AD-A245 204 DTIC

JAN 28 1992

D

2

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Unclassified	1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTH	3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT: Approved for public release Distribution list enclosed
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S): Technical Report No. 32	5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION: State University of New York at Buffalo; 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION: Office of Naval Research
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code): Department of Chemistry Buffalo, NY 14214	7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code): Department of the Navy 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION: Office of Naval Research; 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: N00014-90-J-1530; R&T Code 4135002
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code): Department of the Navy Arlington, VA 22217	10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS: PROGRAM ELEMENT NO; PROJECT NO; TASK NO; WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO 4135002

11. TITLE (Include Security Classification): Chemistry of Organoindium Hydrides. The Synthesis, Characterization and Crystal Structure of $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$

12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S): O.T. Beachley, Jr., Sun-Hua Chao, Melvyn Rowen Churchill and Ronald F. See

13a. TYPE OF REPORT	13b. TIME COVERED	14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)	15. PAGE COUNT
Technical Report	FROM _____ TO _____	21 January 1992	21

16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION: To be published in Organometallics

17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	Indium chemistry, indium-hydrides, X-ray structural study

19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)

Two new organoindium hydrides $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ and $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$ have been prepared and fully characterized according to their physical properties, partial elemental analyses, molecular weight studies and IR and ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectral studies. The unique hydrogen atoms bonded to indium in the two compounds provide characteristic infrared bands and ^1H NMR resonances which have been identified by comparative studies with the corresponding deuterium derivatives. The indium hydride $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ crystallizes in the monoclinic space group C2/c (No. 15) with cell parameters of $\underline{a}$ = 22.243(5)Å, $\underline{b}$ = 17.021(3)Å, $\underline{c}$ = 21.290(3)Å, β = 110.350(10)°, V = 7557(2)Å^3 and Z = 8.

20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT	21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
☒ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT. ☐ DTIC USERS	Unclassified
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL: O. T. Beachley, Jr.	22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code): 716-831-3266; 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL

DD Form 1473, JUN 86 — Previous editions are obsolete. — SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE: Unclassified

Final discrepancy indices were R = 6.32% and wR = 4.97% for all 4947 independent data and R = 2.96% and wR = 3.67% for those 2924 reflections with $|F_o| > 6\sigma(F_o)$. The crystal is composed of K^+ cations and $(Me_3CCH_2)_3In\text{-}H\text{-}In(CH_2CMe_3)_3^-$ anions, each of which lie on two-fold axes. In-C(neopentyl) distances range from 2.199(6) to 2.231(6)Å (averaging 2.213Å), while In-(μ-H) distances are 1.933(15) and 1.950(23)Å. In-H-In angles are 151(5) and 161(5)°.

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N-00014-90-J-1530

R&T Code 4135002

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 32

Chemistry of Organoindium Hydrides. The Synthesis, Characterization and Crystal Structure of $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$

by

O. T. Beachley, Jr., Sun-Hua Chao, Melvyn Rowen Churchill

and Ronald F. See

Prepared for Publication

in

Organometallics

State University of New York at Buffalo
Department of Chemistry
Buffalo, New York 14214

21 January 1992

Chemistry of Organoindium Hydrides.

The Synthesis, Characterization and Crystal Structure of $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$.

by

O. T. Beachley, Jr.,* Sun-Hua L. Chao, Melvyn Rowen Churchill*

and Ronald F. See

Department of Chemistry, State University of New York at Buffalo,

Buffalo, NY 14214.

Abstract

Two new organoindium hydrides $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ and $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$ have been prepared and fully characterized according to their physical properties, partial elemental analyses, molecular weight studies and IR and ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectral studies. The unique hydrogen atoms bonded to indium in the two compounds provide characteristic infrared bands and ^1H NMR resonances which have been identified by comparative studies with the corresponding deuterium derivatives. The indium hydride $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ crystallizes in the monoclinic space group C2/c (No. 15) with cell parameters of $\underline{a}$ = 22.243(5)Å, $\underline{b}$ = 17.021(3)Å, $\underline{c}$ = 21.290(3)Å, β = 110.350(10)°, V = 7557(2)Å^3 and Z = 8. Final discrepancy indicies were R = 6.32% and wR = 4.97% for all 4947 independent data and R = 2.96% and wR = 3.67% for those 2924 reflections with $|F_o| > 6\sigma(F_o)$. The crystal is composed of K^+ cations and $(Me_3CCH_2)_3In\text{-}H\text{-}In(CH_2CMe_3)_3^-$ anions, each of which lie on two-fold axes. In-C(neopentyl) distances range from 2.199(6) to 2.231(6)Å (averaging 2.213Å), while In-(μ-H) distances are 1.933(15) and 1.950(23)Å. In-H-In angles are 151(5) and 161(5)°.

Introduction

Compounds which contain indium-hydrogen bonds are difficult to prepare, isolate and fully characterize. Thus, no indium hydride has been fully characterized previously by elemental analyses, molecular weight studies, diagnostic spectroscopic data and an X-ray structural study. The only compound with indium-hydrogen bonds for which an X-ray structural study has been reported is $[(Me_3Si)_3C]In(H)(\mu\text{-}H)Li(THF)_2(\mu\text{-}H)In(\mu\text{-}H)(H)[C(SiMe_3)_3]$.[1]



However, the unique hydrogen atoms were not located. The presence of indium-hydrogen bonds was inferred from infrared and $^6Li\{^1H\}$ NMR spectral data. This compound was too unstable to permit satisfactory carbon and hydrogen analyses. The closely related compounds $M[InR_3H]$ (M = Na, K; R = Me[2], Et[2], CH_2SiMe_3[3]) are also unstable and decompose to $MInR_4$, MH, indium metal and hydrogen. Thus, when $In(CH_2SiMe_3)_3$ and KH were combined in the absence of solvent, $KIn(CH_2SiMe_3)_4$ was isolated and was fully characterized.[3]

In this paper, the syntheses and characterizations of two novel compounds with indium hydrogen bonds, $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ and $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$, are reported. Both compounds appear to be stable at room temperature and have been fully characterized.

