

AD-A274 622



2

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

CONTRACT N00014-91-J-4057

R&T Code 4131D02

Dr. Richard S. Miller

Technical Report No. 67

DTIC
ELECTE
JAN 1 1 1994
S C D

DOES ANTIAROMATICITY IMPLY DESTABILIZATION?

by

Jane S. Murray, Jorge M. Seminario and Peter Politzer

Prepared for Publication

in

International Journal of Quantum Chemistry

Department of Chemistry
University of New Orleans
New Orleans, LA 70148

December 27, 1993

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

94 1 10 134

94-01169
94x

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE 12-27-93	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Preprint of article for publication	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Does Antiaromaticity Imply Destabilization?			5. FUNDING NUMBERS C N00014-91-J-4057 Dr. Richard S. Miller R&T Code 4131D02	
6. AUTHOR(S) Jane S. Murray, Jorge M. Seminario and Peter Politzer				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of New Orleans Department of Chemistry New Orleans, Louisiana 70148			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Office of Naval Research Code 332PE 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217			10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for pulic release. Unlimited distribution			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) An analysis is presented of the results of earlier <u>ab initio</u> computational studies of cyclobutadiene, cyclooctatetraene and 1,4-dihydropyrazine. The first and third of these are normally categorized as antiaromatic. All three molecules are polyenes, even when the last two are forced into planar conformations. There is no driving force for extensive pi delocalization, even when it would appear to have been facilitated. Calculated isodesmic energies show a net destabilization only in the case of cyclobutadiene, which we attribute to strain and repulsion between the pi electrons of the C=C double bonds. The other two molecules have negative isodesmic energies, indicative of net stabilizing effects. We conclude that the concept of antiaromaticity is useful for identifying molecules that resis the apparent opportunity for extensive pi delocalization, but that it does not intrinsically imply net destabilization.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS ab initio; computational; antiaromaticity; cyclobutadiene; cyclooctatetraene; 1,4-dihydropyrazine; pi delocalization			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 8	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unlimited	

DOES ANTIAROMATICITY IMPLY NET DESTABILIZATION?

Jane S. Murray, Jorge M. Seminario and Peter Politzer*

Department of Chemistry
University of New Orleans
New Orleans, LA 70148

ABSTRACT

An analysis is presented of the results of earlier *ab initio* computational studies of cyclobutadiene, cyclooctatetraene and 1,4-dihydropyrazine. The first and third of these are normally categorized as antiaromatic. All three molecules are polyenes, even when the last two are forced into planar conformations. There is no driving force for extensive π delocalization, even when it would appear to have been facilitated. Calculated isodesmic energies show a net destabilization only in the case of cyclobutadiene, which we attribute to strain and repulsion between the π electrons of the C=C double bonds. The other two molecules have negative isodesmic energies, indicative of net stabilizing effects. We conclude that the concept of antiaromaticity is useful for identifying molecules that resist the apparent opportunity for extensive π delocalization, but that it does not intrinsically imply net destabilization.

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 8

Keywords: antiaromaticity, stabilization/destabilization, cyclobutadiene,
1,3,5,7-cyclooctatetraene, 1,4-dihydropyrazine.

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Accession For	
NTIS	☒
CRA&I	☐
DTIC	☐
TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution /	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

Introduction


1,3-Cyclobutadiene, benzene and 1,3,5,7-cyclooctatetraene have in common the structural features that they are monocyclic and they satisfy the formula $(CH)_n$. In principle, they could all be envisioned as conjugated polyenes, 1A - 3A respectively. It is well known, however, that in



1 A



2 A




3 A


benzene the π electrons are completely delocalized and the six C-C bonds are exactly equivalent, so that the actual structure is 2B. The same might be anticipated in cyclobutadiene and cyclooctatetraene, as represented by 1B and 3B, but this does not happen; they are indeed polyenes and are properly depicted by 1A and 3A. (In fact, cyclooctatetraene is not even planar, but rather has a "tub-like" structure [1].)



1 B




2 B



3 B

These observations can be rationalized on the basis of simple molecular orbital considerations, which lead to the generalizations that if a cyclic fully-conjugated planar system has $4n + 2$ π electrons, then these will be highly delocalized and the molecule correspondingly stabilized, whereas if it has $4n$ π electrons, then extensive delocalization would have destabilizing consequences and accordingly does not occur [2-6]. The terms "aromatic" and "antiaromatic" are used to respectively designate these two categories. Benzene is of course aromatic and cyclobutadiene antiaromatic, as would also be cyclooctatetraene if it were planar.


