

SDSU

2

AD-A246 779



**Computer-Based Assessment of Schema Knowledge
in a Flexible Problem-Solving Environment**

Sandra P. Marshall

December 1991



Center for Research in Mathematics and Science Education

**College of Sciences
San Diego State University
San Diego, CA 92182-0413**

This research is supported by the Office of
Naval Research, Cognitive Sciences Program,
Contract No. N00014-90-J-1143.

Reproductions in whole or part is permitted for
any purpose of the United States Government.

Approved for public release; distribution
unlimited.

92 2 27 050

92-05116



REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE January 1992	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Technical Report 6/1/90 - 3/1/91	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Computer-Based Assessment of Schema Knowledge in a Flexible Problem-Solving environment			5. FUNDING NUMBERS N00014-90-J-1143 G 442c010-6	
6. AUTHOR(S) Sandra P. Marshall				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Department of Psychology/CRMSE San Diego State University San Diego, CA 92182			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER CRMSE Report No. 91-01	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Office of Naval Research Cognitive Sciences Program (Code 1142CS) 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000			10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES An earlier version of this report was presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Chicago, April 1991.				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) This report describes assessment of students' schema knowledge for arithmetic word problems. The assessment takes place on-line as students interact with the computer program. The instruction that precedes the assessment, the environment in which students exhibit their knowledge, and the assessment of that knowledge all derive from one core theory of learning and memory, schema theory. This report outlines the schema theory briefly and describes the assessment environment, the student responses upon which the assessment is based, and the strategies of students observed through such assessment.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS schema theory, problem solving, assessment			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 24	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	

Computer-Based Assessment of Schema Knowledge in a Flexible Problem-Solving Environment

This report describes assessment of students' schema knowledge for arithmetic word problems. The assessment takes place on-line, as students interact with the computer program. The instruction that precedes the assessment, the environment in which students exhibit their knowledge, and the assessment of that knowledge all derive from one core theory of learning and memory: schema theory. My objective here is to describe a tightly woven system of instruction, presentation, and assessment. All three parts of the system rely on computer technology, but it is particularly useful in assessment. Because all of the components of the system depend critically on schema theory, I shall begin with a brief description of the theory and how it guides them.

SCHEMA THEORY

Schema theory describes how information is stored in human memory. Broadly speaking, a schema is a collection of related pieces of information, stored in such a way that when one of the pieces is accessed, the others are also readily available for processing. Schemas are important because they offer a way to organize seemingly disparate knowledge. Thus facts, experiences, general premises, inferences, procedures, and skills may all be linked together as a schema. A number of psychologists and cognitive scientists have studied the schema, and there is general consensus on certain properties or characteristics (see, for example, Van Lehn, 1990; Kintsch & Greeno, 1985; Anderson, 1984; Rumelhart, 1980; Baddeley, 1990; Skemp, 1987; and Marshall, in press). Some of these characteristics are:

- *Schema knowledge is tightly connected, forming a network of relationships.*
- *Schemas represent knowledge of the world, not just a set of abstract rules.*
- *Schemas are internally consistent and allow inferences.*
- *A collection of schemas forms the basis of an individual's knowledge for solving problems in a given domain.*
- *Schemas function as integrative tools or building blocks.*
- *A schema is the scaffolding into which new information is assimilated.*
- *Schema organization of knowledge facilitates memory search.*

Four major types of knowledge play important roles in a schema: feature knowledge, constraint knowledge, planning knowledge, and implementation knowledge.¹ Feature knowledge contains specific details about the situations or instances governed by the schema. Located here are examples, general descriptors, and appropriate analogies. Constraint knowledge serves to test the current situation to which the schema is to be applied against the general features known to be part of the schema. Thus, it houses information about whether the current instance meets the necessary conditions of the schema. Planning knowledge guides the use of the schema. Various actions may need to be taken; subgoals may need to be attained. Planning knowledge identifies and orders these actions or subgoals. Finally, implementation knowledge contains the rules, procedures, and/or skills needed to execute the actions. Thus, this knowledge is needed to carry out the plan.

Instruction and Schema Theory

Schemas provide a useful way of looking at a subject area because they can serve as the basis for organizing much of the information pertinent to the area. Ideally, any domain would have a relatively small number of schemas that could be used to guide activity related to the domain. For instance, in the domain of arithmetic word problems, five schemas appear to be sufficient (Marshall, 1990; in press).

A number of different rationales may underlie instruction. The heart of the matter is clarification of what the instructor wants the learner to learn. On the one hand, the purpose may be for the student to learn a great many facts. Or, the goal may be the acquisition of the steps required of a particular algorithm. Neither of these objectives necessarily calls for schema-based instruction. However, when the aim is for the student to develop a body of knowledge organized in such a way that it can be used to solve real problems, then schema-based instruction is warranted.

Schema-based instruction is at least a two-tiered enterprise, focusing on different types of knowledge within one schema as well as the interrelations among several schemas. On the one hand, the learner needs to acquire the components of each schema as outlined above. On the other, the learner also needs to move among schemas, using them appropriately, and when necessary, in combination. The instruction should be designed explicitly to facilitate both needs. Sometimes this

¹ For more elaboration of these knowledge types, the reader is referred to Marshall, forthcoming) or to a technical report available from the author (Marshall, Barthuli, Brewer, & Rose, 1989).

necessitates breaking down the subject matter into suitable chunks. For example, again in the domain of arithmetic word problems, I have developed an instructional system that focuses directly on the knowledge components described above. In the early stages of instruction, each part of the instruction and its accompanying exercise targets one of the four knowledge components. The middle stages emphasize how these come together for one problem by focusing on the situation expressed in the problem. The final stages stress the relationships among different situations (and hence different schemas) found in complex multi-step problems.²

The Problem-Solving Environment and Schema Theory

One desires a practice or testing environment in which the learner can use his or her schema knowledge as it develops. In order for each component to develop fully, the environment should supply a way in which each part of schema knowledge can be used independently as well as in conjunction with the others. In the word problem case, it is not sufficient to present learners with problems and ask only for a numerical solution, because they may not have the schema components sufficiently developed to access them independently. Typical students need at least a small amount of prompting to use their knowledge. Figures 1-4 illustrate the computer environment I and my research assistants have developed to study this activity. It is a flexible system in which the learner can work methodically with each part of his or her schema knowledge for one situation and/or can move globally among different situations and their associated schemas.

An example may clarify how this works. First, the student receives instruction about the different situations, learns to recognize a set of icons associated with them, and practices manipulating the icons. The icons are a central part of the instruction. Previous studies have indicated their importance in schema development (Marshall, 1990; Marshall & Brewer, 1990). When the student has developed the facility for identifying the situations and for understanding how aspects of a given situation map onto specific parts of the appropriate icon, he or she is introduced to the computer environment shown in Figures 1-4.

A problem-solving session starts with the display of a complex word problem requiring at least two steps for solution. Figure 1 illustrates the computer screen as it appears to the student. Five icons are displayed immediately above the problem, in the upper left corner. To begin to solve the problem, the student selects one of the icons as representative of a situation in the problem. When selected, the

² Details of the system are available in Marshall et al., (1989).

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist.	Avail and/or
A-1	Special

Figure 1: The Initial Screen

Select an icon from the group below. Move the cursor to the icon. Click the left mouse button once. A dotted-line rectangle will appear. Move the mouse cursor into the lower lefthand window. Click the mouse button again and the icon will appear on the screen.		
Julie had a budget of \$1200 to furnish her new apartment. She found a five-piece living room set on sale for \$625. She also found a queen-size bed for \$350 and a dresser for \$195. How much money, if any, will Julie have left to buy miscellaneous odds and ends for her apartment?		
Student Work Area Final Answer =		Mapping Area
		Correct Plan

Figure 2: Screen After Icon Selection

Julie had a budget of \$1200 to furnish her new apartment. She found a five-piece living room set on sale for \$625. She also found a queen-size bed for \$350 and a dresser for \$195. How much money, if any, will Julie have left to buy miscellaneous odds and ends for her apartment?

Move
Erase
Link
UnLink
Another
ShowMe
Map
Done
Quit

Mapping Area






Student Work Area

Final Answer: a


Correct Plan

Figure 3: The Mapping Option

Identify the parts of the problem below that belong in the diagram. Move the arrow over each part. Click and release the mouse button. Now drag the dotted rectangle to the correct position in the diagram and click the mouse button again. If you misplace a part you can remove it by following the same procedure and dragging the incorrect part outside of the diagram. To use the calculator move the arrow over CALCULATE and click the mouse button. When done, move the arrow over DONE and click the mouse button.

Julie had a budget of \$1200 to furnish her new apartment. She found a five-piece living room set on sale for \$625. She also found a queen-size bed for \$350 and a dresser for \$195. How much money, if any, will Julie have left to buy miscellaneous odds and ends for her apartment?

Student Work Area
Final Answer =

\$350

\$625

\$195

DONE
CALCULATE
Mapping Area

Correct Plan

Figure 4: Illustration of Calculator Option and Link

Identify the parts of the problem below that belong in the diagram. Move the arrow over each part. Click and release the mouse button. Now drag the dotted rectangle to the correct position in the diagram and click the mouse button again. If you misplace a part you can remove it by following the same procedure and dragging the incorrect part outside of the diagram. To use the calculator move the arrow over CALCULATE and click the mouse button. When done, move the arrow over DONE and click the mouse button.

