

AL-TR-1993-0017

AD-A270 473



**PRELIMINARY ELECTRO-OPTIC EVALUATION OF
PROTOTYPE SURFACE MODE LIQUID CRYSTAL
SHUTTER FOR NUCLEAR FLASHBLINDNESS PROTECTION**

**Michael W. Mayo, Captain, USAF
Craig M. Bramlette, Staff Sergeant, USAF**

**OCCUPATIONAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH DIRECTORATE
OPTICAL RADIATION DIVISION
8111 18th Street
Brooks Air Force Base, TX 78235-5215**

**DTIC
ELECTE
OCT 12 1993
S B D**

July 1993

Final Technical Report for Period September 1989 - June 1990

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

20 **93-23776**



93 10 7 038

**AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND
BROOKS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS**

**ARMSTRONG
LABORATORY**

NOTICES


When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely Government-related procurement, the United States Government incurs no responsibility or any obligation whatsoever. The fact that the Government may have formulated or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication, or otherwise in any manner construed, as licensing the holder or any other person or corporation; or as conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

The Office of Public Affairs has reviewed this report, and it is releasable to the National Technical Information Service, where it will be available to the general public, including foreign nationals.

This report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.



MICHAEL W. MAYO, Captain, USAF
Project Scientist



ROBERT M. CARTLEDGE, Lt Col, USAF, BSC
Chief, Optical Radiation Division

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE July 1993	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final - September 1989 - June 1990	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Preliminary Electro-Optic Evaluation of Prototype Surface Mode Liquid Crystal Shutter for Nuclear Flashblindness Protection			5. FUNDING NUMBERS PE - 62202F PR - 7757 TA - 02 WU - 78	
6. AUTHOR(S) Michael W. Mayo Craig M. Bramlette				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Armstrong Laboratory (AFMC) Occupational and Environmental Health Directorate Optical Radiation Division 8111 18th Street Brooks Air Force Base, TX 78235-5215			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER AL-TR-1993-0017	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAMES(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) A preliminary evaluation was performed on a prototype Surface Mode Liquid Crystal Shutter (SMLCS) device manufactured by Optical Shields, Inc., in order to determine whether such a device could provide equal or improved nuclear flashblindness protection (NFP) over the currently used EEU/2P lanthanum-modified lead zirconate titanate (PLZT) goggles manufactured by Honeywell.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Life support Nuclear flash Optical countermeasures			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 24	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	

CONTENTS

	Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
Results	1
BACKGROUND	2
Nuclear Flashblindness Protection - PLZT	3
Operation of the Surface Mode Device	3
EXPERIMENTAL METHOD	6
Spectrophotometry	6
Haze	6
Activation and Closure Times	6
RESULTS	7
Spectrophotometry	7
Activation and Closure Times	9
DISCUSSION	13
REFERENCES	15

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 2

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/ _____	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

FIGURES

Figure No.		Page
1	High-speed light valve components (2)	4
2	SMD cell	5
3	Clear state operation of light valve	5
4	Spectral OD: SMLCS, open state, 0 degrees	7
5	Spectral transmittance: SMLCS, open state, 0 degrees	8
6	Spectral optical density: SMLCS + KG3 IR filter.	8
7	Spectral optical density: SMLCS, closed state, 0 degrees	9
8	Spectral OD comparison: SMLCS closed state	10
9	Spectral OD comparison: SMLCS open state	10
10	Spectral %T comparison: SMLCS open state	11
11	Typical activation time (62 μ s)	11
12	Typical closure time to 3 OD (108.50 μ s) using the Argon-ion laser as a probe	12
13	Typical closure time to 3 OD (84.9 μ s) using the broadband light source as probe (IR blocked with KG3)	12
14	Typical time to return to open state transmission (15 ms)	14

TABLE

Table No.		
1	Closure Time Results	13

PRELIMINARY ELECTRO-OPTIC EVALUATION OF PROTOTYPE SURFACE MODE LIQUID CRYSTAL SHUTTER FOR NUCLEAR FLASHBLINDNESS PROTECTION

