

AD-A266 172 INTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188


1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and
ormation. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including
s. Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA
ion Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1 AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2 REPORT DATE March 1993		3 REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Professional paper	
4 TITLE AND SUBTITLE ADAPTIVE WHITENING FILTERS FOR SMALL TARGET DETECTION				5 FUNDING NUMBERS PR: ZW51 PE: 0601152N WU: DN300155	
6 AUTHOR(S) T. Soni, J. R. Zeidler, W. H. Ku					
7 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Command, Control and Ocean Surveillance Center (NCCOSC) RDT&E Division San Diego, CA 92152-5001				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9 SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Office of Chief of Naval Research Independent Research Programs (IR) OCNR-10P Arlington, VA 22217-5000				10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
12a DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.				12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) This paper studies the performance of the two dimensional least mean square adaptive filter as a prewhitening filter for detection systems. In two dimensional infrared sensor data, the clutter is correlated and much wider in spatial extent than the signal of interest. The two dimensional adaptive filter can be trained to adapt and predict the clutter, thereby enabling the error channel output to contain the signal of interest in white noise. Performance of the adaptive prewhitener, in terms of local signal to clutter ratio's (LSCR) and the gain obtained is described. The gain in LSCR due to this augmenting filter, is shown to depend of the statistics of the background clutter, in particular on the local mean. It is shown that, as the amount of color in the background clutter increases, the performance of the conventional matched filter performance degrades much more than the performance of a detector based on the augmenting prewhitener.					
93-13602 					
Published in Proceedings SPIE-Signal and Data Processing of Small Targets, Vol 1698, April 1992 pp 21-31.					
14 SUBJECT TERMS image processing signal detection				15 NUMBER OF PAGES	
				16 PRICE CODE	
17 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	18 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	20 LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAME AS REPORT		

UNCLASSIFIED

21a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL J. R. Zeidler	21b. TELEPHONE (include Area Code) (619) 553-1581	21c. OFFICE SYMBOL Code 804

DISCLAIMER NOTICE



THIS REPORT IS INCOMPLETE BUT IS THE BEST AVAILABLE COPY FURNISHED TO THE CENTER. THERE ARE MULTIPLE MISSING PAGES. ALL ATTEMPTS TO DATE TO OBTAIN THE MISSING PAGES HAVE BEEN UNSUCCESSFUL.

Adaptive Whitening Filters for Small Target Detection*

Tarun Soni, James R. Zeidler[†], and Walter H. Ku

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093-0407

[†] Also with: Naval Command, Control and Ocean Surveillance Center,
NRad Division, Code 804, San Diego, CA 92152-5000

Accession For	
NTIS CRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution /	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	20

ABSTRACT

This paper studies the performance of the two dimensional least mean square adaptive filter as a prewhitening filter for detection systems. In two dimensional infrared sensor data, the clutter is correlated and much wider in spatial extent than the signal of interest. The two dimensional adaptive filter can be trained to adapt and predict the clutter, thereby enabling the error channel output to contain the signal of interest in white noise. Performance of the adaptive prewhitener, in terms of local signal to clutter ratio's (LSCR) and the gain obtained is described. The gain in LSCR due to this augmenting filter, is shown to depend on the statistics of the background clutter, in particular on the local mean. It is shown that, as the amount of color in the background clutter increases, the performance of the conventional matched filter performance degrades much more than the performance of a detector based on the augmenting prewhitener.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we describe the performance of adaptive whitening filters when used for small target detection in two dimensional image data. The problem of small object detection from cluttered image scenes is of interest in a number of applications ranging from that of infrared target detection to medical imaging. In these applications the object of interest is of a very small spatial extent and is masked by clutter of a much larger spatial extent. In this paper we look at some adaptive techniques used to cancel such correlated clutter from two dimensional infrared image data.