Julie had a budget of \$1200 to furnish her new apartment. She found a five-piece living room set on sale for \$625. She also found a queen-size bed for \$350 and a dresser for \$195. How much money, if any, will Julie have left to buy miscellaneous odds and ends for her apartment?

Student Work Area

Final Answer =

Calculator				1200 - 1170 = 30			
1	2	3	÷				
4	5	6	×				
7	8	9	+				
0	CLEAR	.	-				
=				DONE			

icon becomes highlighted, and the student can move it into the *Student Work Area* in the lower left part of the screen. At this point, a pop-up menu appears near the center of the screen (see Figure 2). The student will use this menu to make most of his or her choices during the session. Each choice may be used to characterize the student's skill or ineptitude and will serve as a basis for the assessment described later. For the moment, I will simply describe the choices open to the student.

In solving the problem, the student makes several decisions. Among his options are to select other icons, to examine the already-selected icon more closely, or to ask for help. Thus, if the student so wishes, he may select other icons that he considers pertinent to the problem. Any number may be selected. The system does not force the student to make particular choices, nor does it correct incorrect selections. A second alternative is to examine the chosen icon more closely. Under this option, the selected icon is highlighted in the workspace and an enlarged version of it (called a diagram here) appears in the *Mapping Area* in the upper right quadrant of the screen (see Figure 3). Again, the student has several choices. He can "map" the problem into the diagram (as partially shown in Figures 3-4). To do this, he goes to the problem display on the left side of the screen and uses the mouse to move different phrases, numbers, or expressions into the parts of the enlarged diagram. (In earlier instruction, the student has developed expertise in making this mapping.)

At this point the student may elect to carry out a calculation. By selecting the calculation option in the middle of the right-hand side of the screen (as shown in Figure 3), the student gains access to a calculator which appears in the lower portion (see Figure 4). Calculations may be made with or without mappings. The results of calculations are movable and may themselves be placed into the diagram. If the learner believes the correct solution has now been obtained, he may enter his solution in the *Final Answer* box at the bottom of the screen. Or, he may return to the work area and examine other icons. When the diagram is closed, all mapped elements are remembered by the system, so that if the learner selects the corresponding icon again, the diagram automatically displays the pieces of the problem and the calculations that were previously entered. At all times, the system reminds the student which parts of the icons have been mapped by displaying them as shaded areas (see the lower icon displayed in Figure 4).

Upon return to the *Student Work Area*, the learner will now need to select another icon if only one has been previously chosen. At this point, he can build an explicit link between the two icons, as shown in Figure 4. This linkage indicates that the contents of a specific part of one diagram are also the contents of a specific part of a second diagram. Values are automatically transferred and will appear in the diagram for the second icon.

The learner thus moves back and forth in the environment, looking at the various situations represented by the icons, and developing a plan for solving the problem. A fully developed plan would have a set of completely filled icons with correct linkages. Computations would have been made at different points of the plan, with a final computation yielding the answer. When the correct answer is entered in the *Final Answer* box, the system informs the student that his response is correct.

This environment is an ideal arena for assessing schema knowledge. Selection of the appropriate icon demonstrates constraint knowledge. The learner recognizes which of the icons are appropriate for the situations expressed in the problem. Mapping the parts of the problem into the diagram uses feature recognition knowledge. Each diagram corresponds to a particular situation and has different features. For example, there are three characteristics of a change situation: the quantity available before the change occurs, the amount by which it changes, and the resulting quantity after the change. Each of these is represented by a separate part of the change diagram. Planning knowledge shows up in the linkages among the icons as well as in the order in which the icons are accessed. And, finally, implementation knowledge is evident through the learner's computations and designation of the final answer.

Assessment and Schema Theory

Schema-based assessment has the same focus as the instruction: the set of desired schemas and their individual knowledge components. The goal is to examine the extent to which learners have developed and can use the schemas which guided the instruction.

Schema assessment presents some difficulties that do not occur in other types of assessment. A central one is that schemas are highly individualized. Schemas are created and tailored by individuals to reflect their own experiences and understandings of the world. No two individuals will form identical schemas because no two individuals can experience the world identically, but both of them may have well-formed and useful schemas. This contrasts sharply with the knowledge of a fact or a computational procedure which all learners may be expected to know in the same way. While it is possible to assess knowledge of a fact with a single question that elicits the identical response from all individuals, it is not reasonable to apply the same technique in assessing schema knowledge.

Nevertheless, through schema-based instruction, individuals are provided with common experiences that facilitate the development of targeted schemas. Some of the elements of any schema will undoubtedly be unique to each learner,

because each one will bring his or her own prior experiences, but other pieces of the schema should come from the common ground of instruction.

The impact of this individualization is that the focus should not be too narrow in schema assessment. Whereas an individual may not have a specific piece of information stored in memory as part of the schema, he may nonetheless have a great deal of interrelated schema knowledge. Thus, satisfactory assessment will have a broader scope and will look at patterns of elements rather than single elements of knowledge. The exception is the case in which a specific piece of information is an essential ingredient of a particular schema. One might want to evaluate first that the individual does indeed know this piece of information and second that he associates it with the correct schema and in correct relation to other requisite schema knowledge.

A second unique characteristic of schema assessment is that it is multi-layered. One can assess components within a schema, assess the relationships among components of a single schema, or assess the interactions among a set of schemas. All three layers are considered in a full assessment.

On-Line Assessment

To date, most assessments of schema knowledge have been through interviews or thinking-aloud protocols (e.g., Chi, Glaser, Feltovich, 1981; Marshall, 1990). One reason that these procedures have been widely utilized is that schema assessment requires a great deal of flexibility. Rarely can a single question tap the richness of schema knowledge possessed by an individual. Usually one begins with a standardized question and then allows a variety of different follow-up questions to probe each individual's schema knowledge.

The system described in this paper is one alternative to assessment by interview for the domain of arithmetic word problems. It was created in part to provide the means of evaluating students' schemas and in part to give students a tolerant environment in which to practice their schema understanding. The environment was described above. This section outlines its capabilities for assessment.

Perhaps surprisingly, a simple history of the student's interaction with the system offers extremely valuable information that can be used to assess schema knowledge. The system records all students requests, responses, and mouse clicks. Its record-keeping does not require a great deal of translation and is immediately readable. By recording the student's every action, the system follows the student's path through the environment. The history of that path provides a great deal of information about how the student perceived the problem, about any

misunderstandings the student had about the problem and whether these were self-corrected, and about how the student went about the business of finding a solution.

Example of Student Responses

To illustrate how the word-problem environment may be used for assessment, Table 1 contains the computer record for one student solving one problem. The system output is unedited except for the insertion of blank lines to separate segments for the accompanying explanations in the table.

As shown in Table 1, the record of student activity consists of a list of events. Each event corresponds to some action taken by the student. The record is a history of all events in the order in which they occurred. The primary events that are contained in a student record are *IconSelected*, *Map*, *LiftWord*, *CalculatorSolve*, *Link*, and *ShowMe*. Brief descriptions of each one follow.

IconSelected. This event indicates that the student selected one of the five icons and placed it in the *Student Work Area*. The name of the corresponding situation and its position in the window are recorded as well as its rank order in the set of selected icons.

Map. Whenever the student requests that an icon be enlarged, this event is recorded, together with the name of the diagram and its ranking.

LiftWord. This event is recorded whenever the student selects a part of the problem or a result of a calculation for placement in a diagram or in the final answer slot. The record contains the activity, the words or numbers that have been lifted, and the destination in which they are placed. Only one map is available at any time, so there is no confusion about which diagram is designated. The final integer values in the event indicate the part of the diagram used. Each component of the diagram has its own identification number.

CalculatorSolve. This event indicates that the student requested a calculation. The expression to be calculated and its result are recorded.

Link. The link event has four parts: the rank order of the icon from which the link emanates, the numbered part of that icon, the rank order of the icon in which the link terminates, and the numbered part of it.

Table 1
Example of System Assessment Record*

SYSTEM OUTPUT	EXPLANATION OF OUTPUT
(Problem M16)	<i>System randomly selects problem 16 for presentation.</i>
(IconSelected CHsmall 1 (78 . 285)) (IconSelected GR3small 2 (252 . 248))	<i>S selects the Change icon first (1) -- which is the overall situation of the problem. (The numbers in parentheses at the end of the statement indicate the location on the screen at which S positioned the icon). S then selects the Group icon (2) -- which is an embedded subproblem.</i>
Map GR3small 2) (LiftWord \$1200 NIL) (LiftWord \$625 4) (LiftWord \$350 3) (LiftWord \$195 2) (CalculatorCalled) (CalculatorSolve (625+350+195) 1170) (LiftWord (Calculation 1170) 1)	<i>S elects to map the parts of the Group icon. (At this point the enlarged diagram would appear on the upper right portion of the screen.) S first erroneously picks up the number \$1200 and then releases it -- presumably realizing that it was not needed. S then maps as subgroups the values \$625, \$350, and \$195. S calculates their sum and places it in the supergroup position of the diagram. S closes the enlarged diagram and returns to the Student Work Area.</i>

Table 1: continued

SYSTEM OUTPUT

EXPLANATION OF OUTPUT

(Link 2 1 1 2)

S realizes that the supergroup value calculated for the Group diagram is also a value needed in the Change diagram. S uses the link command to connect the "supergroup box" of the Group icon and the "amount of change box" of the Change icon.