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A preliminary evaluation was performed on a prototype Surface Mode Liquid Crystal Shutter (SMLCS) device manufactured by Optical Shields, Inc. The activation and closure times were measured to determine whether such a device could provide equal or improved nuclear flashblindness protection (NFP) over the currently used EEU/2P lanthanum-modified lead zirconate titanate (PLZT) goggles manufactured by Honeywell. In addition, a basic optical characterization was performed to include spectrophotometry, haze, and laser densitometry. The device sent to Armstrong Laboratory (AL) was an off-the-shelf SMLCS designed for use in an electronic welding helmet. Optical Shields, Inc., added an infrared (IR) cut-off filter to make it more suitable for the NFP application. However, the application of this IR filter coating was not optimized, and one side of the SMLCS was not suitable for testing. Therefore, all tests were performed on only one side of the device. For these reasons, and in order to meet initial program goals, a full-scale evaluation was not performed. Only basic performance characteristics were assessed since the military version of the SMLCS will most likely be different from this prototype.

Results

Spectrophotometry was performed on the device in both its clear and dark states at various angles and rotations to determine spectral optical density, spectral transmittance, photopic and scotopic luminous transmittance, mean ultraviolet (UV) transmittance, and chromaticity coordinates. Both the photopic and scotopic luminous transmittance were measured to be 34.0% at normal incidence, zero degree rotation perpendicular to the normal axis. The photopic and scotopic luminous transmittance dropped to 18.2% and 15.5%, respectively, at 45° off normal. These transmittance values are not absolute measurements but are only good for relative changes due to the inherent polarization dependency of the SMLCS. The mean UV transmittance was 0.0% for all test conditions. Likewise, chromaticity coordinates were within the neutrality limits for all circumstances.

The SMLCS was found to be very sensitive to the polarization of the incident light source. The spectrophotometer used during this evaluation is rather strongly polarized in one plane (linear polarization). After an orthogonal 90° rotation with respect to the incident beam direction the results were vastly different. The photopic and scotopic luminous transmittance dropped to 6.1% and 5.1%, respectively. To obtain a true transmission reading, a randomly polarized broadband light would have to be used as the source and transmission

measured with a photometer. Plans are underway to perform such measurements.

Haze measurements were taken at 12 locations on the device and averaged 0.26%. These values are well within the established military standard, MIL-V-43511B, which specifies that haze must not exceed 2.0%.

All activation and closure times were measured at the center point of the right half (side with the undamaged IR filter coating), normal incidence, and no rotation in the perpendicular axis. The activation time in this report is defined as the time from trigger source flash to the start of closure. The closure time is defined as the time it takes to go from open state transmission to a transmission of 0.1% (3 optical densities) after the trigger source flash. Measurements were made for 3 separate cases: (1) using a linearly polarized 514-nanometer (nm) wavelength laser as the probe; (2) using a randomly polarized broadband (white light) source as the probe; and (3) using the broadband light source as the probe beam with a Schott glass KG3 IR filter in front to completely block all of the IR radiation past 950 nm.

The activation time was 62 microseconds (μ s) for all three test cases. The closure time had a mean of 111.83 μ s with a standard deviation of 5.25 μ s for the Argon laser; 103.05 μ s mean and standard deviation of 4.26 μ s for the broadband light source without KG3 filter in place; and 85.51 μ s mean and standard deviation of 4.55 μ s for the broadband light source with KG3 filter in place.

Additionally, the time to switch from the dark to the clear state was measured to be approximately 15 ms for all three test cases.

BACKGROUND

A Surface Mode Liquid Crystal Shutter (SMLCS) was developed by Optical Shields, Inc., Menlo Park, Calif., and is currently being sold as a high speed optical shutter (light valve). These Surface Mode Device (SMD) technology light valves are currently used as 3-D imaging devices, automatic gain control on video cameras, and eye protection for welders. Optical Shields, Inc., is currently developing this technology for a system that will protect military personnel and equipment from nuclear flashblindness.

According to the Human Systems Center/Human Systems Program Office (HSC/YAGO) RAMTIP Project Plan "Liquid Crystal Technology for Nuclear Flashblindness Protection," personnel in the B-2 System Program Office previously determined that the switching speed was fast enough in the SMLCS to provide nuclear flashblindness protection. Based on this switching time data obtained in late 1989, HSC/YAG went forward with a buy of a single SMLCS incorporated in an HGU-56/P helmet via an existing Army contract to acquire Thermal Flashblindness Protective Devices (TFPD).

