AD-A276 907

(2)

Department of the Navy

DTIC
ELECTE
MAR 11 1994
S E D

FY 1995 BUDGET ESTIMATES

DOD BASE CLOSURE & REALIGNMENT PROGRAM III
(1993 Commission)

94-07884

Approved for public release
Distribution Unlimited

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 1

JUSTIFICATION DATA SUBMITTED TO CONGRESS FEBRUARY 1994

94 3 10 01

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

I. Executive Summary and Overall Financial Summary 1

II. Base Closure and Realignment Detail Packages Alphabetical by Activity Location:

(1) Naval Air Station, Agana, Guam:

(a) Financial Summary. 5
(b) Narrative Summary. 7

(2) Naval Air Station, Alameda, California:

(a) Financial Summary. 11
(b) Narrative Summary. 13
(c) DD Form 1391s. 17

(3) Naval Aviation Depot, Alameda, California:

(a) Financial Summary. 25
(b) Narrative Summary. 27
(c) DD Form 1391s. 31

(4) Naval Radio Transmitting Facility, Annapolis, Maryland:

(a) Financial Summary. 35
(b) Narrative Summary. 37

(5) Naval Air Station, Barbers Point, Hawaii:

(a) Financial Summary. 39
(b) Narrative Summary. 41
(c) DD Form 1391s. 45

(6) Naval Air Station, Cecil Field, Florida:

(a) Financial Summary. 47
(b) Narrative Summary. 49
(c) DD Form 1391s. 53

(7) Naval Shipyard Charleston, South Carolina:

(a) Financial Summary. 69
(b) Narrative Summary. 71
(c) DD Form 1391s. 75

A263 392

By .....
Distribution /
Availability Codes
Dist | Avail and / or Special
A-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

II. Base Closure and Realignment Detail Packages Alphabetical by Activity Location: (Continued)

(8) Naval Station, Charleston, South Carolina:

(a) Financial Summary 79
(b) Narrative Summary 81
(c) DD Form 1391s 87

(9) Fleet and Industrial Supply Center, Charleston, South Carolina:

(a) Financial Summary. 105
(b) Narrative Summary. 107

(10) Naval Air Station, Dallas, Texas:

(a) Financial Summary. 109
(b) Narrative Summary. 111
(c) DD Form 1391s. 115

(11) Naval Air Facility, Detroit, Michigan:

(a) Financial Summary. 145
(b) Narrative Summary. 147
(c) DD Form 1391 149

(12) Naval Radio Transmitting Facility, Driver, Virginia:

(a) Financial Summary. 151
(b) Narrative Summary. 153

(13) Marine Corps Air Station, El Toro, California:

(a) Financial Summary. 155
(b) Narrative Summary. 157
(c) DD Form 1391s. 161

(14) Naval Air Station, Glenview, Illinois:

(a) Financial Summary. 195
(b) Narrative Summary. 197
(c) DD Form 1391s. 201

(15) Sea Automated Data Systems Activity, Indian Head, Maryland:

(a) Financial Summary 205
(b) Narrative Summary 207

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

II. Base Closure and Realignment Detail Packages Alphabetical by Activity Location: (Continued)

(16) Naval Air Facility, Martinsburg, West Virginia:

(a) Financial Summary. 209
(b) Narrative Summary. 211

(17) Naval Air Station, Memphis, Tennessee:

(a) Financial Summary. 213
(b) Narrative Summary. 215
(c) DD Form 1391s. 219

(18) Naval Air Facility, Midway Island:

(a) Financial Summary. 265
(b) Narrative Summary. 267
(c) DD Form 1391 271

(19) Naval Air Station, Miramar, California:

(a) Financial Summary. 273
(b) Narrative Summary. 275
(c) DD Form 1391s. 279

(20) Naval Station, Mobile, Alabama:

(a) Financial Summary. 327
(b) Narrative Summary. 329

(21) Naval Education and Training Center, Newport, Rhode Island:

(a) Financial Summary. 333
(b) Narrative Summary. 335
(c) DD Form 1391s. 337

(22) Family Housing Office, Niagara Falls, New York:

(a) Financial Summary. 341
(b) Narrative Summary. 343

(23) Naval Aviation Depot, Norfolk, Virginia:

(a) Financial Summary. 345
(b) Narrative Summary. 347

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

II. Base Closure and Realignment Detail Packages Alphabetical by Activity Location: (Continued)

(24) Naval Hospital, Oakland, California:

(a) Financial Summary. 349
(b) Narrative Summary. 351
(c) DD Form 1391s. 355

(25) Naval Hospital, Orlando, Florida:

(a) Financial Summary. 359
(b) Narrative Summary. 361
(c) DD Form 1391s. 365

(26) Naval Training Center, Orlando, Florida:

(a) Financial Summary. 373
(b) Narrative Summary. 375
(c) DD Form 1391s. 379

(27) Naval Aviation Depot, Pensacola, Florida:

(a) Financial Summary. 419
(b) Narrative Summary. 421
(c) DD Form 1391s. 425

(28) Fleet and Industrial Supply Center, Pensacola, Florida:

(a) Financial Summary. 431
(b) Narrative Summary. 433

(29) Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory, Port Hueneme, California:

(a) Financial Summary. 435
(b) Narrative Summary. 437
(c) DD Form 1391 441

(30) Submarine Maintenance Engineering, Planning, and Procurement, Portsmouth, New Hampshire:

(a) Financial Summary. 445
(b) Narrative Summary. 447
(c) DD Form 1391 449

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

II. Base Closure and Realignment Detail Packages Alphabetical by Activity Location: (Continued)

(31) Western Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, San Bruno, California:

(a) Financial Summary. 451
(b) Narrative Summary. 453

(32) Naval Training Center, San Diego, California:

(a) Financial Summary. 455
(b) Narrative Summary. 457
(c) DD Form 1391s. 461

(33) Navy Public Works Center, San Francisco, California:

(a) Financial Summary. 473
(b) Narrative Summary. 475

(34) Naval Weapons Station, Seal Beach, California:

(a) Financial Summary 479
(b) Narrative Summary 481

(35) Naval Station, Staten Island, New York:

(a) Financial Summary 483
(b) Narrative Summary 485
(c) DD Form 1391 489

(36) Naval Station, Treasure Island, California:

(a) Financial Summary. 491
(b) Narrative Summary. 493
(c) DD Form 1391 497

(37) Naval Shipyard Mare Island, Vallejo, California:

(a) Financial Summary. 499
(b) Narrative Summary. 501
(c) DD Form 1391s. 505

III. Base Closure and Realignment Detail Packages By Function:

(1) Naval Air Warfare Centers:

(a) Financial Summary 521
(b) Narrative Summary 523

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

III. Base Closure and Realignment Detail Packages By Function (Continued):

(2) Naval Command, Control, and Ocean Surveillance Center, East:

(a) Financial Summary 527
(b) Narrative Summary 529
(c) DD Form 1391. 531

(3) Naval Surface Warfare Centers:

(a) Financial Summary 535
(b) Narrative Summary 537
(c) DD Form 1391. 539

(4) Naval Undersea Warfare Centers:

(a) Financial Summary 541
(b) Narrative Summary 543

(5) Navy Reserve Centers and Readiness Commands:

(a) Financial Summary 545
(b) Narrative Summary 547
(c) DD Form 1391. 551

(6) Planning, Engineering for Repair, and Alterations Centers:

(a) Financial Summary 553
(b) Narrative Summary 555
(c) DD Form 1391s 557

IV. Base Closure and Realignment Detail Package for the National Capital Region:

(1) Financial Summary. 561
(2) Narrative Summary. 563
(3) DD Form 1391s. 569

V. Base Closure and Realignment Detail Packages for Various Locations and Planning and Design and Management:

(1) Various Locations:

(a) Financial Summary 581
(b) Narrative Summary 583

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

V. Base Closure and Realignment Detail Packages for Various Locations and Planning and Design and Management (Continued):

(2) Planning and Design and Management:

(a) Financial Summary. 585
(b) Narrative Summary. 587

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The experience gained and procedures put into place in implementing the 1988 and 1991 Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Commission Recommendations were used in the formulation of the 1993 Base Realignment and Closure budget. This overview discusses general considerations which were used to develop the BRAC 1993 Budget. In July 1993, Navy major claimants submitted budgets for bases being closed or realigned. The one-time costs presented in the major claimants' budgets were then assessed by the Navy Base Closure Implementation Branch. Then the Navy Comptroller conducted a detailed and comprehensive budget analysis of one-time costs, recurring costs, and recurring savings. Finally, the DoD Comptroller conducted a similar review of costs and savings. The budget presented herein is the result of this comprehensive review process, and reflects one-time costs, savings, and net implementation costs for the six-year period FY 1994 to FY 1999.

Costs are presented in the following categories: military construction, family housing construction, family housing operations, environmental studies, environmental compliance, environmental restoration, operations and maintenance, military personnel PCS, other, and land sales revenue.

Savings are presented in the following categories: military construction, family housing construction, family housing operations, operations and maintenance, military personnel, and other. In addition, civilian and military end strength savings are shown.

The Navy budget is organized by closure activity which closely follows the organization of the BRAC 1993 commission report. While the budget examined the entire six-year period in as extensive detail as possible, special emphasis was placed on the one-time implementation costs for FY 1994 and FY 1995.

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
$ Millions

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	211.1	961.2	1202.9	83.5	55.6	0.0	2514.3
Family Housing							
Construction	0.0	88.4	136.8	42.5	54.4	0.0	322.0
Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Environmental	[237.7]	[178.7]	[173.5]	[77.3]	[85.5]	[73.4]	[826.0]
Studies	19.0	8.9	2.4	1.1	0.9	0.0	32.3
Compliance	132.6	85.7	112.1	22.2	8.4	6.9	367.9
Restoration	86.1	84.1	59.0	54.0	76.2	66.5	425.9
Operation & Maintenance	292.2	561.7	591.6	314.2	143.8	60.7	1964.3
Military Personnel - PCS	10.7	24.4	19.4	19.3	2.0	0.0	75.7
Other	37.3	12.9	3.9	0.3	1.7	0.0	56.1
TOTAL COSTS	789.0	1827.3	2128.1	537.1	343.1	134.1	5758.7
Land Sales Revenue	0.0	0.0	-28.0	-483.0	0.0	-67.6	-578.6
TOTAL BUDGET REQUEST	789.0	1827.3	2100.1	54.1	343.1	66.5	5180.1
SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	-38.5	-29.6	-75.0	-39.1	-13.9	-20.6	-216.7
Family Housing							
Construction	-7.4	0.0	-11.6	0.0	0.0	-37.1	-56.1
Operations	-0.8	-7.6	-15.5	-29.8	-49.6	-49.0	-152.3
Operation & Maintenance	-41.9	-96.3	-372.9	-594.8	-699.2	-763.0	-2568.2
Military Personnel	-6.5	-55.7	-96.8	-190.9	-281.1	-315.9	-946.9
Other	-2.9	-15.1	-120.2	-186.0	-201.7	-205.7	-731.7
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[1092]	[-9203]	[-18983]	[-23123]	[-22250]	[-23254]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-1936]	[-4873]	[-7757]	[-8241]	[-8261]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-97.9	-204.3	-692.0	-1040.6	-1245.5	-1391.3	-4671.6
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	172.6	931.6	1127.9	44.4	41.7	-20.6	2297.6
Family Housing							
Construction	-7.4	88.4	125.2	42.5	54.4	-37.1	266.0
Operations	-0.8	-7.6	-15.5	-29.8	-49.6	-49.0	-152.3
Environmental							
Studies	19.0	8.9	2.4	1.1	0.9	0.0	32.3
Compliance	132.6	85.7	112.1	22.2	8.4	6.9	367.9
Restoration	86.1	84.1	59.0	54.0	76.2	66.5	425.9
Operation & Maintenance	250.3	465.4	218.7	-280.6	-555.3	-702.3	-603.8
Military Personnel	4.2	-31.3	-77.4	-171.6	-279.1	-315.9	-871.1
Other	34.3	-2.2	-116.3	-185.8	-200.0	-205.7	-675.6
Land Sales Revenue	0.0	0.0	-28.0	-483.0	0.0	-67.6	-578.6
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[1092]	[-9203]	[-18983]	[-23123]	[-22250]	[-23254]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-1936]	[-4873]	[-7757]	[-8241]	[-8261]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	691.1	1623.0	1408.1	-986.5	-902.4	-1324.8	508.5

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NAS AGANA, GUAM

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[3574]	[4014]	[4312]	[8426]	[9137]	[22943]	[52406]
Studies	1062	573	95	0	0	0	1730
Compliance	717	977	1966	2057	1066	548	7331
Restoration	1795	2464	2251	6369	8071	22395	43345
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	2500	2500	0	5000
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	606	0	0	0	606
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	3574	4014	4918	10926	11637	22943	58012
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	-7310	0	0	-1207	0	0	-8517
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-5065	-5206	-5507	-5637	-7700	-7700	-36815
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	-11698	-24004	35702
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[-426]	[-430]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-12375	-5206	-5507	-6844	-19398	-31704	-81034
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	-7310	0	0	-1207	0	0	-8517
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	1062	573	95	0	0	0	1730
Compliance	717	977	1966	2057	1066	548	7331
Restoration	1795	2464	2251	6369	8071	22395	43345
Operation & Maintenance	-5065	-5206	-5507	-3137	-5200	-7700	-31815
Military Personnel	0	0	606	0	-11698	-24004	-35096
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[-426]	[-430]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-8801	-1192	-589	4082	-7761	-8761	-23022

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sale Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL AIR STATION, AGANA, GUAM

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Relocate Navy aviation units and support tenants from NAS Agana to Andersen Air Force Base, Guam. Retain and continue to utilize family housing units and selected personnel support facilities at Agana. The activities located at NAS support in-theater electronic warfare, logistics support and training for the U.S. Pacific Fleet. Agana also provides support for aviation detachments transiting with aircraft carrier battle groups and other miscellaneous surface combatants in route to the western Pacific operating areas. There are no civilian personnel savings associated with the closure of NAS. Operational closure date of FY 1998 is planned.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will be required to document impacts from the relocation of assets to Andersen AFB. The EIS will address impacts to wetlands, endangered species, traffic, socioeconomics, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction. The EIS will include an Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone update and noise study to accommodate changes in air operations and address attendant noise and safety issues. The increase in air operations in particular may be contentious with the local communities. In addition, there will be significant endangered species (Marianna Crow and Fruit Bat) survey work with appropriate mitigation to be completed as a result of realignment in order to satisfy the requirements of the Endangered Species Act and Migratory Bird Treaty Act. This mitigation will most likely include future monitoring at NAS Agana and coordination and preparation of consultation agreements with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Guam Department of Fish and Game, regarding impacts of operations on these resources at Andersen AFB.

NEPA documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EIS will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at NAS Agana with subsequent reuse. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., expansion of the airfield may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on

the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. There is strong Government of Guam and local community interest in the acquisition of this property for expansion of the Guam International Airport and construction of a major highway. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination. Prior to actual disposal of NAS property, it is likely that the Navy will allow interim use of the property under lease agreements. An EA will be required to document the potential impacts of such interim use. Issues to be addressed in the EA include changes in land use and increased air and water emissions.

Compliance: An asbestos and lead inventory will be conducted, and any asbestos and lead found to be hazardous to human health will be abated. A PCB inventory will be conducted, and PCBs will be abated. Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) will undergo Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) closure. Aboveground storage tanks will also undergo closure. An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Action (CERFA), for transfer/lease, and for a close-out survey.

Installation Restoration (IR): Sixteen sites have been identified under the Installation Restoration (IR) program. The sites are being investigated for contamination from hazardous or toxic substances. Includes reimbursement costs for health assessments attributable to this Base. These Congressionally mandated requirements include reimbursement for State oversight of clean up and the cost of health assessments by the Agency of Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

Operations and Maintenance: The basic concept of caretaker operations is to perform facilities management, routine caretaker maintenance and repairs, and operation of key utilities by contract. A Caretaker Support Office (CSO) will be tasked with administering the caretaker contract, environmental clean-up/ compliance monitoring, public affairs, and property records management. Includes projects to place facilities into "secure" condition and projects at Andersen AFB for repair and/or reconfiguration prior to Navy occupancy. Includes transportation, packing/crating costs, and equipment installation costs.

Planning expenses are required to update engineering evaluations, Basic Facility Requirements (BFRs), and completion of required special studies and plans to integrate the Navy into Andersen AFB.

Navy will screen the property with other federal, state, and local agencies and the public according to the normal federal disposal process. This may result in transfer to another federal agency, a homeless provider, sale to a state or local government either at fair market value or discounted under a variety of statutory programs. If property survives the screening process, the property will ultimately be disposed of by public sale.

Military Personnel - PCS: PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and for operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: MCON Projects which were in the FYDP have been removed.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Savings are anticipated since the action is to relocate the NAS function to Andersen Air Force Base.

Military Personnel: Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

Other: None.

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NAS ALAMEDA, CA

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	4960	17012	0	0	0	21972
Family Housing							
Construction	0	34130	44310	0	0	0	78440
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[17324]	[10178]	[6278]	[197]	[3]	[7]	[33987]
Studies	1549	478	0	0	0	0	2027
Compliance	4830	3237	6212	0	0	0	14279
Restoration	10945	6463	66	197	3	7	17681
Operation & Maintenance	531	2902	2541	12156	6686	0	24816
Military Personnel - PCS	4267	7462	213	4903	0	0	16845
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	22122	59632	70354	17256	6689	7	176060
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	-4700	0	-4635	0	0	0	-9335
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	82	489	2546	3745	5998	6148	19008
Operation & Maintenance	-4076	4051	4138	-26345	-33319	-34082	-89633
Military Personnel	0	0	-467	-14952	-30053	-30754	-76226
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-4]	[-4]	[-4]	[-358]	[-358]	[-358]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-7]	[-535]	[-537]	[-535]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-8694	4540	1582	-37552	-57374	-58688	-156186

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	-4700	4960	12377	0	0	0	12637
Family Housing							
Construction	0	34130	44310	0	0	0	78440
Operations	82	489	2546	3745	5998	6148	19008
Environmental							
Studies	1549	478	0	0	0	0	2027
Compliance	4830	3237	6212	0	0	0	14279
Restoration	10945	6463	66	197	3	7	17681
Operation & Maintenance	-3545	6953	6679	-14189	-26633	-34082	-64817
Military Personnel	4267	7462	-254	-10049	-30053	-30754	-59381
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-4]	[-4]	[-4]	[-358]	[-358]	[-358]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-7]	[-535]	[-537]	[-535]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	13428	64172	71936	-20296	-50685	-58681	19874

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL AIR STATION, ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Close the Naval Air Station (NAS), Alameda, which supports aviation squadrons, aircraft carriers (CVN), and surface operations and training for the U.S. Pacific Fleet. NAS also provides support for Naval and Marine Corps Reserve activities and a Naval Aviation Depot. As a direct result of NAS Alameda closure, relocation of reserve activities from BRAC 91 NAS Moffett Field closure to NAS Alameda will be cancelled. U.S. Naval Reserve (USNR) activities will remain tenants of National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Ames at Moffett Field with U.S. Marine Corps Reserve (USMCR) units relocating to other installations. The following BRAC 93 actions are planned to support closure of NAS Alameda:

- o One CVN to Puget Sound Naval Shipyard and one CVN to NAS North Island.
- o Disestablishment of Airborne Mine Countermeasures squadron.
- o Relocation of USNR activities to NASA AMES.
- o Disestablishment of Naval Aviation Depot.
- o Relocation of surface ships to Naval Station San Diego.

Projected operational closure date of FY 1997 is planned.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction:

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-298T PORT HADLOCK - HIGH EXPLOSIVE MAGS	1995	4,860
P-316T FALLON - CONSTRUCTION BATTALION UNIT EQUIPMENT SHOP	1995	100
SUBTOTAL FY 1995		4,960
P-813T NORTH ISLAND - ADMIN REHAB CCG-3	1996	512
P-300T PUGET SOUND - PARKING GARAGE	1996	14,400
P-305T PUGET SOUND - PLAYING FIELDS	1996	2,100
SUBTOTAL FY 1996		17,012
TOTAL		21,972

Family Housing Construction: The following projects are required to provide housing for junior enlisted (E1-E6) families migrating to San Diego and Bangor.

Location		FY	$(000)
Bangor	318 Jr. Enlisted Units	1995	34,130
San Diego	140 Jr. Enlisted Units	1996	44,310

A consolidated DD1391 for 352 new units at Naval Submarine Base (NSB) Bangor is included. Three hundred eighteen units are attributable to NAS Alameda and the remaining 34 units are attributable to Naval Shipyard (NSY) Mare Island.

Family Housing Operations: Deactivation and caretaker costs for all San Francisco Bay area housing sites are included in Public Works Center (PWC), San Francisco, closure costs under O&M costs.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will be required at NSY Puget Sound to address the impacts of possible land acquisition and pier upgrade necessary to support a nuclear-powered aircraft carrier. Issues to be addressed include impacts to wetlands, endangered species, surface hydrology, traffic impacts, socio-economics, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction. Additional sediment sampling will be required for in-water construction. An EIS will be required at NSB Bangor to document impacts from the construction of family housing to support the relocation of Navy assets (primarily from NAS Alameda) to the Puget Sound/Bremerton area. Impacts to be studied would primarily involve changes in traffic, socioeconomic impacts, wetlands, and endangered species concerns.

Relocation of assets to NAS North Island will require an Environmental Assessment (EA). The EA will address impacts to wetlands, endangered species, surface hydrology, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction. The EA will include an Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone update and a noise study to analyze changes in air operations and attendant noise and safety issues. In addition, an EIS will be required to document impacts from the construction/acquisition of family housing to support the cumulative relocation of Navy assets (primarily from NAS Alameda) to the San Diego area. Impacts to be studied would primarily involve changes in traffic, socioeconomic impacts, and possible land acquisition.

NEPA documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at NAS Alameda. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse to an industrial park may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on

the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination. Prior to actual disposal of NAS property, it is likely that the Navy will allow interim use of the property under lease agreements beginning in FY 1994. An EA will be required to document the potential impacts of such interim use. Issues to be addressed in the EA include changes in land use and increased air and water emissions.

Compliance: Asbestos inventories are underway with extensive asbestos abatement required. Underground storage tank removals will continue with soil contamination investigated, characterized, and remediated. Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) will undergo RCRA cleanup which includes a RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA), RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI), Corrective Measures Study (CMS), and Corrective Act (CA). An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA), for transfer/lease, and for a close-out survey.

Installation Restoration (IR): There are 19 IR sites currently in the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study stage. Remedial Action for all 19 sites is scheduled to continue into FY 1999. Other potential sites are being investigated for contamination from hazardous or toxic substances. Includes State reimbursement costs for health assessments attributable to this Base. These Congressionally mandated requirements include reimbursement for State oversight of clean up and the cost of health assessments by the Agency of Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

Operations and Maintenance: The basic concept of caretaker operations is to perform facilities management, routine caretaker maintenance and repairs, and operation of key utilities by contract. A Caretaker Site Office (CSO) will be established and collocated with CSOs serving the Naval Hospital (NH) Oakland, and the NPWC San Francisco. The CSO will be tasked with administering the caretaker contract, environmental clean-up/ compliance monitoring, public affairs, and property records management. Physical security (fire and guard services) will be provided by government employees in accordance with existing legislation.

Planning support is required to prepare special planning studies. Much of the scope of previous planning efforts were rendered obsolete by the additional loading at the gaining locations.

BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Navy will screen the property with other federal, state, and local agencies and the public according to the normal federal disposal process. This may result in transfer to another federal agency, a homeless provider, sale to a state or local government either at fair market value or discounted under a variety of statutory programs. If property survives the screening process, the property will ultimately be disposed of by public sale.

Military Personnel - PCS: PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and for operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: MCON Projects which were in the FDYP have been removed.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: Savings for family housing are included in the PWC San Francisco budget. Also provides for the operations and maintenance costs for 466 additional family housing units at San Diego and 318 units at Bangor.

Operations and Maintenance: Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

Other: None.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:N32013 NAVAL WEAPONS STATION DETACHMENT, PORT HADLOCK, WASHINGTON	HIGH EXPLOSIVE MAGAZINES

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0702031N	421.22	P-298T	4,860

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
HIGH EXPLOSIVE MAGAZINES	SF	30,600	-	3,330
MAGAZINES	SF	18,600	163.00	(3,030)
LOADING PLATFORMS	SF	12,000	25.00	(300)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	1,040
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(570)
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(300)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(170)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	4,370
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	220
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	4,590
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	270
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	4,860
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	4,860
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Two reinforced concrete box type F magazines, earth-covered, retaining walls, concrete loading dock with ramp and stairs, approach apron, steel sliding doors, electrical distribution, lightning protection, and provisions for intrusion detection systems.

11 REQUIREMENT: 30,600 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Constructs high explosive magazines.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities for the storage of additional smokeless powder, projectiles, and high explosives at this station. This station provides ordnance support for the Fleet in the northwest. Ammunition must be loaded and unloaded here prior to entering the shipyard or berthing at a Puget Sound port. Because of actions authorized by Public 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Naval Air Station, Alameda, California, will be closed and this station is to provide weapons storage in support of Fleet ships and the new Carrier Battle Group ships to be homeported in the Puget Sound area.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Alameda, this station is to provide high explosives storage to support the new Carrier Battle Group to be homeported in the

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL WEAPONS STATION DETACHMENT, PORT HADLOCK, WASHINGTON

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
HIGH EXPLOSIVE MAGAZINES	P-298I

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)

Puget Sound area. There are no existing facilities which can be used to fill this requirement, and security requirements preclude the use of leased commercial storage space.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

The station has no capability to accommodate the increased levels of ammunition to be offloaded from Carrier Battle Group ships and which require safe and secure structures for proper storage. Without this project, an unacceptable condition would prevail, posing a constant danger to life safety and an undue risk for the security of the weapons. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Alameda.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(260)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(180)
(C)	TOTAL	440
(D)	CONTRACT	(390)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(50)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:N60495 NAVAL AIR STATION, FALLON, NEVADA	CONSTRUCTION BATTALION UNIT EQUIPMENT SHOP

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	171.20	P-316T	100

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
CONSTRUCTION BATTALION UNIT EQUIPMENT SHOP . .	LS	-	-	80
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	80
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	0
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	80
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	20
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	100
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Prefabricated building addition, utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Provides an addition to an existing building for an equipment shop.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate equipment shop space to provide for more efficient equipment maintenance and productivity. The Construction Battalion (Seabees) are increasing in size to meet new mission requirements resulting from Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The Seabees currently work in a building which is too small for their equipment repair requirements.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

The new and larger Seabee unit will be required to work in inadequate spaces to the detriment of productivity and morale.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, FALLON, NEVADA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
CONSTRUCTION BATTALION UNIT EQUIPMENT SHOP	P-316T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED. 10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994 25
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE 02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE 06-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES__ NO X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS . . . . . (0)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS . . . . . (0)
(C) TOTAL. 0
(D) CONTRACT . . . . . (0)
(E) IN-HOUSE . . . . . (0)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 11-94
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY

LEFT BLANK

1.Component NAVY	FY1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2.Date / /

3.Installation and Location	4.Project Title
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE BANGOR, WA	FAMILY HOUSING

5.Prog Element	6.Cat Code	7.Project Num	8.Proj Cost($000)
	711	H-404T	38970

9. COST ESTIMATE

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
Family Housing:	FA	352	65651	23109
Buildings	SF	342900	67.39	(23109)
Supporting Costs:				11905
Paving & Site Improvements				(5112)
Utilities				(4234)
Landscaping				(1173)
Recreation				(416)
Spec Construction Features				(235)
Housing Community Center	SF	6000	122.50	(735)
Subtotal				35014
Contingency (5%)				1751
Total Contract Cost				36765
SIOH (6.0%)				2206
Total				38971
Total (Rounded)				38970

10.Description of proposed construction

Multi-family housing units; wood frame or masonry with stucco or vinyl siding, covered parking, covered patios, privacy fencing, exterior storage and recreational facilities. Fire sprinkler system included in unit price.

Grade	Bedroom	Net Area	Project Factor	Unit Cost	No. Units	($000) Total
JEM	2	950	1.1232	60.00	318	20359
JEM	3	1200	1.1232	60.00	34	2750
					352	23109

DD-1391 Page:

1.Component NAVY	FY1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2.Date / /

3.Installation and Location
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE
BANGOR, WA

4.Project title FAMILY HOUSING	5.Project Number H-404T

11. Requirement:

PROJECT: This project constructs 352 homes for junior enlisted families attached to NC Bangor. Construction requirement is due to BRAC 1993 estimated migrations from NAS Alameda and NSY Mare Island. Project costs have been attributed to PACFLT and NAVSEA accordingly.

REQUIREMENT: Adequate family housing is needed for married personnel and their families. This project includes community recreational facilities, and expanded common open spaces reflecting the Navy's Neighborhoods of Excellence concepts. Recreational facilities include tot lots, jogging paths, and playing courts/fields in accordance with MIL-HDBK-1035.

CURRENT SITUATION. Family Housing Survey shows projected deficit of nearly 400 units.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Military members will be forced to choose between involuntary separations from their families, or accepting housing that is unsuitable. Either choice will likely lead to poor morale and dissatisfaction with the Navy. Retention of quality personnel will be adversely impacted.

Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facilities Planning and Design Guide".

Necessary coordination with the school district is in progress.

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NADEP ALAMEDA, CA

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	13200	9624	0	0	0	22824
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[5572]	[5164]	[5817]	[749]	[4]	[6]	[17312]
Studies	129	0	0	0	0	0	129
Compliance	3448	3581	5775	700	0	0	13504
Restoration	1995	1583	42	49	4	6	3679
Operation & Maintenance	8839	40010	99433	7608	0	0	155890
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	500	0	0	0	0	500
TOTAL COSTS	14411	58874	114874	8357	4	6	196526
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	-2230	0	0	-2230
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	-47355	-48491	-49655	-145501
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	-24394	-24981	-25580	-74955
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-1652]	[-1665]	[-1709]	[-1709]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-28]	[-28]	[-28]	[-28]	[-28]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	-73979	-73472	-75235	-222686
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	13200	9624	-2230	0	0	20594
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	129	0	0	0	0	0	129
Compliance	3448	3581	5775	700	0	0	13504
Restoration	1995	1583	42	49	4	6	3679
Operation & Maintenance	8839	40010	99433	-39747	-48491	-49655	10389
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	500	0	-24394	-24981	-25580	-74455
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-1652]	[-1665]	[-1709]	[-1709]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-28]	[-28]	[-28]	[-28]	[-28]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	14411	58874	114874	-65622	-73468	-75229	-26160

Note: Net costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL AVIATION DEPOT, ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Naval Aviation Depot (NADEP), Alameda, will close and its workload will be relocated to other depot maintenance activities at Cherry Point, NC; Jacksonville, FL; and San Diego (North Island), CA and private industries.

Closure of NADEP Alameda requires relocation of workload throughout the naval, inter-service, and private/commercial aviation depot maintenance communities. A transition plan, based on the most current workload, was formulated to preserve the commercial defense industrial base while ensuring the Navy maintains the core competencies required to support mission essential requirements and Fleet readiness. Aircraft and engine workload will be transitioned to NADEP Jacksonville. Missile workload will be transitioned to other inter-service activities and component, manufacturing and other support workload will be transitioned to the remaining naval aviation depots and the private sector. There will be near term disruptions in workload and inefficiencies associated with geographical relocation and personnel retraining and learning curves consistent with the closure and workload transition of an industrial complex. Projected operational closure date of FY 1996 is planned.

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: Includes costs for the repair and rehabilitation of an existing hangar and renovating 10,430 square feet of supply building storage requirements for new workload.

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-221T JACKSONVILLE, STORAGE FACILITY	1995	1,000
P-219T JACKSONVILLE, COMPONENT REWORK FACILITY REHAB	1995	12,200
SUBTOTAL FY 1995		13,200
P-220 JACKSONVILLE, ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING	1996	9,624
SUBTOTAL FY 1996		9,624
TOTAL		22,824

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets to NADEP North Island will require an Environmental Assessment (EA) that addresses the cumulative impacts of receiving assets from NADEP Alameda, NADEP Pensacola, and NADEP Norfolk; issues to be addressed include impacts to wetlands, endangered species, surface hydrology, increased air and water emissions, increased utility demands, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction. Funding for NEPA documentation for the relocation of assets from NADEP Alameda to NADEP Jacksonville and NADEP Cherry Point have been included in budget submittals for the closure of NADEP Pensacola and NADEP Norfolk, respectively.

Compliance: There are no PCB or radon costs. Survey, design, and remedial action costs are included for Underground Storage Tanks, RCRA Facilities Solid Waste Management Units, lead paint and asbestos. Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) will undergo RCRA cleanup which includes a RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA), RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI), Corrective Measures Study (CMS), and Corrective Act (CA). Included with the Resource Conservation and Recovery Facilities are four industrial waste treatment plants and two storage areas. The RCRA Facilities and SWMUs account for over 70% of the cleanup costs due to the extent of contamination and number of sites. An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA), for transfer/lease, and for a close-out survey.

Installation Restoration (IR): There are nine IR sites at NADEP Alameda. The three largest sites in terms of clean-up costs are: Building 5, Plating/Paint Stripping Shop; Building 360 - Plating Shop/Engine Cleaning Shop; and Building 530 - Missile Rework Operations. The most expensive clean-up costs will be incurred in the outyears. Other potential restoration sites are being investigated for possible remediation. Includes state reimbursement costs for health assessments attributable to this base. These Congressionally mandated requirements include reimbursement for State oversight of clean up and the cost of health assessments by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

Operations and Maintenance: Costs represent the aggregate costs of closing NADEP Alameda and transitioning workload to NADEPs Cherry Point, Jacksonville, and North Island. Included are the costs for the relocation of personnel; relocation of plant property, tools and inventories; reduction in force costs; workload disruption costs; and shutdown costs. Costs also include repair costs for 132,000 square feet of shop/hangar space to accept transitioned aircraft and minor construction costs for seven projects. NADEP Alameda is a tenant of NAS Alameda. Caretaker services for the NADEP will be provided as part of the air station and funded by the host claimant.

BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel - PCS: No requirement.

Other: Costs for collateral equipment.

Land Sales Revenue: NADEP is a tenant of NAS Alameda and owns no property.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: Savings in FY 1997 from the removal of projects which were in the FYDP.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None

Operations and Maintenance: Savings reflected represent the aggregate savings of closing NADEP Alameda and transitioning workload to NADEPs Cherry Point, Jacksonville, and North Island. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

Other: Customer savings associated with the closure of depot facilities which had excess capacity.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:N65886 NAVAL AVIATION DEPOT, JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA	STORAGE FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0702096N	211.95	P-221T	1,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
STORAGE FACILITY	SF	10,430	53.00	550
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	340
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(50)
UTILITIES, PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(290)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	890
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	50
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	940
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	60
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	1,000
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

One story steel frame building, pile foundation, concrete floor, masonry walls, built-up roof, fire protection system, air conditioning, utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 10,430 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides an adequate building for the storage of high value critical aircraft and aircraft engine components and equipment.

REQUIREMENT:

An adequate weatherproof facility is required for storage of aircraft and aircraft engine components and equipment used in the re-work and overhaul of Navy aircraft. This additional workload is being transferred from other Navy Depots scheduled for closing as a result of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990. Additional facilities are required to maintain the commercial defense industrial base in support of Fleet readiness.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Various aircraft and aircraft engine programs are currently located at Navy Depots throughout the country. Aircraft and aircraft engine workload will be transitioned from those depots scheduled to close as a result of the Base Closure and Realignment Commission recommendations.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AVIATION DEPOT, JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
STORAGE FACILITY	P-221T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project there will not be sufficient adequate space at NADEP Jacksonville to accommodate the transitioning aircraft and engine workload. The recommendations of the Base Closure and Realignment Commission cannot be implemented.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	04-95

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(53)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(37)
(C)	TOTAL	90
(D)	CONTRACT	(80)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(10)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 06-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N65886	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AVIATION DEPOT, JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA	COMPONENT REWORK FACILITY REHABILITATION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0702096N	211.06	P-219T	12,200

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
COMPONENT REWORK FACILITY REHABILITATION . . .	SF	132,000	-	10,960
SHOP SPACE	SF	132,000	69.00	(9,110)
ROOF TRUSS	LS	-	-	(1,450)
HANGAR SUBSTRUCTURE.	LS	-	-	(400)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	10,960
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	550
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	11,510
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	690
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	12,200
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Repair hangar truss system and sub-structure and complete rehabilitation of shop space as required.

11. REQUIREMENT: 132,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Provide repairs to existing facilities to accommodate the workload transitioned from other Naval Aviation Depots (NADEPs) to Jacksonville as a result of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate facilities are required to accommodate the aircraft and aircraft engine component programs transferred to NADEP Jacksonville.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Aircraft and engine component programs are currently located at NADEPs throughout the country. As some of these sites have been recommended for closure, these programs are scheduled to be transferred to NADEP Jacksonville. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the aircraft and aircraft engine components being relocated to this activity.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without the repairs to the existing facilities the transfer of the aircraft and engine component functions cannot be accomplished at NADEP Jacksonville and the Base Closure and Realignment actions will be seriously impaired.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:
(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED. 10-93

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N65886

NAVAL AVIATION DEPOT, JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
COMPONENT REWORK FACILITY REHABILITATION	P-219T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (CONTINUED)

(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	04-95

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___ NO_X_
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(657)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(441)
(C)	TOTAL	1,098
(D)	CONTRACT	(976)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(122)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 06-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NRTF ANNAPOLIS, MD

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[24]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[24]
Studies	24	0	0	0	0	0	24
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	156	54	0	0	0	0	210
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	180	54	0	0	0	0	234
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-359	-1409	-746	-758	-775	-793	-4840
Military Personnel	-61	-123	-124	-126	-128	-131	-693
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-18]	[-18]	[-18]	[-18]	[-18]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-4]	[-4]	[-4]	[-4]	[-4]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-420	-1532	-870	-884	-903	-924	-5533
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	24	0	0	0	0	0	24
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-203	-1355	-746	-758	-775	-793	-4630
Military Personnel	-61	-123	-124	-126	-128	-131	-693
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-18]	[-18]	[-18]	[-18]	[-18]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-4]	[-4]	[-4]	[-4]	[-4]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-240	-1478	-870	-884	-903	-924	-5299

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL RADIO TRANSMITTING FACILITY, ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Disestablish the Naval Radio Transmitting Facility (NRTF) Annapolis. NRTF Annapolis is to be retained by the Navy and ownership will transfer to NAVSTA Annapolis. Projected disestablishment date of FY 1994 is planned.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: There will be some archeological and historical survey work to be completed. This is necessary to satisfy the requirements of the National Historic Preservation Act and local concerns of the State Historic Preservation Office.

Compliance: No requirement.

Installation Restoration (IR): No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance: BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel - PCS: No requirement.

Other: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: Property will be retained by Navy and reassigned to the Naval Academy.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: Savings associated with the reduction of military billets.

Other: None.

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NAS BARBERS POINT, HI

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	7000	52110	9460	0	0	68570
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[12261]	[7458]	[22749]	[20613]	[15802]	[14490]	[93373]
Studies	1644	1050	690	0	0	0	3384
Compliance	6601	3735	4225	4493	1739	1908	22701
Restoration	4016	2673	17834	16120	14063	12582	67288
Operation & Maintenance	173	787	2742	24620	5423	5229	38974
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	2724	0	0	0	2724
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	12434	15245	80325	54693	21225	19719	203641
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	-1350	-9800	-7189	0	0	-1445	-19784
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-1905	1000	-2703	-10899	-11212	-25761	-51480
Military Personnel	0	-589	-303	-9940	-20142	-20609	-51583
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[-109]	[-109]	[-109]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-9]	[-590]	[-590]	[-590]	[-590]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-3255	-9389	-10195	-20839	-31354	-47815	-122847
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	-1350	-2800	44921	9460	0	-1445	48786
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	1644	1050	690	0	0	0	3384
Compliance	6601	3735	4225	4493	1739	1908	22701
Restoration	4016	2673	17834	16120	14063	12582	67288
Operation & Maintenance	-1732	1787	39	13721	-5789	-20532	-12506
Military Personnel	0	-589	2421	-9940	-20142	-20609	-48859
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[-109]	[-109]	[-109]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-9]	[-590]	[-590]	[-590]	[-590]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	9179	5856	70130	33854	-10129	-28096	80794

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL AIR STATION, BARBERS POINT, HAWAII

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Close the Naval Air Station (NAS) Barbers Point, which supports Maritime Patrol (VP) Squadrons and Anti-Submarine Warfare (ASW) operations and training for the Pacific Fleet. NAS also provides support for one Light Airborne Multi-Purpose System (LAMPS) helicopter squadron, U.S. Coast Guard air operations for the central Pacific and Hawaii, and other miscellaneous activities. The following actions are planned to accomplish closure of NAS Barbers Point:

- o Relocation of aviation squadrons to Naval Air Station Whidbey Island, WA, and to Marine Corps Air Station Kaneohe Bay, HI.
- o Relocation of Coast Guard air operations to Kaneohe Bay.
- o NAS Barbers Point family housing will remain and will be utilized to address existing shortfall in Pearl Harbor region.

Projected closure date of FY 1997 is planned.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction:

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-604T WHIDBEY ISLAND - TACTICAL SUPPORT CENTER	1995	7,000
SUBTOTAL FY 1995		7,000
P-269T KANEOHE BAY - MODIFY ACFT WASH & RINSE FACS	1996	1,200
P-270T KANEOHE BAY - ALTERATIONS TO ACFT HANGARS	1996	9,500
P-272T KANEOHE BAY - AIMD ALTERATIONS/ADDITIONS	1996	5,100
P-508T KANEOHE BAY - ORDNANCE FACILITIES	1996	4,700
P-274T KANEOHE BAY - AVIATION SUPPLY FACS	1996	2,000
P-538T PEARL HARBOR - PW SHOP RELOCATION	1996	6,700
P-539T PEARL HARBOR - UTILITY SYSTEM MODIFICATIONS	1996	2,300
P-603T WHIDBEY ISLAND - AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON ALTERATIONS	1996	4,500
P-605T WHIDBEY ISLAND - FLIGHT SIMULATOR BLDG ADD'N	1996	4,900
P-608T WHIDBEY ISLAND - HANGAR 6 REHAB	1996	3,150
P-612T WHIDBEY ISLAND - ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP	1996	5,850
P-616T WHIDBEY ISLAND - CPWP HQ	1996	1,600
P-287T KANEOHE BAY - HELICOPTER LANDING PAD	1996	610
SUBTOTAL FY 1996		52,110

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-600T WHIDBEY ISLAND - CSE SHOP	1997	5,600
P-615T WHIDBEY ISLAND - SONOBOUY STORAGE	1997	1,860
P-617T WHIDBEY ISLAND - SUPPLY ADDITION	1997	2,000
SUBTOTAL FY 1997		9,460
TOTAL		68,570

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: The family housing at Barbers Point will be retained.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets to MCAS Kaneohe Bay will require an Environmental Assessment (EA) at each receiving site. The EA will address impacts to wetlands, endangered species, surface hydrology, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction. The EA will include an Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone (AICUZ) update and noise study to analyze changes in air operations and attendant noise and safety issues.

Under the base closure scenario, NAS Whidbey Island is scheduled to receive assets from NAS Barbers Point. The Navy is currently preparing an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) at Whidbey Island to analyze the impacts of existing air operations on-base and at its outlying landing fields. Therefore, to accommodate the base closure recommendations, the Navy will supplement the current EIS to analyze the environmental impacts of receiving assets from Barbers Point. Issues to be addressed will include impacts to endangered species, increased air and water emissions, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction. Ancillary studies to support the EIS will include an AICUZ update and noise study to analyze changes in air operations and attendant noise and safety issues.

NEPA documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EIS will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at NAS Barbers Point. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse to an industrial park may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination. In addition, there will be significant archeological survey work to be completed prior to disposal in order to satisfy the requirements of the National Historic Preservation Act and local concerns of the State Historic Preservation Office and the Office of Hawaiian Affairs. Prior to actual disposal of NAS property, it is likely that the Navy will allow interim use of the property under lease agreements

beginning in FY 1994. An EA will be required to document the potential impacts of such interim use. Issues to be addressed in the EA include changes in land use and increased air and water emissions.

Compliance: Asbestos, lead paint, and PCB surveys and inventories are being executed with abatement and cleanup required. Ninety-three buildings contain asbestos. Potentially, 197 buildings contain lead paint. There are 97 underground storage tanks which will require testing, remediation, or removal. Underground storage tanks will require extensive cleanup and closure actions. Sand cleanup is expensive. An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA), for transfer/lease, and for a close-out survey.

Installation Restoration (IR): Eight IR sites have been identified. One hundred fifty drywell sites are being investigated for contamination and characterization and are expected to yield costly cleanup actions. A fifty acre landfill site will require extensive testing and assessment and costly disposal and remediation actions. A site containing 20 PCB transformers with potential extensive soil contamination is included. Long term pump and treat and monitoring will be required. Other potential sites are being investigated for contamination from hazardous or toxic substances. Includes State reimbursement costs for health assessments attributable to this Base. These Congressionally mandated requirements include reimbursement for State oversight of clean up and the cost of health assessments by the Agency of Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

Operations and Maintenance: The basic concept of caretaker operation is to perform facilities management, routine caretaker maintenance and repairs, and operation of key utilities by contract. A Caretaker Site Office (CSO) will be established which will be tasked with administering the caretaker contract, environmental clean-up/compliance monitoring, public affairs, and property records management. Security services will be provided by government employees in accordance with existing legislation. Costs include packing and freight charges for movement of equipment and files. Also included are the removal and reinstallation of computer systems, P-3 operational flight weapons trainers, LAN systems, and the transfer of aviation supply inventory.

Planning support is required to prepare special planning studies. Much of the scope of previous planning efforts were rendered obsolete by the additional loading at the gaining locations.

BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Real estate expenses included in these estimates are not normal Navy expenses. The General Services Administration (GSA) is normally the disposal agent for Navy's land and improvements. However, the Base Closure legislation directs the Administrator of GSA to delegate his disposal authority to DOD, including the requirement to pay for all disposal costs. These expenses cover federal screening, land transfers to other federal agencies, public discount transfers, and any public sale of real estate.

Expenses to be incurred are for site inspections, travel to sites, appraisals, title work, surveys, training and software, signs, news releases, marketing, community liaison, printing, advertising, audio-visual aids, photographic video, site presentation, office rental, auction site rental, auction fees and closing costs. Also included are costs associated with interim outleasing of closure property and termination of existing leases.

Navy will screen the property with other federal, state, and local agencies and the public according to the normal federal disposal process. This may result in transfer to another federal agency, a homeless provider, sale to a state or local government either at fair market value or discounted under a variety of statutory programs. If property survives the screening process, the property will ultimately be disposed of by public sale.

Military Personnel - PCS: PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and for operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: MCON projects which were in the FYDP have been removed.

Family Housing Construction: FY 1993 new construction project is no longer needed as the installation is closing.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Although O&M funds will have to be transferred to receiving bases to purchase support services, additional funds will have to be transferred to a new parent command to support remaining QOL facilities. Savings will result through the reduction in utilities, security, MRP, and civilian personnel salaries resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

Other: None.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00620	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, WHIDBEY ISLAND, WASHINGTON	TACTICAL SUPPORT CENTER

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	141.42	P-604T	7,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
TACTICAL SUPPORT CENTER	SF	20,000	-	5,350
BUILDING	SF	20,000	169.00	(3,380)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(1,920)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	-	-	(50)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	940
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(740)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(200)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	6,290
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	320
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	6,610
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	390
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	7,000
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Two-story reinforced concrete masonry building with concrete floor and raised flooring in operational spaces, concrete walls and fully adhered single-ply roofing system, air conditioning and ozone ventilation, fire protection system, TEMPEST protection, grounding, emergency generators, seismic construction, provision for intrusion detection system (IDS), provision for uninterruptable power system (UPS), security fencing, loading platform, roof-mounted antenna supports, relocation of pod storage, parking, and utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 20,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides a building which will be used for a new consolidated Tactical Support Center.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facility to provide a new consolidated Tactical Support Center to support a new mission to home base the P-3C Anti-Submarine (ASW) aircraft involved in complex, multi-platformed ASW missions. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Barbers Point will close and home base for the P-3C ASW aircrafts will be relocated to this station.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, WHIDBEY ISLAND, WASHINGTON

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
TACTICAL SUPPORT CENTER	P-604T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION:

There is no building available capable of housing a Tactical Support Center, nor is there any building that could be modified for use as a Tactical Support Center.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Tactical Support will not be available for P-3C aircraft. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Barbers Point.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

	($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(380)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(250)
(C) TOTAL	630
(D) CONTRACT	(560)
(E) IN-HOUSE	(70)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NAS CECIL FIELD, FL

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	8000	221042	0	0	0	229042
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	37500	0	0	0	37500
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[15579]	[11974]	[12985]	[1034[illegible]]	[7636]	[877]	[59394]
Studies	2450	129	478	0	0	0	3057
Compliance	2990	2551	2774	4147	437	437	13336
Restoration	10139	9294	9733	6196	7199	440	43001
Operation & Maintenance	152	173	5499	22730	5719	5623	39896
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	1705	5053	0	0	6758
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	15731	20147	278731	38126	13355	6500	372590
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	-1500	-2200	-12770	0	0	0	-16470
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	-300	-612	-722	-1634
Operation & Maintenance	-1856	-2615	-3304	-6373	-19897	-28747	-62792
Military Personnel	0	-163	-236	-12315	-23149	-23643	-59506
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-19]	[-37]	[-54]	[-352]	[-352]	[-352]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-3]	[-3]	[-676]	[-671]	[-675]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-3356	-4978	-16310	-18988	-43658	-53112	-140402

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	-1500	5800	208272	0	0	0	212572
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	37500	0	0	0	37500
Operations	0	0	0	-300	-612	-722	-1634
Environmental							
Studies	2450	129	478	0	0	0	3057
Compliance	2990	2551	2774	4147	437	437	13336
Restoration	10139	9294	9733	6196	7199	440	43001
Operation & Maintenance	-1704	-2442	2195	16357	-14178	-23124	-22896
Military Personnel	0	-163	1469	-7262	-23149	-23643	-52748
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-19]	[-37]	[-54]	[-352]	[-352]	[-352]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-3]	[-3]	[-676]	[-671]	[-675]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	12375	15169	262421	19138	-30303	-46612	232188

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL AIR STATION, CECIL FIELD, FLORIDA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

The activities located at Naval Air Station (NAS), Cecil Field, provide maintenance, training and homeport support for assigned operational F/A-18 and S-3 Fleet Air Squadrons and reserve Navy and Marine Air Squadrons.

NAS Cecil Field will draw down in a phased manner with closure in FY 1997. Homeported operational/reserve air squadrons will be relocated to the following locations: Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) Cherry Point, MCAS Beaufort, and NAS Oceana. Relocations will occur as soon as facilities at gaining bases are available to accommodate their mission.

Aircraft Intermediate Maintenance Department will relocate to MCAS Cherry Point and NAS Oceana. Ten operational F/A-18 squadrons, a fleet replacement squadron, associated wing and support will relocate to MCAS Cherry Point. One Marine Reserve F/A-18 squadron, one Navy Reserve Squadron and one Carrier Air Wing will relocate to MCAS Beaufort, SC. Five operational S-3B squadrons, an ES-3A squadron, an S-3 fleet replacement squadron, their air wing and support will relocate to NAS Oceana. The Pinecastle Electronic Warfare Range Detachment Astor support personnel will be transferred to NAS Jacksonville. The range will remain open. Medical and dental facilities at NAS Cecil Field will be closed. A majority of the assigned personnel will be reassigned to other medical/dental facilities at gaining bases.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: The following projects are required:

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-186T OCEANA - TRAINING AND OPERATIONS FACILITY	1995	2,600
P-187T OCEANA - ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION BUILDING	1995	2,600
P-188T OCEANA - AIRCRAFT ENGINE AND AVIONICS MAINTENANCE FACILITY ADDITIONS	1995	2,800
SUBTOTAL FY 1995		8,000

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-084T CHERRY POINT - AIRFIELD INFRASTR	1996	142,733
P-085T CHERRY POINT - RUNWAY	1996	20,060
P-088T CHERRY POINT - TRAINING FACILITIES	1996	17,500
P-089T CHERRY POINT - ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE	1996	3,629
P-090T CHERRY POINT - BEQ	1996	16,400
P-091T CHERRY POINT - PERSONNEL SUPPORT FACILITIES	1996	3,200
P-092T CHERRY POINT - MISSILE MAGAZINES	1996	3,500
P-093T CHERRY POINT - WETLAND MITIGATION, SIGNAGE, LANDSCAPE	1996	2,000
P-396T BEAUFORT - HANGAR RENOVATION	1996	4,000
P-500T CHERRY POINT - FLIGHT LINE CLINIC	1996	3,600
P-506T CHERRY POINT - WATER SURVIVAL TRAINING FACILITY	1996	2,300
P-831T JACKSONVILLE - AVIATION PHYSIOLOGY TRAINING BUILDING	1996	2,120
SUBTOTAL FY 1996		221,042
TOTAL		229,042

Family Housing Construction: The following project is required:

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
H-087T CHERRY POINT - HANCOCK VILLAGE REPLACEMENT (347 UNITS ENLISTED)	1996	37,500
TOTAL		37,500

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will be required to document impacts from the relocation of assets to MCAS Cherry Point. The EIS will address impacts to wetlands, endangered species, traffic impacts, socioeconomics, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction. The EIS will include an airspace analysis to accommodate changes in air operations and an Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone (AICUZ) update and noise study to address attendant noise and safety issues.

Relocation of assets to NAS Oceana will require an Environmental Assessment (EA) that addresses the cumulative impacts of receiving assets from NAS Cecil Field and NAS Miramar; and relocation of assets to MCAS Beaufort will require an EA that addresses the impacts of receiving assets from NAS Cecil Field. Issues to be addressed in both EAs include changes in land use from associated military construction, increased air and water emissions, an airspace analysis to accommodate increased air operations, and noise and safety issues.

NEPA documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EIS will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at NAS Cecil Field with subsequent reuse. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse as an industrial park may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination. In addition, the Navy is planning to retain the Pinecastle Target Complex, currently used by NAS Cecil Field. Expanded use of this target complex will require an airspace analysis and Range AICUZ to document changes in air operations and attendant noise and safety issues. Prior to actual disposal of NAS property, it is likely that the Navy will allow interim use of the property under lease agreements. An EA will be required to document the potential impacts of such interim use. Issues to be addressed in the EA include changes in land use and increased air and water emissions.

Compliance: Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) will undergo RCRA cleanup which includes a RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA), RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI), Corrective Measures Study (CMS), and Corrective Act (CA). A permitted storage facility will undergo a Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) closure. Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) will be removed. Asbestos assessment and lead base paint surveys will be conducted and any asbestos and lead hazardous to human health will be abated. An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA), for transfer/lease, and for a close-out survey.

Installation Restoration (IR): NAS Cecil Field is on the National Priority List (NPL). Fifty seven sites have been identified under the Installation Restoration (IR) program. The sites are in various stages of remediation; in FY 1994, sites will be in Preliminary Assessment/Site Investigation (PA/SI), Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS), Remedial Design (RD), and Remedial Act (RA).

Operations and Maintenance: The basic concept of caretaker operations is to perform facilities management, routine caretaker maintenance and repairs, and operation of key utilities by contract. A Caretaker Site Office (CSO) will be established. The CSO will be tasked with administering the caretaker contract, environmental clean-up/compliance monitoring, public affairs, and property records management. Physical security (fire and guard services) will be provided by government employees in accordance with existing legislation.

Planning expenses are required to prepare special planning studies. Much of the scope of previous planning efforts were rendered obsolete by the additional loading at several gaining locations. Special planning studies are required to determine basic facilities requirements, special project validations, siting, space utilization, and relocation plans.

Costs include program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, relocation of simulators, and tenant moving costs.

BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Navy will screen the property with other federal, state, and local agencies and the public according to the normal federal disposal process. This may result in transfer to another federal agency, a homeless provider, sale to a state or local government either at fair market value or discounted under a variety of statutory programs. If property survives the screening process, the property will ultimately be disposed of by public sale.

Military Personnel - PCS: PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and for operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: The proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: Savings are a result of the deletion of projects in the FYDP.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: The family housing inventory at NAS Cecil Field consists of 297 government owned units. Two hundred units, located 4 miles from NAS Cecil Field, will be retained to offset some of the PWC Jacksonville requirements. The remaining 97 units will be inactivated at the end of FY 1996.

Operations and Maintenance: Operations and maintenance savings result from elimination of billets, and associated non-labor base operations support at NAS Cecil Field. NAS Oceana, MCAS Cherry Point, and MCAS Beaufort require operating budget increases to support transferring units. NAS Jacksonville requires funding to operate the Pinecastle Range. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Civilian Personnel: Net civilian personnel reductions result from closing NAS Cecil Field and transferring some end-strength to the Commandant of the Marine Corps for support at Cherry Point and Beaufort.

Military Personnel: Additional military personnel are required at MCAS Cherry Point to support transferring forces. Savings are the result of a reduction of military billets.

Other: None.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, OCEANA, VIRGINIA /UIC:N60191	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	171.35	P-186T	2,600

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
TRAINING AND OPERATIONS FACILITY	SF	17,000	-	1,600
BUILDING	SF	12,000	100.00	(1,200)
STORAGE AREA	SF	5,000	45.00	(230)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	-	-	(170)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	730
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(150)
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(240)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(340)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,330
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	120
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	2,450
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	150
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	2,600
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Two-story steel frame building with mezzanine and high bay areas, pile foundation, concrete floor, block walls, membrane roof, fire protection system, raised computer flooring, monorail, provisions for uninterruptible power system (UPS) and intrusion detection system (IDS); pre-engineered metal sonobuoy storage building with concrete slab on grade, humidity control; utilities and security fencing.

11. REQUIREMENT: 17,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides a facility to house S-3 training simulators.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to house S-3 trainers and provide a sonobuoy storage facility. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Naval Air Station Cecil Field will close and homeported operational S-3 squadrons and support facilities will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Cecil Field, six operational S-3 squadrons and one training squadron will relocate to this station. There are no facilities available at this station with the floor loading capability to accommodate the weight of the trainers or provide the environmental

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, OCEANA, VIRGINIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
TRAINING AND OPERATIONS FACILITY	P-186T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)

requirements for sonobuoy storage.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, training facilities will not be available to support S-3 operations. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Cecil Field because of a lack of adequate training facilities.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(140)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(100)
(C)	TOTAL	240
(D)	CONTRACT	(210)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(30)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N60191	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, OCEANA, VIRGINIA	ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION BUILDING

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	171.10	P-187T	2,600

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION BUILDING.	SF	25,400	-	1,890
BUILDING	SF	25,400	72.00	(1,830)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS.	LS	-	-	(60)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	440
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES.	LS	-	-	(80)
UTILITIES.	LS	-	-	(160)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT.	LS	-	-	(200)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,330
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	120
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	2,450
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	150
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	2,600
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

One-story reinforced concrete and masonry building, pile foundation, concrete floor, insulated block walls, single ply roof, fire protection system, and utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 25,400 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides an academic instruction building.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities for S-3 aircraft class room training. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Naval Air Station, Cecil Field, will close and homeported operational S-3 squadrons and support facilities will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Cecil Field, six operational S-3 squadrons and one training squadron will relocate to this station. There are no facilities available that can be used for academic instruction.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate training facilities will not be available to support S-3 operations. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Cecil Field.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, OCEANA, VIRGINIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION BUILDING	P-187T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	0
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(140)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(100)
(C)	TOTAL	240
(D)	CONTRACT	(210)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(30)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N60191	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, OCEANA, VIRGINIA	AIRCRAFT ENGINE AND AVIONICS MAINTENANCE FACILITY ADDNS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	211.21	P-188T	2,800

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
AIRCRAFT ENGINE & AVIONICS MAINT FAC ADDNS	SF	28,320	-	2,050
ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP ADDITION	SF	16,000	73.00	(1,170)
AVIONICS SHOP ADDITION	SF	6,500	84.00	(550)
STORAGE BUILDING ADDITIONS	SF	5,820	47.00	(270)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	-	-	(60)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	460
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(100)
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(210)
SOIL REMOVAL	LS	-	-	(150)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,510
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	130
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	2,640
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	160
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	2,800
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Single-story engine maintenance shop addition with mezzanine; single-story maintenance storage building addition with pile foundation, concrete floor, block walls, metal roof; single-story avionics shop addition with reinforced concrete spread footings and floor, block walls, metal roof; single-story storage building addition; three-ton bridge crane, utilities, and contaminated soil removal.