Experimental

All compounds described in this investigation were extremely sensitive to oxygen and moisture and were manipulated in a standard vacuum line or under a purified argon atmosphere. The starting compound $In(CH_2CMe_3)_3$ was prepared and purified by the literature method.[4] The reagents KH and KD were obtained from Aldrich Chemical Co. and were washed with pentane to remove oil prior to use. Solvents were dried by conventional procedures. Elemental analyses were performed by Schwarzkopf Microanalytical Laboratory, Woodside, NY or by E + R Microanalytical Laboratory, Inc., Corona, NY. Infrared spectra of Nujol mulls between CsI plates were recorded by means of a Perkin-Elmer 683 spectrometer. The ^1H NMR spectra were recorded at 400 MHz by means of a Varian VXR-400 S spectrometer, or at 300 MHz with a Varian Gemini-300 spectrometer. Proton chemical shifts are reported in δ units (ppm) and are referenced to C_6H_6 at δ 7.15 ppm. The $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 75 MHz by means of a Varian Gemini-300 spectrometer or at 101 MHz by means of a Varian VXR-400 S spectrometer. The proton-decoupled ^{13}C spectra are reported relative to benzene at δ 128.0 ppm. All samples for NMR spectra were contained in sealed NMR tubes. Melting points were observed in sealed capillaries. Molecular weights were measured cryoscopically in benzene solution by using an instrument similar to that described by Shriver and Drezdzon.[5]

Synthesis of $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$. The reagents $In(CH_2CMe_3)_3$ (1.923 g, 5.858 mmol) and excess KH (0.583 g, 14.5 mmol) were combined in 20 mL pentane and the resulting mixture was stirred for 8 h at room temperature. The product was then separated from excess KH by extraction with pentane. Recrystallization of the crude product from pentane solution at -20 °C yielded $K\{HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3\}$ (1.354 g, 3.676 mmol, 62.8% yield based on

$In(CH_2CMe_3)_3$). Recrystallization at -20 °C of the concentrated mother liquor from the first recrystallization yielded additional $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$ (0.641 g, 1.74 mmol, 29.7% yield based on $In(CH_2CMe_3)_3$). The two batches of crystals were identical. The total yield of purified $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$ was 92%.

$K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$. mp 112-120 °C decomp. Anal. Calcd. for $C_{15}H_{34}InK$: C, 48.91; H, 9.30. Found: C, 48.83; H, 9.28. ^1H NMR (C_6D_6) δ, 0.59 (s, 6H, $InCH_2$), 1.30 (s, 27H, $InCCCH_3$), 3.41 (br, 1H, InH). $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (C_6D_6) δ, 33.0 (CMe_3), 35.2 (CH_3). IR (Nujol mull, cm^{-1}) 1350 (w), ~1250 (vs, br spans region 1400-1000), 1215 (w), 1096 (m), 1002 (m, sh), 923 (w), 904 (w), 736 (vw), ~700 (vs, br spans region 900-500), 656 (vw), 595 (vw), 553 (w), 446 (m), 371 (w), 340 (vw), 241 (m). Cryoscopic molecular weight, formula weight 368.35 (obsd molality, obsd mol wt, association): 0.0724, 660.3, 1.79; 0.0497, 664.0, 1.80; 0.0315, 689.7, 1.87; 0.0218, 721.2, 1.96; 0.0160, 749.5, 2.03; 0.00994, 856.0, 2.26. Hydrolysis with excess HCl (3M, 30 mL) yielded 0.947 mmol H_2/mmol $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$, and 2.83 mmol CMe_4/mmol $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$.

Synthesis of $K[DIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$. The deuteride $K[DIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$ was synthesized by using KD and the procedure previously desdribed. Recrystallizations from pentane yielded crystals of $K[DIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$.

$K[DIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$. mp 117-120 °C decomp. ^1H NMR (C_6D_6) δ, 0.63 (s, 6H, $InCH_2$), 1.29 (s, 27H, $InCCCH_3$). $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (C_6D_6) δ, 32.9 (CMe_3), 35.2 (CH_3). IR(Nujol mull, cm^{-1}), 1354 (s), 1228 (s), 1210 (s), 1101 (m, sh), 1076 (w), ~990 (s, br spans region 1200-820), 922 (w), 904 (w), 736 (m), 699 (w, sh), 659 (vs), 649 (vs), 561 (s, sh), 448 (m, sh), ~405 (w, br spans region 500-300), 372 (w), 343 (m, sh), 246 (m, sh), 240 (m, sh).

