

AD-A274 121



AD _____

2

MIPR NO: 92MM2559

TITLE: WORK OF BREATHING AS A PREDICTOR OF FAILURE TO WEAN FROM MECHANICAL VENTILATION IN PATIENTS WITH SEVERE CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY DISEASE

**PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Jack DePriest
MAJ, MC**

**CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Pulmonary and Critical Care Service
Fitzsimons Army Medical Center
Aurora, Colorado 80045-5001**

REPORT DATE: November 25, 1993

TYPE OF REPORT: Final Report

**PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and
Development Command, Fort Detrick
Frederick, Maryland 21702-5012**

**DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for public release;
distribution unlimited**

**S DTIC
ELECTE
DEC 28 1993
A**

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE 25 November 1993		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final Report (5/1/92 - 9/30/93)
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Work of Breathing as a Predictor of Failure to Wean From Mechanical Ventilation in Patients with Severe Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease			5. FUNDING NUMBERS MIPR No. 92MM2559 63002A 3M263002D840.CA.098 WUDA336086	
6. AUTHOR(S) Jack DePriest MAJ, MC				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Pulmonary and Critical Care Service Fitzsimons Army Medical Center Aurora, Colorado 80045-5001			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research & Development Command Fort Detrick Frederick, Maryland 21702-5012			10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Due to dwindling patient census and loss of fellowship, the protocol never got off the ground and end with one completed study.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Mechanical ventilation; COPD; Weaning; Lung; RAI			15. NUMBER OF PAGES	
			16. PRICE CODE /	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unlimited	

93 12 22 2 08

93-31107



FOREWORD

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the US Army.

Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.

Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material.

Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, National Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).

J.D. For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46.

In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health.

In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules.

In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.

Accession For	
NTIS CRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

PI - Signature

Date

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 8

INTRODUCTION

For the vast majority of patients who require mechanical ventilation, withdrawal of ventilatory support and extubation is straightforward and easily accomplished. However, those patients who have required prolonged ventilatory support and especially those with severe baseline pulmonary disease can present a particular problem. It is not always clinically evident when the patient is ready to be removed from ventilatory support. Extubation before the patient is prepared to support his own ventilatory demands can result in respiratory failure, and the requirement for reintubation and placement back on the ventilator. Reintubation, especially under urgent circumstances, is not without risks, such as aspiration and hemodynamic instability. The fatigue and stress that the patient develops while experiencing respiratory failure can also set back his clinical course significantly. Whereas a few more days of exercise prior to the initial extubation might have ensured success, the patient might now require days to weeks to again be ready for extubation. Conversely, the conservative approach might prolong the weaning process longer than is required, delay extubation and increase the chance for nosocomial complications, such as pneumonia.

Because of these limitations, physiologic parameters were developed to assist in determining the adequacy of the patient's cardiorespiratory status. These parameters can easily be performed at the bedside and have well defined ranges. While these parameters are useful in short term ventilator patients, in the long term ventilatory support patient population they have a well documented poor specificity. Patients can meet these criteria and still fail weaning. Thus the question arises as to whether there are other indices that could be used to predict the patient's readiness to support spontaneous ventilation. Can the amount of work the patient must perform to breathe be measured and used to predict how the patient will do once removed from the ventilator? If so, this could be invaluable in shortening the time a patient is on mechanical ventilation, in the ICU, and may potentially decrease mortality.

The utility of measuring the patient's work of breathing has been examined with promising results. It has been shown that long term ventilator patients can have abnormally high work of breathing requirements even with adequate bedside parameters^{1,3}. As the patient improves and begins weaning the work of breathing returns toward normal¹. Other studies have tried to use work of breathing measurements to predict which patients are ready to begin weaning^{2,4}. While these studies did suggest that certain cutoffs could be demonstrated to predict readiness to wean, they were all done on general patient populations, and did not identify patients with significant baseline pulmonary disease.

while the values obtained in these patients might extrapolate to other patients without significant pulmonary disease, the values may not be applicable to patients with severe COPD. At the same time, these patients can have a much higher work of breathing than the normal population. One study suggested that the baseline work of breathing in these patients can be greater than 10% of their total oxygen consumption, in contrast to less than 5% in patients without pulmonary disease⁵. Thus the cutoff values for work of breathing generated in the previous studies might be invalid for patients with severe COPD. This study will be the first to look exclusively at this patient population and try to identify whether using the patient's work of breathing can be used to predict the ability of the patient to sustain spontaneous respiration.

BODY

The study will be prospective. Patients with severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) requiring mechanical ventilation for more than 24 hours will be studied. Once the primary team has decided that the patient is ready for extubation, he is entered in the study. Just prior to extubation the patient will have his work of breathing measured by the metabolic cart. He is then extubated as planned. No evaluation prior to study is required and no medications will be used. The patient will then be followed to see if he tolerates extubation or develops respiratory failure, requiring reintubation. Those two groups, patients who tolerate extubation >24 hours and those who require reintubation within 24 hours will be analyzed individually. The mean work of breathing values for the two populations will be compared to see if there is a significant difference between the two.

CONCLUSION

The census of critically ill patients at Fitzsimons has dropped markedly over the last 2 years. As example, from 1 Jan through 10 August of this year there were 22 total ventilator patients in the MICU. This covers all etiologies of respiratory failure. It became clearly evident that it would be impossible to recruit an adequate number of patients to complete the study. Therefore, while the original questions are felt to still be valid, we were unable to generate data that would allow them to be answered.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1) Fiastro JF, Habib MP, Shon BY, Campbell SC. Comparison of standard weaning parameters and the mechanical work of breathing in mechanically ventilated patients. Chest 1988;94:232-8.
- 2) Lewis WD, Chwals W, Benotti PN, et al. Bedside assessment of

The work of breathing. Crit Care Med 1988;16:117-22.

3) Bergman DA, et al. Determinants of successful weaning from prolonged mechanical ventilation. Arch Intern Med 1984;144:1612-6.

4) Shikora SA, Bistrain BR, Borlase BC, et al. Work of breathing: Reliable predictor of weaning and extubation. Crit Care Med 1990;18:157-62.

5) Annat GJ, Viale JP, Dereymez CP, et al. Oxygen cost of breathing and diaphragmatic pressure-time index. Chest 1990;98:411-4.

6) Yang KL and Tobin MJ. A prospective study of indexes predicting the outcome of trials of weaning from mechanical ventilation. N Eng J Med 1991;324:1445-50.