(Map CHsmall 1)
(LiftWord \$1200 1)
(CalculatorCalled)
(CalculatorClear (1170 - 12))
(CalculatorSolve (12) 12)
(CalculatorClear NIL)
(CalculatorSolve (1200 - 1170) 30)
(LiftWord (Calculation 30) 3)
(LiftWord (Calculation 30) NIL)
(LiftWord (Calculation 30)
 AnswerWindow)

S then maps the Change icon, placing the previously rejected value of \$1200 into the initial position of the enlarged diagram. The value \$1170 already appears in the map because of the explicit link made by S. S does several calculations at this point, mostly incorrect. S then correctly calculates the value \$30 and places it first in the diagram and then in the Answer Box.

(RightAnswer)

System records that S answered the problem correctly.

*This is an actual output from one of the students in the study. The output appears here exactly as it is recorded by the system. Blank lines are inserted to illustrate the different segments of the problem solving.

ShowMe. Whenever a student asks for the full display of the solution, this event is recorded. A student may request the display more than once. Every time it is requested, the event is recorded.

Several other events also may be included in the record. These are found less frequently and are not described here.

Some Results: Problem-Solving Strategies

Students using the system have displayed a number of different strategies, each suggesting a different schema development.³ The most frequent ones are described below.

Before doing anything else, a student may select all icons that will be needed. When this is the initial behavior, we know that the student is using his schema knowledge to construct a plan. A set of subproblems is identified, and one infers that the student has mentally linked them together in some way. There is useful information in the order with which the student selects these icons. The student may begin by selecting the first situation that arises in the problem, moving sequentially through all necessary ones, and culminating with the selection of the overall situation that governs the problem. Such behavior represents a rather groping approach and may be contrasted with the selection first of the overall situation followed by the necessary subproblem situations, an approach that indicates awareness of the general structure of the problem. These two selection strategies show differences that are roughly analogous to those of bottom-up or top-down processing. Generally, from the small number of subjects we have observed, we find that students who engage in the top-down approach (i.e., select the icon for the overall situation first) have a better understanding of the problem and appear to have more coherent schemas.

Students who were well on their way to having coherent schemas and using them as a related set tended to begin their problem solving sessions in similar ways. They made an initial selection of one icon and attempted to map it. If the mapping was unsuccessful, they closed the diagram and selected another icon, attempting to map the same problem elements as before. Thus, they demonstrated self-monitoring. Very rarely did any student incorrectly map part of a problem and then continue as if the mapping were correct. If successful in the initial mapping or revised mapping, the students returned to the menu of icons and selected a second

³ To date, the system has been used by a total of 31 college students with weak problem solving skills.

icon with which to work. At this point we observed two strategies indicating variations in students' understanding. Some of the students already knew which part of the second icon contained an unknown quantity that corresponded to a part of the first icon. Students generally tried to link the two icons together if they were aware of how the components fit together. If they were uncertain, they mapped the second diagram and then carried out the linking. Only after all mapping and linkages were complete did students using these strategies enter the answer in the *Final Answer* window at the bottom of the screen. They carefully went through every step and linkage. After solving several problems in this way, they frequently switched to the strategy described below in which only the first diagram was complete filled. This switch suggests that students' use of the schema knowledge was becoming more routinized.

Other strategies are also revealing. Some students selected only the icon for the overall situation and turned immediately to the mapping of the problem into the expanded diagram. They mapped the appropriate quantities from the problem to the diagram and also mapped the full description of the subproblem (as stated in the problem) directly into the diagram. They then made the necessary calculation for the subproblem without needing to map its corresponding diagram. Students displaying this strategy usually had a good grasp of the overall and subproblem icons and did not need to have all diagrams displayed. They selected the correct quantities for calculation and showed a high level of confidence in what they are doing. Moreover, in follow-up interviews they indicated that they were indeed using mental models corresponding to the omitted icons and diagrams.

Another strategy was to select a single initial icon, either the same one for all problems or perhaps a random choice; in both cases, the selected icon was usually incorrect. Students using this strategy went immediately to the calculator without attempting to map the problem. Usually, they made an incorrect calculation, either reversing the position of the quantities in the calculation or selecting incorrect quantities for the calculation. In our sample, they also almost always overlooked one of the subproblems required for solution. These students displayed relatively weak schema knowledge. Although they had developed the facility of identifying icons and mapping problems into the diagrams in previous instructional sessions, they elected not to use their knowledge of the icons and/or diagrams and relied instead upon previously learned (and frequently incorrect) problem-solving strategies.⁴

⁴ They admitted this freely in followup interviews. Most said that they liked the diagrams but already knew how to solve the problems and just used their own procedures.

One feature of the system as currently implemented is a *Show Me* option. At any point in the problem solving, the student can ask for a display of the full solution. This appears in the lower right-hand portion of the screen (in the same location as the calculator). The system begins by displaying the icon for the overall situation and then any other needed ones. Necessary links are drawn. The icon corresponding to the most embedded subproblem is then enlarged, displayed as a diagram, and fully mapped. However, no calculations are carried out. *Show Me* simply displays the necessary icons and linkages. After it disappears from the screen, the student may elect to carry out the solution or may choose another problem. Most of the students in our sample elected to complete the solution.

Scoring

A last point about schema assessment is that it is unlikely to yield a numerical score. It does not really matter how many problems the student solved. We are much more concerned with the way in which the student went about it and the changes in strategies that emerge over time. We take this to be indicative of the knowledge structure the student is developing. Alone, of course, the computer assessment is not conclusive. To check its validity, we interviewed 16 of the 31 students following each session to determine whether our assessment of their knowledge based on performance in the computer environment matches our evaluation of schema development made during the interview. Thus far, we find strong agreement between the results of the computer assessment and the interview assessment.

Conclusions

There are several important reasons for employing computer-based assessment as it is presented here. First, it is appropriate for the computer-based instruction it assesses. Students are familiar with the types of required responses and understand the idiosyncrasies of the system. Second, it is unobtrusive. Students may expect that their responses are being recorded, but they do not seem to think much about it. They are free to pursue their problem solving without interruptions, without being asked to write down a particular step, or without being stopped to clarify what they are now doing and why. Third, a system such as this is economical because it serves a dual purpose. On the one hand, it can be an instructional aid, providing a practice ground for individuals to hone their understanding of the structure of word problems. On the other, it functions as an

assessment tool, allowing us to determine which aspects of schema knowledge are well developed in an individual and which are weak.

A final advantage of this system of assessment is that it can provide both diagnostic information for the individual and summative information for a group of students. Of interest in the individual evaluation are questions such as which strategy is employed or whether the individual has difficulty with one or more of the diagrams. At the group level of assessment we are concerned with questions such as determining whether the group as a whole is successful in solving complex problems or whether most (or all) of them demonstrate a sufficient level of competency in organizing their problem solving. Thus, at the group level, we are much less concerned with the fine-grained details. Both types of assessment are typically needed, and this system easily provides them.

The three issues of any assessment are *what*, *why*, and *how*. What is being assessed and why it is to be done are the driving forces behind the assessment. The issue of how is not the first question or issue to be addressed, although it is clearly an important one. One of the lessons learned from multiple choice tests is that we should not carry out a particular assessment just because we have a well-developed technique. We are very good at developing multiple choice tests. We know the psychometric theory of the testing situation, we know how to build certain estimates, and we can score tests quickly and accurately. However, one of the main problems facing assessment leaders today is that these procedures are being used in too many instances because of the third question--"how"--and not because of the first two questions just posed--"what" and "why". It is now widely argued in assessment circles that a multiple choice test does not reflect what an individual understands and is in fact a poor vehicle in many areas.

Several alternatives to multiple-choice tests are under investigation by a number of test developers. Among them are things such as portfolios, performance assessments, and free-response items. Unfortunately, these are viewed simply as alternatives to the "how" question, and little attention has been given to any theory of learning or understanding that should underlie them. As demonstrated here, the explicit linkage between instruction, learning, and assessment is crucial. The common underlying theory provides the basis for interpreting the assessment. Without it, one is left only with a collection of isolated student responses. Thus, schema-based assessment puts the "what" and the "why" of assessment first, and it does so by supplying an integrated picture of memory, learning, and assessment. The assessment eventually made is dictated by the model of memory and learning and not by convenience of data collection.