Nuclear Flashblindness Protection - PLZT

The visible thermal emission from a nuclear explosion is capable of producing ocular injuries from great distances due to the extremely large amount of energy released in a detonation. As a result, eye protection having sufficient optical density (OD) across the emission spectrum is required. Since a high attenuation of the incident light is required to protect the retina from injury, a passive neutral density filter would severely restrict normal vision. Therefore, a dynamic neutral density filter capable of "switching" from clear to opaque in a sufficiently short time to prevent adverse eye effects is the system of choice. Such a system would have to provide an open state transmission high enough to allow aircrew members to perform vision tasks that are necessary in the absence of a detonation and does not significantly increase mission risks.

A number of concepts and devices were considered, including photochromics, ultraviolet (UV) pumped photochromics, various mechanical devices, polarization schemes, thermal curtains, and eye patches (5). A reasonable solution was found only after Sandia Laboratories achieved optical transparency in lanthanum-modified lead zirconate titanate (PLZT) in the early 1970s. In 1975, they began to develop this PLZT material into a goggle format for the U.S. Air Force (USAF) to provide ocular nuclear flash protection. These goggles were only accepted by certain commands after lowering the open state transmission specification from 70% to 20%.

The EEU-2/P Flashblindness Goggles are currently in the USAF inventory. Each lens is constructed with thin sheets of PLZT sandwiched between crossed polarizers. A photodetector, mounted behind each lens, senses a high-intensity light flash and triggers the control circuit to discharge a voltage which is applied across the PLZT lenses. The lenses are in the "open" or transparent state with the voltage (28V) applied. If the light level doubles in less than 100 μ s, the control circuit will discharge and reduce the optical transmission to approximately 0.1% (3.0 OD). The average switching time from 20% to 0.1% is approximately 150 μ s. A final OD of 4.1 is reached shortly thereafter (1).

Operation of the Surface Mode Device

The proposed TFPD replacement is a high-speed light valve containing a SMD, an optical sensor, and an electronic drive circuit (Fig. 1)(2). The SMD is clear when a sustaining voltage of approximately 5V is applied across the cell. Optical Shields, Inc., claims that the shutter can be driven from a transmission of 23% (0.65 OD) to a transmission of 0.003% (4.5 OD) in less than 75 μ s, and will switch from the dark to the clear state in less than 1,200 μ s (2).




Figure 1. High-speed light valve components (2).

The SMD cell is shown in Figure 2 and consists of a $7\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ thick, birefringent nematic liquid crystal material sandwiched between transparent electrode surfaces and crossed polarizers (3). With 5V applied, the material directly next to the substrate maintains its original orientation while the material in the center of the cell orients in a direction parallel to the applied electric field (4). Changing the applied voltage causes changes in the surface layer which in turn alters the cell optical properties (2). Due to the birefringent characteristics of the nematic liquid crystal, the phase of polarization of the light passing through the cell is shifted by a degree proportional to the thickness of the surface layer. Since the surface layer thickness is dependent upon the applied voltage, the optical properties will vary with varying voltages (6).

The clear state operation of the light valve is shown in Figure 3. Randomly polarized light passes through the first polarizer to become linearly polarized, then passes through the liquid crystal cell where it is resolved into two components. The ordinary component is aligned parallel to the long axis of the liquid crystal molecules while the extraordinary light component is aligned perpendicular. The ordinary component travels faster through the surface layer of the cell and undergoes a 180° shift in relation to the extraordinary component. This action results in an effective 90° polarization rotation which will allow for passage through to the analyzer (2).




Figure 2. SMD cell.




Figure 3. Clear state operation of light valve.

For dark state operation, the voltage is discharged in order to orient all of the liquid crystal material parallel to the electric field. In this state, linearly polarized light travels through the cell parallel to the long axis of the crystal and is therefore unaffected by the birefringent properties. Since the ordinary and extraordinary component of light will travel through the cell at the same speed, the two components are in phase and the direction of polarization will not change. The light will then strike the analyzer 90° out of alignment and be absorbed.

EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

Spectrophotometry

Spectral scans were performed on the material in both the open and closed state at 0, 15, 30, and 45 degrees with respect to normal. The SMLCS was also tested after rotating the device 90° in a plane orthogonal to the incident beam. All measurements were made through the center of the lens having the undamaged infrared (IR) filter coating. A Perkin-Elmer Lambda 9 UV/VIS/NIR spectrophotometer was used to obtain spectral transmittance, spectral optical density, mean UV transmittance, photopic and scotopic luminous transmittance, and chromaticity coordinates. The spectral range scanned was from 200 - 1200 nm in 1-nm increments.