Synthesis of $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$. Stoichiometric amounts of $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$ (0.431 g, 1.17 mmol) and $In(CH_2CMe_3)_3$ (0.385 g, 1.17 mmol) were combined in 30 mL of pentane. Initially, the mixture was a clear colorless solution, but after approximately 5 min a fine, colorless precipitate formed. The product was isolated after 10 min of stirring by cooling the mixture to -30 °C and filtering. Removal of the pentane left $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ (0.793 g, 1.14 mmol, 97.2% yield). X-ray quality crystals were obtained by slow recrystallization of a pentane solution. $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$. mp 137-143 °C decomp. Anal. Calcd. for $C_{30}H_{67}InK$: C, 51.73; H, 9.55. Found: C, 51.51; H, 9.60. ^1H NMR (C_6D_6) δ, 0.81 (s, 12H, $InCH_2$), 1.25 (s, 54H, $InCCCH_3$), 3.09 (br, 1H, InH). $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (C_6D_6) δ, 33.2 (CMe_3), 35.4 (CH_3). IR (Nujol mull, cm^{-1}) ~1800-1100 (m, br), 1356 (s), 1348 (m), 1300 (vw, br), 1260 (w), 1228 (s), 1211 (m), ~1130-900 (w, br), 1106 (m), 1092 (m), 1079 (w), 999 (w, sh), 981 (vw), 805 (w, br), ~760-630 (m, br), 734 (vw), 704 (vw), 679 (w), 645 (w), 563 (m), 449 (w), 373 (w). Cryoscopic molecular weight, formula weight 696.60 (obsd molality, obsd mol wt, association): 0.0449, 729.9, 1.05; 0.0283, 746.4, 1.07; 0.0185, 783.0, 1.12.

Synthesis of $K\{D[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$. The deuteride $K\{D[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ was synthesized by using $K[DIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$ and the procedure previously described. $K\{D[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$. mp 140-145 °C decomp. ^1H NMR (C_6D_6) δ, 0.78 (s, 2H, $InCH_2$), 1.26 (s, 9H, $InCCCH_3$). $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (C_6D_6) δ, 32.8 (CMe_3), 35.0 (CH_3). IR (Nujol mull, cm^{-1}), 1355 (s), 1349 (vw), 1229 (s), 1213 (s), 1103 (m), 1078 (w), ~1250-770 (s, br), 999 (m), 992 (m), 922 (w), 906 (w), ~750-600 (m, br), 735 (s), 700 (m), 661 (m), 650 (m), 600 (vw), 565 (vs, sh), ~500-350 (m, br), 470 (vw), 448 (m, sh), 406 (w), 374 (m), 343 (vw), 243 (m, sh).

Product Formed from $In(CH_2CMe_3)_3$ and KH after 2 Hours, $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$. The reagents $In(CH_2CMe_3)_3$ (1.692 g, 5.153 mmol) and excess KH (0.703 g, 17.5 mmol) were combined in 20 mL pentane. After the resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature, the crude product (0.547 g) was isolated by pentane extraction (x 6). The ^1H NMR spectrum identified the product as $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$. ^1H NMR (C_6D_6) δ, 0.78 (s, 2H, $InCH_2$), 1.26 (s, 9H, $InCCCH_3$), 3.05 (br, InH).

NMR Spectra of Mixtures of Components. The following experiments were performed in order to gain an understanding of the reactions occurring between KH, $In(CH_2CMe_3)_3$, $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$ and/or $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$.

A) $In(CH_2CMe_3)_3$ and Excess $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$. Solvent (0.8 ml C_6D_6) was added to a mixture of $In(CH_2CMe_3)_3$ (0.0146 g, 0.0445 mmol) and excess $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$ (0.0386 g, 0.105 mmol). ^1H NMR (δ, C_6D_6) 0.71 (s, $InCH_2$), 1.28 (s, $InCCCH_3$), 3.22 (s, InH).

B) $In(CH_2CMe_3)_3$ and Excess $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$. Solvent (0.8 ml C_6D_6) was added to a mixture of $In(CH_2CMe_3)_3$ (0.0158 g, 0.0481 mmol) and excess $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ (0.0450 g, 0.0646 mmol). ^1H NMR (δ, C_6D_6) 0.85 (s, $InCH_2$), 1.21 (s, $InCCCH_3$), 2.97 (s, InH).

C) $In(CH_2CMe_3)_3$ and Excess $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$. The C_6D_6 (0.8 ml) was added to a mixture of $In(CH_2CMe_3)_3$ (0.0123 g, 0.0375 mmol) and excess $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$ (0.0368 g, 0.0999 mmol). ^1H NMR (δ, C_6D_6) 0.70 (s, $InCH_2$), 1.28 (s, $InCCCH_3$), 3.24 (s, InH). $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (C_6D_6) δ, 32.9 (CMe_3), 35.1 (CH_3).

Collection of the X-ray Diffraction Data for $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$. A clear crystal having the dimensions 0.25 × 0.30 × 0.40 mm was aligned on a Siemens-upgraded Syntex $P2_1/R3$ diffractometer. Data for ±h, k, ±ℓ were collected by the coupled θ(crystal)-2θ(counter) scan technique and were

merged to a set of unique reflection. Details of data collection appear in Table I.

Axial photographs indicated that the crystal belonged to the C-centered subset of the monoclinic system. The systematic absences of hkℓ for h+k = 2n+1 and hOℓ for ℓ = 2n+1 indicate the possible space groups as Cc or C2/c. Intensity statistics clearly favored the centrosymmetric case. The space group C2/c [C_{2h}^6;No.15] was assumed and confirmed by the successful solution and refinement of the structure in this higher symmetry space group.