References

- Anderson, R. C. (1984). Role of the reader's schema in comprehension, learning, and memory. In R. C. Anderson, J. Osborn, & R. J. Tierney (Eds.), *Learning to read in American schools: Basal readers and content texts* (pp. 243-272). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Baddeley, A. 1990. *Human memory*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Chi, M. T. H., Feltovich, P. J., & Glaser, R. (1981). Categorization and representation of physics problems by experts and novices. *Cognitive Science*, 5, 121-152.
- Kintsch, W., & Greeno, J. G. (1985). Understanding and solving word arithmetic problems. *Psychological Review*, 92, 109-129.
- Marshall, S. P. (in press). Assessing schema knowledge. In N. Frederiksen (Ed.), *Test theory for a new generation of tests*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Marshall, S. P. (April, 1990). What students learn (and remember) from word-problem instruction. In S. Chipman (Chair), *Penetrating to the mathematical structure of word problems*. Symposium conducted at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Boston.
- Marshall, S. P., Barthuli, K. E., Brewer, M. A., & Rose, F. E. (1989). *STORY PROBLEM SOLVER: A schema-based system of instruction*. CRMSE Technical Report No. 89-01, San Diego.
- Marshall, S. P., & Brewer, M. A. (1990). *Learning from icons: What you see is what you get, or is it?* Unpublished manuscript, San Diego.
- Rumelhart, D. E. (1980). Schemata: The building blocks of cognition. In R. J. Spiro, B. C. Bruce, & W. F. Brewer (Eds.), *Theoretical issues in reading comprehension* (pp. 33-58). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Skemp, R. R. (1987). *The psychology of learning mathematics*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Van Lehn, K. (1990). Problem solving and cognitive skills acquisition. In M. Posner (Ed.), *Handbook of cognitive science* (pp. 527-579).

1511 NEW JERSEY

DISPERSED PERSONS Dr. John R. Anderson Department of Psychology Carnegie Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213 Dr. Nancy S. Anderson Department of Psychology University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742 Dr. Stephen J. Andriole College of Education Studies Brace Hall Philadelphia, PA 19104 Dr. Gregory Aung Educational Testing Service Princeton, NJ 08541 Dr. Plouffe Arabe Graduate Sch. of Management Rutgers University 92 New Street Newark, NJ 07102-1895 Technical Director, ART 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333 Edward Atkins 13705 Lakeside Ct Rockville, MD 20850 Dr. Michael E. Atwood NYNEX AI Laboratory 500 Westchester Avenue White Plains, NY 10604 Dr. Alan Baddeley Medical Research Council Applied Psychology Unit 15 Chaucer Road Cambridge CB2 2EF ENGLAND Dr. Patricia Baggett School of Education 610 E. University, Rm 1302D University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1259 Dr. Meryl S. Baker Navy Personnel R&D Center San Diego, CA 92152-6800 Dr. Pierre Baldi Division of Biology, 216-76 C/o Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA 91125 prof. dott. Bruno G. Bara Unità di ricerca di Intelligenza artificiale Università di Milano ITALY	Ms. Lisa B. Attelle Code 3305 Naval Research Lab Washington, DC 20335-5000 Dr. Philip J. Ackemaun University of Minnesota Dept. of Psychology 7-100 University Ave. St. Paul, MN 55106 Dr. Jerry Ackerman Educational Psychology 2004 Education Bldg University of Illinois Champaign, IL 61801 Dr. Beth Aickson Dept of Psychology Rutgers University Camden, NJ 08102 Director Human Engineering Lab ATTN: SLICHE (1) Aberdeen Proving Grounds MD 21906-5001 Dr. Robert Ahlers 21350 Research Parkway Human Factors Div., Code 261 Naval Training Systems Ctr Orlando, FL 32826 Technical Document Center AL/HR/TDC Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-0503 Dr. David L. Alderson NPRIX, Code 131 San Diego, CA 92152-6800 Dr. Terry Allard USNRC, Code 1142CS 8800 N. Quincy St. Arlington, VA 22217-5000 Dr. Nancy Allen Educational Testing Service Princeton, NJ 08541 Dr. James A. Anderson Dept of Cog & Ling Sciences Brown University Box 1978 Providence, RI 02912
--	---

Dr. William M. Bart
University of Minnesota
Dept. of Educ. Psychology
330 Burton Hall
178 Pillsbury Dr., S.E.
Minneapolis, MN 55455

Leo Bellini, Jr.
United States Nuclear
Regulatory Commission
Washington DC 20555

Dr. Isaac I. Bejar
Educational Testing Service
Princeton, NJ 08541

Dr. William O. Berry
AHC/NL, NJ, Bldg. 410
Building AFB, DC 20332-6448

Dr. Menucha Brenbaum
Educational Testing Service
Princeton, NJ 08541

Dr. Werner P. Birke
Personaldienst der Bundeswehr
Kohlstrasse 262
D-5000 Koeln 90

FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF
GERMANY

Dr. Gautam Biswas
Dep. of Computer Science
Box 1688, Station B
Vanderbilt University
Nashville, TN 37235

Dr. John Black
Teachers College, Box 8
Columbia University
525 West 120th Street
New York, NY 10027

Dr. Michael Blackburn Code 943
Naval Ocean Systems Center
San Diego, CA 92152-5000

Dr. Sheila Blumstein
Brown University
University Hall, Room 218
Providence, RI 02912

Dr. Deborah A. Boethum-Davis
Department of Psychology
George Mason University
4440 University Drive
Fairfax, VA 22030-4444

Dr. Kenneth R. Buif
AL/CHH
Wright-Patterson AFB
OH 45433-6573

Dr. Jeff Bonar
Guidance Technology, Inc.
8000 Virtual Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15212

Dr. C. Alan Boreau
Department of Psychology
George Mason University
4400 University Drive
Fairfax, VA 22030

Dr. J. C. Boudreaux
Manufacturing Eng. Lab
National Institute of
Standards and Technology
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Dr. Gordon H. Bower
Department of Psychology
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94306

Dr. Robert Breaux
Code 252
Naval Training Systems Center
Orlando, FL 32826-3224

Dr. Ann Brown
Graduate School of Education
University of California
ENST-4533 Tolman Hall
Berkeley, CA 94720

Dr. John T. Bruer
James S. McDaniel Foundation
Suite 1610
1034 South Brentwood Blvd.
St. Louis, MO 63117

Dr. Joanne Capper
3545 Albemarle Street, NW
Washington, DC 20008

Dr. Gail Carpenter
Center for Adaptive Systems
1111 Cunningham St., Room 244
Boston University
Boston, MA 02215

Dr. Pat Carpenter
Carnegie-Mellon University
Department of Psychology
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Eduardo Casallar
Educational Testing Service
Rosedale Road
Princeton, NJ 08541

Dr. Richard Carambone
School of Psychology
Georgia Institute of Technology
Atlanta, GA 30332-0170

Dr. Ruth W. Chaboy CDREC, Hamburg Hall Carnegie Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213	Dr. Paul R. Tuelcher Perceptomics Suite 1100 1911 North Ft. Meyer Dr. Arlington, VA 22209	Dr. Michelle Cla Learning R & D Center University of Pittsburgh 3939 O'Hara Street Pittsburgh, PA 15260	Dr. Y. T. Chen National Science Foundation 1800 G Street, NW Washington, DC 20550	Dr. Susan Chapman Cognitive Science Program Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy St. Arlington, VA 22217-5000	Dr. Raymond E. Christal UES LAMP Science Advisor AL/HIRMIL Brooks AFB, TX 78235	Dr. William J. Clancy IRI 25550 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304	Dr. David E. Clement Department of Psychology University of South Carolina Columbia, SC 29208	Chief of Naval Education and Training (N-5) NAS Pensacola, FL 32508	Dr. Paul Cobb Purdue University Education Building W Lafayette, IN 47907	Dr. Rodney Cocking NIMH, Basic Behavior and Cognitive Science Research 5600 Fishers Lane, Rm 11C-10 Rockville, MD 20857	Commanding Officer Naval Research Laboratory Code 4827 Washington, DC 20375-5000
---	---	---	--	--	--	---	--	---	---	---	---

Dr. Lynn A. Cooper
Department of Psychology
Columbia University
New York, NY 10027

Dr. Michael Cowen
INPR/DC Code 142
San Diego, CA 92152-0800

Dr. William Crano
Department of Psychology
Texas A&M University
College Station, TX 77843

Dr. Kenneth B. Cross
Anasapua Sciences, Inc.
P.O. Box 519
Santa Barbara, CA 93102

Dr. Thomas C. Crowl
TSC/MS/Draw-Hill Library
25500 Garden Road
Monterey, CA 93940-5380

Dr. Peter Culicover
Director, Ctr for Cog. Science
2008 Ohio Stadium East
1961 Tuttle Park Place
Columbus, OH 43210-1102

Dr. Denise Cunniff
Psychology Department
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

Dr. Brian Dallman
Training Technology Branch
3400 TCHW/TTOZ
Lowry AFB, CO 80230-5000

Dr. Antonio Damasio
Department of Neurology
Univ. of Iowa Medical School
Iowa City, Iowa 52242

Dr. Diane Damos
Dept of Human Factors
ISSM
Univ. of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089-0021

Dr. Charles E. Davis
Educational Testing Service
Princeton, NJ 08541

Dr. Ralph J. DeAyala
Measurement Stat. & Eval.
Benjamin Bldg., Rm. 1210f
University of Maryland
College Park, MD 20742

Margaret Day, Librarian
Applied Science Associates
P.O. Box 1072
Hunter, IA 16663

Dr. George Delacote
Exploratorium
3601 Lyon Street
San Francisco, CA 94123

Dr. Sharon Derry
University of Wisconsin
Department of Psychology
Madison, WI 53706

Dr. Stephanie Dwyer
University of Illinois
Department of Psychology
603 East Daniel Street
Champaign, IL 61820

Dr. Emmanuel Donchin
University of Illinois
Department of Psychology
603 East Daniel Street
Champaign, IL 61820

Dr. J. Stuart Dunn
Faculty of Education
University of British Columbia
2125 Main Mall
Vancouver, BC CANADA V6T
1Z4