Antiaromaticity is frequently described as being accompanied by a destabilizing effect; in the case of cyclobutadiene, for example, this has been reported as being at least 12 -16 kcal/mole [7]. It is this "antiaromatic destabilization" that we wish to address in this paper. We will do so in terms of the three molecules mentioned above (1A, 2B and 3A), plus the nitrogen-containing system 4. The lone pair electrons in the latter are normally viewed as being conjugated with the π electrons of the double bonds, making 4 antiaromatic [8,9].



4

Methods

The structures of 1A, 3A and 4 have been optimized in earlier work [10,11] at the HF/3-21G level [12], followed by single point runs to obtain the corresponding MP2/6-31G* energies. The latter were used to investigate anomalous energetic effects in these molecules by means of the isodesmic reaction procedure. This is a well-established approach to studying stabilizing and destabilizing effects in molecules [13,14]. An isodesmic reaction is a hypothetical chemical process in which the number of bonds of each formal type is the same on both sides of the equation, but their mutual relationships are changed. For example, eq. (1) is an isodesmic reaction for 4:



The value of ΔE for such a reaction reveals any deviations from bond energy additivity, which can be referred to as anomalous energetic effects. $\Delta E < 0$ indicates net stabilization in the molecule of interest; $\Delta E > 0$ implies destabilization.

In the earlier work [10,11], we used the calculated electrostatic potential $V(r)$ as a probe of electron delocalization. $V(r)$ is given rigorously by eq. (2):

$$V(r) = \sum_A \frac{Z_A}{|\mathbf{R}_A - \mathbf{r}|} - \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}') d\mathbf{r}'}{|\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}|} \quad (2)$$

Z_A is the charge on nucleus A, located at $\mathbf{R}_A$, and $\rho(r)$ is the electronic density, which we obtain from the molecular wave function. $V(r)$ is a real physical property, which can be determined experimentally as well as computationally [15], and is now widely used in interpreting and predicting molecular interactive behavior [16]. We have computed $V(r)$ for 1A, 3A and 4 at the HF/STO-5G//HF/3-21G level [10,11].

Results

Table I gives the computed isodesmic energies, some key optimized bond lengths, and the most negative electrostatic potential values ($V_{\min}$) associated with the C=C double bonds in 1A, 3A and 4. We also include these data for the fully planar conformations of 3A and 4, along with the energies of these latter structures relative to the ground states.

Discussion

Table I confirms that 1A, 3A and 4 are polyenes. This can be seen from the C=C bond lengths and electrostatic potentials, which are all close to the corresponding computed values for ethylene (1.315 Å [14] and -13.1 kcal/mole [17]). It is noteworthy that this polyene character is maintained even when 3A and 4 are forced into fully planar conformations, which should promote π delocalization; this can be seen by comparing the bond lengths and $V_{\min}$ for the ground state and planar forms (Table I). It is clear that there is no driving force for extensive π delocalization in these molecules, even when it would appear to be facilitated. These examples support the validity of the $4n$ rule in identifying systems which seemingly could undergo a high degree of π delocalization but prefer not to do so.

Of the molecules included in Table I, only cyclobutadiene (1A) has a positive isodesmic energy, indicating the presence of destabilizing effects. This conclusion is in accord with the observed instability of cyclobutadiene and the difficulty that was experienced in its preparation [4,5]. The structure of cyclobutadiene suggests that it should have a sizable strain energy, and indeed this has been estimated to be at least 35 kcal/mole [18]. If this is combined with the "antiaromatic destabilization" of at least 12 - 16 kcal/mole that has been attributed to cyclobutadiene [7], then the estimated total destabilizing energy is in good agreement with our $\Delta E_{\text{isodesmic}}$ of +53.0 kcal/mole. (At the HF/3-21G//HF-3-21G level, the isodesmic energy of 1A is 70 kcal/mole [14], indicating the importance of correlation in this molecule.)

The other molecules in Table 1 have negative isodesmic energies, even when forced into planar conformations. Thus, whatever anomalous energetic effects may be present in these molecules, the net result is stabilizing. This may reflect limited electronic delocalization, which in 4 would probably include the nitrogen lone pairs. This interpretation is supported by our findings for a wide variety of strained and unstrained, saturated and unsaturated molecules [10,19,20].

The examples of 3A and 4 demonstrate that there need not necessarily be a net destabilizing energy associated with a monocyclic planar fully-conjugated molecule that has $4n$ π electrons. When it does appear, an explanation may be available that does not invoke antiaromaticity. For instance, the large positive $\Delta E_{\text{isodesmic}}$ of cyclobutadiene may be primarily due to strain plus the

repulsion between the π electrons of the double bonds, which are forced by the molecular framework to be only one C-C bond length apart [10]. (This may be the reason for this C-C bond being unusually long, 1.602 Å.)