Solution and Refinement of the Crystal Structure. All crystallographic calculations were carried out on a VAX3100 workstation with the use of the Siemens SHELXTL PLUS program set.[6] The analytical scattering factors for neutral atoms were corrected for the Δf' and iΔf" components of anomalous dispersion. The structure was solved by a combination of direct-methods and difference-Fourier techniques. Refinement led to convergence with R = 6.32%, wR = 4.97% and GOF = 0.95 for all 4947 reflections and 327 variables (R = 2.96% and wR = 3.67% for those 2924 reflections with $F_o > 6.0\sigma(F_o)$).

All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The position and isotropic thermal parameter of the bridging hydride ions were refined. All hydrogen atoms of the neopentyl groups were included in calculated staggered positions with d(C-H) = 0.96Å.[7] A final difference-Fourier showed features only in the range -0.61 → +0.83 $e^-/Å^3$. Final atomic coordinates are collected in Table II.

Results and Discussion

Our studies of the reaction between $In(CH_2CMe_3)_3$ and KH suggest that $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$, a simple Lewis acid-base adduct, is formed initially. However, this compound reacts rapidly with more $In(CH_2CMe_3)_3$ to form

$K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$, the initial isolable product. If excess KH is available, then $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ reacts to form $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$ as the final product. The observation that $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ can be isolated after $In(CH_2CMe_3)_3$ and excess KH are stirred in pentane for two hours must be related to the limited solubility of $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ and the insolubility of KH. When $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$ and $In(CH_2CMe_3)_3$ were combined in pentane, a solution was formed initially. However, after approximately 5 minutes, a fine, colorless precipitate of $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ was observed and a quantitative yield was isolated after 10 min. A reaction mixture prepared from $In(CH_2CMe_3)_3$ and excess KH must be stirred for 8 h in order to ensure the formation of $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$. Both new compounds have been characterized according to their physical properties, elemental analyses for carbon and hydrogen, cryoscopic molecular weight data, infrared spectra and ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra. The structure of $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ in the solid state has also been elucidated by an X-ray structural study. Crystals of $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$ were isolated also, but the diffraction data were too poor to be used in structure determination.

The crystal of $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ is best viewed as an ordered array of K^+ cations and $(Me_3CCH_2)_3In\text{-}H\text{-}In(CH_2CMe_3)_3^-$ anions in a 1:1 ratio, all of which are bisected by a crystallographic two-fold axis. The crystallographic asymmetric unit consists of one half of two potassium cations (K(1) and K(2)) and one half of two $[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2H^-$ anions (centered about H(1) and H(2)). Figure 1 shows the mutual juxtaposition of two such crystallographic asymmetric units and the atomic labelling scheme. Interatomic distances (with esd's) are listed in Table III and interatomic angles are collected in Table IV.

The $(Me_3CCH_2)_3In\text{-}H\text{-}In(CH_2CMe_3)_3^-$ anions have precise C_2 symmetry. The bridging hydride ligands are involved in 2-electron 3-center bonds. Observed indium-hydrogen distances are In(1)-H(1) = In(1A)-H(1) = 1.950(23)Å, with <In(1)-H(1)-In(1A) = 151(5)° and In(2)-H(2) = In(2A)-H(2) = 1.933(15)Å with <In(2)-H(2)-In(2A) = 161(5)°. The indium-(μ-hydride) distance is, as expected, substantially longer (by ~0.2Å) than the predicted terminal In-H distance of about 1.75Å (based on r(In) = 1.44Å, from In-C(av) = 2.21Å in the present structure less $r(Csp^3)$ = 0.77Å;[8a] and r(H) = 0.31Å from d(C-H) = 1.08Å,[8b] less $r(Csp^3)$ = 0.77Å).

The geometry about each indium atom is that of slightly flattened tetrahedron formed by the three α-carbon atoms of the neopentyl groups and the bridging hydride ligand. The In-C bonds are equivalent within the limits of experimental error with In(1)-C(11) = 2.199(6), In(1)-C(21) = 2.204(9) and In(1)-C(31) = 2.231(6)Å (aver = 2.211Å about In(1)) and In(2)-C(41) = 2.221(6), In(2)-C(51) = 2.200(7) and In(2)-C(61) = 2.222(6)Å (aver = 2.214Å about In(2)). These In-C distances in $(Me_3CCH_2)_3In\text{-}H\text{-}In(CH_2CMe_3)_3^-$ are similar to the corresponding distances in other organoindium anions. For example, $KIn_2(CH_2SiMe_3)_4$[3] has an average In-C distance of 2.239[8]Å whereas that in $LiIn[C(SiMe_3)_3]_2H_5 \cdot 2THF$ is 2.20(3)Å; that in $KInMe_4$[9] is 2.239(3)Å; that in $CsInMe_4$[9] is 2.26(2)Å and that in $NaInPh_4$[10] is 2.230(3)Å. The In-C distances in these anionic species are longer than the In-C distances in most neutral, four coordinate indium compounds. Representative In-C distances in neutral species are 2.125(12) and 2.140(13)Å for $[In(CH_2CMe_3)(CH_2SiMe_3)Cl]_2$,[11] 2.146(9) and 2.174(10)Å for $[In(C_6Me_3H_2)_2Cl]_2$[12] and 2.182(6) and 2.210(7)Å for $[(Me_3CCH_2)_2InPPh_2]_3$.[13] One exception is the neutral organoindium compound $[(Me_3CCH_2)_2InCH_2PPh_2]_2$[14]

whose In-C distances are comparable to those in $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$; the In-$CH_2$ distance is 2.258Å and the average In-C(neopentyl) distance is 2.222Å.