11. REQUIREMENT: 28,320 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Constructs additions to three buildings to provide an aircraft engine and avionics maintenance facility.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities for S-3 aircraft intermediate level engine and avionics maintenance. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Naval Air Station, Cecil Field, will close and homeported operational S-3 squadrons and support facilities will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Cecil Field, six operational S-3 squadrons and one training squadron will relocate to this station. Existing station maintenance facilities are fully utilized and are not designed with the

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, OCEANA, VIRGINIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
AIRCRAFT ENGINE AND AVIONICS MAINTENANCE FACILITY ADDNS	P-188T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)

capability of maintaining the new S-3 aircraft. No facilities are available on the east coast to provide the required maintenance.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, maintenance facilities will not be available to support S-3 operations. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Cecil Field because of a lack of adequate training facilities.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(150)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(100)
(C)	TOTAL	250
(D)	CONTRACT	(220)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(30)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:M60169 MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, BEAUFORT, SOUTH CAROLINA	HANGAR RENOVATION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0206496M	211.05	P-396T	4,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
HANGAR RENOVATION.	SF	34,560	91.00	3,140
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	450
UTILITIES.	LS	-	-	(200)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT.	LS	-	-	(250)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	3,590
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	180
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	3,770
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	230
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	4,000
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Renovate existing hanger space including seismic improvements, install flightline electrical distribution system, improvements to aircraft parking apron.

11. REQUIREMENT: 34,560 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides improvements to hangars to provide space for Marine and Navy reserve squadrons.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured hangar facilities to support reserve F/A-18 aircraft operations. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Naval Air Station Cecil Field will close and reserve functions will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Cecil Field, two 12-plane reserve F/A-18 squadrons and one reserve carrier air wing will relocate to this station. There are no adequate hanger facilities available to support these aircraft. Improvements to two hangars are required to meet current seismic and safety criteria, to upgrade substandard shop and administrative space, and to provide for hazardous waste storage, lighting, parking, and other

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, BEAUFORT, SOUTH CAROLINA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
HANGAR RENOVATION	P-396T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)

features needed to support the two squadrons.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, maintenance and administrative facilities will not be available to support reserve F/A-18 operations. This station will not be able to support the closure of Cecil Field.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	04-95

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(220)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(140)
(C)	TOTAL	360
(D)	CONTRACT	(320)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(40)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: TBD (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:M00146 MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, CHERRY POINT, NORTH CAROLINA	AIRFIELD INFRASTRUCTURE

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0206496M	211.05	P-084T	142,733

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
MAINTENANCE HANGARS.	LS	-	-	93,730
BUILDINGS.	SF	360,000	66.00	(23,760)
AIRCRAFT PAVEMENTS	SY	550,810	43.00	(23,680)
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES.	SF	193,570	124.00	(24,000)
ENGINE TEST CELLS AND ACOUSTICAL ENCLOSURES.	LS	-	-	(17,930)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS.	LS	-	-	(4,360)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	34,510
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES.	LS	-	-	(6,720)
UTILITIES.	LS	-	-	(22,120)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	-	(5,670)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	128,240
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	6,410
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	134,650
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	8,083
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	142,733
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Nine hangar modules with parking apron, access apron, and taxiway; fixed point hotpit refueling system; aircraft washrack; aircraft rinse rack; corrosion control hangar; airframe shop; engine maintenance shop; avionics shop; aviation armament shop; parachute shop; ground support equipment shop, ground support equipment shed; two engine test cells; an aircraft acoustical enclosure; technical operating manuals, utilities, and security lighting.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Provides facilities to house an F/A-18 Fighter Wing.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to support F/A-18 aircraft operations at this station. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Naval Air Station Cecil Field will close and homeported operational F/A-18 squadrons and support facilities will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Cecil Field, 11 squadrons of F/A-18s and supporting facilities will relocate to this station. This relocation will add another 195 aircraft to the 140 already assigned. Facilities are not available at this station to accommodate the additional aircraft and

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, CHERRY POINT, NORTH CAROLINA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
AIRFIELD INFRASTRUCTURE	P-084T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)

personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, facilities will not be available to support F/A-18 operations. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Cecil Field because of a lack of adequate support facilities.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	04-95

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES ___ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(7,690)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(5,160)
(C)	TOTAL	12,850
(D)	CONTRACT	(11,420)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(1,430)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: TBD (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:M00146 MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, CHERRY POINT, NORTH CAROLINA	MISSILE MAGAZINES

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0206496M	421.72	P-092T	3,500

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
MISSILE MAGAZINES.	SF	23,000	-	2,760
MAGAZINES.	SF	23,000	118.00	(2,710)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS.	LS	-	-	(50)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	380
UTILITIES, PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS. . .	LS	-	-	(380)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	3,140
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	160
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	3,300
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	200
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	3,500
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Five missile magazines, technical operating manuals, and utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 23,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Constructs five missile magazines.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured weapons storage facilities to support F/A-18 aircraft operations at this station. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Naval Air Station, Cecil Field, will close and F/A-18 aircraft squadrons assigned to Cecil Field will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of Naval Air Station, Cecil Field, 11 squadrons of F/A-18 aircraft and the associated missile storage facilities will relocate from Cecil Field to this station. There are no magazine facilities available at this station to store the additional missiles.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, facilities will not be available to store weapons in support of the F/A-18 relocation. The missiles for the F/A-18 will have to be stored at some remote location which will degrade operational

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, CHERRY POINT, NORTH CAROLINA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
MISSILE MAGAZINES	P-092T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

capability. This station will not be able to support the closure of Naval Air Station, Cecil Field.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	11-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X_
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

	($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(190)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(125)
(C) TOTAL	315
(D) CONTRACT	(280)
(E) IN-HOUSE	(35)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. TBD (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 19 96 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:M00146 MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, CHERRY POINT, NORTH CAROLINA	FLIGHT LINE CLINIC

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0206496M	550.10	P-500T	3,600

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
FLIGHT LINE CLINIC	SF	25,600	119.00	3,050
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	190
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(90)
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	-	(100)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	3,240
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	160
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	3,400
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	200
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	3,600
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Two-story steel reinforced concrete and masonry building, pile foundation, concrete floor, block walls, built-up roof; medical clinic, dental clinic, and ambulance shelter; fire protection system, air conditioning, utilities, and parking lot addition.

11. REQUIREMENT: 25,600 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides a flight line medical/dental treatment facility.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to provide for relocation of personnel, offices, exam rooms, waiting rooms, support services, and storage. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Cecil Field will close and the flight line clinic will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Closure of NAS Cecil Field will result in the relocation of the flight line clinic to this station. There are no facilities available at this station to accommodate this function.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, treatment facilities to deliver health care to flight line personnel will not be available. This station will not be

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 19 96 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, CHERRY POINT, NORTH CAROLINA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
FLIGHT LINE CLINIC	P-500T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

able to support the closure of NAS Cecil Field.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	04-95

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X__
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(190)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(130)
(C)	TOTAL	320
(D)	CONTRACT	(290)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(30)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: TBD (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:M00146	4. PROJECT TITLE
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, CHERRY POINT, NORTH CAROLINA	WATER SURVIVAL TRAINING FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0206496M	171.35	P-506T	2,300

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
WATER SURVIVAL TRAINING FACILITY	SF	20,540	-	1,930
TRAINING POOL	SF	9,740	80.00	(780)
BUILDING	SF	10,800	72.00	(780)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(350)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	-	-	(20)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	140
UTILITIES, PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(140)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,070
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	100
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	2,170
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	130
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	2,300
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Single-story concrete and masonry building with spread footing foundation, clear-span steel truss roof supporting solar pool heating system, concrete floor, block walls, administrative spaces, classrooms, air conditioning and utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 20,540 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides a water survival training facility to house a water survival training pool and other associated training devices as well as provide classroom and administrative space.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to provide water survival training for personnel relocated from Naval Air Station Cecil Field, Florida, to this station. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure And Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Cecil Field will close and homeported operational F/A-18 squadrons and support facilities will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Existing facilities are not adequately sized to accommodate the additional incoming personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, this station will not be able to fully support the

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, CHERRY POINT, NORTH CAROLINA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
WATER SURVIVAL TRAINING FACILITY	P-506T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

closure of NAS Cecil Field because of a lack of water survival training facilities.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	02-95

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(120)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(90)
(C)	TOTAL	210
(D)	CONTRACT	(180)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(30)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: TBD (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NSY CHARLESTON, SC

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	9270	0	0	0	0	9270
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[33682]	[22986]	[19179]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[75847]
Studies	134	478	0	0	0	0	612
Compliance	33548	22171	18397	0	0	0	74116
Restoration	0	337	782	0	0	0	1119
Operation & Maintenance	106607	81966	56580	5263	0	0	250416
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	140289	114222	75759	5263	0	0	335533
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	-2800	0	0	0	0	-2800
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	-88462	-91941	-93964	-96031	-370398
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-10194	-10419	-10647	-10882	-42142
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[1136]	[-3392]	[-5335]	[-5507]	[-5001]	[-5564]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-54]	[-54]	[-54]	[-54]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	-2800	-98656	-102360	-104611	-106913	-415340

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	6470	0	0	0	0	6470
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	134	478	0	0	0	0	612
Compliance	33548	22171	18397	0	0	0	74116
Restoration	0	337	782	0	0	0	1119
Operation & Maintenance	106607	81966	-31882	-86678	-93964	-96031	-119982
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-10194	-10419	-10647	-10882	-42142
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[1136]	[-3392]	[-5335]	[-5507]	[-5001]	[-5564]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-54]	[-54]	[-54]	[-54]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	140289	111422	-22897	-97097	-104611	-106913	-79807

Note: Net Costs include Land Sales Revenue

07

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL SHIPYARD CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Close the Naval Shipyard (NSY) Charleston. Operational closure is projected for FY 1996. The yard will inactivate assets and secure dry docks and piers during FY's 1994 and 1995.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: Construction of the following projects at the Norfolk Naval Shipyard is required to relocate unique functions that must continue in operation after the closure of Charleston:

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-364T NORFOLK - INTERMED MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY PLANNING FACILITY	1995	8,400
P-365T NORFOLK - CIRCUIT BREAKER OVERHAUL FACILITY	1995	870
	SUBTOTAL FY 1995	9,270
	TOTAL	9,270

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets to Norfolk Naval Shipyard can likely be categorically excluded from further NEPA documentation. A small cost is associated with this planning effort.

NEPA documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EIS will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at NSY Charleston with subsequent reuse. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse as an industrial park may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination. Prior to actual disposal of NSY Charleston property, it is likely that the Navy will

allow interim use of the property under lease agreements. An Environmental Assessment (EA) will be required to document the potential impacts of such interim use. Issues to be addressed in the EA include changes in land use and increased air and water emissions.

Compliance: Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) will undergo RCRA cleanup which includes a RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA), RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI), Corrective Measures Study (CMS), and Corrective Action (CA). A permitted storage facility will undergo a Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) closure. An asbestos and lead base paint assessment will be conducted, and any asbestos and lead found to be hazardous to human health will be abated. Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) will be removed. An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Action (CERFA), for transfer/lease, and for a close-out survey. In addition to the above, one-time operational costs involving final clean out and wash down of industrial facilities have been addressed. Also includes costs for radiological surveys and studies. Not included is any radiological decontamination of facilities and equipment that may be required as a result of current or previous operations.

Installation Restoration (IR): Four sites have been identified under the Installation Restoration (IR) program. The sites are being investigated for contamination from hazardous or toxic substances.

Operations and Maintenance: Costs are for utility termination, caretaker operations, low-level radioactive waste disposal, dredge operations cessation, other nuclear propulsion closure costs, and program support transfer. Also includes costs for radiological surveys and studies. The basic concept of caretaker operation is to perform facilities management, routine caretaker maintenance and repairs, and operation of key utilities by contract. A Caretaker Site Office (CSO) will be established and collocated with CSO's supporting other closure sites in the Charleston complex. The CSO will be tasked with administering the caretaker contract, environmental clean-up/compliance monitoring, public affairs, and property records management. Physical security (fire and guard services) will be provided by government employees in accordance with existing legislation.

BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Real estate expenses included in these estimates are not normal Navy expenses. The General Services Administration (GSA) is normally the disposal agent for Navy's land and improvements. However, the Base Closure legislation directs the Administrator of GSA to delegate his disposal authority to DOD, including the requirement to pay for all disposal costs. These expenses cover federal screening, land transfers to other federal agencies, public discount transfers, and any public sale of real estate.

Expenses to be incurred are for site inspections, travel to sites, appraisals, title work, surveys, training and software, signs, news releases, marketing, community liaison, printing, advertising, audio-visual aids, photographic video, site presentation, office rental, auction site rental, auction fees and closing costs. Also includes costs associated with interim outleasing of closure property and costs associated with termination of existing leases.

Navy will screen the property with other federal, state, and local agencies and the public according to the normal federal disposal process. This may result in transfer to another federal agency, a homeless provider, sale to a state or local government either at fair market value or discounted under a variety of statutory programs. If property survives the screening process, the property will ultimately be disposed of by public sale.

Military Personnel - PCS: No requirement.

Others: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: Savings are the result of projects deleted from the FYDP.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Includes DBOF and base support savings to regular shipyard customers, and civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

Other: Includes DBOF and base support savings to regular shipyard customers, and civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00181	4. PROJECT TITLE
NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD, PORTSMOUTH, VIRGINIA	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY PLANNING FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0702228N	171.20	P-364T	8,400

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY PLNG FAC . .	LS	-	-	6,960
BUILDING ADDITION.	SF	12,000	86.00	(1,030)
BUILDING RENOVATION.	LS	-	-	(5,810)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(120)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	590
UTILITIES.	LS	-	-	(260)
EQUIP DISMANTLING & RELOCATION	LS	-	-	(130)
PAVING, SITE IMPROVEMENT, AND DEMOLITION . .	LS	-	-	(200)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	7,550
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	380
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	7,930
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	470
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	8,400
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Steel frame high-bay addition with reinforced concrete slab and exterior finish to match existing; renovation of existing first and second floor areas; staff offices, classrooms, support areas, training mockup space, bridge crane in high bay, fire protection system, and air conditioning; special treatment for sound transmission control, utilities, and parking.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Renovates and constructs an addition to an existing building for an Intermediate Maintenance Activity (IMA) Nuclear Planning Yard.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate facilities in which to provide radiological controls and nuclear engineering support to IMA facilities located throughout the world. This activity also provides technical training for Navy personnel on or about to be assigned to the IMA's. The activity is currently located in the Charleston Naval Shipyard and is the only existing organization providing Navy wide assistance and training to IMA personnel on the conduct of nuclear maintenance and repair. The need for these services will exist as long as nuclear powered ships are tended by IMA's. Since Charleston Naval Shipyard is scheduled for closure because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, this unique and vital activity must be transferred to Norfolk Naval

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD, PORTSMOUTH, VIRGINIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY PLANNING FACILITY	P-364I

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

Shipyard.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The IMA Nuclear Planning Yard presently operates out of modular facilities erected inside industrial buildings on a temporary basis in a very unsatisfactory situation at Charleston Naval Shipyard. No facilities are available to accept the relocation of the planning yard at Norfolk Naval Shipyard without extensive renovation and addition as proposed by this project.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Delays in providing an adequate facility in which to relocate the IMA Nuclear Planning Yard could result in delay of complete closure of the Charleston Naval Shipyard.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(453)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(303)
(C)	TOTAL	756
(D)	CONTRACT	(672)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(84)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:N00181 NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD, PORTSMOUTH, VIRGINIA	CIRCUIT BREAKER OVERHAUL FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0702228N	213.54	P-365T	870

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
CIRCUIT BREAKER OVERHAUL FACILITY.	SF	13,250	46.00	610
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	170
EQUIPMENT RELOCATIONS/SETUP.	LS	-	-	(130)
UTILITIES AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS.	LS	-	-	(40)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	780
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	40
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	820
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	50
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	870
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Modify/renovate existing building to include machine shop, overhaul/repair space, oven, blasting, admin office and storage areas. Install jib crane, upgrade existing/provide additional mechanical and electrical utilities and site improvements.

11. REQUIREMENT: 13,250 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Modify existing building for circuit breaker overhaul facility.

REQUIREMENT:

The circuit breaker overhaul facility currently in Charleston Naval Shipyard is the designated overhaul point (DOP) for breakers for the entire Navy. Since Charleston Naval Shipyard has been selected for closure because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Navy considers this unique function critical and desires it transferred to Norfolk Naval Shipyard.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Although Norfolk Naval Shipyard is not the DOP for circuit breakers, with the aid of this project, the personnel there will be capable of overhauling any circuit breaker used by the Navy.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

The circuit breaker DOP will no longer exist at a central location and

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD, PORTSMOUTH, VIRGINIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
CIRCUIT BREAKER OVERHAUL FACILITY	P-365T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

the turn around time for breaker processing and overhaul will be significantly increased.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

	($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(47)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(31)
(C) TOTAL	78
(D) CONTRACT	(70)
(E) IN-HOUSE	(8)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NS CHARLESTON, SC

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	26420	0	0	0	0	26420
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[6164]	[5674]	[5343]	[3500]	[0]	[0]	[20681]
Studies	1003	478	0	0	0	0	1481
Compliance	5161	4791	4203	3500	0	0	17655
Restoration	0	405	1140	0	0	0	1545
Operation & Maintenance	2789	9692	8394	0	0	0	20875
Military Personnel - PCS	0	6319	502	0	0	0	6821
Other	0	1217	0	0	0	0	1217
TOTAL COSTS	8953	49322	14239	3500	0	0	76014
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	-3358	-3358
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	-394	-402	-4019	-4108	-4198	-13121
Operation & Maintenance	-3010	-7625	-24934	-35897	-35794	-36555	-143815
Military Personnel	0	-751	-21024	285	-21473	-41736	-84699
Other	0	-3655	0	0	0	0	-3655
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-2]	[-251]	[-569]	[-569]	[-569]	[-569]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-44]	[-1132]	[-1093]	[-1089]	[-1085]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-3010	-12425	-46360	-39631	-61375	-85847	-248648

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	26420	0	0	0	-3358	23062
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	-394	-402	-4019	-4108	-4198	-13121
Environmental							
Studies	1003	478	0	0	0	0	1481
Compliance	5161	4791	4203	3500	0	0	17655
Restoration	0	405	1140	0	0	0	1545
Operation & Maintenance	-221	2067	-16540	-35897	-35794	-36555	-122940
Military Personnel	0	5568	-20522	285	-21473	-41736	77878
Other	0	-2438	0	0	0	0	-2438
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-2]	[-251]	[-569]	[-569]	[-569]	[-569]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-44]	[-1132]	[-1093]	[-1089]	[-1085]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	5943	36897	-32121	-36131	-61375	-85847	-172634

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL STATION, CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Naval Station (NS), Charleston, provides support services to approximately forty homeported surface ships and submarines and associated support tenant commands, including port services, magnetic silencing, security, fire protection, safety, telephones, housing and morale, welfare and recreation services. Surface ships will be reassigned to NS Mayport and NS Norfolk. Submarines will relocate to U. S. Pacific Fleet, New London, and Norfolk. AS-40 Frank Cable will relocate to Norfolk. Some Ship Intermediate Maintenance Activity personnel and equipment will relocate to NS Norfolk and NS Mayport.

The Naval Base Commander will remain on-board NS Charleston functioning as area coordinator for the Charleston Naval Complex with personnel draw-down to coincide with turn over of facilities. At that time, COMNAVBASE Charleston and the Human Resource Office will disestablish. The Commander Cruiser Destroyer Group 2 and Destroyer Squadron Staffs and Readiness Support Group will relocate to the NS Norfolk. Submarine Group 6 and Submarine Squadron 4 will be decommission. The Naval Security Group Activity, Charleston, will relocate to Norfolk and consolidate with the Naval Security Group, Northwest. The Mobile Mine Assembly Group Detachment 11 will relocate to NWS Charleston. Construction Battalion Unit 412 will relocate to NSB Kings Bay, Georgia. COMOMAG Shore Duty and Seaside will relocate to the Ingleside/Corpus Christi area. Medical/dental clinics will relocate personnel to Norfolk and personnel and equipment to Mayport to support ship personnel relocating to those bases. Readiness Command 7, Reserve Readiness Center will relocate to existing facilities in the Charleston area.

A total of 586 units of family housing at NS Charleston and the naval weapons station will close because of the closure of NS Charleston. All of the units physically located at Charleston, with the exception of a few historically significant houses, will close.

An operational closure date of FY 1996 is planned.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: The following projects are required:

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-364T CHARLESTON - CARGO HANDLING TRAINING AND VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1995	1,400
P-867T CHESAPEAKE - OPERATIONS BUILDING ADDITION AND ALTERATIONS	1995	2,800

P-054T	INGLESIDE - MINE RECOVERY OPERATIONS AND SUPPORT FACILITY	1995	810
P-049T	INGLESIDE - MINE WARFARE TRAINING SCHOOL	1995	4,200
P-045T	INGLESIDE - TRAINING FACILITY	1995	2,800
P-401T	INGLESIDE - ADVANCED FIRE FIGHTING TRAINING FACILITY	1995	12,000
P-868T	CHESAPEAKE - ACCESS ROAD/BRIDGE REPL	1995	710
P-053T	KINGS BAY - CONSTRUCTION BATTALION UNIT OPERATIONS FACILITY	1995	1,700
		SUBTOTAL FY 1995	26,420
		TOTAL	26,420

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets to NS/NAS Norfolk complex, NS Mayport, and NS Ingleside will require an Environmental Assessment (EA) at each receiving site.

The EA will address impacts to wetlands, endangered species, surface hydrology, traffic impacts, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction. The EA will include an Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone (AICUZ) update and a noise study to accommodate changes in air operations and attendant noise and safety issues at NAS Norfolk. In addition, an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will be required to document impacts from the construction/acquisition of family housing to support the cumulative relocation of Navy assets (primarily from NS Charleston) to the Norfolk/Hampton Roads area. Impacts to be studied would primarily involve changes in traffic, socioeconomic impacts, and possible land acquisition.

The EA for NS Mayport will address the cumulative impacts of receiving assets from NS Charleston, and NETC Newport. The EA will address impacts to wetlands, endangered species, surface hydrology, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction. The EA will include an AICUZ update and a noise study to accommodate changes in air operations and attendant noise and safety issues.

The EA for NS Ingleside will address the cumulative impacts of receiving assets from NS Charleston. Issues to be addressed include impacts to wetlands, endangered species, surface hydrology, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction. Relocation of assets to NSGA Northwest and NAS Corpus Christi can likely be categorically excluded from further NEPA documentation. A small cost is associated with this planning effort. Funding for NEPA documentation for the relocation of assets from NS Charleston to NS Pascagoula has been included in the budget submittal for the closure of NS Mobile.

NEPA documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EIS will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at NAVSTA Charleston with subsequent reuse. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse as an industrial park may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination. Prior to actual disposal of NS property, it is likely that the Navy will allow interim use of the property under lease agreements. An EA will be required to document the potential impacts of such interim use. Issues to be addressed in the EA include changes in land use and increased air and water emissions.

Compliance: A permitted storage facility will undergo a Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) closure. Underground Storage Tanks (USTs), including heating oil tanks, will be removed. Asbestos and lead base paint assessment will be conducted, and any asbestos and lead found to be hazardous to human health will be abated. An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA), for transfer/lease, and for a close-out survey.

Installation Restoration (IR): Four sites have been identified under the Installation Restoration (IR) program. The sites are being investigated for contamination from hazardous or toxic substances.

Operations and Maintenance: The basic concept of caretaker operations is to perform facilities management, routine caretaker maintenance and repairs, and operation of key utilities by contract. A Caretaker Site Office (CSO) will be established and collocated with CSOs supporting other closure sites in the Charleston complex. The CSO will be tasked with administering the caretaker contract, environmental clean-up/compliance monitoring, public affairs, and property records management. Physical security (fire and guard services) will be provided by government employees in accordance with existing legislation.

Funds requested provide for program management, civilian personnel severance pay, lump sum leave and separation incentive program, outplacement office operations, building closure costs, relocation of trainers, equipment removal and transportation, facility modifications at gaining sites, tenant moving costs, and leases pending permanent facility availability.

The housing inventory at Charleston complex totals 2,675 government owned units. For those units associated with NS Charleston, 24 units will be closed in FY 1994, 62 more units will close in FY 1995, and an additional 500 units will close by the end of FY 1996. One-time operations and maintenance costs associated with the closure of NS Charleston family housing are for the inactivation and preservation of the facilities.

Real estate expenses included in these estimates are not normal Navy expenses. The General Services Administration (GSA) is normally the disposal agent for Navy's land and improvements. However, the Base Closure legislation directs the Administrator of GSA to delegate his disposal authority to DOD, including the requirement to pay for all disposal costs. These expenses cover federal screening, land transfers to other federal agencies, public discount transfers, and any public sale of real estate.

Expenses to be incurred are for site inspections, travel to sites, appraisals, title work, surveys, training and software, signs, news releases, marketing, community liaison, printing, advertising, audio-visual aids, photographic video, site presentation, office rental, auction site rental, auction fees and closing costs. Also included are costs associated with interim outleasing of closure property and termination of existing leases.

Navy will screen the property with other federal, state, and local agencies and the public according to the normal federal disposal process. This may result in transfer to another federal agency, a homeless provider, sale to a state or local government either at fair market value or discounted under a variety of statutory programs. If property survives the screening process, the property will ultimately be disposed of by public sale.

Military Personnel - PCS: PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other: Funds collateral equipment costs to outfit the MILCON projects discussed above. Procurement funding is required for fire trucks and operating forces support equipment (waterfront equipment).

Land Sales Revenue: Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: Savings are the result of the deletion of two projects from FY 1999.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: The family housing inventory associated with NAVSTA Charleston closure consists of 586 units. Operation of units will cease as follows: 24 units at the end of FY 1994, 62 units at the end of FY 1995, and 500 units at the end of FY 1996.

Operations and Maintenance: Net savings are the result of:

Decreases
- Closure of NS Charleston.

- Disestablishing some tenants.

Increases
- NWS Charleston continue Family Services Center (FSC) services to remaining personnel previously provided by NS Charleston.

- NWS Charleston continue operation of Magnetic Silencing Facility (MSF) through FY 1997, NS Ingleside operates new MSF beginning FY 1998.

- NAS Jacksonville and NS Norfolk support for transferring forces and tenants.

Funding for increased operating costs is available from the savings realized from closing the station.

Civilian Personnel: Civilian personnel reductions result from the closure of NS Charleston and disestablishing some tenants. A few civilians will transfer to NWS Charleston and NS Ingleside for the MSF and FSC functions described above. NS Norfolk and NAS Jacksonville request additional civilian personnel to support transferring functions and tenants.

Military Personnel: Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

Other: Procurement savings for operating forces support.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00193	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL WEAPONS STATION, CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA	CARGO HANDLING TRNG & VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0702096N	171.15	P-364T	1,400

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
CARGO HANDLING TRNG & VEHICLE MAINT FAC. . . .	SF	14,310	-	1,110
TRAINING BUILDING.	SF	9,580	73.00	(700)
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	SF	4,730	86.00	(410)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	150
UTILITIES.	LS	-	-	(50)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT.	LS	-	-	(100)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	1,260
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	60
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	1,320
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	80
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	1,400
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

One-story concrete and steel building; masonry exterior walls, pitched roof, concrete floors, and steel stud/gypsum board interior wall system, space for offices, classrooms, locker room, toilets, storage and vehicle maintenance facility utilities and parking lot.

11. REQUIREMENT: 0 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provide a cargo handling training and vehicle maintenance facility.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to provide for relocation of the Reserve Cargo Handling Readiness Support Site from Charleston to this station. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Naval Station Charleston will close and the Reserve Cargo Handling Battalion will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of Naval Station, Charleston, the Reserve Cargo Handling Battalion will relocate from Charleston to this station. There are no facilities available at this station to accommodate the administration and training of assigned personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, facilities will not be available for cargo handling

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL WEAPONS STATION, CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
CARGO HANDLING TRNG & VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	P-364T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

training and vehicle maintenance. This station will not be able to support the closure of Naval Station, Charleston.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(76)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(50)
(C)	TOTAL	126
(D)	CONTRACT	(112)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(14)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N63891	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL SECURITY GROUP ACTIVITY NORTHWEST, CHESAPEAKE, VIRGINIA	OPERATIONS BUILDING ADDITION AND ALTERATIONS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0305896N	131.35	P-867T	2,800

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
OPERATIONS BUILDING ADDITION AND ALTERATIONS .	SF	11,500	-	1,740
BUILDING ADDITION.	SF	11,500	90.00	(1,040)
BUILDING ALTERATIONS	LS	-	-	(250)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(450)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	770
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES.	LS	-	-	(440)
UTILITIES.	LS	-	-	(100)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT.	LS	-	-	(230)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,510
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	130
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	2,640
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	160
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	2,800
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Two-story masonry addition; pile foundation, reinforced concrete frame, floors, and exterior walls and roof; Sensitive Compartmented Information Facilities (SCIF) areas; masonry interior walls; analysis lab, receiver lab, technical library, and administrative space; raised computer flooring, provisions for intrusion detection system, fire protection system, utilities; alterations and modifications to the existing building; concrete equipment pads with overhead lifting capability and electric power.

11. REQUIREMENT: 11,500 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides alterations and an addition to the operations building.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to accommodate the relocation of the Naval Security Group Activity (NSGA), Charleston, South Carolina, to this activity. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Naval Station, Charleston, will close and the NSGA will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:

There are no facilities available to accommodate the additional mission. Space analysis of the operations building indicates that a significant

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL SECURITY GROUP ACTIVITY NORTHWEST, CHESAPEAKE, VIRGINIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
OPERATIONS BUILDING ADDITION AND ALTERATIONS	P-867T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)

deficiency in facility space currently exists. This would mean parts of the NSGA's mission would have to be inefficiently performed in inadequate space a mile away.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, facilities will not be available to perform NSGA's mission, and electronic equipment and personnel located at Charleston cannot be relocated to this activity. This activity will not be able to support the closure of Charleston.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X__
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(150)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(102)
(C)	TOTAL	252
(D)	CONTRACT	(224)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(28)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N68891	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL STATION, INGLESIDE, TEXAS	MINE RECOVERY OPERATIONS AND SUPPORT FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	610.10	P-054T	810

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
MINE RECOVERY OPERATIONS AND SUPPORT FAC . . .	SF	6,000	84.00	500
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	220
UTILITIES, PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT . . .	LS	-	-	(220)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	720
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	40
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	760
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	50
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	810
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

One-story building, fire protection system, utilities, and parking.

11. REQUIREMENT: 6,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides a mine recovery operations and support facility.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to provide for the relocation of Commander Mobile Mine Assembly Group Mine Recovery Department from the Naval Station, Charleston, South Carolina, to this station. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NS Charleston will close and the mine recovery functions will be relocated to this station. This project is required to support the Mine Warfare training at Ingleside.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of Charleston, mine recovery operations will be relocated to this station. There are no facilities available to accommodate the additional functions.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, facilities will not be available to house mine recovery operations. This station will not be able to support the closure of Charleston because of a lack of adequate facilities.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL STATION, INGLESIDE, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
MINE RECOVERY OPERATIONS AND SUPPORT FACILITY	P-054T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X_
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(43)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(30)
(C)	TOTAL	73
(D)	CONTRACT	(65)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(8)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL STATION, INGLESIDE, TEXAS /UIC:N68891	MINE WARFARE TRAINING SCHOOL

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	171.20	P-049T	4,200

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
MINE WARFARE TRAINING SCHOOL	SF	34,070	-	2,780
BUILDING	SF	34,070	77.00	(2,620)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(160)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	990
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(200)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(230)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(210)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(350)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	3,770
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	190
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	3,960
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	240
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	4,200
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Single-story, steel-frame, brick veneer building, classrooms, labs, instructors spaces, spare parts storage and support areas; high-bay area; low pressure air, overhead crane, overhead door, utilities, fire protection and communications systems.

11. REQUIREMENT: 34,080 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides a Mine Warfare Training School for the Fleet and Mine Warfare Training Center.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to provide for relocation of basic and advanced mine warfare operations schools from Charleston to this station. The facility will offer training for approximately 300 students per year. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Naval Station, Charleston will close and the warfare operations school will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NS Charleston, the Fleet and Mine Warfare Training Center will relocate from Charleston to this station. There are no facilities available to accommodate the training courses being relocated.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL STATION, INGLESIDE, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
MINE WARFARE TRAINING SCHOOL	P-049T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, training facilities will not be available for personnel serving on minesweeping and other surface combatants. This station will not be able to support the closure of Charleston.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(226)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(152)
(C)	TOTAL	378
(D)	CONTRACT	(336)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(42)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N68891	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL STATION, INGLESIDE, TEXAS	TRAINING FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	171.20	P-045T	2,800

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
TRAINING FACILITY.	SF	21,360	-	1,830
BUILDING	SF	21,360	81.00	(1,730)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(100)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	680
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES.	LS	-	-	(160)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(130)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(120)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT.	LS	-	-	(270)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,510
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	130
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	2,640
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	160
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	2,800
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Single-story building, utilities, fire protection and communications systems, instructors spaces, parts storage and support areas; two-story internal space for the mine neutralization system crane trainer with a deep pool; raised flooring.

11. REQUIREMENT: 21,360 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides a facility to house the Fleet and Mine Warfare Training Center.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to provide for relocation of minehunting sonar and navigation systems schools from Charleston to this station. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-501, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Naval Station, Charleston will close and the Fleet and Mine Warfare Training Center will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of Naval Station Charleston, the Fleet and Mine Warfare Training Center will relocate from Charleston to this station. There are no facilities available at this station to accommodate the 13 sonar and navigation training courses being relocated.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, training facilities will not be available for

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL STATION, INGLESIDE, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
TRAINING FACILITY	P-0451

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

minehunting sonar and navigation systems. This station will not be able to support the closure of Naval Station, Charleston because of a lack of adequate training facilities.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(151)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(101)
(C)	TOTAL	252
(D)	CONTRACT	(224)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(28)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N68891	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL STATION, INGLESIDE, TEXAS	ADVANCED FIRE FIGHTING TRAINING FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	179.45	P-401T	12,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
ADVANCED FIRE FIGHTING TRAINING FACILITY . . .	SF	47,780	-	8,300
BUILDING	SF	29,780	201.00	(5,990)
TRAINER SUPPORT BUILDING	SF	4,000	104.00	(420)
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	SF	14,000	86.00	(1,200)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(490)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS.	LS	-	-	(200)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	2,480
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES.	LS	-	-	(900)
ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING.	LS	-	-	(100)
UTILITIES, PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT . . .	LS	-	-	(1,480)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	10,780
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	540
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	11,320
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	680
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	12,000
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(4,280)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Three-story spread footing supported reinforced concrete building, masonry and concrete panel infill exterior walls, concrete floors, ventilation purging system; one-story enclosed steel damage control trainer; one-story spread footing supported masonry trainer support building, fire fighting mixing equipment, fill station, trainer support facilities; two-story masonry with brick veneer applied instruction building, classrooms, instruction offices, locker rooms, storage areas, and support spaces; aqueous fire fighting foam system distribution and storage, 25,000-gallon propane storage tank and distribution, water distribution, sanitary sewer, sewage pumps, gas/water separator, waste water treatment system, storm drainage, parking, access road, security fencing, environmental testing, electrical and communication distribution, fire protection system, and utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 47,780 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides an advanced fire fighting training facility for the Fleet and Mine Warfare Training Center.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to provide for relocation of the fire fighting trainers from Charleston to this station. Because of

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL STATION, INGLESIDE, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ADVANCED FIRE FIGHTING TRAINING FACILITY	P-401T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Naval Station, Charleston, will close and the Fleet and Mine Warfare Training Center will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NS Charleston, the Fleet and Mine Warfare Training Center will relocate from Charleston to this activity. There are no facilities available at this station to accommodate the training equipment being relocated.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, classrooms, laboratories, and training facilities will not be available for fire fighting training. The station will not be able to support the closure of Charleston.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

	($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(647)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(433)
(C) TOTAL	1,080
(D) CONTRACT	(960)
(E) IN-HOUSE	(120)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 02-95
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

FISCAL YEAR

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL STATION, INGLESIDE, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ADVANCED FIRE FIGHTING TRAINING FACILITY	P-401T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (CONTINUED)

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST ($000)
19F3C TRAINING DEVICE	OPN	1993	4,280
		TOTAL	4,280

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:N63891 NAVAL SECURITY GROUP ACTIVITY NORTHWEST, CHESAPEAKE, VIRGINIA	ACCESS ROAD AND BRIDGE REPLACEMENT

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0305896N	851.20	P-868T	710

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
ACCESS ROAD AND BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	LS	-	-	710
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	710
CONTINGENCY (0.0%)	-	-	-	0
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	710
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (0.0%)	-	-	-	0
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	710
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Demolish existing wooden bridge, construct concrete replacement bridge, road realignment for bridge approach sections. Funds provided will be transferred to Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) which is responsible for design and construction of approved work under Title 23 USC Section 210.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Provides a replacement bridge to support off-base movement of operational mobile equipment near NSGA Northwest.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate public road access to Naval Security Group Activity (NSGA) Northwest for operational mobile equipment being relocated with the NSGA, Charleston, South Carolina. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Naval Station Charleston will close. Since NSGA Charleston is located on the Naval Station, it will be relocated to NSGA Northwest.

CURRENT SITUATION:

A mobile piece of operational equipment, which weighs 40 tons and is used to service equipment being relocated from Charleston, must have access to this activity. This vehicle, AN/MRQ-11 (Coyote Van), will be stationed and maintained at NSGA Northwest, but is required to travel to selected

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL SECURITY GROUP ACTIVITY NORTHWEST, CHESAPEAKE, VIRGINIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ACCESS ROAD AND BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	P-868T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)

sites along the east coast. The capacity of the existing wooden bridge on the access road to this activity is only 20 tons and must be replaced. The only other public road access to the activity has two wooden bridges with similar weight limitations.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, the AN/MRQ-11 van will not have safe vehicle access to NSGA Northwest. The van would have to remain in the Charleston area or be moved to another existing military installation. This would isolate a function integral to the mission of NSGA Northwest, resulting in inefficient operations, unnecessary expenditures to provide security and support, and possible duplication in selected NSGA Northwest manning requirements.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	12-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	0
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	07-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	11-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(43)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(21)
(C)	TOTAL	64
(D)	CONTRACT	(57)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(7)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 01-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N42237	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE, KINGS BAY, GEORGIA	CONSTRUCTION BATTALION UNIT OPERATIONS FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0101228N	171.20	P-053T	1,700

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
CONSTRUCTION BATTALION UNIT OPERATIONS FAC . .	SF	14,200	-	1,120
OPERATIONS FACILITY.	SF	12,000	86.00	(1,030)
TEMPORARY COLLECTION AREA/FLAM STORAGE SHED.	SF	2,200	41.00	(90)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	400
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(170)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(60)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT.	LS	-	-	(170)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	1,520
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	80
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	1,600
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	100
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	1,700
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Single-story pre-engineered steel frame metal building, concrete slab on grade, fire protection system, air conditioning, utilities, fencing, and parking; provisions for intrusion detection system, technical operating manuals.

11. REQUIREMENT: 22,200 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides a Construction Battalion Unit (CBU) facility.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate facilities to provide for the relocation of CBU 412 from Charleston to this station. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Naval Station Charleston will close, and the CBU will relocate to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:

There are no facilities available at this station to accommodate the CBU function.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

This station will not be able to support the closure of Naval Station Charleston.

ADDITIONAL:

Economic Alternatives Considered:

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE, KINGS BAY, GEORGIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
CONSTRUCTION BATTALION UNIT OPERATIONS FACILITY	P-053T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

ADDITIONAL: (CONTINUED)

a. Status Quo: This project supports a new mission and there are no existing facilities which will satisfy the requirement.

b. Renovation/Modernization: There are no available facilities which can be modified to provide satisfactory support for this new mission.

c. Lease: There are no private firms in the area that provide appropriate services.

d. New Construction: New construction is the only alternative that will satisfy the requirement.

e. Analysis Results: Net present value calculations were not performed since new construction is the only viable alternative.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(91)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(62)
(C)	TOTAL	153
(D)	CONTRACT	(136)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(17)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 12-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: FISC CHARLESTON, SC

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[1938]	[1834]	[568]	[687]	[0]	[0]	[5027]
Studies	129	478	0	0	0	0	607
Compliance	1809	1356	367	175	0	0	3707
Restoration	0	0	201	512	0	0	713
Operation & Maintenance	1080	17009	5229	1180	1050	1073	26621
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	3018	18843	5797	1867	1050	1073	31648
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	70	107	-6356	-6963	-6746	-6641	-26529
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	-460	-1860	-6953	-7498	-7294	-7208	-31273
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-76]	[-164]	[-239]	[-239]	[-239]	[-239]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-5]	[-5]	[-5]	[-5]	[-5]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-390	-1753	-13309	-14461	-14040	-13849	-57802

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	129	478	0	0	0	0	607
Compliance	1809	1356	367	175	0	0	3707
Restoration	0	0	201	512	0	0	713
Operation & Maintenance	1150	17116	-1127	-5783	-5696	-5568	92
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	-460	-1860	-6953	-7498	-7294	-7208	-31273
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-76]	[-164]	[-239]	[-239]	[-239]	[-239]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-5]	[-5]	[-5]	[-5]	[-5]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	2628	17090	-7512	-12594	-12990	-12776	-26154

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

FLEET AND INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER, CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Disestablish and close Fleet and Industrial Supply Center (FISC), Charleston. Relocate tenant activities into existing spaces in various DOD facilities. The workload of FISC Charleston will move with its customer's workload to receiving bases. An operational closure date of FY 1996 is assumed.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EIS will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at FISC Charleston with subsequent reuse. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse as an industrial park may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination. Prior to actual disposal of FISC property, it is likely that the Navy will allow interim use of the property under lease agreements beginning in FY 1994. An EA will be required to document the potential impacts of such interim use. Issues to be addressed in the EA include changes in land use and increased air and water emissions.

Compliance: Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) will undergo RCRA cleanup which includes a RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA), RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI), Corrective Measures Study (CMS), and Corrective Action (CA). A permitted storage facility will undergo a Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) closure. Asbestos and lead base paint assessments will be done. Any asbestos and lead found to be hazardous to human health will be abated. Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) including tanks at the tank farm and heating oil tanks will be removed. An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA) for transfer/lease, and for a close-out survey.

Installation Restoration (IR): Two sites have been identified under the IR program. The sites are being investigated for contamination from hazardous or toxic substances. Remedial Investigation (RI) will begin in FY 1996, and Remedial Action (RA) will begin in FY 1997. The BSO Installation Restoration

line has been increased to include state reimbursement costs for health assessments attributable to this base. These Congressionally mandated requirements include reimbursement for State oversight of clean up and the cost of health assessments by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

Operations and Maintenance: The basic concept of caretaker operations is to perform facilities management, routine caretaker maintenance and repairs, and operation of key utilities by contract. A Caretaker Site Office (CSO) will be established and collocated with the CSOs supporting other closure sites in the Charleston complex. The CSO will be tasked with administering the caretaker contract, environmental clean-up/compliance monitoring, public affairs, and property records management. Physical security (fire and guard services) will be provided by government employees in accordance with existing legislation.

Planning support is required to prepare base closing actions and relocation studies. Costs associated with the relocation of tenants to other government spaces include civilian RIF and PCS costs, and minor facility repairs or renovation at new locations.

BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Navy will screen the property with other federal, state, and local agencies and the public according to the normal federal disposal process. This may result in transfer to another federal agency, a homeless provider, sale to a state or local government either at fair market value or discounted under a variety of statutory programs. If property survives the screening process, the property will ultimately be disposed of by public sale.

Military Personnel - PCS: No requirement.

Other: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: The proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: None.

Other: Customer savings associated with the closure of a DBOF facility.

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NAS DALLAS, TX

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	118285	0	0	0	0	118285
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[4657]	[3622]	[2485]	[779]	[0]	[0]	[11543]
Studies	736	0	0	0	0	0	736
Compliance	3791	3128	1837	0	0	0	8756
Restoration	130	494	648	779	0	0	2051
Operation & Maintenance	5863	3412	2956	2619	2621	2852	20323
Military Personnel - PCS	0	200	894	0	0	0	1094
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	10520	125519	6335	3398	2621	2852	151245
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	-680	0	0	0	-820	0	-1500
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	375	377	385	396	1533
Operation & Maintenance	240	450	553	386	506	847	2982
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[15]	[15]	[15]	[15]	[15]	[15]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-440	450	928	763	71	1243	3015

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	-680	118285	0	0	-820	0	116785
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	375	377	385	396	1533
Environmental							
Studies	736	0	0	0	0	0	736
Compliance	3791	3128	1837	0	0	0	8756
Restoration	130	494	648	779	0	0	2051
Operation & Maintenance	6103	3862	3509	3005	3127	3699	23305
Military Personnel	0	200	894	0	0	0	1094
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[15]	[15]	[15]	[15]	[15]	[15]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	10080	125969	7263	4161	2692	4095	154260

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL AIR STATION, DALLAS, TX

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

The activities located at Naval Air Station, Dallas, support the operations and training of Naval Air Reserve squadrons and augmentation units, and Marine Reserve aviation and ground units. In addition, Dallas provides host support for the Naval Reserve Intelligence Command, Commander Fleet Logistics Support Wing, a Naval Reserve Readiness Command, the Texas Air National Guard, the Army Air Reserve, the Texas Army National Guard and other miscellaneous activities. NAS Dallas and its tenant activities will relocate to NAS FT Worth (Carswell AFB) and establish a joint Reserve/Guard facility. The Navy will assume host responsibilities. NAS Dallas will close in FY 1996 following the relocation of all tenants.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: New construction is required to operate from NAS FT Worth. All flying units must be bedded down in temporary facilities until construction is complete. Non-flying activities will relocate to NAS FT Worth after construction of a new administration building. A new Army Reserve Center will be constructed near Army Operations. New Type II hangars will be constructed to permanently house Reconaissence and ASW and Texas Air National Guard squadrons.

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-101T NAS FT WORTH - BUILDING ALTERATIONS AND ADDITIONS	1995	11,700
P-102T NAS FT WORTH - AIRCRAFT SUPPORT FACILITY	1995	16,500
P-104T NAS FT WORTH - JET ENGINE TEST CELL	1995	19,350
P-105T NAS FT WORTH - COMMUNITY FACILITIES	1995	385
P-106T NAS FT WORTH - ADMINISTRATIVE/SUPPLY BUILDING ALTERATIONS	1995	4,350
P-107T NAS FT WORTH - AVIATION FACILITIES	1995	6,350
P-108T NAS FT WORTH - RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING	1995	25,500
P-109T NAS FT WORTH - BASE UPGRADES	1995	5,350
P-110T NAS FT WORTH - MAINTENANCE HANGAR	1995	4,100
P-122T NAS FT WORTH - F-14/F-18 HANGAR/SUPPORT	1995	12,050
P-103T NAS FT WORTH - MEDICAL AND DENTAL CLINIC	1995	4,500
P-120T NAS FT WORTH - COMMUNITY SUPPORT BUILDING ALTERATIONS	1995	1,400
P-121T NAS FT WORTH - CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	1995	2,050
P-123T NAS FT WORTH - TRAINING AND ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITIES ALTERATIONS	1995	3,400
P-140T NAS FT WORTH - ADMINISTRATIVE AND SUPPLY BUILDINGS	1995	1,300
SUBTOTAL FY 1995		118,285
TOTAL		118,285

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets to NAS FT Worth will require an Environmental Assessment (EA) that addresses the cumulative impacts of receiving assets from NAS Dallas, NAF Detroit and NAS Memphis. Issues to be addressed in the EA include changes in land use from associated military construction, increased air and water emissions, an airspace analysis to accommodate increased air operations, and noise and safety issues.

NEPA documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EA will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at NAS Dallas with subsequent reuse. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse as an industrial park may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination.

Compliance: Thirty-eight Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) will undergo RCRA cleanup which includes a RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA), RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI), Corrective Measures Study (CMS), and Corrective Action (CA). A 90-day storage area will undergo a Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) closure. Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) will be removed. Any asbestos and lead base paint found to be hazardous to human health will be abated. An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA), for transfer/lease.

Installation Restoration (IR): Five sites have been identified under the IR program. The sites are being investigated for contamination from hazardous or toxic substances.

Operations and Maintenance: The basic concept of caretaker operations is to perform facilities management, routine caretaker maintenance and repairs, and operation of key utilities by contract. A Caretaker Site Office (CSO) will be established which will be tasked with administering the caretaker contract, environmental clean-up/compliance monitoring, public affairs, and property records management. Physical security (fire and guard services) will be provided by government employees in accordance with existing legislation. These costs include civilian personnel PCS and RIF costs, equipment and material packing and shipping costs, excess equipment disposal costs, and program management costs. Also included are inactivation and caretaker costs for family housing units.

Planning expenses are required to prepare special planning studies. Much of the scope of previous planning efforts were rendered obsolete by the additional loading at the gaining locations. Special planning studies are

required to determine basic facilities requirements, special project validations, siting, space utilization, and relocation plans.

BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Navy will screen the property with other federal, state, and local agencies and the public according to the normal federal disposal process. This may result in transfer to another federal agency, a homeless provider, sale to a state or local government either at fair market value or discounted under a variety of statutory programs. If property survives the screening process, the property will ultimately be disposed of by public sale.

Military Personnel - PCS: PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Land Sales Revenue: The proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: Savings are the result of the deletion of projects from the FYDP.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: The family housing inventory at NAS Dallas consists of 11 government owned units. Operation of 11 units will cease at the end of FY 1994. Also provides for the operations and maintenance costs for 57 family housing units acquired at NAS FT Worth for the families migrating from NAS Dallas.

Operations and Maintenance: Increased costs resulting from increase of flight operations, civilian personnel, and base support costs due to additional tenants.

Military Personnel: None.

Other: None.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:NX2421	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS	BUILDING ALTERATIONS AND ADDITIONS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0505196N	211.05	P-101T	11,700

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
BUILDING ALTERATIONS AND ADDITIONS	SF	165,450	-	10,040
MAINTENANCE HANGAR ALTERATIONS	SF	123,880	62.00	(7,680)
MAINTENANCE HANGAR ADDITION	SF	18,000	87.00	(1,570)
ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING ALTERATIONS	SF	15,790	45.00	(710)
SUPPLY BUILDING ALTERATIONS	SF	7,780	10.00	(80)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	470
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(470)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	10,510
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	530
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	11,040
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	660
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	11,700
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Alterations and additions to four buildings; and utility upgrades.

11. REQUIREMENT: 165,450 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides alterations and additions to existing facilities to accommodate the operation and maintenance of aviation units.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities in which to house operations and maintenance functions for the Army Reserve Command and the Texas Army National Guard aviation units. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Naval Air Station, Dallas will close and its assets will be relocated to Carswell Air Force Base and consolidated with units from NAF Detroit, NAS Glenview, and NAS Memphis.

CURRENT SITUATION:

There are no adequate facilities at Carswell Air Force Base which can be used to accommodate the units being relocated. The buildings to be renovated were weapons maintenance facilities used by the Air Force Strategic Air Command and are not properly configured.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate facilities will not be available for the

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BUILDING ALTERATIONS AND ADDITIONS	P-101T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

aviation units being relocated from NAS Dallas. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Dallas because of a lack of adequate operation and maintenance facilities.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(631)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(420)
(C)	TOTAL	1,051
(D)	CONTRACT	(841)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(210)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:NX2421	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT FACILITIES

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0505196N	211.05	P-102T	16,500

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
AIRCRAFT SUPPORT FACILITIES	SF	174,260	-	12,440
ROCKET ASSEMBLY BUILDING	SF	7,500	200.00	(1,500)
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	SF	56,600	98.00	(5,550)
FUEL CELL	SF	15,000	108.00	(1,620)
AERIAL PORT TRAINING	SF	12,960	82.00	(1,060)
BUILDING ALTERATIONS	SF	57,840	15.00	(870)
AVIONICS, AGE, AND ENGINE SHOPS	SF	21,770	75.00	(1,630)
SURVIVAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	SF	2,590	81.00	(210)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	2,390
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(2,390)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	14,830
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	740
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	15,570
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	930
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	16,500
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Building alterations and new construction.

11. REQUIREMENT: 174,260 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: (57,840) SF

PROJECT:

Alters existing building and constructs new facilities to accommodate the training, operations, and maintenance of aviation units.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities in which to house functions associated with aviation units being relocated as a result of the closure of the Naval Air Station, Dallas. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Dallas will close and its assets will be consolidated with units from NAF Detroit, NAS Glenview, and NAS Memphis at Carswell Air Force Base at Forth Worth, Texas.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Dallas, aviation units will relocate from Dallas to Carswell Air Force Base. No facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of these units. In addition, the Texas Air National Guard needs more facilities to support their aircraft operations and maintenance operations.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate facilities will not be available for

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
AIRCRAFT SUPPORT FACILITIES	P-102T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

operations and maintenance of aviation units being relocated. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Dallas because of a lack of adequate facilities.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(890)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(693)
(C)	TOTAL	1,583
(D)	CONTRACT	(1,186)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(397)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:NX2421	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS	JET ENGINE TEST CELL

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0505196N	211.81	P-104T	19,350

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
JET ENGINE TEST CELL	SF	19,820	-	14,990
BUILDING	SF	7,070	717.00	(5,070)
ACOUSTICAL ENCLOSURE	SF	12,750	624.00	(7,960)
POWER CHECK PADS	LS	-	-	(1,960)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	2,390
UTILITIES, PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(2,390)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	17,380
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	870
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	18,250
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	1,100
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	19,350
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Jet engine test cell, an acoustical enclosure (hush house), power check pads, and a test pad for auxiliary power units.

11. REQUIREMENT: 19,820 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Constructs a jet engine test cell.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to test the various aircraft being relocated to Carswell Air Force Base. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Naval Air Station, Dallas, will close and its assets and aviation units will be relocated from the Naval Air Station, Glenview, Illinois, the Naval Air Facility, Detroit, Michigan, and the Naval Air Station, Memphis, Tennessee, to Carswell Air Force Base.

CURRENT SITUATION:

No test facilities exist at Carswell AFB that can be economically converted to accommodate the types of engines being relocated to Carswell Air Force Base. In addition, the quantity of tests to be carried out will increase, requiring additional test facilities.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate test facilities will not be available for

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
JET ENGINE TEST CELL	P-104T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

the relocated aircraft. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Dallas.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

	($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(1,043)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(695)
(C) TOTAL	1,738
(D) CONTRACT	(1,390)
(E) IN-HOUSE	(348)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 02-95
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:NX2421 NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS	COMMUNITY FACILITIES

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0505196N	740.12	P-105T	385

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
COMMUNITY FACILITIES	LS	-	-	350
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	350
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	20
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	370
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	15
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	385
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Alterations to existing buildings at Carswell Air Force Base.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Makes alterations to various community support buildings to accommodate the relocation of NAS Dallas to Carswell Air Force Base.

REQUIREMENT:

Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Dallas will close and relocate its assets and aviation units to Carswell Air Force Base.

CURRENT SITUATION:

With the closure of NAS Dallas and consolidation of its assets with units of several other naval air stations at Carswell Air Force Base at Forth Worth, TX, alterations of some of the existing buildings at Carswell are needed to accommodate the joint reserve forces moving in.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Carswell Air Force Base will not be able to support the closure of NAS Dallas.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
COMMUNITY FACILITIES	P-105T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED 10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994 30
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE 02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE 10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES___NO X__
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS . . . . . (350)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS . . . . . (120)
(C) TOTAL 470
(D) CONTRACT . . . . . (400)
(E) IN-HOUSE . . . . . (70)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START 02-95
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:NX2421 NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPLY BUILDING ALTERATIONS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0505196N	610.10	P-106T	4,350

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPLY BLDG ALTERATIONS . .	SF	190,000	18.00	3,420
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	480
UTILITIES AND DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(480)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	3,900
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	200
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	4,100
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	250
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	4,350
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Alterations to existing buildings.

11. REQUIREMENT: 190,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides alterations to administration and supply buildings.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequately and properly-configured facilities to accommodate the relocation of all units at the Naval Air Station, Dallas, Texas, as well as aviation units from the Naval Air Facility, Detroit, Michigan, the Naval Air Station, Glenview, Illinois, and the Naval Air Station, Memphis, Tennessee, to Carswell Air Force Base. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Dallas will close and its assets will be consolidated with units of several other naval air stations. This realignment will consolidate many of the functions now carried out separately by each of the services located at Dallas, and save money and manpower.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Dallas, aviation units will be relocated to Carswell Air Force Base. Existing facilities require alterations to be able to accommodate the relocated units.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate facilities will not be available to house

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPLY BUILDING ALTERATIONS	P-106T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

the relocated units. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Dallas.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(137)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(92)
(C)	TOTAL	229
(D)	CONTRACT	(183)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(46)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:NX2421 NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS	AVIATION FACILITIES

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0505196N	211.05	P-107T	6,350

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
AVIATION FACILITIES	LS	-	-	5,140
AVIONIC SHOP ALTERATIONS	SF	30,020	25.00	(750)
AIRFRAME SHOP ALTERATIONS	SF	24,000	10.00	(240)
POL ALTERATIONS	LS	-	-	(750)
AIRCRAFT INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE DPRT ALTS	SF	39,030	30.00	(1,170)
ENGINE SHOP ALTERATIONS	SF	24,000	5.00	(120)
RAPCON ALTERATIONS	SF	3,430	65.00	(220)
VAN PADS	LS	-	-	(1,890)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	570
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(570)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	5,710
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	290
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	6,000
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	350
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	6,350
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Alterations to existing buildings and construction of new facilities at Carswell Air force Base.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Builds new facilities and makes alterations to existing buildings at Carswell Air Force Base in support of relocation of all assets at Naval Air Station Dallas as well as aviation units from NAF Detroit, NAS Glenview, and NAS Memphis.

REQUIREMENT:

Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Dallas will close and relocate its assets and aviation units along with units from NAS Glenview, NAF Detroit, and NAS Memphis to Carswell Air Force Base. This realignment of assets is not only bringing in units from other areas, but consolidates many of the functions now carried out separately by each of the services located at NAS Dallas. This will save manpower and money by bringing many common functions of the separate services under one roof.

CURRENT SITUATION:

With the closure of NAS Dallas and consolidation of its assets with units of several other naval air stations to Carswell Air Force Base at Forth Worth, TX, alterations of many of the existing buildings at Carswell and

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
AVIATION FACILITIES	P-107T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)

some new construction is needed to accommodate the joint reserve forces moving in. Part of this consolidation process will house like functions of several services under one roof. These include administrative, training, supply, and vehicle maintenance. Some of the air operations buildings at Carswell, such as hangars and maintenance shops are not functionally suitable for the types of aircraft being brought in without some alterations.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Carswell Air Force Base will not be able to support the closure of NAS Dallas.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(455)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(304)
(C)	TOTAL	759
(D)	CONTRACT	(607)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(152)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:NX2421	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS	RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0505196N	171.15	P-108T	25,500

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING.	SF	243,360	-	19,230
BUILDING	SF	175,000	79.00	(13,830)
BUILDING ALTERATIONS	SF	16,550	54.00	(890)
READINESS COMMAND BUILDING	SF	51,810	87.00	(4,510)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	3,680
UTILITIES, PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT . . .	LS	-	-	(3,000)
DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(680)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	22,910
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	1,150
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	24,060
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	1,440
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	25,500
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Alterations, new construction, and demolition of eight buildings.

11. REQUIREMENT: 243,360 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Alters existing and constructs new buildings at Carswell Air Force Base.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to support the relocation of assets from the Naval Air Station, Dallas, Texas, as well as aviation units from the Naval Air Station, Glenview, Illinois, the Naval Air Facility, Detroit, Michigan, and the Naval Air Station, Memphis, Tennessee, to Carswell Air Force Base. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Dallas will close and its assets will be consolidated with units of several other naval air stations at Carswell Air Force Base. This consolidation will save manpower and money.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Existing facilities are not adequate to house the administrative, training, supply and vehicle maintenance functions associated with the relocating aviation units.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate facilities will not be available for the

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING	P-108T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED (CONTINUED)

relocating aviation units. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Dallas.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS.

