

1. The first step in the process is to identify the problem. This involves gathering information about the situation and the people involved.

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

②

DTIC
S(ES) ELECTE 10. SPO
JAN 28 1993
C D

93-01568

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
84

ATOM WAVE INTERFEROMETER

David E. Pritchard

Annual Technical Report for the

Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-89-J-1207

December 1, 1991 - November 30, 1992

Between December 1992 and November 30, 1992, we refined our atom interferometer and started to perform experiments with spatially separated beams. The interferometer is now operating with smaller period gratings, providing greater beam separation. The experiments were performed with the aid of an interaction region that inserts a thin metal foil between the beams. This allowed us to manipulate the atomic wave function in only one arm of the interferometer.

The key component of our interferometer is the set of three matched transmission diffraction gratings which we constructed at the National Nanofabrication Facility (NNF) at Cornell University. The process allows fabrication of precisely positioned openings in thin silicon nitride membranes mounted in silicon frames. The pattern created in the membrane is determined by an electron beam writer, making the process quite versatile. This process was used to create a variety of diffraction gratings used in the interferometer. In addition, several zone plates (atom lenses) were also built, and were later successfully demonstrated.

Our present interferometer consists of three 200 nm period transmission gratings, mounted 0.66m apart on separate translation stages inside the vacuum envelope. During operation, the 0th and 1st order beams from the first grating strike the middle grating (which is 140 μm wide) where they are diffracted in the 1st and -1st orders so that they converge at the third grating. At the second (middle) grating the beams have widths of 30 μm (FWHM) and are separated by 55 μm . The first two gratings form an interference pattern in the plane of the third grating, which acts as a mask to sample this pattern. The detector, located 0.30 m beyond the third grating, records the flux transmitted by the third grating. An interaction region, consisting of a stretched metal foil positioned symmetrically between two side electrodes, is inserted in the interferometer so that the atom wave in the two sides of the interferometer went on opposite sides of the foil. The foil was 10 cm long and 10 microns thick and the gap between the foil and each electrode, where the separated atom beams traveled, was 2mm.

The data necessary to determine the interferometer phase and contrast are acquired by modulating the position of one grating relative to the other two and simultaneously recording the signal from the atom counting electronics as well as the signal from an optical interferometer used to measure the relative position of the gratings. After removing data obscured by noise spikes from the hot wire, the atom count rate data are averaged into bins according to relative grating position, resulting in the fringe pattern. The peak to peak amplitude of our interference signal is 1600 Hz, which enables us to determine the interferometer phase to a precision of 15 m rad in 1 min.

By putting an electric field on one side of the interaction region, the interference pattern is shifted. This phase shift is caused by the DC Stark shift of the atom. The Stark shift is $-\alpha E^2/2$, where α is the electric polarizability and E is the electric field. The measured phase shift was quadratic with the applied field, allowing us to determine the polarizability of the ground state. By observing the reduction of the interference contrast with increasing phase shift, we can measure the longitudinal coherence length of the atomic beam. Our coherence length is 1.6 angstroms, consistent with the measured velocity distribution.

In another experiment, we apply a uniform magnetic field along the beam axis to determine the quantization direction. By running a current down the metal foil, perpendicular to the plane of the interferometer, we increase the field magnitude on one side of the interaction region (thus on one beam) and decrease it on the other. This gives a differential Zeeman energy, and therefore phase, for the two paths that is proportional to the septum current and the projection of the magnetic moment along the beam axis.

The interference pattern of each of the eight sodium ground states shifts independently. There are five different values of the angular momentum projection (one each $m_F \pm 2$, two each $m_F = \pm 1, 0$). The different interference patterns superpose to produce an interference pattern whose contrast depends on the differential Zeeman shifts. The first revival of contrast is the point where the phase shifts are: $\pm 4\pi$ for the $|m_F|=2$ states, $\pm 2\pi$ for the $|m_F|=1$ states, and 0 for the $m_F=0$ states.

The scientific future of atoms interferometers looks bright: atom beam sources are inexpensive and intense relative to other particle beams/sources (eg. neutrons, electrons), several techniques have now been demonstrated to make interferometers for them, and the atoms which may be used in them come with a wide range of parameters such as polarizability, mass, and magnetic moment. This assures the applicability of these instruments to a wide range of measurements of both fundamental and practical interest.

RECENT PUBLICATIONS

C.R. Ekstrom, D.W. Keith, and D.E. Pritchard, "Atom Optics Using Microfabricated Structures", *Appl. Phys. B* **54**, 369 (1992).

Numerical Model of a Multiple Grating Interferometer, Q. A. Turchette, D. E. Pritchard, D. W. Keith: *JOSA B*, **9**, 1992.

David E. Pritchard, "Atom Interferometers", *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Atomic Physics, Munich, Germany, August 3-7, 1992*, ed. T.W. Hansch, and H. Walther, to be published.

THESIS (undergraduate)

John Berberian, "Measuring the Isotropic Polarizability of the Sodium Ground State", S.B. Department of Physics, MIT 1992.

Office of Naval Research

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Herschel S. Pilloff
Scientific Officer

Code: 1112LO
Office of Naval Research
800 North Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217

3 copies

Administrative Contracting Officer
E19-628
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, MA 02139

1 copy

Director
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, DC 20375
Attn: Code 2627

6 copies

Defense Technical Information Center
Bldg. 5, Cameron Station
Alexandria, VA 22314

2 copies

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 5

Distribution For	
DTIC	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Avail and/or	
Dist	Special
A-1	