

AD-A274 623



OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

CONTRACT N00014-91-J-4057

R&T Code 4131D02

Dr. Richard S. Miller

Technical Report No. 70

DTIC
S ELECTE D
JAN 11 1994
C

CALCULATED STRUCTURE, HEATS OF FORMATION
AND DECOMPOSITION ENERGETICS
OF 1,3-DINITRO,-1,3-DIAZACYCLOBUTANE

by

M. Edward Grice, Dariush Habibollahzadeh and Peter Politzer

Prepared for Publication

in the

Journal of Chemical Physics

Department of Chemistry
University of New Orleans
New Orleans, LA 70148

December 27, 1993

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

94 1 10 131


896

94-01172

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE 12/27/93	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Preprint of article for publication		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Calculated Structure, Heat of Formation and Decomposition Energetics of 1,3-Dinitro-1,3-Diazacyclobutane		5. FUNDING NUMBERS C N00014-91-J-4057 Dr. Richard S. Miller R&T Code 4131D02		
6. AUTHOR(S) M. E. Grice, D. Habibollahzadeh and P. Politzer				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of New Orleans Department of Chemistry New Orleans, Louisiana 70148		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Office of Naval Research Code 332PE 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for pulic release. Unlimited distribution.		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE		
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Using HF/6-31G* optimized geometries, the heat of formation of 1,3-dinitro-1,3-diazacyclobutane and the energetics of two possible decomposition reactions were computed by a non-local density functional procedure (deMon). ΔH_f° at 25°C is 351 cal/g; the N-NO ₂ dissociation energy is 36.6 kcal/mole, and the activation barrier for symmetrical ring fragmentation is 44.2 kcal/mole.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS heats of formation; 1,3-dinitro-1,3-diazacyclobutane; decomposition reactions; non-local density functional procedure			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 8	16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unlimited	

Introduction

1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazacyclohexane (1; RDX) and 1,3,5,7-tetranitro-1,3,5,7-tetraazacyclooctane (2; HMX) are highly energetic cyclic nitramines.^{1,2} Both can be represented by the formula $(\text{CH}_2\text{NNO}_2)_n$. The smallest member of this series, 1,3-dinitro-1,3-diazacyclobutane (3), has, to our knowledge, not yet been synthesized. A possibly significant feature of 3 that is not present in 1 or 2 is strain due to the four-membered ring. This should increase the molecule's energy content, although the effect is likely to be reduced by σ -conjugation of the aza nitrogens' lone pairs.³



A useful measure of energy content is the heat of formation, and one of our present objectives was to compute this for 3. We also extend earlier preliminary studies of two possible decomposition steps:^{4,5} (a) homolytic rupture of an N-NO₂ bond, and (b) dissociation of the ring into two H₂C=N-NO₂ fragments, passing through the transition state 4.

Methods

HF/6-31G* optimized geometries and vibration frequencies were computed for 3 - 7 with the GAUSSIAN 92 program;⁶ the frequencies were scaled by 1/1.12.^{6,7} (For 5 and 6, the unrestricted Hartree-Fock approach was used.) Single point runs were then carried out for each structure with the non-local density functional (DF) code deMon,⁸ using the Gaussian DZVPP basis set; the exchange-correlation energies were calculated by means of the generalized gradient approximation (GGA).^{9,10} These DF results were used in computing the reaction energetics.

Standard gaseous heats of formation were obtained by computing ΔE (DF) for the formation of each molecule from its elements, combining this with translational, rotational and vibrational contributions and converting the result to ΔH° at 25°C using the ideal gas approximation.^{11,12} Empirical bond and group correction terms were also included.^{11,12}

Results

The HF/6-31G* optimized geometries of 3 - 7 are given in Table I. Although experimental values are known only for NO₂ (6), data relevant to 3 and 5 are in crystallographic^{13,14} and electron diffraction¹⁵ studies of RDX (1) and 1,3,3-trinitroazetidine, and an MCSCF structure is available for 7.¹⁶ In general, there is good agreement, although the HF/6-31G* procedure tends to

on For
CRA&I
TAB
ounded
cation

ution /

Availability Cod

Dist	Avail and / or Special
A-1	

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 8

underestimate N–O bond lengths by roughly 0.03 Å. Our structures for 3 and 5 - 7 do correspond to local energy minima (no imaginary frequencies) and 4 is a transition state (one imaginary frequency). The HF/6-31G* and the DF-GGA/DZVPP energies of 3 - 7 are in Table II.

The strain energy of 3 was estimated by the isodesmic reaction procedure,⁷ which involves writing a hypothetical chemical process in which the number of bonds of each type remains the same but their mutual relationships have changed. ΔE for such a reaction is interpreted as revealing any deviations from bond energy additivity, due to strain, resonance stabilization, etc.

