

AD-A246 042



NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
Monterey, California

2



DTIC
ELECTE
FEB 13 1992
S B D

THESIS

**ORIENTATION GUIDANCE AND CONTROL FOR
MARINE VEHICLES IN THE HORIZONTAL PLANE**

by

Prouttichai Suwandee

June, 1991

Thesis Advisor:

Fotis A. Papoulias

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

92 2 11 110

92-03487



Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No 0704 0188	
1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified			1b RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release distribution is unlimited		
2b DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE					
4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)			5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		
6a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Naval Postgraduate School		6b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Naval Postgraduate School		
6c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)			7b ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		
8a NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION		8b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		
8c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)			10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS		
			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO	PROJECT NO	TASK NO
					WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) ORIENTATION GUIDANCE AND CONTROL FOR MARINE VEHICLES IN THE HORIZONTAL PLANE					
12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Prouttichai Suwandee					
13a TYPE OF REPORT M.S. Thesis		13b TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____		14 DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) June 1991	
15 PAGE COUNT 49					
16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
17 COSATI CODES			18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Autonomous vehicles, Guidance and control, Stability, Path keeping		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP			
19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) A pure pursuit guidance law and a heading autopilot are coupled in order to provide path control of submersibles or surface ships in the horizontal plane. Proper design of the combined scheme allows for accurate path keeping during straight line motion. The simulation results are extended to cover cases of step changes in the desired path. The scheme provides a viable alternative to cross track error autopilots.					
20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☒ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT ☐ DTIC USERS			21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		
22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Fotis A. Papoulas			22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (408) 6463381		22c OFFICE SYMBOL ME/PA

DD Form 1473, JUN 86

Previous editions are obsolete

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

S/N 0102-LF-014-6603

Unclassified

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Orientation Guidance and Control for Marine
Vehicles in the Horizontal Plane

by

Prouttichai Suwandee
Lieutenant Commander, Royal Thai Navy
B.S., Royal Thai Navy Academy, Thailand


Submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
June 1991

Author:



Prouttichai Suwandee

Approved by:



Fotis A. Papoulas, Thesis Advisor



Anthony J. Healey, Chairman
Department of Mechanical Engineering

ABSTRACT

A pure pursuit guidance law and a heading autopilot are coupled in order to provide path control of submersibles or surface ships in the horizontal plane. Proper design of the combined scheme allows for accurate path keeping during straight line motion. The simulation results are extended to cover cases of step changes in the desired path. The scheme provides a viable alternative to cross track error autopilots.



Accession For		
NTIS GNARI	☒	
DTIC TAB	☐	
Unannounced	☐	
Justification		
By		
Distribution		
Availability Codes		
Dist	Avail and/or	Special
A-1		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	DEVELOPMENT OF MATHEMATICAL MODEL	4
A.	EQUATION OF MOTION	4
B.	STATE SPACE EQUATION	7
C.	PATH KEEPING DEVELOPMENT	8
1.	Heading control	8
2.	Desired characteristic equation	9
3.	Pure pursuit navigation	10
III.	COMPUTER SIMULATION	13
A.	PROGRAMMING	13
1.	Program in MATRIX.X	13
2.	Program in FORTRAN	13
3.	Graphics	14
B.	DETAILS	14
1.	Poles and gain	14
2.	Distance in x-y axis	14
3.	Distance in X-Y axis	14
IV.	SIMULATION RESULTS	18

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	32
LIST OF REFERENCES	34
APPENDIX A.	35
APPENDIX B.	36
INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST	42

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The continuous support and patient guidance from my advisor, professor Fotis A. Papoulias motivated me very much to work on this research area. His unfailing attention and concern contributed significantly to the success of my research.

I. INTRODUCTION

In any operational scenario of an underwater vehicle there exists a triple-nested sequence of mission accomplishment operations: Path planning, navigation, guidance, and autopilot design. The path planner takes information from charted obstacles and friendly or hostile environments and generates a smooth plan for the vehicle to follow. A certain level of feedback exists in this operation through the use of sonar beams in order to replan a path when uncharted objects are encountered or when the mission requirements have changed. Based on the desired vehicle positions and orientations at certain points, several classes of smooth paths containing sets of straight line segments, and circular arcs or cubic splines can be obtained [1]. Once a smooth path is generated, the navigator provides through a selected guidance law the appropriate vehicle heading commands which are in turn delivered by the autopilot. Line of sight guidance using a discrete series of way points was studied by Lienard in [2] using sliding mode heaving, propulsion, and depth keeping autopilots. The scheme demonstrated excellent stability and robustness characteristics, although the actual vehicle response was found to lag significantly the commanded straight line paths. The guidance and autopilot functions can be combined when the lateral deviation off the desired path is directly incorporated into the control law design. This leads to the development of a cross track error autopilot. Such schemes have been studied by Chism [3] and Hawkinson [4] for the single input and multiple input

case, respectively. Cross track error autopilots provide more accurate path keeping response but they must be designed more carefully since they tend to be more dependent than heading controllers on an accurate description of the vehicle hydrodynamic characteristics. The main reason for this is the increase in the system dimensionality by one. Underwater vehicles operate in changing environments over a wide range of operating speeds and, therefore, a certain degree of uncertainty exists in the vehicle dynamic modeling. Cross track error autopilots also require accurate positional information updates at the same rate as heading and heading rate.