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X__
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(1,464)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(976)
(C)	TOTAL	2,440
(D)	CONTRACT	(1,952)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(488)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:NX2421	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS	BASE UPGRADES

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0505196N	610.10	P-109T	5,350

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
BASE UPGRADES.	SF	16,850	-	300
HOUSING OFFICE	SF	6,310	2.00	(10)
ENVIRONMENTAL/SAFETY BUILDING.	SF	4,800	15.00	(70)
POST OFFICE/BAND BUILDING.	SF	4,740	30.00	(140)
CRASH RESCUE BUILDING.	SF	1,000	79.00	(80)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	4,510
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT. . .	LS	-	-	(4,510)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	4,810
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	240
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	5,050
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	300
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	5,350
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Alterations to existing buildings and construction of new facilities at Carswell Air Force Base, including roof repairs, fencing, base signs, drainage improvements, paving, and utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 16,850 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Builds new facilities and makes alterations to existing buildings at Carswell Air Force Base in support of relocation of all assets at Naval Air Station Dallas as well as aviation units from NAF Detroit, NAS Glenview, and NAS Memphis.

REQUIREMENT:

Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Dallas will close and relocate its assets and aviation units along with units from NAS Glenview, NAF Detroit, and NAS Memphis to Carswell Air Force Base. This realignment of assets is not only bringing in units from other areas, but consolidates many of the functions now carried out separately by each of the services located at NAS Dallas. This will save manpower and money by bringing many common functions of the separate services under one roof.

CURRENT SITUATION:

With the closure of NAS Dallas and consolidation of its assets with units

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE UPGRADES	P-109T

11. REQUIREMENT (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)

of several other naval air stations at Carswell Air Force Base at Forth Worth, TX, alterations of many of the existing buildings at Carswell and some new construction is needed to accommodate the joint reserve forces moving in. Existing facilities are inadequate and cannot accommodate the relocation of these additional personnel. Some of the air operations buildings at Carswell, such as hangars and maintenance shops, are not functionally suitable for the types of aircraft being brought in without some alterations. Other buildings require roof and utility repairs to be functionally adequate.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Carwell Air Force Base will not be able to support the closure of NAS Dallas.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(289)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(192)
(C)	TOTAL	481
(D)	CONTRACT	(385)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(96)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS /UIC:NX2421	MAINTENANCE HANGAR

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0505196N	211.05	P-110T	4,100

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
MAINTENANCE HANGAR	SF	36,340	78.00	2,830
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	850
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(280)
UTILITIES, PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(570)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	3,680
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	180
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	3,860
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	240
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	4,100
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Constructs new facilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 36,340 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Constructs a maintenance hangar.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequately and properly-configured facilities to accommodate the relocation of all units at the Naval Air Station, Dallas, Texas, as well as aviation units from the Naval Air Facility, Detroit, Michigan, the Naval Air Station, Glenview, Illinois, and the Naval Air Station, Memphis, Tennessee, to Carswell Air Force Base. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Dallas will close and its assets will be consolidated with units of several other naval air stations. This realignment will consolidate many of the functions now carried out separately by each of the services located at Dallas, and save money and manpower.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Dallas, aviation units will be relocated to Carswell Air Force Base. The existing air operations buildings at Carswell, such as hangars and maintenance shops, are not functionally suitable for the types of aircraft being relocated.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
MAINTENANCE HANGAR	P-110T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate facilities will not be available to house the units being relocated. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Dallas.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

	($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(213)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(142)
(C) TOTAL	355
(D) CONTRACT	(284)
(E) IN-HOUSE	(71)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:NX2421	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS	F-14/F-18 HANGAR/SUPPORT

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0505196N	211.05	P-122T	12,050

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
F-14/F-18 HANGAR/SUPPORT	LS	-	-	10,330
HANGAR ALTERATIONS	SF	86,150	57.00	(4,910)
HANGAR ADDITION	SF	42,150	87.00	(3,670)
ADMINISTRATION ADDITION	SF	3,240	95.00	(310)
CONTROL TOWER ALTERATIONS	LS	-	-	(650)
WASHRACK	SY	1,630	305.00	(500)
LIQUID OXYGEN STORAGE	SF	2,710	107.00	(290)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	500
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(500)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	10,830
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	540
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	11,370
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	680
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	12,050
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Alterations to existing buildings and hangars including fire protection systems; renovate shop and admin portions of hangars; construct new administrative addition.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Makes alterations to existing buildings at Carswell Air Force Base in support of relocation of all assets at Naval Air Station Dallas as well as aviation units from NAF Detroit, NAS Glenview, and NAS Memphis.

REQUIREMENT:

Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Dallas will close and relocate its assets and aviation units along with units from NAS Glenview, NAF Detroit, and NAS Memphis to Carswell Air Force Base.

CURRENT SITUATION:

With the closure of NAS Dallas and consolidation of its assets with units of several other naval air stations to Carswell Air Force Base at Forth Worth, TX, alterations of some of the existing buildings at Carswell are needed to accommodate the joint reserve forces moving in.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Carswell Air Force Base will not be able to support the closure of NAS Dallas.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
F-14/F-18 HANGAR/SUPPORT	P-122T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED 10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994 30
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE 02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE 10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES___NO X__
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS . . . . . (668)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS . . . . . (480)
(C) TOTAL 1,148
(D) CONTRACT . . . . . (918)
(E) IN-HOUSE . . . . . (230)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START 02-95
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:NX2421	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS	MEDICAL AND DENTAL CLINIC

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0505196N	550.10	P-103T	4,500

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
MEDICAL AND DENTAL CLINIC	SF	25,850	-	3,090
CLINICS	SF	25,000	122.00	(3,050)
AMBULANCE SHELTER	SF	850	48.00	(40)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	950
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(380)
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(570)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	4,040
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	200
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	4,240
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	260
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	4,500
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Single story building, pile foundations; major built-in medical/dental equipment (x-ray, dental chairs, audiobooths, etc.), air conditioning, fire protection system, utilities; handicapped accessibility and parking for 55 cars.

11. REQUIREMENT: 25,850 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Constructs a medical/dental clinic to serve joint air reserve forces at Carswell Air Force Base.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to support approximately 3,000 active duty military and dependents being relocated to Carswell Air Force Base. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Dallas will close and its personnel, assets, and aviation units will relocate from NAS Glenview, NAF Detroit, and NAS Memphis to Carswell Air Force Base.

CURRENT SITUATION:

There is no dispensary or dental clinic at Carswell Air Force Base. In 1990 Defense Medical Facilities Office (DMFO) established a projected requirement for 1992 for NAS Dallas of 22,068 SF medical/dental clinic to replace the 45 year old inadequate wood frame facility. With the

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
MEDICAL AND DENTAL CLINIC	P-103T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)

proposed additional loading of approximately 3,000 active duty and dependents, a 25,850 SF facility is needed. This includes 19,350 SF of medical clinic, 5,650 SF of dental clinic and 850 SF of ambulance shelter.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Carswell Air Force Base will not be able to support the closure of NAS Dallas.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	11-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	06-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(242)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(162)
(C)	TOTAL	404
(D)	CONTRACT	(323)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(81)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 12-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:NX2421	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS	COMMUNITY SUPPORT BUILDING ALTERATIONS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0505196N	721.12	P-120T	1,400

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
COMMUNITY SUPPORT BUILDING ALTERATIONS	SF	190,460	-	1,260
BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	SF	50,920	5.00	(250)
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	SF	128,200	6.00	(770)
BOWLING ALLEY	LS	-	-	(70)
CHAPEL	LS	-	-	(70)
CONSOLIDATED CLUB	LS	-	-	(40)
FITNESS CENTER	SF	11,340	5.00	(60)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	1,260
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	60
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	1,320
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	80
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	1,400
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Alterations to existing buildings including painting, carpeting, minor wall patches, and handicap upgrades.

11. REQUIREMENT: 190,460 SF. ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: (190,460) SF

PROJECT:

Provides alterations to various community support buildings.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to accommodate the move of personnel. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Dallas will close and its personnel, assets, and aviation units will relocate to Carswell Air Force Base.

CURRENT SITUATION:

With the closure of NAS Dallas and consolidation of its assets with units of several other naval air stations at Carswell Air Force Base at Forth Worth, TX, alterations of some of the existing buildings at Carswell are needed to accommodate the joint reserve forces moving in.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Carswell Air Force Base will not be able to support the closure of NAS Dallas.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
COMMUNITY SUPPORT BUILDING ALTERATIONS	P-120T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	04-95
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(76)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(51)
(C)	TOTAL	127
(D)	CONTRACT	(102)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(25)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 06-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: NX2421	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0505196N	740.74	P-121T	2,050

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	SF	12,000	93.00	1,120
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	720
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(120)
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(600)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	1,840
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	90
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	1,930
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	120
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	2,050
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

One-story, masonry building, concrete foundation and floor slab, utilities, air conditioning, fenced outdoor play area, and parking.

11. REQUIREMENT: 12,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Constructs a child development center.

REQUIREMENT:
Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, NAS Dallas will close and its personnel, assets, and aviation units will relocate to Carswell Air Force Base.

CURRENT SITUATION:
There is no facility at Carswell Air Force Base which can be used to provide child care for the military personnel and their dependents being relocated as a result of the closure of NAS Dallas.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Carswell Air Force Base will not be able to support the proposed unit relocations associated with the closure of NAS Dallas.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	03-95

(2) BASIS:

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: NX2421

NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	P-121T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (CONTINUED)

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES___ NO_X_

(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(110)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(74)
(C)	TOTAL	184
(D)	CONTRACT	(147)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(37)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 06-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS /UIC:NX2421	TRAINING AND ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITIES ALTERATIONS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0505196N	171.15	P-123T	3,400

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
TRAINING AND ADMINISTRATIVE FACS ALTERATIONS	SF	103,460	-	3,020
RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING	SF	26,320	42.00	(1,110)
BUILDING ALTERATIONS	SF	55,590	23.00	(1,280)
SIM TRAINING BUILDING ALTERATIONS	LS	-	-	(210)
PERSONNEL SUPPORT DEPARTMENT/POLICE STATION	SF	14,140	16.00	(230)
PHOTO LAB	SF	7,410	25.00	(190)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	40
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(40)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	3,060
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	150
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	3,210
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	190
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	3,400
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Alterations to existing buildings including painting, carpeting, fire protection systems, and ceiling tile replacement; vault for personnel support department.

11. REQUIREMENT: 103,460 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Provides alterations to existing buildings at Carswell Air Force Base.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate reserve training facilities to accommodate personnel relocating from NAS Dallas. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Dallas will close and its assets and aviation units will relocate, along with units from NAS Glenview, NAF Detroit, and NAS Memphis to Carswell Air Force Base.

CURRENT SITUATION:
With the closure of NAS Dallas and consolidation of its assets with other units to Carswell Air Force Base alterations of some of the existing buildings are needed to accommodate the joint reserve forces moving in.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Carswell Air Force Base will not be able to support the proposed unit relocations associated with the closure of NAS Dallas.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
TRAINING AND ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITIES ALTERATIONS	P-123T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOUK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	04-95
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(184)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(123)
(C)	TOTAL	307
(D)	CONTRACT	(246)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(61)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 06-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:NX2421	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS	ADMINISTRATIVE AND SUPPLY BUILDINGS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0505196N	610.10	P-140T	1,300

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
ADMINISTRATIVE AND SUPPLY BUILDINGS.	LS	-	-	1,200
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	1,200
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	60
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	1,260
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	40
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	1,300
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Alterations to existing buildings.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Makes alterations to existing buildings at Carswell Air Force Base in support of relocation of all assets at Naval Air Station Dallas as well as aviation units from NAF Detroit, NAS Glenview, and NAS Memphis.

REQUIREMENT:

Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Dallas will close and relocate its assets and aviation units along with units from NAS Glenview, NAF Detroit, and NAS Memphis to Carswell Air Force Base.

CURRENT SITUATION:

With the closure of NAS Dallas and consolidation of its assets with units of several other naval air stations to Carswell Air Force Base at Forth Worth, TX, alterations of some of the existing buildings at Carswell are needed to accommodate the joint reserve forces moving in. Adequate facilities do not currently exist to accommodate the additional personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Carswell Air Force Base will not be able to support the closure of NAS Dallas because of a lack of adequate administrative and supply

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ADMINISTRATIVE AND SUPPLY BUILDINGS	P-140T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)
facilities.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	04-95
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(184)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(123)
(C)	TOTAL	307
(D)	CONTRACT	(246)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(61)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 06-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NAF DETROIT, MI

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	4200	0	0	0	0	4200
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[1121]	[1435]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	2556
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	1121	1435	0	0	0	0	2556
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1053	49	0	0	0	0	1102
Military Personnel - PCS	122	0	0	0	0	0	122
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	2296	5684	0	0	0	0	7980
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	2033	-2227	-2294	-2363	-2444	-2516	-9811
Military Personnel	0	-191	-359	-374	-385	-400	-1709
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-12]	[-12]	[-12]	[-12]	[-12]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	2033	-2418	-2653	-2737	-2829	-2916	-11520

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	4200	0	0	0	0	4200
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	1121	1435	0	0	0	0	2556
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	3086	-2178	-2294	-2363	-2444	-2516	-8709
Military Personnel	122	-191	-359	-374	-385	-400	-1587
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-12]	[-12]	[-12]	[-12]	[-12]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	4329	3266	-2653	-2737	-2829	-2916	-3540

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL AIR FACILITY DETROIT, MT CLEMONS, MICHIGAN

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Close NAF Detroit (a tenant on Selfridge Air National Guard Base at Mt Clemons MI) and relocate aircraft, personnel, equipment and support to NAS Jacksonville FL and NAS Ft Worth (Carswell AFB) Ft Worth, TX. The Mt Clemons Marine Corps Reserve Center will relocate to Marine Corps Reserve Center, Twin Cities, MN. Operational closure date of FY 1994 is planned.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: The following project is required:

Location/Project Title	FY	($000)
P-184T TWIN CITIES - RESERVE FACILITY	1995	4,200
SUBTOTAL FY 1995		4,200
TOTAL		4,200

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: Funding for National Environmental Policy Act documentation for the relocation of assets from NAF Detroit to NAS FT Worth (Carswell AFB) and MCRC Twin Cities has been included in the budget submittals for the closure of NAS Dallas and NAS Glenview, respectively.

Compliance: One known Solid Waste Management Unit (SWMU) will undergo a Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) cleanup process which includes a RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA), a RCRA Facility Investigation (RFA), Corrective Measures Study (CMS), and Corrective Action (CA). An asbestos and lead base survey will be conducted, and any asbestos or lead base paint found to be hazardous to human health will then be abated. An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA), for transfer/lease, and for a close-out survey.

Installation Restoration (IR): No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance: NAF Detroit is currently in space leased from the Air Force which will revert to the Air Force after operational closure. Therefore, there are no caretaker costs for this closure.

Planning support is required to prepare special planning studies. Much of the scope of previous planning efforts were rendered obsolete by the additional loading at the gaining locations.

BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Expenses are for support costs to terminate real estate agreement, including site inspection.

Military Personnel - PCS: PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: None.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

Other: None.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:M22668 MARINE CORPS WING SUPPORT GROUP, TWIN CITIES, MINNESOTA	RESERVE TRAINING CENTER

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0206496M	171,15	P-184T	4,200

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
RESERVE TRAINING CENTER.	SF	37,390	-	3,360
BUILDING	SF	33,990	88.00	(2,990)
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	SF	3,400	109.00	(370)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	410
UTILITIES, PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT . . .	LS	-	-	(410)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	3,770
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	190
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	3,960
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	240
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	4,200
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Two one-story steel frame buildings, concrete spread footing, foundation walls, slab on grade, built-up roof; air conditioning, fire protection system, and utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 37,390 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Constructs a reserve training center.

REQUIREMENT:

An adequate and properly-configured facility in which to train Marine Corps Reservists in the Minnesota area. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the assets and wing units of NAF Detroit are being relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of the Naval Air Facility in Detroit, reserve training functions will be relocated to this activity. There are no available facilities in which to house these relocated functions.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, the number of reservists will decrease because some reservists will be unwilling to travel greater distances to another training site. This will severely hamper the readiness and mission of

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

MARINE CORPS WING SUPPORT GROUP, TWIN CITIES, MINNESOTA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
RESERVE TRAINING CENTER	P-184T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

the unit. This station will be unable to support the closure of NAF Detroit because of a lack of adequate facilities.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(240)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(126)
(C)	TOTAL	366
(D)	CONTRACT	(336)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(30)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NRTF DRIVER, VA

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[2566]	[4340]	[2706]	[289]	[26]	[79]	[10006]
Studies	477	0	0	0	0	0	477
Compliance	296	293	22	262	0	0	873
Restoration	1793	4047	2684	27	26	79	8656
Operation & Maintenance	150	305	251	257	263	296	1522
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	2716	4645	2957	546	289	375	11528
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-848	-1713	0	0	0	0	-2561
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-848	-1713	0	0	0	0	-2561

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	477	0	0	0	0	0	477
Compliance	296	293	22	262	0	0	873
Restoration	1793	4047	2684	27	26	79	8656
Operation & Maintenance	-698	-1408	251	257	263	296	-1039
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	1868	2932	2957	546	289	375	8967

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

NAVAL RADIO TRANSMITTING FACILITY, DRIVER, VIRGINIA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Disestablish and close NRTF Driver, Virginia. For planning purposes, an operational closure date of FY 1994 has been assumed.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EIS will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at NRTF Driver with subsequent reuse. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse as an industrial park may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination.

Compliance: Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) will be removed. Contents that leaked from USTs into the soil will be cleaned up. Asbestos and lead base paint assessments will be done, and any asbestos and lead found to be hazardous to human health will be abated. An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA), for transfer/lease, and for a close-out survey.

Installation Restoration (IR): Eight sites have been identified under the IR program. The sites are being investigated for contamination from hazardous or toxic substances. The Installation Restoration line includes state reimbursement costs for health assessments attributable to this base. These Congressionally mandated requirements include reimbursement for state oversight of clean up and the cost of health assessments by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

Operations and Maintenance: The basic concept of caretaker operations is to perform facilities management, routine caretaker maintenance and repairs, and operation of key utilities by contract. Caretaker site office support will be provided by LANTNAVFACENGCOM which includes administering the caretaker contract, environmental clean-up/compliance monitoring, public affairs, and property records management.

Real estate expenses included in these estimates are not normal Navy expenses. The General Services Administration (GSA) is normally the disposal agent for Navy's land and improvements. However, the Base Closure legislation directs the Administrator of GSA to delegate his disposal authority to DOD, including the requirement to pay for all disposal costs. These expenses cover federal screening, land transfers to other federal agencies, public discount transfers, and any public sale of real estate.

Expenses to be incurred are for site inspections, travel to sites, appraisals, title work, surveys, training and software, signs, news releases, marketing, community liaison, printing, advertising, audio-visual aids, photographic video, site presentation, office rental, auction site rental, auction fees and closing costs. Also included are costs associated with interim outleasing of closure property and termination of existing leases.

Navy will screen the property with other federal, state, and local agencies and the public according to the normal federal disposal process. This may result in transfer to another federal agency, a homeless provider, sale to a state or local government either at fair market value or discounted under a variety of statutory programs. If property survives the screening process, the property will ultimately be disposed of by public sale.

Military Personnel - PCS: No requirement.

Other: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Elimination of funding for contractor support and utilities.

Military Personnel: None.

Other: None.

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: MCAS EL TORO, CA

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	0	375742	19839	55605	0	451186
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	54395	0	54395
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[24080]	[26094]	[7138]	[9501]	[27251]	[24540]	[118604]
Studies	1312	481	437	612	875	0	3717
Compliance	8549	5182	5389	4160	4501	2665	30446
Restoration	14219	20431	1312	4729	21875	21875	84441
Operation & Maintenance	131	284	26269	11958	11637	5550	55829
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	4573	2033	0	0	6606
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	24211	26378	413722	43331	148888	30090	686620
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	-1950	-7430	-3596	-6920	0	0	-19896
Family Housing							
Construction	-199	0	0	0	0	0	-199
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-1172	-2617	6680	23542	23248	23022	72703
Military Personnel	0	9855	23617	-7689	-11570	-11666	2547
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-133]	[-133]	[-133]	[-133]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[-200]	[-200]	[-200]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-3321	-192	26701	8933	11678	11356	55155
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	-1950	-7430	372146	12919	55605	0	431290
Family Housing							
Construction	-199	0	0	0	54395	0	54196
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	1312	481	437	612	875	0	3717
Compliance	8549	5182	5389	4160	4501	2665	30446
Restoration	14219	20431	1312	4729	21875	21875	84441
Operation & Maintenance	-1041	-2333	32949	35500	34885	28572	128532
Military Personnel	0	9855	28190	-5656	-11570	-11666	9153
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-133]	[-133]	[-133]	[-133]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[-200]	[-200]	[-200]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	20890	26186	440423	52264	160566	41446	741775

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, EL TORO, CALIFORNIA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Close MCAS El Toro and relocate its aircraft along with their dedicated personnel, equipment and support to Naval Air Station (NAS) Miramar, CA and MCAS Camp Pendleton, CA. The squadrons and related activities at NAS Miramar will move to NAS Lemoore in order to make room for the relocation of Marine Corps squadrons.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: The following facilities must be constructed to accommodate realignment to NAS Miramar and MCAS Camp Pendleton. The total construction cost is $451,186K. Rehabilitation of existing facilities and new construction is included.

Location		Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
Miramar	P-001T	Airfield Parking Aprons and Pads	1996	42,131
Miramar	P-002T	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	1996	103,170
Miramar	P-003T	Administrative and Training Facs	1996	17,081
Miramar	P-004T	Hazardous Waste Storage & Trans Fac	1996	3,500
Miramar	P-006T	Aircraft Maintenance Complex	1996	58,056
Miramar	P-007T	Storage Facilities	1996	21,038
Miramar	P-008T	Operational Support Complex	1996	19,507
Miramar	P-009T	Utilities Improvements	1996	29,917
Miramar	P-010T	Maintenance Facilities	1996	22,253
Pendleton	P-026T	Aircraft Parking Apron	1996	16,201
Pendleton	P-027T	Training and Administrative Facility	1996	2,400
Pendleton	P-028T	BEQ and Physical Fitness Center	1996	9,100
Pendleton	P-029T	Warehouse and Special Storage Fac	1996	8,300
Pendleton	P-031T	Maintenance Facilities	1996	23,088
		SUBTOTAL FY 1996		375,742
Miramar	P-005T	Community Support/Dining	1997	19,839
		SUBTOTAL FY 1997		19,839
Miramar	P-011T	Storage Facilities	1998	55,605
		SUBTOTAL FY 1998		55,605
		TOTAL		451,186

Family Housing Construction: Family housing construction will be needed to partially meet the requirements for the 9,146 Marines and their families being relocated to NAS Miramar and 741 Marines and their families being relocated to MCAS Camp Pendleton. The following projects are required:

Location/Project Title		FY	Amount ($000)
MCAS Pendleton	200 Units	1998	23,832
NAS Miramar	195 Units	1998	30,563
		TOTAL	54,395

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: Environmental studies costs include AICUZ, National Environmental Protection Act Environmental Impact Statements, and studies to enable mitigation of impact of the moves to NAS Miramar.

Compliance: Environmental compliance costs for requirements at MCAS El Toro include the following:

- under ground storage tanks testing, removal and remediation
- hazardous waste minimization
- studies of clean sites
- PCB & asbestos removal and remediation
- pesticides management
- RCRA permit application/modification requirements
- wetland/endangered species habitat mitigation
- clean air and water monitoring
- Studies--EBSTs of clean sites/Environmental closure surveys

Installation Restoration (IR): Environmental restoration costs include the identification, investigation, and clean-up of current/prior hazardous waste sites in response to requirements established by Superfund. The installation restoration program work for MCAS El Toro consists of 22 sites.

Operations and Maintenance: Caretaker costs are required for severance and securing facilities at MCAS El Toro. Transportation is required to move equipment and material.

BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Real estate expenses included in these estimates are not normal Navy expenses. The General Services Administration (GSA) is normally the disposal agent for Navy's land and improvements. However, the Base Closure legislation directs the Administrator of GSA to delegate his disposal authority to DOD, including the requirement to pay for all disposal costs. These expenses cover federal screening, land transfers to other federal agencies, public discount transfers, and any public sale of real estate.

Expenses to be incurred are for site inspections, travel to sites, appraisals, title work, surveys, training and software, signs, news releases, marketing, community liaison, printing, advertising, audio-visual aids, photographic video, site presentation, office rental, auction site rental, auction fees and closing costs. Also included are costs associated with interim outleasing of closure property and termination of existing leases.

Navy will screen the property with other federal, state, and local agencies and the public according to the normal federal disposal process. This may result in transfer to another federal agency, a homeless provider, sale to a state or local government either at fair market value or discounted under a variety of statutory programs. If property survives the screening process, the property will ultimately be disposed of by public sale.

Military Personnel - PCS: PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and for operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Land Sales Revenue: Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: Construction projects cancelled as a result of this relocation for a yield savings of $19,896K. The projects range from hangar additions to storage and other operational facilities.

Family Housing Construction: Minor family housing construction savings will be realized for improvements at MCAS El Toro.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: MRP and BOS savings at MCAS El Toro scales back spending to a minimum while personnel are relocating to NAS Miramar. Increases at NAS Miramar reflect requirements as Marines move into NAS Miramar. Civilian personnel savings at MCAS El Toro are based on the savings at MCAS El Toro that are offset by new costs at NAS Miramar. The net reduction in civilian personnel costs are due to a reduction in end-strength.

Military Personnel: A total of $36,584K above current VHA/BAQ expenses, in FY 1995 and FY 1996, will be required for VHA/BAQ. Increased VHA/BAQ expenses are expected to be incurred due to a shortage of housing at MCAS Camp Pendleton and NAS Miramar. Military Personnel savings reflect a reduction in end-strength.

Other: None.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		04 FEB 94

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:M67865 MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA	AIRFIELD PARKING APRONS AND PADS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0206496M	113.20	P-001T	42,131

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
AIRFIELD PARKING APRONS AND PADS	LS	-	-	29,550
TAXIWAY	SY	40,000	70.00	(2,800)
HELICOPTER LANDING PAD	SY	4,400	70.00	(310)
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	SY	322,160	51.00	(16,430)
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON - CONVERSION	SY	81,030	28.00	(2,270)
AIRCRAFT ACCESS APRON	SY	44,680	70.00	(3,130)
AIRCRAFT RINSE FACILITY	SY	3,530	102.00	(360)
LOADING AREA/VAN PAD	SY	69,660	61.00	(4,250)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	8,300
UTILITIES, PAVING, SITE IMPRVS, MITIGATION	LS	-	-	(8,300)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	37,850
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	1,890
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	39,740
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	2,391
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	42,131
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Construct new, and convert existing, concrete aircraft parking apron, and construct new aircraft access and maintenance aprons, aircraft rinse facilities, taxiways, helicopter landing pads, combat aircraft loading aprons, and tactical support van pads and staging facilities, including electrical lighting and power distribution, mechanical water systems, surface and subsurface storm drainage, security fencing and exterior lighting; replace existing fixed aircraft start system pedestals to accommodate proposed aircraft types; provide environmental mitigation and technical operating manuals.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Provides airfield pavement aprons/pads required by the relocation of MCAS Tustin and MCAS El Toro assets to NAS Miramar.

REQUIREMENT:

NAS Miramar now adequately supports F-14/E-2 fixed wing aircraft. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, additional parking aprons, taxiways, landing pads, access apron, rinse facilities, combat aircraft loading area, and tactical support van pads are required to support the Marine Corps configuration of a mixture of fixed wing and rotary aircraft and tactical support equipment. F-14/E-2s currently supported at NAS Miramar will be

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		04 FEB 94

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
AIRFIELD PARKING APRONS AND PADS	P-001T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

replaced by large helocopters and KC-130 transport aircraft. Each of the five CH-53E and KC-130 squadrons have a footprint that is four times the size of either a F-14 or E-2 squadron footprint. Additionally, CH-46 footprints are over two times the size of an F-14 or E-2 footprint. The change in aircraft mixture creates a requirement for an additional 403,000 square yards of parking apron. The existing aircraft parking apron at Miramar is specifically configured to accommodate F-14 and E-2C aircraft with fixed point utility systems spaced to support these aircraft. Each service point has a small shelter which creates an obstruction to any proposed change to aircraft layouts. The F/A-18 parking footprint is about 10% smaller than the F-14 and E-2. In order to make efficient use of the existing parking apron, and preclude construction of additional parking apron, it is necessary to rehabilitate the obstructed parking aprons.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Existing facilities at NAS Miramar will not meet the requirements of future Marine Corps aircraft loading because of the change in aircraft types from F-14/E-2s currently at NAS Miramar to large helicopters and KC-130 transport aircraft.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Accident potential will be increased since aircraft parking will be insufficient, congested, and overflowing into non-apron areas such as peripheral taxi lanes, and the adjoining grass area. Even at this extreme, some aircraft would not have a parking space.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1995	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		04 FEB 94

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
AIRFIELD PARKING APRONS AND PADS	P-001T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (CONTINUED)

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(2,430)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(1,620)
(C)	TOTAL	4,050
(D)	CONTRACT	(3,600)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(450)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. . . . . TBD
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N60259	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	721.11	P-002T	103,170

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	SF	715,250	108.00	77,250
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	15,450
UTILITIES, PAVING, SITE IMPR, & DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(15,450)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	92,700
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	4,640
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	97,340
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	5,830
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	103,170
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Multi-story reinforced concrete masonry buildings, fire protection systems, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning with individual room controls, sound attenuation, utilities, lounges, mechanical rooms with centralized boiler and chiller, laundry, storage, vending areas, site improvements, picnic area, demolition of nineteen buildings.
Grade mix: E1-E4, 2,525, E5 282, E6-E9 100 Total: 2,907.

11. REQUIREMENT: 4,502 PN ADEQUATE: 516 PN SUBSTANDARD: (756) PN

PROJECT:
Provide adequate bachelor enlisted housing for the additional personnel being relocated from MCAS Tustin and MCAS El Toro.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate bachelor housing for personnel relocating from MCAS Tustin and MCAS El Toro. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Marine Corps personnel will relocate from MCAS Tustin and MCAS El Toro to NAS Miramar and to other bases. Additional bachelor enlisted billeting facilities are required at NAS Miramar to support the move.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Navy personnel presently located at NAS Miramar are being relocated to NAS Lemoore and other bases. Existing adequate and substandard facilities at NAS Miramar are not sufficient to support the additional number of personnel being relocated from MCAS Tustin and MCAS El Toro. Existing inadequate facilities are not safe as they do not meet seismic requirements and cannot economically be upgraded. New construction is required to provide the required amount of enlisted billeting as a result of the increase in personnel loading.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
A significant deficiency of adequate bachelor enlisted housing will exist. Personnel will be billeted in unsafe, inadequate quarters or be given housing allowance and be forced to live on the economy. The quality of life for personnel relocating to Miramar will decrease, having

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N60259

NAVAL AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	P-002T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)
a detrimental effect on morale.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	12-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___ NO_X_
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(5,560)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(3,730)
(C)	TOTAL	9,290
(D)	CONTRACT	(8,250)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(1,040)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: TBD (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:
NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		04 FEB 94

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:M67865 MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0206496M	211.05	P-006T	58,056

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	SF	620,880	-	43,760
MAINTENANCE HANGARS	SF	100,770	100.00	(10,080)
MAINTENANCE HANGARS CONVERSION	SF	479,620	56.00	(26,860)
LINE MAINTENANCE SHELTER	SF	6,000	95.00	(570)
LINE MAINTENANCE SHELTER CONVERSION	SF	3,420	52.00	(180)
POWER CHECK PAD WITH SOUND SUPPRESSION	LS	-	-	(1,360)
AIRCRAFT GROUND SUPPORT EQUIP HOLDING AREA	SF	23,320	38.00	(890)
ENGINE TEST CELL REHABILITATION	SF	7,750	493.00	(3,820)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	8,510
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(8,510)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	52,270
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	2,610
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	54,880
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	3,176
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	58,056
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Construct new, and convert existing, concrete, masonry, and steel maintenance hangars and line maintenance shelters; power check pads with sound suppression; concrete, masonry and steel aircraft ground support equipment shed; modify existing/construct new engine test cells; electrical lighting and power distribution, water system and distribution, natural gas system and distribution, sewage system and distribution, compressed air system and distribution, vehicle parking, walkways, storm drainage, fire protection systems and hazardous waste containment facilities in new and existing hangars; accommodate intrusion detection systems (IDS) support and built-in maintenance equipment, including structural reinforcement and new overhead cranes; sound attenuation and armament storage racks; technical operating manuals.

11. REQUIREMENT: 620,880 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides buildings and facilities for aircraft maintenance to support the new configuration of Marine Corps aircraft relocating to NAS Miramar.

REQUIREMENT:

NAS Miramar now adequately supports F-14/E-2 fixed wing aircraft. The Marine Corps relocation and change of mission at NAS Miramar brought about by actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		04 FEB 94

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	P-006T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

and Realignment Act of 1990, will require new maintenance hangar construction and conversion of existing hangars to support the change in aircraft types from F-14/E-2s to large helicopters and KC-130 transport aircraft and F/A-18s.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The physical layout of the hangars at Miramar are not compatible with conducting routine maintenance on helicopters. Aside from the much larger footprint required by a CH-53E (four times F-18/C-2 footprint) or CH-46 (two times F-18/C-2 footprint), helicopter hangars must also have overhead cranes to service the rotor heads. None of the existing hangars at Miramar have an operational overhead traveling crane, and most of the structures were never designed to have one. Installing cranes will require structural reinforcement. The engine test cell at NAS Miramar will require modifications to accommodate the F/A-18 engine. Helicopter engines for both CH-53E and CH-46 aircraft will require a turboshaft engine test cell, vice a turbojet test cell. While fixed wing aircraft engines create thrust, the helicopter engines create torque, and thus require entirely different testing facilities.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Hangared maintenance evolutions will have to be performed outside the hangar due to the lack of overhead cranes. These evolutions will be dependent on accommodating weather. The insufficient quantity of existing hangar space will result in inability to hangar two squadrons of aircraft for mainntenance.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED. 10-93

(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1995 25

(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE 02-94

(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE 10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES___NO X__

(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		04 FEB 94

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	P-006T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (CONTINUED)

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(3,170)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(2,120)
(C)	TOTAL	5,290
(D)	CONTRACT	(4,710)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(580)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. . . . . TBD
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		04 FEB 94

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:M67865	4. PROJECT TITLE
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT COMPLEX

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0206496M	141.41	P-008T	19,507

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
OPERATIONAL SUPPORT COMPLEX.	LS	-	-	14,630
AIRCRAFT/MATCU OPERATIONS BLDG/CONTROL TOWER	LS	-	-	(4,140)
CYROGENICS/ORDNANCE OPERATIONS/ARMORY FACS .	LS	-	-	(3,670)
POL OPS/SAMPLING/TEST FAC/FIXED AC START SYS	LS	-	-	(4,850)
EXPEDITIONARY AIR CONTROL SITE	SF	6,890	103.00	(710)
FILLING STATION/BLDG/TANK TRUCK/UNLOADFAC. .	LS	-	-	(1,260)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	2,900
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT. . .	LS	-	-	(2,900)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	17,530
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	880
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	18,410
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	1,097
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	19,507
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Construct new concrete, masonry, and steel buildings to accommodate aircraft operational support activities, facilities include aircraft operations, Marine Air Traffic Control Unit (MATCU) operations, control tower (air traffic control operations), cyrogenics facilities, ordnance operations, armory, POL operations/sampling/testing, fixed aircraft start systems, filling station and fuel pumping facilities, and tank truck with car unloading facilities; expeditionary control site; simulated carrier deck lighting system and helicopter landing pad lighting; mechanical, electrical, lighting, and power distribution, water system and distribution, natural gas system and distribution, fire protection systems, parking, walkways, roadway access, security fencing, exterior security lighting, and storm drainage; accommodate intrusion detection systems (IDS) support, built-in equipment, and technical operating manuals.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Provides buildings and facilities for operational support for the new configuration of Marine Corps aircraft relocating to NAS Miramar.

REQUIREMENT:

NAS Miramar now adequately supports F-14/E-2 fixed wing aircraft. As a result of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		04 FEB 94

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
OPERATIONAL SUPPORT COMPLEX	P-008T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

and Realignment Act of 1990, the mission at NAS Miramar to support the helicopter loading required by the Marine Corps will increase and requirements for operational support. In order to site the additional support facilities required at NAS Miramar to accommodate concurrent fixed and rotary wing operations, extension of structures and apron in both an easterly and westerly direction along the flightline is required. The change in mission at NAS Miramar to support helicopters also requires the addition of simulated carrier deck lighting and helicopter landing pad lighting.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Existing facilities at NAS Miramar will not meet the requirements of future Marine Corps aircraft loading because of the change in aircraft types from F-14/E-2s currently at NAS Miramar to a mix of large helicopters, F-18s, and C-130s. The lighting at NAS Miramar will not meet the requirements of helicopter simulated carrier deck operations. No helo pads or associated lighting currently exist.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Safe operable integration of concurrent fixed wing and rotary wing operations required at NAS Miramar will not be possible without the required operational support facilities. The Marine Corps will be unable to conduct required helicopter operations and training evolutions after dark and during periods of reduced visibility.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED 10-93

(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1995 25

(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE 02-94

(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE 10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES__ NO X

(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		04 FEB 94

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
OPERATIONAL SUPPORT COMPLEX	P-008T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (CONTINUED)

		($000)
(3)	TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):	
	(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(1,280)
	(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(860)
	(C) TOTAL	2,140
	(D) CONTRACT	(1,910)
	(E) IN-HOUSE	(230)
(4)	CONSTRUCTION START	TBD

(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N60259	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA	UTILITIES IMPROVEMENTS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	812.30	P-009T	29,917

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
UTILITIES IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	-	26,880
EXPAND ELECTRICAL SYSTEM	LS	-	-	(15,900)
EXPAND WATER SYSTEM	LS	-	-	(9,900)
EXPAND GAS SYSTEM	LS	-	-	(1,080)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	26,880
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	1,340
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	28,220
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	1,697
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	29,917
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Construct new and improve existing potable and fire protection water distribution and delivery systems, electrical distribution and street lighting systems, natural gas distribution systems, and associated cathodic protection; replace outdated transformers which have environmental (PCBs) and/or operational deficiencies.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Expands and improves water, electrical, and gas systems as required to support the new facilities required by the new configuration of Marine Corps aircraft being relocated to NAS Miramar.

REQUIREMENT:

Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the mission at NAS Miramar to support the increased loading and change in aircraft mix required by the Marine Corps requires additional construction. The new construction must be supported by upgrades to the existing water, electrical, and gas systems.

CURRENT SITUATION:

NAS Miramar currently operates with brown-outs and power failures and makes maximum use of all of its utility capacity. The current conditions will not meet the requirements of future increased Marine Corps aircraft and personnel loading.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

There will be a clear inability to meet the maximum utility demand generated by simultaneous operations of operational and support facilities at MCAS Miramar. During routine operations and especially during high tempo contingency operations, inadequate utilities will force the Marine Corps to operate squadrons and support units on a time-share basis in order to ensure continuity of utilities.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N60259

NAVAL AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
UTILITIES IMPROVEMENTS	P-009T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(1,610)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(1,080)
(C)	TOTAL	2,690
(D)	CONTRACT	(2,390)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(300)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: TBD (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		18 OCT 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N60259	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA	ADMINISTRATIVE AND TRAINING FACILITIES

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	610.10	P-003T	17,081

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
ADMINISTRATIVE AND TRAINING FACILITIES	LS	-	-	12,840
CLASSIFIED INCINERATOR/SHREDDER BUILDING	SF	250	103.00	(30)
DIVISION/WING HEADQUARTERS	SF	58,000	41.00	(2,380)
REGIMENTAL/GROUP HEADQUARTERS	SF	135,670	41.00	(5,560)
BATTALION/SQUADRON HEADQUARTERS	SF	28,900	41.00	(1,180)
TV CENTER/INSTRUCTIONAL MATTER	SF	2,280	94.00	(210)
OPERATIONAL TRAINING BUILDING	SF	53,360	56.00	(2,990)
TRAINING MOCK-UPS	LS	-	-	(490)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	2,500
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(2,500)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	15,340
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	770
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	16,110
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	971
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	17,081
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Construct new and/or convert existing masonry, concrete, and steel buildings and support facilities including: administrative offices, TV center/instructional matter, instruction and training buildings, related site improvements including streets, sidewalks, parking facilities, roads, and utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Provides new and/or converts existing buildings and facilities for administration and training to support additional aircraft, personnel and equipment relocating from MCAS Tustin and MCAS El Toro to NAS Miramar.

REQUIREMENT:

Administrative and training facilities are required to provide facilities for the realignment of MCAS El Toro and MCAS Tustin and the resulting increased loading at NAS Miramar as a result of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Existing facilities at NAS Miramar are not sufficient to support the increased loading of personnel and equipment relocating from MCAS El Toro and MCAS Tustin.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

The Marine Corps will be forced to house administrative and training

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		18 OCT 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ADMINISTRATIVE AND TRAINING FACILITIES	P-003T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

functions in maintenance garages. Existing spaces will be crowded, inefficient, and detrimental to morale.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(920)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(620)
(C)	TOTAL	1,540
(D)	CONTRACT	(1,370)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(170)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: TBD (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N60259	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA	MAINTENANCE FACILITIES

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	217.10	P-010T	22,253

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	SF	161,990	-	16,720
VEHICLE HOLDING SHED	SF	23,940	68.00	(1,630)
FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP	SF	23,600	107.00	(2,530)
VEHICLE WASH PLATFORM	SF	18,000	181.00	(3,260)
ELECTRONICS/COMMUNICATIONS MAINTENANCE SHOP	SF	38,900	109.00	(4,240)
FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP (COMM/ELEC)	SF	11,190	109.00	(1,220)
CONSTRUCTION WEIGHT HANDLING EQUIPMENT SHOP	SF	36,220	80.00	(2,900)
INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION/BATTERY/EQUIP HOLDING	SF	10,140	93.00	(940)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	3,270
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(3,270)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	19,990
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	1,000
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	20,990
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	1,263
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	22,253
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Construct masonry, concrete, and steel buildings and support facilities including: vehicle maintenance and refueling shops, vehicle grease rack, wash platform and holding shed, electronics and communications shops, equipment shops and holding sheds, equipment shed, maintenance storage building; and related site improvements including streets, sidewalks, parking, roads, fire protection systems, and utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 161,990 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Provides buildings and facilities for vehicle and equipment maintenance for increased loading caused by aviation support units being relocated from MCAS Tustin and MCAS El Toro to NAS Miramar.

REQUIREMENT:
Marine Corps aviation support units are equipment heavy because they are designed to support operations from expeditionary airfields, not aircraft carriers. Although NAS Miramar can fully support its current mission, the additional missions that are required by the Marine Corps as a result of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, cannot be supported.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Existing facilities at NAS Miramar are not sufficient to support the increased loading resulting from the personnel and equipment relocating from MCAS El Toro and MCAS Tustin.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
The Marine Corps will not be able to house the required maintenance functions. Existing spaces will be crowded, inefficient, and detrimental to morale.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N60259

NAVAL AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	P-010T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES ___ NO X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

	($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(1,200)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(800)
(C) TOTAL	2,000
(D) CONTRACT	(1,780)
(E) IN-HOUSE	(220)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: TBD (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N60259	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA	HAZARDOUS WASTE STORAGE AND TRANSFER FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	831.41	P-004T	3,500

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
HAZARDOUS WASTE STORAGE AND TRANSFER FAC . . .	SF	23,310	112.00	2,610
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	540
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT. . .	LS	-	-	(540)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	3,150
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	160
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	3,310
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	190
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	3,500
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Construct hazardous waste storage and transfer facility and related site improvements.

11. REQUIREMENT: 23,310 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides a hazardous waste storage and transfer facility and utilities to support the additional aircraft, personnel, and equipment being relocated from MCAS Tustin and MCAS El Toro to NAS Miramar.

REQUIREMENT:

These facilities are required to meet Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) guidelines for storage and transfer of hazardous waste. The increased loading at NAS Miramar as a result of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, will increase the requirement for these facilities.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Existing facilities at NAS Miramar are not sufficient to support the increased loading of personnel and equipment from MCAS El Toro and MCAS Tustin.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Improper and unsafe storage of hazardous materials, with the likely consequences of monetary fines and environmental contamination.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED. 10-93

(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994. 25

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N60259

NAVAL AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
HAZARDOUS WASTE STORAGE AND TRANSFER FACILITY	P-004T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (CONTINUED)

(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE 02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE 10-94

(2) BASIS:
(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES___ NO X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS . . . . . (190)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS . . . . . (130)
(C) TOTAL. 320
(D) CONTRACT . . . . . (280)
(E) IN-HOUSE . . . . . (40)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. . . . . TBD
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:
NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: M67604	4. PROJECT TITLE
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA	AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0206496M	113.20	P-026T	16,201

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	SY	127,500	52.00	6,630
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	7,930
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(2,430)
ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION	LS	-	-	(5,500)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	14,560
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	730
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	15,290
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	911
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	16,201
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Aircraft parking aprons: Portland cement concrete paving, airfield lighting, utilities, site improvements, and demolition of refueling site; environmental clean-up and habitat mitigation; access road, modify flightline fence, aqueous fire fighting foam (AFFF) containment, new and relocate POL storage and major utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 127,500 SY ADEQUATE: 0 SY SUBSTANDARD: 0 SY

PROJECT:
Constructs additional aircraft parking apron to accommodate approximately two of the four additional CH-46 squadrons relocating to MCAS Camp Pendleton.

REQUIREMENT:
Sufficient aircraft apron to provide adequate parking for the additional aircraft loading at MCAS Camp Pendleton resulting from actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990.

CURRENT SITUATION:
The existing apron provides adequate parking for five squadrons of AH-1 and UH-1 aircraft and cannot physically accommodate the additional, and considerably larger, CH-46 aircraft. To make room for the additional aircraft parking area, an existing refueling pit will have to be demolished, cleaned-up, and relocated to provide room for the expansion. Existing protected species habitats impacted by the relocated footprint of the expanded area will have to be mitigated.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Adequate parking area will not be available to support the increase in aircraft loading at MCAS Camp Pendleton. Overcrowded parking areas will be created for the existing and relocating aircraft, exposing personnel and equipment to unsafe operating conditions.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: M67604

MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	P-026T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(870)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(590)
(C)	TOTAL	1,460
(D)	CONTRACT	(1,300)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(160)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: TBD (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: M67604	4. PROJECT TITLE
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA	TRAINING AND ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0206496M	171.20	P-027T	2,400

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
TRAINING AND ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITY	SF	18,150	-	1,790
TRAINING BUILDING	SF	12,600	96.00	(1,210)
ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING	SF	1,250	118.00	(150)
ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING	SF	4,300	101.00	(430)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	360
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(360)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,150
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	110
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	2,260
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	140
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	2,400
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Construct new masonry, concrete, and steel buildings and support facilities including: administrative offices, instruction and training buildings, and related site improvements including streets, sidewalks, parking facilities, roads and utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 18,150 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Provides buildings and facilities for training and administration to support the additional aircraft, personnel, and equipment relocating from MCAS Tustin and MCAS El Toro to MCAS Camp Pendleton.

REQUIREMENT:
Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Marine Corps aircraft, personnel, and equipment will relocate from MCAS Tustin and MCAS El Toro to MCAS Camp Pendleton. Additional adminstrative and training facilities are required at MCAS Camp Pendleton to support the relocation.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Existing facilities at MCAS Camp Pendleton are not adequate to support the additional quantity of rotary wing aircraft, personnel, and equipment being relocated from MCAS Tustin and MCAS El Toro.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
The Marine Corps will be unable to house the required administrative and training functions. Existing spaces will be crowded, inefficient, unsafe, and detrimental to morale.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: M67604

MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
TRAINING AND ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITY	P-027T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(130)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(90)
(C)	TOTAL	220
(D)	CONTRACT	(190)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(30)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: TBD (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		05 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:M67604	4. PROJECT TITLE
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS AND PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0206496M	721.11	P-028T	9,100

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
BACHELOR ENLISTED QRTRS/PHYSICAL FITNESS CTR .	SF	73,900	-	6,810
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	SF	63,900	91.00	(5,810)
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER.	SF	10,000	100.00	(1,000)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	1,360
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT. . .	LS	-	-	(1,360)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	8,170
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	410
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	8,580
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	520
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	9,100
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Construct new and/or renovate existing masonry, concrete, and steel buildings including: bachelor enlisted quarters, 84 two-bedroom modules with common bath; physical fitness center; and related site improvements including streets, sidewalks, parking facilities, roads, and utilities. Grade mix: 336 E1-E4. Total: 336.

11. REQUIREMENT: 336 PN ADEQUATE: 0 PN SUBSTANDARD: 0 PN

PROJECT:

Constructs facilities for the billeting and physical fitness of bachelor enlisted personnel.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate housing and physical fitness facilities to support the relocation of personnel form MCAS Tustin and MCAS El Toro to MCAS Camp Pendleton. This relocation is a result of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Existing facilities at MCAS Camp Pendleton are not adequate to support the additional quantity of bachelor personnel being relocated.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Billeting and physical fitness facilities for bachelor personnel will be insufficient. Personnel will be unable to obtain affordable, adequate

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		05 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS AND PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	P-028T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)
quarters in the local community and will be forced to locate in inadequate quarters in undesirable and unsafe areas. Morale, discipline, and personal safety will be jeopardized.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(490)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(330)
(C)	TOTAL	820
(D)	CONTRACT	(730)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(90)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: TBD (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:M67604	4. PROJECT TITLE
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA	WAREHOUSE AND SPECIAL STORAGE FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0206496M	441.12	P-029T	8,300

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
WAREHOUSE AND SPECIAL STORAGE FACILITY	SF	31,620	-	4,260
AIR/GROUND STORAGE	SF	20,000	65.00	(1,300)
HUMIDITY CONTROLLED WAREHOUSE	SF	6,000	106.00	(640)
CONTROLLED ISSUE FACILITY	SF	1,600	65.00	(100)
HAZARDOUS WASTE HANDLING/STORAGE	LS	-	-	(450)
HAZARDOUS MATERIAL STORAGE	SF	4,020	65.00	(260)
LOADING DOCK	LS	-	-	(340)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(1,170)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	3,190
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(3,190)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	7,450
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	370
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	7,820
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	480
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	8,300
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Steel-framed concrete and metal-sided high-bay storage facility; steel framed reinforced concrete controlled humidity storage facility with security features; steel-framed concrete masonry facilities for central and local storage of hazardous materials; shed and outside storage area; spill containment, and provision for intrusion detection system; warehouse automation system; loading dock with leveling capabilities; special foundations and seismic construction features, fire protection systems; air conditioning and sound attenuation measures; steel-framed storage facilities for weather protection of materials and equipment; central and local hazardous waste storage and handling facilities with environmental containment features; utilities, communications links; parking, roads, sidewalks, lighting, demolition of one building, and environmental clean-up of site.

11. REQUIREMENT: 31,620 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides equipment and material storage facilities for Marine Air Group 39 units relocating to MCAS Camp Pendleton.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate warehouse and storage facilities are required for the four CH-46 squadrons relocating from MCAS Tustin, California and MCAS El Toro.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
WAREHOUSE AND SPECIAL STORAGE FACILITY	P-029T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

California, as a result of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990. The requested facilities will support the additional storage requirements at this station resulting from the expanded types of aircraft and the increase in loading. Currently, MCAS Camp Pendleton supports the AH-1 and UH-1 aircraft, and warehousing deficiencies do not provide sufficient storage for the additional aircraft and their unique parts and supplies. Humidity controlled warehousing is necessary to maintain the maximum possible shelf-life for repair parts and other sensitive equipment. Hazardous materials storage must be provided to meet applicable environmental laws and to have proper control, handling, and storage to minimize risks to personnel and the environment. Hazardous wastes must be properly handled, stored, and disposed of to meet environmental laws and minimize hazards to personnel and the environment.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The existing warehouse/storage inventory at MCAS Camp Pendleton is deficient and cannot support any additional demands. The introduction of four additional squadrons of aircraft will require the construction of additional facilities. No other support space is available at the Air Station for these critical functions.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Facilities will not be available to support the daily supply and warehousing requirements of the additional aircraft, adversely impacting on operational readiness and safety. The lack of adequate facilities will result in the improper storage of expensive equipment and repair parts exposing these items to damage from the elements and potential pilferage. Additionally, hazardous materials and wastes will be improperly stored in violation of state laws. This will lead to drastically reduced service life, increased operational expense from replacements, unavailability of critical components, possible environmental degradation from spills and leakage resulting in significant clean-up and abatement costs.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 19 96 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
WAREHOUSE AND SPECIAL STORAGE FACILITY	P-029T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

	($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(450)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(300)
(C) TOTAL	750
(D) CONTRACT	(660)
(E) IN-HOUSE	(90)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: TBD (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: M67604	4. PROJECT TITLE
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA	MAINTENANCE FACILITIES

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0206496M	211.06	P-031T	23,088

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	SF	130,090	-	17,280
MAINTENANCE HANGAR	SF	86,280	100.00	(8,630)
ENGINE TEST CELL	SF	5,110	948.00	(4,840)
CONSTRUCTION WEIGHT HANDLING EQUIPMENT SHOP	SF	33,800	96.00	(3,240)
ARMORY	SF	4,900	117.00	(570)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	3,460
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(3,460)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	20,740
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	1,040
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	21,780
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	1,308
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	23,088
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Construct masonry, concrete, and steel buildings including maintenance hangars, engine test cell, armory, and construction weight handling shop; access roads, utilities, fire protection systems; modify flightline fence.

11. REQUIREMENT: 130,090 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Constructs maintenance hangars (O/H space, O1 space, and O2 space), engine test cell, construction weight handling equipment shop, and armory to support four additional CH-46 squadrons relocating to MCAS Camp Pendleton.

REQUIREMENT:

Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, four CH-46 helicopter squadrons and their personnel and supporting equipment will relocate from MCAS Tustin and MCAS El Toro to MCAS Camp Pendleton. Additional maintenance facilities are required at MCAS Camp Pendleton to support the move.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The existing maintenance facilities are adequate for the five squadrons of AH-1 and UH-1 aircraft but cannot physically accommodate the additional, and considerably larger, CH-46 aircraft, additional supporting equipment, and personnel. The existing hangars are not large enough to support the additional maintenance requirements of the relocating squadrons. The engine test cel currently at MCAS Pendleton supports the test requirements for the AH-1 and UH-1 aircraft and cannot be modified to accept the CH-46 engines. A separate test cell is required to support the CH-46. The current armory was designed to store only the individual weapons for the personnel associated with supporting the five AH-1 and UH-1. The additional armory space is needed to store the crew-served weapons (machine guns) from the CH-46 aircraft and the additional individual weapons as a result of increased personnel loading.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: M67604

MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	P-031T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

The maintenance of the existing and relocating aircraft will be severly hampered, having an adverse effect on operational readiness. Maintenance and servicing of aircraft will be conducted in overcrowded existing facilities or will be done outdoors. Aircraft will be deadlined for prolonged periods of time for lack of adequate maintenance space. Without the engine test cell, engine testing will have to be conducted outdoors using expeditionary equipment. Engine testing will be restricted by weather and will be limited to daylight hours because of noise and available lighting. Approved secure storage will not be available for the additional weapons relocating to MCAS Camp Pendleton.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(1,240)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(840)
(C)	TOTAL	2,080
(D)	CONTRACT	(1,850)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(230)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: TBD (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NAS GLENVIEW, IL

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	13010	0	0	0	0	13010
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[8205]	[2798]	[5161]	[2359]	[0]	[0]	[18523]
Studies	740	0	0	0	0	0	740
Compliance	5129	1269	219	0	0	0	6617
Restoration	2336	1529	4942	2359	0	0	11166
Operation & Maintenance	276	9027	2780	1856	1707	0	15646
Military Personnel - PCS	0	839	0	0	0	0	839
Other	20	0	0	0	0	0	20
TOTAL COSTS	8501	25674	7941	4215	1707	0	48038
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	-1654	0	-5822	-7476
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	-187	-191	-196	-200	-204	-978
Operations & Maintenance	-5441	-5941	-15520	-15239	-14280	-13176	-69597
Military Personnel	0	-7208	-15681	-15843	-16125	-16478	-71335
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-176]	[-176]	[-176]	[-176]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-362]	[-379]	[-379]	[-379]	[-375]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-5441	-13336	-31392	-32932	-30605	-35680	-149386

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	13010	0	-1654	0	-5822	5534
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	-187	-191	-196	-200	-204	-978
Environmental							
Studies	740	0	0	0	0	0	740
Compliance	5129	1269	219	0	0	0	6617
Restoration	2336	1529	4942	2359	0	0	11166
Operation & Maintenance	-5165	3086	-12740	-13383	-12573	-13176	-53951
Military Personnel	0	-6369	-15681	-15843	-16125	-16478	-70496
Other	20	0	0	0	0	0	20
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-176]	[-176]	[-176]	[-176]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-362]	[-379]	[-379]	[-379]	[-375]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	3060	12338	-23451	-28717	-28898	-35680	-101348

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL AIR STATION, GLENVIEW, ILLINOIS

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Close NAS Glenview and relocate aircraft and personnel to other activities. Some portions of the base will remain to support retained family housing and other tenants. The activities located at NAS Glenview support the operations and training of Naval Air Reserve squadrons and augmentation units, and Marine Reserve aviation and ground units. Glenview also provides support for Coast Guard, Army Reserve, Naval Reserve Construction Battalion and other miscellaneous activities. Operational closure is planned for FY 1995 following the relocation of tenant activities.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: There are $13M in construction costs associated with the closure of NAS Glenview. The costs provide for the construction of a hangar for VMGR-234 at NAS FT Worth (Carswell AFB) and a facility for the 86TH Army at FT McCoy.

Location/Project Title		FY	Amount ($000)
P-135T	FT WORTH - MAINTENANCE HANGAR	1995	7,700
P-700T	FT MCCOY - ARMY RESERVE GUARD FACILITY	1995	5,310
	SUBTOTAL FY 1995		13,010
	TOTAL		13,010

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets to NAS FT Worth and FT McCoy will require an Environmental Assessment (EA) at each receiving site. Issues to be addressed in the EA include changes in land use from associated military construction, increased air and water emissions, an airspace analysis to accommodate increased air operations, and noise and safety issues.

NEPA documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EIS will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at NAS Glenview with subsequent reuse. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse as an industrial park may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination.

Compliance: Underground storage tanks will be surveyed and closed, removed, or monitored. A permitted storage facility will undergo a Resource Recovery Act (RCRA) closure. Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) will undergo RCRA cleanup which includes a RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA), RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI), Corrective Measures Study (CMS), and Corrective Action (CA). An asbestos and lead inventory and survey will be conducted, and any asbestos and lead found to be hazardous to human health will be abated. An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA), for transfer/lease and for a close-out survey.

Installation Restoration (IR): There are currently 16 sites covered under the IR program. The sites are being investigated for contamination from hazardous or toxic substances.

Operations and Maintenance: The basic concept of caretaker operations is to perform facilities management, routine caretaker maintenance and repairs, and operation of key utilities by contract. A Caretaker Site Office (CSO) will be established which will be tasked with administering the caretaker contract, environmental clean-up/compliance monitoring, public affairs, and property records management. Security services will be provided by government employees in accordance with existing legislation. Also included are inactivation and caretaker costs for family housing units. BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Planning support is required to prepare special planning studies. Much of the scope of previous planning efforts were rendered obsolete by the additional loading at the gaining locations.

Real estate expenses included in these estimates are not normal Navy expenses. The General Services Administration (GSA) is normally the disposal agent for Navy's land and improvements. However, the Base Closure legislation directs the Administrator of GSA to delegate his disposal authority to DOD, including the requirement to pay for all disposal costs. These expenses cover federal screening, land transfers to other federal agencies, public discount transfers, and any public sale of real estate.

Expenses to be incurred are for site inspections, travel to sites, appraisals, title work, surveys, training and software, signs, news releases, marketing, community liaison, printing, advertising, audiovisual aids, photographic video, site presentation, office rental, auction site rental, auction fees and closing fees. Also included are costs associated with interim outleasing of closure property and termination of existing leases.

Military Personnel - PCS: PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: None.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: Savings result from removal of projects which were in the FYDP.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: The family housing inventory at NAS Glenview consists of 297 government owned units. Two hundred sixty units will be retained to offset some of the Great Lakes requirements. The remaining 37 units will be inactivated at the end of FY 1994.