At the HF/6-31G* level, taking $\text{H}_2\text{N}-\text{NO}_2$ and $\text{H}_3\text{C}-\text{NH}_2$ to be the reference molecules and using energies obtained in this work and from archives,¹⁷ we find the strain energy of 3 to be 8.6 kcal/mole. This is in good agreement with our earlier HF/3-21G value of 9.5 kcal/mole.³ As a test, we also computed the HF/6-31G* strain energy for cyclopropane, using an analogous isodesmic reaction, and obtained 26.3 kcal/mole, which is close to the quoted value of 28.3 kcal/mole.¹⁸

Our estimated standard gaseous heat of formation at 25° C for 3 is +52.0 kcal/mole. We believe this to be reliable, since the very similar RDX (1) was part of our data base in determining the correction terms, and our procedure reproduces its measured ΔH_f° ¹⁹ to within 0.1 kcal/mole.¹² Our ΔH_f° for 3 exceeds that of RDX by 6.2 kcal/mole; this may reflect the strain in 3.

Using the data in Table II, with zero-point energies taken into account, the DF N–NO₂ dissociation energy is 36.6 kcal/mole. The overall ΔE for the ring fragmentation is 17.0 kcal/mole, but the activation barrier for this process is 44.2 kcal/mole. N–NO₂ dissociation and ring fragmentation are therefore roughly similar in terms of their energetic requirements.

Discussion

The heat of formation, expressed on a mass basis, is a key determinant of the detonation and propellant properties of an energetic material.²⁰ Thus it is noteworthy that our value for 3, 351 cal/g, is 70% larger than that of RDX (1), 206 cal/g.

The N–NO₂ dissociation energy, 36.6 kcal/mole, is somewhat less than the 40-50 kcal/mole range typical of N–NO₂ bonds,²¹⁻²⁴ possibly reflecting some release of strain upon breaking the bond. In view of evidence that rupture of the N–NO₂ bond is an important factor in nitramine decomposition,^{21,25-27} the weakness of these bonds in 3 suggests that it might possess a rather high sensitivity to shock and/or impact. A similar conclusion is reached on the basis of a correlation relating nitramine shock sensitivity to the N–NO₂ bond lengths and the molecular weight;²⁸ 3 is predicted to have a shock sensitivity very close to those of RDX (1) and HMX (2). Nevertheless, its relatively high energy content, as reflected in its heat of formation, should stimulate continued synthetic efforts.

Acknowledgements




We greatly appreciate discussions with Dr. J. S. Murray and the financial support of ONR.

References

- (1) T. Urbanski, *Chemistry and Technology of Explosives*; 1st ed.; Pergamon Press: New York, NY, 1984; Vol. 4.
- (2) J. Köhler and R. Meyer, *Explosives*; 4th ed.; VCH: Weinheim, FDG, 1993.
- (3) J. S. Murray, J. M. Seminario, P. Lane and P. Politzer, *J. Mol Struct. (Theochem)*, **207**, 193 (1990).
- (4) M. Grodzicki, J. M. Seminario and P. Politzer, *Theoret. Chim. Acta*, **77**, 359 (1990).
- (5) M. Grodzicki, J. M. Seminario and P. Politzer, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **94**, 1668 (1991).
- (6) M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, M. Head-Gordon, P. M. W. Gill, M. W. Wong, J. B. Foresman, B. G. Johnson, H. B. Schlegel, M. A. Robb, E. S. Replogle, R. Gomperts, J. L. Andres, K. Raghavachari, J. S. Binkley, C. Gonzalez, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, D. J. Defrees, J. Baker, J. J. P. Stewart and J. A. Pople, *GAUSSIAN 92*; Revision C ed.; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 1992.
- (7) W. J. Hehre, L. Radom, P. v. R. Schleyer and J. A. Pople, *Ab Initio Molecular Orbital Theory*; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1986.
- (8) D. R. Salahub, R. Fournier, P. Mlynarski, I. Papai, A. St. Amant and J. Ushio, In *Density Functional Methods in Chemistry*; J. K. Labanowski and J. W. Andzelm, Eds.; Springer-Verlag: New York, 1991; ch. 6.
- (9) J. P. Perdew and Y. Wang, *Phys. Rev.*, **B33**, 8800 (1986).
- (10) J. P. Perdew, *Phys. Rev.*, **B33**, 8822 (1986).
- (11) D. Habibollahzadeh, Ph. D. dissertation, University of New Orleans, May 1993.
- (12) D. Habibollahzadeh and P. Politzer, *J. Comp. Chem.*, submitted
- (13) C. S. Choi and E. Prince, *Acta Cryst.*, **B28**, 2857 (1972).
- (14) T. G. Archibald, R. Gilardi, K. Baum and C. George, *J. Org. Chem.*, **55**, 2920 (1990).
- (15) I. F. Shishkov, L. V. Vilkov, M. Kolonits and B. Rozsondai, *Struct. Chem.*, **2**, 57 (1991).
- (16) R. C. Mowrey, M. Page, G. F. Adams and B. H. Lengsfeld, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **93**, 1857 (1990).
- (17) *The Carnegie-Mellon Quantum Chemistry Archive*; 3rd ed.; R. A. Whiteside, M. J. Frisch and J. A. Pople, Eds.; Carnegie-Mellon University: Pittsburgh, PA, 1983.
- (18) A. Greenberg and J. F. Liebman, *Strained Organic Molecules*; Academic Press: New York, 1978.
- (19) *LASL Explosive Property Data*; T. R. Gibbs and A. Popolato, Eds.; University of California Press: Berkeley, 1980.
- (20) M. J. Kamlet and S. J. Jacobs, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **48**, 23 (1968).
- (21) F. I. Dubovitskii and B. L. Korsunskii, *Russ. Chem. Revs.*, **50**, 958 (1981).
- (22) S. E. Nigenda, D. F. McMillen and D. M. Golden, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **93**, 1124 (1989).