Haze

The haze of an optical element is that percentage of transmitted light which, in passing through the material, deviates from the incident beam by forward scattering outside of a 2.5° cone. Haze was determined by a Hazeguard XL-211 Hazemeter. The system was calibrated with a GTS-2202 test standard and is accurate $\pm 0.1\%$ for samples having a haze less than 14%.

Activation and Closure Times

All activation and closure times were measured at the center point of the right half (side with the undamaged IR filter coating), normal incidence, and no rotation in the perpendicular axis. The activation time in this report is defined as the time from trigger source flash to the start of closure. The closure time is defined as the time it takes to go from open state transmission to a transmission of 0.1% (3 OD) after the trigger source flash.

Measurements were made for 3 separate cases: (1) using a linearly polarized 514-nm wavelength laser as the probe; (2) using a randomly polarized broadband (white light) source as the probe; and (3) using the broadband light source as the probe beam with a Schott glass KG3 IR filter in front to

completely block all of the infrared radiation past 950 nm. A white light, xenon photoflash was used to simulate the nuclear flash and serve as the trigger source for the digital storage oscilloscope.

RESULTS

This section presents the data obtained from the experiments designed to evaluate the performance of the SMLCS. As mentioned earlier, a full-scale test was not completed on the prototype SMLCS because of the probable technical changes in the military version.

Spectrophotometry

Figures 4 and 5 depict the open state spectral OD and transmittance, respectively, of the prototype SMLCS as designed for use as a protective shield for welders goggles.

Figure 6 shows the spectral OD of the SMLCS in combination with a KG3 IR filter to completely filter the IR radiation past 950 nm. The addition of this filter, or a coating having the same spectral characteristics, may resolve possible problems which may cause the "leakage" of near-IR radiation. The addition of such a filter should not significantly lower the open state transmission.




Figure 4. Spectral OD: SMLCS, open state, 0 degrees.

SAMPLE: OPTICAL SHIELDS OPEN STATE
(PERKIN)

PHOTOPIC LUMINOUS TRANSMITTANCE: 34.0%

SCOTOPIC LUMINOUS TRANSMITTANCE: 34.9%

MEAN UV TRANSMITTANCE: 0.0%

Chromaticity Coord.:

X = 0.305

Y = 0.359

Z = 0.326




Figure 5. Spectral transmittance: SMLCS, open state, 0 degrees.




Figure 6. Spectral optical density: SMLCS + KG3 IR filter.

The fully closed state yields an attenuation factor of greater than four (4 OD) in the entire visible spectrum. The spectral optical density of the SMLCS is the closed state shown in Figure 7.




Figure 7. Spectral optical density: SMLCS, closed state, 0 degrees.

Figure 8 displays the minimal decrease in closed state protection in the near-IR spectral region. As shown in Figure 6, an improved IR filter coating could be incorporated to prevent this shift in protection from 1100 to 1000 nm as shown in Figure 8.


The variations caused by the polarization of the incident light are clearly shown in Figures 9 and 10. Figure 9 compares the spectral OD results when the device is rotated 90° with respect to the incident linearly polarized light from the spectrophotometer. Likewise, Figure 10 compares the spectral transmittance scans. The photopic luminous transmittance (dark adapted vision) changes from 34.0% to 6.1% and the scotopic luminous transmittance (dark adapted vision) changes from 34.9% to 5.1%.

Activation and Closure Times

The activation time was 62 μ s for all three test cases (Fig. 11). The closure time had a mean of 111.83 μ s with a standard deviation of 5.25 μ s for the Argon laser (Fig. 12); 103.05 μ s mean and standard deviation of 4.26 μ s for the broadband light source without KG3 filter in place, and 85.51 μ s mean and standard deviation of 4.55 μ s for the broadband light source with KG3 filter in place (Fig. 13).