Operations and Maintenance: Includes civilian personnel salary and other base operating support savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

Other: None.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:NX2421 NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS	MAINTENANCE HANGAR

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0505196N	211.05	P-135T	7,700

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
MAINTENANCE HANGAR	LS	-	-	5,720
BUILDING	SF	58,600	87.00	(5,100)
PARKING APRON	SY	12,000	52.00	(620)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	1,200
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(510)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(130)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(410)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(150)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	6,920
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	350
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	7,270
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	430
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	7,700
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

One and a half module, Type II, hanger, concrete foundations and floors, structural steel framing, high-bay maintenance area, administrative/shops area, utilities, and concrete parking apron.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Constructs a maintenance hangar and parking apron for KC-130 aircraft.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate facilities to provide aircraft maintenance and parking to support Marine Aerial Refueler Transport Squadron (VMGR) 234 aircraft. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Naval Air Station Glenview will close, and the VMGR 234 Squadron will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Glenview, VMGR 234 Squadron aircraft will be relocated to this station. No facilities exist on this station to accommodate the relocation of the additional aircraft.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate facilities will not be available to house the relocated squadron. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Glenview.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
MAINTENANCE HANGAR	P-135T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED 10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994 30
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE 02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE 10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES___NO X__
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS . . . . . (462)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS . . . . . (231)
(C) TOTAL 693
(D) CONTRACT . . . . . (616)
(E) IN-HOUSE . . . . . (77)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START 02-95
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
ARMY RESERVE CENTER, /UIC:NX2424 FORT MCCOY, WISCONSIN	ARMY RESERVE GUARD FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0505196N	171.15	P-700T	5,310

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
ARMY RESERVE GUARD FACILITY	SF	33,020	-	3,410
TRAINING BUILDING	SF	11,500	90.00	(1,040)
HANGAR W/CONTROL TOWER	SF	21,520	110.00	(2,370)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	1,360
TELECOMMUNICATIONS	LS	-	-	(60)
PAVING, SITE IMPROVEMENT, AND DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(1,300)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	4,770
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	240
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	5,010
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	300
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	5,310
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

One-story concrete masonry building, concrete foundation, reinforced concrete floor slab; hangar with control tower; utilities, and fire protection system, and demolition.

11. REQUIREMENT: 33,020 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides a new training building and a hangar for fixed and rotary wing aircraft.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to provide training facilities with administrative space, equipment storage, kitchen, and vault. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Glenview will close and Army Company 3, 2/228th Aviation will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:

NAS Glenview is scheduled for closure. Company 3, 2/228th Aviation must locate to a station that will provide an operational runway. Upon closure of NAS Glenview, this Army unit will relocate to Fort McCoy, Wisconsin. No facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional 120 personnel and 16 aircraft.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, training facilities will not be available for this

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

ARMY RESERVE CENTER, FORT MCCOY, WISCONSIN

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ARMY RESERVE GUARD FACILITY	P-700T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

Army unit. This center will not be able to support the closure of NAS Glenview because of a lack of adequate training facilities.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	04-95
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(0)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(0)
(C)	TOTAL	0
(D)	CONTRACT	(0)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(0)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 06-95
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: SEAADSA INDIAN HEAD, MD

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[138]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[138]
Studies	138	0	0	0	0	0	138
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	138	0	0	0	0	0	138
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-125	-504	-708	-720	-736	-752	-3545
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-4]	[-9]	[-11]	[-11]	[-11]	[-11]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-125	-504	-708	-720	-736	-752	-3545

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	138	0	0	0	0	0	138
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-125	-504	-708	-720	-736	-752	-3545
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-4]	[-9]	[-11]	[-11]	[-11]	[-11]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	13	-504	-708	-720	-736	-752	-3407

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

SEA AUTOMATED DATA SYSTEMS ACTIVITY, INDIAN HEAD, MD

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Disestablish Sea Automated Data Systems Activity (SEAADSA) and realign with NSWC Indian Head Division, Indian Head, MD. SEAADSA is currently a tenant of Indian Head, and will not relocate. SEAADSA positions will transfer in place to NSWC Indian Head Division and positions will be eliminated due to consolidation efficiencies by the end of FY 1999.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: Environmental planning at SEAADSA to meet NEPA documentation requirements.

Compliance: No requirement.

Installation Restoration (IR): No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance: No requirement.

Military Personnel: No requirement.

Procurement Items: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: None.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: None.

Other: None.

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NAF MARTINSBURG, WV

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[0] [	0] [	0] [	0] [	0] [	0] [	0]
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0] [	0] [	0] [	0] [	0] [	0]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0] [	0] [	0] [	0] [	0] [	0]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0] [	0] [	0] [	0] [	0] [	0]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0] [	0] [	0] [	0] [	0] [	0]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Note: Net Costs include Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL AIR FACILITY, MARTINSBURG, WEST VIRGINIA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Do not open NAF Martinsburg, a tenant on Martinsburg Air National Guard Base at Martinsburg, WV. Aircraft, personnel, equipment and support are to remain at NAF Washington, Andrews AFB, MD.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: No requirement.

Compliance: No requirement.

Installation Restoration (IR): No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance: No requirement.

Military Personnel: No requirement.

Procurement Items: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: Not applicable. NAF Martinsburg was actually never established.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: FY92 MCNR project P-001, C-130 Support, $25,100,000.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Operations and Maintenance: None.

Military Personnel: None.

Other: None.

BASE CLOSURE III

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)

FINANCIAL SUMMARY

($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NAS MEMPHIS, TN

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	263750	0	0	0	0	263750
Family Housing							
Construction	0	11000	0	0	0	0	11000
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[3321]	[5833]	[12332]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[21486]
Studies	437	437	0	0	0	0	874
Compliance	2884	1102	525	0	0	0	4511
Restoration	0	4294	11807	0	0	0	16101
Operation & Maintenance	3348	2979	22856	774	0	0	29957
Military Personnel - PCS	0	359	2941	0	0	0	3300
Other	0	0	685	0	0	0	685
TOTAL COSTS	6669	283921	38814	774	0	0	330178
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	-2050	-4450	-1106	-9101	0	0	-16707
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	-11600	0	0	0	-11600
Operations	0	0	-1089	-3327	-3400	-3475	-11291
Operation & Maintenance	-882	-3632	-9313	-29484	-30763	-31483	-105557
Military Personnel	0	0	-8938	-18476	-19384	-19953	-66751
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-249]	[-295]	[-295]	[-295]	[-295]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-87]	[-481]	[-508]	[-514]	[-514]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-2932	-8082	-32046	-60388	-53547	-54911	-211906

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	-2050	259300	-1106	-9101	0	0	247043
Family Housing							
Construction	0	11000	-11600	0	0	0	-600
Operations	0	0	-1089	-3327	-3400	-3475	-11291
Environmental							
Studies	437	437	0	0	0	0	874
Compliance	2884	1102	525	0	0	0	4511
Restoration	0	4294	11807	0	0	0	16101
Operation & Maintenance	2466	-653	13543	-28710	-30763	-31483	-75600
Military Personnel	0	359	-5997	-18476	-19384	-19953	-63451
Other	0	0	685	0	0	0	685
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-249]	[-295]	[-295]	[-295]	[-295]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-87]	[-481]	[-508]	[-514]	[-514]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	3737	275839	6768	-39614	-53547	-54911	118272

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL AIR STATION, MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Realign the Naval Air Station (NAS) Memphis by terminating the flying mission and relocating its reserve squadrons to Carswell AFB, Texas (NAS Fort Worth). Relocate the Naval Air Technical Training Center (NATTC) to NAS Pensacola, Florida. The Bureau of Naval Personnel, currently in Washington DC, will be relocated to NAS Memphis as part of a separate recommendation.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: The following projects are required to implement the closure of NAS Memphis and the relocation to other activities:

NAVAL AIR STATION CLOSURE:

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-131T FORT WORTH - HANGAR RENOVATIONS AND EXPANSIONS	1995	3,700
P-677T PENSACOLA - UTILITIES AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	1995	23,000
P-672T PENSACOLA - ADMINISTRATIVE AND SUPPORT FACILITY	1995	3,550
P-673T PENSACOLA - BEQS	1995	90,600
P-675T PENSACOLA - ENLISTED MESS HALL	1995	9,920
P-664T PENSACOLA - MARINE EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELD	1995	610
P-666T PENSACOLA - MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	1995	1,050
P-654T PENSACOLA - MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC	1995	4,420
SUBTOTAL FY 1995		136,850
TOTAL		136,850

NAVAL AIR TECHNICAL TRAINING CENTER CLOSURE:

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-676T PENSACOLA - MWR FACILITIES	1995	10,200
P-655T PENSACOLA - AS/AEMD SCHOOL	1995	9,600
P-679T PENSACOLA - APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	1995	7,200
P-680T PENSACOLA - AVIONICS TECHNICIAN SCHOOL	1995	10,000
P-656T PENSACOLA - BASIC HELO/AO SCHOOL	1995	14,200
P-657T PENSACOLA - AE MATE SCHOOL	1995	12,300
P-658T PENSACOLA - AVIATION MACHINIST MATE SCHOOL	1995	8,800
P-659T PENSACOLA - AVIATION SUPPORT TRAINING BUILDING	1995	9,200
P-660T PENSACOLA - APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	1995	11,000
P-661T PENSACOLA - AVIATION MECHANIC TRAINING BUILDING	1995	20,900
P-662T PENSACOLA - SHORE AIRCRAFT FIRE AND RESCUE TRNG FAC	1995	7,600
P-663T PENSACOLA - FIRE FIGHTING TRAINING FACILITY	1995	2,550
P-665T PENSACOLA - FIRE FIGHTING TRAINING MOCKUP	1995	1,750
P-667T PENSACOLA - ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE BUILDING RENOVATIONS	1995	1,600
SUTOTAL FY 1995		126,900
TOTAL		126,900
GRAND TOTAL		263,750

Family Housing Construction: The following project is required to provide housing for junior enlisted (E1-E6) families migrating to Pensacola.

Location		FY	($000)
Pensacola	116 Junior enlisted units	1995	11,000

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets from NAS Memphis to other activities will require an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) that addresses the cumulative impacts of receiving assets from NAS Memphis, NTC San Diego, and Naval Supply Center Pensacola. The EIS will address impacts to wetlands, endangered species, traffic impacts,

socioeconomics, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction. The EIS will include an airspace analysis to accommodate changes in air operations and an Air Installation Compatibilty Use Zone update and noise study to address attendant noise and safety issues. Funding for NEPA documentation for the relocation of assets from NAS Memphis to Carswell Air Force Base has been included in budget submittals for the closure of NAS Dallas.

A portion of NAS Memphis is to be retained by the Navy and reused as the new headquarters for the Naval personnel functions. The remaining portion of NAS Memphis will be disposed of as excess to the Navy. NEPA documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EIS will be required to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at NAS Memphis with subsequent reuse. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse as an industrial park may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially elgible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination.

Compliance: Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) will undergo RCRA cleanup which includes a RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA), a RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI), a Corrective Measures Study (CMS), and Corrective Act (CA). A permitted storage facility will undergo a Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) closure. Underground Storage Tanks will be removed. Asbestos and lead base paint assessments are scheduled, and any asbestos and lead found to be hazardous to human health will be abated. An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA), for transfer/lease, and for a close-out survey. Included are requirements for one time operational shut down and decontamination of industrial facilities and equipment.

Installation Restoration (IR): Four sites are currently being covered under the Installation Restoration (IR) program. The sites are being investigated for contamination from hazardous or toxic substances. Includes State reimbursement costs for health assessments attributable to this base. These Congressionally mandated requirements include reimbursement for State oversight of clean up and the cost of health assessments by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

Operations and Maintenance: Costs were identified for personnel relocations, separations, severance, freight costs for moving equipment and material, facility modifications at receiving sites, collateral equipment and securing facilities. NAS Memphis is being closed but property will be transferred to the Bureau of Naval Personnel (BUPERS) which is moving to this location. Funding for the physical protection and maintenance of excess facilities will continue. Also included are inactivation and caretaker costs for family housing units.

Real estate expenses included in these estimates are not normal Navy expenses. The General Services Administration (GSA) is normally the disposal agent for Navy's land and improvements. However, the Base Closure legislation directs the Administrators of GSA to delegate his disposal authority to DOD, including the requirement to pay for all disposal costs. These expenses cover

federal screening, land transfers to other federal agencies, public discount transfers, and any public sale of real estate. Expenses to be incurred are for site inspections, travel to sites, appraisals, title work, surveys, training and software, signs, news releases, marketing, community liaison, print advertising, audio-visual aids, photographic video, site presentation, office rental, auction site rental, auction fees and closing costs. Also included are costs associated with interim outleasing of closure property and termination of existing leases. Navy will screen the property with other federal, state, and local agencies and the public according to the normal federal disposal process. This may result in transfer to another federal agency, a homeless provider, sale to a state or local government either at fair market value or discounted under a variety of statutory programs. If property survives the screening process, the property will ultimately be disposed of by public sale.

Land Sales Revenue: Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

Military Personnel - PCS: PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other: None.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: Prior programmed projects cancelled.

Family Housing Construction: FY 1996 replacement project is no longer required as the installation will be closing and the units will not be required for BUPERS families.

Family Housing Operations: The family housing inventory at NAS Memphis consists of 1062 government owned units. Operation of 238 units will cease at the end of FY 1995 and operation of 248 units will cease at the end of 1996. The remaining units will be retained for use by Bureau of Naval Personnel families.

Operations and Maintenance: Reflects realignment of schools, primarily to NAS Pensacola, consolidation of reserve components at Carswell AFB, closure of redundant tenant organizations, and reduction of excess personnel. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

Other: None.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: NX2421	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS	HANGAR RENOVATIONS AND EXPANSIONS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0505196N	211.05	P-131T	3,700

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
HANGAR RENOVATIONS AND EXPANSIONS	SF	39,470	-	3,210
HANGAR RENOVATIONS	SF	20,080	62.00	(1,240)
ADDITIONS TO HANGAR	SF	16,150	87.00	(1,410)
MAG-41 OPERATIONAL SPACES	SF	3,240	95.00	(310)
BUILDING MODIFICATIONS	LS	-	-	(250)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	110
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(110)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	3,320
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	170
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	3,490
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	210
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	3,700
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Structural and interior improvements to hangar, including overhead crane (2T), asbestos and paint removal, minor local demolition; steel-framed integrated addition to existing facility to provide additional shop and squadron operational spaces; upgrades to utility systems, specialized power (400 Hz, 28V DC, 400 V, 3 Phase power), heating, ventilation, and air conditioning improvements, aqueous fire fighting foam (AFFF) and deluge fire protection systems, grounding points for assigned aircraft; lean-to shed area for ground support equipment (GSE) storage and line maintenance shelter; steel framed concrete masonry MAG-31 operational facility with secure communications and storage area, sound attenuation measures, parking and site improvements; improvements to existing building to accommodate maintenance of Marine Wing Support Group (MWSG) and Marine Air Traffic Control Squadron (MATCS) units to include vehicle storage and maintenance, operational spaces, constructing partitions for storage, utility upgrades to electrical system, hardstand for tactical vehicles and equipment; utilities and site improvements to airfield remote site for MATCS equipment, sound attenuation measures, environmental protection enhancements, and site improvements.

11. REQUIREMENT: 39,470 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Renovates and expands an existing hangar and provides interior modifications to an existing building.

REQUIREMENT:
Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, assets and aviation units from NAS Memphis will relocate to Carswell Air Force Base. One squadron of aircraft and Marine Wing Support units will be relocated from NAS Memphis to the Joint Reserve Air Station.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Existing hangar space is significantly deteriorated and insufficient to accommodate this squadron, making it necessary to renovate and expand

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: NX2421

NAVAL AIR STATION, FORT WORTH, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
HANGAR RENOVATIONS AND EXPANSIONS	P-131T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)

this facility. Currently, this squadron is housed within adequate spaces at NAS Memphis which is tentatively scheduled to close by 1996. The existing maintenance building will need significant interior modifications to accommodate MWSG and MATCS units.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Lack of adequate facilities at the air station will delay the closure of NAS Memphis as Marine Reserve unit awaits needed facilities at receiving base. If the squadron is relocated prematurely, the lack of adequate facilities will severely impact ability to maintain the relocated aircraft squadron at acceptable levels of readiness. Lack of adequate spaces in the existing maintenance building will render the building unusable for vehicle and equipment maintenance. Carswell Air Force Base will not be able to support the proposed relocation of units from NAS Memphis.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	04-95

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___ NO X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

	($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(199)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(134)
(C) TOTAL	333
(D) CONTRACT	(296)
(E) IN-HOUSE	(37)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START 06-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA /UIC:N00204	UTILITIES AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	932.20	P-677T	23,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
UTILITIES & SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	-	20,660
UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION	LS	-	-	(5,300)
STEAM DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	LS	-	-	(3,100)
ROAD WORK (PAVING, SIDEWALKS)	LS	-	-	(2,960)
WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	LS	-	-	(3,200)
SANITARY/STORM SEWER SYSTEMS	LS	-	-	(3,800)
DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(2,300)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	20,660
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	1,030
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	21,690
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	1,310
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	23,000
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Electrical and mechanical utilities, roads, sidewalks, pedestrian overpasses, airfield paving removal and demolition, grading, landscaping, drainage, and demolition of five buildings.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Provides site development and utilities.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate site development and utilities for the development of the new training campus area and associated supporting utilities needed for relocating schools. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Memphis will close and schools will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Memphis, many schools will relocate to this activity. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional schools and student personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, site development and utilities will not be available for the new training campus area. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Memphis.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
UTILITIES AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	P-677T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(1,240)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(830)
(C)	TOTAL	2,070
(D)	CONTRACT	(1,840)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(230)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 12-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA /UIC:N00204	ADMINISTRATIVE AND SUPPORT FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	610.10	P-672T	3,550

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
ADMINISTRATIVE AND SUPPORT FACILITY.	SF	91,000	30.00	2,730
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	450
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(150)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(200)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT.	LS	-	-	(100)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	3,180
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	160
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	3,340
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	210
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	3,550
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Renovate existing building to include mechanical and electrical utilities distribution systems, air conditioning, fire protection system, and roof repair.

11. REQUIREMENT: 91,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Converts an existing warehouse to an administrative and support facility.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly configured administrative and support facilities for Naval Aviation Training Support Group (NAMTRAGRU) and the Marine Aviation Training Support Group 90 (MATSG 90). Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Memphis will close and NAMTRAGRU and MATSG 90 will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Memphis, the NAMTRAGRU and MATSG 90 will relocate to this station. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the additional personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, facilities will not be available to support the NAMTRAGRU and MATSG 90. This station will not be able to support the

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ADMINISTRATIVE AND SUPPORT FACILITY	P-672T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

closure of NAS Memphis without these support facilities.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(191)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(129)
(C)	TOTAL	320
(D)	CONTRACT	(284)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(36)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 12-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00204	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	721.11	P-673T	90,600

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	SF	960,000	-	59,820
REQ A SCHOOL (E1-E4)	SF	780,000	61.00	(47,580)
REQ (E5-E6)	SF	180,000	68.00	(12,240)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	21,580
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(21,580)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	81,400
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	4,070
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	85,470
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	5,130
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	90,600
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Multi-story concrete masonry buildings with brick facing, pile foundations concrete floor slabs, built up roof on concrete roof decks, elevators, fire sprinklers, fire alarm systems, air conditioning, utilities; two-room modules with common baths, laundry, recreational rooms, storage, and mechanical spaces.
Grade Mix: 3900 E1-E4, 450 E5-E6. Total: 4350.

11. REQUIREMENT: 4,350 PN ADEQUATE: 0 PN SUBSTANDARD: 0 PN

PROJECT:
Provides Bachelor Enlisted Quarters.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate and properly configured facilities to provide Bachelor Enlisted Quarters for housing 3,900 E1-E4 students and 450 E5-E6 students for a total of 4,350 persons. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Memphis will close and the Naval Air Technical Training Center (NATTC) will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Upon closure of NAS Memphis, the NATTC will relocate to this activity. No adequate Bachelor Enlisted Quarters exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional students.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	P-673T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, Bachelor Enlisted Quarters will not be available for NAITC students relocating from NAS Memphis. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Memphis.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED 10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994 25
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE 02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE 10-94
(E) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993 0

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES__ NO X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS . . . . . (4,884)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS . . . . . (3,270)
(C) TOTAL 8,154
(D) CONTRACT . . . . . (7,248)
(E) IN-HOUSE . . . . . (906)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START 12-94
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA /UIC:N00204	ENLISTED MESS HALL

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	722.10	P-675T	9,920

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
ENLISTED MESS HALL	SF	55,000	-	7,270
BUILDING	SF	55,000	125.00	(6,880)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(390)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	1,640
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(100)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(760)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(480)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(300)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	8,910
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	450
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	9,360
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	560
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	9,920
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

One-story steel-frame and concrete masonry building, pile foundation, fire protection system, loading dock, utilities, air conditioning, paving and site improvements.

11. REQUIREMENT: 55,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Provides an enlisted dining facility.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate and properly configured enlisted dining facility for students of the Naval Air Technical Training Center (NATTC), NAS Memphis and the Mess Specialist "A" school (MS A), NTC San Diego who will migrate to NAS Pensacola. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Memphis and NTC San Diego will close and the NATTC and MS "A" will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Upon closure of NAS Memphis and NTC San Diego, the NATTC and MS "A" schools will relocate to this station. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional students.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project, dining facilities will not be available for relocating students. This station will not be able to support the

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ENLISTED MESS HALL	P-675T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

closure of NAS Memphis and NTC San Diego.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	04-95
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MUST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(535)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(357)
(C)	TOTAL	892
(D)	CONTRACT	(794)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(98)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 06-95
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00204	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA	MARINE EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELD

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-664T	610

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
MARINE EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELD.	LS	-	-	440
AIRFIELD	LS	-	-	(120)
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	SF	4,110	77.00	(320)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	110
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT. . .	LS	-	-	(110)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	550
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	30
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	580
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	30
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	610
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Five acres of land for an airfield and a one-story concrete masonry building, reinforced concrete floor slab, built-up roof, utilities, site work including clearing and rough grading and paving the air field; electrical utilities will include 115 volt and 220 volt, 3-phase service.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Provides a Marine Expeditionary Airfield.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly configured Marine Expeditionary Airfield to simulate actual conditions needed to train students in the rapid construction of mobile airfield installation and the related support landing systems. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Memphis will close and this training curriculum will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Memphis this training curriculum will relocate to this activity. No facilities exist to accommodate this training curriculum and students.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, the Marine Expeditinary Airfield Training facilities will not be available. This station will not be able to

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
MARINE EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELD	P-664T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

support the closure of NAS Memphis.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(33)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(22)
(C)	TOTAL	55
(D)	CONTRACT	(49)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(6)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 12-94
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00204	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA	MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	218.60	P-666T	1,050

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES. .	SF	48,050	18.00	860
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	80
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT. . .	LS	-	-	(80)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	940
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	50
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	990
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	60
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	1,050
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Renovate five buildings, construct reinforced concrete slabs, and provide paving for vehicles.

11. REQUIREMENT: 48,050 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides for the renovation and conversion of five buildings.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly configured facilities for the Mechanical Equipment Maintenance Department which maintains and repairs all of the ground support and training equipment used in all aviation related training. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Memphis will close and this Department will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Memphis, the Mechanical Equipment Maintenance Department will relocate to this station. No facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of this function.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, equipment maintenance facilities will not be available to support aviation related training relocating from NAS Memphis. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	P-666T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

Memphis.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(56)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(39)
(C)	TOTAL	95
(D)	CONTRACT	(84)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(11)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 12-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:N00204 NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA	MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	550.10	P-654T	4,420

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
MEDICAL/DENTAL FACILITY.	SF	30,000	120.00	3,600
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	370
UTILITIES AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(370)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	3,970
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	200
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	4,170
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	250
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	4,420
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Concrete masonry, steel-frame building with concrete flooring and built-up roof with insulation; medical and dental labs, office space, file rooms with storage; fire protection system, electrical and mechanical utilities, and paving and site improvements.

11. REQUIREMENT: 30,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Provides a medical and dental clinic.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate and properly configured facilities to provide medical and dental services for personnel. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Memphis will close and personnel will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Upon closure of NAS Memphis, assigned personnel will relocate to this station. No adequate medical and dental facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project, medical and dental facilities will not be available for the migrating personnel. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Memphis.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC	P-654T

12 SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X_
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(385)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(190)
(C)	TOTAL	575
(D)	CONTRACT	(531)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(44)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 12-94
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00204	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA	MORALE, WELFARE AND RECREATION FACILITIES

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	740.63	P-676T	10,200

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
MORALE, WELFARE, AND RECREATION FACILITIES . .	LS	-	-	6,860
THEATRE.	SF	6,000	87.00	(520)
ENLISTED CLUB.	SF	40,000	97.00	(3,880)
BOWLING ALLEY ADDITION	SF	8,700	72.00	(630)
BUILDING RENOVATION.	LS	-	-	(1,080)
ATHLETIC FIELDS.	LS	-	-	(750)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	2,300
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(500)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(1,100)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT.	LS	-	-	(700)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	9,160
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	460
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	9,620
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	580
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	10,200
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Concrete masonry theatre building, concrete foundation, concrete slab; one-story concrete masonry enlisted club, concrete slab and built-up roof, electrical and mechanical utilities; renovate three existing buildings for basketball courts, amusement center, auto hobby shop, and physical fitness center; concrete masonry building addition to existing bowling alley; athletic fields for football, track, and softball/baseball; exterior lighting for softball field.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Provides morale, welfare, and recreation facilities.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly configured facilities to provide morale, welfare, and recreation for personnel. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Memphis and NTC San Diego will close and personnel will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Memphis and NTC San Diego, personnel will relocate to this station. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the additional personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate morale, welfare, and recreation facilities

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
MORALE, WELFARE AND RECREATION FACILITIES	P-676T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

will not be available for relocating personnel. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Memphis and NTC San Diego.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(550)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(368)
(C)	TOTAL	918
(D)	CONTRACT	(816)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(102)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. . . . . 12-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00204	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA	AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT TECHNICIAN AND MAINT SCHOOL

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-655T	9,600

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
AVIATION SUPPORT EQPMT TECH & MAINT SCHOOL . .	SF	93,170	77.00	7,170
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	1,460
UTILITIES.	LS	-	-	(1,220)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	-	(240)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	8,630
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	430
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	9,060
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	540
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	9,600
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Concrete masonry building, concrete foundation, reinforced concrete floor slabs, built-up roof, distribution system for electrical utilities, air conditioning, fire protection system, and mechanical utilities with paving and site work.

11. REQUIREMENT: 93,170 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides a training building for the Aviation Support Equipment Technician (AS) and Aviation Equipment Maintenance Division (AEMD) schools.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly configured training facilities for the AS and AEMD schools. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Memphis will close and the AS and AEMD schools will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Memphis, the AS and AEMD schools will relocate to this activity. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional student personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, training facilities will not be available for AS

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT TECHNICIAN AND MAINT SCHOOL	P-655T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

and AEMD training. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Memphis.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(518)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(346)
(C)	TOTAL	864
(D)	CONTRACT	(768)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(96)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 12-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA /UIC:N00204	APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-679T	7,200

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	SF	62,520	-	5,360
BUILDING	SF	62,520	77.00	(4,810)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS		-	(550)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	1,110
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(170)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(400)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(300)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(240)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	6,470
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	320
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	6,790
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	410
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	7,200
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Training facility of permanent construction, with computer area, offices, and instructional spaces; electrical distribution system with transformers and fire protection system, provisions for intrusion detection system, computer flooring, and mechanical utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 62,520 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides a training building for Avionics Systems Integration Petty Officer Indoctrination (AVSI), Advanced Avionics Integrated Weapon System Maintenance (AAIWSM), and Aviation Warfare Operation (AW) schools.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly configured facilities to provide training for AW/AVSI/AAIWSM schools. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Memphis will close and the AVSI, AAIWSM, and AW schools will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Memphis, the AVSI, AAIWSM, and AW schools will relocate to this activity. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional student personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, training facilities will not be available for AVSI,

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	P-679T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

AAIWSM, and AW training. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Memphis.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(389)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(259)
(C)	TOTAL	648
(D)	CONTRACT	(576)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(72)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 12-94
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA /UIC:N00204	AVIONICS TECHNICIAN SCHOOL

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-680T	10,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
AVIONICS TECHNICIAN SCHOOL	SF	157,690	-	8,110
BUILDING RENOVATION	SF	37,540	55.00	(2,060)
BUILDING RENOVATION	SF	120,150	48.00	(5,770)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(280)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	870
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(570)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(200)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(100)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	8,980
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	450
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	9,430
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	570
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	10,000
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Renovate and convert two existing buildings to provide instructional spaces; electrical and mechanical distribution system modifications, air conditioning, fire protection system and structural improvements; automated information system.

11. REQUIREMENT: 157,690 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Provides a training building for the Avionics Technician (AV) School.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate and properly configured training buildings for the AV school. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Memphis will close and the AV school will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Upon closure of NAS Memphis, the AV school will relocate to this activity. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional student personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project, training facilities will not be available for AV training. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Memphis.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
AVIONICS TECHNICIAN SCHOOL	P-680T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(539)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(361)
(C)	TOTAL	900
(D)	CONTRACT	(800)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(100)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 12-94
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA /UIC:N00204	BASIC HELICOPTER AND AVIATION ORDNANCEMAN SCHOOLS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-656T	14,200

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
BASIC HELICOPTER/AVIATION ORDNANCEMAN SCHOOL	SF	150,000	-	11,530
BUILDING CONVERSION	SF	150,000	76.00	(11,400)
BUILDING REPAIRS	LS	-	-	(130)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	1,220
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(400)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(700)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(120)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	12,750
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	640
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	13,390
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	810
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	14,200
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Convert and rehabilitate existing industrial building for instructional and administrative spaces including construction of electrical and mechanical utilities, conduit and electric power distribution for computer equipment, air-conditioning and fire protection systems.

11. REQUIREMENT: 150,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides a training facility for the Basic Helicopter (BH) and Aviation Ordnancemen (AO) schools.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly configured training facility for the BH and AO schools. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Memphis will close and the BH and AO schools will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Memphis, the BH and AO schools will relocate to this activity. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional student personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, training facilities will not be available for BH and AO training. This station will not be able to support the closure of

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASIC HELICOPTER AND AVIATION ORDNANCEMAN SCHOOLS	P-656T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

NAS Memphis.

---

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(765)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(513)
(C)	TOTAL	1,278
(D)	CONTRACT	(1,136)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(142)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 12-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00204	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA	AVIATION ELECTRICIANS MATE SCHOOL

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-657T	12,300

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
AVIATION ELECTRICIANS MATE SCHOOL.	LS	-	-	9,200
BUILDING CONVERSION.	SF	111,000	63.00	(6,990)
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION/ADDITION	SF	9,860	72.00	(710)
BUILDING REPAIRS	LS	-	-	(650)
ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS	-	-	(300)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT/COMPUTER FLOOR.	SF	17,840	31.00	(550)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	1,850
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(550)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(900)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT.	LS	-	-	(400)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	11,050
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	550
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	11,600
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	700
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	12,300
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Rehabilitate, repair and convert existing building from industrial use to instructional and administrative spaces; construct second floor with concrete slab, concrete masonry walls and built-up roof on a concrete deck to provide instructional spaces; roof repairs, asbestos removal and electrical and mechanical distribution system to support computer system; electrical and mechanical distribution system, fire protection system, air-conditioning system and raised computer floor; site improvements and underground utility work.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Converts an existing building to a training building.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly configured facilities to provide a training building for the Aviation Electricians Mate (AE) school. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Memphis will close and the AE school will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Memphis, the AE school will relocate to this activity. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional student personnel.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
AVIATION ELECTRICIANS MATE SCHOOL	P-657T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, training facilities will not be available for AE training. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Memphis.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X__
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

	($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(663)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(444)
(C) TOTAL	1,107
(D) CONTRACT	(984)
(E) IN-HOUSE	(123)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 12-94
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00204	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA	AVIATION MACHINIST MATE SCHOOL

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.35	P-658T	8,800

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
AVIATION MACHINIST MATE SCHOOL	SF	79,370	-	6,580
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	SF	32,760	77.00	(2,520)
OPERATIONAL TRAINING BUILDING	SF	46,610	87.00	(4,060)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	1,320
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(600)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(400)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(320)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	7,900
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	400
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	8,300
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	500
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	8,800
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Multi-story concrete masonry building, concrete foundation, reinforced concrete floor slabs, built-up roof on concrete roof deck, electrical and mechanical distribution systems, air conditioning, fire protection system and site work including paving and walkways.

11. REQUIREMENT: 79,370 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides a training facility for Aviation Machinist Mate (AD) School.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly configured training facilities for the AD School. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Memphis will close and the AD school will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Memphis, the AD school will relocate to this activity. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional student personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, training facilities will not be available for AD training. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Memphis.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
AVIATION MACHINIST MATE SCHOOL	P-058T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X_
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(474)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(318)
(C)	TOTAL	792
(D)	CONTRACT	(704)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(88)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 12-94
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00204	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA	AVIATION SUPPORT TRAINING BUILDING

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-659T	9,200

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
AVIATION SUPPORT TRAINING BUILDING	SF	112,000	67.00	7,500
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	770
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(620)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(150)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	8,270
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	410
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	8,680
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	520
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	9,200
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Renovate and perform repairs to existing building to include construction of new interior facilities, electrical and mechanical distribution systems, air conditioning, fire protection system, computer equipment spaces, and raised computer flooring.

11. REQUIREMENT: 112,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Converts an existing building to a training building.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly configured training facilities to provide for the Aircrew Survival Equipmentman (PR) and Aviation Structural Mechanic, Safety Equipment (AME) schools. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Memphis will close and the PR and AME schools will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Memphis, the PR and AME schools will relocate to this station. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional student personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, training facilities will not be available for the

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
AVIATION SUPPORT TRAINING BUILDING	P-659T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

PR and AME training. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Memphis.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(496)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(332)
(C)	TOTAL	828
(D)	CONTRACT	(736)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(92)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 12-94
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA /UIC:N00204	APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-660T	11,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	SF	133,420	-	7,750
BUILDING REHABILITATION	SF	126,500	49.00	(6,200)
TRAINER BUILDING	SF	6,920	157.00	(1,090)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(460)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	2,140
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(1,180)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(400)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(300)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(260)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	9,890
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	500
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	10,390
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	610
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	11,000
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Concrete foundation, reinforced concrete floor slab with built-up roof on concrete roof deck; raised computer floor; repair and extend fire protection system, elevator and shaft, and perform roof repairs; air conditioning, and utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 133,420 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Repairs and alters a building to construct instructional spaces for various aviation related schools.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facility to provide training for the Air Traffic Control (ATC), Jobs Oriented Basic Skills (JOBS), Department of Training (DOT), Aviation Boatswains Mate Equipment (ABEA), Aircrew Survival Equipmentman (PR), and the Aviation Mechanic Safety Equipment (AME) Schools. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Memphis will close and the ATC, JOBS, DOT, ABE, PR, and AME schools will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Memphis, the ATC, JOBS, ABE A, DOT, PR, AME schools will relocate to this activity. No adequate facilities exist to

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	P-660T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)

accommodate the relocation of the additional students.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, training facilities will not be available for ATC, JOBS, ABE A, DOT, PR, AME training. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Memphis.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	01-95
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(646)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(434)
(C)	TOTAL	1,080
(D)	CONTRACT	(960)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(120)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 03-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA /UIC:N00204	AVIATION MECHANIC TRAINING BUILDING

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-661T	20,900

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
AVIATION MECHANIC TRAINING BUILDING.	SF	202,500	77.00	15,590
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	3,190
UTILITIES.	LS	-	-	(2,270)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT.	LS	-	-	(920)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	18,780
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	940
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	19,720
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	1,180
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	20,900
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Multi-story concrete masonry building, concrete foundation, reinforced concrete floor slab, built-up roof on concrete roof deck, electrical and mechanical distribution systems, fire protection system, air conditioning for instructional and administrative spaces.

11. REQUIREMENT: 202,500 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides a training building.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly configured facilities to provide training for the Aircraft Non-Destructive Inspection Technician (NDI), Aviation Structural Mechanic Hydraulics (AMH), Aviation Structural Mechanic Structures (AMS), and Air Force (AF) schools. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Memphis will close and these schools will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Memphis, the NDI, AMH, AMS, and AF schools will relocate to this station. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional student personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, training facilities will not be available for NDI,

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
AVIATION MECHANIC TRAINING BUILDING	P-661T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

AMH, AMS, and AF training. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Memphis.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X_
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(1,127)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(754)
(C)	TOTAL	1,881
(D)	CONTRACT	(1,672)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(209)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 12-94
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00204	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA	SHORE AIRCRAFT FIRE AND RESCUE TRAINING FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-662T	7,600

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
SHORE AIRCRAFT FIRE AND RESCUE TRAINING FAC. .	SF	50,020	-	4,600
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	SF	37,800	99.00	(3,740)
VEHICLE BUILDING	SF	11,720	69.00	(810)
FUEL PROCESSING BUILDING	SF	500	100.00	(50)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	2,230
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(800)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(530)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT.	LS	-	-	(900)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	6,830
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	340
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	7,170
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	430
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	7,600
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Multi-story buildings of concrete masonry construction, concrete foundations, reinforced concrete floor slabs, built-up roof systems on concrete decks, electrical and mechanical distribution systems, air conditioning, fire protection systems, and site work with paving.

11. REQUIREMENT: 50,020 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Provides a fire and rescue training facility.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate and properly configured shore aircraft fire and rescue training facility to provide training for the Aviation Boatswains Mate (AB), Aircraft Fire Fighting and Rescue (AFR), Aircraft Fire Fighting and Salvage (AFS), and the Aircraft Fire Fighting Shipboard Team Training (AFSTT) school. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Memphis will close and this type training will relocate to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Upon closure of NAS Memphis, the AB, AFR, AFS, and AFSTT shore aircraft fire and rescue training will relocate to this station. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional student personnel.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
SHORE AIRCRAFT FIRE AND RESCUE TRAINING FACILITY	P-662T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, training facilities will not be available for AR, AFR, ASR, and AFSTT training. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Memphis without these facilities.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(482)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(324)
(C)	TOTAL	806
(D)	CONTRACT	(716)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(90)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 12-94
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00204	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA	FIRE FIGHTING TRAINING FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	179.45	P-663T	2,550

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
FIRE FIGHTING TRAINING FACILITY	SF	56,060	-	960
FLIGHT DECK MOCK-UP	SF	52,500	12.00	(630)
OPERATIONS AND CONTROLS BUILDING	SF	3,560	79.00	(280)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	-	-	(50)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	1,330
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(1,210)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(120)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,290
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	120
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	2,410
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	140
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	2,550
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Simulated aircraft carrier flight deck of reinforced concrete with fuel and wastewater distribution systems; one-story concrete masonry building operations and controls handling building of reinforced concrete walls, floor slab and roof with air conditioning, electrical and mechanical utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 56,060 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Provides a fire fighting training facility.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate and properly configured facilities to provide a simulated aircraft carrier flight deck for fire and rescue fire fighting training. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Memphis will close and this school will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Upon closure of NAS Memphis, the carrier fire fighting training facility will relocate to this station. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional students.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project, training facilities will not be available for

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
FIRE FIGHTING TRAINING FACILITY	P-663T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

carrier fire fighting training. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Memphis.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(137)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(93)
(C)	TOTAL	230
(D)	CONTRACT	(204)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(26)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 12-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00204	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA	FIRE FIGHTING TRAINING MOCKUP

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	179.45	P-665T	1,750

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
FIRE FIGHTING TRAINING MOCKUP.	LS	-	-	1,290
FIRE MAT SYSTEM.	LS	-	-	(780)
FUELING FACILITY	LS	-	-	(300)
WASTEWATER FACILITY.	LS	-	-	(210)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	280
UTILITIES.	LS	-	-	(180)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT.	LS	-	-	(100)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	1,570
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	80
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	1,650
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	100
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	1,750
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Construct an area for two (2) fire mats on sand beds in graded in-ground depressions, fueling facility, wastewater removal system, and supporting facilities including leak monitoring, retention, fuel spray, and ignition systems; electrical and mechanical systems; security fence.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Constructs a fire fighting training mockup.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured, environmentally-safe, fire fighting facility to train aircraft fire fighting and rescue personnel. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Memphis will close and fire fighting training will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Memphis, the aviation fire fighting training will relocate to this station. No facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of this training.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, facilities will not be available for fire fighting training. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Memphis.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
FIRE FIGHTING TRAINING MOCKUP	P-665T

12. SUPPLEMENIAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENILY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(94)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(64)
(C)	TOTAL	158
(D)	CONTRACT	(140)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(18)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 12-94
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NUNE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00204	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA	ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE BUILDING RENOVATIONS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	610.10	P-667T	1,600

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE BUILDING RENOVATIONS . .	SF	57,390	-	1,240
BUILDING RENOVATIONS	SF	57,390	15.00	(860)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(380)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	190
UTILITIES, PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS. . .	LS	-	-	(190)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	1,430
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	70
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	1,500
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	100
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	1,600
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Building renovation including utilities and site work; built-in equipment includes automated information security system (AIS).

11. REQUIREMENT: 57,390 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Renovates a building to provide an administrative office.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured renovated facility to provide administrative space for the Naval Air Technical Training Center (NATTC). Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Memphis will close and the Naval Air Technical Center Administration will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NAS Memphis, the NATTC administration offices will relocate to this activity. No adequate facilities presently exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, facilities will not be available for NATTC Administration. This station will not be able to support the closure of NAS Memphis.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE BUILDING RENOVATIONS	P-667T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	08-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X__
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	_____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(86)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(58)
(C)	TOTAL	144
(D)	CONTRACT	(128)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(16)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 12-94
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1.Component NAVY	FY1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2.Date / /

3.Installation and Location	4.Project Title
PUBLIC WORKS CENTER PENSACOLA, FL	FAMILY HOUSING

5.Prog Element	6.Cat Code	7.Project Num	8.Proj Cost($000)
	711	H-406T	11000

9. COST ESTIMATE

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
Family Housing:	FA	116	49741	5770
Buildings	SF	120200	48.00	(5770)
Supporting Costs:				4113
Paving & Site Improvements				(1258)
Utilities				(1039)
Landscaping				(289)
Recreation				(104)
Spec Construction Features				(58)
Demolition				(1365)
Subtotal				9883
Contingency (5%)				494
Total Contract Cost				10377
SIOH (6.0%)				623
Total				11000
Total (Rounded)				11000

10.Description of proposed construction

Multi-family housing units; wood frame or masonry with stucco or vinyl siding, covered parking, covered patios, privacy fencing, exterior storage and recreational facilities. Special construction feature is for hurricane wind bracing. Demolition includes cost for asbestos removal. Fire sprinkler system included in unit price.

Grade	Bedroom	Net Area	Project Factor	Unit Cost	No. Units	($000) Total
JEM	2	950	0.8000	60.00	76	3466
JEM	3	1200	0.8000	60.00	40	2304
					116	5770

1.Component NAVY	FY1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2.Date / /
3.Installation and Location PUBLIC WORKS CENTER PENSACOLA, FL		
4.Project title FAMILY HOUSING		5.Project Number H-406T

11. Requirement:

PROJECT: Construct 116 junior enlisted units to support base closure migrations from NAS Memphis. Demolish 198 substandard units.

REQUIREMENT: This project will provide adequate quarters for Navy families migrating from NAS Memphis. Supporting costs include all site preparation, utility systems, roads, curbs and gutters, recreational areas and landscaping. Recreational facilities include tot lots, jogging paths, and playing courts/fields in accordance with Neighborhoods of Excellence and the MIL-HDBK-1035.

CURRENT SITUATION: The local housing market is unable to meet the housing requirements for junior enlisted personnel stationed at Pensacola. The Pensacola area has seen net vacancy rates drop to less than 2% within the last five years, which makes locating adequate affordable housing nearly impossible for Navy enlisted personnel. The 198 substandard units are outdated and beyond their economic life.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If construction of these new units are not provided, a shortage of available housing for junior enlisted personnel will continue to persist and only become worse. Adequate, affordable, private sector rentals for junior enlisted personnel are limited in the Pensacola area. Occupant frustration, low morale, vandalism, and associated problems will increase.

Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NAF MIDWAY ISLAND

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	3000	3000	3000	0	0	9000
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[25193]	[8935]	[3043]	[3451]	[9462]	[3106]	[53190]
Studies	358	177	0	0	0	0	535
Compliance	20261	3708	2529	164	167	1049	27878
Restoration	4574	5050	514	3287	9295	2057	24777
Operation & Maintenance	4776	4824	4768	4239	4332	4378	27317
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	29969	16759	10811	10690	13794	7484	89507
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	-333	-336	-342	-348	-358	-1717
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	-333	-336	-342	-348	-358	-1717

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	3000	3000	3000	0	0	9000
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	358	177	0	0	0	0	535
Compliance	20261	3708	2529	164	167	1049	27878
Restoration	4574	5050	514	3287	9295	2057	24777
Operation & Maintenance	4776	4824	4768	4239	4332	4378	27317
Military Personnel	0	-333	-336	-342	-348	-358	-1717
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	29969	16426	10475	10348	13446	7126	87790

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL AIR FACILITY, MIDWAY ISLAND

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Close Naval Air Facility (NAF), Midway Island, which supports Pacific Fleet contingency operations. Midway is utilized as a refueling site for both transiting aircraft and military ships and provides minimal support for U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service personnel. The following actions are planned to support closure of NAF Midway:

- o The mission of NAF will be eliminated. No tenant activities will be relocated.
- o All facilities will be abandoned or demolished. Personnel support facilities will remain operational until all Installation Restoration and other environmental remediation measures are completed.

Operational closure date of FY 1994 is planned.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction:

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-400T MIDWAY ISLAND - DEMOLITION	1995	3,000
SUBTOTAL FY 1995		3,000
P-401T MIDWAY ISLAND - DEMOLITION	1996	3,000
SUBTOTAL FY 1996		3,000
P-402T MIDWAY ISLAND - DEMOLITION	1997	3,000
SUBTOTAL FY 1997		3,000
TOTAL		9,000

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An Environmental Assessment (EA) will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at NAF Midway. Impacts to be addressed

include air and water quality, reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, impacts to endangered species, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination. In addition, there will be significant archeological and endangered species survey work to be completed prior to disposal in order to satisfy the requirements of the National Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, and Migratory Bird Treaty Act. This will include preparation of consultation agreements with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, State Historic Preservation Office, and the Office of Hawaiian Affairs, regarding the disposition of natural and cultural resources on the Island of Midway.

Compliance: Asbestos, lead paint, and PCB inventories/assessments and abatements/cleanups are being conducted. Ninety-two buildings potentially contain asbestos. 106 underground storage tank closure actions account for two thirds of the compliance funding. Sandy soil is widely distributed on Midway Island. Contaminated sand is expensive to clean up. Accessibility to the island accounts for a cost increase factor. An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA), for transfer/lease, and for a close-out survey.

Installation Restoration (IR): Sand Island has nine IR sites, including landfills, asbestos disposal areas, drum storage areas, and an abandoned power plant. Eastern Island has seven IR sites, including four disposal areas, an abandoned tank area, and an abandoned power plant. Landfills and the power plant area account for 92% of the IR costs. Other potential sites are being investigated for contamination from hazardous or toxic substances. Includes State reimbursement costs for health assessments attributable to this Base. These Congressionally mandated requirements include reimbursement for State oversight of clean up and the cost of health assessments by the Agency of Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

Operations and Maintenance: The basic concept of caretaker operations is to perform facilities management, routine caretaker maintenance and repairs, and operation of key utilities by contract. A Caretaker Site Office (CSO) will be located on-site, staffed with military personnel, and supported by a contract specialist located at NAS Barbers Point. When a CSO is established for NAS Barbers Point, the two offices will be merged. The CSO will be tasked with administering the caretaker contract, environmental clean-up/compliance monitoring, public affairs, and property records management.

Real estate expenses included in these estimates are not normal Navy expenses. The General Services Administration (GSA) is normally the disposal agent for Navy's land and improvements. However, the Base Closure legislation directs the Administrator of GSA to delegate his disposal authority to DOD, including the requirement to pay for all disposal costs. These expenses cover site inspection, federal screening and land transfers to other federal agencies.

Military Personnel - PCS: No requirement.

Other: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: NAF Midway will probably be transferred to U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: None.

Military Personnel: None.

Other: None.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR FACILITY, MIDWAY ISLAND /UIC:N62494	DEMOLITION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	933.10	P-400T	3,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	2,680
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,680
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	130
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	2,810
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.5%)	-	-	-	190
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	3,000
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Demolish and remove existing facilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Provides for demolition and removal of facilities that pose a threat to wildlife.

REQUIREMENT:

Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Naval Air Facility (NAF) Midway Island will be closed. Demolition of existing facilities is required to conform to the Migratory Bird Act and Endangered Species Act by eliminating potential hazards to wildlife.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Various facilities on Midway Island support this facility's mission. Upon scheduled closure of NAF Midway, the vacated facilities may pose potential dangers to the island's wildlife and should be demolished and removed.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, environmental regulations will be violated and wildlife may be negatively impacted.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR FACILITY, MIDWAY ISLAND

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
DEMOLITION	P-400T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	12-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(540)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(550)
(C)	TOTAL	1,090
(D)	CONTRACT	(990)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(100)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 03-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NAS MIRAMAR, CA

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	15876	53700	206388	16114	0	0	292078
Family Housing							
Construction	0	36405	41420	42450	0	0	120275
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[1499]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[1499]
Studies	1499	0	0	0	0	0	1499
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	408	617	13116	14455	1555	0	30151
Military Personnel - PCS	0	2642	0	0	0	0	2642
Other	5215	6700	0	0	0	0	11915
TOTAL COSTS	22998	100064	260924	73019	1555	0	458560
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	-1301	0	-4873	-6174
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	2383	4888	6826	14097
Operation & Maintenance	0	-71	-12880	-29569	-30221	-30880	-103621
Military Personnel	0	-339	-6193	-21448	-26962	-22873	-77815
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-1]	[-333]	[-333]	[-333]	[-333]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-22]	[-351]	[-900]	[-894]	[-898]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	-410	-19073	-49935	-52295	-51800	-173513
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	15876	53700	206388	14813	0	-4873	285904
Family Housing							
Construction	0	36405	41420	42450	0	0	120275
Operations	0	0	0	2383	4888	6826	14097
Environmental							
Studies	1499	0	0	0	0	0	1499
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	408	546	236	-15114	-28666	-30880	-73470
Military Personnel	0	2303	-6193	-21448	-26962	-22873	-75173
Other	5215	6700	0	0	0	0	11915
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-1]	[-333]	[-333]	[-333]	[-333]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-22]	[-351]	[-900]	[-894]	[-898]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	22998	99654	241851	23084	-50740	-51800	285047

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Reassignment of Navy aircraft squadrons and support tenants. Transfer of Naval Air Station (NAS), Miramar, to U.S. Marine Corps (USMC). The activities at NAS Miramar support tactical fighter and airborne early warning aviation operations and training for the U.S. Pacific Fleet. NAS Miramar also provides support for Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Naval Air Reserve squadrons and units, Defense Nuclear Agency research, Naval Consolidated Brig, Naval Alcohol Rehabilitation Center, and 76 other miscellaneous tenants. The following is planned to support this realignment action:

- Relocation of Navy aviation squadrons to other Naval Air Stations (primarily NAS Lemoore).
- Transfer to the USMC to be used for units relocating from MCAS El Toro/MCAS Tustin.
- Relocation of Navy TOPGUN fighter training school to NAS Fallon.
- Single siting of Fleet Readiness Squadron at east coast air stations.

Projected date for transfer is FY 1997.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction:

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-156T LEMOORE - MAINT HANGAR RENOVATIONS (PHASE I)	1994	14,447
P-319T NORFOLK - VAW-110 TRAINING FACILITY	1994	1,429
SUBTOTAL FY 1994		15,876
P-308T FALLON - BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	1995	17,700
P-310T FALLON - ACFT PARKING APRON	1995	7,600
P-312T FALLON - ACFT DIRECT FUELING STATION	1995	800
P-314T FALLON - ACADEMIC INST BLDG	1995	6,300
P-315T FALLON - AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	1995	11,200
P-457T OCEANA - ENGINE MAINT SHOP ADDITIONS	1995	4,000
P-178T OCEANA - OPERATIONAL TRAINER BUILDING ADDITION	1995	2,800
P-319T FALLON - DOMESTIC WATER STORAGE	1995	2,800
P-320T FALLON - WASTEWATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	1995	500
SUBTOTAL FY 1995		53,700

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-152T LEMOORE - MAINTENANCE HANGAR RENOVATION (PHASE II)	1996	20,093
P-157T LEMOORE - UTILITIES UPGRADE	1996	10,200
P-158T LEMOORE - AIRFIELD OPERATIONS	1996	15,020
P-159T LEMOORE - INDUSTRIAL SUPT FACILITY	1996	37,900
P-160T LEMOORE - BACHELOR QUARTERS	1996	11,000
P-161T LEMOORE - COMMUNITY FACS	1996	5,670
P-162T LEMOORE - UTILITIES UPGRADE	1996	14,700
P-163T LEMOORE - AIRFIELD PAVEMENT	1996	15,150
P-164T LEMOORE - TRAINING FACILITIES	1996	34,335
P-165T LEMOORE - INDUSTRIAL SUPPORT	1996	4,500
P-166T LEMOORE - BACHELOR QUARTERS	1996	35,000
P-814T NORTH ISLAND - HANGAR ADDITION	1996	2,820
SUBTOTAL FY 1996		206,388
P-169T LEMOORE - BACHELOR QUARTERS	1997	15,634
P-170T LEMOORE - COMMUNITY SUPPORT/QOL	1997	480
SUBTOTAL FY 1997		16,114
TOTAL		292,078

Family Housing Construction: The following projects are required to provide housing for junior enlisted (E1-E6) families migrating to San Diego and Bangor:

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount $(000)
NAS Lemoore - 252 units	1995	28,290
NAS Fallon - 60 units	1995	8,115
SUBTOTAL FY 1995		36,405
NAS Lemoore - 350 units	1996	41,420
SUBTOTAL FY 1996		41,420
NAS Lemoore - 350 units	1997	42,450
SUBTOTAL FY 1997		42,450
TOTAL		120,275

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. An Environmental

Impact Statement (EIS) will be required to document impacts from the relocation of assets to NAS Lemoore. The EIS will address impacts to wetlands, surface hydrology, and changes in land use resulting from realignment. The EIS will include an airspace analysis to accommodate changes in air operations, an Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone update, and a noise study to address attendant noise and safety issues. The increase in air operations in particular may be contentious with the local communities.

Relocation of assets to NAS Fallon and NAS North Island will require an Environmental Assessment (EA) at each receiving site. Issues to be addressed in the EA include changes in land use, impacts to wetlands and endangered species, and increased air emissions. The EA for NAS Fallon will include a noise study to analyze changes in air operations and attendant noise and safety issues. Funding for NEPA documentation for the relocation of assets from NAS Miramar to NAS Oceana has been included in the budget submittal for the closure of NAS Cecil Field.

NAS Miramar is to be retained by the Department of the Navy and reused as a Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS). Funding for NEPA documentation for the relocation of assets from MCAS El Toro, MCAS Kaneohe Bay, and MCAS Tustin to NAS Miramar should be included in the budget submittals for the closure of these air installations.

Compliance: Not applicable.

Installation Restoration (IR): Costs for IR on realignment sites are funded by the Defense Environmental Restoration Account and, therefore, are not BRAC costs.

Operations and Maintenance: There are no caretaker costs at NAS Miramar. NAS Miramar is a receiving site for the closures of MCAS Tustin and MCAS El Toro, respectively. Costs include: (1) special projects required to be performed at receiving sites because of relocation of activities; (2) transportation, packing/crating, freight, etc. for relocating all decommissioning/ disestablishing activities (including tenants), demolishing, etc., including costs to move simulators to NAS Oceana, NAS Norfolk, and NAS Lemoore; and (3) costs associated with realignment planning requirements at NAS Miramar and all receiving sites including collateral equipment requirements for projects in the year following the completion.

BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Planning expenses are required to prepare special planning studies. Much of the scope of previous planning efforts was rendered obsolete by the additional loading at the gaining locations. Special planning studies are required to determine basic facilities requirements, special project validations, siting, space utilization, and relocation plans. Special planning studies are funded under gaining activities.

Military Personnel - PCS: PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the

particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other: Collateral equipment costs as a result of relocation requirements.

Land Sales Revenue: None.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: Savings as a result of the deletion of projects in the FYDP.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: Provides for the operations and maintenance cost for 952 additional family housing units at Lemoore and 60 units at Fallon.

Operations and Maintenance: NAS Miramar is a realigning action, therefore, O&M reductions at the installation are offset by plus-up at receiving sites due to relocation of functions/activities. Net savings occur after FY 1997. Recurring MRP at receiving sites occurs later in realigning period. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

Other: None.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA /UIC:N63042	MAINTENANCE HANGAR RENOVATIONS (PHASE I)

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	211.05	P-156T	14,447

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
MAINTENANCE HANGAR RENOVATIONS	SF	427,580	-	23,400
HANGAR RENOVATIONS	SF	69,850	57.00	(3,980)
GENERAL WAREHOUSE BUILDING	SF	357,730	51.00	(18,240)
AIRCRAFT START SYSTEM	LS	-	-	(1,180)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	7,630
UTILITIES, PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(5,720)
RELOCATION	LS	-	-	(1,910)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	31,030
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	1,550
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	32,580
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	1,960
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	34,540
LESS: PHASE II (FY95 P-152T) FUNDING	-	-	-	- 20,093
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	14,447
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Hangar renovations, install aircraft start system and 400 H7 power; one-story masonry and concrete block general warehouse building; relocate automated data processing (ADP) data links and reserve training center.

11. REQUIREMENT: 427,580 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides hangar renovations and constructs a general warehouse.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to accommodate the relocation of Navy aircraft, personnel, and equipment to this station. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Naval Air Station, Miramar, California, will realign and E-2 and F-14 aircraft and associated personnel and equipment will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Two of the existing hangars have no air-start or electrical power suitable for E2 aircraft. A third hangar is presently being used for the offices of the Reserve Training Center and the ATSS Data Center. These functions must be relocated to accommodate the relocation of the F14 aircraft. The present Aircraft Intermediate Maintenance Department is constrained by airfield clearances, an access road, and aircraft parking.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
MAINTENANCE HANGAR RENOVATIONS (PHASE I)	P-156T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)

Expansion of this department must be completed before arrival of the aircraft from Miramar. A small supply warehouse in the administration area is inadequate in size and location to support aviation maintenance functions.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate facilities will not be available to support the relocation of aircraft, personnel, and equipment from Miramar. This station will not be able to support the realignment of NAS Miramar.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1993	0
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	06-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(1,862)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(1,247)
(C)	TOTAL	3,109
(D)	CONTRACT	(2,763)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(346)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START 08-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00188	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, NORFOLK, VIRGINIA	VAW-110 TRAINING FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	171.35	P-319T	1,429

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
VAW-110 TRAINING FACILITY.	LS	-	-	1,280
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	1,280
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	60
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	1,340
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	89
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	1,429
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Building renovation to provide additional space for classroom and high bay area.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:
Renovates an existing building to house two new simulators.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate and properly configured facility to provide for additional space to meet training requirements. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, VAW-110 and VAW-120 training will be relocated from NAS Miramar to NAS Norfolk, and the student throughput will increase 100% over current rates. A Weapons System Trainer (WST) will be delivered in November, 1994, and a Cockpit Procedures Trainer (CPT) will delivered in November, 1995. Space is also needed to provide for computer based training, which is projected to double.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Currently there are training areas that can be renovated to make them adequate to meet the needs of the consolidated training squadron.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project NAS Norfolk will not be able to provide adequate training facilities to support VAW-110 and VAW-120 consolidation. Their mission will be severely hampered and will impact the readiness of the E-2C and C-2 squadrons to execute their Fleet mission. This activity will not be able to support the closure of NAS Miramar.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00188

NAVAL AIR STATION, NORFOLK, VIRGINIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
VAW-110 TRAINING FACILITY	P-319T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	06-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(77)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(52)
(C)	TOTAL	129
(D)	CONTRACT	(114)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(15)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 08-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, FALLON, NEVADA /UIC:N60495	BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	724.11	P-308T	17,700

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	SF	118,080	105.00	12,400
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	3,500
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(300)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(900)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(950)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(1,350)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	15,900
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	800
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	16,700
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	1,000
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	17,700
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Three-story reinforced concrete masonry building, flat slab construction with special foundations; 144 two-room modules with private bathrooms, lounges, laundry, storage, vending, mechanical equipment, air conditioning, and utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 144 PN ADEQUATE: 234 PN SUBSTANDARD: (80) PN

PROJECT:
Provides adequate billeting for 144 officers.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate housing meeting the Navy's current Quality-of-Life standards for officers relocating to this station. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Naval Air Station, Miramar, California, will close and the Topgun School will relocate its 144 officers to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Upon realignment of NAS Miramar, the Topgun School will be relocated to this station. There is no existing housing which can be modernized to meet this requirement, and the local community does not have enough adequate leasable units available.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project, adequate housing will not be avaiable for the

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, FALLON, NEVADA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	P-308T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

officers being relocated. This station will not be able to support the realignment of NAS Miramar.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	11-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	03-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X__
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(880)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(710)
(C)	TOTAL	1,590
(D)	CONTRACT	(1,060)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(530)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N60495	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, FALLON, NEVADA	AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	113.20	P-310T	7,600

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	SY	80,780	-	4,940
APRON AND TAXILANE	SY	80,780	58.00	(4,690)
WASH RACK	LS	-	-	(250)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	1,890
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(1,620)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(270)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	6,830
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	340
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	7,170
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	430
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	7,600
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Concrete parking apron, integral fixed point utility system, 400 HZ and compressor shelters, wash rack, and lighting.