For these reasons, in this work we go back to the case of a heading autopilot coupled with an orientation guidance law. The two main tasks on which we will concentrate are as follows: First, we must develop a way of establishing stability of the combined autopilot/guidance scheme for straight line commanded motions. Second, the actual vehicle response characteristics must be made to resemble the desired cross track error response with smooth transitions between consecutive straight line segment and with minimal path overshoot. A linear full state feedback control law is used to adjust the heading of the vehicle to any desired value, and a pure pursuit guidance law is used to provide the commanded heading for straight line motion. In this scheme the vehicle commanded heading equals the line of sight angle between the vehicle center and a target point moving on the desired path at a constant lookahead distance from the vehicle. This parallels the case studied in [5] except that in our case the existence of lateral and rotational dynamics add more complications to the problem. All computations are performed for the Swimmer Delivery Vehicle [6] for which a set of hydrodynamic

characteristics and geometric properties is available. Problem formulation and equations of motion are presented in Section II. The analysis procedure is outlined in Section III, and simulation results are presented in the Section IV. Finally, conclusions and recommendations for further research are given in Section V.

II. DEVELOPMENT OF THE MATHEMATICAL MODEL

A. EQUATIONS OF MOTION

In a moving coordinate frame fixed at the vehicle center, Newton's equations of motion for a rigid body in the horizontal plane are

$$m(\dot{v} + ur + x_G \dot{r}^2 - y_G r^2) = Y, \quad (2.1)$$

$$I_z \dot{r} + mx_G(\dot{v} + ur) - my_G vr = N, \quad (2.2)$$

where

v = sway(lateral) velocity,

r = yaw(angular) velocity,

u = forward(surge) speed,

Y = sway force,

N = yaw moment,

m = vehicle mass,

I_z = vehicle mass moment of inertia,

(x_G, y_G) = coordinates of center of gravity.

Expanding the force Y and moment N in added mass, damping, and drag terms, equations (2.1) and (2.2) are written as

$$\begin{aligned}
m(\dot{v} + ur + x_G \dot{r} - y_G r^2) &= \frac{\rho}{2} l^4 Y_r \dot{r} + \frac{\rho}{2} l^3 (Y_v \dot{v} + Y_r ur) \\
&+ \frac{\rho}{2} l^2 Y_{uv} v - \frac{\rho}{2} \int C_{Dy} h(x) \frac{(v + xr)^3}{|v + xr|} dx + \frac{\rho}{2} l^2 y_\delta u^2 \delta,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_z \dot{r} + m x_G (\dot{v} + ur) - m y_G vr &= \frac{\rho}{2} l^5 N_r \dot{r} + \frac{\rho}{2} l^3 (N_v \dot{v} + N_r ur) \\
&+ \frac{\rho}{2} l^3 N_{uv} v - \frac{\rho}{2} \int C_{Dy} h(x) \frac{(v + xr)^3}{|v + xr|} x dx + \frac{\rho}{2} l^3 y_\delta u^2 \delta,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where

ρ = water density,

l = vehicle length,

δ = rudder angle,

$h(x)$ = vehicle height distribution,

C_{Dy} = drag coefficient.

The inertial position of the vehicle (x, y) and its heading angle ψ (see Figure 1) are given by

$$\dot{\psi} = r, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\dot{x} = u \cos \psi - v \sin \psi, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\dot{y} = u \sin \psi + v \cos \psi. \tag{2.7}$$




Figure 1 Top view of the vehicle

B. STATE SPACE EQUATIONS

Choosing (ψ, v, r) as the state vector, the linearized state space equations (2.3), (2.4), and (2.5) are written as

$$\dot{\psi} = r, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\dot{v} = a_{11}uv + a_{12}ur + b_1u^2\delta, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\dot{r} = a_{21}uv + a_{22}ur + b_2u^2\delta. \quad (2.10)$$

The coefficients in equations (8), (9), and (10) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11} &= \frac{(I_z - 0.5\rho l^5 N_r)(0.5\rho l^2 y_v) - (mX_G - 0.5\rho l^4 y_r)(0.5\rho l^3 N_v)}{(I_z - 0.5\rho l^5 N_r)(m - 0.5\rho l^3 y_v) - (mX_G - 0.5\rho l^4 y_r)(mX_G - 0.5\rho l^4 N_v)} \\ a_{12} &= \frac{(I_z - 0.5\rho l^5 N_r)(-m + 0.5\rho l^3 y_r) - (mX_G - 0.5\rho l^4 y_r)(-mX_G + 0.5\rho l^4 N_r)}{(I_z - 0.5\rho l^5 N_r)(m - 0.5\rho l^3 y_v) - (mX_G - 0.5\rho l^4 y_r)(mX_G - 0.5\rho l^4 N_v)} \\ a_{21} &= \frac{(mX_G - 0.5\rho l^4 y_r)(0.5\rho l^3 N_v) - (mX_G - 0.5\rho l^4 N_v)(-m + 0.5\rho l^2 y_v)}{(I_z - 0.5\rho l^5 N_r)(m - 0.5\rho l^3 y_v) - (mX_G - 0.5\rho l^4 y_r)(mX_G - 0.5\rho l^4 N_v)} \\ a_{22} &= \frac{(mX_G - 0.5\rho l^4 y_r)(-mX_G + 0.5\rho l^4 N_r) - (mX_G - 0.5\rho l^4 N_v)(-m + 0.5\rho l^3 y_r)}{(I_z - 0.5\rho l^5 N_r)(m - 0.5\rho l^3 y_v) - (mX_G - 0.5\rho l^4 y_r)(mX_G - 0.5\rho l^4 N_v)} \\ b_1 &= \frac{(I_z - 0.5\rho l^5 N_r)(0.5\rho l^2 y_\delta) - (mX_G - 0.5\rho l^4 y_r)(0.5\rho l^3 N_\delta)}{(I_z - 0.5\rho l^5 N_r)(m - 0.5\rho l^3 y_v) - (mX_G - 0.5\rho l^4 y_r)(mX_G - 0.5\rho l^4 N_v)} \end{aligned}$$

$$b_2 = \frac{(mX_G - 0.5\rho l^4 y_{\dot{r}})(0.5\rho l^3 N_{\delta}) - (mX_G - 0.5\rho l^4 N_{\dot{v}})(0.5\rho l^2 y_{\delta})}{(I_z - 0.5\rho l^5 N_{\dot{r}})(m - 0.5\rho l^3 y_{\dot{v}}) - (mX_G - 0.5\rho l^4 y_{\dot{r}})(mX_G - 0.5\rho l^4 N_{\dot{v}})}$$

where

$y_{\delta}, y_r, y_v, y_{\dot{r}}, y_{\dot{v}} =$ lateral hydrodynamic coefficients