The C-In-C angles about the In(1) atom in $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ are C(21)-In(1)-C(31) = 114.9(3), C(11)-In(1)-C(31) = 116.0(2) and C(11)-In(1)-C(21) = 119.5(3)° (aver = 116.8°). The C-In-C angles observed about In(2) are C(51)-In(2)-C(61) = 115.8(3), C(41)-In(2)-C(61) = 117.3(2) and C(41)-In(2)-C(51) = 118.6(3)° (aver = 117.2°). The C-In-H bond angles show values that are significantly smaller than the C-In-C angles. The values about In(1) are C(11)-In(1)-H(1) = 104.3(24), C(21)-In(1)-H(1) = 102.6(9) an C(31)-In(1)-H(1) = 93.9(16)° (aver = 100.3°). About In(2) the observed bond angles are C(41)-In(2)-H(2) = 100.4(22), C(51)-In(2)-H(2) = 101.4(8) and C(61)-In(2)-H(2) = 97.1(15)° (aver = 99.6°). This flattening of the tetrahedron, resulting in larger values for the C-In-C bond angles as compared to the C-In-H bond angles, is to be expected, since the neopentyl groups are substantially more bulky than the bridging hydride ligand (which is also electron deficient).

The potassium ions also lie on the crystallographic two-fold axis. They have their closest interactions with the hydride ligands (K(1)•••H(1) = 2.71(9)Å and K(2)•••H(2) = 2.87(8)Å). The K•••In distances are K(1)•••In(1) = K(1)•••In(1A) = 3.715(2)Å and K(2)•••In(2) = K(2)•••In(2A) = 3.721(2)Å. There are also some interactions between the potassium ions and hydrogen atoms on the neopentyl groups; these interactions are shown as dotted lines in Figure 1.

The two new indium hydrides are soluble in benzene and have been characterized by cryoscopic molecular weight data. The calculated molecular weights of the solutes in solutions prepared from $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ reveal the presence of ion pairs with the simplest formula of the compound. In

contrast, solutions of $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$ are composed of dimeric units, $\{K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]\}_2$. Because the calculated molecular weights of either compound do not vary significantly with concentration, neither associative nor dissociative equilibria are significant. Thus, $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ can be envisioned to exist in solution as species related to the units identified in the solid state and to have a hydride ion serving as a Lewis base to two $In(CH_2CMe_3)_3$ molecules as Lewis acids. Two alternative structures can be suggested for $\{K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]\}_2$. One structure involves five coordinate indium species with bridging hydride ions whereas the





alternative structure has tetrahedral $HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3^-$ anions with bridging potassium ions. The neopentyl group hydrogen atoms probably serve to coordinate with the potassium ions, as observed in the structural study. Available data do not permit us to distinguish between the two structures.

The ^1H NMR spectra of $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ and of $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$ have features which are directly related to hydride ions bonded to indium. The

^{1}H NMR spectra of $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ and $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$ have broad lines at 3.09 and 3.41 ppm, respectively, which are not present in the spectra of the corresponding deuterium derivatives. Thus, these lines must be due to the unique hydrogen atoms bonded to indium. In contrast, the resonances of the methylene and methyl protons for the two indium hydride derivatives remain unchanged when the spectra of the respective protium and deuterium complexes are compared. It is also noteworthy that mixtures of $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ and $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$ exhibit only one set of resonances at chemical shifts which are intermediate between the two compounds. Thus, the neopentyl and hydride ligands exchange rapidly between the two compounds. Similarly, mixtures of $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ and $In(CH_2CMe_3)_3$ undergo rapid exchange of ligands as only one set of resonances is observed.

The infrared spectra of the two compounds are also consistent with the presence of indium hydrogen bonds. The compound $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ has broad bands at ~1800-1100, ~1130-980 and ~760-630 cm^{-1} which are partially obscured by Nujol and by other bands of the compound. These bands can be assigned to asymmetric stretching, symmetric stretching and bending modes for a In-H-In moiety which is bent rather than linear. These bands shift to ~1250-770, 750-600 and 500-350 cm^{-1} upon deuterium substitution and are consistent with the results of reduced mass calculations. In comparison, $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$ has broad, partially obscured bands at 1400-1000 and 900-500 cm^{-1} which shift to 1200-820 and 500-300 cm^{-1}, respectively, upon deuterium substitution. The bands centered about 1250 cm^{-1} and 990 cm^{-1} are assigned to In-H and In-D stretching modes whereas the lower frequency bands are due to bending modes. The shifts of the bands upon deuterium substitution is less than that expected by calculations based on reduced

masses. Thus, the bands in $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$ probably are due to combinations of various modes and are not pure In-H vibrations.

The two new indium hydrides $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ and $K[HIn(CH_2CMe_3)_3]$ are inert to decomposition reactions in benzene solution over long periods of time. Neopentane CMe_4 was not observed to be present in benzene solutions of the compounds, even after three months of standing at room temperature. In contrast, the closely related compound $K[HIn(CH_2SiMe_3)_3]$[3] was observed to decompose in benzene solution to form indium metal, $SiMe_4$, biphenyl and varying amounts of H_2. All CH_2SiMe_3 groups were converted to $SiMe_4$ within 2 months at room temperature.[3] These decomposition reactions of $K[HIn(CH_2SiMe_3)_3]$ were proposed to be related to the formation of KCH_2SiMe_3 by the dissociation of $CH_2SiMe_3^-$. Thus, the stability of the neopentyl derivatives suggest increased inertness to dissociative reactions, a property which might be related to the Lewis acidity of trineopentylindium.[4] It is noteworthy that the reagents, 1 mmol of $InMe_3$ and excess KH, formed 0.159 mmol of CH_4 and indium metal in 12 h at 25 °C.[15]

Acknowledgement. This work was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research and by a grant from Eastman Kodak Co. We thank the National Science Foundation for providing funds for the upgrade of the $P2_1$ diffractometer to a Siemens $P2_1/R3m$ through a grant from the Chemical Instrumentation Program (89-13733).