In summary our results confirm the usefulness of the term "antiaromatic" and the $4n$ rule in identifying molecules that appear to have the opportunity for extensive cyclic π -delocalization, but do not avail themselves of it. However we find that antiaromaticity does not intrinsically imply net destabilization.





Acknowledgements

We greatly appreciate very helpful discussions with Dr. J. H. Boyer and Dr. J. W. Timberlake, and the support provided by the Office of Naval Research, contract no. N00014-91-J-0457.

References

1. O. Bastiansen, L. Hedberg and K. Hedberg, *J. Chem. Phys.* **27**, 1311 (1957).
2. E. Hückel, *Z. Physik* **70**, 204 (1931); **76**, 628 (1932).
3. R. Breslow and E. Mohacsi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **85**, 431 (1963).
4. R. Breslow, *Accts. Chem. Res.* **6**, 393 (1973).
5. J. March, *Advanced Organic Chemistry*, 3rd. ed. (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1985).
6. T. H. Lowry and K. S. Richardson, *Mechanisms and Theory in Organic Chemistry*, 3rd. ed. (HarperCollins, New York, 1987).
7. R. Breslow, D. R. Murayama, S.-I. Murahashi and R. Grubbs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **95**, 6688 (1973).
8. W. Kaim, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **20**, 599, 600 (1981).
9. A. E. A. Porter, in *Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry*, Vol. 3, Part 2B, A. R. Katritzky, ed. (Pergamon Press, New York, 1984) ch. 2.14.
10. P. Politzer, M. E. Grice, J. S. Murray and J. M. Seminario, *Can. J. Chem.* in press.
11. P. Politzer, J. S. Murray and J. M. Seminario, *Struct. Chem.*, submitted.
12. GAUSSIAN 92, Revision C, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, M. Head-Gordon, P. M. W. Gill, M. W. Wong, J. B. Foresman, B. G. Johnson, H. B. Schlegel, M. A. Robb, E. S. Replogle, R. Gomperts, J. L. Andres, K. Raghavachari, J. S. Binkley, C. Gonzalez, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, D. J. Defrees, J. Baker, J. J. P. Stewart, and J. A. Pople, Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh PA, 1992.
13. W. J. Hehre, R. Ditchfield, L. Radom and J. A. Pople, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **92**, 4796 (1970).
14. W. J. Hehre, L. Radom, P. v. R. Schleyer and J. A. Pople, *Ab Initio Molecular Orbital Theory* (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1986).
15. P. Politzer and D. G. Truhlar, eds., *Chemical Applications of Atomic and Molecular Electrostatic Potentials* (Plenum Press, New York, 1981).
16. P. Politzer and J. S. Murray, in *Reviews in Computational Chemistry*, K. B. Lipkowitz and D. B. Boyd, eds. (VCH Publishers, New York, 1991) ch. 7.
17. P. Politzer and W. L. Hedges, *Int. J. Quantum Chem., Quantum Biol. Symp.* **9**, 307 (1982).
18. A. Greenberg and J. F. Liebman, *Strained Organic Molecules* (Academic Press, New York, 1978).
19. J. S. Murray, P. C. Redfern, J. M. Seminario and P. Politzer, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **94**, 2320. (1990).
20. J. S. Murray, J. M. Seminario, P. Lane and P. Politzer, *J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem)*, **207**, 193 (1990).

Table I. Calculated properties.^a

Molecule		Relative energy, MP2/6-31G*// HF/3-21G, kcal/mole	$\Delta E_{\text{isodesmic}}$ MP2/6-31G*// HF/3-21G, kcal/mole	Bond Length, Å	C=C V_{min} , HF/STO-5G// HF/3-21G, kcal/mole
1A		---	+53.0	C-C: 1.602 C=C: 1.323	-13.1 ^b
3A (planar)		15.1	-27.8	C-C: 1.477 C=C: 1.323	-12.3 ^b
3A (ground state)		0	-42.9	C-C: 1.477 C=C: 1.320	-10.5 ^c -14.9 ^d
4 (planar)		7.0	-32.6	C=C: 1.318 C-N: 1.415	-21.4, ^b -21.6 ^b
4 (ground state)		0	-39.6	C=C: 1.317 C-N: 1.424	-10.8, ^e -19.0 ^f

^aThe data for 1A and 4 are from reference 10; those for 3A are from reference 11.

^bAbove and below C=C double bonds.

^cBelow C=C double bonds, outside of tub.

^dAbove C=C double bonds, within tub.

^eAbove C=C double bonds, same side of ring as hydrogens.

^fBelow C=C double bonds, side of ring away from hydrogens.