$N_{\delta}, N_r, N_v, N_{\dot{r}}, N_{\dot{v}} =$ yaw hydrodynamic coefficients

Equations (2.8), (2.9), and (2.10) describe the dynamics of the system with respect to small deviations around a nominal direction $\psi = 0$.

C. PATH KEEPING DEVELOPMENT

1. Heading control

A linear full state feedback control law is of the form

$$\delta = k_1\psi + k_2v + k_3r \quad (2.11)$$

where k_1, k_2 and k_3 are the three gains.

From equation (2.8), (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11), the closed loop system is

$$\dot{\psi} = r \quad (2.12)$$

$$\dot{v} = b_1 u^2 k_1 \psi + (a_{11} u + b_1 u^2 k_2) v + (a_{12} u + b_1 u^2 k_3) r \quad (2.13)$$

$$\dot{r} = b_2 u^2 k_1 \psi + (a_{21} u + b_2 u^2 k_2) v + (a_{22} u + b_2 u^2 k_3) r \quad (2.14)$$

The characteristic equation of (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14) is

$$\begin{vmatrix} 0-\lambda & 0 & 1 \\ b_1 u^2 k_1 & a_{11} u + b_1 u^2 k_2 - \lambda & a_{12} u + b_1 u^2 k_3 \\ b_2 u^2 k_2 & a_{21} u + b_2 u^2 k_2 & a_{22} u + b_2 u^2 k_3 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda[(a_{11} u + b_1 u^2 k_2 - \lambda)(a_{22} u + b_2 u^2 k_3 - \lambda) - (a_{12} u + b_1 u^2 k_3)(a_{21} u + b_2 u^2 k_2)] \\ & - b_1 u^2 k_1 (a_{21} u + b_2 u^2 k_2) + b_2 u^2 k_1 (a_{11} u + b_1 u^2 k_2 - \lambda) \end{aligned} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda[a_{11} a_{22} u^2 + a_{11} b_2 u^3 k_3 - \lambda a_{11} u + b_1 a_{22} u^3 k_2 + b_1 b_2 u^4 k_2 k_3 - \lambda b_1 u^2 k_2 - \lambda a_{22} u \\ & - \lambda b_2 u^2 k_3 + \lambda^2 - a_{12} a_{21} u^2 - a_{12} b_2 u^3 k_2 - a_{21} b_1 u^3 k_3 - b_1 b_2 u^4 k_2 k_3] \\ & - b_1 a_{21} u^3 k_1 - b_1 b_2 u^4 k_1 k_2 + a_{11} b_2 u^3 k_1 + b_1 b_2 u^4 k_1 k_2 - \lambda b_2 u^2 k_1 \end{aligned} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^3 - (a_{11} u + b_1 u^2 k_2 + a_{22} u + b_2 u^2 k_3) \lambda^2 + (a_{11} a_{22} u^2 + a_{11} b_2 u^3 k_3 + b_1 a_{22} u^3 k_2 \\ & - a_{12} a_{21} u^2 - a_{12} b_2 u^3 k_2 - a_{21} b_1 u^3 k_3 - b_2 u^2 k_1) \lambda + b_2 a_{11} u^3 k_1 - b_1 a_{21} u^3 k_1 \end{aligned} = 0 \quad (2.15)$$

2. Desired characteristic equation

The 3rd order ITAE polynomial is defined by

$$\lambda^3 + 1.75 \omega_0 \lambda^2 + 2.15 \omega_0^2 \lambda + \omega_0^3 = 0 \quad (2.16)$$

$$\omega_0 = 10 \frac{ul}{t_c}$$

where

t_c = settling time (dimensionless)

From equations (2.15) and (12.6) we get

$$-a_{11}u - b_1u^2k_2 - a_{22}u - b_2u^2k_3 = 1.75\omega_0 \quad (2.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & a_{11}a_{22}u^2 + a_{11}b_2u^3k_3 + b_1a_{22}u^3k_2 - a_{12}a_{21}u^2 \\ & - a_{12}b_2u^3k_2 - a_{21}b_1u^3k_3 - b_2u^2k_1 = 2.15\omega_0^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

$$b_2a_{11}u^3k_1 - b_1a_{21}u^3k_1 = \omega_0^3 \quad (2.19)$$

The system of equations (2.17), (2.18) and (2.19) can be solved for the three gains

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= \frac{\omega_0^3}{b_2a_{11}u^3 - b_1a_{21}u^3} \\ k_2 &= \frac{u^4(-1.75\omega_0 - a_{11} - a_{22})(a_{11}b_2 - a_{21}b_1) - b_2u^2(2.15\omega_0^2 - a_{11}a_{22}u^2 + a_{12}a_{21}u^2 + b_2u^2k_1)}{b_1u^5(a_{11}b_2 - a_{21}b_1) - b_2u^5(a_{22}b_1 - a_{12}b_2)} \\ k_3 &= \frac{b_2u^2[2.15\omega_0^2 - u^2(a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}) + b_2u^2k_1] - u^3(-1.75\omega_0^2 - a_{11}u - a_{22}u)(a_{22}b_1 - a_{12}b_2)}{b_1u^5(a_{11}b_2 - a_{21}b_1) - b_2u^5(a_{22}b_1 - a_{12}b_2)} \end{aligned}$$