11. REQUIREMENT: 80,780 SY ADEQUATE: 0 SY SUBSTANDARD: 0 SY

PROJECT:

Provides an aircraft parking apron.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate parking apron to support the increase of aircraft at this station. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Topgun School at the Naval Air Station, Miramar, California, will relocate its 18 F-14, F-16 and F/A-18 aircraft to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon realignment of NAS Miramar, the Topgun School aircraft will be relocated to this station. Existing parking apron is fully utilized during air wing deployments. There is no other parking apron which can be used by the relocating aircraft.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate facilities will not be available for the relocating aircraft. This station will not be able to support the realignment of NAS Miramar.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, FALLON, NEVADA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	P-310T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(410)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(274)
(C)	TOTAL	684
(D)	CONTRACT	(608)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(76)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 02-95
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:N60495 NAVAL AIR STATION, FALLON, NEVADA	AIRCRAFT DIRECT FUELING STATION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	121.10	P-312T	800

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
AIRCRAFT DIRECT FUELING STATION.	LS	-	-	600
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	120
UTILITIES.	LS	-	-	(80)
PAVING, SITE IMPROVEMENT, AND DEMOLITION . .	LS	-	-	(40)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	720
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	40
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	760
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	40
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	800
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Two-outlet direct fueling station, utilities, and demolition.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Provides two direct aircraft fueling outlets for hot refueling and to save fuel during training operations.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to provide two direct aircraft fueling outlets. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Naval Air Station, Miramar, will realign, and the Topgun School will relocate its aircraft to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The current fueling outlets at Fallon cannot accommodate the 18 aircraft to be relocated from NAS Miramar to this station.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, fueling operations for the increased number of aircraft must be performed via much slower fuel trucks. The loss of training time, the waiting to refuel during training operations, and the purchase of two fuel trucks will result. This station will not be able to support the realignment of NAS Miramar.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, FALLON, NEVADA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
AIRCRAFT DIRECT FUELING STATION	P-312T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X__
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(43)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(29)
(C)	TOTAL	72
(D)	CONTRACT	(64)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(8)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 02-95
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:N60495 NAVAL AIR STATION, FALLON, NEVADA	ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION BUILDING

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	171.10	P-314T	6,300

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION BUILDING.	SF	45,000	-	5,500
BUILDING	SF	45,000	120.00	(5,400)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(100)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	160
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES.	LS	-	-	(80)
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT. . .	LS	-	-	(80)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	5,660
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	280
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	5,940
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	360
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	6,300
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Multi-purpose training building, utilities, roads, and parking.

11. REQUIREMENT: 45,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Constructs an academic instruction building.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured training facilities to support the relocation of the Topgun School to this station. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Naval Air Station, Miramar will realign, and the Topgun School will be consolidated with the Strike Warfare Training Center at this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon relocation and consolidation of the Topgun School, a large deficiency of academic training space will be created. A substandard auditorium is the only existing large building, and it cannot fulfill the requirement. There are no available facilities to house the relocated school.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, there will continue to be large shortages of multi-purpose classroom space. This station will not be able to

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, FALLON, NEVADA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION BUILDING	P-314T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

accommodate the relocated school and support the realignment of NAS Miramar.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	01-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(340)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(227)
(C)	TOTAL	567
(D)	CONTRACT	(504)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(63)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:N60495 NAVAL AIR STATION, FALLON, NEVADA	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	211.05	P-315T	11,200

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	LS	-	-	8,030
BUILDING	SF	61,350	114.00	(6,990)
ARMAMENT SHOP	SF	1,230	104.00	(130)
ACCESS APRON	SY	5,070	180.00	(910)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	2,040
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(1,510)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(530)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	10,070
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	500
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	10,570
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	630
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	11,200
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

One and two-story steel-frame building, masonry and metal panel walls, concrete floors, built-up roof over rigid insulation on metal deck, access apron, compressed air system, power, fire protection system, air conditioning, utilities, and line maintenance shelter.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Constructs an aircraft maintenance hangar.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured maintenance facilities to accommodate relocating aircraft. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Naval Air Station, Miramar, California, will realign and aircraft from the Topgun School will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon realignment of NAS Miramar, the Topgun School and its associated aircraft will be relocated to this station. Existing hangars are currently being utilized. There are no other facilities which can accommodate the relocating aircraft.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate facilities will not be available to accommodate the relocating aircraft. This station will not be able to

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, FALLON, NEVADA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	P-315T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

support the realignment of NAS Miramar.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(604)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(404)
(C)	TOTAL	1,008
(D)	CONTRACT	(896)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(112)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N60191	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, OCEANA, VIRGINIA	ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP ADDITION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	211.21	P-457T	4,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP ADDITION	SF	27,820	-	2,330
BUILDING ADDITIONS	SF	22,070	75.00	(1,660)
BUILDING ALTERATIONS	SF	5,750	47.00	(270)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(400)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	1,260
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(990)
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(70)
PAVING, SITE IMPROVEMENT, AND DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(200)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	3,590
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	180
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	3,770
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	230
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	4,000
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Two one-story additions with partial mezzanine, spead footings and pile foundations, concrete masonry unit lower walls with insulated wall panels above, single ply insulated roof; engine module and accessory repair areas, three ton bridge crane, oil laboratory and support areas with sprinkler fire protection; alterations to two existing buildings to provide a cleaning room with a monorail and one ton hoist, precision measuring equipment (PME) calibration areas and miscellaneous support areas; parking, relocation of existing utilities, area lighting and overhead primary power; removal of asbestos and contaminated materials.

11. REQUIREMENT: 27,820 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides building additions to activity intermediate maintenance engine shop and calibration shop in support of the F-14D Fleet Replacement Squadron (FRS) mission relocated from NAS Miramar.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly configured facilities to accommodate the relocation of the F-14D FRS squadron to this activity. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Miramar will close. The F-14D FRS squadrons at this facility will be assigned to NAS Oceana. Intermediate maintenance is

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, OCEANA, VIRGINIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP ADDITION	P-457T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

that level of maintenance which cannot be performed by squadron work forces, but does not require lengthy and expensive overhauls at the Naval Aviation Depot. An intermediate maintenance facility consists of many industrial shops and engineering spaces performing maintenance on engines and aircraft systems. The engine shop and avionics shops need to be expanded to accommodate the increased workload generated by the addition of the F-14D aircraft and the F-14 FRS relocated from NAS Miramar.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Currently there are no F-14D aircraft maintenance spaces at NAS Oceana. The additional F-14D aircraft of the relocated FRS will result in crowding of existing spaces and engine work backlogs. The calibration shop is too small and lacks environmental controls required to provide necessary precise calibration. These spaces must be expanded to support the relocated FRS from NAS Miramar.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project NAS Oceana cannot provide adequate AIMD maintenance facilities to support the F-14 FRS relocation from NAS Miramar. Without this project the following outcomes may occur: a) NAS Miramar would not realign because of the requirements to maintain adequate AIMD maintenance facilities, b) aircraft engines and components would have to be transported to the West coast for maintenance , or c) intermediate maintenance would not occur, thereby impacting the readiness of the F-14 FRS squadron to execute their Fleet mission.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, OCEANA, VIRGINIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP ADDITION	P-457T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (CONTINUED)

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(215)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(145)
(C)	TOTAL	360
(D)	CONTRACT	(320)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(40)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N60191	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, OCEANA, VIRGINIA	OPERATIONAL TRAINER BUILDING ADDITION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	171.35	P-178T	2,800

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
OPERATIONAL TRAINER BUILDING ADDITION.	SF	18,000	125.00	2,250
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	260
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES.	LS	-	-	(160)
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT. . .	LS	-	-	(100)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,510
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	130
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	2,640
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	160
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	2,800
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Two-story building addition; steel frame building with mezzanine, high-bay area, concrete masonry walls, concrete pile foundations, concrete floors, sound attenuation, membrane roof, fire protection system, monorail, raised computer flooring, provisions for uninterrupted power source and intrusion detection system and utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 18,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Construct an addition to an operational trainer building to house two F-14D mission flight trainers.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to accommodate the relocation of the Fleet Replacement Squadron (FRS) from NAS Miramar to NAS Oceana. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, two F14D mission flight trainers and their associated computers and equipment are being relocated to this station to support the FRS.

CURRENT SITUATION:

There is no space large enough with adequate floor loads to house the two trainers. Students would receive training at a remote location requiring travel and hampering effective training.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, OCEANA, VIRGINIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
OPERATIONAL TRAINER BUILDING ADDITION	P-178T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, this station cannot provide adequate training facilities to support the FRS relocation from NAS Miramar. This station will not be able to support the realignment of NAS Miramar. Training would not occur, thereby impacting the readiness of the F-14 FRS squadron to execute their Fleet mission.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X_
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

	($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(109)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(71)
(C) TOTAL	180
(D) CONTRACT	(160)
(E) IN-HOUSE	(20)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 02-95
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N60495	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, FALLON, NEVADA	DOMESTIC WATER STORAGE

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	841.40	P-319T	2,800

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
DOMESTIC WATER STORAGE	LS	-	-	2,100
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	410
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(410)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,510
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	130
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	2,640
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	160
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	2,800
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

One-million-gallon fresh water storage tank, mechanical distribution system, pipelines.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Provides a complete domestic water storage tank for additional water supply.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate facilities to provide an additional one million gallons of fresh water necessary for the relocation of the Topgun School from NAS Miramar to Fallon. The project will be complete with pipeline tie to base supply lines. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Miramar will close and the Topgun School will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The existing storage capacity of one million gallons is not considered sufficient to handle the expected growth of the Topgun School students, staff and 18 aircraft training detachments relocating to this base, which already has stretched its water supply to the limit.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

NAS Fallon will be severely restricted to water use in this desert environment and may suffer serious detriment to mission of schools and training detachments on the ranges.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N60495

NAVAL AIR STATION, FALLON, NEVADA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
DOMESTIC WATER STORAGE	P-319T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	11-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___ NO_X_
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(150)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(100)
(C)	TOTAL	250
(D)	CONTRACT	(220)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(30)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 01-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, FALLON, NEVADA /UIC:N60495	WASTEWATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	841.10	P-320T	500

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
WASTEWATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	-	450
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	450
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	20
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	470
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	30
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	500
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Upgrade sewage plant capacity to current standards for increased loading.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Provides sewage plant improvements.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to provide sewage plant upgrades to allow enough capacity for increased base loading. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Navy aircraft, personnel, and equipment will relocate from NAS Miramar to NAS Fallon, NV.

CURRENT SITUATION:

NAS Fallon does not have enough sewage treatment plant capacity for the expected growth.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Adequate sewage treatment plant capacity will not be available to accommodate the increase in base loading. NAS FAllon will not be able to support the closure of NAS Miramar.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, FALLON, NEVADA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
WASTEWATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	P-320T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	08-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(27)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(18)
(C)	TOTAL	45
(D)	CONTRACT	(40)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(5)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 10-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA /UIC:N63042	MAINTENANCE HANGAR RENOVATIONS (PHASE II)

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	211.05	P-152T	20,093

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
MAINTENANCE HANGAR RENOVATIONS	SF	427,580	-	23,400
HANGAR RENOVATIONS	SF	69,850	57.00	(3,980)
GENERAL WAREHOUSE BUILDING	SF	357,730	51.00	(18,240)
AIRCRAFT START SYSTEM	LS	-	-	(1,180)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	7,630
UTILITIES, PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(5,720)
RELOCATION	LS	-	-	(1,910)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	31,030
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	1,550
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	32,580
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	1,960
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	34,540
LESS: PHASE I (FY94 P-156T) FUNDING	-	-	-	- 14,447
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	20,093
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Hangar renovations, install aircraft start system and 400 HZ power; one-story masonry and concrete block general warehouse building; relocate automated data processing (ADP) data links and reserve training center.

11. REQUIREMENT: 427,580 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides hangar renovations and constructs a general warehouse.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to accommodate the relocation of Navy aircraft, personnel, and equipment to this station. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Naval Air Station, Miramar, California, will realign and E-2 and F-14 aircraft and associated personnel and equipment will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Two of the existing hangars have no air-start or electrical power suitable for E2 aircraft. A third hangar is presently being used for the offices of the Reserve Training Center and the ATSS Data Center. These functions must be relocated to accommodate the relocation of the F14 aircraft. The present Aircraft Intermediate Maintenance Department is constrained by airfield clearances, an access road, and aircraft parking.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
MAINTENANCE HANGAR RENOVATIONS (PHASE II)	P-152T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)

Expansion of this department must be completed before arrival of the aircraft from Miramar. A small supply warehouse in the administration area is inadequate in size and location to support aviation maintenance functions.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate facilities will not be available to support the relocation of aircraft, personnel, and equipment from Miramar. This station will not be able to support the realignment of NAS Miramar.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	0
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	06-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(1,862)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(1,247)
(C)	TOTAL	3,109
(D)	CONTRACT	(2,763)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(346)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: TBD (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N63042	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA	UTILITIES UPGRADE

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	841.10	P-157T	10,200

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
UTILITIES UPGRADE.	LS	-	-	9,150
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	9,150
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	460
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	9,610
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	590
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	10,200
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Upgrade utilities, replace pumps and controls, install piping, valves, storage tanks and pump stations, excavation, backfilling, foundations, increase distribution voltage from 12KV to 70KV, rebuild distribution lines, transformer, switches, insulators, conductors, and appurtenances.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJEC.:

Upgrades utilities.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate utilities to support the relocation of the Topgun School from the Naval Air Station, Miramar. Because of actions authorized by Public law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS, Miramar will realign and Navy aircraft, personnel, and equipment will relocate to this station. To support the increase in personnel, electrical utilities and the water supply system must be upgraded.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The water system at Lemoore has a two-million gallon capacity and is sized for its present loading. It is not adequate to support the relocation of personnel to this station. The electrical supply system is also sized for the present loading. The system supporting the air operations area is now at or beyond capacity and cannot be expanded to accommodate the additional loading.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 19 96 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
UTILITIES UPGRADE	P-157T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate utilities will not be available to support the relocating school. This station will not be able to support the realignment of NAS Miramar.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

	($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(549)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(369)
(C) TOTAL	918
(D) CONTRACT	(816)
(E) IN-HOUSE	(102)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: TBD (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N63042	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA	AIRFIELD OPERATIONS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	211.05	P-158T	15,020

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
AIRFIELD OPERATIONS	SF	121,920	-	11,190
MAINTENANCE HANGAR REHABILITATION	SF	121,170	59.00	(7,150)
RADAR AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL CENTER	SF	730	60.00	(40)
AIRCRAFT START SYSTEM	LS	-	-	(4,000)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	2,300
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(2,300)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	13,490
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	680
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	14,170
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	850
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	15,020
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Hangar building rehabilitation, new aircraft fixed point start system on existing apron, and RATCC.

11. REQUIREMENT: 121,920 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides hangar building rehabilitation, new aircraft fixed point start system on existing apron, and RATCC center.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to provide hangar building rehabilitation, new aircraft fixed point start system on existing apron, and RATCC center. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Navy aircraft, personnel, and equipment will relocate from NAS Miramar to NAS Lemoore, CA. To accommodate this move the following requirements must be meet:

a) A standard sized Radar Air Traffic Control Center is required at NAS Lemoore. The increased air traffic resulting from the move makes it essential to have a fully capable RATCC.

b) Fixed point air-start systems, electrical power, and air conditioning systems are required for the F14 aircraft.

c) Hangar 4 needs to be renovated to return to its function as an operational squadron aircraft maintenance hangar.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The NAS Lemoore RATCC is adequate for the existing level of air operations. However, flight operations activity will significantly increase as a result of the Base Closure move. The aircraft parking apron in front of hangar 4 now has no air start and air conditioning fixed point facilities. Hangar 4 is now used for the offices of the Reserve Training Center, and the ATSS Data Center. These functions must be relocated to accommodate the F14 squadron.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

In general, the impact of not providing facilities to support the above requirement is degradation of mission capability, and creation of an

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N63042

NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
AIRFIELD OPERATIONS	P-158T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

unsafe environment for operations.

a) The safe, expeditious, and orderly movement of air traffic, under all weather conditions would be jeopardized wihout a full size RATCC. Unlike NAS Miramar, NAS Lemoore has extensive periods of ground fog (weeks at a time), which require instrument flight rules operation. The significant additional flight operations resulting from the Base Closure move would diminish airfield capacity and create unsafe flying conditions without a fully capable RATCC.

b) Without a fixed point aircraft start system, portable ground support equipment will be required. NAS Lemoore is located within a non-attainment air quality area. It would be impossible to obtain the ground support equipment.

c) Hangar 4 will not be able to accommodate the F14 squadrons if renovation is not performed.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	11-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(809)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(543)
(C)	TOTAL	1,352
(D)	CONTRACT	(1,202)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(150)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: TBD (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N63042	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA	INDUSTRIAL SUPPORT FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	211.45	P-159T	37,900

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
INDUSTRIAL SUPPORT FACILITY	SF	265,350	-	29,030
AIRFRAME SHOP	SF	15,900	110.00	(1,750)
ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP	SF	65,500	90.00	(5,900)
AVIONICS SHOP	SF	117,600	90.00	(10,580)
AVIATION ARMAMENT SHOP & ADMIN OFFICE	SF	55,060	100.00	(5,510)
AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS	SF	2,000	110.00	(220)
ENGINE TEST CELL	LS	-	-	(4,050)
GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP	SF	9,290	110.00	(1,020)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	5,020
UTILITIES, PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(5,020)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	34,050
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	1,700
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	35,750
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	2,150
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	37,900
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Construct new and/or renovate and expand existing metal, concrete and masonry buildings and supporting facilities in the operations area, including necessary and related site improvements and utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 265,350 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provide buildings and supporting facilities in the operations area.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to accommodate this base loading. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Navy aircraft, personnel, and equipment will relocate from NAS Miramar to NAS Lemoore, CA. To accommodate this move the following requirements must be met in FY95.

a) The airframes Shop must expand to accommodate two new air frames (F-14 and E-2).

b) The Engine Maintenance Shop must expand to accommodate the additional and differing types of engines associated with the move, which are not in common with the F/A-18 engines.

c) The Avionics Shop must expand to accommodate the various different avionics systems in the E-2 and F-14 aircraft, which are not in common with those of the F-18.

e) The Aviation Life Support Shop needs expansion to support the E-2 and F-14 life support requirements.

f) A new engine test cell must be constructed to accommodate the additional load of engine testing.

g) Additional Ground Support Equipment (GSE) Shop space is needed to service the additional quantities of GSE.

h) An administrative building for the Air Wings and CAG units is needed to accommodate the move and make room in the air operations building for additional staffing and functions.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N63042

NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
INDUSTRIAL SUPPORT FACILITY	P-159T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION:

a) The present 24,018 SF Airframes shop is adequate for servicing the Structures of F/A-18 aircraft, however NAS Miramar has a requirement for 29945 SF alone to support the E-2 and F-14 aircraft. The F-18 aircraft is built largely of composite materials and a significant amount of the structural work can be done right on the aircraft. The existing airframes shop accommodates small panels removed from the F/A-18 aircraft. The E-2 and F-14 are not made of composite materials, and those components that require repair by AIMD (such as the overwing fairings, outer wing panels, horizontal stabilizers, rudders, and engine bay doors) must be removed from the aircraft and worked on in the Airframes Shop. These F-14 and E-2 components will not fit inside the existing airframes shop.

b) Avionics facilities are adequate for the F/A-18, however a much larger workload and differing avionics requirements for two additional aircraft(E-2 and F-14) require additional space for avionics. About 15 percent of the equipment used to test the avionics systems in the F/A-18 is common to that used in the F-14 and E-2. The present AIMD facility at NAS Miramr is almost twice the size of the existing facility at NAS Lemoore. A unique aspect of avionics for the Miramar aircraft is the Automatic Test Equipment (ATE) used on F-14s. These ATEs are larger computer controlled test benches which require clean electrical power and environmental control for the work space, test bench, and the unit. No similarly sized or capable test equipment exists at NAS Lemoore which can be jointly used on the aircraft being moved from NAS Miramar.

c) Aviation armament facilities are barely adequate to support the F/A-18 aircraft, and the addition of E-2 and F-14s requires additional facilities. Some space efficiencies are realized because of common equipment used for both the F/A-18 and the F-14. However approximately 22,000 individual assets are required to support these aircraft and aircraft carriers.

d) Aviation life support facilities are adequate for the F/A-18 aircraft. Additional life support facilities are needed for the E-2 and F-14 aircraft. Where the F/A-18 is a single seat aircraft, the F-14 has two crewman and the E-2 has five. Additional space is needed to support the ALSS pool which will increase by 200 percent.

e) NAS Lemoore now has two Engine Test Cells, one of which is inadequate and beyond repair. This cell is scheduled to be replaced by NAS Lemoore project MILCON P-067. NAS Miramar currently needs two test cells to meet repair and testing requirements. Some efficiencies of test cell use would allow construction of a third cell to meet all Base Closure requirements.

f) The ground support equipment shop at NAS Lemoore is sized for equipment supporting F/A-18 aircraft. F/A-18s have an internal auxiliary power unit to provide electrical power and air to start the engines. The E-2 and F-14 aircraft require a larger number of ground support equipment units.

g) The Air Operations building at NAS Lemoore is the home of the Strike Fighter Wing U.S. Pacific Fleet (F/A-18s). Space in the air operations building is now crowded and will be needed to accommodate additional personnel and support space requirements unique to the air operations department at NAS Miramar. The Miramar move will add two additional Wings (F-14 and E-2) requiring offices at NAS Lemoore. Additional space will also be needed for the CDM CVWs.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

In general, the impact of not providing facilities to support the above requirement is degradation of military readiness, and creation of an unsafe environment for operations.

a) The operational readiness of PACFLT may suffer without the additional AIMD shop space, test cell, and GSE shop. E-2 and F-14 squadrons from NAS Miramar will not be supported at NAS Lemoore. AIMD support from remote

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N63042

NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
INDUSTRIAL SUPPORT FACILITY	P-159T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)
locations is not possible at the extensive scale of support needed to accommodate the post-relocation aircraft loading at NAS Lemoore.
b) Without the additional Administrative Space for the wings, this function would be squeezed into various locations at NAS Lemoore. The wing administrators would displace other administrative space users, and be ill-equiped to perform their function. Military readiness of the wings would suffer, as would the administrative function of the Naval Air Station.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	02-95

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___ NO_X_
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

	($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(2,043)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(1,368)
(C) TOTAL	3,411
(D) CONTRACT	(3,032)
(E) IN-HOUSE	(379)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: TBD (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:
NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N63042	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA	BACHELOR QUARTERS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	721.12	P-160T	11,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
BACHELOR QUARTERS	SF	88,530	-	8,710
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	SF	74,180	98.00	(7,270)
BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	SF	14,350	100.00	(1,440)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	1,180
UTILITIES & SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	-	(1,180)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	9,890
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	500
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	10,390
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	610
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	11,000
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Steel frame masonry and concrete buildings, sidewalks, parking facilities, fire protection systems, roads, utilities.
Grade Mix: BEQ: 271 E1-E4; 63 E5-E6; 5 E7-E9. Total: 339.
BOQ: 27 PN. Total: 27.

11. REQUIREMENT: 366 PN ADEQUATE: 0 PN SUBSTANDARD: 0 PN

PROJECT:
Construct new bachelor enlisted and bachelor officer quarters.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate and properly-configured facilities to provide bachelor enlisted quarters (BEQ) and bachelor officer quarters (BOQ) for the military loading. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Navy aircraft, personnel, and equipment will relocate from NAS Miramar to NAS Lemoore, Ca.

CURRENT SITUATION:
The existing bachelor quarters accommodate the present loading at NAS Lemoore. Existing vacant spaces would be utilized for the Base Closure impacts at NAS Lemoore, however additional space is needed to accommodate the first group of squadron personnel and students in the migration from NAS Miramar to NAS Lemoore. Quantity and vacancy rate of rental units in nearby communities is significantly lower than in the area near NAS Miramar. The nearest town is seven miles to the east requiring bachelors to own and maintain motor transport if they live in the community. Thus, options are diminished in the community to provide a backup resource behind any shortage of adequate military bachelor housing.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
The impact of not providing facilities to support the above requirements is degradation of morale, which may have a detrimental affect on mission capability. Unaccompanied personnel may be forced to live in substandard housing for the lack of adequate Navy provided housing. This activity will not be able to support the realignment of NAS Miramar due to insufficient bachelor housing.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N63042

NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BACHELOR QUARTERS	P-160T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA.

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	11-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___ NO_X_
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(593)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(397)
(C)	TOTAL	990
(D)	CONTRACT	(880)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(110)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: TBD (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA /UIC:N63042	COMMUNITY FACILITIES

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	740.74	P-161T	5,670

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
COMMUNITY FACILITIES	SF	43,220	-	4,340
ALCOHOL REHABILITATION CENTER	SF	3,310	125.00	(410)
FAMILY SERVICE CENTER	SF	2,800	150.00	(420)
YOUTH CENTER ADDITION	SF	5,790	120.00	(690)
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	SF	31,320	90.00	(2,820)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	750
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(750)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	5,090
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	260
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	5,350
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	320
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	5,670
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Steel frame masonry and concrete buildings and building addition, fire protection system, utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 43,220 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provide an alcohol rehab center, family services center, youth center, and child development center.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to provide an alcohol rehabilitation center, family services center, youth center, and child development center. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Navy aircraft, personnel, and equipment will relocate from NAS Miramar to NAS Lemoore, CA. To accommodate this move the following must be met in FY95:

a) Expand alcohol rehabilitation and counseling service space to accommodate the additional personnel associated with the relocation.

b) Expand the Family Services Center to provide counseling and other support spaces associated with the increased base loading.

c) Expand the Youth Center due to the additional numbers of youth relocating.

d) The child development center is required to meet the imminent increase

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
COMMUNITY FACILITIES	P-161T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

in the number of pre-school children.

CURRENT SITUATION:

NAS Lemoore has adequate facilities for the existing personnel loading. NAS Miramar personnel will move from the metropolitan San Diego region to the largely rural Lemoore area. These personnel will encounter a decreased availability of community services compared to a major metropolitan area. These services must be provided by the Navy. The present Navy child care facility, for example, has approximately 175 children on the waiting list. The problem of children waiting for child care will significantly worsen when the additional personnel loading from the NAS Miramar move occurs. Adequate facilities for child care are not available in the private sector near NAS Lemoore. Because of a program which will result in the reconstruction of the present base housing simultaneous to the move from NAS Miramar to NAS Lemoore, even home care (in military housing) will be lacking.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

The lack of on-base community facilities for Alcohol Rehabilitation, Family Services, Youth Services, and Child Care will have adverse impacts on the morale of personnel. This activity will not be able to support the realignment of NAS Miramar.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED. 10-93

(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994 25

(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE 02-94

(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE 11-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES___NO X_

(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
COMMUNITY FACILITIES	P-161T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (CONTINUED)

		($000)
(3)	TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):	
	(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(0)
	(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(0)
	(C) TOTAL	0
	(D) CONTRACT	(0)
	(E) IN-HOUSE	(0)
(4)	CONSTRUCTION START (MONTH AND YEAR)	TBD

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N63042	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA	UTILITIES UPGRADE

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	832.40	P-162T	14,700

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
UTILITIES UPGRADE	LS	-	-	12,970
GAS	LS	-	-	(2,140)
INDUSTRIAL WASTE	LS	-	-	(1,280)
TELEPHONES AND COMPUTER NETWORKS	LS	-	-	(4,920)
SEWER	LS	-	-	(3,570)
STORM DRAINS	LS	-	-	(210)
HAZARDOUS WASTE STORAGE AREA	SF	8,000	106.00	(850)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	250
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	-	(250)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	13,220
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	660
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	13,880
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	820
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	14,700
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Upgrade existing utility systems including gas distribution system, industrial waste treatment and collection system, telephone line and equipment, sewer collection system, storm drain system, and hazardous waste storage.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Upgrade existing utility systems.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate utility systems to accommodate increased aircraft and personnel loading. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Navy aircraft, personnel, and equipment will relocate from NAS Miramar to NAS Lemoore, CA. To accommodate this move, the gas distribution system, industrial waste treatment and collection system, telephone system, sewer, storm drain, and hazardous waste storage area must be upgraded to serve the additional aircraft and personnel loading and increased industrial and residential activities.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The gas, industrial waste, phone, sewer and storm drain systems, and hazardous waste area are operating near or beyond maximum capacity. These systems will be unable to accommodate the increased loading proposed by the relocation of personnel and aircraft from NAS Miramar.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

The impact of not providing utility system upgrades to support the realignment of Miramar is the degradation of mission capability, through the creation of an inefficient and unsafe environment for operations. The health and safety of personnel will also be at risk.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N63042

NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
UTILITIES UPGRADE	P-162T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	03-95

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___ NO_X_
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(793)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(530)
(C)	TOTAL	1,323
(D)	CONTRACT	(1,176)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(147)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: TBD (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 19 96 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:N00246 NAVAL AIR STATION, NORTH ISLAND, CALIFORNIA	HANGAR ADDITION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204696N	211.05	P-814T	2,820

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
HANGAR ADDITION.	SF	18,700	106.00	1,980
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	550
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES.	LS	-	-	(90)
UTILITIES.	LS	-	-	(240)
PAVING, SITE IMPROVEMENT, AND DEMOLITION . .	LS	-	-	(220)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,530
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	130
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	2,660
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	160
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	2,820
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Hangar addition, utilities, roads, parking and demolition.

11. REQUIREMENT: 18,700 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Constructs a hangar addition for the reserve E-2 unit.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facility to support the reserve E-2 unit being relocated to this station from the Naval Air Station, Miramar. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAS Miramar will realign, and the reserve E-2 unit will relocate to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

There is not enough existing hangar space to accommodate the relocated reserve unit.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate hangar facilities will not be available for the relocating reserve unit. This station will not be able to support the realignment of NAS Miramar.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 19 96 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, NORTH ISLAND, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
HANGAR ADDITION	P-814T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED 10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994 30
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE 02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE 10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES___NO X__
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS . . . . . (152)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS . . . . . (102)
(C) TOTAL 254
(D) CONTRACT . . . . . (226)
(E) IN-HOUSE . . . . . (28)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START . . . . . TBD
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1.Component NAVY	FY1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2.Date / /

3.Installation and Location	4.Project Title
NAVAL AIR STATION LEMOORE, CA	FAMILY HOUSING

5.Prog Element	6.Cat Code	7.Project Num	8.Proj Cost($000)
	711	H-407T	28290

9. COST ESTIMATE

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
Family Housing:	FA	252	63679	16047
Buildings	SF	239400	67.03	(16047)
Supporting Costs:				9374
Paving & Site Improvements				(3646)
Utilities				(2962)
Landscaping				(838)
Recreation				(289)
Spec Construction Features				(168)
Housing Office	SF	5245	110.39	(579)
Housing Community Center	SF	6000	119.33	(716)
Self Help Center	SF	2000	88.00	(176)
Subtotal				25421
Contingency (5%)				1271
Total Contract Cost				26692
SIOH (6.0%)				1602
Total				28294
Total (Rounded)				28290

10.Description of proposed construction

Multi-family housing units; wood frame or masonry with stucco or vinyl siding, covered parking, covered patios, privacy fencing, exterior storage and recreational facilities. Fire sprinkler system included in unit price.

Grade	Bedroom	Net Area	Project Factor	Unit Cost	No. Units	($000) Total
JEM	2	950	1.1172	60.00	252	16047
					252	16047

1.Component NAVY	FY1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2.Date / /

3.Installation and Location
NAVAL AIR STATION
LEMOORE, CA

4.Project title FAMILY HOUSING	5.Project Number H-407T

11. Requirement:

PROJECT: This project constructs 252 homes for junior enlisted families attached to NAS Lemoore due to BRAC 1993 estimated migrations from NAS Miramar.

REQUIREMENT: Adequate family housing is needed for married personnel and their families. This project includes community recreational facilities, and expanded common open spaces reflecting the Navy's Neighborhoods of Excellence concepts. Recreational facilities include tot lots, jogging paths, and playing courts/fields in accordance with MIL-HDBK-1035.

CURRENT SITUATION: Family Housing Survey shows projected deficit of over 100 units.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Military members will be forced to choose between involuntary separations from their families, or accepting housing that is unsuitable. Either choice will likely lead to poor morale and dissatisfaction with the Navy. Retention of quality personnel will be adversely impacted.

Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facilities Planning and Design Guide".

Necessary coordination with the school district is in progress.

1.Component NAVY	FY1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2.Date / /

3.Installation and Location	4.Project Title
NAVAL AIR STATION FALLON, NV	FAMILY HOUSING

5.Prog Element	6.Cat Code	7.Project Num	8.Proj Cost($000)
	711	H-410T	8115

9. COST ESTIMATE

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
Family Housing:	FA	60	74417	4465
Buildings	SF	57000	78.34	(4465)
Supporting Costs:				2826
Paving & Site Improvements				(1026)
Utilities				(861)
Landscaping				(237)
Recreation				(80)
Spec Construction Features				(48)
Housing Community Center	SF	4000	143.50	(574)
Subtotal				7291
Contingency (5%)				365
Total Contract Cost				7656
SIOH (6.0%)				459
Total				8115
Total (Rounded)				8115

10.Description of proposed construction

Multi-family housing units; wood frame or masonry with stucco or vinyl siding, covered parking, covered patios, privacy fencing, exterior storage and recreational facilities. Fire sprinkler system included in unit price.

Grade	Bedroom	Net Area	Project Factor	Unit Cost	No. Units	($000) Total
JEM	2	950	1.3056	60.00	60	4465
					60	4465

1.Component NAVY	FY1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2.Date / /

3.Installation and Location
NAVAL AIR STATION
FALLON, NV

4.Project title FAMILY HOUSING	5.Project Number H-410T

11. Requirement:

PROJECT: This project constructs 60 homes for junior enlisted families attached to NAS Fallon due to BRAC 1993 estimated migrations from NAS Miramar.

REQUIREMENT: Adequate family housing is needed for married personnel and their families. This project includes community recreational facilities, and expanded common open spaces reflecting the Navy's Neighborhoods of Excellence concepts. Recreational facilities include tot lots, jogging paths, and playing courts/fields in accordance with MIL-HDBK-1035.

CURRENT SITUATION: Past market analysis have shown that local community is unable to support military housing requirement.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Military members will be forced to choose between involuntary separations from their families, or accepting housing that is unsuitable. Either choice will likely lead to poor morale and dissatisfaction with the Navy. Retention of quality personnel will be adversely impacted.

Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facilities Planning and Design Guide".

Necessary coordination with the school district is in progress.

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NS MOBILE, AL

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[458]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[458]
Studies	402	0	0	0	0	0	402
Compliance	56	0	0	0	0	0	56
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	5610	100	0	0	0	0	5710
Military Personnel - PCS	564	0	0	0	0	0	564
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	6632	100	0	0	0	0	6732
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	-27963	0	0	0	-27963

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	-54	-55	-56	-57	-58	-280
Operation & Maintenance	-1717	-7019	-7063	-7075	-7105	-7127	-37106
Military Personnel	-2223	-5305	-6939	-7376	-7513	-7414	-36770
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-83]	[-83]	[-83]	[-83]	[-83]	[-83]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-176]	[-198]	[-198]	[-198]	[-198]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-3940	-12378	-14057	-14507	-14675	-14599	-74156

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	-54	-55	-56	-57	-58	-280
Environmental							
Studies	402	0	0	0	0	0	402
Compliance	56	0	0	0	0	0	56
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	3893	-6919	-7063	-7075	-7105	-7127	-31396
Military Personnel	-1659	-5305	-6939	-7376	-7513	-7414	-36206
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	-27963	0	0	0	-27963
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-83]	[-83]	[-83]	[-83]	[-83]	[-83]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-176]	[-198]	[-198]	[-198]	[-198]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	2692	-12278	-42020	-14507	-14675	-14599	-95387

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

NAVAL STATION, MOBILE, ALABAMA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Naval Station (NS) Mobile is host to fifteen Navy tenants and one non-DOD tenant. The station is homeport to two FFT and two FFG class ships. Ship Intermediate Maintenance Activity (NRMF) Mobile, the largest tenant, provides organizational level ship maintenance and in-rate training.

The FFGs will relocate to NS Pascagoula, MS, and be decommissioned. Phase down of military and civilian personnel includes departure of all tenant activities coincident with departure of last ship, and operational closure of NS Mobile in FY 1994.

SIMA will relocate to NS Pascagoula, merging with SIMA Pascagoula. Mobile Technical Unit 12 Detachment will be disestablished upon closure of NS Mobile. The medical and dental clinic personnel will be relocated to NS Ingleside. No military construction is required. Naval Exchange, Personnel Support Detachment Mobile, and Financial Information Support Center Detachment are to be disestablished. Reserve Commander Naval Surface Group SIX will continue to operate until final disposition of the FFTs and then be disestablished.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: None required.

Family Housing Construction: None required.

Family Housing Operations: None required.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets to NS Pascagoula will require an Environmental Assessment (EA) that addresses the cumulative impacts of receiving assets from NS Mobile and NS Charleston; issues to be addressed include impacts to wetlands, endangered species, surface hydrology, and changes in land use resulting from realignment. Funding for NEPA documentation for the relocation of assets from NS Mobile to NS Ingleside has been included in the budget submittal for the closure of NS Charleston.

NEPA documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EIS will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at NS Mobile with subsequent reuse. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse as an industrial park may result in increased air and water

emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for li.ting on the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination.

Compliance: A permitted storage facility will undergo a Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) closure. An asbestos survey will be conducted to determine if there is any asbestos that is hazardous to human health. An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA), for transfer/lease, and for a close-out survey.

Installation Restoration (IR): There are no Installation Restoration costs.

Operations and Maintenance: Funds are required for program management, civilian personnel severance pay, lump sum leave and separation incentive program, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, facility modifications at gaining sites, tenant moving costs, warehousing services, and contract termination liability penalties. Termination of Utility Service between the Alabama Power Company and the USG requires payment for the remaining twenty-four months prior to the contract expiration date of September 1996. Three special projects are required to prepare facilities at NS Pascagoula to receive tenants. The basic concept of caretaker operations is to perform facilities management. routine caretaker maintenance and repairs, and operation of key utilities by contract. A Caretaker Site Office (CSO) will be established which will be tasked with administering the caretaker contract environmental clean-up/compliance monitoring, public affairs, and property records management. Secur.t.. services will be provided by government employees in accordance with existin. legislation.

Planning expenses are required to prepare special planning studi... Much of the scope of previous planning efforts were rendered obsolete by the a.ditional loading at the gaining locations. Special planning studies are required to determine basic facilities requirements, special project validations, siting, space utilization, and relocation plans.

BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Real estate expenses included in these estimates are not normal Navy expenses. The General Services Administration (GSA) is normally the disposal agent for Navy's land and improvements. However, the Base Closure legislation directs the Administrator of GSA to delegate his disposal authority to DOD, including the requirement to pay for all disposal costs. These expenses cover federal screening and land transfer to the local government. The property will revert to the local government under language included in the acquisition documents. There will be real estate costs associated with site inspection, travel to site, negotiation with local government, surveys and title work, and filings.

Military Personnel - PCS: PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the

particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: Land sales revenue is anticipated.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: Savings will accrue from the closure of the Housing Referral Office.

Operations and Maintenance: Savings accrue from the disestablishment of the station and some tenants. NAVSTA Pascagoula will require an operating budget increase to support waterfront operations for the two transferring ships. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Civilian Personnel: Civilian personnel reductions result from closing NS Mobile.

Military Personnel: Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

Other: None.

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NETC NEWPORT, RI

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	500	0	0	0	0	500
Family Housing							
Construction	0	2000	0	0	0	0	2000
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	74	500	0	0	0	0	574
Military Personnel - PCS	446	0	0	0	0	0	446
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	520	3000	0	0	0	0	3520
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	-250	-1032	-1671	-1728	-1766	-1805	-8252
Operation & Maintenance	-2375	-3170	-3262	-2169	-2216	-2265	-15457
Military Personnel	-1202	-2451	-3485	-3303	-2892	-2914	-16247
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-9]	[-9]	[-9]	[-9]	[-9]	[-9]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-63]	[-74]	[-71]	[-65]	[-65]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-3827	-6653	-8418	-7200	-6874	-6984	-39956

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	500	0	0	0	0	500
Family Housing							
Construction	0	2000	0	0	0	0	2000
Operations	-250	-1032	-1671	-1728	-1766	-1805	-8252
Environmental							
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-2301	-2670	-3262	-2169	-2216	-2265	-14883
Military Personnel	-756	-2451	-3485	-3303	-2892	-2914	-15801
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-9]	[-9]	[-9]	[-9]	[-9]	[-9]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-63]	[-74]	[-71]	[-65]	[-65]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-3307	-3653	-8418	-7200	-6874	-6984	-36436

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CENTER, NEWPORT, RHODE ISLAND

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Realign the Naval Education and Training Center (NETC) Newport and terminate the Center's mission to berth ships. Relocate the ships to Naval Station (NS) Mayport, Florida and Naval Station (NS) Norfolk, Virginia. Piers, waterfront facilities and related property shall be retained by NETC Newport. The Education and Training Center will remain to satisfy its education and training mission. Projected realignment date of FY 1994 is planned.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: Construction listed below must be completed to implement recommendations of the Commission.

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-426T Newport - Pier Fire Protection System	1995	500
SUBTOTAL FY 1995		500
TOTAL		500

Family Housing Construction: A project to demolish 400 excess family housing units is required as a result of downsizing caused by BRAC actions.

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-500T Newport - Demolition	1995	2,000
SUBTOTAL FY 1995		2,000
TOTAL		2,000

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: Funding for National Environmental Policy Act documentation for the relocation of assets from NETC Newport to NS Norfolk and NS Mayport has been included in budget submittals for the closure of NS Charleston.

Compliance: There are no compliance costs since no property will be disposed.

Installation Restoration (IR): No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance: Funds are provided for preparing and securing facilities, special planning studies, personnel separations and pierside equipment. Minimal maintenance of piers is provided, and state environmentally mandated demolition of an old asbestos steam line is required.

BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel - PCS: PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and for operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: No requirement.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: The family housing inventory at NETC Newport consists of 1851 government owned units. Operation of 400 units will cease at the end of FY 1995.

Operations and Maintenance: Reduced base support pierside and closure of the SIMA. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

Other: None

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		05 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CENTER, NEWPORT, RHODE ISLAND /UIC:N62661	PIER FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	843.10	P-426T	500

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
PIER FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM.	LS	-	-	450
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	450
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	20
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	470
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	30
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	500
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Install piping, heat tracing and hydrants; connect hydrants into the existing potable water system; hydrant threading and size shall be compatible with existing system.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Provides a fire protection system for Pier 2.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly configured fire protection system to provide protection to the facilities located at Pier 2. The Frigates presently augment fire protection at the pier but are scheduled to be reassigned to other homeports. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NETC Newport will be realigned and the YPs will be relocated to Pier 2.

CURRENT SITUATION:

No adequate fire protection system would exist at Pier 2.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate fire protection at Pier 2 will not be available. This station will not be able to support this realignment.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		05 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CENTER, NEWPORT, RHODE ISLAND

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
PIER FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	P-426T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(27)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(18)
(C)	TOTAL	45
(D)	CONTRACT	(40)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(5)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 12-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 19 95 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NETC NEWPORT, RI	DEMOLITION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
	711-70	~~00 DG~~ H-500T	2,000.0

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
DEMOLITION OF SUB STANDARD HOUSING	UN	400	4,493	1797.0
SUBTOTAL				1797.0
CONTINGENCY (5%)				89.8
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1886.8
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6%)				113.2
TOTAL REQUEST				2000.0
TOTAL (ROUNDED)				2000.0

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION This project proposes demolition of 400 units of Senior Enlisted Housing Units at NETC Newport, RI. The demolition requires the removal of asbestos materials and lead base paint.

11. REQUIREMENT: The units are located in two off-site, densely populated housing neighborhoods. They are in the state of disrepair, and are excess to the Activity's needs based on restructing and consolidation.

CURRENT SITUATION: Future Reductions-In-Force resulting from base realignment and closure (BRAC) produces an excess of housing units to the Activity's needs. In an effort to adopt NOE criteria and in anticipation of the CNP's recommendations, the Activity has targeted 400 units for selective demolition. These units are in a deteriorating condition and will require extensive repair and revitalization if not demolished.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Navy families will be required to live in communities with poorly maintained vacant units. These vacant units will present health, safety and security problems. The surplus housing situation presents a perfect opportunity to achieve Neighborhood of Excellence goals by creating communities with open space comparable to those of high quality in the private housing sector.

Current Situation: The proposed demolition described in this project is necessary when taking into consideration the poor facility condition of the units and the projected surplus of housing at NETC Newport. The work will satisfy the requirements in the most cost effective manner.

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: FAMILY HOUSING OFFICE, NIAGARA FALLS, NY

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[0]	[489]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[489]
Studies	0	489	0	0	0	0	489
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	7	532	404	0	0	0	943
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	7	1021	404	0	0	0	1432
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	-760	-777	-794	-812	-3143
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-3]	[-3]	[-3]	[-3]	[-3]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	-760	-777	-794	-812	-3143

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	-760	-777	-794	-812	-3143
Environmental							
Studies	0	489	0	0	0	0	489
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	7	532	404	0	0	0	943
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-3]	[-3]	[-3]	[-3]	[-3]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	7	1021	-356	-777	-794	-812	-1711

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

DOD FAMILY HOUSING, NIAGARA FALLS, NEW YORK

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Close the DOD Family Housing at Niagara Falls, N.Y. This facility has 111 family housing units located adjacent to Niagara Air Force Base. These units are managed by the Housing Department, Naval Training Center, Great Lakes, Illinois. The projected operational closure date is FY 1995.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EIS will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at Department of Defense Family Housing, Niagara, with subsequent reuse. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse as an industrial park may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination.

Compliance: No requirement.

Installation Restoration (IR): No installation restoration sites have been identified.

Operations and Maintenance: Costs include one-time operations and maintenance costs for the inactivation and preservation of the facilities and contract and in-house caretaker costs until the property is disposed.

Costs represent both in-house and GSA fees for disposal of excess Government property.

Military Personnel - PCS: No requirement.

Other: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: Do not anticipate revenues from the sale of the property. Expect that property will be transferred at no cost under the McKinney Act or transfer to the local community as a result of the President's new five point program.

Military Personnel - PCS: None.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: The family housing inventory at DOD Niagara consists of 111 government owned units. Operation of units will cease at the end of FY 1995.

Operations and Maintenance: None.

Military Personnel: Not applicable.

Other: None.

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NADEP NORFOLK, VA

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	0	1700	0	0	0	1700
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[129]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[129]
Studies	129	0	0	0	0	0	129
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	6650	57319	78458	742	0	0	143169
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	6779	57319	80158	742	0	0	144998
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	-17800	0	0	0	0	0	-17800
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	-48921	-50095	-51298	-150314
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	-25202	-25807	-26426	-77435
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-1005]	[-2116]	[-2116]	[-2116]	[-2116]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-26]	[-26]	[-26]	[-26]	[-26]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-17800	0	0	-74123	-75902	-77724	-245549
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	-17800	0	1700	0	0	0	-16100
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	129	0	0	0	0	0	129
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	6650	57319	78458	-48179	-50095	-51298	-7145
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	-25202	-25807	-26426	-77435
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-1005]	[-2116]	[-2116]	[-2116]	[-2116]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-26]	[-26]	[-26]	[-26]	[-26]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-11021	57319	80158	-73381	-75902	-77724	-100551

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL AVIATION DEPOT, NORFOLK, VIRGINIA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Naval Aviation Depot (NADEP) Norfolk will close and its workload will be relocated to other depot maintenance activities at Cherry Point, NC; Jacksonville, FL; and San Diego (North Island), CA and private industries. Closure of NADEP Norfolk will reduce excess capacity required to support the Department of Defense Force Structure Plan and maintain or increase the average military value of the remaining aviation depots.

Closure of NADEP Norfolk requires relocation of workload throughout the naval, inter-service, and private/commercial aviation depot maintenance communities. A transition plan, based on the most current workload, was formulated to preserve the commercial defense industrial base while ensuring the Navy maintains the core competencies required to support mission essential requirements and Fleet readiness. Aircraft and engine workload will be transitioned to NADEP Jacksonville. Missile workload will be transitioned to other inter-service activities and component, manufacturing and other support workload will be transitioned to the remaining naval aviation depots and the private sector. There will be near term disruptions in workload and inefficiencies associated with geographical relocation and personnel retraining and learning curves consistent with the closure and workload transition of an industrial complex. Projected operational closure date is FY 1996.

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: Costs include 38,100 square feet of administration building space for Product Support Detachment and other logistical activities.

Location/Project	FY	($000)
P-720T NORTH ISLAND - ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING	1996	1,700
SUBTOTAL FY1996:		1,700
TOTAL NADEP NORFOLK:		1,700

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets to NADEP Cherry Point will require an Environmental Assessment (EA) that addresses

the cumulative impacts of receiving assets from NADEP Norfolk, NADEP Pensacola, and NADEP Alameda; issues to be addressed include impacts to wetlands, endangered species, surface hydrology, increased air and water emissions, increased utility demands, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction. Funding for NEPA documentation for the relocation of assets from NADEP Norfolk to NADEP Jacksonville and NADEP North Island have been included in the budget submittals for the closure of NADEP Pensacola and NADEP Alameda, respectively.

Compliance: There are no compliance costs since no property will be disposed of.

Installation Restoration (IR): There are no IR costs since no property will be disposed of.

Operations & Maintenance: NADEP Norfolk is a tenant of NAS Norfolk. Costs represent the aggregate costs of closing NADEP Norfolk and transitioning workload to NADEPs Cherry Point, Jacksonville, and North Island. Included are the costs for the relocation of personnel; relocation of plant property, tools and inventories; reduction in force costs; workload disruption costs; and shutdown costs. Costs also include repair and minor construction costs for various projects to prepare sites and relocate shops. BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel - PCS: No requirement.

Other: None.

Land Sale Revenue: None.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: NADEP, Norfolk will realize a $17.8 million MILCON savings for a project originally scheduled for FY 1994.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Savings reflected represent the aggregate savings of closing NADEP Norfolk and transitioning workload to NADEPs Cherry Point, Jacksonville, and North Island. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

Other: Savings reflect procurements that will not be funded under the DBOF program because of base closure decision. Customer savings associated with the closure of depot facilities which had excess capacity.

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NAVAL HOSPITAL, OAKLAND, CA

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	7200	23415	9100	0	0	39715
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[700]	[2158]	[1312]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[4170]
Studies	236	478	0	0	0	0	714
Compliance	464	1680	1312	0	0	0	3456
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1466	1304	7194	7080	0	0	17044
Military Personnel - PCS	0	1742	2598	0	0	0	4340
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	2166	12404	34519	16180	0	0	65269
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	-4389	-4485	-8874
Military Personnel	0	0	-5677	-11540	-11792	-12082	-41091
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-377]	[-379]	[-379]	[-379]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-238]	[-238]	[-238]	[-238]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	-5677	-11540	-16181	-16567	-49965

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	7200	23415	9100	0	0	39715
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	236	478	0	0	0	0	714
Compliance	464	1680	1312	0	0	0	3456
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1466	1304	7194	7080	-4389	-4485	8170
Military Personnel	0	1742	-3079	-11540	-11792	-12082	-36751
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-377]	[-379]	[-379]	[-379]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-238]	[-238]	[-238]	[-238]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	2165	12404	28842	4640	-16181	-16567	15304

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL HOSPITAL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

The closure of Naval Hospital (NH) Oakland and associated branch clinics will be in coordination with realignment/closure of non-medical service assets in the Oakland geographic area. Downsizing of hospital services will begin late in FY 1995 until final closure in FY 1996.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: The following facilities must be constructed to implement the movement of line Navy personnel from the Oakland area to other locations:

Location/Project Title		FY	($000)
P-502T	PORTSMOUTH - BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	1995	5,400
P-503T	PORTSMOUTH - PARKING STRUCTURE ADDITION	1995	1,800
	SUBTOTAL FY 1995		7,200
P-019T	BREMERTON - OUTPATIENT CLINIC	1996	9,300
P-204T	SAN DIEGO - NI MED CLINIC EXPAN	1996	2,700
P-205T	SAN DIEGO - NS MED CLINIC EXPAN	1996	1,025
P-201T	SAN DIEGO - NMC BEQ	1996	6,650
P-202T	SAN DIEGO - PARKING STRUCTURE	1996	3,740
	SUBTOTAL FY 1996		23,415
P-845T	LEMOORE - HOSPITAL ADDITION	1997	9,100
	SUBTOTAL FY 1997		9,100
	TOTAL		39,715

Family Housing Construction: No Requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets to NAVHOSP San Diego and NAVHOSP Bremerton will require an Environmental Assessment (EA) at each receiving site. Issues to be addressed include impacts to wetlands, endangered species, surface hydrology, increased air and water emissions, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction. Relocation of assets to NAVHOSP Oak Harbor and NAVMEDCEN Portsmouth can likely be categorically excluded from further NEPA documentation.

NEPA documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EIS will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at NAVHOSP Oakland with subsequent reuse. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse as an industrial park may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination.

Compliance: Hazardous waste disposal, closure of permitted and unpermitted hazardous waste facilities, and abatement of potential health hazards will be required. Underground storage tanks (UST's) will be removed, closed, or monitored. Asbestos will be surveyed and existing health hazards (damaged or friable asbestos) will be abated. Radon and lead paint surveys will be conducted, and hazardous conditions will be abated. Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) will undergo RCRA cleanup which includes a RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA), RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI), Corrective Measures Study (CMS), and Corrective Action (CA). An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA), for transfer/lease, and for a close-out survey.

Installation Restoration (IR): No Requirement.

Operations and Maintenance: O&M costs include: RIFs and relocation of civilian personnel, layaway and caretaker costs for the hospital complex, property disposal costs and transportation of material and equipment from the closure site. The basic concept of caretaker operations is to perform facilities management, routine caretaker maintenance and repairs, and operation of key utilities by contract. A Caretaker Site Office (CSO) will be established and collocated with CSOs serving NPWC San Francisco and NAS Alameda. The CSO will be tasked with administering the caretaker contract, environmental clean-up/compliance monitoring, public affairs, and property records management. Physical security (fire and guard services) will be provided by government employees in accordance with existing legislation.

Planning support is required to prepare special planning studies. Much of the scope of previous planning efforts was rendered obsolete by the additional loading at the gaining locations.

BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Real estate expenses included in these estimates are not normal Navy expenses. The General Services Administration (GSA) is normally the disposal agent for Navy's land and improvements. However, the Base Closure legislation directs the Administrator of GSA to delegate his disposal authority to DOD, including the requirement to pay for all disposal costs. These expenses cover federal screening, land transfers to other federal agencies, public discount transfers, and any public sale of real estate.

Expenses to be incurred are for site inspections, travel to sites, appraisals, title work, surveys, training and software, signs, news releases, marketing, community liaison, printing, advertising, audio-visual aids,

photographic video, site presentation, office rental, auction site rental, auction fees and closing costs. Also included are costs associated with interim outleasing of closure property and costs associated with termination of existing leases.

Navy will screen the property with other federal, state, and local agencies and the public according to the normal federal disposal process. This may result in transfer to another federal agency, a homeless provider, sale to a state or local government either at fair market value or discounted under a variety of statutory programs. If property survives the screening process, the property will ultimately be disposed of by public sale.

Military Personnel: PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other: None.

Land Sales Revenue: Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Savings will result from elimination, attrition, or retirement of civilian personnel. The primary saving will result from eliminating infrastructure operations and maintenance costs.

Military Personnel: Savings of pay and allowances will result from reduction of officers and enlisted personnel. However most patient care providers will migrate to other locations.

Other: None.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00183	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL HOSPITAL, PORTSMOUTH, VIRGINIA	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0807796N	721.12	P-502T	5,400

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	SF	59,140	74.00	4,380
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	470
UTILITIES, PAVING & SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(470)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	4,850
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	240
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	5,090
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	310
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	5,400
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Six-story concrete building, concrete masonry bearing walls with brick facing, metal roof, pile foundation; 78 two-room modules with common bath; a central pod with administrative, service, public toilets, laundromat, recreational and central mechanical spaces; two elevators, sprinklers including standpipes and a fire alarm system; air conditioning, utilities, and parking.
Grade mix: 78 E1-E4, 78 E5. Total: 156.

11. REQUIREMENT: 156 PN ADEQUATE: 0 PN SUBSTANDARD: 0 PN

PROJECT

Provides adequate billeting for 156 enlisted personnel.

REQUIREMENT

Adequate housing for 156 enlisted personnel who are assigned duty at the hospital. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Naval Hospital, Oakland will close and personnel will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION

No facilities are currently available to satisfy this requirement.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED

Without this project, this activity will not be able to accommodate the influx of personnel due to the closure of Naval Hospital, Oakland.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL HOSPITAL, PORTSMOUTH, VIRGINIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	P-502T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(305)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(181)
(C)	TOTAL	486
(D)	CONTRACT	(432)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(54)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 02-95
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00183	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL HOSPITAL, PORTSMOUTH, VIRGINIA	PARKING STRUCTURE ADDITION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0807796N	730.80	P-503T	1,800

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
PARKING STRUCTURE ADDITION	LS	-	-	1,510
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	110
UTILITIES, ROADS, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(110)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	1,620
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	80
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	1,700
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	100
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	1,800
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

150 parking spaces in a multi-story reinforced concrete and masonry parking structure, and utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:
Provides 150 parking spaces in a multi-story parking structure.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate parking to support the increase in Naval Medical Center personnel resulting from actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990. These actions will increase Naval Medical Center, Portsmouth personnel by 150 officers, 353 enlisted, and 253 civilians due to the relocation of personnel and functions from Naval Hospital, Oakland, CA.

CURRENT SITUATION:
No facilities are available to satisfy this requirement. Scarce real estate dictates the provision of structured parking.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Naval Medical Center will be unable to provide parking in support of relocating personnel. This station will not be able to support the closure of Naval Hospital, Oakland.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00183

NAVAL HOSPITAL, PORTSMOUTH, VIRGINIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
PARKING STRUCTURE ADDITION	P-503T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (CONTINUED)

(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE 10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES___ NO_X_

(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS . . . . . (102)

(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS . . . . . (60)

(C) TOTAL 162

(D) CONTRACT . . . . . (144)

(E) IN-HOUSE . . . . . (18)

(4) CONSTRUCTION ST RT 01-95
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NAVAL HOSPITAL, ORLANDO FL

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	22283	6000	0	0	0	28283
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[1166]	[1004]	[1444]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[3614]
Studies	9	0	101	0	0	0	110
Compliance	1157	1004	1343	0	0	0	3504
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	113	3416	6867	1167	0	0	11563
Military Personnel - PCS	0	1641	469	0	0	0	2110
Other	0	0	548	0	0	0	548
TOTAL COSTS	1279	28344	15328	1167	0	0	46118
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	-2818	-5731	-5852	-5994	-20395
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-30]	[-45]	[-45]	[-45]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-129]	[-129]	[-129]	[-129]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	-2818	-5731	-5852	-5994	-20395

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	22283	6000	0	0	0	28283
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	9	0	101	0	0	0	110
Compliance	1157	1004	1343	0	0	0	3504
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	113	3416	6867	1167	0	0	11563
Military Personnel	0	1641	-2349	-5731	-5852	-5994	-18285
Other	0	0	548	0	0	0	548
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-30]	[-45]	[-45]	[-45]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-129]	[-129]	[-129]	[-129]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	1279	28344	12510	-4564	-5852	-5994	25723

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL HOSPITAL, ORLANDO, FLORIDA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

The closure of the Naval Hospital (NH), Orlando, will be in coordination with realignment/closure of non-medical service assets in the Orlando area. The closure of most of NH Orlando will occur in FY 1996. Final closure will occur in FY 1997, after the Nuclear Power School and its clinic are moved.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: The following facilities must be constructed to implement the movement of line Navy personnel from the Orlando area to other locations:

	Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-590T	Great Lakes NH, Medical Clinic Addition	1995	3,124
P-584T	Great Lakes NH, Medical Clinic Addition	1995	5,913
P-604T	Great Lakes NDC, Dental Clinic Addnition	1995	9,316
P-586T	Great Lakes RTC, Medical Clinic Addition	1995	3,930
	Subtotal	1995	22,283
P-307T	Groton, Medical/Dental Clinic	1996	6,000
	Subtotal	1996	6,000
	TOTAL		28,283

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets to NH Jacksonville and NH Great Lakes can likely be categorically excluded from further NEPA documentation. A small cost is associated with this planning effort. Funding for NEPA documentation for the relocation of assets from NH Orlando to NH Great Lakes and NH Beaufort has been included in the budget submittals for the closure of the Naval Training Center (NTC), Orlando and the Naval Air Station (NAS), Cecil Field.