Dr. Michael Drillings
Basic Research Office
Army Research Institute
5001 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22333-5060

Defense Technical
Information Center
Cameron Station, Bldg. 5
Alexandria, VA 22314
(2 Copies)

Dr. Pierre Duguet
Organization for Economic
Cooperation and Dev.
2, rue André-Pascal
75016 PARIS
FRANCE

Dr. Richard Duran
Graduate School of Education
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Dr. Howard Eichenbaum
Department of Psychology
CH #3279 Davies Hall
University of North Carolina
Chapel Hill, NC 27599

Dr. Nancy Edgred
College of Education
Division of Special Education
The University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

- Dr. John Elias
NPRDC, Code 15
San Diego, CA 92152-0800
- Dr. Susan Eshelbourn
University of Kansas
Psychology Department
426 Frazer
Lawrence, KS 66045
- Dr. George Engelhardt, Jr.
Div. of Educational Studies
210 Fitzhume Bldg.
Atlanta, GA 30322
- Dr. Carl E. Englund
Naval Ocean Systems Center
Code 442
San Diego, CA 92152-5000
- Dr. Susan Epstein
144 S. Mountain Avenue
Montclair, NJ 07042
- ERIC Facility Acquisitions
2440 Research Blvd., Ste 550
Rockville, MD 20850-3238
- Dr. K. Anders Ericsson
University of Colorado
Department of Psychology
Campus Box 445
Boulder, CO 80309-0345
- Dr. Martha Evans
Dept. of Computer Science
Illinois Institute of Technology
10 West 31st Street
Chicago, IL 60616
- Dr. Lorraine D. Eyle
US Office of Personnel
Management
Office of Personnel Research &
Development
1900 E St., NW
Washington, DC 20415
- Dr. Franco Faiva
Interventive Generale (EVADIFE)
Piazzale K. Aldemauer, 3
00144 ROMA EUR ITALY
- Dr. Beatrice J. Farr
Army Research Institute
PEK-11C
5000 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22333
- Dr. Marshall J. Farr
Farr Sight Co
2520 North Vernon Street
Arlington, VA 22207
Arlington, VA 22207
- Dr. Michael Friendly
Psychology Department
York University
Toronto ONT
CANADA M3J 1P3
- Dr. John D. E. Gabrieli
Department of Psychology
Northwestern University
102 Swift Hall
Evanston, IL 60208
- Dr. Merrill P. Garrett
Director of Cognitive Science
Department of Psychology, Room
312
Tucson, AZ 85721
- Dr. Jack J. Gelfand
Department of Psychology
Princeton University
Princeton, NJ 08544-1010
- Dr. Dedre Gentner
Northwestern University
Department of Psychology
2029 Sheridan Road
Swift Hall, Rm 102
Evanston, IL 60208-2710
- Chair,
Dept. of Computer Science
George Mason University
Fairfax, VA 22030
- Dr. Alan S. Gevins
EEG Systems Laboratory
1801 N. Beauregard St.
Alexandria, VA 22311
- Dr. Linda Flower
Carnegie-Mellon University
Department of English
Pittsburgh, PA 15213
- Dr. Carl H. Frederiksen
Dept. of Educ. Psychology
McGill University
3700 McTavish Street
Montreal, Quebec
CANADA H3A 1Y2
- Dr. Norman Frederiksen
Educational Testing Service
Princeton, NJ 08541
- Dr. Alfred R. Frejly
AFUSK/NL, Bldg. 410
Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448
- Dr. Alinda Friedman
Department of Psychology
University of Alberta
Edmonton, Alberta
CANADA T6G 2E9
- Dr. P. A. Federico
NPRDC, Code 51
San Diego, CA 92152-0800
- Dr. Richard L. Ferguson
American College Testing
P.O. Box 68
Iowa City, IA 52243
- Dr. Kathleen Fernandez
Naval Ocean Systems Center
Code 423
San Diego, CA 92152
- Mr. Wallace Feurzig
Educational Technology
Bolt Beranek & Newman
10 Moulton St.
Cambridge, MA 02238
- Dr. Victor Fields
Department of Psychology
Montgomery College
Rockville, MD 20850
- Dr. Gerhard Fischer
Liebiggasse 5
A-1010 Vienna
AUSTRIA
- Prof. Baruch Fischhoff
Dept. of Engl. & Public Policy
Carnegie-Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA 15213
- Dr. J. D. Flechter
Institute for Defense Analyses
8801 N. Beauregard St.
Alexandria, VA 22311
- Dr. Linda Flower
Carnegie-Mellon University
Department of English
Pittsburgh, PA 15213
- Dr. Carl H. Frederiksen
Dept. of Educ. Psychology
McGill University
3700 McTavish Street
Montreal, Quebec
CANADA H3A 1Y2
- Dr. Norman Frederiksen
Educational Testing Service
Princeton, NJ 08541
- Dr. Alfred R. Frejly
AFUSK/NL, Bldg. 410
Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448
- Dr. Alinda Friedman
Department of Psychology
University of Alberta
Edmonton, Alberta
CANADA T6G 2E9
- Dr. Timothy Grossberg
Department of Psychology
University of New Mexico
Albuquerque, NM 87131
- Mr. Harold Goldstein
University of DC
Bldg. 42, Room 12
4200 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20008
- Dr. Sherrie Gott
AFRL/MOMJ
Brooks AFB, TX 78235-5601
- Dr. T. Govindaraj
Ga. Institute of Technology
School of Industrial
and Systems Engineering
Atlanta, GA 30332-0205
- Dr. Marilyn K. Gowing
Office of Personnel R&D
1900 E St., NW, Room 6462
Office of Personnel Management
Washington, DC 20415
- Dr. Arthur C. Graesser
Department of Psychology
Memphis State University
Memphis, TN 38152
- Jordan Grafman, Ph.D.
Chief, Cognitive Neuroscience
Section, Medical Neurology
Branch-NINDS, Bldg. 10, Rm.
5C422
Bethesda, MD 20892
- Dr. Wayne Gray
Graduate School of Education
Fordham University
113 West 60th Street
New York, NY 10023
- Dr. Bert Green
Johns Hopkins University
Department of Psychology
Charles & 34th Street
Baltimore, MD 21218
- Dr. James G. Greeno
School of Education
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305
- Dr. Charles G. Gross
Department of Psychology
Princeton University
Green Hall
Princeton, NJ 08544-1010
- Dr. Susan R. Goldman
Peabody College, Box 45
Vanderbilt University
Nashville, TN 37203
- Dr. Dennis Golanman
NIMH
Parklawn Bldg
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, MD 20857
- Dr. Robert Glaser
LRDC
University of Pittsburgh
3939 O'Hara Street,
Pittsburgh, PA 15260
- Dr. Arthur M. Glenberg
University of Wisconsin
1202 W. Johnson Street
Madison, WI 53706
- Dr. Marvin D. Gluck
101 Homestead Terrace
Ithaca, NY 14856
- Dr. Mark A. Gluck
Center for Molecular
and Behavioral Neuroscience
Ruigens University
197 University Avenue
Newark, NJ 07102
- Dr. Sam Glucksberg
Department of Psychology
Princeton University
Princeton, NJ 08544-1010
- Prof. Joseph Goguen
PRG, Univ. of Oxford
11 Keeble Road
Oxford OX1 3QD
UNITED KINGDOM
- Dr. Paul E. Gold
University of Virginia
Department of Psychology
Charlottesville, VA 22903
- Dr. Patricia Goldman-Rakic
Section of Neurobiology, C303
Yale University
333 Cedar Street
New Haven, CT 06510
- Dr. Drew Gionter
Educational Testing Service
Princeton, NJ 08541
- Dr. Herbert Ginsburg
Box 184
Teachers College
Columbia University
525 West 121st Street
New York, NY 10027
- Dr. Norman Frederiksen
Educational Testing Service
Princeton, NJ 08541
- Dr. Alfred R. Frejly
AFUSK/NL, Bldg. 410
Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448
- Dr. Alinda Friedman
Department of Psychology
University of Alberta
Edmonton, Alberta
CANADA T6G 2E9
- Dr. Stephen Grossberg
Center for Adaptive Systems
111 Cunningham Street
Boston University
Boston, MA 02215
- Dr. Gerhard Grossberg
Autumn Institute for
Nonlinear Studies
Parkgasse 9, Vienna
AUSTRIA A-1030
- Naval Ocean Systems Center
Command Support Tech Division
Attn: Mr. J. Grossman, Code 44
Bldg. 334
San Diego, CA 92152-5000
- Dr. Michael Habon
DORNIER GMBH
P.O. Box 1420
D-7990 Friedrichshafen 1
WEST GERMANY
- Prof. Edward Harnetel
School of Education
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-3096
- Dr. Henry M. Halff
Halff Resources, Inc.
4918 33rd Road, North