3. Pure pursuit navigation

For a pursuit navigation

$$\psi_c = \sigma \quad (2.20)$$

where

ψ_c = commanded heading

Referring to Figure 1, the line of sight angle σ is defined by

$$\sigma = -\tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x_d} \quad (2.21)$$

where x_d is the vehicle lookahead distance, and the control law (2.11) becomes

$$\delta = k_1(\psi - \psi_c) + k_2 v + k_3 r \quad (2.22)$$

Using equations (2.20), (2.21) and (2.22) we get

$$\delta = k_1(\psi + \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x_d}) + k_2 v + k_3 r \quad (2.23)$$

The linearized equation (2.23) becomes

$$\delta = k_1(\psi + \frac{y}{x_d}) + k_2 v + k_3 r \quad (2.24)$$

The linearized equation for the lateral deviation y is obtained from (2.7) as

$$\dot{y} = u\psi + v \quad (2.25)$$

Now the complete state vector is ψ , v , r and y , and the state space equations are written as

$$\dot{\psi} = r \quad (2.26)$$

$$\dot{v} = b_1 u^2 k_1 \psi + (a_{11} u + b_1 u^2 k_2) v + (a_{12} u + b_1 u^2 k_3) r + b_1 u^2 k_1 \frac{1}{x_d} y \quad (2.27)$$

$$\dot{r} = b_2 u^2 k_1 \psi + (a_{21} u + b_2 u^2 k_2) v + (a_{22} u + b_2 u^2 k_3) r + b_2 u^2 k_1 \frac{1}{x_d} y \quad (2.28)$$

$$\dot{y} = u \psi + v \quad (2.29)$$

and the characteristic equation is

$$\begin{vmatrix} 0-\lambda & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ b_1 u^2 k_1 & a_{11} u + b_1 u^2 k_2 - \lambda & a_{12} u + b_1 u^2 k_3 & b_1 u^2 k_1 \frac{1}{x_d} \\ b_2 u^2 k_2 & a_{21} u + b_2 u^2 k_2 & a_{22} u + b_2 u^2 k_3 - \lambda & b_2 u^2 k_1 \frac{1}{x_d} \\ u & 1 & 0 & 0-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0 \quad (2.30)$$

III. COMPUTER SIMULATION

A. PROGRAMMING

1. Program in MATRIX.X

The MATRIX.X software is in VAX/VMS in the Mechanical Engineering Department. The program in MATRIX.X is written to find gains and poles of the system from the given inputs, forward speed (u), settling time (t_c) and vehicle lookahead distance (x_d). This is used to compute the eigenvalues of the complete system (Equation (2.30)).

The four eigenvalues of the system are computed for the given values of u , t_c and x_d . For stable vehicle response, all four must be negative (or have negative real parts). If at least one is positive, the vehicle response will be unstable and convergence to the straight line path is not to be expected. In such a case, the parameters (in particular the lookahead distance must be changed) so that the vehicle is stable. A listing of this program is presented in Appendix A.

2. Program in FORTRAN

The first program in FORTRAN, is written to find distance along body fixed axis (x - y) from inputs, heading angle (ψ), perpendicular distance from vehicle to route (y), yaw rate (r), forward speed (u), settling time (t_c) and vehicle lookahead distance (x_d). A modified version of this simulation program is presented in Appendix B. The modified version is used to control the vehicle position in a general (X - Y) inertial system. The desired vehicle path is discretized into a series of straight line segments and the same

lookahead distance x_d is used to regulate the vehicle deviation of each segment. Details of this modification are presented in the next paragraph.

3. Graphics

The GRAFSTAT graphic package is used to produce 2 dimensional graphs by using data from the simulation programs.

B. DETAILS

1. Poles and gains

Calculate the system poles and gains for a given forward speed u and various combinations of t_c and x_d . Select t_c and x_d such that appropriate (sufficiently negative) poles and gains for the system are produced. This is verified by repeated simulations from step 2 that follows

2. Distance in x-y axis

Using the values of t_c and x_d from the previous step, the system response can be simulated. Unlike the control law design, the simulation is based on the full nonlinear equations of motion for the vehicle, (3), (4), (5) and (7). Typically, the initial conditions consist of nonzero values of the lateral deviation y and heading ψ .

3. Distance in X-Y axis

A similar procedure is used to simulate the vehicle response in a general path in the X-Y plane, as shown in Figure 2. The perpendicular distance y from the vehicle to the desired route in the X-Y plane is used to compute the commanded heading angle

ψ_c . The difference $\psi - \alpha$, where α is the angle of the route with respect to the X-axis, is used instead of the heading ψ in the control law. The above computations are performed by appropriate coordinate rotations between the two coordinate systems.

When transiting from one straight line path to the next, the same lookahead distance x_d is used for both segments. The vehicle switches to the next segment when it gets within a specified distance from the terminal way point. This distance is measured along the x-axis and for given t_c and x_d , and it should increase as the angle α for the next segment increases. Too high or too low values of this turning distance result in path overshoot and undesirable oscillatory response. The optimum turning distance that allows for the smooth transition between consecutive straight line paths is established with the aid of the simulation program from step 2 as follows.

For a fixed initial heading ψ , the initial deviation y is varied until the vehicle response is smooth and sufficiently fast with no path overshoot. The process is repeated for different initial conditions in ψ and a curve in y versus ψ is constructed. This is shown in Figure 3 and is the desirable turning distance versus turning angle curve. The actual curve is approximated by two straight lines which are used to initiate the turn in the simulation program. A copy of this simulation program is included in Appendix B.