NEPA documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EA will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at NAVHOSP Orlando with subsequent

reuse. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse as an industrial park may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination.

Compliance: Hazardous waste disposal, closure of permitted and unpermitted hazardous waste facilities, and abatement of potential health hazards will be required. Underground storage tanks (UST's) will be removed, closed, or monitored. Asbestos will be surveyed and existing health hazards (damaged or friable asbestos) will be abated. Radon and lead paint surveys will be conducted, and hazardous conditions will be abated. Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) will undergo RCRA cleanup which includes a RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA), RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI), Corrective Measures Study (CMS), and Corrective Action (CA). An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA), for transfer/lease, and for a close-out survey.

Installation Restoration (IR): No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance: O&M costs include: RIF's and relocations of civilian personnel, mothball and caretaker costs for the hospital complex, property disposal costs, collateral equipment, and transportation of material and equipment from the closure site. The basic concept of caretaker operations is to perform facilities management, routine caretaker maintenance and repairs, and operation of key utilities by contract. A Caretaker Site Office (CSO) will be established to support NH and NTC Orlando. The CSO will be tasked with administering the caretaker contract, environmental clean-up/compliance monitoring, public affairs, and property records management. Physical security (fire and guard services) will be provided by government employees in accordance with existing legislation.

BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Planning support is required to prepare special planning studies. Much of the scope of previous planning efforts was rendered obsolete by the additional loading at the gaining locations.

Real estate expenses included in these estimates are not normal Navy expenses. The General Services Administration (GSA) is normally the disposal agent for Navy's land and improvements. However, the Base Closure legislation directs the Administrator of GSA to delegate his disposal authority to DOD, including the requirement to pay for all disposal costs. These expenses cover federal screening, land transfers to other federal agencies, public discount transfers, and any public sale of real estate.

Expenses to be incurred are for site inspections, travel to sites, appraisals, title work, surveys, training and software, signs, news releases, marketing, community liaison, printing, advertising, audio-visual aids, photographic video, site presentation, office rental, auction site rental, auction fees and closing costs. Also included are costs associated with interim outleasing of closure property and termination of existing leases.

Navy will screen the property with other federal, state, and local agencies and the public according to the normal federal disposal process. This may result in transfer to another federal agency, a homeless provider, sale to a state or local government either at fair market value or discounted under a variety of statutory programs. If property survives the screening process, the property will ultimately be disposed of by public sale.

Military Personnel: PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other: Collateral equipment costs associated with relocation requirements.

Land Sales Revenue: Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: None.

Military Personnel: Savings of pay and allowances will result from reduction of officers and enlisted personnel. However most patient care providers will migrate to other locations.

Other: None.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: NO0211	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL HOSPITAL, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	MEDICAL CLINIC ADDITION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0807796N	550.10	P-590T	3,124

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
MEDICAL CLINIC ADDITION	SF	14,060	-	2,320
BUILDING ADDITION	SF	14,060	160.00	(2,250)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	-	-	(70)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	330
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(120)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(90)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(120)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,650
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	130
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	2,780
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	170
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,950
CATEGORY "E" MEDICAL/DENTAL EQUIPMENT	-	-	-	174
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	3,124
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(684)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Addition to the existing clinic to match existing construction, ventilation and air conditioning, fire protection system, utilities and site improvements.

11. REQUIREMENT: 14,060 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Provides an addition to an existing clinic at the Recruit Training Command (RTC), Great Lakes.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate facilities to accommodate the increased projected clinic visits from the increased student loading at RTC Great Lakes. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC Orlando will close and the Recruit Training Command will relocate to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:
There is insufficient clinic space at RTC Great Lakes to support the increase in students requiring clinic treatment.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
This station will not be able to support the closure of NTC Orlando.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00211

NAVAL HOSPITAL, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
MEDICAL CLINIC ADDITION	P-590T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (CONTINUED)

(2) BASIS:
(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES___ NO_X_
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS . . . . . (159)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS . . . . . (247)
(C) TOTAL 406
(D) CONTRACT . . . . . (345)
(E) IN-HOUSE . . . . . (61)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START 01-95
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST ($000)
MEDICAL/LABORATORY EQUIP	O&M	1996	574
MEDICAL/LABORATORY EQUIP	OP	1996	110
		TOTAL	684

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00211	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL HOSPITAL, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	MEDICAL CLINIC ADDITION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0807796N	550.10	P-584T	5,913

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
MEDICAL CLINIC ADDITION	SF	26,830	-	4,510
BUILDING ADDITION	SF	26,830	165.00	(4,430)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	-	-	(80)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	520
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(240)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(100)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(180)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	5,030
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	250
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	5,280
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	320
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	5,600
CATEGORY "E" MEDICAL/DENTAL EQUIPMENT	-	-	-	313
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	5,913
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(1,062)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Addition to the existing clinic to match existing construction, ventilation and air conditioning, fire protection system, utilities and site improvements.

11. REQUIREMENT: 26,830 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Provides an addition to the existing medical clinic at the Recruit Training Command, Great Lakes.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate facilities to accommodate the increased projected clinic visits from the increased student loading at NTC Great Lakes. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC Orlando will close and the Recruit Training Command will relocate to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:
There is insufficient clinic space at NTC Great Lakes to support the increase in student loading at the service school.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
This station will not be able to support the closure of NTC Orlando.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00211

NAVAL HOSPITAL, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
MEDICAL CLINIC ADDITION	P-584T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (CONTINUED)

(2) BASIS:
(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES___ NO_X_
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(302)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(478)
(C)	TOTAL	780
(D)	CONTRACT	(665)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(115)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 01-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST ($000)
MEDICAL/LABORATORY EQUIP	O&M	1996	1,060
MEDICAL/LABORATORY EQUIP	OP	1996	2
		TOTAL	1,062

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N68326	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL DENTAL CLINIC, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	DENTAL CLINIC ADDITION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0807796N	540.10	P-604T	9,316

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
DENTAL CLINIC ADDITION	SF	34,200	-	7,180
BUILDING ADDITION	SF	34,200	207.00	(7,080)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	-	-	(100)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	700
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(310)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(150)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	-	(240)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	7,880
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	390
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	8,270
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	500
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	8,770
CATEGORY "E" MEDICAL/DENTAL EQUIPMENT	-	-	-	546
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	9,316
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(1,232)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Addition to existing clinic matching existing construction; ventilation and air conditioning, fire protection system, utilities and site improvements.

11. REQUIREMENT: 34,200 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Provides an addition to the Recruit Training Command dental clinic.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate facilities to accommodate the increased projected dental clinic visits from the increased student loading at RTC Great Lakes. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC Orlando will close and the Recruit Training Command will relocate to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:
There is insufficient clinic space at RTC Great Lakes to support the increase in students requiring dental clinic treatment.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
This station will not be able to support the closure of NTC Orlando because of a lack of dental clinic facilities.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N68326

NAVAL DENTAL CLINIC, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
DENTAL CLINIC ADDITION	P-604T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (CONTINUED)

(2) BASIS:
(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES___ NO_X_
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(473)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(738)
(C)	TOTAL	1,211
(D)	CONTRACT	(1,030)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(181)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 01-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST ($000)
DENTAL EQUIPMENT	O&M	1996	1,230
DENTAL EQUIPMENT	OP	1996	2
		TOTAL	1,232

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: NO763A	4. PROJECT TITLE
RECRUIT TRAINING COMMAND, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	MEDICAL CLINIC ADDITION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	550.10	P-586T	3,930

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
MEDICAL CLINIC ADDITION	LS	-	-	2,860
BUILDING ADDITION	SF	16,860	165.00	(2,780)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	-	-	(80)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	470
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(160)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(110)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(200)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	3,330
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	170
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	3,500
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	210
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	3,710
CATEGORY "E" EQUIPMENT	-	-	-	220
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	3,930
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(697)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Permanent addition to existing clinic to match existing construction, ventilation and air conditioning, fire protection system, utilities, and site improvements.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:
Provides an addition to an existing medical clinic.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate facilities to accommodate the increased projected clinic visits due to the increased student loading at RTC Great Lakes. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC Orlando will close and the Recruit Training Command will relocate to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:
There is insufficient clinic space at RTC Great Lakes to support the increase in student inprocessing.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project, adequate medical inprocessing facilities will not be available to support the additional students. This activity will not be able to support the closure of NTC Orlando.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: NO763A

RECRUIT TRAINING COMMAND, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
MEDICAL CLINIC ADDITION	P-586T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (CONTINUED)

(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE 10-94

(2) BASIS:
(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES___ NO X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS . . . . . (200)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS . . . . . (311)
(C) TOTAL. 511
(D) CONTRACT . . . . . (434)
(E) IN-HOUSE . . . . . (77)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START 01-95
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST ($000)
MEDICAL EQUIPMENT	O&M	1996	558
MEDICAL EQUIPMENT	OP	1996	139
		TOTAL	697

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NTC ORLANDO, FL

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	21855	78007	84910	0	0	0	184772
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[4531]	[4534]	[3027]	[3398]	[1882]	[5865]	[23237]
Studies	870	478	0	0	0	0	1348
Compliance	1850	2887	2240	1137	481	262	8857
Restoration	1811	1169	787	2261	1401	5603	13032
Operation & Maintenance	1787	11680	7670	19413	5151	5984	51685
Military Personnel - PCS	1500	601	317	3529	1000	0	6947
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	29673	94822	95924	26340	8033	11849	266641
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	-7100	0	-677	-319	-8096
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	-1884	-4812	-4918	-11614
Operation & Maintenance	1086	-4263	-9338	-10453	-16811	-17642	-57421
Military Personnel	0	-8406	-9956	-8112	-3942	-4888	-35304
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-112]	[-236]	[-378]	[-378]	[-378]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-248]	[-159]	[-420]	[-438]	[-442]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	1086	-12669	-26394	-20449	-26242	-27767	-112435

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	21855	78007	77810	0	-677	-319	176676
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	-1884	-4812	-4918	-11614
Environmental							
Studies	870	478	0	0	0	0	1348
Compliance	1850	2887	2240	1137	481	262	8857
Restoration	1811	1169	787	2261	1401	5603	13032
Operation & Maintenance	2873	7417	-1668	8960	-11660	-11658	-5736
Military Personnel	1500	-7805	-9639	-4583	-2942	-4888	-28357
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-112]	[-236]	[-378]	[-378]	[-378]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-248]	[-159]	[-420]	[-438]	[-442]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	30759	82153	69530	5891	-18209	-15918	154206

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER ORLANDO, (NTC), FL

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Close the Naval Training Center (NTC), Orlando, and relocate certain personnel, equipment and support to NTC Great Lakes, and other locations, consistent with training requirements. Disposition of major tenants is as follows: Recruit Training Command (RTC) relocates to NTC, Great Lakes; Service School Command relocates to NTC Great Lakes; Naval Nuclear Power Training Command relocates to Naval Submarine Base New London; the remainder of the Service School Command and tenant commands will relocate or disestablish. Operational closure date of FY 1997 is planned.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: The below listed construction projects are required to implement closure of NTC Orlando and the relocation to other activities:

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-589T Great Lakes - Mess Hall Upgrade	1994	2,230
P-591T Great Lakes - Small Arms Range Upgrade	1994	390
P-592T Great Lakes - Drill Field Upgrade	1994	335
P-550T Great Lakes - Mess Hall Modernization	1994	8,000
P-585T Great Lakes - BEQ Modifications	1994	2,600
P-588T Great Lakes - BEQ Renovations	1994	3,700
P-515T Great Lakes - Small Arms Range	1994	4,600
	SUBTOTAL FY 1994	21,855
P-575T Great Lakes - Electronic Technician Training Building	1995	850
P-576T Great Lakes - Radiac Calibration Training Facility	1995	317
P-578T Great Lakes - Applied Instruction Building	1995	9,980
P-580T Great Lakes - Cold Storage Warehouse	1995	4,770
P-581T Great Lakes - Training Building Renovations	1995	3,270
P-582T Great Lakes - BEQ Renovation	1995	10,020
P-587T Great Lakes - Fireman Training Building Rehabilitation	1995	140
P-597T Great Lakes - Seaman Apprentice School	1995	3,400
P-599T Great Lakes - Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	1995	36,710
P-605T Great Lakes - Airman Apprentice Training Facility	1995	5,150
P-449T New London - Training Building Renovations	1995	2,400
P-451T New London - Training Building Rehabilitation	1995	1,000
	SUBTOTAL FY 1995	78,007

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-583T Great Lakes - Child Development Center	1996	1,700
P-579T Great Lakes - Brig	1996	420
P-448T New London - Nuclear Power School Trainer	1996	4,600
P-444T New London - BEQS	1996	58,550
P-445T New London - Enlisted Dining Facs	1996	7,500
P-446T New London - Parking Garage	1996	4,500
P-450T New London - Upgrade Telephone Ducts	1996	2,150
P-432T New London - Base Hq's	1996	4,600
P-447T New London - Removal & Upgrade B-462 for Pass	1996	890
	SUBTOTAL FY 1996	84,910
	TOTAL	184,772

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets to NTC Great Lakes will require an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) that addresses the cumulative impacts of receiving assets from NTC Orlando, NTC San Diego, and Naval Hospital Orlando. The EIS will address impacts to wetlands, endangered species, surface hydrology, traffic impacts, socioeconomics, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction. Relocation of assets to SUBASE New London will require an Environmental Assessment (EA). Issues to be addressed include impacts to wetlands, endangered species, surface hydrology, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction.

NEPA documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EIS will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at NTC Orlando with subsequent reuse. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse as an industrial park may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination. Prior to actual disposal of NTC property, it is likely that the Navy will allow interim use of the property under lease agreements beginning in FY 1994. An EA will be required to document the potential impacts of such interim use. Issues to be addressed in the EA include changes in land use and increased air and water emissions.

Compliance: A permitted storage facility will undergo a Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) closure. Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) will be removed. Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) will undergo RCRA cleanup which includes a RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA), a RCRA Facility

Investigation (RFI), a Corrective Measures Study (CMS), and Corrective Act (CA). An asbestos survey and lead base paint survey will be conducted, and all asbestos and lead that is hazardous to human health will be abated. An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA), for transfer/lease, and for a close-out survey.

Installation Restoration (IR): Nine sites have been identified under the Installation Restoration (IR) program. The sites are being investigated for contamination from hazardous or toxic substances. Includes state reimbursement costs for health assessments attributable to this base. These Congressionally mandated requirements include reimbursement for state oversight of clean up and the cost of health assessments by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

Operations and Maintenance: Implementation costs are provided for personnel relocations, separations, severance, freight cost for moving equipment and material, facility modifications at receiving sites, special projects, collateral equipment and securing facilities. Caretaker operations will perform facilities management, routine caretaker maintenance and repairs, and operation of key utilities by contract. A Caretaker Site Office (CSO) will be established to support both NTC and NH Orlando. The CSO will be tasked with administering the caretaker contract, environmental clean-up/compliance monitoring, public affairs, and property records management. Physical security (fire and guard services) will be provided by government employees in accordance with existing legislation.

Planning support is required to prepare relocation studies. Much of the scope of previous planning efforts was rendered obsolete by the additional loading at the gaining locations.

Navy will screen the property with other federal, state, and local agencies and the public according to the normal federal disposal process. This may result in transfer to another federal agency, a homeless provider, sale to a state or local government either at fair market value or discounted under a variety of statutory programs. If property survives the screening process, the property will ultimately be disposed of by public sale.

Military Personnel - PCS: PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other: None.

Land Sales Revenue: The proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: Prior programmed projects cancelled.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: The family housing inventory at NTC Orlando consists of 972 government owned units. Operation of units will cease as follows: 389 units at the end of FY 1996, and 583 units at the end of FY 1997.

Operations and Maintenance: Reflects closure of schools at NTC Orlando and realignment of continuing classroom requirements to NTC Great Lakes and NSB New London. Redundant support activities will disestablish and excess personnel will be separated. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: Savings are as a result of a reduction in military billets.

Other: None.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:N00210 NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	MESS HALL UPGRADE

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	722.10	P-589T	2,230

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
MESS HALL UPGRADE	LS	-	-	2,000
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,000
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	100
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	2,100
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	130
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	2,230
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Upgrades on out-dated mess hall.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Provides an upgraded mess hall.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured mess hall to feed the additional recruits being relocated from NTC Orlando and NTC San Diego. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC Orlando and NTC San Diego will close and recruit training will be relocated to this center.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NTC Orlando and NTC San Diego, recruit training will be relocated to this center. No adequate mess hall facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional recruit personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate mess hall facilities will not be available for recruit personnel. This station will not be able to support the closure of NTC Orlando and NTC San Diego.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
MESS HALL UPGRADE	P-589T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1993	0
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	06-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER992	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(120)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(81)
(C)	TOTAL	201
(D)	CONTRACT	(179)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(22)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 08-94
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:N00210 NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	SMALL ARMS RANGE UPGRADE

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.50	P-591T	390

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
SMALL ARMS RANGE UPGRADE	SF	18,060	19.00	340
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	340
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	20
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	360
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	30
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	390
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Modifications to existing facility including additional ventilation and repairs; upgrade projectile deflector and air filtering systems; repair target frames and provide new striping for safety lanes.

11. REQUIREMENT: 18,060 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Upgrades an existing small arms range in support of the Recruit Training Center.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly configured facilities to provide small arms training for recruits. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC Orlando will close and Recruit Training will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NTC Orlando, the Recruit Training will relocate to this activity. No adequate small arms range exists to accommodate the additional recruits.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, training facilities will not be available for recruit small arms range training. This station will not be able to support the closure of NTC Orlando because of a lack of adequate training

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
SMALL ARMS RANGE UPGRADE	P-591T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)
facilities.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:
- (A) DATE DESIGN STARTED 10-93
- (B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1993 0
- (C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE 02-94
- (D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE 06-94
- (E) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER992 0

(2) BASIS:
- (A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES___NO X__
- (B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)
- (A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS . . . . . (20)
- (B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS . . . . . (15)
- (C) TOTAL 35
- (D) CONTRACT . . . . . (31)
- (E) IN-HOUSE . . . . . (4)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START 08-94
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:
NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00210	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	DRILL FIELD UPGRADE

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	179.60	P-592T	335

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
DRILL FIELD UPGRADE	LS	-	-	300
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	300
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	20
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	320
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	15
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	335
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Repair the existing south porter grinder (drill field); replace a failed section of pavement, and overlay the entire grinder.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Upgrades an existing outdoor drill training field to support recruit buildup.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate drill field to accommodate an increase in recruits. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Naval Training Center, Orlando, Florida, and the Naval Training Center, San Diego, California, will be closed and their recruits relocated to this center.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NTC Orlando and San Diego, training recruits will be relocated to this center. The existing drill field is in disrepair, unusable, and cannot accommodate the increase in recruit training.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate drill training facilities will not be available for use by the relocating recruits. This center will not be able to support the closure of Orlando and San Diego.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
DRILL FIELD UPGRADE	P-592T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1993	0
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	06-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER992	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(18)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(12)
(C)	TOTAL	30
(D)	CONTRACT	(27)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(3)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 08-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, /UIC:N00210 GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	MESS HALL MODERNIZATION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	722.10	P-550I	8,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
MESS HALL MODERNIZATION	LS	-	-	12,720
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	760
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(300)
DEMOLITION AND ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS	-	-	(460)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	13,480
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	670
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	14,150
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	850
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	15,000
LESS: FY1992 MCON FUNDING	-	-	-	- 7,000
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	8,000
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Replace deteriorated roofs, suspended ceilings, asphalt and quarry tile floors and ceramic wall tiles, doors, windows; paint interior and exterior surfaces, remove asbestos and lead-based paint from interior ceilings; structural repairs and repair electrical, and fire protection systems and equipment, replace heating, ventilating and air conditioning systems, and demolition of one building.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Provides for the reactivation of the mess hall at the Recruit Training Command (RTC).

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured mess hall facilities to provide feeding capacity for up to 11,018 recruits and apprentice trainees. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC Orlando and NTC San Diego will close and recruit training will relocate to this center.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NTC Orlando and NTC San Diego, recruit training will relocate to this center. No adequate mess hall facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional recruits. There are two mess halls located in RTC. The operating mess hall has a capacity of

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
MESS HALL MODERNIZATION	P-550I

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)

7,834 personnel and cannot be expanded. The other mess hall, which was shutdown in 1979, has a feeding capacity of 11,018 personnel. Projected increases of recruits and apprentice trainees from NTC Orlando and NTC San Diego significantly exceeds the capacity of the operating mess hall, and will extend mealtime periods and training day. Training objectives try to maximize time during the eight week training period. Delays at the mess hall hinder this objective and expensive, much needed training time is lost to long non-productive waiting in meal lines. The mess hall is in poor condition with preparation and line equipment a continual maintenance problem. On the average two of the eight lines in the mess hall are down for two days every week. When more than two lines are down, cold meals are served on paper plates. Since the mess hall must be kept open every day, year round, major repair and maintenance cannot be done. Constant use of the mess hall, without the benefit of maintenance upgrades has created the worn-out condition of the equipment and structure with the potential for a major shutdown because of equipment failure. A third mess hall, the Service School Command mess hall is located two and a half miles from this center and does not have the capacity to accommodate the recruits. No viable alternative exists for feeding recruits other than reactivating the mess hall at RTC.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, mess hall facilities will not be available for the recruits being relocated. This station will not be able to support the closure of NTC Orlando and NTC San Diego.

ADDITIONAL:

This project is conjunctively funded by a FY1992 MCON project P-550 at NTC Great Lakes.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1993	0
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	06-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER992	0

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
MESS HALL MODERNIZATION	P-550T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (CONTINUED)

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES__ NO X

(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(480)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(240)
(C)	TOTAL	720
(D)	CONTRACT	(640)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(80)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 08-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:N00210 NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODIFICATIONS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	721.11	P-585T	2,600

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODIFICATIONS . . .	LS	-	-	2,320
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,320
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	120
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	2,440
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-		160
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	2,600
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Modifies 15 buildings; concrete masonry walls in locker rooms, heating, ventilating and air conditioning additions and modernizations, relocate existing walls, and utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:
Modifies all Recruit Training Center bachelor enlisted quarters for females.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate and properly-configured facilities to accommodate female recruits. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC Orlando will close and recruit training will be relocated to this center.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Upon closure of NTC Orlando, recruit training will relocate to this center. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the female recruits.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project, housing facilities will not be available for female recruits. This center will not be able to support the closure of NTC Orlando because of a lack of adequate facilities.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODIFICATIONS	P-585T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1993	0
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	06-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER992	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN	YES___NO X__
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	_____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(139)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(95)
(C)	TOTAL	234
(D)	CONTRACT	(208)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(26)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START 08-94
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		05 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00210	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS RENOVATIONS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	721.11	P-588T	3,700

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS RENOVATIONS	SF	415,000	8.00	3,320
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	3,320
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	170
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	3,490
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	210
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	3,700
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Renovations to four buildings to include new finishes, replacement of built-in equipment and toilet accessories, toilet and shower repairs, roof repairs, mechanical and electrical repairs, and energy conservation upgrade.

11. REQUIREMENT: As Required.

PROJECT:

Provides renovations to bachelor enlisted quarters at the Recruit Training Center.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate housing in which to accommodate bachelor enlisted students and staff being transferred to this center from the Naval Training Center (NTC), Orlando, Florida. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC Orlando will close and the Recruit Training Command will relocate to this center.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Existing barracks were shutdown several years ago because of diminished student load. They need minor repairs to make them suitable for use by personnel. Upon closure of Orlando, the Recruit Training Command will relocate to this center. No facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional personnel.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		05 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS RENOVATIONS	P-588T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, housing will not be available for the relocated recruits. This center will not be able to support the closure of Orlando because of the lack of adequate housing facilities.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1993	0
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	06-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER992	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X__
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MUST RECENTLY USED:	_____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(199)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(134)
(C)	TOTAL	333
(D)	CONTRACT	(296)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(37)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 08-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS /UIC:N00210	SMALL ARMS RANGE

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.50	P-515T	4,600

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
SMALL ARMS RANGE	SF	32,850	-	3,600
BUILDING	SF	32,850	77.00	(2,530)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(1,070)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	530
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(280)
DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(250)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	4,130
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	210
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	4,340
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	260
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	4,600
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

One-story building, concrete foundation and floor, masonry walls with baffles and special bullet trap, built-up roof over rigid insulation, firing range, classrooms, staging area, lockers, offices, weapon storage, magazine, air conditioning, utilities, and demolition.

11. REQUIREMENT: 32,850 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides an indoor small arms range in support of the Naval Training Center.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to provide for weapons qualification training for all recruits. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC Orlando will close and recruit training will be relocated to this center.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NTC Orlando, recruit training will relocate to this center. No adequate weapons qualification training facilities exist to accommodate the additional recruits.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, training facilities will not be available for

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
SMALL ARMS RANGE	P-515T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

recruit weapons qualification training. This center will not be able to support the closure of NTC Orlando, because of a lack of adequate training facilities.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1993	0
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	06-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER992	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(248)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(166)
(C)	TOTAL	414
(D)	CONTRACT	(368)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(46)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 08-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00210	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	ELECTRONIC TECHNICIAN TRAINING BUILDING

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-575T	850

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
ELECTONIC TECHNICIAN TRAINING BUILDING	SF	111,970	-	590
BUILDING REHABILITATION.	SF	108,370	5.00	(540)
BUILDING REHABILITATION.	SF	3,600	13.00	(50)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	170
DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(170)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	760
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	40
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	800
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	50
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	850
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Rehabilitate two buildings, modifying interior spaces and building walls, repairing floors, and ceilings, replacing interior and exterior doors, painting interior and exterior, and repairing mechanical and electrical distribution systems.

11. REQUIREMENT: 111,970 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides an applied instruction building.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly configured facilities for the Electronic Technician (ET) A and C schools, which provide basic and advanced ET training. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC Orlando will close and the ET school will relocate to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NTC Orlando, the ET A and C school will relocate to this activity. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional students.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, training facilities will not be available for ET A and C school training. This station will not be able to support the

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ELECTRONIC TECHNICIAN TRAINING BUILDING	P-575T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

closure of NTC Orlando.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X__
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(46)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(31)
(C)	TOTAL	77
(D)	CONTRACT	(68)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(9)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. . . . . 12-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00210	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	RADIAC CALIBRATION TRAINING FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-576T	317

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
RADIAC CALIBRATION TRAINING FACILITY	SF	4,810	-	255
RADIAC CALIBRATION RANGE	SF	60	120.00	(7)
BUILDING REHABILITATIONS	SF	4,000	2.00	(8)
RADIAC LABORATORY	SF	750	115.00	(90)
DRILL FIELD UPGRADE	LS	-	-	(150)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	30
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(30)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	285
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	10
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	295
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	22
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	317
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Single-story, reinforced concrete masonry building, radiation shielding enclosure, reinforced concrete roof and floor slabs, protective booths, air conditioning, fire protection system, and utilities; rehabilitate two buildings, painting interior and exterior walls, and utilities systems upgrades.

11. REQUIREMENT: 4,810 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Constructs a radiac calibration training facility.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facility to provide Radiac Calibration training for the Electronic Technician (ET) school and renovate the Torpedoman A (TM A) school. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Naval Training Center, Orlando, Florida, will close and the Radiac Calibration training facility will be relocated to this center.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NTC Orlando, the Radiac Calibration training facility and TM A school will relocate to this center. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of these functions.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate facilities will not be available to

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
RADIAC CALIBRATION TRAINING FACILITY	P-576T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

accommodate the relocating school. This center will not be able to support the closure of NTC Orlando.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(0)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(0)
(C)	TOTAL	0
(D)	CONTRACT	(0)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(0)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00210	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-578T	9,980

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	SF	56,200	142.00	7,980
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	980
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(100)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(300)
PAVING, SITE IMPROVEMENT, AND DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(580)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	8,960
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	450
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	9,410
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	570
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	9,980
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Multi-story reinforced concrete building, concrete foundation, steel frame and wall system architecturally compatible with adjacent building; concrete masonry unit walls in the laboratory, single ply roof system on concrete deck, heating, ventilating, and air conditioning, fire protection system, provisions for anti-intrusion system, mechanical and electrical exterior utilities, security interior vault, overhead mono-rail, site improvements, paving, and demolition.

11. REQUIREMENT: 56,200 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides a training facility.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly configured facilities to provide Torpedoman Mate "C" (TM "C") training school. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC Orlando will close and the TM "C" school will be relocated to this center.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NTC Orlando, the TM "C" school will relocate to this center. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional student personnel.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	P-578T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, training facilities will not be available for IM "C" training. This center will not be able to support the closure of NTC Orlando without these training facilities.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	02-95
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(538)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(360)
(C)	TOTAL	898
(D)	CONTRACT	(798)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(100)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 04-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00210	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	COLD STORAGE WAREHOUSE

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	431.10	P-580T	4,770

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
COLD STORAGE WAREHOUSE	SF	31,440	-	3,730
BUILDING - FREEZER	SF	22,320	124.00	(2,770)
LOADING DOCK	SF	2,000	39.00	(80)
CHILLER	SF	6,590	124.00	(820)
OFFICE AREA	SF	530	112.00	(60)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	550
UTILITIES, SITE IMPROVEMENT AND DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(550)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	4,280
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	210
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	4,490
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	280
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	4,770
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Cold storage building of permanent construction, freezer area, chilled area, staging area, charging area, office area, lab, toilet, changing area; wire-guided storage and retrieval system; demolition of one cold storage building.

11. REQUIREMENT: 31,440 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides a cold storage warehouse.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate cold storage space to support the personnel being transferred to Great Lakes from Naval Training Center (NTC) Orlando. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC Orlando will close and the Recruit Training Command and Service School Command will relocate to this center.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The existing cold storage warehouse is located in a galley which will be reactivated to accommodate the recruits being transferred to Great Lakes from NTC Orlando. When the galley is reactivated, this space will no longer be available for cold storage. No other facilities exist which can accommodate the relocation of the cold storage area.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, facilities will not be available for relocating

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
COLD STORAGE WAREHOUSE	P-580T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

cold storage space from the galley. The galley will not be available for reactivation as planned, and this center will not be able to support the closure of NTC Orlando.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(257)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(173)
(C)	TOTAL	430
(D)	CONTRACT	(382)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(48)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 12-94
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:N00210 NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	TRAINING BUILDING RENOVATIONS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-581T	3,270

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
TRAINING BUILDING RENOVATIONS	SF	27,360	92.00	2,520
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	410
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(250)
DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(160)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,930
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	150
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	3,080
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	190
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	3,270
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Interior demolition and renovation and exterior facade repairs; new windows and frames, replace the roof system, fire protection system, and utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 27,360 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Renovates building to provide space for seamen and instructor training.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate and properly-configured facilities to provide a training building for Jobs Oriented Basic Skills Training (JOBS), Instructor Training (IT), and Navy Leadership Training (NAVLEAD). Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC Orlando and NTC San Diego will close and training functions will be relocated to this center.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Upon closure of NTC Orlando and NTC San Diego, the JOBS/IT/NAVLEAD training will relocate to this center. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project, training facilities will not be available for JOBS/IT/NAVLEAD training. This station will not be able to support the

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
TRAINING BUILDING RENOVATIONS	P-581T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

closure of NTC Orlando and NTC San Diego.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(176)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(119)
(C)	TOTAL	295
(D)	CONTRACT	(262)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(33)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		04 FEB 94

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:N00210 NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS RENOVATION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	721.11	P-582T	10,420

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS RENOVATION.	SF	312,000	30.00	9,360
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	9,360
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	470
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	9,830
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	590
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	10,420
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Renovations to existing building to include new finishes, replacement of built-in equipment and toilet accessories, toilet and shower repairs, roof repairs, mechanical and electrical repairs, and energy conservation upgrade.

11. REQUIREMENT: 0 PN ADEQUATE: 0 PN SUBSTANDARD: 0 PN

PROJECT:

Renovates a bachelor enlisted quarters at the Service School Command.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate housing in which to accommodate bachelor enlisted students and staff being transferred to this center from Naval Training Center (NTC) Orlando. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC Orlando will close and the Recruit Training Command will relocate to this center.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Existing barracks have deficiencies making portions of them unsuitable for housing. Upon closure of NTC Orlando, the Recruit Training Command will relocate to this center. No facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, bachelor enlisted quarters will not be available

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		04 FEB 94

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS RENOVATION	P-582T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

for students and staff being relocated. This center will not be able to support the closure of Orlando because of a lack of adequate housing facilities.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(539)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(363)
(C)	TOTAL	902
(D)	CONTRACT	(802)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(100)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, /UIC:N00210 GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	FIREMAN TRAINING BUILDING REHABILITATION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.10	P-587T	140

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
FIREMAN TRAINING BUILDING REHABILITATION . . .	SF	9,450	13.00	120
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	120
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	10
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	130
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	10
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	140
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Rehabilitate existing lavatories including replacement of toilets and sinks, painting, and new plumbing including faucets and piping.

11. REQUIREMENT: 9,450 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Provides minor construction rehabilitation of an existing building.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate facility for the Fireman School at NTC Great Lakes to accomodate the increased student load migrating from NTC Orlando and NTC San Diego, because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Existing facility requires modifications to adequately accommodate the increased student loading.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project, training facilities will not be available for fireman training. This station will not be able to support the closure of NTC Orlando and NTC San Diego.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
FIREMAN TRAINING BUILDING REHABILITATION	P-587T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	08-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(8)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(4)
(C)	TOTAL	12
(D)	CONTRACT	(10)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(2)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 04-95
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00210	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	SEAMAN APPRENTICE SCHOOL

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-597T	3,400

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
SEAMAN APPRENTICE SCHOOL	SF	44,650	-	2,960
MODULAR INTERIORS	SF	44,200	56.00	(2,480)
SIGNALMAN OBSERVATION DECKS	SF	450	125.00	(60)
SHIP MUCK-UP	LS	-	-	(310)
SHIP MOCK-UP LIGHTING AND SEATING	LS	-	-	(100)
YARDARMS	LS	-	-	(10)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	100
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(100)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	3,060
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	150
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	3,210
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	190
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	3,400
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Modify existing building interior to provide training space, ship mock-up, observation decks, yardarms, and ranges in the mezzanines for signalman training.

11. REQUIREMENT: 44,650 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Provides a renovated training facility.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate and properly configured renovated training facility for Quartermaster (QM) "A", Signalman (SM) "A", and Seaman Apprentice (SA) schools. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC Orlando will close and QM, SM, and SA schools will be relocated to this center.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Upon closure of NTC Orlando, the QM, SM, and SA schools will relocate to this center. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional student personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project, training facilities will not be available for QM, SM, and SA training. This center will not be able to support the closure of NTC Orlando.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
SEAMAN APPRENTICE SCHOOL	P-597T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(184)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(122)
(C)	TOTAL	306
(D)	CONTRACT	(272)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(34)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 12-94
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00210	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	721.11	P-599T	36,710

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	SF	241,700	112.00	27,070
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	5,910
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(3,830)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(1,000)
DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(1,080)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	32,980
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	1,650
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	34,630
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	2,080
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	36,710
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Multi-story concrete masonry building, reinforced concrete slab floors and roof, pre-cast exterior wall panels, elevators, laundry rooms, recreation rooms, fire protection system, utilities, and parking. Grade mix: 200 E1-E4, 368 E5-E6, and 60 E7-E9. Total: 628.

11. REQUIREMENT: 628 PN ADEQUATE: 0 PN SUBSTANDARD: 0 PN

PROJECT:

Constructs a bachelor enlisted quarters.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured bachelor enlisted quarters to accommodate bachelor students and staff from various schools. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Naval Training Center, Orlando, Florida, will close and training schools will be relocated to this center.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NTC Orlando, various schools will relocate to this center. No facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional student personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, berthing facilities will not be available for bachelor students and staff. This station will not be able to support

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	P-599T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

the closure of NTC Orlando.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(2,200)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(1,100)
(C)	TOTAL	3,300
(D)	CONTRACT	(2,930)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(370)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00210	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	AIRMAN APPRENTICE TRAINING FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-605T	5,150

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
AIRMAN APPRENTICE TRAINING FACILITY	SF	33,000	-	4,040
BUILDING	SF	24,000	119.00	(2,860)
HIGH BAY LABORATORY	SF	7,500	134.00	(1,010)
AIRCRAFT APRON	SF	1,500	112.00	(170)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	590
UTILITIES, PAVING, SITE IMPRV, & DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(590)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	4,630
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	230
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	4,860
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	290
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	5,150
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Multi-story building, concrete foundation, concrete masonry unit exterior and metal panel interior walls, single-ply roof with daylighting; heating, ventilation, and air conditioning, fire protection system, utilities, demolition, and site work; aircraft parking apron.

11. REQUIREMENT: 33,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Provides a training building for Airman Apprentices.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate and properly configured facilities to provide training for Airman Apprentices. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC Orlando will close and the Airman Apprentice School will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Upon closure of NTC Orlando, the Airman Apprentice School will relocate to this activity. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional students.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project, training facilities will not be available for Airman Apprentice training. This station will not be able to support the closure of NTC Orlando.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:
(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED 10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994 25

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00210

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
AIRMAN APPRENTICE TRAINING FACILITY	P-605T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (CONTINUED)

(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE 02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE 02-95

(2) BASIS:
(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES___NO_X_
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS . . . . . (278)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS . . . . . (186)
(C) TOTAL. 464
(D) CONTRACT . . . . . (412)
(E) IN-HOUSE . . . . . (52)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 04-95
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:
NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00129	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE, NEW LONDON, CONNECTICUT	TRAINING BUILDING RENOVATIONS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204896N	171.20	P-449T	2,400

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
TRAINING BUILDING RENOVATIONS.	SF	92,950	23.00	2,140
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,140
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	110
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	2,250
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	150
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	2,400
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Renovate three buildings for instructional and administrative spaces to include repairs to walls and floors, roof repairs and painting; new offices will be constructed to match the existing architecture while modernizing lighting and heating, ventilating and air-conditioning systems.

11. REQUIREMENT: 92,950 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Renovates a training building.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate and properly-configured facilities to accommodate the Officer's Navy Nuclear Power Training. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC Orlando will close and the Officer's Navy Nuclear Power School will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Upon closure of NTC Orlando, the Officer's Navy Nuclear Power School will relocate to this activity. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional students.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project, training facilities will not be available for

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE, NEW LONDON, CONNECTICUT

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
TRAINING BUILDING RENOVATIONS	P-449T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

Officer's Navy Nuclear Power Training. This station will not be able to support the closure of NTC Orlando.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(129)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(87)
(C)	TOTAL	216
(D)	CONTRACT	(192)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(24)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 12-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00129	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE, NEW LONDON, CONNECTICUT	TRAINING BUILDING REHAB

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204896N	171.20	P-451T	1,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
TRAINING BUILDING REHABILITATION	SF	74,170	12.00	890
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	10
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	LS	-	-	(10)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	900
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	50
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	950
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	50
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	1,000
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Building renovations including fire protection system, electrical and mechanical utility systems, interi · painting, remodeling classrooms, and construction of female toilet facilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 74,170 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Provides a rehabilitated training building.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate and properly configured rehabilitated training building for enlisted persons Navy Nuclear Power Training. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC Orlando will close and Navy Nuclear Power School will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Upon closure of NTC Orlando, the Navy Nuclear Power School will relocate to this activity. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional students.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project, training facilities will not be available for enlisted persons attending Navy Nuclear Power Training. This station will not be able to support t'e closure of NTC Orlando.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE, NEW LONDON, CONNECTICUT

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
TRAINING BUILDING REHAB	P-451T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(54)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(36)
(C)	TOTAL	90
(D)	CONTRACT	(80)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(10)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 12-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NADEP PENSACOLA, FL

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	19511	0	0	0	0	0	19511
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[129]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[129]
Studies	129	0	0	0	0	0	129
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	17450	89157	7546	25	0	0	114178
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	37090	89157	7546	25	0	0	133818
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	-1453	0	0	-1453
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	-48129	-49284	-50467	-51678	-199558
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-24794	-25389	-25998	-26622	-102803
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[348]	[-818]	[-1136]	[-1136]	[-1136]	[-1136]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-36]	[-36]	[-36]	[-36]	[-36]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	-72923	-76126	-76465	-78300	-303814

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	19511	0	0	-1453	0	0	18058
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	129	0	0	0	0	0	129
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	17450	89157	-40583	-49259	-50467	-51678	-85380
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-24794	-25389	-25998	-26622	-102803
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[348]	[-818]	[-1136]	[-1136]	[-1136]	[-1136]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-36]	[-36]	[-36]	[-36]	[-36]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	37090	89157	-65377	-76101	-76465	-78300	-169996

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL AVIATION DEPOT, PENSACOLA FLORIDA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Naval Aviation Depot (NADEP), Pensacola will close and its workload will be relocated to other depot maintenance activities at Cherry Point, NC; Jacksonville, FL; and San Diego (North Island), CA and private industries. Closure of NADEP Pensacola will reduce excess capacity required to support the Department of Defense Force Structure Plan and maintain or increase the average military value of the remaining aviation depots.

Closure of NADEP Pensacola requires relocation of workload throughout the naval, inter-service, and private/commercial aviation depot maintenance communities. A transition plan, based on the most current workload, was formulated to preserve the commercial defense industrial base while ensuring the Navy maintains the core competencies required to support mission essential requirements and Fleet readiness. Aircraft and engine workload will be transitioned to NADEP Cherry Point and interservice activities. Missile workload will be transitioned to other inter-service activities and component, manufacturing and other support workload will be transitioned to the remaining naval aviation depots and the private sector. There will be near term disruptions in workload and inefficiencies associated with geographical relocation and personnel retraining and learning curves consistent with the closure and workload transition of an industrial complex. Operational closure date of FY 1995 is planned.

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: Costs include MILCON costs for 36,000 square feet of administration building space for Product Support Detachment/Cognizant Field Activity and associated transitioned logistical workload. Costs also include space for aircraft accessories shop and an addition and alterations to an existing hangar for final finishing.

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount $(000)
P-962T CHERRY POINT, ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE BUILDING	1994	8,300
P-965T CHERRY POINT, HANGAR ADDITION AND ALTERATIONS	1994	8,320
P-966T CHERRY POINT, AIRCRAFT ACCESSORIES SHOPS ADDITIONS	1994	2,891
SUBTOTAL FY 1994		19,511
TOTAL		19,511

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets to NADEP Jacksonville will require an Environmental Assessment (EA) that addresses the cumulative impacts of receiving assets from NADEP Pensacola, NADEP Norfolk, and NADEP Alameda; issues to be addressed include impacts to wetlands, endangered species, surface hydrology, increased air and water emissions, increased utility demands, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction. Funding for NEPA documentation for the relocation of assets from NADEP Pensacola to NADEP Cherry Point and NADEP North Island have been included in the budget submittal for the closure of NADEP Norfolk and NADEP Alameda, respectively.

Compliance: There are no BRAC compliance costs since no property will be disposed.

Installation Restoration (IR): There are no BRAC IR costs since no property will be disposed.

Operations and Maintenance: Costs represent the aggregate costs of closing NADEP Pensacola and transitioning workload to NADEPs Cherry Point, Jacksonville, and North Island. Included are the costs for the relocation of plant property, tools and inventories; reduction in force costs; workload disruption costs; and shutdown costs. Costs also include repair and minor construction costs for projects to prepare hangar spaces to accept new transitioned aircraft and dynamic component workload and to accommodate additional equipment and processes.

BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel - PCS: No requirement.

Other: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: No requirement.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: Savings resulting from the cancellation of budgeted construction projects no longer required.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Savings relected represent the aggregate savings of closing NADEP Pensacola and transitioning workload to NADEPs Cherry Point, Jacksonville, and North Island. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

Other: Customer savings associated with the closure of depot facilities which had excess property.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N65923	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AVIATION DEPOT, CHERRY POINT, NORTH CAROLINA	ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE BUILDING

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0702096N	610.10	P-962T	8,300

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE BUILDING	SF	94,350	-	6,090
BUILDING	SF	36,000	78.00	(2,810)
WAREHOUSE BUILDINGS	SF	58,350	51.00	(2,980)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(300)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	1,370
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(140)
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(580)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(450)
DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(200)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	7,460
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	370
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	7,830
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	470
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	8,300
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Administrative building: two-story steel frame building, pile foundation, concrete floors, masonry walls with brick facing, built-up roof, computer rooms, provisions for local area network system, engineering laboratories, special environmental control system, tempest shielding, provisions to support intrusion detection system, air conditioning, fire protection system, utilities. Warehouse buildings: three one-story steel frame buildings, pile foundations, concrete floors, metal siding, built-up roofs, air conditioning, fire protection systems, utilities, and demolition of one building.

11. REQUIREMENT: 94,350 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Constructs administrative office and warehouse buildings.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate and properly-configured facilities to house approximately 240 support engineers relocating from the Naval Aviation Depot, Pensacola, Florida to this depot. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NADEP Pensacola will close and personnel involved with engineering support for multiple aircraft and systems will relocate to this depot.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Upon closure of Pensacola, personnel and related functions will be relocated to this depot. This depot does not have adequate space to meet this requirement. MCAS Cherry Point does not have vacant administrative space nor is leased space available in the area. In addition, existing warehouse space needs to be demolished and replaced.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project, adequate facilities will not be available to house the relocating personnel. This depot will be unable to support the closure of Pensacola.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N65923

NAVAL AVIATION DEPOT, CHERRY POINT, NORTH CAROLINA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE BUILDING	P-962T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1993	0
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	06-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES ___ NO X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

	($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(447)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(300)
(C) TOTAL	747
(D) CONTRACT	(664)
(E) IN-HOUSE	(83)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 08-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N65923	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AVIATION DEPOT, CHERRY POINT, NORTH CAROLINA	HANGAR ADDITION AND ALTERNATIONS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0702096N	211.12	P-965T	8,320

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
HANGAR ADDITION AND ALTERATIONS	SF	46,830	-	7,160
BUILDING ADDITION	SF	12,280	218.00	(2,680)
BUILDING ALTERATIONS	SF	34,550	125.00	(4,320)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(160)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	320
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(200)
UTILITIES, PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(120)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	7,480
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	370
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	7,850
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	470
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	8,320
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

One-story steel frame building addition, pile foundation, concrete floor, masonry and galvanized steel walls, galvanized steel roof, fire protection system and utilities; alterations include relocating door pockets, widening door opening to 150 feet, removing and replacing existing make-up air system, installing retractable partition, fire protection system, vertical flow, roof-mounted exhaust air filtration system with heated make-up air, and utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 46,830 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: (34,550) SF

PROJECT:
Provides an addition and alterations to an existing hangar.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate and properly-configured facilities to accommodate the increased requirement for aircraft repair. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, depot functions are to be streamlined and consolidated.

CURRENT SITUATION:
As a result of base closure recommendations, this depot is to receive rotary wing aircraft from other depots for painting. The existing hangar was not constructed to process more than two of the larger aircraft at one time. Overspray problems will be generated with the facility's low airflow rate, if increased production is attempted without the addition and alterations.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project, adequate facilities will not be able to accommodate the increased capacity. This activity will not be able to support the recommendations of the Base Closure and Realignment Commission.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N65923

NAVAL AVIATION DEPOT, CHERRY POINT, NORTH CAROLINA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
HANGAR ADDITION AND ALTERNATIONS	P-965T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1993	0
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	06-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___ NO X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

	($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(448)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(301)
(C) TOTAL	749
(D) CONTRACT	(666)
(E) IN-HOUSE	(83)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 08-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N65923	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AVIATION DEPOT, CHERRY POINT, NORTH CAROLINA	AIRCRAFT ACCESSORIES SHOPS ADDITIONS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0702096N	211.31	P-966T	2,891

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
AIRCRAFT ACCESSORIES SHOPS ADDITIONS	SF	28,200	-	1,880
WAREHOUSE BUILDING ADDITION	SF	16,000	60.00	(960)
ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING ADDITION	SF	7,200	93.00	(670)
HANGAR BUILDING ADDITION	SF	5,000	50.00	(250)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	720
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(150)
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(380)
PAVING, SITE IMPROVEMENT, AND DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(190)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,600
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	130
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	2,730
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	161
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	2,891
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Two-story steel-frame administrative building addition, pile foundation, concrete floors, masonry walls with brick facing, built-up roof, fire protection system, air conditioning, and utilities. One-story steel frame high-bay warehouse building addition, pile foundation, concrete floor, metal siding and roof, fire protection system, air conditioning, and utilities. One story steel-frame hangar building addition, concrete foundation and floor, metal siding and roof, fire protection system, utilities, and demolition of one building.

11. REQUIREMENT: 28,200 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Constructs additions to three buildings to accommodate relocated aircraft accessories shops and provide warehouse space.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate and properly-configured facilities to house the aircraft repair functions being relocated to this depot from other depots. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, depot functions are to be consolidated at this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:
This activity does not have adequate space to accept the aircraft workload being transitioned from other Navy depots.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project, Cherry Point will not be able to accommodate the additional transitioned workload and the recommendations of the Base Closure and Realignment Commission cannot be supported.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N65923

NAVAL AVIATION DEPOT, CHERRY POINT, NORTH CAROLINA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
AIRCRAFT ACCESSORIES SHOPS ADDITIONS	P-966T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1993	0
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	06-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO_X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

	($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(156)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(104)
(C) TOTAL	260
(D) CONTRACT	(231)
(E) IN-HOUSE	(29)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 08-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: FISC PENSACOLA, FL

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	234	676	4226	1337	16	0	6489
Military Personnel - PCS	0	22	0	0	0	0	22
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	234	698	4226	1337	16	0	6511
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	-569	0	0	0	-569
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	541	1173	-2468	-1786	-1394	-1335	-5269
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-4050	-4000	-3950	-3950	-15950
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-10]	[-31]	[-67]	[-85]	[-85]	[-85]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-17]	[-17]	[-17]	[-17]	[-17]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	541	1173	-7087	-5786	-5344	-5285	-21788

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	0	-569	0	0	0	-569
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	775	1849	1758	-449	-1378	-1335	1220
Military Personnel	0	22	0	0	0	0	22
Other	0	0	-4050	-4000	-3950	-3950	-15950
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-10]	[-31]	[-67]	[-85]	[-85]	[-85]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-17]	[-17]	[-17]	[-17]	[-17]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	775	1871	-2861	-4449	-5328	-5285	-15277

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

FLEET AND INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Close the Fleet and Industrial Supply Center (FISC) in Pensacola, FL. FISC Pensacola supports Fleet units in the Gulf of Mexico homeport sites at Ingleside, TX, Mobile, AL, and Pascagoula, MS; numerous shore facilities within the Pensacola geographic area, and the industrial support of the Naval Aviation Depot, Pensacola, Florida. In addition, FISC Pensacola is host for the Defense Distribution Depot, Pensacola, which will also close. No property will be excessed. Projected operational closure date of FY 1996 is planned.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Environmental:

Studies: There are no studies costs identified at this time. Funding for National Environmental Policy Act documentation for the relocation of assets from FISC Pensacola to NAS Pensacola has been included in budget submittals for the closure of NAS Meridian.

Compliance: There are no compliance costs since no property will be disposed.

Installation Restoration (IR): There are no IR costs since no property will be disposed of.

Operations and Maintenance: FISC Pensacola is a tenant of NAS Pensacola. There will be no BRAC caretaker costs. The majority of one-time costs arise from a reduction in force. The bulk of the remaining costs involve packaging and preservation and crating of materials as well as expansion of personal property facilities. Program management costs are required for BRAC related support through FY 1998.

BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Navy will screen the property with other federal, state, and local agencies and the public according to the normal federal disposal process. This may result in transfer to another federal agency, a homeless provider,

sale to a state or local government either at fair market value or discounted under a variety of statutory programs. If property survives the screening process, the property will ultimately be disposed of by public sale.

Military Personnel - PCS: Military Personnel costs were budgeted for PCS moves that will occur outside of normal rotations because of closure.

Other: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: The proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Operational savings accrue from the elimination of positions because of BRAC. Positions that were eliminated because of previously existing budget constraints were not included in the savings calculation. Positions transferred to other activities were also excluded from the savings calculation.

Non-labor savings were based on the reduced workforce variable cost savings, and the estimated fixed cost requirement for the remaining organization.

Military Personnel: None.

Other: Customer savings associated with the closure of a DBOF facility.

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NCEL PORT HUENEME, CA

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	10600	10000	0	0	0	0	20600
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[950]	[678]	[505]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[2133]
Studies	118	129	478	0	0	0	725
Compliance	822	306	0	0	0	0	1128
Restoration	10	243	27	0	0	0	280
Operation & Maintenance	821	2368	566	372	0	0	4127
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	12371	13046	1071	372	0	0	26860
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	-1614	-1643	-1680	-1717	-6654
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-2421	-2464	-2520	-2576	-9981
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-49]	[-64]	[-64]	[-64]	[-64]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[-1]	[-1]	[-10]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	-4035	-4107	-4200	-4293	-16635

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	10600	10000	0	0	0	0	20600
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	118	129	478	0	0	0	725
Compliance	822	306	0	0	0	0	1128
Restoration	10	243	27	0	0	0	280
Operation & Maintenance	821	2368	-1048	-1271	-1680	-1717	-2527
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-2421	-2464	-2520	-2576	-9981
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-49]	[-64]	[-64]	[-64]	[-64]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[-1]	[-1]	[-10]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	12371	13046	-2964	-3735	-4200	-4293	10225

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL CIVIL ENGINEERING LABORATORY, PORT HUENEME, CALIFORNIA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

The Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory (NCEL) is to be closed by the end of FY 1995. All necessary functions, personnel, equipment, and support will be transferred and realigned with Underwater Construction Team TWO and the Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center (NFESC), located in Naval Construction Battalion Center (NCBC), Port Hueneme, California.

Facilities at NCEL will remain in use until completion of new facilities and relocation of test equipment at the new site. Security and other caretaker requirements will begin when NCEL relocates, and end upon property disposal.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: The following project is required:

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-012T Port Hueneme Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center (Phase (I))	1994	10,600
SUBTOTAL FY 1994		10,600
P-013T Port Hueneme Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center (Phase (II))	1995	10,000
SUBTOTAL FY 1995		10,000
TOTAL		20,600

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets to NCBC Port Hueneme will require an Environmental Assessment (EA) that addresses the impacts of receiving assets from NCEL Port Hueneme. Issues to be addressed include impacts to wetlands, surface hydrology, increased air and water emissions, increased utility demands, traffic impacts, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction.

NEPA documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EIS will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at NCEL Port Hueneme with subsequent reuse. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse as an industrial park may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination. Prior to actual disposal of NCEL property, it is likely that the Navy will allow interim use of the property under lease agreements beginning in FY 1995. An EA will be required to document the potential impacts of such interim use. Issues to be addressed in the EA include changes in land use and increased air and water emissions.

Compliance: Compliance costs identified are to address underground storage tanks (USTs), asbestos, PCBs, and lead paint, and include surveys/assessments as well as remediation action. An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA) for transfer/lease and for a close-out survey.

Installation Restoration (IR): Three IR sites have been identified and are undergoing characterization and cleanup in compliance with applicable regulations. Other potential sites are being investigated for contamination from hazardous or toxic substances.

Operations and Maintenance: Costs identified cover the following: temporary modular facilities for functions displaced by new construction; movement of equipment to the Underwater Construction Team TWO and the Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center; severance pay anticipated for the reduction of civilian personnel from the Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory; initial outfitting required for the new facility; and caretaker costs until property disposal.

Real estate expenses included in these estimates are not normal Navy expenses. The General Services Administration (GSA) is normally the disposal agent for Navy's land and improvements. However, the Base Closure legislation directs the Administrator of GSA to delegate disposal authority to DOD, including the requirement to pay for all disposal costs. These expenses cover federal screening, land transfers to other federal agencies, public discount transfers and any public sale of real estate. Expenses to be incurred at NCEL Port Hueneme are for site inspections, appraisals, title work, surveys, signs, news releases, marketing, community liaison, printing and advertising, audio-visual aids, photographic video, site presentation, office rental, auction site rental, auction fees, and closing costs. The property will be screened with other Federal, state and local agencies and the public according to the normal federal disposal process. This may result in transfer to another federal agency, a homeless provider, sale to a state or local government either at fair market value or discounted under a variety of statutory programs. If property survives the screening process, then the property will ultimately be disposed of by public sale.

Military Personnel - PCS: No requirement.

Other: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Operations and maintenance costs of the new facilities are expected to be lower than those at the current site.

Military Personnel: Savings are a result of the reduction in military billets.

Civilian Personnel: Savings from the elimination of civilian personnel positions.

Other: Customer savings as a result of the closure of a DBOF activity.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:N62583 NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER, PORT HUENEME, CALIFORNIA	NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING SERVICE CENTER (PHASE I)

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0702896N	310.23	P-012I	10,600

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING SERVICE CENTER. .	SF	188,920	-	16,110
COMBINED RESEARCH LABORATORIES	SF	30,270	131.00	(3,970)
GENERAL PURPOSE LABORATORIES/STORAGE AREA. .	SF	58,270	49.00	(2,860)
ADMINISTRATION BUILDING.	SF	95,950	90.00	(8,640)
HAZARDOUS/FLAMMABLE MATERIALS STORAGE AREA .	SF	4,430	124.00	(550)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS.	LS	-	-	(90)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	2,390
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES.	LS	-	-	(100)
UTILITIES.	LS	-	-	(420)
PAVING, SITE IMPROVEMENT, AND DEMOLITION . .	LS	-	-	(1,870)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	18,500
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	930
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	19,430
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	1,170
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	20,600
LESS: PHASE II (FY95 P-013T) FUNDING	-	-	-	+ 10,000
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	10,600
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Multi-story buildings, pile and grade beam foundations, concrete floors, masonry exterior walls, standing seam roof; elevator, fire protection system, air conditioning; utilities; holding tanks; hyperbarics; railroad relocation; technical operating manuals; parking and demolition of two buildings.

11. REQUIREMENT: 188,920 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Constructs a technical laboratory and storage facilities to serve as the Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center (NFESC).

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to house 579 research and technical support personnel involved in the consolidation of the Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory (NCEL) and the Naval Energy and Environmental Support Activity into the NFESC. The NFESC will provide specialized engineering research and services to tri-service commands and activities worldwide. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the NCEL will close and personnel will be relocated to this center.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NCEL, research and technical support personnel will

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER, PORT HUENEME, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING SERVICE CENTER (PHASE I)	P-012T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)
relocate to this center. No facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project, engineering research and service support facilities will not be available for the establishment of the NFESC. This activity will not be able to support the closure of NCEL because of a lack of adequate engineering research and support facilities.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	06-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1993	0
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	12-93
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	06-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(1,110)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(744)
(C)	TOTAL	1,854
(D)	CONTRACT	(1,648)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(206)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 08-94
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:N62583 NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER, PORT HUENEME, CALIFORNIA	NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING SERVICE CENTER (PHASE II)

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0702896N	310.23	P-013T	10,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING SERVICE CENTER. .	SF	188,920	-	16,110
COMBINED RESEARCH LABORATORIES	SF	30,270	131.00	(3,970)
GENERAL PURPOSE LABORATORIES/STORAGE AREA. .	SF	58,270	49.00	(2,860)
ADMINISTRATION BUILDING.	SF	95,950	90.00	(8,640)
HAZARDOUS/FLAMMABLE MATERIALS STORAGE AREA .	SF	4,430	124.00	(550)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS.	LS	-	-	(90)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	2,390
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES.	LS	-	-	(100)
UTILITIES.	LS	-	-	(420)
PAVING, SITE IMPROVEMENT, AND DEMOLITION . .	LS	-	-	(1,870)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	18,500
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	930
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	19,430
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	1,170
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	20,600
LESS: PHASE I (FY94 P-012T) FUNDING.	-	-	-	- 10,600
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	10,000
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Multi-story buildings, pile and grade beam foundations, concrete floors, masonry exterior walls, standing seam roof; elevator, fire protection system, air conditioning; utilities; holding tanks; hyperbarics; railroad relocation; technical operating manuals; parking and demolition of two buildings.

