMISSION
SPECTROSCOPY
Wai K. Chin

Technical Report MTL TR 91-35, September 1991, 8 pp-
tables

AD UNCLASSIFIED
UNLIMITED DISTRIBUTION

Key Words
Low alloy steels
Armor steels
Analytical testing

A more reliable, accurate, and rapid method to determine the concentration of Cr, Mn, Mo, Ni, and B in low alloy steel armors is described. The various procedures of sample dissolution by acids are given. For each element, the high and low intensity spectral lines are determined for the analytical ranges of interest. Analytical results using spiked standards and standard reference materials from the National Institute of Standards and Technology are in agreement with the certified values.

U.S. Army Materials Technology Laboratory
Watertown, Massachusetts 02172-0001
A METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF Cr, Mn
Mo, Ni, AND B IN LOW ALLOY STEEL ARMOR USING
ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROSCOPY AND
INDUCTIVELY COUPLED ARGON PLASMA EMISSION
SPECTROSCOPY
Wai K. Chin

Technical Report MTL TR 91-35, September 1991, 8 pp-
tables

AD UNCLASSIFIED
UNLIMITED DISTRIBUTION

Key Words
Low alloy steels
Armor steels
Analytical testing

A more reliable, accurate, and rapid method to determine the concentration of Cr, Mn, Mo, Ni, and B in low alloy steel armors is described. The various procedures of sample dissolution by acids are given. For each element, the high and low intensity spectral lines are determined for the analytical ranges of interest. Analytical results using spiked standards and standard reference materials from the National Institute of Standards and Technology are in agreement with the certified values.

U.S. Army Materials Technology Laboratory
Watertown, Massachusetts 02172-0001
A METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF Cr, Mn
Mo, Ni, AND B IN LOW ALLOY STEEL ARMOR USING
ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROSCOPY AND
INDUCTIVELY COUPLED ARGON PLASMA EMISSION
SPECTROSCOPY
Wai K. Chin

Technical Report MTL TR 91-35, September 1991, 8 pp-
tables

AD UNCLASSIFIED
UNLIMITED DISTRIBUTION

Key Words
Low alloy steels
Armor steels
Analytical testing

A more reliable, accurate, and rapid method to determine the concentration of Cr, Mn, Mo, Ni, and B in low alloy steel armors is described. The various procedures of sample dissolution by acids are given. For each element, the high and low intensity spectral lines are determined for the analytical ranges of interest. Analytical results using spiked standards and standard reference materials from the National Institute of Standards and Technology are in agreement with the certified values.