Figure 2 Angles and axes

TURNING DISTANCE VERSUS TURNING ANGLE




Figure 3 Turning distance and turning angle

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

The vehicle parameters used in the simulation are:

x_u	=	-0.0076	y_r	=	0.0012
x_{rr}	=	0.0040	y_v	=	-0.0550
x_{vr}	=	0.0200	y_r	=	0.0300
$x_{r\delta}$	=	-0.0010	y_v	=	-0.1000
x_{vv}	=	0.0530	y_δ	=	0.0270
$x_{v\delta}$	=	0.00173	c_{Dy}	=	0.3500
$x_{\delta\delta}$	=	-0.1000	W	=	12000 <i>lb.</i>
n_r	=	-0.0034	l	=	17.4 <i>ft.</i>
n_v	=	0.0012	ρ	=	1.94 <i>slug/ft³.</i>
n_r	=	-0.0160	g	=	32.2 <i>ft./sec.</i>
n_v	=	-0.0074	I_z	=	10000 <i>ft⁴.</i>
n_δ	=	-0.0130	v	=	0.000847 <i>ft²/sec.</i>

The simulation begins by setting $\psi = 5$ degrees, $x_d = 2$ vehicle lengths, $t_c = 5$, $r = 0$, $v = 0$ ft./sec, $u = 5$ ft./sec. and $y = 1$. When the vehicle moves to a distance $x = 20$ then the simulation stops. The route of vehicle and the rudder angle (δ) that vehicle used during simulation are shown in Figure 4. The heading angle (ψ) and commanded heading (ψ_c) are shown in Figure 5. Yaw velocity and sway velocity are shown in Figure 6.

The values for t_c and x_d were selected based on the results of the previous chapter. From the figures it can be seen that the vehicle response is very fast with limited overshoot. This, of course, depends heavily on the initial conditions of the simulation. The actual heading angle converges rather rapidly, after the initial transients have died out, to the commanded heading angle.

The second series of simulations was performed in order to assess the capability of the control and guidance law to change course and keep the new path. Simulation parameters were $x_d = 2$, $t_c = 5$ and $u = 5$ as before. Initial conditions for the simulations were $\psi = 0$, $r = 0$ and $v = 0$ with the initial vehicle position at $(X_0, Y_0) = (5, 0)$ in the global reference frame. The first straight line segment is determined by the way points $(5, 0)$ and $(25, 0)$ and the second by $(25, 0)$ and $(67.89, 20)$. For this route the corresponding course change is 25 degrees. The results of this simulation are presented in Figure 7 where along with the actual vehicle path, a side path at distance of 1 vehicle length off the desired path is shown. This corresponds to an arbitrary " safety path band " for the vehicle. The turning distance was fixed at 2 vehicle lengths throughout the simulations. From Figure 7 it can be seen that the vehicle turns to the new course smoothly with no path overshoot. When the second route changes to $(25, 0)$ and $(41.78, 20)$ which corresponds to 50 degrees course change, the results of Figure 8 show that a path overshoot occurs although it is yet not serious enough according to the artificial safety criterion described above. However, when the second route changes to $(25, 0)$ and $(30.36, 20)$ which corresponds to 75 degrees course change, significant vehicle oscillatory response and side path overshoot occurs, as demonstrated in Figure 9.

The above simulations demonstrate the need for adjustable turning distance; although path accuracy is obtained regardless of the value of the turning distance, the transient response during course change is not always within some predetermined safety bounds. For this reason we employ the built-in turning distance versus turning angle relationship shown in Figure 3 and repeat the simulations for the aforementioned three course changes. The results are shown in Figures 10, 11 and 12, where it can be seen that the vehicle response is now satisfactory for both course keeping and course changing.

Finally, the last simulation test was performed in order to establish the capabilities of the scheme to follow a general path in the horizontal plane. For demonstration purposes the path was assumed to consist of the way points (0,10), (5,10), (25,0), (35,20), (50,20), (70,10), (50,-10) and (30,-10). Straight line segments were assumed as the desired paths between consecutive way points. The same simulation parameters were used as in the previous runs. The results of this simulation are presented in Figures 13 for adjustable turning distance, and 14 for the fixed turning distance case. It can be clearly seen that when the turning distance is function of turning angle, the scheme achieves excellent path keeping characteristics with smooth course changes and minimal path overshoot.




Figure 4 Pursuit navigation




Figure 5 Pursuit navigation




Figure 6 Pursuit navigation




Figure 7 Path control




Figure 8 Path control




Figure 9 Path control




Figure 10 Path control




Figure 11 Path control




Figure 12 Path control

TURNING DISTANCE FUNCTION OF TURNING ANGLE




Figure 13 Pure pursuit navigation

TURNING DISTANCE CONSTANT




Figure 14 Pure pursuit navigation

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The principal conclusions of this work can be summarized as follows:

1. Orientation control law can be used in order to provide accurate vehicle path keeping when combined with an appropriate guidance scheme.
2. Pure pursuit guidance was found to work very well for the autonomous underwater vehicle case and its simplicity make it a very attractive alternative to cross track error schemes.
3. A built-in turning distance versus course change relationship can be utilized to initiate the turn at the appropriate time in order to avoid path overshoot and achieve smooth path transitions.
4. It is expected that the added robustness that heading schemes naturally enjoy will aid in maintaining stability in cases where incomplete and inaccurate vehicle dynamic descriptions are available.

Some recommendations for further research are as follows:

1. Comparative studies must be performed with other orientation guidance schemes such as proportional navigation and also with velocity guidance laws in order to ensure that the best technique is ultimately employed.