Most detection systems for such small targets model the underlying clutter. A number of image representation models ranging from the white noise driven representations to the minimum variance prediction representations have been proposed.^{1,2} Prediction based methods which use general image models and estimate the linear prediction coefficients at each pixel have been applied to object detection.³ For infrared clutter, other models and detection schemes have been developed. Takken et al.^{4,6} developed a spatial filter based on least mean square optimization. The filter was designed to maximize the signal

*This work was supported by the NSF I/UC Research Center on Ultra High Speed Integrated Circuits and Systems (ICAS) at the University of California, San Diego, The Office of Naval Research and the National Science Foundation under grant #ECD89-16669 and the U.S. Naval Command, Control and Surveillance Center Independent Research Program

where j is the iteration number. The image is scanned from top to bottom and left to right (lexicographically) and hence j is found as $j = mM + n$. Here X is the input image, Y the predicted image and W_j the weight matrix at the j^{th} iteration. The images are assumed to be square of size $M \times M$ pixels and the weight matrix (hence the window size of the pixels being used to predict) is taken to be square of size $N \times N$. This predicted value is compared with a reference and the residual is the error between the two given by

$$E(m, n) = \epsilon_j = D(m, n) - Y(m, n) \quad (3)$$

where D is the reference image. In the line enhancer configuration this reference is a shifted version of the input image. The weights are updated by using instantaneous estimates of the autocorrelation of the noise and the cross correlation between the reference and the input and then using a steepest descent direction.¹⁶ The update equations then become

$$W_{j+1}(l, k) = W_j + \mu \epsilon_j X(m-l, n-k) \quad l, k = 0, \dots, N-1 \quad (4)$$

where μ is the adaptation parameter. Under stationary conditions, it can be shown that the weights of this filter converge to the solution of the two dimensional Wiener-Hopf equations, provided μ is chosen to be within the bounds required for stability.¹⁹

Knowing the difference in the correlation lengths of the signal of interest and the clutter in the image it is possible to find bounds on μ such that this TDLMS based adaptive filter predicts the clutter but not the signal of interest.²⁰ Thus a separation of the signal and the clutter is obtained by the use of such an adaptive filter. The error channel output of this filter (which now ideally contains only the signal of interest and white noise) can be used for a matched filtering operation and thus the detection statistic can be generated.

2.2. Filtering Performance

The performance of such a adaptive clutter whitener(ACW) based detection system was studied. Both the filtering and detection performance for structured background clutter and real infrared data were studied. The filtering performance was characterised by the *local signal to noise ratio(LSCR)* defined in a window of interest. Thus, if the window of interest were a rectangular region defined from (L_x, L_y) to (H_x, H_y) , then the LSCR (in dB) is defined as

$$LSCR = 10 \log_{10} \frac{\sum_{i=L_x}^{H_x} \sum_{j=L_y}^{H_y} (s(i, j) - m_w)^2}{\sigma_w^2} \quad (5)$$

where, m_w is the mean of the noise in the window and σ_w is the variance. And the gain (in dB) provided by such a filter is then given by

$$Gain = LSCR_{out} - LSCR_{in} \quad (6)$$

Fig.1a shows the input image used for some of the simulations. This image is part of a 6 channel multispectral data set collected by a NASA Thermal Infrared Multispectral Scanner (TIMS) sensor and is of a rural background over the hills of Adelaide, Australia. An artificial target 2x2 pixels in extent was inserted into this background.

For a very high LSCR the output of the ACW is shown in Fig.1b. It is seen that most of the correlated background clutter is predicted and hence the residual contains very little correlated background clutter. The target is not predicted and is present in the error output of the ACW.

The performance of this ACW depends on μ . Fig.2 shows the LSCR gain obtained as a function of the adaptation parameter. It is seen that as μ is increased beyond an optimum and approaches the stability bounds, the performance of the ACW degrades very fast. This is a result of two factors. First, increasing




Figure 3: Gain vs. Input LSCR for target position 100,100

the μ allows the filter to predict the signal of interest and thus some of the target energy is cancelled in the output. Second, as μ approaches the stability bound of the filter the weight misadjustment noise increases.

It is also seen that as μ is decreased below the optimum, the performance of the ACW degrades, though not as drastically as that in the upper bound case. This degradation is because, with the slower adaptation parameter, the filter is unable to accurately predict the clutter in the environment.