11. REQUIREMENT: 169,920 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Constructs a technical laboratory and storage facilities to serve as the Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center (NFESC).

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to house 579 research and technical support personnel involved in the consolidation of the Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory (NCEL) and the Naval Energy and Environmental Support Activity into the NFESC. The NFESC will provide specialized engineering research and services to tri-service commands and activities worldwide. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the NCEL will close and personnel will be relocated to this center.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NCEL, research and technical support personnel will

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER, PORT HUENEME, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING SERVICE CENTER (PHASE II)	P-013T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)

relocate to this center. No facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, engineering research and service support facilities will not be available for the establishment of the NFESC. This activity will not be able to support the closure of NCEL because of a lack of adequate engineering research and support facilities.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	06-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	0
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	12-93
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	06-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(1,110)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(744)
(C)	TOTAL	1,854
(D)	CONTRACT	(1,648)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(206)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 12-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: SUBMEPP PORTSMOUTH, NH

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	1700	0	0	0	0	1700
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	128	270	442	449	666	1206	3161
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	32	0	0	0	32
TOTAL COSTS	128	1970	474	449	666	1206	4893
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-354	-2502	-3992	-4606	-5103	-5630	-22187
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-439	-1170	-1593	-2678	-5880
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-12]	[-16]	[-23]	[-39]	[-48]	[-68]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-354	-2502	-4431	-5776	-6696	-8308	-28067

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	1700	0	0	0	0	1700
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-226	-2232	-3550	-4157	-4437	-4424	-19026
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-407	-1170	-1593	-2678	-5848
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-12]	[-16]	[-23]	[-39]	[-48]	[-68]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-226	-532	-3957	-5327	-6030	-7102	-23174

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

SUBMARINE MAINTENANCE, ENGINEERING, PLANNING, AND PROCUREMENT, PORTSMOUTH, NEW HAMPSHIRE

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Submarine Maintenance, Engineering, Planning, and Procurement (SUBMEPP), presently in leased space in Portsmouth, will be disestablished and realigned as a tenant in government owned space in the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard in FY 1996.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction:

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-256T Kittery, ME - Admin Building Modifications	1995	1,700
SUBTOTAL FY 1995:		1,700
TOTAL		1,700

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance: Includes one-time costs such as civilian relocation, moving equipment and materials, and severance pay.

Military Personnel: None.

Other: None.

Land Sales Revenue: None.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Includes elimination of lease cost and reduced civilian end-strength to ensure balance between capacity and future force and resource levels.

Military Personnel: None.

Other: Savings in budgeted SCN costs for G&A overhead.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00102	4. PROJECT TITLE
PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD, KITTERY, MAINE	ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING MODIFICATIONS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0702228N	610.10	P-256T	1,700

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING MODIFICATIONS.	LS	-	-	1,530
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	1,530
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	80
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	1,610
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	90
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	1,700
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Interior alterations to portions of two buildings to include office space, computer center, storage, print shop, technical library, mail room and security/film vaults.

11. REQUIREMENT: AS REQUIRED

PROJECT:

Provides space for Submarine Maintenance Engineering, Planning and Procurement (SUBMEPP) relocation to the Shipyard.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly configured office space, computer center, and engineering management space for the SUBMEPP organization are required. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the SUBMEPP will be relocated to Portsmouth Naval Shipyard. This consolidation and realignment will reduce costs for the Navy by the elimination of off-base leased space. SUBMEPP is a vital activity providing technical and work control documents for submarine engineering, planning and procurement.

CURRENT SITUATION:

SUBMEPP currently leases 43,000 square feet of privately owned space in Portsmouth, NH. This space is adequate and all on one floor. It is planned to have SUBMEPP occupy the total second and third floors and basement storage area of the Shipyard's engineering management building requiring the print shop, technical library, mail room, and security/film vaults to be relocated elsewhere. These relocations are also included in this project.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

The actions called for by the 1993 Base Realignment and Closure Commission cannot be implemented, and the off-base lease costs will continue.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00102

PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD, KITTERY, MAINE

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING MODIFICATIONS	P-256T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	04-95

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___ NO X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

	($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(92)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(61)
(C) TOTAL	153
(D) CONTRACT	(136)
(E) IN-HOUSE	(17)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 06-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: WESTERN DIVISION, NAVFAC, SAN BRUNO, CA

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	-541	-1548	-2089
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[-18]	[-24]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	-541	-1548	-2089

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	-541	-1548	-2089
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[-18]	[-24]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	0	0	0	-541	-1548	-2089

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

WESTERN DIVISION, NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, SAN BRUNO, CALIFORNIA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Western Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, San Bruno, California, will be realigned from its current status as a direct reporting engineering field division (EFD) to a BRAC engineering field activity (EFA). As EFA West, they will retain necessary facilities, personnel and equipment to support the regional realignments and closures in the Bay area. In addition to the savings already generated as a result of the restructuring, there will be a further reduction of 18 civilian personnel in FY 1998 and 24 civilian personnel in FY 1999 under this realignment.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: No requirement.

Compliance: No requirement.

Installation Restoration (IR): No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance: No requirement.

Military Personnel - PCS: No requirement.

Other: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: None.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: None.

Other: None.

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NTC SAN DIEGO, CA

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	25150	16603	3580	0	0	45333
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	13580	0	0	0	13580
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[4403]	[4049]	[2205]	[5106]	[3634]	[437]	[19834]
Studies	219	648	0	0	0	0	867
Compliance	436	937	644	0	0	0	2017
Restoration	3748	2464	1561	5106	3634	437	16950
Operation & Maintenance	2727	4663	4407	4471	6015	3783	26066
Military Personnel - PCS	2118	1681	135	157	0	0	4091
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	9248	35543	36930	13314	9649	4220	108904
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	-700	0	-7863	-1115	-12451	0	-22129
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	355	363	718
Operation & Maintenance	-4684	-8011	-10868	-15518	-23134	-23658	-85873
Military Personnel	0	-24081	-4663	-8706	-13876	-14807	-66133
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-41]	[-41]	[-182]	[-182]	[-182]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-147]	[-112]	[-370]	[-402]	[-407]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-5384	-32092	-23394	-25339	-49106	-38102	-173417

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	-700	25150	8740	2465	-12451	0	23204
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	13580	0	0	0	13580
Operations	0	0	0	0	355	363	718
Environmental							
Studies	219	648	0	0	0	0	867
Compliance	436	937	644	0	0	0	2017
Restoration	3748	2464	1561	5106	3634	437	16950
Operation & Maintenance	-1957	-3348	-6461	-11047	-17119	-19875	-59807
Military Personnel	2118	-22400	-4528	-8549	-13876	-14807	-62042
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-41]	[-41]	[-182]	[-182]	[-182]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-147]	[-112]	[-370]	[-402]	[-407]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	3864	3451	13536	-12025	-39457	-33882	-64513

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Close the Naval Training Center (NTC), San Diego and relocate certain personnel, equipment and support to NTC Great Lakes, and other locations, consistent with training requirements. Disposition of major tenants is as follows: Recruit Training Command relocates to NTC, Great Lakes; Branch Medical Clinic relocates to Submarine Base, San Diego; Naval Recruiting District relocates to Naval Air Station (NAS) North Island; Service School Command (Electronic Warfare) relocates to Naval Training Center, Great Lakes; Service School Command (Surface) relocates to NTC Great Lakes; the remainder of the Service School Command relocates to NTC Great Lakes, Naval Air Station Pensacola, and Fleet Training Center (FTC), San Diego. Projected closure date of FY 1997 is planned.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: The following construction projects are required to implement closure of NTC San Diego and the relocation to other activities:

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-595T Great Lakes - Machinery Repairman Training Facility	1995	7,900
P-596T Great Lakes - Patternmaker and Molders Training Facility	1995	3,500
P-598T Great Lakes - Building Renovation	1995	5,350
P-593T Great Lakes - Data Processing Training Building Upgrade	1995	950
P-671T Pensacola - Mess Specialist "A" School	1995	2,900
P-674T Pensacola - BEQ Renovation	1995	4,550
SUBTOTAL FY 1995		25,150
P-389T Miramar - Naval Exchange Laundry/Dry Cleaning	1996	1,800
P-390T San Diego - ADP Facility	1996	1,000
P-023T San Diego - Construct Training Facility	1996	8,403
P-382T San Diego - Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement	1996	1,800
P-175T San Diego - Public Works Center NRAD	1996	2,920
P-384T San Diego - Applied Instruction Facility	1996	680
SUBTOTAL FY 1996		16,603

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-386T San Diego - COSBAL/Supply Facility	1997	180
P-387T San Diego - Gymnasium	1997	3,400
SUBTOTAL FY 1997		3,580
TOTAL		45,333

Family Housing Construction: The following project is required to provide housing for junior enlisted (E1-E6) families migrating to Great Lakes.

Location	FY	($000)
Great Lakes	1996	13,580

Family Housing Operations: None.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets to NAVSTA San Diego, SUBASE San Diego, MCRD San Diego, and FTC San Diego can likely be categorically excluded from further NEPA documentation. A small cost is associated with this planning effort. Funding for NEPA documentation for the relocation of assets from NTC San Diego to Great Lakes and NAS Pensacola has been included in budget submittals for the closure of NTC Orlando and NAS Memphis, respectively.

NEPA documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EIS will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at NTC San Diego with subsequent reuse. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse as an industrial park may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination. In addition, there will be significant endangered species (California Least Tern) survey work with appropriate mitigation to be completed prior to disposal in order to satisfy the requirements of the Endangered Species Act and Migratory Bird Treaty Act. This will include coordination and preparation of consultation agreements with the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the California Department of Fish and Game, regarding the disposition of these resources on the NTC property. Prior to actual disposal of NTC property, it is likely that the Navy will allow interim use of the property under lease agreements beginning in FY 1994. An EA will be required to document the potential impacts of such interim use. Issues to be addressed in the EA include changes in land use and increased air and water emissions.

Compliance: No PCBs have been identified. Testing and removal actions of Underground Storage Tanks will continue through FY 1995. Asbestos assessments are scheduled for FY 1994. Asbestos removals are scheduled into FY 1995. Closure actions on USTs and Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Units

(TSDs) will continue through FY 1996. An environmental baseline survey will be performed to identify clean parcels, to comply with CERFA, and data gaps in existing pollution characterization to guide further testing and analysis.

Installation Restoration (IR): Four IR sites have been identified with three scheduled for Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study in FY 1994 and one scheduled for Preliminary Assessment/Site Investigation in FY 1994. Cleanup of the refuse disposal area and the firefighter trainer site are expected to result in costly remediation actions in FY 1997 and 1998 respectively. Cleanup of the NEX Gas Station will require cleanup actions through FY 1999. Includes state reimbursement costs for health assessments attributable to this base. These Congressionally mandated requirements include reimbursement for State oversight of clean up and the cost of health assessments by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

Operations and Maintenance: Costs were identified for personnel relocations, separations, severance, freight cost for moving equipment and material, facility modifications at receiving sites, collateral equipment and securing facilities. The basic concept of caretaker operations is to perform facilities management, routine caretaker maintenance and repairs, and operation of key utilities by contract. A Caretaker Site Office (CSO) will be established which will be tasked with administering the caretaker contract, environmental clean-up/compliance monitoring, public affairs, and property records management. Security services will be provided by government employees in accordance with existing legislation. Planning expenses are required to prepare special planning studies. Much of the scope of previous planning efforts was rendered obsolete by the additional loading at the gaining locations. Special planning studies are required to determine basic facilities requirements, special project validations, siting, space utilization, and relocation plans.

Real estate expenses included in these estimates are not normal Navy expenses. The General Services Administration (GSA) is normally the disposal agent for Navy's land and improvements. However, the Base Closure legislation directs the Administrator of GSA to delegate his disposal authority to DOD, including the requirement to pay for all disposal costs. These expenses cover federal screening, land transfers to other federal agencies, public discount transfers, and any public sale of real estate.

Military Personnel - PCS: PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and for operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: Prior programmed projects cancelled.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Reflects closure of schools to NTC San Diego and realignment of continuing classroom and other requirements to other activites in the San Diego area and NTC Great Lakes. Redundant support activities will disestablish and excess personnel will be separated. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

Other: None.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00210	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	MACHINERY REPAIRMAN TRAINING FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-595T	7,900

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
MACHINERY REPAIRMAN TRAINING FACILITY.	SF	50,090	-	5,930
BUILDING	SF	49,190	119.00	(5,850)
HAZARDOUS/FLAMMABLE STORAGE AREA	SF	200	118.00	(20)
OXYGEN/ACETYLENE STORAGE AREA.	SF	700	90.00	(60)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	1,170
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT. . .	LS	-	-	(880)
DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(290)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	7,100
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	360
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	7,460
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	440
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	7,900
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Multi-story building, concrete foundation, concrete masonry exterior and metal panel interior walls, single-ply roof with daylighting, air conditioning, fire protection system, utilities, and demolition.

11. REQUIREMENT: 50,090 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Constructs an applied instruction building.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to provide basic and advanced Machinery Repairman (MR A&C) School. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC San Diego will close and the MR A&C School will be relocated to this center.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NTC San Diego, the MR A&C School will relocate to this center. No facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional student personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, training facilities will not be available for the MR A&C School. This center will not be able to support the closure of NTC San Diego.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
MACHINERY REPAIRMAN TRAINING FACILITY	P-595T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED 10-93

(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994 30

(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE 02-94

(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE 10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES__ NO X

(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS . . . . . (426)

(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS . . . . . (285)

(C) TOTAL 711

(D) CONTRACT . . . . . (632)

(E) IN-HOUSE . . . . . (79)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START 02-95

(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00210	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	PATTERNMAKER AND MOLDERS TRAINING FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-596T	3,500

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
PATTERNMAKER AND MOLDERS TRAINING FACILITY	SF	20,070	-	2,500
BUILDING	SF	20,070	118.00	(2,370)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(130)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	640
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(420)
PAVING, SITE IMPROVEMENT, AND DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(220)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	3,140
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	160
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	3,300
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	200
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	3,500
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Multi-story building, concrete foundation, concrete masonry exterior and metal panel interior walls, single-ply roofs with daylighting; electrostatic precipitators and foundry furnace with electric transformer; fire protection system, utilities, and demolition.

11. REQUIREMENT: 20,070 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Constructs an applied instruction building.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly configured training facilities to accommodate the Patternmaker and Molders A and C Schools. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Naval Training Center, San Diego, will close and the Patternmaker and Molders Schools will be relocated to this center.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NTC San Diego, the Patternmaker and Molders Schools will relocate to this center. No facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional students.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, training facilities will not be available for these schools. This center will not be able to support the closure of

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
PATTERNMAKER AND MOLDERS TRAINING FACILITY	P-596T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

NTC San Diego.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(188)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(127)
(C)	TOTAL	315
(D)	CONTRACT	(280)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(35)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00210	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	BUILDING RENOVATION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-598T	5,350

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
BUILDING RENOVATION	SF	43,850	90.00	3,950
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	860
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(590)
DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(270)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	4,810
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	240
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	5,050
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	300
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	5,350
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Renovates interior classrooms, administrative and clerical spaces, electronics laboratories, equipment and mechanical rooms, and utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 43,850 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Renovates a training building.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facility for Radioman (RM) A and C Schools. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC San Diego will close and RM A and C Schools will relocate to this center.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NTC San Diego, the RM A and C Schools will relocate to this center. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional student personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, training facilities will not be available for these schools. This center will not be able to support the closure of NTC San Diego.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BUILDING RENOVATION	P-598T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	30
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(287)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(195)
(C)	TOTAL	482
(D)	CONTRACT	(428)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(54)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS /UIC:N00210	DATA PROCESSING TRAINING BUILDING UPGRADE

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-593T	950

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
DATA PROCESSING TRAINING BUILDING UPGRADE. . .	SF	9,110	88.00	800
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	50
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT. . .	LS	-	-	(50)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	850
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	40
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	890
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	60
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	950
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Building rehabilitation providing laboratory, classroom, and administrative spaces; interior demolition and renovation, repairs to exterior walls and roof, new heating, ventilation, and air conditioning, fire protection system, electrical and mechanical utilities; upgrade loading dock and driveway.

11. REQUIREMENT: 9,110 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Converts an existing warehouse into a training building.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly configured training facility to provide Basic Data Processing (DP) A school. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC San Diego will close and the DP A School will relocate to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NTC San Diego, the DP A School will relocate to this activity. No adequate facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional students.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, training facilities will not be available for DP A School. This station will not be able to support the closure of NTC San

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
DATA PROCESSING TRAINING BUILDING UPGRADE	P-593T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

Diego.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	10-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X__
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(51)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(35)
(C)	TOTAL	86
(D)	CONTRACT	(76)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(10)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 12-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:NO	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA	MESS SPECIALIST "A" SCHOOL

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-671T	2,900

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
MESS SPECIALIST "A" SCHOOL	SF	36,400	65.00	2,370
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	240
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(240)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,610
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	130
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	2,740
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	160
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	2,900
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Rehabilitate industrial/high-bay building to provide laboratories, auditorium, instructional, administrative, and clerical spaces; second floor of steel and concrete decking for classrooms and administrative spaces; electrical and mechanical utilities, air conditioning and heating systems, computer flooring.

11. REQUIREMENT: 36,400 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Converts an existing building to training facilities.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate facility for Mess Specialist (MS) A training. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC San Diego will close and MS A school will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Upon closure of NTC San Diego, the MS A school will relocate to this activity. No facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional students.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, training facilities will not be available for MS A training. This station will not be able to support the closure of NTC

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
MESS SPECIALIST "A" SCHOOL	P-671T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

San Diego.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	04-95
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(157)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(104)
(C)	TOTAL	261
(D)	CONTRACT	(232)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(29)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START. 06-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00204	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS RENOVATION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	721.11	P-674T	4,550

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS RENOVATION	SF	73,070	-	3,190
BARRACKS RENOVATION	SF	51,750	39.00	(2,020)
MESS HALL RENOVATION	SF	21,320	55.00	(1,170)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	890
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(890)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	4,080
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	200
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	4,280
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	270
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	4,550
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Renovates existing building to provide berthing for 260 E1-E4; renovate messing area and food preparation spaces; electrical, mechanical, heating, and air conditioning systems.

11. REQUIREMENT: 0 PN ADEQUATE: 0 PN SUBSTANDARD: 0 PN

PROJECT:
Renovates an existing barracks and converts a mess hall to a barracks.
REQUIREMENT:
Adequate and properly-configured berthing facilities for the Mess Specialist (MS) A School. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NTC San Diego will close and MS A school will be relocated to this station.
CURRENT SITUATION:
Upon closure of NTC San Diego, the MS A school will relocate to this activity. No adequate berthing facilities exist to accommodate the additional students.
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project, adequate berthing facilities will not be available for students in MS A school training. This station will not be able to support the closure of NTC San Diego.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:
- (A) DATE DESIGN STARTED 10-93
- (B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994 25
- (C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE 02-94

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00204

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS RENOVATION	P-674T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (CONTINUED)

(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE 04-95

(2) BASIS:
(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES___ NO X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)

(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(245)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(165)
(C)	TOTAL	410
(D)	CONTRACT	(364)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(46)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START 06-95
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:
NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NPWC SAN FRANCISCO, CA

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[44]	[1089]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[1133]
Studies	0	302	0	0	0	0	302
Compliance	44	787	0	0	0	0	831
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1583	8806	8311	13153	21042	0	52895
Military Personnel - PCS	0	11	5	0	0	0	16
Other	32053	0	0	0	0	0	32053
TOTAL COSTS	33680	9906	8316	13153	21042	0	86097
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	-37100	-37100
Operations	-589	-3732	-11392	-20104	-42451	-43384	-121652
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	466	477	943
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-235]	[-540]	[-757]	[-1007]	[-1635]	[-1635]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-4]	[-4]	[-4]	[-6]	[-6]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-589	-3732	-11392	-20104	-41985	-80007	-157809

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	-37100	-37100
Operations	-589	-3732	-11392	-20104	-42451	-43384	-121652
Environmental							
Studies	0	302	0	0	0	0	302
Compliance	44	787	0	0	0	0	831
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1583	8806	8311	13153	21508	477	53838
Military Personnel	0	11	5	0	0	0	16
Other	32053	0	0	0	0	0	32053
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-235]	[-540]	[-757]	[-1007]	[-1635]	[-1635]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-4]	[-4]	[-4]	[-6]	[-6]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	33091	6174	-3076	-6951	-20943	-80007	-71712

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

The Navy Public Works Center San Francisco Bay (PWCSFB) will be closed. All facilities, including 6,398 units of family housing, will be turned over to activities that will remain open or will be disposed of. The housing units will be turned over in accordance with the activity closure and as the requirement at that site is no longer required. PWCSFB serves eight major customers involved in the BRAC process, with satellite offices located at each activity. PWCSFB intends to close each of its sites as soon as possible after the activities' host commands and all tenants vacate; however, major customers will depend on the PWC for uninterrupted public works services until FY 1998.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EIS will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at PWC San Francisco, primarily the Department of Defense Housing Facility, Novato, with subsequent reuse. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse as an industrial park may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination.

Compliance: Twenty-two above ground fuel tanks and six underground fuel storage tanks will be emptied, cleaned and either secured or removed. Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) will be characterized and dispositioned per applicable regulations, either through cleanup or removal actions. Asbestos surveys have been completed, and all friable asbestos will be contained and/or collected. All known PCB equipment has been removed. Pesticide facilities will be cleaned and secured. An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA), for transfer/lease, and for a close-out survey.

Installation Restoration (IR): Because PWCSFB is a tenant at various activities, it has no Installation Restoration Program of its own.

Operations and Maintenance: Costs identified cover the following: severance, separation and relocation costs for civilian personnel, disposal of material and equipment, shutdown and securing of facilities, care-taker and security until facilities are disposed of, and relocation of shops to non-BRAC activities. Significant increased costs shown in FY 1998 are due to the release of the balance of PWCSFB employees (758) at the time of closure.

Real estate expenses included in these estimates are not normal Navy expenses. The General Services Administration (GSA) is normally the disposal agent for Navy's land and improvements. However, the Base Closure legislation directs the Administrator of GSA to delegate disposal authority to DOD, including the requirement to pay for all disposal costs. These expenses cover federal screening, land transfers to other federal agencies, public discount transfers and any public sale of real estate. Expenses to be incurred at NCEL Port Hueneme are for site inspections, appraisals, title work, surveys, signs, news releases, marketing, community liaison, printing and advertising, audio-visual aids, photographic video, site presentation, office rental, auction site rental, auction fees, and closing costs. The property will be screened with other Federal, state and local agencies and the public according to the normal federal disposal process. This may result in transfer to another federal agency, a homeless provider, sale to a state or local government either at fair market value or discounted under a variety of statutory programs. If the property survives the screening process, it will ultimately be disposed of by public sale.

Military Personnel - PCS: These costs were calculated from the end strength at the activity. PCS costs have been quantified by the Navy providing unit move costs for the affected units or by the average rates for the appropriate operational/rotational moves.

Other: Cost is for a negotiated onetime buyout of existing lease of the Consolidated Area Telephone System (CATS). Buyout provides the least cost alternative for the termination of telephone services in the San Francisco Bay area.

Land Sales Revenue: Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: The FY 1999 new construction project will no longer be needed as the installation is closing.

Family Housing Operations: Family housing inventory to be deactivated at PWC San Francisco consists of 5592 government owned units. Operation of units will cease as follows: 83 units at the end of FY 1993, 413 units at the end of FY 1994, 984 units at the end of FY 1995, 1073 units at the end of FY 1996 and 3039 units at the end of FY 1997.

Operations and Maintenance: None. DBOF savings are in the customer accounts.

Military Personnel: None.

Other: None.

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NWS SEAL BEACH, CA

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	43	293	457	0	0	0	793
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	43	293	457	0	0	0	793
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	-3791	-3791
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	-3791	-3791
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	-3791	-3791
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	43	293	457	0	0	0	793
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	43	293	457	0	0	-3791	-2998

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL WEAPONS STATION, SEAL BEACH, CALIFORNIA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Relocate tactical missile depot maintenance (principally affecting STANDARD missile) and consolidate at Letterkenny Army Depot. Realignment is projected for FY 1996.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance: Includes civilian severance pay, equipment relocation, planning, and implementation costs. BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: None.

Procurement Items: None.

Land Sales Revenue: None.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: Projects which were in the FYDP have been removed.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: None.

Military Personnel: None.

Other: None

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NS STATEN ISLAND, NY

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	1200	0	0	0	0	0	1200
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[4766]	[588]	[782]	[438]	[0]	[0]	[6574]
Studies	607	0	0	0	0	0	607
Compliance	3757	340	276	0	0	0	4373
Restoration	402	248	506	438	0	0	1594
Operation & Maintenance	11864	6287	6378	6897	7430	0	38856
Military Personnel - PCS	1412	0	0	0	0	0	1412
Other	0	60	0	0	0	0	60
TOTAL COSTS	19242	6935	7160	7335	7430	0	48102
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	-420	0	0	-12491	0	-994	-13905
Family Housing							
Construction	-7161	0	0	0	0	0	-7161
Operations	0	-2723	-2825	-3928	-3035	-3143	-15654
Operation & Maintenance	-3681	-24788	-25319	-26186	-27180	-28228	-135382
Military Personnel	-2999	-9623	-17224	-18015	-18338	-18827	-85026
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-363]	[-337]	[-321]	[-320]	[-320]	[-320]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-387]	[-360]	[-359]	[-356]	[-356]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-14261	-37134	-45368	-60620	-48553	-51192	-257128

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	780	0	0	-12491	0	-994	-12705
Family Housing							
Construction	-7161	0	0	0	0	0	-7161
Operations	0	-2723	-2825	-3928	-3035	-3143	-15654
Environmental							
Studies	607	0	0	0	0	0	607
Compliance	3757	340	276	0	0	0	4373
Restoration	402	248	506	438	0	0	1594
Operation & Maintenance	8183	-18501	-18941	-19289	-19750	-28228	-96526
Military Personnel	-1587	-9623	-17224	-18015	-18338	-18827	-83614
Other	0	60	0	0	0	0	60
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-363]	[-337]	[-321]	[-320]	[-320]	[-320]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-387]	[-360]	[-359]	[-356]	[-356]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	4981	-30199	-38208	-53285	-41123	-51192	-209026

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL STATION, STATEN ISLAND, NEW YORK

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Naval Station (NS) Staten Island, New York is host to six homeported ships, a large reserve force community, and several tenant commands.

All homeported ships will depart. Three FFTs will move to Norfolk prior to decommissioning. One CG will move to Norfolk and two FFGs will move to NS Mayport. NS reductions in personnel and funding will be phased. Final closure of NS New York is projected for FY 1994.

Property disposal and transportation of goods will provide a major challenge due to the short time span between vacating buildings and final closure.

A majority of Shore Intermediate Maintenance Activity (SIMA) personnel and equipment will relocate to Earle, NJ; SIMA Norfolk, VA; and to SIMA Mayport, FL. Existing buildings at NWS Earle will be refurbished to accommodate the additional personnel and equipment. A new facility will also be constructed to accommodate Public Works and other functions that will be displaced with growth of the SIMA at Earle.

The Branch Medical Clinic and Branch Dental Clinic will close. The current combined Armed Forces Reserve Center facilities will be separated from the Naval Station property and will be transferred to the Army. Construction Battalion Unit 423 (CBU 423) will relocate to Little Creek, VA. All Family Housing sites at New York will be turned over to another military service or excessed.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: Construction of the following project is required:

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-007T EARLE - PUBLIC WORKS FACILITY ADDITION & ALTERATIONS	1994	1,200
SUBTOTAL FY 1994		1,200
TOTAL		1,200

Family Housing Construction: None required.

Family Housing Operations: Inactivation and caretaker costs for family housing are included in Operations and Maintenance.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of the Ship Intermediate Maintenance Activity to WPNSTA Earle will require an Environmental Assessment (EA); issues to be addressed include impacts to wetlands, endangered species, surface hydrology, increased air and water emissions, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction. Relocation of assets to NAVPHIBASE Little Creek can likely be categorically excluded from further NEPA documentation. A small cost is associated with this planning effort. Funding for NEPA documentation for the relocation of assets from NAVSTA Staten Island to NAVSTA Norfolk has been included in the budget submittal for the closure of NAVSTA Charleston.

NEPA documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EIS will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at NAVSTA Staten Island with subsequent reuse. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse as an industrial park may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination.

Compliance: Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) will be removed. Asbestos that is found to be hazardous to human health will be abated. Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) will undergo RCRA cleanup which includes a RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA), RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI), Corrective Measures Study (CMS), and Corrective Act (CA). An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA), for transfer/lease, and for a close-out survey.

Installation Restoration (IR): Five sites have been identified under the IR program. The sites are being investigated for contamination from hazardous or toxic substances.

Operations and Maintenance: Funds are requested for program management, civilian personnel severance pay, lump sum leave and separation incentive program, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, facility modifications and construction at gaining sites, tenant moving costs, warehousing services, and leases pending permanent facility availability. The basic concept of caretaker operations is to perform facilities management, routine caretaker maintenance and repairs, and operation of key utilities by contract. A Caretaker Site Office (CSO) will be established. The CSO will be tasked with administering the caretaker contract, environmental clean-up/ compliance monitoring, public affairs, and property records management. Physical security (fire and guard services) will be provided at the Naval Station and at six remote housing sites in accordance with existing legislation. BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Planning expenses are required to prepare special planning studies. Much of the scope of previous planning efforts was rendered obsolete by the additional loading at the gaining location. Special planning studies are required to determine basic facilities requirements, special project validations, siting, space utilization, and relocation plans. Costs associated with planning studies at Norfolk are included in the budget submittal for the closure of NSB New London.

Real estate expenses included in these estimates are not normal Navy expenses. The General Services Administration (GSA) is normally the disposal agent for Navy's land and improvements. However, the Base Closure legislation directs the Administrator of GSA to delegate his disposal authority to DOD, including the requirement to pay for all disposal costs. These expenses cover federal screening, land transfers to other federal agencies, public discount transfers, and any public sale of real estate.

Expenses to be incurred are for site inspections, travel to sites, appraisals, title work, surveys, training and software, signs, news releases, marketing, community liaison, printing, advertising, audio-visual aids, photographic video, site presentation, office rental, auction site rental, auction fees and closing costs. Also included are costs associated with interim outleasing of closure property and termination of existing leases.

Navy will screen the property with other federal, state, and local agencies and the public according to the normal federal disposal process. This may result in transfer to another federal agency, a homeless provider, sale to a state or local government either at fair market value or discounted under a variety of statutory programs. If property survives the screening process, the property will ultimately be disposed of by public sale.

Military Personnel - PCS: PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other: Funds are required to procure collateral equipment for MILCON project identified above. Procurement funding is required for one 40-foot brow for CG-60 homeporting at Norfolk.

Land Sales Revenue: Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: Projects in the FYDP have been deleted.

Family Housing Construction: The FY 1994 revitalization project will no longer be needed.

Family Housing Operations: The family housing inventory at NAVSTA Staten Island consists of 1070 government owned units and 1000 leased units. Operation of 840 government owned units will cease at the end of FY 1994.

Operations and Maintenance: Savings accrue from disestablishing the station and some tenants. Recurring costs will increase at NAVSTA Norfolk for support of CG-60 and at PHIBASE Little Creek for support of CBU 423. Funding for these costs is available from the savings realized from closing NAVSTA New York.

Civilian Personnel: Civilian personnel reductions result from closing NAVSTA New York and disestablishing some tenants.

Military Personnel: Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

Other: None.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL WEAPONS STATION, EARLE, NEW JERSEY /UIC:N60478	PUBLIC WORKS FACILITY ADDITION AND ALTERATIONS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0702096N	219.10	P-007T	1,200

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
PUBLIC WORKS FACILITY ADDITION & ALTERATIONS	SF	4,850	-	810
BUILDING ADDITION	SF	1,600	110.00	(180)
MINERAL MANAGEMENT SERVICE BUILDING	SF	2,500	100.00	(250)
OUTDOOR STORAGE AREA	SF	750	60.00	(50)
BUILDING ALTERATIONS	LS	-	-	(330)
SUPPORTING FACILITES	-	-	-	270
UTILITIES, PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(270)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	1,080
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	50
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	1,130
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	70
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	1,200
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Building addition; alterations and renovations to shop space.

11. REQUIREMENT: 4,850 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides a public works transportation and maintenance facility.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to provide a combined public works transportation and materials handling equipment facility. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Naval Station, New York, will close and the Shore Intermediate Maintenance Activity (SIMA) will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

SIMA staffing at Earle is expected to increase from the present 84 to approximately 250 sailors. To accommodate the additional 166 sailors and associated equipment relocating from New York, additional maintenance facility space is needed. Existing station maintenance facilities are fully utilized.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, maintenance facilities will not be available to support the increased maintenance workload. This station will not be

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL WEAPONS STATION, EARLE, NEW JERSEY

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
PUBLIC WORKS FACILITY ADDITION AND ALTERATIONS	P-007T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

able to support the closure of NS New York.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1993	0
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	06-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER992	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(65)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(43)
(C)	TOTAL	108
(D)	CONTRACT	(96)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(12)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 08-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NS TREASURE ISLAND, CA

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	7903	0	35290	0	0	0	43193
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[4790]	[7075]	[3058]	[4944]	[10478]	[871]	[31216]
Studies	153	478	0	0	0	0	631
Compliance	2672	3456	2945	875	0	0	9948
Restoration	1965	3141	113	4069	10478	871	20637
Operation & Maintenance	640	736	546	5876	5150	5802	18750
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	416	0	0	416
Other	0	15	150	0	0	0	165
TOTAL COSTS	13333	7826	39044	11236	15628	6673	93740
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	-4825	0	0	0	-4825
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-6973	-9644	-9828	-11769	-22088	-23257	-83559
Military Personnel	0	-171	-350	-7676	-15259	-15621	-39077
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-2]	[-2]	[-9]	[-135]	[-134]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-7]	[-7]	[-395]	[-394]	[-394]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-6973	-9815	-15003	-19445	-37347	-38878	-127461
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	7903	0	30465	0	0	0	38368
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	153	478	0	0	0	0	631
Compliance	2672	3456	2945	875	0	0	9948
Restoration	1965	3141	113	4069	10478	871	20637
Operation & Maintenance	-6333	-8908	-9282	-5893	-16938	-17455	-64809
Military Personnel	0	-171	-350	-7260	-15259	-15621	-38661
Other	0	15	150	0	0	0	165
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-2]	[-2]	[-9]	[-135]	[-134]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-7]	[-7]	[-395]	[-394]	[-394]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	6360	-1989	24041	-8209	-21719	-32205	-33721

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL STATION, TREASURE ISLAND, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Close Naval Station (NS) Treasure Island, including migration/elimination of tenants and closure of facilities at three distinct sites that make up NS Treasure Island: Treasure Island; Yerba Buena Island; and Hunters Point Annex. The principal receiving sites for this closure action are: Naval Training Center Great Lakes, IL; Naval Amphibious Base Little Creek, VA; and Reserve Center Alameda, CA. Projected operational closure date of 1997 is planned.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction:

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-582T Great Lakes - BEQ Renovation	1994	403
P-608T Great Lakes - Building Renovations	1994	7,500
Subtotal FY 1994		7,903
P-600T Great Lakes - HT "C" School Phase II	1996	22,700
P-601T Great Lakes - Elevator Trainer School	1996	2,394
P-390T Little Creek - Stream/Unrep School	1996	2,664
P-149T San Francisco - Reserve Center Addn	1996	6,700
P-365T Carswell AFB, TX - SECGRU Building	1996	832
Subtotal FY 1996		35,290
Total		43,193

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: Deactivation and caretaker costs for all San Francisco Bay area housing are included in PWC San Francisco Bay closure costs as O&M costs.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets to Naval Amphibious Base (NAVPHIBASE) Little Creek, NAVSTA Everett and Fleet Training Center (FTC) San Diego can likely be categorically excluded from further NEPA documentation. A small cost is associated with this planning effort.

NEPA documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EIS will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at NAVSTA Treasure Island with subsequent reuse. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse as an industrial park may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination. Prior to actual disposal of NAVSTA property, it is likely that the Navy will allow interim use of the property under lease agreements beginning in FY 1994. An EA will be required to document the potential impacts of such interim use. Issues to be addressed in the EA include changes in land use and increased air and water emissions.

Compliance: Asbestos, PCBs, and lead paint will be inventoried or surveyed and remediated/removed in accordance with applicable regulations. Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) will undergo RCRA cleanup which includes a RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA), RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI), Corrective Measures Study (CMS), and Corrective Act (CA). There are numerous underground storage tanks (USTs) with associated soil contamination. Disposition and removal of USTs and SWMU's accounts for sixty percent of the costs in FY 1996. An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA), for transfer/lease, and for a close-out survey.

Installation Restoration (IR): Nine of Treasure Island's IR sites have been allocated into four operable units to facilitate the cleanup program's administration. Twelve additional sites are in the Remedial Investigation/ Feasibility Study stage. Remediation of landfills, NEX service station, and industrial/fuel storage areas account for the majority of costs in outyears. Other potential sites are being investigated for contamination from hazardous or toxic substances. Includes State reimbursement costs for health assessments attributable to this Base. These Congressionally mandated requirements include reimbursement for State oversight of clean up and the cost of health assessments by the Agency of Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

Operations and Maintenance: The basic concept of caretaker operations is to perform facilities management, routine caretaker maintenance and repairs, and operation of key utilities by contract. The Caretaker Site Office (CSO) established for Hunters Point will be tasked with administering the caretaker contract, environmental clean-up/compliance monitoring, public affairs, and property records management. Physical security (fire and guard services) will be provided by government employees in accordance with existing legislation. Costs include: civilian personnel separation, inactivation and preservation of facilities, renovation and temporary lease costs, disposal of excess equipment, packing and transportation of equipment, facility demolition, and program management costs.

BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Planning support is required to prepare special planning studies. Much of the scope of previous planning efforts were rendered obsolete by the additional loading at the gaining locations.

Real estate expenses included in these estimates are not normal Navy expenses. The General Services Administration (GSA) is normally the disposal agent for Navy's land and improvements. However, the Base Closure legislation directs the Administrator of GSA to delegate his disposal authority to DOD, including the requirement to pay for all disposal costs. These expenses cover federal screening, land transfers to other federal agencies, public discount transfers, and any public sale of real estate.

Expenses to be incurred are for site inspections, travel to sites, appraisals, title work, surveys, training and software, signs, news releases, marketing, community liaison, printing, advertising, audio-visual aids, photographic video, site presentation, office rental, auction site rental, auction fees and closing costs. Also included are costs associated with interim outleasing of closure property and termination of existing leases. Navy will screen the property with other federal, state, and local agencies and the public according to the normal federal disposal process. This may result in transfer to another federal agency, a homeless provider, sale to a state or local government either at fair market value or discounted under a variety of statutory programs. If property survives the screening process, the property will ultimately be disposed of by public sale.

Land Sales Revenue: Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: MCON projects which were in the FYDP have been removed.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: Savings for family housing are included in the PWC San Fransisco budget.

Operations and Maintenance: Procurement of nominal amounts of waterfront/ communication items will no longer be required. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

Other: None.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00210	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	BUILDING RENOVATIONS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-608T	7,500

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
BUILDING RENOVATIONS	SF	66,200	-	5,330
RENOVATIONS	SF	66,200	79.00	(5,230)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	-	-	(100)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	1,410
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(50)
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(1,360)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	6,740
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	340
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	7,080
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (5.9%)	-	-	-	420
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	7,500
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Renovations; inert gas storage tank, ventilation system, handicapped ramp, and forklift ramp.

11. REQUIREMENT: 66,200 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Renovates an existing building for the Advanced Hull Technician School.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate and properly-configured facilities to accommodate the Advanced Hull Technician School. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Naval Technical Training Center, Treasure Island, and the Naval Training Center, San Diego, will close and the advanced hull technicians training will be relocated to this center.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Upon closure of NTTC Treasure Island and NTC San Diego, the Advanced Hull Technicians School will relocate to this center. No facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional training personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project, facilities will not be available to accommodate this training. This center will not be able to support the closure of NTTC Treasure Island and NTC San Diego.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:
(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED 10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1993 0

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00210

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BUILDING RENOVATIONS	P-608T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (CONTINUED)

(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE 02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE 06-94

(2) BASIS:
(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES___ NO X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS . . . . . (400)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS . . . . . (280)
(C) TOTAL 680
(D) CONTRACT . . . . . (600)
(E) IN-HOUSE . . . . . (80)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START 08-94
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:
NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NSY MARE ISLAND, CA

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	3310	30029	0	0	0	0	33339
Family Housing							
Construction	0	4840	0	0	0	0	4840
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[37213]	[29855]	[49857]	[1359]	[11]	[7]	[118302]
Studies	256	478	0	0	0	0	734
Compliance	14050	13125	47950	0	0	0	75125
Restoration	22907	16252	1907	1359	11	7	42443
Operation & Maintenance	86607	134735	138013	8245	8051	0	375651
Military Personnel - PCS	0	283	546	0	0	0	829
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	127130	199742	188416	9604	8062	7	532961
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	-2900	-15608	-1580	0	0	-20088
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-826	-4515	-98375	-108665	-111062	-113513	-436956
Military Personnel	0	0	-2490	-5073	-5173	-5293	-18029
Other	0	0	-56881	-58132	-59411	-60718	-235142
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[458]	[-1875]	[-4707]	[-6811]	[-5605]	[-6002]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-144]	[-144]	[-144]	[-144]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-826	-7415	-173354	-173450	-175646	-179524	-710215
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	3310	27129	-15608	-1580	0	0	13251
Family Housing							
Construction	0	4840	0	0	0	0	4840
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	256	478	0	0	0	0	734
Compliance	14050	13125	47950	0	0	0	75125
Restoration	22907	16252	1907	1359	11	7	42443
Operation & Maintenance	85781	130220	39638	-100420	-103011	-113513	-61305
Military Personnel	0	283	-1944	-5073	-5173	-5293	-17200
Other	0	0	-56881	-58132	-59411	-60718	-235142
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[458]	[-1875]	[-4707]	[-6811]	[-5605]	[-6002]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-144]	[-144]	[-144]	[-144]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	126304	192327	15062	-163846	-167584	-179517	-177254

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

MARE ISLAND NAVAL SHIPYARD, VALLEJO, CALIFORNIA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Close the Mare Island Naval Shipyard (NSY) and relocate the Combat Systems Technical Schools Command activity to Dam Neck, Virginia; and one submarine to the Naval Submarine Base, Bangor, Washington. Family housing located at Mare Island NSY will be retained as necessary to support Naval Weapons Station Concord. Operational closure is projected for FY 1996.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: The following projects are necessary to support continuing functions that must be relocated:

Location/Project Title		FY	Amount ($000)
P-195T Bangor	PARCHE Relocation (Phase I)	1994	3,310
	Subtotal 1994		3,310
P-190T Bangor	PARCHE Relocation (Phase II)	1995	8,552
P-221T Coronado	Waterfront Operations Fac	1995	2,100
P-283T Crane	Rechargeable Battery Eval Fac	1995	3,880
P-995T Dam Neck	Bachelors Enlisted Quarters	1995	4,850
P-996T Dam Neck	Training Building Mods	1995	6,000
P-088T Everett	CBU Facility	1995	2,047
P-083T Stockton	Special Boat Maintenance Building	1995	2,600
	Subtotal 1995		30,029
	Total		33,339

Family Housing Construction: The following project is required to provide housing for junior enlisted families (E1-E6) migrating to Bangor:

Location		FY	Amount $(000)
Bangor	34 Junior Enlisted Units	1995	4,840

A consolidated DD1391 for 352 new units at NSB Bangor is included with the NAS Alameda submission. Thirty-four units are attributable to NSY Mare Island and the remaining 318 are attributable to NAS Alameda.

Family Housing Operations: Inactivation and caretaker costs for family housing are included in the PWC San Francisco budget under operations and maintenance costs.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of the USS Parche to SUBASE Bangor will require an Environmental Assessment (EA); issues to be addressed include impacts to wetlands, endangered species, surface hydrology, increased air and water emissions, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction. Relocation of assets to FCTC Dam Neck and NAB Coronado can likely be categorically excluded from further NEPA documentation. A small cost is associated with this planning effort.

NEPA documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EIS will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at NSY Mare Island with subsequent reuse. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse as an industrial park may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination. Prior to actual disposal of NSY property, it is likely that the Navy will allow interim use of the property under lease agreements beginning in FY 1994. An EA will be required to document the potential impacts of such interim use. Issues to be addressed in the EA include changes in land use and increased air and water emissions.

Compliance: Cost estimates for lead abatement were due to the older nature of housing units at Mare Island, where lead paint had been used prior to the 1980's. Asbestos abatement costs include major problem buildings. PCB transformers have been scheduled for remediation. Compliance remediation estimates also reflect costs for radon surveys and remediation. Underground storage tanks, RCRA facilities (permitted industrial facilities and hazardous waste storage facilities) are being surveyed and their disposition according to applicable standards will continue. Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) will undergo RCRA cleanup which includes a RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA), RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI), Corrective Measures Study (CMS), and Corrective Action (CA). The three most costly actions include lead and asbestos abatement and hazardous material disposal, accounting for almost 70% of the compliance costs for Mare Island. Due to the quantity and severity of hazardous material, it is expected that disposal actions will continue for many years. An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA), for transfer/lease, and for a close-out survey. In addition to the above, one-time operational costs involving final clean out and wash down of industrial facilities have been addressed. Also includes costs for radiological surveys and studies.

Installation Restoration (IR): Sixty sites are undergoing Preliminary Assessment/Site Investigation. Twenty four additional sites are beyond the PA/SI stage, undergoing Remedial Investigations/Feasibility Studies or Interim Remedial Actions. IR costs include capping of landfill areas which have a variety of contaminants including possible radiation and unexploded ordnance throughout the island. Other potential sites are being investigated for contamination from hazardous or toxic substances.

Operations and Maintenance: Costs include severance pay, extended health benefits, lump sum leave, PCS relocation, employee assistance program, utility termination, facilities inactivation, and caretaker costs. Also includes costs for low-level radioactive waste disposal, other nuclear propulsion closure costs, and unique function and equipment relocations, and costs for radiological surveys and studies. The basic concept of caretaker operations is to perform facilities management, routine caretaker maintenance and repairs, and operation of key utilities by contract. A Caretaker Site Office (CSO) will be established which will be tasked with administering the caretaker contract, environmental clean-up/compliance monitoring, public affairs, and property records management. Physical security (fire and guard services) will be provided by government employees in accordance with existing legislation.

BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Navy will screen the property with other federal, state, and local agencies and the public according to the normal federal disposal process. This may result in transfer to another federal agency, a homeless provider, sale to a state or local government either at fair market value or discounted under a variety of statutory programs. If property survives the screening process, the property will ultimately be disposed of by public sale.

Military Personnel - PCS: PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: The proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: Projects which were in the FYDP have been removed.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: Savings for family housing are included in the PWC San Francisco budget.

Operations and Maintenance: Consists of DBOF, including civilian end strength and compensation reductions, and base support savings to regular shipyard customers. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

Other: Customer savings as a result of closing a DBOF activity.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N68436	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE, BANGOR, WASHINGTON	PARCHE RELOCATION (PHASE I)

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0101896N	320.10	P-195T	3,310

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
PARCHE RELOCATION	SF	48,270	-	8,890
BUILDING	SF	48,270	132.00	(6,370)
PIER EXTENSION	LS	-	-	(2,000)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(520)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	1,770
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(380)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(280)
PAVING, SITE IMPROVEMENT, AND DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(1,110)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	10,660
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	530
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	11,190
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	672
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	11,862
LESS: PHASE II (FY95 P-190T) FUNDING	-	-	-	- 8,552
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	3,310
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Two-story building, tilt-up reinforced concrete walls, flat roof, built-up roof membrane supported by a steel truss system, bridge cranes, equipment testing pool, secure industrial work areas, sensitive compartmented information facility areas, office spaces, underwater laboratory facilities, fire protection system, special electrical requirements, standby emergency generator, parking, fenced laydown area, security lighting, alarm systems, high-bay industrial areas, and utilities; 260-foot pier extension, underwater training platform, spud mooring system, deck fill and expansion, security fences, power booms, demolition of marine mammal pens, fender dolphins, lightning towers and jib cranes.

11. REQUIREMENT: 48,270 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Constructs an underwater equipment laboratory and a pier extension in support of the USS PARCHE (SSN 683).

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to support the relocation of the USS PARCHE and COMSUBDECGRU ONE Detachments. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Mare Island Naval Shipyard, Vallejo, California, and the

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE, BANGOR, WASHINGTON

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
PARCHE RELOCATION (PHASE I)	P-195T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

Naval Air Station, Alameda, California, will close and the USS PARCHE and COMSUBDEVGRU One Detachments Mare Island, Alameda, Sierra, and Sea Component will be relocated to this base.

CURRENT SITUATION:

There are no existing facilities or berthing pier which can accommodate the relocation of the USS PARCHE and its associated detachments.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate facilities will not be available to house the relocating detachments. No berthing pier will be available for the USS PARCHE. This base will not be able to support the closure of Mare Island and Alameda.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1993	0
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	06-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(495)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(335)
(C)	TOTAL	830
(D)	CONTRACT	(735)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(95)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 08-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N68436	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE, BANGOR, WASHINGTON	PARCHE RELOCATION (PHASE II)

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0101896N	320.10	P-190T	8,552

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
PARCHE RELOCATION	SF	48,270	-	8,890
BUILDING	SF	48,270	132.00	(6,370)
PIER EXTENSION	LS	-	-	(2,000)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(520)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	1,770
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(380)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(280)
PAVING, SITE IMPROVEMENT, AND DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(1,110)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	10,660
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	530
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	11,190
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	670
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	11,860
LESS: PHASE I (FY94 P-195T) FUNDING	-	-	-	- 3,310
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	8,552
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Two-story building, tilt-up reinforced concrete walls, flat roof, built-up roof membrane supported by a steel truss system, bridge cranes, equipment testing pool, secure industrial work areas, sensitive compartmented information facility areas, office spaces, underwater laboratory facilities, fire protection system, special electrical requirements, standby emergency generator, parking, fenced laydown area, security lighting, alarm systems, high-bay industrial areas, and utilities; 260-foot pier extension, underwater training platform, spud mooring system, deck fill and expansion, security fences, power booms, demolition of marine mammal pens, fender dolphins, lightning towers and jib cranes.

11. REQUIREMENT: 48,270 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Constructs an underwater equipment laboratory and a pier extension in support of the USS PARCHE (SSN 683).

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to support the relocation of the USS PARCHE and COMSUBDECGRU ONE Detachments. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Mare Island Naval Shipyard, Vallejo, California, and the

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE, BANGOR, WASHINGTON

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
PARCHE RELOCATION (PHASE II)	P-190T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

Naval Air Station, Alameda, California, will close and the USS PARCHE and COMSUBDEVGRU One Detachments Mare Island, Alameda, Sierra, and Sea Component will be relocated to this base.

CURRENT SITUATION:

There are no existing facilities or berthing pier which can accommodate the relocation of the USS PARCHE and its associated detachments.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate facilities will not be available to house the relocating detachments. No berthing pier will be available for the USS PARCHE. This base will not be able to support the closure of Mare Island and Alameda.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	0
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	06-94

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

	($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(495)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(335)
(C) TOTAL	830
(D) CONTRACT	(735)
(E) IN-HOUSE	(95)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 12-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N62021	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE, CORONADO, CALIFORNIA	WATERFRONT OPERATIONS FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204796N	143.25	P-221T	2,100

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
WATERFRONT OPERATIONS FACILITY	SF	11,250	102.00	1,150
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	740
UTILITIES AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(740)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	1,890
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	100
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	1,990
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	110
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	2,100
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

One-story building including communal staging, locker rooms, vault, admin and storage, MK17 room and outside paved area.

11. REQUIREMENT: 11,250 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Provides waterfront operations facility for the relocation of a portion of Explosive Ordnance Disposal and Munitions Unit 3 (EODMU3) located at Mare Island Naval Shipyard.

REQUIREMENT:
Seven detachments will be relocated and become part of EODMU5; there will be six mobile detachments and one Mine Countermeasures (MCM) detachment as a result of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Half of the EODMU3 is presently located at Mare Island Naval Shipyard, CA. There are no existing waterfront operations facilities at Coronado which could accommodate the relocation of EODMU3 personnel and equipment.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
EODMU3 Waterfront Operations will no longer be able to perform its mission. This activity will not be able to support the relocation of EODMU3.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:
(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED 10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994 25

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N62021

NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE, CORONADO, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
WATERFRONT OPERATIONS FACILITY	P-221T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (CONTINUED)

(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE 02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE 04-95

(2) BASIS:
(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES___NO_X_
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS . . . . . (113)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS . . . . . (76)
(C) TOTAL 189
(D) CONTRACT . . . . . (168)
(E) IN-HOUSE . . . . . (21)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START 06-95
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:
NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00164	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER DIVISION, CRANE, INDIANA	RECHARGEABLE BATTERY EVALUATION FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0702096N	216.60	P-283T	3,880

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
RECHARGEABLE BATTERY EVALUATION FACILITY	SF	26,500	-	2,980
BUILDING	SF	26,500	84.00	(2,230)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(750)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	510
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(100)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(410)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	3,490
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	180
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	3,670
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	210
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	3,880
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

One-story building containing battery evaluation, staging and storage areas, acid handling and mixing areas, charging stations, administration, shipping and receiving areas; air conditioning, utilities, and fire protection system.

11. REQUIREMENT: 26,500 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Constructs a submarine rechargeable battery evaluation facility.

REQUIREMENT:

Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Navy will relocate certain functions from Mare Island Naval Shipyard to NSWC Crane. One of the most critical functions is the engineering evaluation, failure analysis, and testing, along with quality evaluation and investigation of alternate power sources for submarine batteries. Adequate facilities are required to perform these functions with no adverse impact on the environment. Mission essential submarine batteries are not repairable but must be replaced every six years at a cost of over one million dollars per submarine. Operational readiness is jeopardized and increased costs result from premature failures. This facility is required to minimize these failures by closely evaluating materials and procedures before they are introduced into the Fleet. Major programs supported include: SSN-688 Class, TRIDENT, SEAWOLF, and New Attack Submarine.

CURRENT SITUATION:

A facility does not exist at Crane for the complete transition of this function from Mare Island Naval Shipyard and the consolidation of all Navy rechargeable battery test and evaluation at one site. Transition will occur over two years with the first four systems to arrive during FY 1994 and the remaining systems to follow during FY 1996. Short term requirements, for the first systems, will be performed in a converted storage building which does not provide for acid containment in the event of an accidental spill of electrolyte. Also, acids from expended

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00164

NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER DIVISION, CRANE, INDIANA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
RECHARGEABLE BATTERY EVALUATION FACILITY	P-283T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)
batteries will have to be neutralized and transported off base for heavy metal treatment.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Crane will incur great difficulty in accommodating the transition of work from Mare Island, and the goal of the submarine battery test and evaluation program of ensuring high reliability of emergency power sources cannot be achieved. Consequently, the operational readiness of the submarine fleet will be compromised.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	04-95

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES ___ NO X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

	($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(210)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(140)
(C) TOTAL	350
(D) CONTRACT	(310)
(E) IN-HOUSE	(40)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 06-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:
NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: NO0281	4. PROJECT TITLE
FLEET COMBAT TRAINING CENTER ATLANTIC, DAM NECK, VIRGINIA	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	721.12	P-995T	4,850

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	SF	49,150	-	3,680
BUILDING	SF	49,150	72.00	(3,540)
BUILT IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(140)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	670
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(50)
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(90)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	-	(310)
DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(220)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	4,350
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	220
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	4,570
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	280
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	4,850
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Multi-story, cast-in-place concrete frame, precast concrete planks, masonry bearing walls, pile foundation and bitumenous roof; 2-person rooms with private baths, lobbies, laundries, administrative spaces, storage, vending and mechanical rooms; fire alarm system, interior and exterior lighting, chiller, utilities, site improvements, parking and roads.

Grade Mix: 228 E5/E6. Total: 228.

11. REQUIREMENT: 228 PN ADEQUATE: 0 PN SUBSTANDARD: 0 PN

PROJECT:

Constructs bachelor enlisted quarters for "C" school students.

REQUIREMENT:

Provide enlisted berthing facilities for pay grades E5/E6 (male and female) for the "C" school students to be relocated from Mare Island Naval Shipyard to Dam Neck as a direct result of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990.

CURRENT SITUATION:

No berthing facilities are available to house "C" school students.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

FCTCLANT would be unable to provide berthing for the "C" school students to be relocated to Dam Neck. This center will not be able to support the closure of Mare Island Naval Shipyard.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED 10-93

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00281

FLEET COMBAT TRAINING CENTER ATLANTIC, DAM NECK, VIRGINIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	P-995T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (CONTINUED)

(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994 25
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE 02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE 04-95

(2) BASIS:
(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES ___ NO X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS . . . . . (260)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS . . . . . (175)
(C) TOTAL 435
(D) CONTRACT . . . . . (390)
(E) IN-HOUSE . . . . . (45)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START 06-95
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:
NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00281	4. PROJECT TITLE
FLEET COMBAT TRAINING CENTER ATLANTIC, DAM NECK, VIRGINIA	TRAINING BUILDING MODIFICATIONS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0805796N	171.20	P-996T	6,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
TRAINING BUILDING MODIFICATIONS	SF	148,100	32.00	4,740
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	650
UTILITIES, PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(650)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	5,390
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	270
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	5,660
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	340
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	6,000
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Modify and renovate existing cast-in-place concrete structure including classrooms, bathrooms, new stairs, permanent walls, single-ply roof membrane system, new heating, cooling and chilled water loop, ceiling replacement, paving and site improvements.

11. REQUIREMENT: 148,100 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Modifies and renovates a building to provide general classroom space and accommodate operational trainers.

REQUIREMENT:
Space is required for four "C" Schools, Data Systems Technician (DS), Fire Controlman (FC), Electronics Technician (ET), and Radioman (RM), to be relocated to FCTCLANT, Dam Neck from Mare Island Naval Shipyard because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990.

CURRENT SITUATION:
There are no adequate facilities available to accommodate the schools being relocated from Mare Island. There is a facility available, empty as a result of the relocation of Guided Missile Training from Naval Guided Missile School at Dam Neck, which must be modified to provide the necessary space.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
FCTCLANT would be able to provide applied instruction spaces for the "C" Schools, but unable to accommodate the Operational Trainers required by the "C" Schools being relocated to FCTCLANT. This activity will not be able to support the closure of Mare Island Naval Shipyard.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00281

FLEET COMBAT TRAINING CENTER ATLANTIC, DAM NECK, VIRGINIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
TRAINING BUILDING MODIFICATIONS	P-996T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	04-95

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES ___ NO X
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

	($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(325)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(215)
(C) TOTAL	540
(D) CONTRACT	(480)
(E) IN-HOUSE	(60)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 06-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00255EV	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON	CONSTRUCTION BATTALION UNIT FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204796N	171.20	P-088T	2,047

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
CONSTRUCTION BATTALION UNIT FACILITY	SF	16,200	105.00	1,700
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	140
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(90)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(50)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	1,840
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	90
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	1,930
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	117
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	2,047
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Two-story steel frame building with brick exterior walls, reinforced concrete foundation, designed for zone 3 seismic forces; administrative offices, shop, and storage spaces; fire protection system, heating, and ventilation systems, equipment and storage yard, utilities and parking.

11. REQUIREMENT: 16,200 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Constructs a facility to house the administrative, training, shops, and storage functions of a construction battalion unit (CBU).

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate operations, maintenance, and supply facilities for the CBU being relocated from Mare Island Naval Shipyard as a result of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990. The CBU is required at Everett to improve maintenance and repair of base facilities and provide comprehensive self-help and quality-of-life programs. For efficiency, the CBU concept requires that a facility from which the unit can operate be located on-base.