2. Similar studies must be performed in the vertical plane. Combined with the horizontal plane techniques developed in this work and with propulsion control they could be utilized to provide accurate trajectory following in 3-D space.

LIST OF REFERENCES

1. Kanayama, Y. and Hartman, B.I. (1989) " Smooth local path planning for autonomous vehicles, " Proceeding, IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, Scottsdale, Arizona.
2. Lienard, D.L., (1990) " Autopilot design for autonomous underwater vehicles based on sliding mode control, " M.E. Thesis, Mechanical Engineering, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey California.
3. Chism, S., (1990) " Robust path tracking of autonomous underwater vehicles using sliding modes, " M.E. Thesis, Mechanical Engineering, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California.
4. Hawkinson, T., (1990) " Multiple input sliding mode control for autonomous diving and steering of underwater vehicles, " M.E. Thesis, Mechanical Engineering, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California.
5. Tan, C.H., (1986) " A simulation study of an autonomous steering system for on-road operation of automotive vehicles, " M.S. Thesis, Department of Computer Science, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California.
6. Smith, N.S., Crane, J.W., and Summey, D.C., (1978) " SDV simulator hydrodynamic coefficients, " Naval Coastal Systems Center, Panama City, Florida, Report No. NCSC-TM231-78.

APPENDIX A.

```

l=17.425;
inquire xd_l
inquire tc
inquire u
xd=xd_l*l;
aa11=-0.045380;
aa12=-0.351190;
aa21=-0.002795;
aa22=-0.095680;
bb1= 0.011432;
bb2=-0.004273;
OMEGA=(10.0*U)/(TC*L);
AD1=1.75*OMEGA;
AD2=2.15*OMEGA**2;
AD3=OMEGA**3;
A1=BB1*U*U;
B1=BB2*U*U;
C1=-AD1-(AA11+AA22)*U;
A2=(BB1*AA22-BB2*AA12)*U**3;
B2=(BB2*AA11-BB1*AA21)*U**3;
K1=AD3/((BB2*AA11-BB1*AA21)*U**3);
C2=AD2-(AA11*AA22-AA12*AA21)*U**2+BB2*U*U*K1;
K2=(C1*B2-C2*B1)/(A1*B2-A2*B1);
K3=(C2*A1-C1*A2)/(A1*B2-A2*B1);
a11=0;
a12=0;
a13=1;
a14=0;
a21=bb1*u*u*k1;
a22=aa11*u+bb1*u*u*k2;
a23=aa12*u+bb1*u*u*k3;
a24=bb1*u*u*k1/xd;
a31=bb2*u*u*k1;
a32=aa21*u+bb2*u*u*k2;
a33=aa22*u+bb2*u*u*k3;
a34=bb2*u*u*k1/xd;
a41=u;
a42=1;
a43=0;
a44=0;
a=[a11,a12,a13,a14;a21,a22,a23,a24;
   a31,a32,a33,a34;a41,a42,a43,a44];
eig(a)

```

APPENDIX B.

```
C
C
C PROGRAM SUB.FOR
C
C PROUTTICHAJ SUWANDEE
C NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
C MARCH 1991
C
C AUV LINE-OF-SIGHT NAVIGATION AND CONTROL
C VARIABLE GAINS INTERNALLY COMPUTED
C
C REAL L,MASS,NRDOT,NVDOT,NR,NV,NDR
C REAL IZ,NU,LLL,NSL,K1,K2,K3
C DIMENSION X(9),HH(9),VEC1(9),VEC2(9),TT(1000),YY(6,1000),
C *ALPHA(10),XZ(10),YZ(10)
C
C LONGITUDINAL HYDRODYNAMIC COEFFICIENTS
C
C PARAMETER(XRR=4.E-3,XUDOT=-7.6E-3,XVR=2.E-2,XRDR=-1.E-3,
1 XVV=5.3E-2,XVDR=1.7E-3,XDRDR=-1.E-2)
C
C LATERAL HYDRODYNAMIC COEFFICIENTS
C
C PARAMETER(YRDOT=1.2E-3,YVDOT=-5.5E-2,YR=3.E-2,YV=-1.E-1,
1 YDR=2.7E-2,CDY=3.5E-1)
C
C YAW HYDRODYNAMIC COEFFICIENTS
C
C PARAMETER(NRDOT=-3.4E-3,NVDOT=1.2E-3,NR=-1.6E-2,NV=-7.4E-3,
1 NDR=-1.3E-2)
C
C MASS CHARACTERISTICS OF THE FLOODED VEHICLE
C
C PARAMETER(WEIGHT=12000.,XG = 0.,IZ=10000.,L=17.4,RHO=1.94,
1 G=32.2,NU=8.47E-4)
C
C OPEN (10,FILE='SUB.IN',STATUS='OLD')
C OPEN (11,FILE='SUB.OUT',STATUS='OLD')
C
C READ (10,*) TARGET
C READ (10,*) TSIM,DELTA,IPRNT
C READ (10,*) PSI,R
C READ (10,*) U
C READ (10,*) TC,VC
C
C NUMBER OF POSITION OF ROUTE AND POSITION OF VEHICLE
C
C READ (10,*) N,XO,YO
```

```

C
C
C      POSITION OF ROUTE IN X-Y AXIS
C
C      DO 30 I=1,N
C      READ (10,*) XZ(I),YZ(I)
30    CONTINUE
C      TARGET=TARGET*L
C      TWOPI =8.0*ATAN(1.0)
C      PI    =0.5*TWOPI
C      DO 999 M=1,N-1
C      PSI1=0
C      YTURN=0
C      XYTURN=0
C      PSI=PSI*PI/180.0
C
C
C      MOVE ORIGINE OF AXIS TO THE FIRST POINT OF THE ROUTE
C
C      XF=XZ(M+1)-XZ(M)
C      IF (XF.EQ.0) XF=0.0000001
C      YF=YZ(M+1)-YZ(M)
C      XO=XO-XZ(M)
C      IF (XO.EQ.0) XO=0.0000001
C      YO=YO-YZ(M)
C
C
C      ANGLE OF POSITION OF VEHICLE
C
C      ALPHA0=ATAN(ABS(YO/XO))
C      IF ((YO.GT.0).AND.(XO.LT.0)) ALPHA0=PI-ALPHA0
C      IF ((YO.LE.0).AND.(XO.GT.0)) ALPHA0=2*PI-ALPHA0
C      IF ((YO.LE.0).AND.(XO.LT.0)) ALPHA0=PI+ALPHA0
C
C
C      ANGLE OF ROUTE
C
C      ALPHA1=ATAN(ABS(YF/XF))
C      IF ((YF.GT.0).AND.(XF.LT.0)) ALPHA1=PI-ALPHA1
C      IF ((YF.LE.0).AND.(XF.GT.0)) ALPHA1=2*PI-ALPHA1
C      IF ((YF.LE.0).AND.(XF.LT.0)) ALPHA1=PI+ALPHA1
C
C
C      ANGLE BETWEEN VEHICLE AND ROUTE
C
C      BETA=(ALPHA0-ALPHA1)
C
C
C      DISTANCE FROM ORIGINE TO VEHICLE
C
C      RT=(XO**2+YO**2)**0.5
C
C
C      PROJECTED DISTANCE FROM ORIGINE TO VEHICLE ON THE ROUTE
C
C      XS=RT*COS(BETA)
C      XC=(XS)*COS(ALPHA1)
C      YC=(XS)*SIN(ALPHA1)