Supplementary Material. Anisotropic thermal parameters, calculated positions of hydrogen atoms and F_o/F_c list for $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$ (pages). For ordering information, see any current masthead page.

References

1. Avent, A.G.; Eaborn, C.; Hitchcock, P.B.; Smith, J.D.; Sullivan, A.C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 988.

2. Gavrilenko, V.V.; Kolesov, V.S.; Zakharkin, L.I. Zh. Abshch. Khim. 1977, 47, 964; 1977, 49, 1845.

3. Hallock, R.B.; Beachley, O.T., Jr.; Li, Y-J.; Sanders, W.M.; Churchill, M.R.; Hunter, W.E.; Atwood, J.L. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 3683.

4. Beachley, O.T., Jr.; Spiegel, E.F.; Kopasz, J.P.; Rogers, R.D. Organometallics 1989, 8, 1915.

5. Shriver, D.F.; Drezdzon, M.A. "The Manipulation of Air-Sensitive Compounds", Wiley, New York, 1986, p 38.

6. Siemens SHELXTL PLUS Manual; 2nd Edition (1990). Siemens Analytical Instruments, Madison, Wisconsin.

7. Churchill, M.R. Inorg. Chem. 1973, 12, 1213.

8. Pauling, L. "The Nature of the Chemical Bond", Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY, 1960. (a) Table 7-2, p 224 (b) Table 7-4, p 226.

9. Hoffman, K.; Weiss, E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 50, 17.

10. Hoffman, K.; Weiss, E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 50, 25.

11. Beachley, O.T., Jr.; Maloney, J.D.; Churchill, M.R.; Lake, C.H. Organometallics 1991, 10, 3568.

12. Leman, J.T.; Barron, A.R. Organometallics 1989, 8, 2214.

13. Banks, M.A.; Beachley, O.T., Jr.; Buttrey, L.A.; Churchill, M.R.; Fettinger, J.C. Organometallics 1991, 10, 1901.

14. Beachley, O.T., Jr.; Banks, M.A.; Churchill, M.R.; Feighery, W.G.; Fettinger, J.C. Organometallics 1991, 10, 3036.

15. Beachley, O.T., Jr.; Tessier-Youngs, C.; Simmons, R.G.; Hallock, R.B. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 1970.

Figure 1. The structure of $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$. Hydrogen atoms of the neopentyl groups are omitted unless they interact with K^+ ions. Note that a crystallographic two-fold axis passes through K(1)•••H(1)•••K(2)•••H(2)


C23
C24
C22
In1a
C25
C21
K1
H1
In1
C11
H33b
C31
C33
H31a
C32
C34
C35
C14
C12
C13
H15b
H63c
C15
C63
C62
C65
C64
K2
H61a
In2a
H2
C61
In2
C41
C45
C51
C53
C52
C42
C44
C43
C54
C55

Table I. Experimental Data for the X-Ray Diffraction Study on $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$.

Crystal Data

Empirical Formula	$C_{30}\ H_{67}\ In_2\ K$
Color; Habit	clear crystal
Crystal Size (mm)	.40 x .30 x .25
Crystal System	Monoclinic
Space Group	C2/c
Unit Cell Dimensions	$\underline{a}$ = 22.243(5) Å
	$\underline{b}$ = 17.021(3) Å
	$\underline{c}$ = 21.290(3) Å
	β = 110.350(10)°
Volume	7557(2) $Å^3$
Z	8
Formula weight	696.6
Density(calc.)	1.224 Mg/m^3
Absorption Coefficient	1.326 mm^{-1}
F(000)	2912

Data Collection

Diffractometer Used	Siemens R3m/V
Radiation	MoKα (λ = 0.71073 Å)
Temperature (K)	295
Monochromator	Highly oriented graphite crystal
2θ Range	8.0 to 45.0°
Scan Type	2θ-θ
Scan Speed	Constant; 1.75°/min. in ω
Scan Range (ω)	0.80° plus Kα-separation
Background Measurement	Stationary crystal and stationary counter at beginning and end of scan, each for 25.0% of total scan time
Standard Reflections	3 measured every 97 reflections
Index Ranges	$-24 \leq h \leq 24$, $0 \leq k \leq 18$ $-22 \leq \ell \leq 22$
Reflections Collected	10270
Independent Reflections	4947 (R_{int} = 2.74%)
Observed Reflections	4947 ($F > 0.5\sigma(F)$)
Absorption Correction	Semi-empirical
Min./Max. Transmission	0.3912 / 0.4473