Arlington, VA 22207
- Manly Halpern, Librarian
Brigham Library
Educational Testing Service
Princeton, NJ 08541
- Dr. Ronald K. Hambleton
University of Massachusetts
Laboratory of Psychometric
and Evaluative Research
Hills South, Room 152
Auburn, MA 01003
- Mr. H. Hanburger
Dept. of Computer Science
George Mason University
Fairfax, VA 22030
- Dr. Ivar Hansen
Forskavet's Psykiologiske
Akershus/Oslo tmt
0015 Oslo 1
NORWAY
- Dr. Stephen J. Hanson
Learning & Knowledge
Acquisition Research
Siemens Research Center
755 College Road East
Princeton, NJ 08540
- Dr. Keith Holyoak
Department of Psychology
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024
- Sevan Hamad
Editor, The Behavioral and
Brain Sciences
20 Nassau Street, Suite 240
Princeton, NJ 08542
- Dr. Delwyn Harush
University of Illinois
51 Gerty Drive
Champaign, IL 61820
- Dr. Patrick R. Harrison
Computer Science Department
U.S. Naval Academy
Annapolis, MD 21402-5002
- Janice Hart
Department of the Navy
Joint CALS Management Office
5109 Leesburg Pike
Skyline 6, Room 701
Falls Church, VA 22041
- Dr. Wayne Harvey
Education Development Ctr
55 Chapel Street
Newton, MA 02160
- Dr. Reid Hastie
University of Colorado
Department of Psychology
Boulder, CO 80309-0344
- Dr. Barbara Hayes Roth
Knowledge Systems Laboratory
Stanford University
701 Welch Road, Bldg. C
Palo Alto, CA 94304
- Dr. Per Hellmer
University of Oslo
USIT
Box 1059
0316 Oslo, NORWAY
- Dr. Grant Henning
Mail Stop 18-P
Educational Testing Service
Princeton, NJ 08541
- Dr. Jaana Hiebert
Dept. of Educ. Development
University of Delaware
Newark, DE 19716
- Dr. James E. Hoffman
Department of Psychology
University of Delaware
Newark, DE 19711
- Dr. Keith Holyoak
Department of Psychology
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Dr. N. Gius Hoofd Van AFD SWO Admiraliteit Kr. D 364 Van Der Burchlaan 31 Post Box 20702 2500 ES The Hague The NETHERLANDS	Dr. Martin J. Jippel Center for the Study of Education and Instruction Linden University P. O. Box 9555 2300 RB Leiden THE NETHERLANDS	Dr. John Joudes Department of Psychology University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI 48104	Dr. Jeffery L. Kennington Computing Science & Engr. School of Engr. & Applied Sciences Southern Methodist University Dallas, TX 75275	Dr. Stephen Kuslyu Harvard University 1236 William James Hall 33 Kirkland St Cambridge, MA 02138	Dr. Jill F. Letman School of Computer Science Carnegie Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3890	Dr. Richard Luecht ACT Iowa City, IA 52243
Dr. Richard L. Horst Man-Made Systems Corp. 4020 Arjay Circle Ellicott City, MD 21043	Dr. Janet Jackson Rijksuniversiteit Groningen Groenestraat 21, 9712TS Groningen The NETHERLANDS	Dr. Brian Junker Carnegie-Mellon University Department of Statistics Pittsburgh, PA 15213	Dr. Henry Khachaturian National Institute of Mental Health, DIBBS 5600 Fishers Lane Rocktown Building Rockville, MD 20857	Dr. Kenneth Kolovsky Department of Psychology Carnegie Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213	Dr. Paul E. Lehnert Department of Information Systems & Engineering George Mason University 4400 University Drive Fairfax, VA 22030-4444	LT(N) C. D. F. Lyon Command Personnel Applied Research Coordinator Maritime Command Headquarters PMO Halifax, N.S., B3K 2X0 CANADA
Dr. Claude Jauvier Universite' du Quebec a Montreal, CTRADE, P. O. Box 8888, succ. A* Montreal, Quebec H3C 3P8 CANADA	Z. Jacobson Bureau of Management Consulting 701-365 Laurier Ave., W. Ottawa, Ontario K1H 5W3 CANADA	Dr. Ruth Kauler University of Minnesota Department of Psychology Elliott Hall 75 E. River Road Minneapolis, MN 55455	Dr. David Kieras Technical Computing Program TIDAL Bldg., 2360 Bonisteel Blvd University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2108	Dr. Richard Lesch Educational Testing Service Princeton, NJ 08541	Dr. Donald MacGregor Decision Research 1201 Oak St. Eugene, OR 97401	
Dr. William Howell Chief Scientist AFHML/C-A Brooks AFB, TX 78235-5601	Dr. Robert Jannarone Elec. and Computer Eng. Dept. University of South Carolina Columbia, SC 29208	Dr. Michael Kaplan Office of Basic Research U.S. Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-5600	Dr. Jeremy Kilpatrick Dept. of Mathematical Education 105 Adair Hall University of Georgia Athens, GA 30602	Dr. Herbert Lin National Academy of Sciences 2101 Constitution Avenue Room HA-560 Washington, DC 20418	Dr. George B. Macready Department of Measurement Statistics & Evaluation College of Education University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742	
Dr. Eva Hudlicka BBN Laboratories 10 Moulton Street Cambridge, MA 02238	Dr. Robin Jeffries Hewlett-Packard Labs, 1U-17 P.O. Box 10490 Palo Alto, CA 94303-0969	Dr. A. Karmiloff-Smith NIC-CDU 17 Gordon Street London ENGLAND WC1H 0AH	Dr. Sung-Ho Kim Educational Testing Service Princeton, NJ 08541	Dr. Charlotte Linde IRL 2350 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304	Vern M. Malec NPRDC, Code 142 San Diego, CA 92152-6800	
Dr. Michael F. Huerta NIMH DIBBS 5600 Fishers Lane Parklawn Building Rockville, MD 20857	Dr. Kumar Jang-dev University of Illinois Department of Statistics 101 Illini Hall 725 South Wright Street Champaign, IL 61820	Dr. Milton S. Katz PSC 802 Box 15 FPO AE 09499-1500	Dr. M. Diane Langston ICL North America 11490 Commerce Park Drive Reston, VA 22091	Dr. William L. Maloy NETPNSA Code 04 Pensacola, FL 32509-5000	Dr. George Mason University 4400 University Drive Fairfax, VA 22030	
Dr. Earl Hunt Dept. of Psychology, NI-25 University of Washington Seattle, WA 98195	Dr. Bonnie E. John Department of Computer Science Carnegie Mellon University 5000 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15213	Dr. Wendy Kellogg IBM T. J. Watson Research Ctr. P.O. Box 704 Yorktown Heights, NY 10598	Dr. Marcia C. Linn Graduate School of Education, EMST Tolman Hall University of California Berkeley, CA 94720	Dr. Mary Madhno Directorate, Instruc. Tech. HQ USAF/DPET USAF Academy, CO 80840-5017	Dr. Clesco J. Martin Head, DOD Coordinator, Recruiting Plans and Programs Branch Code, PERC 21F/2 14 Navy Annex, Room 2832 Washington, DC 20350	
Dr. Jack Hunter 2122 Coolidge Street Lansing, MI 48906	Dr. Peder Johnson Department of Psychology University of New Mexico Albuquerque, NM 87131	Dr. Douglas Kelly University of North Carolina Department of Statistics, CB #3260 Chapel Hill, NC 27599	Dr. Margaret S. Livingstone Department of Neurobiology Harvard Medical School 220 Longwood Avenue Boston, MA 02115	Dr. Elizabeth Martin AI/HRA, Stop 44 Williams AFB AZ 85240		
Dr. Giorgio Ingargiola Computer Science Department Temple University Philadelphia, PA 19122	Dr. Daniel B. Jones US Nuclear Regulatory Commission NRR/12 Ed Washington, DC 20555	Dr. J. A. S. Kelso Center for Complex Systems Building MT 9 Florida Atlantic University Boca Raton, FL 33431	Dr. Richard Lanierman Commandant (G-PWP) US Coast Guard 2100 Second St., SW Washington, DC 20593-0001	Prof. David F. Lohman College of Education University of Iowa Iowa City, IA 52242		
		Dr. Sylvan Kornblum University of Michigan Mental Health Research Institute 205 Washenaw Place Ann Arbor, MI 48109	Dr. Yuh-Jeng Lee Department of Computer Science Code CS/LE Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943	MinR Dr. Christian Lohwasser Heerespsychologischer Dienst 1130 Wien AUSTRIA		