```

```

C
C   PERPENDICULAR DISTANCE OF VEHICLE TO X-AXIS
C
C   YPOS=RT*SIN(BETA)
C
C   TOTAL DISTANCE ON THE ROUTE
C
C   TXD=(XF**2+YF**2)**0.5
C   XD=ABS(TXD-XS)
C
C   HEADING ANGLE
C
C   IF(M.EQ.1) PSI=PSI-ALPHA1
C   IF (PSI.GT.PI) PSI=PSI-2*PI
C   IF (PSI.LT.-PI) PSI=PSI+2*PI
C   IF (M.EQ.N-1) GO TO 65
C
C   NEXT HEADING ANGLE
C
C   DUMY=YZ(M+2)-YZ(M+1)
C   DUMX=XZ(M+2)-XZ(M+1)
C   IF (DUMX.EQ.0) DUMX=0.0000001
C   ALP2=ATAN(ABS(DUMY/DUMX))
C   IF ((DUMY.GT.0).AND.(DUMX.LT.0)) ALP2=PI-ALP2
C   IF ((DUMY.LE.0).AND.(DUMX.GT.0)) ALP2=?*PI-ALP2
C   IF ((DUMY.LE.0).AND.(DUMX.LT.0)) ALP2=PI+ALP2
C   PSI1=ALPHA1-ALP2
C   IF (PSI1.GT.PI) PSI1=PSI1-2*PI
C   IF (PSI1.LT.-PI) PSI1=PSI1+2*PI
C   PSI1=PSI1*180/PI
C
C   TURNING DISTANCE
C
C   IF(ABS(PSI1).LE.45) YTURN=ABS(PSI1/25)
C   IF(ABS(PSI1).GT.45) YTURN=(ABS(PSI1)-45)*0.3/5+1.8
C   XYTURN=YTURN/ABS(SIN(PSI1*PI/180))-0.2
C   IF(PSI1.EQ.0) XYTURN=0.0
C
C 65   UC   =U
C       OMEGA=(10.0*U)/(TC*L)
C       AD1  =1.75*OMEGA
C       AD2  =2.15*OMEGA**2
C       AD3  =OMEGA**3
C
C       PISIM =TSIM/DELTA
C       ISIM  =PISIM
C       ECHO  =1.0/DELTA
C       IECHO =IPRNT*20
C       YAW   =0.0
C       SWAY  =0.0
C       V     =0.0
C       DR    =0.0
C       R     =R*PI/180.0

```

```

ISTART=1
XPOS  =0.0
YPOS  =YPOS*L

```

```

C
C
C  DEFINE THE LENGTH X AND HEIGHT HH TERMS FOR THE INTEGRATION

```

```

X(1)  = -105.9/12.
X(2)  = -99.3/12.
X(3)  = -87.3/12.
X(4)  = -66.3/12.
X(5)  =  72.7/12.
X(6)  =  83.2/12.
X(7)  =  91.2/12.
X(8)  =  99.2/12.
X(9)  = 103.2/12.

```

```

C
HH(1) =  0.00/12.
HH(2) =  8.24/12.
HH(3) = 19.76/12.
HH(4) = 29.36/12.
HH(5) = 31.85/12.
HH(6) = 27.84/12.
HH(7) = 21.44/12.
HH(8) = 12.00/12.
HH(9) =  0.00/12.