2.3. Comparison with Local Demeaning


It has been shown that the removal of the local mean from an image causes the image statistics to become Gaussian and also removes any spatial correlation, thus "whitening" the image.^{7,21} Consequently, the local demeaning filter is an alternative technique for whitening the image. In this section, the comparative performance of the adaptive clutter whitening filter and the local demeaning filter will be obtained.

The amount of LSCR gain obtained by such a system can be calculated theoretically since the target inserted in the image is known completely. If m_l is the mean of the background clutter using a window of the same size as that used for the local demeaning and m_a is the value of background clutter at the pixel of interest. Then the output of the local demeaning filter at that pixel will be $s_n - m_l$, and that of the TDLMS will be $s_n - m_a$, where s_l is the intensity of the pixel with the signal present. The theoretical plots are based on the assumption that the TDLMS has converged fully and is able to predict the background clutter without any leakage of the clutter into the error channel.

Fig.3 shows the gain in the output as a function of the LSCR in the input for a 2×2 target located at (100,100). At this position, $m_l < m_a$, and TDLMS shows more gain than the local demeaning algorithm.

However Fig 4 shows the gain in the output as a function of the input LSCR for a target position of (105,105) where $m_l > m_a$, and the local demeaning filter is seen to perform better than the TDLMS. This is primarily because the dominant component of the clutter is a d.c. (in the spatial sense) component which can then be removed by a local demeaning operation across the image.

However for images that do not have a strong d.c. component but have a highly correlated clutter



(a) Output from TDLMS

(b) Output from Local Demeaning

Figure 6: The Performance of the TDLMS and the Local Demeaning filter for structured sinusoidal clutter

structure, the local demeaning filter fails to remove the background clutter, while the TDLMS is able to predict the correlated clutter. This is seen in Figure 5 which shows the input being a two dimensional sinusoid with a 2×2 target. The TDLMS output shown in Fig.6a is seen to have only the target, while the output of the local demeaning is seen to contain sinusoidal clutter as well(Fig.6b).


Thus we see that the TDLMS based adaptive filter can whiten clutter without also removing the target, when the clutter is structured. However the performance depends on the local statistics of the image. The detection performance of such ACW augmented receivers in the presence of highly structured noise has been characterized and ROC curves plotted using Mont-Carlo methods.¹⁷ It has been shown that as the amount of color in the background clutter increases, the detection performance of the augmented matched filter does not degrade as much as that of the conventional matched filter

3. ADAPTIVE RECURSIVE DETECTION

3.1. Weighted Estimation

Another approach to the target detection problem consists of estimating the noise autocorrelation matrix and calculating the detection statistic directly from Eq.1. The sampled matrix inversion,²² the modified sampled matrix inversion²³ and the generalized likelihood ratio^{24,25} detectors belong in this class. The estimation is usually done as a maximum likelihood estimation using

$$\Phi = \sum_{k=0}^n \mathbf{x}(k)\mathbf{x}(k)^T \quad (7)$$



(a) Target Embedded in Infrared Image Data

(b) Detection Statistic

Figure 7: Typical Input and Output for Recursive Estimation Based Detection with $\lambda = 0.99$

Now if the detector is designed for a constant false alarm rate operation (CFAR), $P_{fa}(n) = P_{fa}(n-1)$. Further if the rate of non-stationarity is small we can show

$$P_d^*(n) \approx \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}[C_d^* + \Delta_d] \quad (17)$$

where

$$C_d^* = C_f - \frac{m^*(n-1)}{\sqrt{2}\sigma^*(n-1)} - \frac{\delta\sigma^*(n-1)}{2m^*(n-1)} \quad (18)$$

$$\Delta_d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{m^*(n-1)}{\sigma^*(n-1)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma^*(n-1)}{m^*(n-1)} (\delta + \Delta) \quad (19)$$

$$\Delta = \frac{\lambda^{-2} [s^T \Phi^*(n-1)^{-1} \Phi(n) \Phi^*(n-1)^{-1} s - \lambda m^*(n-1)]}{1 + \lambda^{-1} x^T \Phi^*(n-1)^{-1} x} \quad (20)$$

and $\delta = s^T \Delta \Phi s$. Here $\Delta \Phi$ is the change in the inverse of the autocorrelation of the non-stationary noise input and is given by

$$\Delta \Phi = \Phi^{-1}(n) - \Phi^{-1}(n-1) \quad (21)$$

Equations 17 to 21 thus define the relationship between the probability of detection (P_d), the rate of non stationarity of the noise ($\Delta \Phi$) and the weighting factor of the weighted update (λ). A proper choice of λ depends on the autocorrelation matrix of the noise, and can influence the probability of detection.

