


2

Marine Physical Laboratory

AD-A241 997



Localization Performance Study



Final Report Prepared for
the Office of Naval Research
Contract N00014-89-D-0142 (DO#8)
Principal Investigator: William S. Hodgkiss

MPL-U-51/91
June 1991

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

91-13848



University of California, San Diego
Scripps Institution of Oceanography

91 10 22 123

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS	
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.	
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE				
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) MPL-II-51/91			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Marine Physical Laboratory		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) MPL		7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) University of California, San Diego Scripps Institution of Oceanography San Diego, CA 92152		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000		
8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) ONR		9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER N00014-89-D-0142 (DO#8)
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Department of the Navy 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS		
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.
				WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) LOCALIZATION PERFORMANCE STUDY				
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) William S. Hodgkiss (Principal Investigator)				
13a. TYPE OF REPORT final report		13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) June 1991
15. PAGE COUNT 1				
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION				
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP		
			Swallow float, matched field processing	
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Provide NOSC data from the July 1989 Swallow float experiment. Carry out simulations investigating the effect of bandwidth and sensor location on localization performance.				
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☐ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☒ SAME AS RPT. ☐ DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL William S. Hodgkiss			22b. TELEPHONE (include Area Code) (619) 534-1798	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL MPL

LOCALIZATION PERFORMANCE STUDY

Principal Investigator: W.S. Hodgkiss

Marine Physical Laboratory
Scripps Institution of Oceanography
San Diego, CA 92152

Final Report
Office of Naval Research
Contract N00014-89-D-0142 (DO#8)
Sponsor: Office of Naval Research
Period of Performance: 1 January 1990 - 30 September 1990
Total Award: \$50,000

Objective:

Provide NOSC data from the July 1989 Swallow float experiment. Carry out simulations investigating the effect of bandwidth and sensor location on localization performance.

Background:

In July 1989, MPL carried out a Swallow float experiment in deep water west of Pt. Conception, CA. Extensive environmental and bathymetric data was collected in support of the experiment.

Summary of Results:

The primary thrust of this project was to begin an effort aimed at performing matched field processing (MFP) on data from sensors which might be located anywhere in the water column. Both simulations and the analysis of experimental data were included.

Data from the July 1989 Swallow float experiment was made available to NOSC and providing assistance in the analysis of that data was a major priority in this project. Of particular interest was performing matched field processing on the signatures of nearby ships. It was found that this is extremely difficult to do. Uncertainties in the geoacoustic characteristics of the bottom coupled with a rapidly changing geometry between a given ship and the sensors are suggested as reasons for the difficulty in obtaining better MFP results.

Extensive simulations were performed in parallel with the Swallow float data analysis. These were beneficial in developing an understanding of what the ambiguity surface should look like when the bottom characteristics are correctly modeled and the source ship is not moving.