```

```

C
MASS = WEIGHT/G

```

```

C
P1=MASS-0.5*RHO*L**3*XUDOT
P3=MASS-0.5*RHO*L**3*YVDOT
P4=MASS*XG-0.5*RHO*L**4*YRDOT
P5=IZ-0.5*RHO*L**5*NRDOT
P6=MASS*XG-0.5*RHO*L**4*NVDOT
D  =P5*P3-P4*P6

```

```

C
AA11=(P5*0.5*RHO*L*L*YV-P4*0.5*RHO*L**3*NVDOT)/D
AA12=(P5*(-MASS+0.5*RHO*L**3*YR)-P4*(-MASS*XG
1  +0.5*RHO*L**4*NR))/D
AA21=(P3*0.5*RHO*L**3*NVDOT-P6*0.5*RHO*L*L*YV)/D
AA22=(P3*(-MASS*XG+0.5*RHO*L**4*NR)-P6*(-MASS
1  +0.5*RHO*L**3*YR))/D
BB1=(P5*0.5*RHO*L**2*YDR-P4*0.5*RHO*L**3*NDR)/D
BB2=(P3*0.5*RHO*L**3*NDR-P6*0.5*RHO*L**2*YDR)/D

```

```

C
A1 =BB1*U*U
B1 =BB2*U*U
C1 =-AD1-(AA11+AA22)*U
A2 =(-AA12*BB2+AA22*BB1)*U**3
B2 =(-AA21*BB1+AA11*BB2)*U**3
K1=AD3/((BB2*AA11-BB1*AA21)*U**3)
C2 =AD2-(-AA12*AA21+AA11*AA22)*U**2+BB2*U*U*K1
K2=(C1*B2-C2*B1)/(A1*B2-A2*B1)
K3=(C2*A1-C1*A2)/(A1*B2-A2*B1)

```

```

J =0
IJ=0
JE=0
OFF=0

C
DRHAT=0.0
DRBAR=0.0

C
C
SIMULATION BEGINS
C
DO 100 I=1,ISIM
C
C
CALCULATE THE DRAG FORCE, INTEGRATE THE DRAG OVER THE VEHICLE
C
DO 600 K=1,9
    UCF=V+X(K)*R
    SGN=1.0
    IF (UCF.LT.0.0) SGN=-1.0
    VEC1(K)=HH(K)*UCF*UCF*SGN
    VEC2(K)=HH(K)*UCF*UCF*SGN*X(K)
600 CONTINUE
    CALL TRAP(9,VEC1,X,SWAY)
    CALL TRAP(9,VEC2,X,YAW)
    SWAY=-0.5*RHO*CDY*SWAY
    YAW =-0.5*RHO*CDY*YAW

C
C
FORCE EQUATIONS
C
FP2 = -MASS*U*R+0.5*RHO*L**3*YR*U*R+0.5*RHO*L*L*(
1     YV*U*V+YDR*U*U*DR)+SWAY
C
FP3 = -MASS*XG*U*R+0.5*RHO*L**4*NR*U*R+0.5*RHO*L**3*
1     (NV*U*V+NDR*U*U*DR)+YAW
C
VDOT =(P5*FP2-P4*FP3)/(P5*P3-P4*P6)
RDOT =(P6*FP2-P3*FP3)/(P4*P6-P3*P5)
PSIDOT=R
YDOT =U*SIN(PSI)+V*COS(PSI)+VC
XDOT =U*COS(PSI)-V*SIN(PSI)

C
C
FIRST ORDER INTEGRATION
C
PSI =PSI +DELTA*PSIDOT
V   =V   +DELTA*VDOT
R   =R   +DELTA*RDOT
XPOS=XPOS+DELTA*XDOT
YPOS=YPOS+DELTA*YDOT

C
YCTE=YPOS
XAWAY=(XPOS-XD*L)

C
IF ((XAWAY).GE.-(XYTURN*L)) OFF=1

```

```

C
C      RUDDER INPUT CALCULATION
C
      YA=ABS(YPOS)
      HDM=ATAN((YPOS)/(-TARGET))
      DR=K1*(PSI-HDM)+K2*V+K3*R
C
      IF (DR.GT. 0.4) DR= 0.4
      IF (DR.LE.-0.4) DR=-0.4
C
C      PRINT RESULTS
C
      JE=JE+1
      IF (JE.NE.IECHO) GO TO 99
      WRITE (*,*) ' XAWAY =', XAWAY/L
      JE=0
99      J=J+1
      IF (J.NE.IPRNT) GO TO 100
      IJ=IJ+1
      TIME=I*DELTA
      XP=XPOS/L
      YP=YPOS/L
      XI=XZ(M)+XC+XP*COS(-ALPHA1)+YP*SIN(-ALPHA1)
      YI=YZ(M)+YC+YP*COS(-ALPHA1)-XP*SIN(-ALPHA1)
      WRITE (11,*) XI,YI
      J=0
      IF (OFF.EQ.1) GO TO 500
100     CONTINUE
500     PSI=PSI1
      XO=XI
      YO=YI
999     CONTINUE
      STOP
      END
C
      SUBROUTINE TRAP(N,A,B,OUT)
C
C      NUMERICAL INTEGRATION ROUTINE USING THE TRAPEZOIDAL RULE
C
      DIMENSION A(1),B(1)
      N1=N-1
      OUT=0.0
      DO 1 I=1,N1
          OUT1=0.5*(A(I)+A(I+1))*(B(I+1)-B(I))
          OUT =OUT+OUT1
1      CONTINUE
      RETURN
      END

```

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

- | | | |
|----|--|---|
| 1. | Defense Technical Information Center
Cameron Station
Alexandria, Virginia 22304-6145 | 2 |
| 2. | Library, Code 52
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93943-5002 | 2 |
| 3. | Superintendent, Code 34
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93943 | 1 |
| 4. | Prof. F.A. Papoulias, Code ME/PA
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93943 | 3 |
| 5. | Chairman, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93943 | 1 |
| 6. | LCDR. Prouttichai Suwandee
301/814, Sukhapiban 3 Rd
Bangkapi, Bangkok 10240
Thailand | 2 |
| 7. | LCDR. Damp Pinchaliaw
67/63, Ladprao 113
Bangkapi, Bangkok 10240
Thailand | 1 |