SAMPLE: OPTICAL SHIELDS LIQUID CRYSTAL. CLOSED 0 DEGREES
[PERKIN]


SAMPLE # 2 : OPTICAL SHIELDS LIQUID CRYSTAL. CLOSED. 30 DEGREES
[PERKIN]



**Figure 8. Spectral OD comparison: SMLCS closed state.
0 and 30 degrees in plane rotation.**

SAMPLE: OPTICAL SHIELDS OPEN STATE
[PERKIN]

SAMPLE # 2 : OPTICAL SHIELDS LCS 90 DEGREE INCIDENT
[PERKIN]



**Figure 9. Spectral OD comparison: SMLCS open state.
Normal incidence: 0 and 90 degrees.**

SAMPLE: OPTICAL SHIELDS OPEN STATE
[PERKIN]

PHOTOPIC LUMINOUS TRANSMITTANCE 34%
SCOTOPIC LUMINOUS TRANSMITTANCE 34.9%

SAMPLE # 2 : OPTICAL SHIELDS LCS 90 DEGREE INCIDENT
[PERKIN]

PHOTOPIC LUMINOUS TRANSMITTANCE 6.1%
SCOTOPIC LUMINOUS TRANSMITTANCE 5.1%





Figure 10. Spectral %T comparison: SMLCS open state.
Normal incidence: 0 and 90 degrees.



Δt 62.00 μs

T/div 50 μs

Figure 11. Typical activation time (62 μs).
Upper trace is photoflash, lower trace is SMLCS response.




Figure 12. Typical closure time to 3 OD (108.50μ s) using the Argon-ion laser as a probe.




Figure 13. Typical closure time to 3 OD (84.9μ s) using the broadband light source as probe (IR blocked with KG3).

The activation times were not a function of the probe beam polarization angle or wavelength. We feel that this time could be significantly decreased with improved design of the electronics. The activation time of the currently used PLZT is only 12 μ s.

The following table summarizes the closure time results. Apparent from these results is the polarization sensitivity of the device and the effect of near-IR radiation leakage.


Table 1. Closure Time Results

Case 1 ARGON-ION LASER (514nm) - Linearly polarized - coherent	Case 2 BROADBAND LIGHT SOURCE - randomly polarized incoherent	Case 3 BROADBAND LIGHT SOURCE (With KG3 Filter) - randomly polarized - incoherent
100.2	104.8	90.5
106.9	109.0	83.1
116.8	100.2	82.1
112.3	99.7	84.9
107.4	109.7	76.8
109.9	102.2	85.1
119.8	103.6	83.8
116.5	103.5	84.8
109.7	103.6	91.5
115.5	94.2	92.5
119.5		
112.8		
108.6		
109.7		
MEAN: 111.83	MEAN: 103.05	MEAN: 85.51
STD DEV: 5.25	STD DEV: 4.26	STD DEV: 4.55

The time to return to a fully open state did not change when tested during all three conditions. The fully closed to fully open time response was 15 ms for all cases (Fig. 14). This time response also appears to be a function of the electronics and the electro-optic nature of the SMLCS.

DISCUSSION

A full-scale test was not completed on the prototype SMLCS because of probable technical changes in the military version. Nevertheless, we feel that the data collected thus far depicts the SMLCS as technically feasible for NFP. Additionally, the limited evaluation performed will allow us to design more optimal test systems. From this evaluation we have gained vital information on the technical areas which require the most extensive investigation while testing the military version of the SMLCS nuclear flashblindness protection device.



Δt 15.100 ms

T/div 5 ms

Figure 14. Typical time to return to open state transmission (15 ms).

The polarization sensitivity of the device should not be a major concern since the radiation from a nuclear detonation is randomly polarized as is most naturally occurring illumination, nor should there be any significant effects from the cockpit lighting since it should also be randomly polarized light.

REFERENCES

1. Lindsey, R.L. Electro-Optic Performance of PLZT Lasers. USAFSAM-TR-88-13, September 1988.
2. Optical Shield, Inc., Technical Note "High Speed Light Valve," 1988.
3. Fergason J.L. "Use of Strong Surface Alignment in Nematic Liquid Crystals for High Speed Light Modulation," Optical Shields, Inc., Tech Note.
4. Fergason, J.L. "Performance of a Matrix Display Using Surface Mode," IEEE Display Research Conference, 1980.
5. Allen, R.G., Labo, J.A., and Mayo, M.W. "Laser Eye Protection," SPIE OE' LASE Proceedings, 1990.
6. Yariv, A. Optical Electronics, CBS College Publishing, 1985.