Solution and Refinement

System Used	Siemens SHELXTL PLUS (VMS)
Solution	Direct Methods
Refinement Method	Full-Matrix Least-Squares
Quantity Minimized	$\sum w(F_o-F_c)^2$
Absolute Structure	N/A
Extinction Correction	N/A
Hydrogen Atoms	Riding model, fixed isotropic U
Weighting Scheme	$w^{-1} = \sigma^2(F) + 0.0008F^2$
Number of Parameters refined	327
Final R indices (obs. data)	R = 6.32 %, wR = 4.97 %
R Indices (all data)	R = 6.32 %, wR = 4.97 %
Goodness-of-Fit	0.95
Largest and Mean Δ/σ	0.003, 0.001
Data-to-Parameter Ratio	15.1:1
Largest Difference Peak	0.83 $eÅ^{-3}$
Largest Difference Hole	-0.61 $eÅ^{-3}$

Table II. Atomic coordinates ($x10^4$) and equivalent isotropic displacement coefficients ($Å^2 x10^3$).

	x	y	z	U(eq)
In(1)	-874(1)	11624(1)	1949(1)	40(1)
In(2)	222(1)	16625(1)	1712(1)	45(1)
K(1)	0	9745(2)	2500	83(1)
H(1)	0	11340(51)	2500	79(27)
K(2)	0	14748(2)	2500	80(1)
H(2)	0	16434(46)	2500	69(26)
C(11)	-818(3)	12884(3)	1747(3)	48(2)
C(12)	-1354(3)	13248(3)	1150(3)	53(3)
C(13)	-1320(5)	12929(5)	497(3)	97(4)
C(14)	-2014(3)	13061(5)	1181(4)	86(4)
C(15)	-1302(4)	14145(4)	1156(4)	90(4)
C(21)	-1433(4)	11233(5)	2567(3)	73(3)
C(22)	-1409(3)	11662(3)	3210(3)	52(3)
C(23)	-746(5)	11656(9)	3694(4)	181(8)
C(24)	-1834(6)	11263(6)	3537(5)	135(6)
C(25)	-1620(6)	12475(6)	3071(5)	152(8)
C(31)	-900(3)	10810(4)	1119(3)	54(3)
C(32)	-1465(3)	10240(4)	812(3)	53(2)
C(33)	-1458(3)	9590(4)	1302(4)	77(3)
C(34)	-2094(3)	10677(4)	642(4)	81(3)
C(35)	-1415(5)	9858(5)	174(4)	105(4)
C(41)	442(3)	17901(3)	1829(3)	53(3)
C(42)	882(4)	18253(4)	1501(4)	71(4)
C(43)	655(7)	18047(6)	771(6)	163(8)
C(44)	898(5)	19139(5)	1560(5)	109(5)
C(45)	1544(5)	17963(7)	1822(8)	174(9)
C(51)	-657(3)	16242(5)	910(4)	87(4)
C(52)	-1273(3)	16724(4)	695(3)	60(3)
C(53)	-1457(5)	16934(10)	1264(5)	213(10)
C(54)	-1160(5)	17476(7)	386(6)	168(7)
C(55)	-1814(4)	16315(6)	168(6)	160(6)
C(61)	1047(3)	15802(4)	1962(3)	58(3)
C(62)	1144(3)	15210(4)	1458(3)	61(3)
C(63)	620(4)	14592(4)	1267(4)	83(4)
C(64)	1105(4)	15653(5)	826(4)	98(5)
C(65)	1798(4)	14800(5)	1746(4)	96(4)

* Equivalent isotropic U defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized U_{ij} tensor

Table III. Interatomic Distances (Å) for $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$.

In(1)-K(1)	3.715 (2)	In(1)-C(11)	2.199 (6)
In(1)-C(21)	2.204 (9)	In(1)-C(31)	2.231 (6)
In(1)-H(1)	1.950 (23)	In(2)-K(2)	3.721 (2)
In(2)-C(41)	2.221 (6)	In(2)-C(51)	2.200 (7)
In(2)-C(61)	2.222 (6)	In(2)-H(2)	1.933 (15)
K(1)-H(31A)	2.757	K(1)-H(33B)	2.780
K(1)-H(1)	2.714 (87)	K(1)-In(1A)	3.715 (2)
K(1)-H(31C)	2.757 (6)	K(1)-H(33D)	2.780 (6)
K(1)-H(44D)	3.050 (11)	K(1)-H(44E)	3.050 (11)
K(1)-H(44F)	2.965 (11)	K(1)-H(44G)	2.965 (11)
K(2)-H(15B)	3.007	K(2)-H(15C)	3.024
K(2)-H(61A)	2.761	K(2)-H(63C)	2.751
K(2)-H(2)	2.869 (79)	K(2)-In(2A)	3.721 (2)
K(2)-H(15D)	3.007 (7)	K(2)-H(15E)	3.024 (7)
K(2)-H(61C)	2.761 (7)	K(2)-H(63D)	2.751 (10)
C(11)-C(12)	1.539 (7)	C(12)-C(13)	1.519 (11)
C(12)-C(14)	1.525 (11)	C(12)-C(15)	1.531 (9)
C(21)-C(22)	1.535 (10)	C(22)-C(23)	1.475 (10)
C(22)-C(24)	1.518 (15)	C(22)-C(25)	1.459 (12)
C(31)-C(32)	1.542 (8)	C(32)-C(33)	1.515 (10)
C(32)-C(34)	1.513 (9)	C(32)-C(35)	1.544 (11)
C(41)-C(42)	1.509 (12)	C(42)-C(43)	1.501 (14)
C(42)-C(44)	1.512 (10)	C(42)-C(45)	1.476 (13)
C(51)-C(52)	1.524 (10)	C(52)-C(53)	1.452 (14)
C(52)-C(54)	1.498 (14)	C(52)-C(55)	1.503 (11)
C(61)-C(62)	1.541 (10)	C(62)-C(63)	1.517 (10)
C(62)-C(64)	1.518 (11)	C(62)-C(65)	1.535 (10)
H(1)-In(1A)	1.950 (23)	H(2)-In(2A)	1.933 (15)

Table IV. Interatomic Angles (°) for $K\{H[In(CH_2CMe_3)_3]_2\}$.