CURRENT SITUATION:
No facilities exist at Everett that can provide the CBU support.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
The construction battalion unit's mission will be severely impaired. Without adequate facilities to operate from, the significant results anticipated from the relocated battalion will be lost. This station will not be able to support the closure of Mare Island Naval Shipyard.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00255EV

NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
CONSTRUCTION BATTALION UNIT FACILITY	P-088T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	04-95

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(110)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(74)
(C)	TOTAL	184
(D)	CONTRACT	(164)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(20)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 06-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00886	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL COMMUNICATION STATION, STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA	SPECIAL BOAT MAINTENANCE BUILDING

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0303196N	213.30	P-083T	2,600

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
SPECIAL BOAT MAINTENANCE BUILDING.	SF	28,680	70.00	2,010
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	320
UTILITIES, PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT . . .	LS	-	-	(320)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,330
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	120
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	2,450
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	150
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	2,600
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Convert and renovate a high bay rail road engine repair facility into an operations and maintenance facility including construction of an armory, conversion of boat overhaul and shop area, enclosure of supply area, office administration spaces and training areas; renovation work includes lighting improvements, electrical upgrades, mechanical and plumbing system upgrades, parking and site work, gangway and floating pier.

11. REQUIREMENT: 28,680 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Construct a maintenance building for Special Boat Unit Eleven (SB11).

REQUIREMENT:
This facility supports the maintenance and management of Special Boat Unit Eleven (SB11) which is relocating from Mare Island due to actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Special Boat Unit 11 occupies facilities at Mare Island which is closing and will relocate to facilities at NAVCOMSTA Stockton. No facilities exist at Stockton to accommodate the relocation of this unit.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
This activity could not support the relocation of Special Boat Unit 11 and the closure of Mare Island.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00886

NAVAL COMMUNICATION STATION, STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
SPECIAL BOAT MAINTENANCE BUILDING	P-083T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	04-95

(2) BASIS:

(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES___ NO_X_

(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

	($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(139)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(95)
(C) TOTAL	234
(D) CONTRACT	(208)
(E) IN-HOUSE	(26)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 06-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NAWC

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	0	79155	0	0	0	79155
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[3522]	[2029]	[359]	[1146]	[140]	[141]	[7337]
Studies	118	0	129	478	0	0	725
Compliance	262	1886	87	525	0	0	2760
Restoration	3142	143	143	143	140	141	3852
Operation & Maintenance	2236	2204	8820	19578	15304	2785	50927
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	34	265	0	0	299
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	5758	4233	88368	20989	15444	2926	137718
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	-531	0	0	0	-531
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	-3057	-3124	-6181
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	-10674	-10908	-21582
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-167]	[-269]	[-269]	[-269]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-2]	[-2]	[-2]	[-1]	[-1]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	-531	0	-13731	-14032	-28294

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	0	78624	0	0	0	78624
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	118	0	129	478	0	0	725
Compliance	262	1886	87	525	0	0	2760
Restoration	3142	143	143	143	140	141	3852
Operation & Maintenance	2236	2204	8820	19578	12247	-339	44746
Military Personnel	0	0	34	265	0	0	299
Other	0	0	0	0	-10674	-10908	-21582
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-167]	[-269]	[-269]	[-269]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-2]	[-2]	[-2]	[-1]	[-1]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	5758	4233	87837	20989	1713	-11106	109424

Note: Net Costs inlcudes Land Sales Revenue

NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER, AIRCRAFT DIVISION, TRENTON, NEW JERSEY

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Close the Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division (NAWC AD), Trenton and relocate functions to the Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division Patuxent River, MD, and the Arnold Engineering Development Center, Tullahoma, TN. Projected closure date of FY 1997 is planned.

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: Include costs for new facilities to house test chambers, laboratories, and support space at NAWC AD Patuxent River and the Air Force's Arnold Engineering Development Center (AEDC), Tullahoma, TN. Facilities at NAWC AD Patuxent River include the Rotor Spin Facility for structural testing of rotating components, Unmanned Air Vehicle Propulsion Systems development facility, Helicopter Transmission test facility, Accessories test facility, Shipboard aviation fuel and lubricant system evaluation and development facility, and supporting areas. Facilities at AEDC, Tullahoma include Sea Level Ram Environmental test chambers and piping and air conditioning equipment to connect chambers to the existing AEDC Aeropropulsion Systems Test Facility. Also included are two Small Engine Altitude Test chambers and the piping to connect them to the existing AEDC Engine Test Facility.

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount $(000)
P-159T ARNOLD AFB, CONSTRUCT ENGINE TEST CELLS	1996	44,400
P-160T ARNOLD AFB, ENGINE TEST FACILITY	1996	9,000
P-953T PAX RIVER, PROPULSION SYSTEM EVALUATION FACILITY	1996	25,755
SUBTOTAL FY 1996		79,155
TOTAL		79,155

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets to Arnold Engineering Development Tullahoma, TN will require an Environmental Assessment (EA). Issues to be addressed include impacts to

wetlands, endangered species, surface hydrology, increased air and water emissions, increased utility demands, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction. Funding for NEPA documentation for the relocation of assets from NAWC Trenton to NAWC Patuxent River has been included in the budget submittals for the realignment of the National Capitol Region (Naval Air Systems Command relocation to NAWC Patuxent River).

NEPA documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EIS will be necessary to document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land at NAWC Trenton with subsequent reuse. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse as an industrial park may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination. Prior to actual disposal of NAWC property, it is likely that the Navy will allow interim use of the property under lease agreements. An EA will be required to document the potential impacts of such interim use. Issues to be addressed in the EA include changes in land use and increased air and water emissions.

Compliance: Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) will be removed. An asbestos inventory will be conducted, and any asbestos found to be hazardous to human health will be abated. Soil will be tested for PCBs and will be cleaned up where contaminated. Hazardous waste storage areas will undergo a Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) cleanup. An environmental baseline survey will be conducted for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA), for transfer/lease, and for a close-out survey.

Installation Restoration: Includes costs to remedy known environmental pollution problems in order to obtain state approval for commercial industrial reutilization or conversion to other uses after closure. Nine sites have been identified under the Installation Restoration (IR) program. The sites are being investigated for contamination from hazardous or toxic substances. Includes state reimbursement costs for health assessments attributable to this base. These Congressionally mandated requirements include reimbursement for State oversight of clean up and the cost of health assessments by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

Operations and Maintenance: Security services will be provided by government employees in accordance with existing legislation. Costs include labor, contractor support, material and supplies in the management support of the processes and teams involved with downsizing and closing NAWC AD Trenton. Costs also include furniture and supporting equipment for personnel relocating to NAWC AD Patuxent River and AEDC Tullahoma. The basic concept of caretaker operations is to perform facilities management, routine caretaker maintenance and repairs, and operation of key utilities by contract. A Caretaker Site Office (CSO) will be established. The CSO will be tasked with administering the caretaker contract, environmental clean-up/compliance monitoring, public affairs, and property records management.

BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Real estate expenses included in these estimates are not normal Navy expenses. The General Services Administration (GSA) is normally the disposal agent for Navy's land and improvements. However, the Base Closure legislation directs the Administrator of GSA to delegate his disposal authority to DOD, including the requirement to pay for all disposal costs. These expenses cover federal screening, land transfers to other federal agencies, public discount transfers, and any public sale of real estate. Expenses to be incurred are for site inspections, travel to sites, appraisals, title work, surveys, training and software, signs, news releases, marketing, community liaison, printing, advertising, audio-visual aids, photographic video, site presentation, office rental, auction site rental, auction fees and closing costs. Also included are costs associated with interim outleasing of closure property and termination of existing leases.

Navy will screen the property with other federal, state, and local agencies and the public according to the normal federal disposal process. This may result in transfer to another federal agency, a homeless provider, sale to a state or local government either at fair market value or discounted under a variety of statutory programs. If property survives the screening process, the property will ultimately be disposed of by public sale.

Military Personnel - PCS: PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other: None.

Land Sale Revenue: Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: Projects which were in the FYDP have been removed.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Savings represent the aggregate savings of closing NAWC Trenton and transitioning workload to NAWC Patuxent River and AEDC Tullahoma. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: None.

Other: Savings represent the aggregate savings of closing NAWC Trenton and transitioning workload to NAWC Patuxent River and AEDC Tullahoma.

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NAVAL COMMAND, CONTROL AND OCEAN SURVEILLANCE CENTER (NISE EAST)

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	8000	35400	0	0	0	0	43400
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[136]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[57]	[0]	[193]
Studies	136	0	0	0	0	0	136
Compliance	0	0	0	0	57	0	57
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	772	5788	9614	4411	6923	3951	31459
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	8908	41188	9614	4411	6980	3951	75052
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	-5108	0	0	0	-5108
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	-907	-6874	-7006	-7140	-21927
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	-10722	-10958	-11199	-32879
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-10]	[-15]	[-17]	[-17]	[-23]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-3]	[-4]	[-4]	[-10]	[-10]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	-6015	-17596	-17964	-18339	-59914
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	8000	35400	-5108	0	0	0	38292
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	136	0	0	0	0	0	136
Compliance	0	0	0	0	57	0	57
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	772	5788	8707	-2463	-83	-3189	9532
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	-10722	-10958	-11199	-32879
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-10]	[-15]	[-17]	[-17]	[-23]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-3]	[-4]	[-4]	[-10]	[-10]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	8908	41188	3599	-13185	-10984	-14388	15138

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

NAVAL COMMAND, CONTROL, AND OCEAN SURVEILLANCE CENTER (NCCOSC) EAST COAST IN-SERVICE ENGINEERING ACTIVITIES (NISE East)

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

NISE East will consolidate in Charleston, SC with detachments remaining in Portsmouth, VA and St. Inigoes, MD. NISE East will be established in FY 1994. By the end of FY 1996, NISE East Washington will be closed following the relocation to Charleston of its functions and personnel and all NISE Washington facilities will revert to the host, the Naval Security Station. By the end of FY 1997, all NISE East St. Inigoes functions and personnel will be relocated to Charleston except for 195 positions which will remain at NISE East St. Inigoes to perform air traffic control, LAMPS, IDS, AEGIS radio room, special warfare, and related functions. By the end of FY 1998, all NISE East Portsmouth functions and personnel will be relocated to Charleston except for 59 positions which will remain at NISE East Portsmouth to provide direct support to the Norfolk-area Fleet units.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: The following project is required for NCCOSC.

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-001T Charleston Electronics Engineering Center (Phase I)	1994	8,000
SUBTOTAL FY 1994		8,000
P-002T Charleston Electronics Engineering Center (Phase II)	1995	35,400
SUBTOTAL FY 1995		35,400
TOTAL		43,400

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets to NISE East Charleston will require an Environmental Assessment (EA) that addresses the cumulative impacts of receiving assets from NISE East St. Inigoes, NISE East Portsmouth, and NISE East Washington. The EA will address

impacts to wetlands, endangered species, surface hydrology, traffic impacts, electro-magnetic radiation impacts, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction.

Compliance: None required since no property will be disposed of.

Installation Restoration (IR): None required since no property will be disposed.

Operations and Maintenance: Costs identified cover the following: severance, separation and relocation costs for civilian personnel; disassembly, relocation reassembly, recalibration, and/or disposal of material and equipment; and planning costs. BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel - PCS: None.

Other: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: None.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: Projects which were in the FYDP have been removed.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Includes civilian personnel salary and other operating savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: None.

Other: Customer savings as a result of the realignment of DBOF activities.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:N00193 NAVAL WEAPONS STATION, CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA	ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING CENTER (PHASE I)

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0702096N	317.25	P-001T	8,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING CENTER	SF	744,000	-	32,020
BUILDING	SF	76,000	100.00	(7,600)
LABORATORY CONVERSIONS	SF	180,000	49.00	(8,820)
ADMINISTRATION AREA	SF	180,000	64.00	(11,520)
WAREHOUSES RENOVATION	SF	308,000	13.00	(4,000)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	-	-	(80)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	6,970
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(660)
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(4,110)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(2,200)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	38,990
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	1,950
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	40,940
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	2,460
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	43,400
LESS: PHASE II (FY95 P-002T) FUNDING	-	-	-	- 35,400
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	8,000
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Multi-story building, pile and grade beam foundation, concrete floors, concrete masonry walls, standing seam roofing; laboratory and warehouse rehabilitation/conversions; elevators, fire protection system, air conditioning, and utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 744,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides an electronics engineering center and converts or upgrades existing buildings.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to accommodate relocation and establishment of the Naval Command, Control and Ocean Surveillance Center (NCCOSC), East Coast In-Service Engineering (NISE). Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Naval Electronic Systems Engineering Activity, Portsmouth, VA, Naval Electronic Systems Security Engineering Center, Washington, DC, Naval Electronic Systems Engineering Activity, St. Inigoes, MD, and Naval Electronic Systems Engineering Center, Charleston, SC, will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Naval Electronic Systems Engineering Center, Charleston and a great

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL WEAPONS STATION, CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING CENTER (PHASE I)	P-001T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)

portion of its warehouse functions are in leased space. Some of its labs and warehouses are located at the South Annex of the Naval Weapons Station, Charleston. Upon consolidation of the Naval electronics engineering centers and activities, the East Coast NISE will be established at this station. No facilities exist to adequately accommodate these additional personnel and storage requirements.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate facilities will not be available for the establishment of NISE East and the consolidation of the East Coast electronics engineering centers. This station will not be able to support NISE East, nor will NISE East be able to efficiently carry out its on-going mission of in-service engineering assistance to the Fleet and meet the recommendations of the Base Closure Commission.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1993	0
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	06-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X__
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	_____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(2,340)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(1,560)
(C)	TOTAL	3,900
(D)	CONTRACT	(3,500)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(400)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 08-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS: NONE.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:N00193 NAVAL WEAPONS STATION, CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA	ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING CENTER (PHASE II)

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0702096N	317.25	P-002T	35,400

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING CENTER	SF	744,000	-	32,020
BUILDING	SF	76,000	100.00	(7,600)
LABORATORY CONVERSIONS	SF	180,000	49.00	(8,820)
ADMINISTRATION AREA	SF	180,000	64.00	(11,520)
WAREHOUSES RENOVATION	SF	308,000	13.00	(4,000)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	-	-	(80)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	6,970
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(660)
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(4,110)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(2,200)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	38,990
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	1,950
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	40,940
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	2,460
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	43,400
LESS: PHASE I (FY94 P-001T) FUNDING	-	-	-	- 8,000
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	35,400
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Multi-story building, pile and grade beam foundation, concrete floors, concrete masonry walls, standing seam roofing; laboratory and warehouse rehabilitation/conversions; elevators, fire protection system, air conditioning, and utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 744,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides an electronics engineering center and converts or upgrades existing buildings.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to accommodate relocation and establishment of the Naval Command, Control and Ocean Surveillance Center (NCCOSC), East Coast In-Service Engineering (NISE). Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Naval Electronic Systems Engineering Activity, Portsmouth, VA, Naval Electronic Systems Security Engineering Center, Washington, DC, Naval Electronic Systems Engineering Activity, St. Inigoes, MD, and Naval Electronic Systems Engineering Center, Charleston, SC, will be relocated to this station.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Naval Electronic Systems Engineering Center, Charleston and a great

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL WEAPONS STATION, CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING CENTER (PHASE II)	P-002T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)

portion of its warehouse functions are in leased space. Some of its labs and warehouses are located at the South Annex of the Naval Weapons Station, Charleston. Upon consolidation of the Naval electronics engineering centers and activities, the East Coast NISE will be established at this station. No facilities exist to adequately accommodate these additional personnel and storage requirements.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate facilities will not be available for the establishment of NISE East and the consolidation of the East Coast electronics engineering centers. This station will not be able to support NISE East, nor will NISE East be able to efficiently carry out its on-going mission of in-service engineering assistance to the Fleet and meet the recommendations of the Base Closure Commission.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	0
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	06-94

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(2,340)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(1,560)
(C)	TOTAL	3,900
(D)	CONTRACT	(3,500)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(400)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 12-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS: NONE.

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NSWC

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	10300	0	0	0	0	10300
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[129]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[129]
Studies	129	0	0	0	0	0	129
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	5162	9580	7595	4299	1126	0	27762
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	330	330	259	125	0	1044
TOTAL COSTS	5291	20210	7925	4558	1251	0	39235
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	-408	-819	-837	-856	-2920
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-1253	-2515	-2571	-2628	-8967
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-55]	[-55]	[-55]	[-55]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[-2]	[-20]	[-20]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	-1661	-3334	-3408	-3484	-11887

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	10300	0	0	0	0	10300
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	129	0	0	0	0	0	129
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	5162	9580	7187	3480	289	-856	24842
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	330	-923	-2256	-2446	-2628	-7923
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-55]	[-55]	[-55]	[-55]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[-2]	[-20]	[-20]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	5291	20210	6264	1224	-2157	-3484	27348

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER (NSWC)

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Disestablish NSWC Dahlgren Division, White Oak Detachment, White Oak, MD, and transfer functions to NSWC Indian Head Division, Indian Head, MD, and NSWC Dahlgren Division, Dahlgren, VA. Projected realignment is FY 1998.

Disestablish the Port Hueneme Division, Virginia Beach Detachment, Virginia Beach, VA and realign with the Fleet Combat Training Center (FCTC), Dam Neck, VA. Projected disestablishment is FY 1995.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: The capabilities and functions of the Explosives and Warheads Division are moved from White Oak to Indian Head. This capability does not currently exist at Indian Head. The proposed project is required to avoid seriously impacting ongoing research and development including the Navy's efforts to protect billion dollar weapons platforms.

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-146T Indian Head Explosive Test Facility Complex	1995	10,300
SUBTOTAL FY 1995		10,300
TOTAL		10,300

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions.

Relocation of assets to NSWC Dahlgren will require an Environmental Assessment (EA); issues to be addressed include impacts to wetlands, endangered species, surface hydrology, increased air and water emissions, traffic impacts, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction.

Relocation of assets to FCTCLANT Dam Neck can likely be categorically excluded from further NEPA documentation.

Operations and Maintenance: The realignment of NSWC-White Oak, MD will require one-time expenditures:

Personnel relocation costs for functional realignments from White Oak, MD to Dahlgren, VA and Indian Head, MD. These costs include PCS costs, health benefits, and severance pay and lump sum annual leave payments for personnel not electing to transfer to the receiving site.

Equipment relocation costs for transferring functions include take-down, packaging, shipment, installation and test/calibration of all equipment necessary to perform the same function at the receiving site.

Space modification costs include alteration, maintenance and repair costs necessary to accommodate functional realignments at receiving sites.

BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: No requirement.

Procurement Items: Costs for major and minor equipment procurement required to perform functions transferred to Dahlgren, VA and Indian Head, MD.

Land Sales Revenue: None.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity. The savings have been reflected in the customer appropriations of this DBOF activity group.

Military Personnel: Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

Other: Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity. The savings have been reflected in the customer appropriations of this DBOF activity group.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00174	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER DIVISION, INDIAN HEAD, MARYLAND	EXPLOSIVE TEST FACILITY COMPLEX

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0702096N	316.10	P-146T	10,300

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
EXPLOSIVE TEST FACILITY COMPLEX.	SF	16,350	-	7,780
LABORATORY BUILDINGS	SF	9,550	628.00	(6,000)
ADMIN/COMPUTER SPACE/SHOPS	SF	6,400	120.00	(770)
MAGAZINE	SF	400	200.00	(80)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(930)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	1,500
UTILITIES.	LS	-	-	(900)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT.	LS	-	-	(600)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	9,280
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	460
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	9,740
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	560
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	10,300
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Nine permanent structures, four with concrete containment walls; office, computer, engineering lab space; temperature/humidity controlled magazine; enclosed ramps between labs and explosive prep building; wastewater piping with pumping station to base industrial treatment facility; air conditioning, fire protection systems, and utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 16,350 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Constructs an explosive test facility complex.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate facilities are required to characterize energetic materials being developed to support insensitive munitions, initiation systems, multimode Anti-Submarine and Anti-Surface Warfare (ASW and ASuW), and mine countermeasure warheads research and development for the Navy and DOD. The facilities will support the DOD's basic research and science in energetic materials including explosives, detonation physics, warhead sciences, and explosives initiation systems.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The primary DOD bombproof facilities currently consist of the Navy's explosive testing facilities at White Oak, MD. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the capabilities and functions of the explosives and warhead division of White Oak will relocate to NSWC Indian Head Division. Other DOD, DOE, and private industry facilities have several chambers that can be used with bare explosives, but not with metal cased charges needed for explosive test performance, sensitivity, and warhead science investigations. Open field testing is coming under increasing environmental scrutiny anu does not provide the needed control and instrumentation for precision scientific studies.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

The Navy and DOD will lose the capability to develop new energetic materials and to test new warhead science concepts. The Navy's

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N00174

NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER DIVISION, INDIAN HEAD, MARYLAND

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
EXPLOSIVE TEST FACILITY COMPLEX	P-146T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

insensitive munitions efforts to protect billion dollar weapons platforms such as aircraft carriers, and the personnel associated with them will be seriously impacted. DOD's ability to downsize the number and types of warheads and weapons systems under project RELIANCE will be adversely affected. The development of new AAW/ASW/ASuW, mines, countermines, and STRIKE warfare systems will also be impeded due to the lack of research and development test facilities.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	04-95

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES ___ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(557)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(370)
(C)	TOTAL	927
(D)	CONTRACT	(824)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(103)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 06-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: NUWC

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[4]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[4]
Studies	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1882	11458	2094	0	0	0	15434
Military Personnel - PCS	0	71	0	0	0	0	71
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	1886	11529	2094	0	0	0	15509
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	433	2646	2531	2505	8115
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-7916	-8547	-9076	-9198	-34737
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-25]	[-83]	[-95]	[-95]	[-95]	[-95]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	-7483	-5901	-6545	-6693	-26622

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1882	11458	2527	2646	2531	2505	23549
Military Personnel	0	71	0	0	0	0	71
Other	0	0	-7916	-8547	-9076	-9198	-34737
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-25]	[-83]	[-95]	[-95]	[-95]	[-95]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	1886	11529	-5389	-5901	-6545	-6693	-11113

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE CENTER

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Disestablish the Naval Undersea Warfare Center Detachment, Norfolk (NUWCDETNOR) and the relocation of its functions, personnel, equipment and support to the Naval Undersea Warfare Center, Newport Division (NUWCDIVNPT). Projected disestablishment is FY 1995.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets to NUWC Newport can likely be categorically excluded from further NEPA documentation. A small cost is associated with this planning effort.

Operations and Maintenance: Costs at activities necessary to implement and administer the BRAC-93 actions; equipment relocation costs for transferring functions necessary to perform the same function at the receiving site; space modification costs including alterations and repairs necessary to accommodate functional realignment at receiving site.

BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: No requirement.

Procurement Items: No requirement.

Land Sales Revenue: None.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity and the recurring costs for long term lease payments to fulfill a contractual obligation.

Civilian/Military Personnel: Includes salary savings associated with eliminating civilian and military billets through consolidation efficiencies. Savings have been reflected in the customer appropriations of this DBOF activity group.

Other: Savings represented by the elimination of redundant laboratory equipment and space. Savings have been reflected in the customer appropriations of this DBOF activity group.

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: STAND-ALONE NAVY & MC RESERVE CENTERS

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	2680	0	0	0	0	2680
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[6374]	[2785]	[822]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[9981]
Studies	302	647	0	0	0	0	949
Compliance	5909	762	822	0	0	0	7493
Restoration	163	1376	0	0	0	0	1539
Operation & Maintenance	2104	2040	698	611	0	0	5453
Military Personnel - PCS	254	3	0	0	0	0	257
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	8732	7508	1520	611	0	0	18371
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	-4144	0	0	0	-4144
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	106	-2582	-3572	-2992	-2996	-2990	-15026
Military Personnel	0	-5827	-10865	-10953	-11133	-11368	-50146
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-36]	[-36]	[-36]	[-36]	[-36]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-245]	[-245]	[-245]	[-245]	[-245]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	106	-8409	-18581	-13945	-14129	-14358	-69316
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	2680	-4144	0	0	0	-1464
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	302	647	0	0	0	0	949
Compliance	5909	762	822	0	0	0	7493
Restoration	163	1376	0	0	0	0	1539
Operation & Maintenance	2210	-542	-2874	-2381	-2996	-2990	-9573
Military Personnel	254	-5824	-10865	-10953	-11133	-11368	-49889
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-36]	[-36]	[-36]	[-36]	[-36]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[-245]	[-245]	[-245]	[-245]	[-245]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	8838	-901	-17061	-13334	-14129	-14358	-50945

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

STAND ALONE RESERVE CENTERS AND READINESS COMMANDS

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Naval Reserve Surface Activities (Stand Alone): Naval Reserve Centers and Readiness Commands support the administration and training of Naval Surface Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve units. Operational closures for these Reserve Centers and Readiness Commands will occur for the most part in FY 1994 and FY 1995.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: This construction project will consolidate the assets from the reserve centers at Great Falls and Missoula Montana to Helena Montana.

Location/Project Title		FY	Amount ($000)
P-127T NMCRC Helena	Reserve Center	1995	2,680
	SUBTOTAL FY 1995		2,680
	TOTAL		2,680

Family Housing Construction: Not applicable

Family Housing Operations: Not applicable

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets to RESCEN Helena will require an Environmental Assessment (EA) that addresses the cumulative impacts of receiving assets from NRC Missoula and NRC Great Falls. Issues to be addressed include impacts to wetlands, surface hydrology, increased air and water emissions, increased utility demands, traffic impacts, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction. Relocation of assets to NMCRC South Bend from NMCRC Fort Wayne can likely be categorically excluded from further NEPA documentation. A small cost is associated with this planning effort. Funding for NEPA documentation for the relocation of assets from NMCRC Abilene, NMCRC Dallas, and REDCOM 11 to NAS FT Worth has been included in the budget submittals for the closure of NAS Dallas.

The following reserve centers are located on property that is owned by the Navy: NRC Jamestown, NRC Perth Amboy, NRC Pittsfield, NRC New Bedford, NMCRC Fort Wayne, NRC Monroe, NRC Staunton, and NRC Pacific Grove. NEPA documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse

actions. An EA will be necessary for each reserve center that will document impacts resulting from Navy disposal of facilities and land with subsequent reuse. Impacts to be addressed include air and water quality (e.g., reuse as an industrial park may result in increased air and water emissions), reuse of buildings that are potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and changes in land use. This effort will involve extensive public participation and coordination.

Compliance: For Montana Reserve Centers: Asbestos, lead paint and underground storage tank surveys will be required for land transfer.

Installation Restoration (IR): No IR sites have been identified at the Reserve Centers.

Operations and Maintenance: Reserve Centers located on property owned by the Navy will require caretaker services. The basic concept of caretaker operations is to perform facilities management, routine caretaker maintenance and repairs, and operation of key utilities by contract. A Caretaker Site Office (CSO) will be responsible for administering the caretaker contract, environmental clean-up/compliance monitoring, public affairs, and property records management. Reserve Centers occupying leased space require no unique caretaker services.

Planning expenses are required to prepare special planning studies. Much of the scope of previous planning efforts was rendered obsolete by the additional loading at the gaining locations. Special planning studies are required to determine basic facilities requirements, special project validations, siting, space utilization, and relocation plans.

BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Real estate expenses included in these estimates are not normal Navy expenses. The General Services Administration (GSA) is normally the disposal agent for Navy's land and improvements. However, the Base Closure legislation directs the Administrator of GSA to delegate his disposal authority to DOD, including the requirement to pay for all disposal costs. These expenses cover federal screening, land transfers to other federal agencies, public discount transfers, and any public sale of real estate.

Expenses to be incurred are for site inspections, travel to sites, appraisals, title work, surveys, training and software, signs, news releases, marketing, community liaison, printing, advertising, audiovisual aids, photographic video, site presentation, office rental, auction site rental, auction fees and closing fees. Also included are costs associated with interim outleasing of closure property and termination of existing leases.

Navy will screen the property with other federal, state, and local agencies and the public according to the normal federal disposal process. This may result in transfer to another federal agency, a homeless provider, sale to a state or local government either at fair market value or discounted under a variety of statutory programs. If property survives the screening process, the property will ultimately be disposed of by public sale.

Military Personnel - PCS: PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Land Sales Revenue: Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is transferred or sold at fair market value.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: MCNR projects which were in the FYDP have been removed.

Family Housing Construction: None

Family Housing Operations: None

Operations and Maintenance: Includes civilian personnel salary and base operating savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

Other: None

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N63539	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL RESERVE CENTER, HELENA, MONTANA	RESERVE CENTER

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0505096N	171.15	P-127T	2,680

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
RESERVE CENTER	SF	20,820	95.00	1,980
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	430
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(250)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	-	(180)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,410
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	120
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	2,530
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	150
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	2,680
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Two story steel framed building with spread footings, concrete floors and concrete masonry unit walls, electrical and mechanical utilities, air conditioning and fire protection systems; site improvements, roads, parking and sidewalks.

11. REQUIREMENT: 20,820 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Constructs a reserve center.

REQUIREMENT:
A new reserve training building is required at Helena, MT to enable the reserve units consolidated from NRC Great Falls and Missoula, MT to effectively perform their missions and readiness objectives. Because of actions authorized by Public law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NRC Great Falls and Missoula, MT will close and reserve training and administration will relocate to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Currently no facilities are available for the establishment of a Naval Reserve Center at Helena, MT to receive the incoming reservists.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project, reserve center facilities will not be available to support the closure of NRC Great Falls and Missoula, MT reserve centers.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:
(A) DATE DESIGN STARTED. 10-93
(B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994. 25

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N63539

NAVAL RESERVE CENTER, HELENA, MONTANA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
RESERVE CENTER	P-127T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (CONTINUED)

(C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE 02-94
(D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE 09-94

(2) BASIS:
(A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES___NO_X_
(B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):	($000)
(A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(100)
(B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(2,350)
(C) TOTAL	2,450
(D) CONTRACT	(840)
(E) IN-HOUSE	(1,610)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START 12-94
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:
NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: PERA CENTERS

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	4940	0	0	0	0	4940
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[22]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[22]
Studies	22	0	0	0	0	0	22
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	35	4652	259	7679	682	867	14174
Military Personnel - PCS	0	11	0	0	0	0	11
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	57	9603	259	7679	682	867	19147
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-693	-2387	-3107	-4457	-6981	-7912	-25537
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-23]	[-43]	[-64]	[-91]	[-105]	[-118]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-693	-2387	-3107	-4457	-6981	-7912	-25537

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	4940	0	0	0	0	4940
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	22	0	0	0	0	0	22
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-658	2265	-2848	3222	-6299	-7045	-11363
Military Personnel	0	11	0	0	0	0	11
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[-23]	[-43]	[-64]	[-91]	[-105]	[-118]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-636	7216	-2848	3222	-6299	-7045	-6390

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

PLANNING, ENGINEERING FOR REPAIR, AND ALTERATIONS (PERA) CENTERS

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

PERA (Surface) headquarters at Philadelphia, PERA (Surface) Atlantic office at Norfolk, and PERA (Surface) Pacific office at San Francisco will be disestablished in FY 1995 and reduced functions and assets subsequently relocated and consolidated with Supervisors of Shipbuilding, Conversion and Repair (SUPSHIPS) at Portsmouth, VA (in Norfolk Naval Shipyard) and San Diego, CA. PERA (CV) headquarters at Bremerton will be disestablished in FY 1997 and reduced functions and assets relocated and consolidated with SUPSHIPS Newport News, VA.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction:

Location/Project Title	FY	Amount ($000)
P-366T Norfolk - Building Renovations	1995	3,200
P-025T Newport News - Building Renovations	1995	1,740
SUBTOTAL FY 1995		4,940
TOTAL		4,940

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets from PERA (SURFACE) HQ Philadelphia, PERA (SURFACE) ATL Norfolk, and PERA (SURFACE) PAC San Francisco to SUPSHIPS Portsmouth and SUPSHIPS San Diego can likely be categorically excluded from further NEPA documentation, as can relocation of assets from PERA CV Bremerton to SUPSHIPS Newport News.

Compliance: No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance: Costs include severance pay, extended health benefits, lump sum leave and PCS relocation costs for a reduced number of employees. BRAC 93 civilian personnel related one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel: Includes PCS relocation costs.

Procurement Items: None.

Land Sales Revenue: None.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Reflects civilian end strength savings and related support cost savings to ensure balance between capacity and future force and resource levels for the realigned functions.

Military Personnel: None.

Other: None.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD, PORTSMOUTH, VIRGINIA /UIC:N00181	BUILDING RENOVATIONS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0702228N	610.10	P-366T	3,200

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
BUILDING RENOVATIONS	SF	50,880	51.00	2,590
SUPPORTING FACILITY	-	-	-	290
UTILITIES AND ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS	-	-	(290)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,880
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	140
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	3,020
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	180
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	3,200
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Renovates two buildings, interior reconfigurations, upgrade/replace utilities, new windows and doors, floor, wall and ceiling treatment, interior partitions, roof, interior and exterior painting, pointing mortar joints, insulation, and asbestos removal.

11. REQUIREMENT: 50,880 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Renovates existing buildings to provide administrative space of Planning, Estimating, and Repair Activities (PERA) personnel to be consolidated with SUPSHIP Portsmouth at Norfolk Naval Shipyard, Portsmouth, VA.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly configured facilities to provide administrative space for 255 personnel relocating from PERA Surface Headquarters, Philadelphia, PA, PERA Surface Atlantic Office, Portsmouth, VA, and PERA Surface Pacific Office, San Francisco, CA, to be consolidated with SUPSHIP Portsmouth at the shipyard. This was a result of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The existing substandard wood frame buildings are used for electrical, electronics, and communication systems integration laboratory, and are

(CONTINUED ON DD 139°C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD, PORTSMOUTH, VIRGINIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BUILDING RENOVATIONS	P-366T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)
not suited for administration functions.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project, adequate facilities will not be available for the relocating personnel. This shipyard will not be able to support the relocation and consolidation of PERA personnel.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:
- (A) DATE DESIGN STARTED 10-93
- (B) PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994 30
- (C) DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE 02-94
- (D) DATE DESIGN COMPLETE 10-94

(2) BASIS:
- (A) STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN: YES___ NO X
- (B) WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED: _____

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E): ($000)
- (A) PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS . . . . . (174)
- (B) ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS . . . . . (114)
- (C) TOTAL 288
- (D) CONTRACT . . . . . (256)
- (E) IN-HOUSE . . . . . (32)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START 02-95
(MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:
NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N62793	4. PROJECT TITLE
SUPERVISOR OF SHIPBUILDING, NEWPORT NEWS, VIRGINIA	BUILDING RENOVATIONS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0702896N	610.10	P-025T	1,740

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
BUILDING RENOVATIONS	SF	30,000	52.00	1,560
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	1,560
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	80
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	1,640
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	100
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	1,740
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Renovate buildings including interior reconfigurations, upgrade/replace mechanical and electrical systems, provide new doors and windows, floor, wall and ceiling treatment, interior partitions, roof and painting. Add insulation, asbestos abatement/removal, and site improvements.

11. REQUIREMENT: 30,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: (30,000) SF

PROJECT:
Renovates existing buildings for administrative space for Planning, Estimating, and Repair Activities (PERA-CV) personnel relocated from Bremerton, WA to Newport News, VA.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate administrative type facilities are required in Newport News, VA near the Newport News Shipbuilding and Drydock Company. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, personnel will relocate from Bremerton to Newport News.

CURRENT SITUATION:
The existing wood and metal frame buildings are largely substandard. The existing mechanical and electrical systems need upgrading or replacement. The buildings need new windows and doors as well as floor, wall and ceiling treatments. New lighting and additional insulation are also required. Portions of the existing buildings are currently being used for ship construction and overhaul work and will need to be modified for this administrative function. The buildings need total renovation to serve the administrative function.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
The realignment of PERA-CV headquarters as called for in the 1993 Base Realignment and Closure Commission recommendations cannot be carried out.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N62793

SUPERVISOR OF SHIPBUILDING, NEWPORT NEWS, VIRGINIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BUILDING RENOVATIONS	P-025T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	04-95

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___ NO_X_
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(94)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(63)
(C)	TOTAL	157
(D)	CONTRACT	(139)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(18)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 06-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($ MIL)

Closure/Realignment Location: National Capital Region

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	1075	134486	67235	22400	0	0	225196
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[1336]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[1336]
Studies	1336	0	0	0	0	0	1336
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	500	23132	31355	89779	15470	4287	164523
Military Personnel - PCS	0	514	1125	2912	1000	0	5551
Other	0	4103	2140	0	1612	0	7855
TOTAL COSTS	2911	162235	101855	115091	18082	4287	404461
Land Sale Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	-470	16302	-8661	-45310	-69713	-107852
Military Personnel	0	0	-2285	-3177	-3952	-4039	-13453
Other	0	0	0	-513	-525	-535	-1573
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[225]	[-144]	[-144]	[-144]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-73]	[-113]	[-113]	[-113]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	-470	14017	-12351	-49787	-74287	-122878
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	1075	134486	67235	22400	0	0	225196
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	1336	0	0	0	0	0	133'
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	500	22662	47657	81118	-29840	-65426	56671
Military Personnel	0	514	-1160	-265	-2952	-4039	-7902
Other	0	4103	2140	-513	1087	-535	6282
Land Sale Revenues	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[225]	[-144]	[-144]	[-144]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[-73]	[-113]	[-113]	[-113]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	2911	161765	115872	102740	-31705	-70000	281583

NATIONAL CAPITAL REGIONAL

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Proposed action realigns six major commands and relocates them out of the Washington DC metropolitan area. It further relocates numerous (approximately 19) other agencies out of leased spaces into government-owned spaces within the immediate environs of the Washington, DC area.

The Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFACENGCOM), the Chief of Naval Research (CNR), Navy Judge Advocate General (JAG) Office, two small Chief of Naval Operations Boards, and a portion of the Navy Human Resources Office (HRO) will vacate leased space in Alexandria, VA and relocate to government-owned facilities in the Washington Navy Yard, Washington, DC. Actions should be complete by the end of FY 1997.

The Naval Space Warfare Systems Command (SPAWARSYSCOM), the Naval Sea Systems Command Nuclear Propulsion Program Office (NAVSEA 08), and the Assistant Under Secretary of the Navy (AAUSN), will vacate leased space in Arlington, VA, and relocate to government-owned space in the Navy Annex in Arlington, VA. Actions should be complete by the end of FY 1998.

The Office of the Director of Strategic Systems Programs (SSP), Office of Civilian Personnel Management (OCPM), the Secretariat of International Programs Office (IPO), the Naval Audit Service (NAVAUDSRV) Headquarters and National Capital Region Field Office, and the Secretariat of the Naval Center for Cost Analysis (NCCA) will vacate leased space in Arlington, VA, and relocate to government-owned space in the Naval Security Station, Washington, DC. Actions should be complete by the end of FY 1996.

The following commands will relocate to activities outside of the National Capital Region: Naval Sea Systems Command, Naval Air Systems Command, Bureau of Naval Personnel, Naval Recruiting Command, Naval Tactical Support Activity (NTSA), Naval Supply Systems Command, and Naval Security Group Command.

Relocate the Naval Sea Systems Command (NAVSEA) from leased office Space in Crystal City, Arlington, VA to Naval Surface Warfare Center Detachment White Oak, Silver Springs, MD. The primary objective is to reduce high commercial leased costs by moving NAVSEA personnel to government-owned facilities with a required completion date in FY 1998.

Realignment of the Naval Air Systems Command (NAVAIR) to Naval Air Warfare Center (NAWC) Patuxent River, MD where it will be consolidated along with the Naval Aviation Depot Operations Center (NADOC) and the Naval Aviation Maintenance Office (NAMO). The objective is to reduce high commercial lease costs by moving NAVAIR to government-owned facilities with an expected completion date of FY 1997.

The Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission recommended that the Naval Supply Systems Command, the Defense Printing Service Management Office (DPSMO), and the Navy Food Systems Support Office (NAVFSSO) relocate from the National Capital Region (NCR) to the Navy Ships Parts Control Center (SPCC) in Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania, with some personnel relocating to NAS Memphis with BUPERS and some remaining in NCR and becoming part of the OPNAV organization. The intent is to realize savings by moving NAVSUP out of leased space into government-owned facilities. Action is expected to be completed by FY 1995.

The movement of DPSMO and NAVFSSO is mainly intended to free up space at the Washington Navy Yard for other activities transferring out of leased space.

Realign and move the Bureau of Naval Personnel and the Office of Military Manpower Management from the National Capital Region to Memphis, TN. Also included in the planned move to Memphis are the Navy Manpower Analysis Center, the Navy Motion Picture Service, and the Morale, Welfare, and Recreation Training Unit. In addition, realign the Naval Recruiting Command from Arlington, VA to Great Lakes, IL. These actions will relocate activities out of government-owned and leased space in the Washington area, and collocate functions as necessary in existing government-owned space to achieve maximum efficiencies and economies. As an added benefit, these actions will improve the quality of life for the (predominantly junior) military personnel assigned to the relocating activities, and make available government-owned space for other Navy activities relocating from leased spaces, but remaining in the National Capital Region. These realignments will be completed in FY 1997.

Realign the Naval Security Group Command Headquarters (and subordinate commands) from the Naval Security Station, 3801 Nebraska Avenue, Washington, DC to Fort Meade, MD and collocate with the National Security Agency (NSA) with whom they must deal on a daily basis. As an added benefit, this realignment consolidates Naval Security Group functions currently being conducted at both Nebraska Avenue and Fort Meade, and makes available government-owned space at 3801 Nebraska Avenue for National Capital Region activities vacating leased spaces but remaining in the National Capital Region. The realignment will be completed in FY 1995.

Relocate the Navy Tactical Support Activity, including its functions, personnel, equipment, and support from various leased and government-owned spaces in the National Capital Region to government-owned space in Norfolk, Virginia. This relocation will align the Navy Tactical Support Activity with one of its principal customers, the Commander-in-Chief, U.S. Atlantic Fleet.

Headquarters Marine Corps (HQMC) and Marine Corps Systems Command (MarCorSysCom) personnel will move out of the Clarendon Square GSA leased space and the Navy Annex. This move eliminates costly GSA lease and frees up approximately 266,185 square feet of space in the Navy Annex for reassignment of personnel moving out of Crystal City.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction: The following construction is required to accomplish the intended moves in this realignment package:

Location/Project Title		FY	Amount ($000)
P-320T	Memphis (BUPERS)	1994	1,075
	SUBTOTAL FY 1994		1,075
P-003T	Renovations (Nebraska Ave)	1995	15,100
P-951T	Patuxent River (NAVAIR)	1995	69,936
P-001T	White Oak (NAVSEA)	1995	41,900
P-602T	Great Lakes (NRC)	1995	7,550
	SUBTOTAL FY 1995		134,486
P-002T	Navy Annex Renovations	1996	8,520
P-002T	Washington Navy Yard Renovations	1996	9,290
P-465T	Quantico (CMC)	1996	17,406
P-321T	Memphis (BUPERS)	1996	31,019
P-360T	Norfolk (NTSA)	1996	1,000
	SUBTOTAL FY 1996		67,235
P-001T	Navy Annex Renovations	1997	22,400
	SUBTOTAL FY 1997		22,400
	TOTAL		225,196

Family Housing Construction: No requirement.

Family Housing Operations: No requirement.

Environmental:

Studies: In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions.

Environmental Assessments (EAs) will be required at property currently occupied by NAVSECGRUSYSCOM in northwest Washington, DC. The EA will analyze the impacts of receiving assets from various National Capitol Region (NCR) Navy commands. Issues to be addressed include impacts to wetlands, endangered species, surface hydrology, traffic impacts, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction.

Other NCR realignments/relocations to the Navy Annex and the Washington Navy Yard can likely be categorically excluded from further NEPA documentation.

An EIS will be required at NSWC White Oak to address the cumulative impacts of receiving assets from NAVSEASYSCOM. Issues to be addressed include impacts to wetlands, endangered species, surface hydrology, traffic impacts, socioeconomics, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated ¬ilitary construction.

Environmental studies and assessments are required with relocation of NAVAIR Headquarters to NAWC, Patuxent River.

An environmental assessment (EA) will be required at NAS, Memphis to address the cumulative impacts of receiving assets from the Bureau of Naval Personnel and accompanying commands relocat!.g to Memphis. Issues to be addressed include impacts to wetlands, endangered species, surface hydrology, traffic impacts, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction.

The realignment of the Naval Security Group Command from Nebraska Avenue to Fort Meade can likely be categorically excluded from further NEPA documentation. A small cost has been budgeted for this initial planning effort.

The impact of relocating the Naval Tactical Support Activity to Norfolk will be addressed in a single, consolidated document addressing the impact of various activities relocating to the Norfolk area. The necessary funding for the NEPA documentation is budgeted under the Naval Station, Charleston closure package.

Compliance: Asbestos is present in the buildings at White Oak in various forms. An asbestos survey was completed by the activity in 1991. The estimated cost of required asbestos abatement has been included in military construction project, P-001T.

Installation Restoration (IR): No requirement.

Military Personnel - PCS: PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Operations and Maintenance: Costs are for civilian personnel severance; equipment disassembly, relocation, reassembly, and recalibration; telephone connections; physical security actions; local area network reconfigurations; and systems furniture. Planning expenses are required to prepare special planning studies. Much of the scope of previous planning efforts were rendered obsolete by the additional loading at the gaining locations. Special planning studies are required to determine basic facilities requirements, special project validations, siting, office space utilization, and relocation plans.

The realignment of Naval Security Group Command Headquarters (and subordinate commands) from 3801 Nebraska Avenue, Washington, DC to Fort Meade, MD will require one-time expenditures:

a. For renovations and relocation of National Security Agency (NSA) personnel from the NSA OPS 3 building at Fort Meade to accommodate the relocation of the Naval Security Group Command.

b. To repair/renovate the NSA OPS 3 building at Fort Meade (including the construction of a small communications center), to provide internal security and communication upgrades (terminals and phones), to configure/install systems furniture, to relocate existing computers, and to initiate the relocation of civilian personnel and office equipment to Fort Meade.

c. In FY 1996, to relocate the remaining civilian personnel and office equipment to Fort Meade, and pay severance costs for those civilians who are not being relocated.

One-time implementation costs associated with the relocation of the Navy Tactical Support Activity are for procurement and installation of specialized security/communication equipment, and to relocate civilian employees from the Washington, DC area to Norfolk.

Other: Costs include local area network requirements for SPAWARSYSCOM HQ to continue operations during the six month period when personnel will be located in both the present location and the Navy Annex.

One-time implementation costs are necessary to procure a new Local Area Network (LAN) for the realignment of the Bureau of Naval Personnel to Memphis. The current equipment in use at the Navy Annex cannot be economically relocated to support the new configuration of commands and buildings in Memphis. Requirements/costing for the new LAN have been based on the Naval Air Systems Command headquarters LAN which is serving as the model system for Navy applications.

Procurement items include the purchase and installation of telephone system upgrades necessary to support Naval Security Group function at Fort Meade.

Land Sales Revenue: None.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction: A portion of the personnel salaries associated with the NAVFACENGCOM civilian personnel reduction.

Family Housing Construction: None

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Reduction of lease costs, and salary costs for a portion of the civilian positions that will be abolished.

Military Personnel: Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

Other: Lease savings for CNR which is funded by RDT&E.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
BUREAU OF NAVAL PERSONNEL, MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE /UIC:NX2426	BUILDING ALTERATIONS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0902498N	610.10	P-320T	1,075

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
BUILDING ALTERATIONS	SF	57,500	16.00	920
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	40
UTILITIES AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(40)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	960
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	50
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	1,010
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	65
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	1,075
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	1,075
	-	-	-	
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Architectural, electrical, and mechanical alterations to a building; modifications to air conditioning, electrical, and lighting systems; provisions for the handicapped (restrooms and elevator); new walls; and minor utility work.

11. REQUIREMENT: 57,500 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: (57,500) SF

PROJECT:

Alters building to provide administrative spaces.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to provide administrative space for the Office of Manpower Management. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Bureau of Naval Personnel will be relocated to this station. Also included in the move to Memphis are the Navy Manpower Analysis Center, the Navy Motion Picture Service, the Morale Welfare and Recreation Training Unit, and the Office of Manpower Management.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The Office of Manpower Management is located in both leased and Government-owned spaces within the National Capital Region. Relocation is required to consolidate all Navy personnel functions in Government-owned space outside of the National Capital Region. Existing

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

BUREAU OF NAVAL PERSONNEL, MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BUILDING ALTERATIONS	P-320T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)

facilities at Memphis require conversion to accommodate the Office of Manpower Management.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, administrative facilities will not be available for the relocation of the Office of Manpower Management. This will result in the continuation of high lease costs for leased spaces, and prevent the consolidation of all Navy personnel functions at Memphis.

---

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1993	0
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	06-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER992	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(55)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(40)
(C)	TOTAL	95
(D)	CONTRACT	(85)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(10)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 08-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION /UIC:N00030	4. PROJECT TITLE
STRATEGIC SYSTEMS PROGRAMS, WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	BUILDING RENOVATION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0204660N	610.10	P-003I	15,100

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
BUILDING RENOVATION.	SF	260,000	-	13,260
BUILDING RENOVATION.	SF	260,000	42.00	(10,920)
ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS	-	-	(2,340)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	300
UTILITIES.	LS	-	-	(300)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	13,560
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	680
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	14,240
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	860
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	15,100
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Renovate existing buildings to accommodate requirements of new occupants to include new interior partitions and finishes, and modifications to building systems; heating, air conditioning and ventilation; electrical, lighting and plumbing; utility upgrades; provisions for security systems; and asbestos removal.

11. REQUIREMENT: 260,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD 0 SF

PROJECT:

Provides senior executive administrative and conference suites, Sensitive Compartmented Information Facilities (SCIF), security vaults, and conference and tele-conferencing facilities.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly configured facilities to provide administrative space for the Office of the Director of Strategic Systems Programs (SSPO) and various Assistant Under Secretaries of the Navy (AAUSN) and supporting commands' offices. Because of action authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, SSPO and various AAUSN offices will vacate leased space in Arlington, VA, and will be relocated to this activity.

CURRENT SITUATION:

SSPO and various AAUSN offices occupy lease spaces in Arlington, VA.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

STRATEGIC SYSTEMS PROGRAMS, WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BUILDING RENOVATION	P-003T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

CURRENT SITUATION: (CONTINUED)

Upon the vacating of these facilities by Naval Security Group Headquarters and Naval Electronics Security Center, SSPO and various AAUSN offices will relocate from Arlington, VA to this activity. No adequate and properly configured facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of these commands.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate and properly configured executive administrative facilities will not be available for the relocation of SSPO and AAUSN. This station will not be able to support these relocations and the recommendations of the Base Closure Commission can not be accomplished.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	09-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(810)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(550)
(C)	TOTAL	1,360
(D)	CONTRACT	(1,210)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(150)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 11-94 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
/UIC:N00421 NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER, AIRCRAFT DIV, PATUXENT RIVER, MARYLAND	ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITIES

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0605096N	610.10	P-951T	69,936

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITIES.	SF	786,450	-	49,910
BUILDINGS.	SF	462,450	89.00	(41,160)
PARKING STRUCTURES	SF	324,000	27.00	(8,750)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	12,930
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES.	LS	-	-	(6,210)
UTILITIES.	LS	-	-	(2,200)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT.	LS	-	-	(4,520)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	62,840
CONTINGENCY (5.0%).	-	-	-	3,140
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	65,980
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%) . .	-	-	-	3,956
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	69,936
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS .	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Multi-story steel and concrete frame buildings, pile foundations, engineered fill, spread footings, concrete floors, masonry composite panel walls, built-up roofs, computer rooms, provisions for local area network systems, high security areas, concrete security vaults, passenger and freight elevators, fire protection systems, air conditioning, and utilities. Multi-story reinforced concrete frame parking structures with exterior envelope to match adjacent buildings, pile foundations, and lighting, elevators.

11. REQUIREMENT: 786,450 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:

Construct an adequate facility to house the administrative functions of the Naval Air Systems Command (NAVAIR) Headquarters. Space is required to accommodate the administrative functions, personnel support, sensitive compartmented information facility (SCIF), and Special Access Programs requirements.

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate space is required for NAVAIR HQ functions relocating from leased space in Crystal City, Arlington, VA, to the NAS Patuxent River, MD, in accordance with the recommendations of the Base Closure and Realignment Commission. New facilities are required for 2,671 personnel to

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER, AIRCRAFT DIV, PATUXENT RIVER, MARYLAND

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITIES	P-951T

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

accommodate Naval Air Systems Team Components, including Expense Operating Budget Activities. Space is required for administrative functions, SCIF, personnel support, and parking accommodations to meet requirements for the development, acquisition, and support of Naval aeronautical systems.

CURRENT SITUATION:

NAVAIR HQ personnel currently occupy leased space in Crystal City. Recent base closure and realignment actions require these pesonnel to relocate to the Naval Air Warfare Aircraft Division (NAWC-AD) Patuxent River, MD.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Since no facilities exist at the NAWC-AD to adequately accommodate these functions, the recent proposed realignment action will not be accomplished or will be delayed. A delay will result in increased cost and coordination conflicts and preclude the savings and improved productivity to be realized from the consolidation efforts.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	04-95
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES__ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(3,770)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(2,525)
(C)	TOTAL	6,295
(D)	CONTRACT	(5,595)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(700)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 06-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER, AIRCRAFT DIV, PATUXENT RIVER, MARYLAND

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITIES	P-951T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (CONTINUED)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N60921	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER DETACHMENT, WHITE OAK, MARYLAND	NAVSEASYSCOM HEADQUARTERS RELOCATION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0605096N	610.10	P-001T	41,900

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
NAVSEASYSCOM HEADQUARTERS RELOCATION	SF	414,000	80.00	33,120
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	4,530
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(1,500)
DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(1,700)
ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS	-	-	(1,330)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	37,650
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	1,880
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	39,530
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	2,370
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	41,900
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Multi-story building, and modernize existing facilities including upgrade fire protection system, air conditioning, utilities, energy conservation features, removal and disposal of asbestos materials, and additional parking.

11. REQUIREMENT: 840,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: (626,000) SF

PROJECT:
Provides administrative offices and related support space for Naval Sea Systems Command (NAVSEASYSCOM) Headquarters.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate office space, data processing space, storage, conference, briefing auditorium, cafeteria, Sensitive Compartmented Information Facility (SCIF) and other support spaces. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, NAVSEASYSCOM Headquarters will move from leased space in Arlington, VA to the Naval Surface Warfare Center, White Oak Detachment, Silver Spring, MD. This move involves the relocation of approximately 4100 military and civilian personnel and is scheduled to begin in FY 1995.

CURRENT SITUATION:
NAVSEASYSCOM Headquarters currently occupies leased space in Arlington, VA, and will relocate to this activity. No facilities exist to accommodate the relocation of the additional personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
NAVSEASYSCOM will not be able to relocate from existing leased facilities.

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION/UIC: N60921

NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER DETACHMENT, WHITE OAK, MARYLAND

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
NAVSEASYSCOM HEADQUARTERS RELOCATION	P-001T

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY 1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	04-95

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES ___ NO X
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(2,260)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(1,510)
(C)	TOTAL	3,770
(D)	CONTRACT	(3,350)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(420)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 06-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
NAVAL RECRUITING COMMAND, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS /UIC:NX2425	NAVAL RECRUITING COMMAND CENTER

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST ($000)
0902498N	610.10	P-602T	7,550

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST ($000)
NAVAL RECRUITING COMMAND CENTER	SF	66,000	78.00	5,150
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	1,630
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(1,000)
ASBESTOS/LEAD PAINT REMOVAL	LS	-	-	(580)
PAVING, SITE IMPROVEMENT, AND DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(50)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	6,780
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	340
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	7,120
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	430
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	7,550
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	7,550
	-	-	-	
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Renovate existing three-story masonry building, including new windows, air conditioning, and fire protection systems; asbestos/lead paint removal; demolition of existing loading dock; paving and site improvements; thermal/moisture protection, fire protection system, and air conditioning.

11. REQUIREMENT: 66,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF

PROJECT:
Provides administrative space to accommodate the relocation of the Naval Recruiting Command.

REQUIREMENT:
Adequate facilities to accommodate the Naval Recruiting Command. Because of actions authorized by Public Law 101-510, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Naval Recruiting Command will be relocated from leased space in Arlington, VA, to Government-owned space at the Naval Training Center, Great Lakes, IL.

CURRENT SITUATION:
Adequate facilities to accommodate the move of the Naval Recruiting Command do not exist at this center.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:
Without this project, adequate facilities will not be available to

(CONTINUED ON DD 1391C)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		03 NOV 93

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL RECRUITING COMMAND, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
NAVAL RECRUITING COMMAND CENTER	P-6021

11. REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: (CONTINUED)

accommodate the relocation of the Naval Recruiting Command, resulting in continued expenditures for leasing of space in the Washington, DC area.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (PROJECT DESIGN CONFORMS TO PART II OF MILITARY HANDBOOK 1190, "FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN GUIDE.")

(1) STATUS:

(A)	DATE DESIGN STARTED	10-93
(B)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF JANUARY1994	25
(C)	DATE DESIGN 35% COMPLETE	02-94
(D)	DATE DESIGN COMPLETE	12-94
(E)	PERCENT COMPLETE AS OF SEPTEMBER993	0

(2) BASIS:

(A)	STANDARD OR DEFINITIVE DESIGN:	YES___NO X_
(B)	WHERE DESIGN WAS MOST RECENTLY USED:	

(3) TOTAL COST (C) = (A) + (B) OR (D) + (E):

		($000)
(A)	PRODUCTION OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	(405)
(B)	ALL OTHER DESIGN COSTS	(275)
(C)	TOTAL	680
(D)	CONTRACT	(605)
(E)	IN-HOUSE	(75)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START: 02-95 (MONTH AND YEAR)

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

NONE

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: VARIOUS LOCATIONS

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	-483000	0	-67600	-550600

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	-2481	-9599	-5297	-5047	-5711	-4633	-32768
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	-2481	-9599	-5297	-5047	-5711	4633	-32768

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	-2481	-9599	-5297	-5047	-5711	-4633	-32768
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	-483000	0	-67600	-550600
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-2481	-9599	-5297	-488047	-5711	-72233	-583368

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

VARIOUS LOCATIONS

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

Various.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Land Sales Revenue: Represents projected income from sale of miscellaneous sites closed by BRAC 93.

SAVINGS:

Other: Based on the closure, realignment, and consolidation of numerous naval stations, shipyards, and other support activities such as hospitals, training and maintenance facilities, the requirements for certain types of personal property and other support equipment for this reduced infrastructure has been decreased. Also, equipment which will still retain economic or useful life at the time of closure will be reallocated to other facilities, generating additional savings. The types of equipment for which savings are being reported at various locations include: calibration, electronic and general purpose test equipment; passenger carrying vehicles (automobiles and buses); general and special purpose trucks, trailers and truck tractors; earth moving and other construction equipment; forklift trucks and other weight handling equipment; ship training and other support equipment.

BASE CLOSURE III
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
($000)

Closure/Realignment Location: P&D MANAGEMENT

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	TOTAL
Military Construction	121770	73723	3757	0	0	0	199250
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	5402	5906	6180	6446	7292	7065	38291
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	127172	79629	9937	6446	7292	7065	237541
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	121770	73723	3757	0	0	0	199250
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental							
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	5402	5906	6180	6446	7292	7065	38291
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
Military ES (End Strength)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	127172	79629	9937	6446	7292	7065	237541

Note: Net Costs includes Land Sales Revenue

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT III
(1993 COMMISSION)
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

PLANNING AND DESIGN, AND MANAGEMENT

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION:

These are program costs to provide construction planning and design and other overall program management functions across all closure and realignment packages.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Planning and design requirements are determined using the standard DOD algorithm which provides nine percent of the program amount for planning and design costs. The algorithm provides 4.5 percent of the program amount two years prior to the budget year to initiate design and 4.5 percent in the year prior to the budget year to complete design. For this budget, the full 9 percent is requested in FY 1994 for the FY 1994 program, since by definition, projects planned for FY 1994 execution must have design completed during FY 1994. Planning and design funds for the FY 1995 program are requested in FY 1994 and FY 1995 (4.5 percent each year) recognizing that some design effort for FY 1995 projects will carry over into the budget year. For the balance of the program, the budget year minus two- (4.5 percent) and budget year minus one-year (4.5 percent) model is applied.

Operations and Maintenance: Provides for costs associated with analysis, administration, coordination, planning, budget and financial review, legislative analysis and legal support, and policy/guidance establishment and interpretation that is non-site specific and supports the overall management and execution of the Base Realignment and Closure Program. This also includes intergovernmental and intraservice coordination, general planning support, program documentation oversight and review, real estate, caretaker management overview, and miscellaneous support to Navy Base Closure Implementation Branch (OPNAV).