Dr. Nadine Martin Department of Neurology Ctr for Cognitive Neuroscience Temple Univ School of Medicine 3401 North Broad Street Philadelphia, PA 19140	Dr. Joel A. Mitchell Department of Physiology Rush Presbyterian St Lukes Medical Center Rush Medical College Chicago, IL 60612	Dr. Richard Nakamura National Institute of Mental Health, DBBBS/CBNHB 5600 Fishers Lane Parklawn Building Rockville, MD 20857	Director Training Technology Department NPRDC (Code 15) San Diego, CA 92152-6800	Dr. Jesse Ottensky Institute for Defense Analyses 1801 N. Beauregard St. Alexandria, VA 22311	Dept of Administrative Sciences Code 54 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5026	Dr. Mark D. Reckase ACCT P.O. Box 168 Iowa City, IA 52243
Dr. Matthew M. Matthews Department of Computer Science University of South Carolina Columbia, SC 29208	Dr. Ryszard S. Michalski Center for Artificial Intelligence George Mason University Science and Tech. II, Rm 411 4400 University Drive Fairfax, VA 22030-4444	Chair, Department of Weapons & Systems Engineering US Naval Academy Annapolis, MD 21402	Librarian Naval Ctr for Appl Research in AI Naval Research Laboratory Code 5510 Washington, DC 20375-5000	Dr. Peter Piroli School of Education University of California Berkeley, CA 94720	Dr. James A. Reggia Dept of Computer Science A. V. Williams Bldg. University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742	
Dr. John Mayer Technical Communic. Program 2460 Bonisuep Blvd University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI 48109	Dr. Vittorio Micharo CNR-Istituto Tecnologie Didattiche Via All'Opera Pia 11 GENOVA-ITALIA 16145	Distribution Support Division Bureau of Naval Personnel PEKS-471D Washington, DC 20370	Dr. Paul O'Rourke Information & Computer Science University of California, Irvine Irvine, CA 92717	Prof. Tommaso Poggio Massachusetts Institute of Technology E25-201 Center for Biological Information Processing Cambridge, MA 02139	Dr. J. Wesley Reigan AFHRL/IDI Brooks AFB, TX 78235	
Dr. Richard E. Mayer Department of Psychology University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106	Dr. Mortimer Mishkin Laboratory of Neuropsychology National Institute of Mental Health 9000 Rockville Pike, Bldg 9, #1N107 Bethesda, MD 20892	Academic Progs. & Research Branch Naval Technical Training Command Code N-62 NAS Memphis (75) Memphis, TN 38054	Dr. Michael Oberdorfer Bldg 31, Room 6A42 National Eye Institute 900 Rockville Pike Bethesda, MD 20892	Dr. Martha Polson Department of Psychology University of Colorado Boulder, CO 80309-0344	Dr. Fred Reif CDEC, South Hall Carnegie-Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213	
Dr. James L. McCaugh Center for the Neurobiology of Learning and Memory Univ of California, Irvine Irvine, CA 92717	Dr. Robert Mislavsky Educational Testing Service Princeton, NJ 08541	Prof. David Navon Department of Psychology University of Haifa Haifa 31999 ISRAEL	Dr. Steilan Oblason Learning R & D Center University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA 15260	Dr. Peter Polson University of Colorado Department of Psychology Boulder, CO 80309-0344	Dr. Daniel Reisberg Reed College Department of Psychology Portland, OR 97202	
Dr. David J. McCune Gallaudet University 800 Florida Avenue, N.E. Washington, DC 20002	Dr. Christine M. Mitchell School of Indus. and Sys. Eng. Center for Man-Machine Systems Research Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, GA 30332-0205	Deputy Director Manpower, Personnel and Training Div. Naval Sea Systems Command ATTN: Code 04MP 511 Washington, DC 20362	Dr. Judith Reisman Olson Graduate School of Business University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1234	Dr. Michael I. Posner Department of Psychology University of Oregon Eugene, OR 97403	Dr. Brian Reiser Inst. for the Learning Sciences Northwestern University Evanston, IL 60201	
Dr. Kathleen McKeown Columbia University Department of Computer Science 450 Computer Science Building New York, NY 10027	Dr. Ben B. Morgan, Jr. Department of Psychology University of Central Florida Orlando, FL 32816-0150	Navy Supply Systems Command NAVSUP 5512 ATTN: Sandra Borden Washington, DC 20376-5000	Mathematics Division, Code 1111 Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000	Dr. Roy Polson Paye Info - CD and M American Psychological Assoc. 1200 16th Street Arlington, VA 22201	Dr. Lauren Resnick Learning R & D Center University of Pittsburgh 3939 O'Hara Street Pittsburgh, PA 15213	
Dr. James R. McBride Huntsville 6430 Elmhurst Drive San Diego, CA 92120	Dr. Randy Muraw Human Sciences Westinghouse Science & Technology Ctr. 1310 Beulah Road Pittsburgh, PA 15235	Dr. J. Neilson Twente University Fac. Biol. Toegepaste Onderwijskunde P.O. Box 217 7500 AE Enschede The NETHERLANDS	Office of Naval Research, Code 1142CS 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000 (6 Copies)	Dr. Peter Polson Operations Research Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943	Dr. Edwin L. Risland Dept. of Computer and Information Science University of Massachusetts Amherst, MA 01003	
Dr. Sig. Meinke, Ph.D. Forsvarscenter for Lederskab Christianshavns Voldgade 8 1424 København K DENMARK	Dr. Allen Murro Behavioral Technology Laboratories - USMC 250 N. Harbor Dr., Suite 309 Redondo Beach, CA 90277	Dr. Raymond S. Nickerson 5 Cleason Road Bedford, MA 01730	Assistant for Training Technology & Human Factors Office of the DCNQMPT (Op- 11E) Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20350-2000	Dr. Marcus Raichle Department of Radiation Sciences Washington University School of Medicine 510 S. Kingshighway, Box 8225 St. Louis, MO 63110	Mr. W. A. Rizzo Head, Human Factors Division Naval Training Systems Center Code 26 12350 Research Parkway Orlando, FL 32826-3224	
Dr. Alan Meyrowitz Naval Research Laboratory Code 5510 4555 Overlook Ave., SW Washington, DC 20375-5000	Dr. E. Muraki Educational Testing Service Princeton, NJ 08541	Dr. Nils J. Nilsson Department of Computer Sciences Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305-6060	CDR Frank C. Petho Naval Postgraduate School Code OX/PE Monterey, CA 93943	Dr. Linda G. Roberts Science, Education, and Transportation Program Office of Technology Assessment Congress of the United States Washington, DC 20510		