- (23) J. M. Seminario and P. Politzer, *Int. J. Quant. Chem., Quant. Chem. Symp.*, **26**, 497 (1992).
- (24) P. Politzer and J. M. Seminario, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **207**, 27 (1992).
- (25) T. B. Brill and Y. J. Oyumi, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **90**, 2679 (1986).
- (26) B. G. Sumpter and D. L. Thompson, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **88**, 6889 (1988).
- (27) P. H. Stewart, J. B. Jeffries, J.-M. Zellweger, D. F. McMillen and D. M. Golden, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **93**, 3557 (1989).
- (28) P. Politzer, J. S. Murray, P. Lane, P. Sjoberg and H. G. Adolph, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **181**, 78 (1991).

Table I. HF/6-31G* optimized geometries.

Molecule		Distances (Å)		Angles (deg)	
	3	C-N:	1.466	N-C-N:	87.3
		N-N:	1.355	C-N-C:	92.7
	4	N-O:	1.191	C-N-N:	119.9
				N-N-O:	116.4
	5			O-N-O:	127.2
				N-C-N-N:	127.1
	6			C-N-N-O:	34.6, 147.7
	7	C-N:	1.343	N-C-N:	92.0
		C...N:	1.991	C-N-C:	88.0
	8	N-N:	1.369	C-N-N: ^b	114.1
		N-O _a :	1.191	N-N-O _a : ^b	115.0
	9	N-O _b :	1.197	N-N-O _b : ^b	119.4
				O-N-O:	125.6
	10			N-C-N-C:	0.0
				C-N-N-O _a : ^b	156.7
	11	C-N(NO ₂):	1.466	C-N-N-O _b : ^b	24.2
		C-N:	1.459		
	12	N-N:	1.349	N-C-N:	90.3
		N-O:	1.193	C-N(NO ₂)-C:	88.8
	13			C-N-C:	89.3
				C-N-N:	121.2
	14			N-N-O:	116.6
				O-N-O:	126.8
	15			C-N-C-N:	8.5
				N-N-C-N:	134.9
	16			C-N-N-O:	35.9, 145.8
	17	N-O:	1.165	O-N-O:	136.1
		(1.197) ^a		(133.8) ^a	
	18	C-N:	1.254	C-N-N:	113.7
		N-N:	1.417	O-N-O:	126.6
	19	N-O _a :	1.180	N-N-O _a :	113.9
		N-O _b :	1.193	N-N-O _b :	119.5
	20			C-N-N-O _a :	157.1
				C-N-N-O _b :	24.1

^aExperimental values for NO₂, in parentheses, from Harmony, M. D.; Laurie, V. W.; Kuczkowski, R. L.; Schwendeman, R. H.; Ramsay, D. A.; Lovas, F. J.; Lafferty, W. J.; Maki, A. G. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 1979, 8, 619.

^bThese angles are within each H₂C=N-NO₂ portion.

Table II. Calculated energies.

Molecule	Total Energy ^a		Zero-point energy (HF/6-31G*), (kcal/mole)
	HF/6-31G*	DF-GGA/DZVPP//HF/6-31G* (hartrees)	
3	-594.97670	-599.02944	58.13
4	-594.86945	-598.95329	54.53
5	-390.91306	-393.62772	47.53
6	-204.03149	-205.33525	5.52
7	-297.47560	-299.49753	26.76

^aThis corresponds to energy minimum at 0°K.