K(1)-In(1)-C(11)	147.4(2)	K(1)-In(1)-C(21)	83.9(2)
C(11)-In(1)-C(21)	119.5(3)	K(1)-In(1)-C(31)	65.3(1)
C(11)-In(1)-C(31)	116.0(2)	C(21)-In(1)-C(31)	114.9(3)
K(1)-In(1)-H(1)	45.1(24)	C(11)-In(1)-H(1)	104.3(24)
C(21)-In(1)-H(1)	102.6(9)	C(31)-In(1)-H(1)	93.9(16)
K(2)-In(2)-C(41)	148.0(2)	K(2)-In(2)-C(51)	82.8(2)
C(41)-In(2)-C(51)	118.6(3)	K(2)-In(2)-C(61)	65.0(2)
C(41)-In(2)-C(61)	117.3(2)	C(51)-In(2)-C(61)	115.8(3)
K(2)-In(2)-H(2)	49.5(23)	C(41)-In(2)-H(2)	100.4(22)
C(51)-In(2)-H(2)	101.4(8)	C(61)-In(2)-H(2)	97.1(15)
In(1)-C(11)-C(12)	118.3(4)	C(11)-C(12)-C(13)	110.0(6)
C(11)-C(12)-C(14)	111.1(6)	C(13)-C(12)-C(14)	108.6(6)
C(11)-C(12)-C(15)	111.1(5)	C(13)-C(12)-C(15)	109.7(6)
C(14)-C(12)-C(15)	106.2(6)	In(1)-C(21)-C(22)	122.4(5)
C(21)-C(22)-C(23)	109.7(7)	C(21)-C(22)-C(24)	111.2(6)
C(23)-C(22)-C(24)	107.9(7)	C(21)-C(22)-C(25)	111.2(6)
C(23)-C(22)-C(25)	108.8(8)	C(24)-C(22)-C(25)	108.0(9)
In(1)-C(31)-C(32)	121.3(5)	C(31)-C(32)-C(33)	110.8(4)
C(31)-C(32)-C(34)	110.0(5)	C(33)-C(32)-C(34)	108.2(6)
C(31)-C(32)-C(35)	109.8(6)	C(33)-C(32)-C(35)	108.2(6)
C(34)-C(32)-C(35)	109.9(5)	In(2)-C(41)-C(42)	119.3(5)
C(41)-C(42)-C(43)	110.3(7)	C(41)-C(42)-C(44)	111.0(7)
C(43)-C(42)-C(44)	108.0(7)	C(41)-C(42)-C(45)	110.9(8)
C(43)-C(42)-C(45)	108.9(10)	C(44)-C(42)-C(45)	107.7(7)
In(2)-C(51)-C(52)	122.4(5)	C(51)-C(52)-C(53)	111.5(7)
C(51)-C(52)-C(54)	108.8(7)	C(53)-C(52)-C(54)	107.2(9)
C(51)-C(52)-C(55)	112.2(7)	C(53)-C(52)-C(55)	110.6(8)
C(54)-C(52)-C(55)	106.3(7)	In(2)-C(61)-C(62)	123.4(4)
C(61)-C(62)-C(63)	111.0(7)	C(61)-C(62)-C(64)	108.3(6)
C(63)-C(62)-C(64)	107.7(6)	C(61)-C(62)-C(65)	111.3(5)
C(63)-C(62)-C(65)	108.9(6)	C(64)-C(62)-C(65)	109.6(7)
In(1)-H(1)-K(1)	104.4(24)	In(1)-H(1)-In(1A)	151.3(49)
K(1)-H(1)-In(1A)	104.4(24)	In(2)-H(2)-K(2)	99.7(23)
In(2)-H(2)-In(2A)	160.6(46)	K(2)-H(2)-In(2A)	99.7(23)

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST - GENERAL

Office of Naval Research (2)*
Chemistry Division, Code 1113
800 North Quincy Street
Arlington, Virginia 22217-5000

Dr. James S. Murday (1)
Chemistry Division, Code 6100
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D.C. 20375-5000

Dr. Robert Green, Director (1)
Chemistry Division, Code 385
Naval Weapons Center
China Lake, CA 93555-6001

Dr. Eugene C. Fischer (1)
Code 2840
David Taylor Research Center
Annapolis, MD 21402-5067

Dr. Elek Lindner (1)
Naval Ocean Systems Center
Code 52
San Diego, CA 92152-5000

Commanding Officer (1)
Naval Weapons Support Center
Dr. Bernard E. Douda
Crane, Indiana 47522-5050

Dr. Richard W. Drisko (1)
Naval Civil Engineering
Laboratory
Code L52
Port Hueneme, CA 93043

Dr. Harold H. Singerman (1)
David Taylor Research Center
Code 283
Annapolis, MD 21402-5067

Chief of Naval Research (1)
Special Assistant for Marine
Corps Matters
Code 00MC
800 North Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Defense Technical Information
Center (2)
Building 5, Cameron Station
Alexandria, VA 22314

* Number of copies to forward