A two dimensional version of the recursive detection procedure can be formulated by ordering the data matrix into a vector lexicographically. Such a matched filter was used in a noise canceller structure for multi-spectral images. A typical input image (with an artificially inserted target) and the resulting detection statistic are shown in Figures 7a and 7b. It is seen that due to the adaptive estimation of the autocorrelation matrix, the matched filter is able to follow the changes in the statistics of the background clutter.

11. R. Fries, M. Koligman, D. Robbins, M. Ringler, and R. Caldwell, "Spatial Temporal Clutter Suppression Study," *Final Technical Report for Naval Ocean Systems Center*, vol. 1, code 762, Aug 1989.
12. J. R. Zeidler, "Performance analysis of LMS Adaptive Prediction filters," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 78, pp. 1781-1806, Dec. 1990.
13. J. T. Rickard, J. R. Zeidler, M. J. Dentino, and M. Shensa, "A Performance Analysis of Adaptive Line Enhancer-Augmented Spectral Detectors," *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, vol. ASSP-29, pp. 694-701, June 1981.
14. L. Milstein, "Interference Rejection Techniques in Spread Spectrum Communications," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 76, pp. 657-671, June 1988.
15. G. A. Clark and P. W. Rodgers, "Adaptive Prediction Applied to Seismic Event Detection," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 69, pp. 1166-1168, Sep. 1981.
16. M. M. Hadhoud and D. W. Thomas, "The Two-Dimensional Adaptive LMS(TDLMS) Algorithm," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 485-494, 1988.
17. T. Soni, B. D. Rao, J. R. Zeidler, and W. H. Ku, "Signal Enhancement in Noise and Clutter Corrupted Images Using Adaptive Predictive Filtering Techniques," in *Proc. of SPIE, Adaptive Filters*, 1991.
18. C. W. Helstrom, *Statistical Theory of Signal Detection*. Oxford, England: Pergamon, 1968.
19. S. Haykin, *Adaptive Filter Theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1985.
20. T. Soni, B. D. Rao, J. R. Zeidler, and W. H. Ku, "Enhancement of Images Using the 2-D LMS Adaptive Algorithm," in *Proceedings IEEE International Conf. on Acoustics Speech and Signal Processing*, vol. 4, (Toronto, Canada), pp. 3029-3032, April 1991.
21. A. Margalit, L. S. Reed, and R. M. Gagliardi, "Adaptive Optical Target Detection Using Correlated Images," *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, vol. 21, pp. 394-405, May 1985.
22. H. Wang and L. Cai, "On Adaptive Multiband Signal Detection with the SMI Algorithm," *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, vol. 26, pp. 768-773, May 1990.
23. L. Cai and H. Wang, "Performance Comparisons of the Modified SMI and GLR Algorithms," *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, vol. 27, pp. 487-491, Mar. 1991.
24. H. Wang and L. Cai, "On Adaptive Multiband Signal Detection with the GLR Algorithm," *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, vol. 27, pp. 225-233, Feb. 1991.
25. I. S. Reed, R. M. Gagliardi, and L. B. Stotts, "A Recursive Moving-Target-Indication Algorithm for Optical Image Sequences," *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, vol. 26, pp. 434-439, May 1990.
26. T. Soni, J. R. Zeidler, and W. H. Ku, "Recursive Estimation Techniques for Detection of Small Objects in Infrared Image Data," in *Proceedings IEEE International Conf. on Acoustics Speech and Signal Processing*, vol. 3, (San Francisco, USA), pp. 581-584, March 1992.
27. E. Eleftheriou and D. D. Falconer, "Tracking Properties and Steady State Performance of RLS Adaptive Filter Algorithms," *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, vol. ASSP-34, pp. 1097-1110, Dec. 1986.