Dr. Andrew M. Rose American Institutes for Research 3333 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20007	Dr. Alan H. Schoenfeld University of California Department of Education Berkeley, CA 94720	Mr. Colin Sheppard Command and Control Dept Defense Research Agency Manure Dy. Portsmouth Harb. PO64AA UNITED KINGDOM	Dr. Robert Staitell Naval Ocean Systems Center Code 443 San Diego, CA 92152-5000	Dr. Kikuo Tabuoka Educational Testing Service Mail Stop 03-T Princeton, NJ 08541	Dr. Frank L. Viciano Navy Personnel R&D Center San Diego, CA 92152-0800	Dr. Kent E. Williams Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering/MSL 1900 Kraft Drive Blacksburg, VA 24061-0249
Dr. Mary Schraitz 4100 Parkside Carlsbad, CA 92008	Dr. Ben Shneiderman Dept. of Computer Science University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742	Dr. Edward E. Smith Department of Psychology 330 Packard Road Ann Arbor, MI 48103	Mr. Gary Thomasson University of Illinois Educational Psychology Champaign, IL 61820	Dr. Jerry Vogt Department of Psychology St. Norbert College De Pere, WI 54115-2099	Dr. Mark Wilson School of Education University of California Berkeley, CA 94720	
Dr. Myrna P. Schwartz Neuropsych. Research Lab Moss Rehabilitation Hospital Philadelphia, PA 19141	Dr. Randall Shumaker Naval Research Laboratory Code 5500 4555 Overlook Avenue, S.W. Washington, DC 20375-5000	Dr. Michael E. Smith Department of Psychology Texas A&M University College Station, TX 77843	Dr. Richard F. Thompson Program for Neural, Info. and Behavioral Sciences Hedco Neurosciences Bldg., HNB 522 University of So. California Los Angeles, CA 90089-2520	Dr. Jacques Voneche University of Geneva Department of Psychology Geneva SWITZERLAND 1204	Dr. Robert A. Wisner U.S. Army Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-5000	
Dr. Judith W. Segal OEKI 555 New Jersey Ave., NW Washington, DC 20208-5648	Scientific Director Navy Health Research Center, P. O. Box 85122 San Diego, CA 92138-9174	Dr. Richard E. Snow School of Education Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305	Dr. Sharon Tkacz CAE-Link Corporation 209 Madison Street Alexandria, VA 22314	Dr. Ralph Wachler Office of Naval Research Code 113355 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217	Dr. Merlin C. Witnook Graduate School of Education Univ. of Calif., Los Angeles Los Angeles, CA 90024	
Dr. Robert J. Seidel US Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Ave. Alexandria, VA 22333	Dr. Edward Silver LRDC University of Pittsburgh 3939 O'Hara Street Pittsburgh, PA 15260	Dr. Gerald Stasser Department of Psychology Miami University 104 Benton Hall Oxford, OH 45056	Ten. Col. (dr.) Sandro Tomasini Direzioe Generale Leva - N.P.A. P. LE Roma 00144 ITALY	Dr. Ming-Mei Wang Educational Testing Service Mail Stop 03-T Princeton, NJ 08541	Mr. John H. Wolfe Navy Personnel R&D Center San Diego, CA 92152-0800	
Dr. Colleen M. Seifert Department of Psychology University of Michigan 330 Packard Road Ann Arbor, MI 48104	Mr. Joe Silverman Code 115 Navy Personnel R&D Center San Diego, CA 92152-0800	Dr. James J. Staszewski Dept. of Psychology University of South Carolina Columbia, SC 29210	Dr. Douglas Towne Behavioral Technology Labs University of So. California 1228 Spring Street St. Helena, CA 94574	Dr. Thomas A. Wynn FAA Academy P.O. Box 25082 Oklahoma City, OK 73125	Dr. Kenaro Yamamoto 03-0T Educational Testing Service Rosdale Road Princeton, NJ 08541	
Dr. Terrence J. Sejnowski Professor The Salk Institute P. O. Box 85800 San Diego, CA 92138-9216	Dr. Zia M. Simulas, Director Manpower & Personnel Research Laboratory US Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-5600	Dr. Bruce D. Steinberg Curry College Millon, MA 02186	Dr. Amos Tversky Stanford University Dept. of Psychology Building 420 Stanford, CA 94305	Dr. Douglas Wetzel Code 15 Navy Personnel R&D Center San Diego, CA 92152-0800	Dr. Masoud Yazdani Dept. of Computer Science University of Exeter Prince of Wales Road Exeter EX444PT ENGLAND	
Mr. Robert Semmes N218 Elliott Hall Department of Psychology University of Minnesota Minneapolis, MN 55455-0344	Dr. Jerome E. Singer Dept. of Medical Psychology Uniformed Services Univ. of the Health Sciences 4301 Jones Bridge Road Bethesda, MD 20814-4799	Dr. Robert J. Siemering Department of Psychology Yale University Box 11A, Yale Station New Haven, CT 06520	Chair, Psychology Dept University of Maryland, Baltimore County Baltimore, MD 21228	Alexandra K. Wigdor NRC MH-176 2101 Constitution Ave. Washington, DC 20048	Frank R. Yekovich Dept. of Education Catholic University Washington, DC 20064	
Dr. Klashore Sengupta Department of Administrative Services, Code 54, SE Naval Post Graduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5000	Dr. Juhani Sinivu General Headquarters Training Section Military Psych Office PL 919 SF-00101 Helsinki 10, FINLAND	Dr. Kurt Sieuck AL/IRTI Brooks AFB San Antonio, TX 78235-5601	Dean, College of Behavioral and Social Sciences University of Maryland, Baltimore County Baltimore, MD 21228	Dr. David Wiley School of Education and Social Policy Northwestern University Evanston, IL 60208	Dr. Joseph L. Young National Science Foundation Room 320 1800 G Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20550	
Dr. Valerie L. Shalin Department of Industrial Engineering State University of New York 342 Lawrence D. Bell Hall Buffalo, NY 14260	Dr. Jan Sinnott Dept. of Computer Science Towson State University Towson, MD 21204	Dr. Martha Stocking Educational Testing Service Princeton, NJ 08541	Dr. William Utal Department of Psychology Arizona State University Tempe, AZ 85287-1104	Prof. Gerard de Zeeuw Center for Innovation and Cooperative Technology Grote Hinderstraat 72 1013 KS Amsterdam The NETHERLANDS		
Mr. Richard J. Shavelson Graduate School of Education University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106	Dr. Derek Stearns Computing Science Department The University Aberdeen AB9 2FX Scotland UNITED KINGDOM	Dr. William Stout University of Illinois Department of Statistics 725 South Wright St. Champaign, IL 61820	Dr. David C. Wilkins University of Illinois Department of Computer Science 405 North Mathews Avenue Urbana, IL 61801			
Ms. Kathleen Sheehan Educational Testing Service Princeton, NJ 08541		Dr. Kurt VanLehn LRDC University of Pittsburgh 3939 O'Hara Street Pittsburgh, PA 15260	Dr. Bruce Williams Department of Educational Psychology University of Illinois Urbana, IL 61801			
Dr. William B. Kouse Search Technology, Inc. 4725 Peachtree Corners Circle Suite 200 Norcross, GA 30092	Dr. Mary Schraitz 4100 Parkside Carlsbad, CA 92008	Dr. Ben Shneiderman Dept. of Computer Science University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742	Dr. Edward E. Smith Department of Psychology 330 Packard Road Ann Arbor, MI 48103	Dr. Jerry Vogt Department of Psychology St. Norbert College De Pere, WI 54115-2099	Dr. Mark Wilson School of Education University of California Berkeley, CA 94720	
Mr. Louis Rousos University of Illinois Department of Statistics 101 Illini Hall 725 South Wright St. Champaign, IL 61820	Dr. Myrna P. Schwartz Neuropsych. Research Lab Moss Rehabilitation Hospital Philadelphia, PA 19141	Dr. Randall Shumaker Naval Research Laboratory Code 5500 4555 Overlook Avenue, S.W. Washington, DC 20375-5000	Dr. Michael E. Smith Department of Psychology Texas A&M University College Station, TX 77843	Dr. Jacques Voneche University of Geneva Department of Psychology Geneva SWITZERLAND 1204	Dr. Robert A. Wisner U.S. Army Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-5000	
Dr. Eduardo Salas Human Factors Div. (Code 262) 12350 Research Parkway Naval Training Systems Center Orlando, FL 32826-3224	Dr. Robert J. Seidel US Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Ave. Alexandria, VA 22333	Dr. Edward Silver LRDC University of Pittsburgh 3939 O'Hara Street Pittsburgh, PA 15260	Dr. Gerald Stasser Department of Psychology Miami University 104 Benton Hall Oxford, OH 45056	Dr. Ming-Mei Wang Educational Testing Service Mail Stop 03-T Princeton, NJ 08541	Dr. Kenaro Yamamoto 03-0T Educational Testing Service Rosdale Road Princeton, NJ 08541	
Dr. Funako Samelina Department of Psychology University of Tennessee 310B Austin Peay Bldg. Knoxville, TN 37966-0900	Dr. Colleen M. Seifert Department of Psychology University of Michigan 330 Packard Road Ann Arbor, MI 48104	Mr. Joe Silverman Code 115 Navy Personnel R&D Center San Diego, CA 92152-0800	Ten. Col. (dr.) Sandro Tomasini Direzioe Generale Leva - N.P.A. P. LE Roma 00144 ITALY	Dr. Thomas A. Wynn FAA Academy P.O. Box 25082 Oklahoma City, OK 73125	Dr. John H. Wolfe Navy Personnel R&D Center San Diego, CA 92152-0800	
Dr. James F. Sanford Department of Psychology George Mason University 4400 University Drive Fairfax, VA 22030	Dr. Terrence J. Sejnowski Professor The Salk Institute P. O. Box 85800 San Diego, CA 92138-9216	Dr. Zia M. Simulas, Director Manpower & Personnel Research Laboratory US Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-5600	Dr. Douglas Towne Behavioral Technology Labs University of So. California 1228 Spring Street St. Helena, CA 94574	Dr. Amos Tversky Stanford University Dept. of Psychology Building 420 Stanford, CA 94305	Dr. Masoud Yazdani Dept. of Computer Science University of Exeter Prince of Wales Road Exeter EX444PT ENGLAND	
Dr. Daniel L. Schacter Department of Psychology Harvard University Cambridge, MA 02138	Mr. Robert Semmes N218 Elliott Hall Department of Psychology University of Minnesota Minneapolis, MN 55455-0344	Dr. Jerome E. Singer Dept. of Medical Psychology Uniformed Services Univ. of the Health Sciences 4301 Jones Bridge Road Bethesda, MD 20814-4799	Chair, Psychology Dept University of Maryland, Baltimore County Baltimore, MD 21228	Alexandra K. Wigdor NRC MH-176 2101 Constitution Ave. Washington, DC 20048	Frank R. Yekovich Dept. of Education Catholic University Washington, DC 20064	
Dr. Roger Schank Inst. for the Learning Sciences Northwestern University 1890 Maple Avenue Evanston, IL 60201	Dr. Klashore Sengupta Department of Administrative Services, Code 54, SE Naval Post Graduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5000	Dr. Juhani Sinivu General Headquarters Training Section Military Psych Office PL 919 SF-00101 Helsinki 10, FINLAND	Dean, College of Behavioral and Social Sciences University of Maryland, Baltimore County Baltimore, MD 21228	Dr. David Wiley School of Education and Social Policy Northwestern University Evanston, IL 60208	Dr. Joseph L. Young National Science Foundation Room 320 1800 G Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20550	
Dr. Mark Schlager SRI International 333 Ravenswood Ave. Room 85-131 Menlo Park, CA 94025	Dr. Valerie L. Shalin Department of Industrial Engineering State University of New York 342 Lawrence D. Bell Hall Buffalo, NY 14260	Dr. Kurt Sieuck AL/IRTI Brooks AFB San Antonio, TX 78235-5601	Dr. William Utal Department of Psychology Arizona State University Tempe, AZ 85287-1104	Prof. Gerard de Zeeuw Center for Innovation and Cooperative Technology Grote Hinderstraat 72 1013 KS Amsterdam The NETHERLANDS		
Dr. Astrid Schmidt-Nelson HCT Laboratory Code 5532 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, DC 20375-5000	Mr. Richard J. Shavelson Graduate School of Education University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106	Dr. Martha Stocking Educational Testing Service Princeton, NJ 08541	Dr. David C. Wilkins University of Illinois Department of Computer Science 405 North Mathews Avenue Urbana, IL 61801			
Dr. Walter Schneider Learning R&D Center University of Pittsburgh 3939 O'Hara Street Pittsburgh, PA 15260	Dr. Derek Stearns Computing Science Department The University Aberdeen AB9 2FX Scotland UNITED KINGDOM	Dr. William Stout University of Illinois Department of Statistics 725 South Wright St. Champaign, IL 61820	Dr. Kurt VanLehn LRDC University of Pittsburgh 3939 O'Hara Street Pittsburgh, PA 15260	Dr. Bruce Williams Department of Educational Psychology University of Illinois Urbana, IL 61801		